Atmospheric
Measurement
Techniques
Open Access

Discussions

EGU

Interactive comment on "Retrieval of an Ice Water Path over the Ocean from ISMAR and MARSS millimeter/submillimeter brightness temperatures" *by* Manfred Brath et al.

Manfred Brath et al.

manfred.brath@uni-hamburg.de

Received and published: 21 October 2017

Answers to Anonymous Referee #2

October 21, 2017

Reviewer:

This study investigates high frequency microwave and sub-mm based retrievals of a various quantities like snow water path, rain water path, and integrated water vapor using a neural network (NN) retrieval methodology. My overall impression of this manuscript is that is extremely well written, thorough, and advances the state of retrieval science in the sub-mm portion of the electromagnetic spectrum. The authors included relevant and necessary discussion sections that elucidate major sources of uncertainty. I was admittedly thinking of possible major concerns, but authors inevitably preemptively addressed these concerns with thorough discussion sections within the manuscript. I truly appreciate these efforts by the authors. I suggest minor revisions based on the comments below, mostly related to NN methodology and applicability to eventual global retrievals.

Page 6, Lines 4-5: "Because the atmospheric profiles were from the same season and the same region as the measurements, these profiles are expected to sufficiently cover the situations encountered during the measurement flight." The NN training dataset serves as arguably the most important component of this

retrieval methodology. Populating the training dataset with a sufficient number of representative profiles is abso- lutely crucial for success. My question: this study analyzes a case study, comparing it to airborne observations, so the NN training dataset is probably sufficient and rep- resentative. But this particular region is susceptible to cold air outbreaks and synoptic scale weather systems that ultimately drive the weather and associated cloud formations. I assume the three simulated days chosen have representative synoptic condi- tions of this region, including a representative cold air outbreak scenario? This seems espe- cially important for sample field campaign airborne retrievals presented later in the manuscript. Is a NN feasible for global retrievals? How do you sufficiently populate a database for global retrieval applications? These final two questions are relevant if the authors want to utilize this methodology for eventual ICI re- trievals. Perhaps this question might be best addressed in the Summary section.

Answer:

The three simulation days were originally chosen to cover three different FAAM flights. We did not check if there was a cold air outbreak scenario in the model runs. We know that in reality above 70°N overnight between the 18th and 19th March cold-air outbreak conditions existed. Therefore, we assume, that some profiles are included. We added an additional figure (Fig. 1) in Sect 3.1, where the position and time of each randomly selected profile is shown.

In general neural network retrievals are feasible for global retrievals. For example, Holl et al. (2014) used neural networks to retrieve ice water path. The crucial point for neural network retrievals is that the database covers the wide range of globally possible atmospheric conditions. By using for example, additional ICON model runs for several globally distributed regions and different seasons, our retrieval can be expanded to global applications. We added a similar statement in Sect. 3.4 (p. 14 lines 16-22).

Reviewer:

Page 6 Lines 14-15: "No explicit spectral response function was used to simulate the the ISMAR and MARSS channels; instead, we conducted monochromatic radiative transfer simulations for the center frequencies of the two side bands of each channel and obtained their average". This seems like a reasonable approach. Can the authors supply any sample uncertainties for this methodological approach? I assume uncer- tainties may increase under highly scattering conditions for sub-mm frequencies with weighting functions low enough in the atmosphere to be prone to ice scattering.

Answer:

We now provide some sample uncertainties in the text (p. 7 lines 15-17). It is unlikely, that these uncertainies under highly scattering conditions increase, because the change of the scattering properties over the range of half bandwith is small. Furthermore, as the number of scatterer sizes are limited, the interpolation uncertainty is likely to be bigger than the uncertainties by using only one frequency per pass band.

Reviewer:

Page 6, Near line 25: FASTEM discussion regarding surface emissivity – can simple examples be provided that illustrate the lack of surface sensitivity for a few representa- tive sub-mm channels? This type of analysis could be appropriate as a supplement, or at least provide references if this type of work has been published beforehand.

Answer:

We now provide some simple examples for the $243.3\,$GHz and the $325.15\,$GHz$\pm 9.5\,$GHz channel in Sect. 3.2 (p. 7 line 29 to p. 8 line 8).

Reviewer:

Page 6, last paragraph: Suggest adding unit information parenthetically. Current sen- tence seems awkward with comma devoted to unit information. For example, "cloud ice water (converted to kg m-3)". Or just include the units parenthetically without "converted to" wording. Also verify AMT publication standards regarding unit display. For example, kg/m3 versus kg m-3.

Answer:

We changed it to "cloud ice water in $\mathrm{kg\,m^{-3}}$" and we adapted the unit display in the text.

Reviewer:

Page 7, Cloud ice: I am curious why a different Hong et al (2009) particle rendition is not chosen for cloud ice scattering simulations? I understand soft spheres may be fine for very small ice particles when the size ratio is sufficiently small, but do some sub-mm channels violate this small size ratio restriction and necessitate using DDA databases instead of soft spheres?

Answer:

You are right in that for that case it would be better to use DDA based scattering properties and it can be that some sub-mm channels violate for some particle sizes this small size ratio restriction, but as the overall scattering of cloud ice is very small even for high frequencies (see Fig. 3a) and as the usage of DDA based scattering properties will not substantially change this, it is not important. Therefore, soft spheres are fine in our case. When using a different size distribution, which provides larger particle sizes, your point comes into play.

Reviewer:

Page 12, Lines 19-25: I appreciate the authors being frank with possible downsides of using neural networks. Not having used NN before, is computational burden also excessive? It seems like the combined computational burden of (a) needing a large sample of numerical model results to populate the training dataset and (b) adopting an ensemble NN approach make this exercise fairly computationally intensive. This approach seems defensible and justifiable for the current application of illustrating retrieval efficacy for various parameters using combined microwave and sub-mm channels. But will a NN approach be untenable for real-time retrievals from space borne sensors (for instance, when ICI and MWI are eventually launched)?

Answer:

The computational burden is not high. Once the neural networks are trained, which took in our case a few hours, they are very fast making them actually very feasible for real time applications. The main issue of neural networks, as written above, is

that the database covers the range of possible atmospheric conditions. Therefore, the main computation time is needed for creating the database, but this is the issue of all database based retrieval methods as for example Bayesian Monte Carlo Integration. We added a similar statement in Sect. 3.4 (p. 14 lines 11-22).

Reviewer:

Page 22, lines 5-6: Any specific reason why a 3.5 minute running average was chosen versus a different time averaging duration?

Answer:

A 3.5 min running mean corresponds to a path length of 23 km. This is in the order of the smallest horizontal size of features that can be resolved within of the ICON model, which is twice the grid resolution of ICON. We added a similar statement in Sect. 5 (p. 23 lines 11-12).

Reviewer:

Section 5.1: So the NN training datasets from all 3 numerical simulations were used for the retrievals shown in this section? Or was the training dataset from the 18 March simulation results applied exclusively to this case? This is not a major issue for the results presented in this particular study, but my main question is how a similar NN retrieval methodology can be applied to a global dataset. Would the training dataset require daily simulations to provide a robust training dataset for global retrievals? Or would a handful of simulations that temporally and strategically sampled various sea- sons suffice?

Answer:

Yes, the training dataset includes profiles from all three numerical simulations. We added an additional figure (Fig. 1), where the position and time of each randomly selected profile is shown, to emphasize this in Sect 3.1. Neural network retrievals can be easily expanded to global retrievals. The main issue, as written above, is the training database. A training database consisting of similar simulations like our but for tropics, midlatitude and subarctic including the four seasons for midlatitude and subarctic would be probably already enough to provide a reasonably retrieval. Compared to Bayesian Monte Carlo Integration, neural network are also less demanding on the database size (Jiménez et al., 2007).

Reviewer:

Summary section: As mentioned, ice scattering simulations are rare for sub-mm frequencies. I would add more emphasis in this section (another sentence or two) to encourage the community to produce more ice model scattering datasets at frequen- cies exceeding 200 GHz. This seems like a necessary research step to improve ice and snow column retrievals at sub-mm frequencies.

Answer:

We followed your suggestion and added some few sentences (p. 32 lines 31-33)

References

Gerrit Holl, Salomon Eliasson, Jana Mendrok, and S.A. Buehler. Spare-ice: Synergistic ice wa-
ter path from passive operational sensors. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*,

119(3):1504–1523, 2014.

C. Jiménez, S. A. Buehler, B. Rydberg, P. Eriksson, and K. F. Evans. Performance simulations for a submillimetre-wave satellite instrument to measure cloud ice. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 133(S2):129–149, November 2007. ISSN 1477-870X. doi: 10.1002/qj.134.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2017-167, 2017.

C9