Interactive comment on "A laser-induced fluorescence instrument for aircraft measurements of sulfur dioxide in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere" *by* Andrew W. Rollins et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

This manuscript describes the construction and flight testing of a laser-induced fluorescence based sensor for detection of upper atmospheric SO2. The authors provide a description of the setup of the instrument, comparison of performance with other similar state-of-the-art measurements, and sample measurements from the flight campaign. The instrument represents a significant advance in the measurement technology of a species of strong importance to UT/LS chemistry. I recommend publication of this manuscript after the authors address the below minor comments.

- Pg 4 lines 4-11

  - This paragraph seems like an odd fit here, maybe better in background

- Fig 4

  - Figure is a bit difficult to interpret as the lines are difficult to see in the picture. Recommend replacing photo with a schematic for greater legibility.

- Page 7 line 6-9

  - Authors do not describe the method through which they use the reference cell.

- Page 7 line 9

  - I could not find from what trigger is the 20 ns gate measured?

- Page 7 line 18

  - Why use quenching by argon? Was air not available? How does that affect the calculated lifetime?

- Page 7 line 19

  - This is the first mention of laser pulse duration. This is controlled by the DFB pulse? Is there a difference in pulse duration between the DFB pulse and the pulse leaving the amplifier?

- Page 8 line 26

  - What form do the light baffles in the cell take? A pinhole? This would seem to result in significant dead volume, or at least slow volume, even with flow moving through from either end.

- Page 9 line 3

- What error in SO2 concentration does a 0.3 hPa error correspond to? Have the authors performed pressure sensitivity testing?

- Page 10 line 17-28

    - While the assumption that H2O quenching is negligible is likely a valid one, this would be more convincingly asserted by a brief sensitivity analysis with this instrument. Have the authors performed such a test?

- Figure 13

    - SO2 data also 10 s average?