

## *Interactive comment on* "Remote sensing CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO emissions in a polluted urban environment" *by* Denis M. O'Brien et al.

## J. Worden (Editor)

john.worden@jpl.nasa.gov Received and published: 6 July 2016

Please find below an additional referee report:

General Information (select YES or NO) Does the paper contain new data or new ideas or both of them?

Yes/No -> No, it is more a more in depth analysis compared to previous work

Are these up to international standards? Yes/No -> yes

Is the presentation clear? Yes/No -> yes, but needs further clarification onpoints

Does the author reach substantial conclusions? Yes/No -> yes

Is the length of the paper adequate? Yes/No -> yes

C1

Is the language fluent and precise? Yes/No -> yes

Are the title and the abstract pertinent and understandable? Yes/No  $\rightarrow$  yes, although I suggest adjusting the tital ein my comments

Is the size of each figure adequate to the quantity of data it contains? Yes/No -. yes

Does the author give proper credit to related work and does he/she indicate clearly his/her own contribution? Yes/No  $\rightarrow$  yes

Would you cite this paper as a scientific contribution? Very important Fairly important -> yes

May have potential after additional work and resubmission No potential value

Recommendation to the Editor The manuscript is acceptable as it is. The manuscript is acceptable with some corrections. The manuscript will be acceptable after minor revisions. The manuscript may become acceptable after major revisions and must be reviewed again: YES I would be willing to review the paper again. YES I would NOT be willing to review the paper again. The manuscript is not acceptable.

Please also note the supplement to this comment: http://www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/amt-2016-141/amt-2016-141-EC1supplement.pdf

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2016-141, 2016.