

Interactive comment on “The CU Mobile Solar Occultation Flux instrument: structure functions and emission rates of NH₃, NO₂ and C₂H₆” by Natalie Kille et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 19 October 2016

General

This paper is describing a low resolution optical multichannel spectroscopic system for mobile column measurements using direct sun measurements in the infrared and UV/visible region. It includes a theoretical analysis of the infrared spectroscopic measurements and the ability to detect gases in the atmosphere. The paper also describe column measurements of NH₃, NO₂, and C₂H₆ and subsequent flux-estimates based on these measurements. It also includes a motivation of the importance to measure the above mentioned species. Even though solar infrared measurements have been used for decades to retrieve atmospheric columns of various species, within the network NDACC, Flux measurements using solar occultation technique has only been

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



carried out by few researchers. Considering the large potential for such flux measurements, this paper provides a welcome and needed contribution to improving the common knowledge about this technique. The language is good and figure and tables clear. The paper gives a nice illustration how the solar occultation flux method can be applied and in particular focuses on its sensitivity towards measuring various species and in the application of ammonia measurements of cows. However, a few general points that need improvement are given below: First of all it is not stated so well what is the objective with this paper in terms of novelty and originality compared to what has been published elsewhere. The Solar occultation Flux method has been published in several scientific papers and reports, see papers below. It is also described in the US EPA handbook on Optical Remote Sensing for Measurement and Monitoring of Emissions Flux and it is also Best available technology in Europe for measurements of fugitive emissions, as part of the mineral oil BREF. It is also used operationally used to monitor fugitive emissions since more than 10 years. There is a short statement about the wind error in the conclusions and reference to earlier work but this could be improved. Even though the author has included some previous work the background description in the paper should be improved to better reflect work and applications that has already been carried out with the solar occultation flux method. Alos some more references to other work applying sky DOAS or direct sun DOAS for flux measurements should be included.

The instrument is similar to what has been used in other papers and also how the flux method is obtained. In my mind its originality therefore lies in the sensitivity calculation (structure functions) and in performing flux measurements of ammonia from cows with the per head analysis I think that what makes this papers unique should be much better stated in the introduction of the paper and also how the papers is organized.

Specific:

Page Row 42 (Introduction): 1. Line 88: Include more background about how the solar occultation flux method is applied since more than 10 years fro measurements of

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

fugitive emissions , NH₃ etc.

2. Line 115: Describe the focus of this papers better here
3. Line 115: Strange language in sentence, pleas rephrase.
4. Line 130: I would like a brief (few sentences) explanation about the NO₂ system , since these measurements are carried out here.
5. Line 188: I would like a brief (few sentences) explanation about the NO₂ system , since these measurements are carried out here.
6. Line 226: This appears to be somewhat difficult measurements with considerable risk for errors that you have not discussed. Please add something about this.
7. Line 240: The usage of model wind when measuring close to cattle farms will add quite some uncertainties to the measurements. The author states a comparison between some wind measurements and the model at the lower layers, but in my experience this needs some more discussion and uncertainty of the errors.
8. Line 320: Define ONG storage tank
9. Line 354: Same as line 240. The fact that two consecutive days resulted in the same value does not prove anything about the uncertainty in the wind since there may be considerable systematic uncertainties. Please improve
10. Line 358: You claim 30%/sqrt(2) as error. Please explain. Appears small !
11. Line 491: The section about ethane appears somewhat inconclusive. It needs improvement; I suggest by more comparison to other studies and better discussion about the uncertainty.

Some References SOF method

Mellqvist, J., et al., (2010), Measurements of industrial emissions of alkenes in Texas using the solar occultation flux method, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D00F17,

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



doi:10.1029/2008JD011682.

Johansson, J., et al., 2013a, Quantitative Measurements and Modeling of Industrial Formaldehyde Emissions in the Greater Houston Area during Campaigns in 2009 and 2011, *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres*, 2013JD020159R.

Johansson, J. K. E., et al, (2014), Emission measurements of alkenes, alkanes, SO₂, and NO₂ from stationary sources in Southeast Texas over a 5 year period using SOF and mobile DOAS, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 118, doi:10.1002/2013JD020485.

Johansson, J. K. E. et al. (2016), Optical remote sensing of industrial gas emission fluxes, Doctoral thesis, 162 pp, ISBN 978-81-7597-316-6, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg.

Foy, B., et al., Modelling constraints on the emission inventory and on vertical dispersion for CO and SO₂ in the Mexico City Metropolitan Area using Solar FTIR and zenith sky UV spectroscopy, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 781–801, 2007

De Gouw, et al., Airborne Measurements of Ethene from Industrial Sources Using Laser Photo-Acoustic Spectroscopy, 2442 9 ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY / VOL. 43, NO. 7, 2009

S.-W. Kim, et al., Evaluations of NO_x and highly reactive VOC emission inventories in Texas and their implications for ozone plume simulations during the Texas Air Quality Study 2006S, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 11361–11386, 2011 NO₂ from stationary sources in Southeast Texas over a 5 year period using SOF and mobile DOAS, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 118, doi:10.1002/2013JD020485

European Commission, 2015, Best Available Techniques (BAT) Reference Document for the Refining of Mineral Oil and Gas: Joint Research Centre, Institute for Prospective Technological Studies, ISBN 978-92-79-46198-9 (PDF)ISSN 1831-9424 (online)doi:10.2791/010758,

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



http://eippcb.jrc.ec.europa.eu/reference/BREF/REF_BREF_2015.pdf

EPA Handbook: Optical Remote Sensing for Measurement and Monitoring of Emissions Flux, December 2011, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards , Air Quality Analysis Division Measurement Technology Group , Research Triangle, North Carolina, 27711, editor Dennis K. Mikael, <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/guidInd/gd-052.pdf>

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2016-190, 2016.

AMTD

[Interactive comment](#)

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

