

Interactive comment on “The ALTIUS mission” by Didier Fussen et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 10 October 2016

As far as I can ascertain, version 3 of the manuscript does not differ significantly from the one (version 1) that I previously reviewed. I stand by those review comments - there is no need to repeat them.

Summary: The submitted manuscript is clearly an overview, emphasizing breadth over detail. And given the recently upgraded status of ALTIUS, such an overview should be published. However, this level of description is usually published in proceedings, e.g. IEEE, SPIE, or other conference proceedings. I note the authors have not referenced any prior publications related to ALTIUS. If this is because none exist, I suggest the authors use proceedings to provide this mission overview. If a prior publication(s) does exist, I recommend they authors wait until more technical detail can be provided before re-submission to AMT.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2016-213, 2016.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

