Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2017-237-RC2, 2017 © Author(s) 2017. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



AMTD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Regional uncertainty of GOSAT XCO₂ retrievals in China: Quantification and attribution" by Nian Bie et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 13 December 2017

Major points: See the comments from the other reviewer: - EMMA should be left out as it is the combined product of all other retrieval products shown - Shorten the part on the new version of ACOS, or use only the new version data - Provide a more quantitative analysis of the effect of aerosols and albedo on the observed differences between different algorithms - Provide some clear evidence of performance of GEOS-Chem wrt total column XCO2

Minor: Textual suggestions:

p.2 line 46: I think you should leave out TanSat in that particular sentence as that instrument has not yet contributed to a better understanding of ... as far as I know.

p.3 line 85-86: rephrase 'that trend ...to east' because unclear what is meant

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



p.9 GLASS albedo is used. For which wavelength is this albedo?

table 2. Add to the table caption : All biases > 1 ppm are underlined. Change 'the values in parentheses are the biases and their \dots ' \rightarrow 'the values are the biases and -in parentheses- their...'

Table 3 table caption. What are the underlined values?

p.18 line 350 ('To summarize the quantification... SRFP') : I do not understand this sentence given the data.

Fig. 8 Figure caption 'and the differences of detrended . . . and GEOS-Chem' should that be '. . . with GOES-Chem'?

p.21 line 423/424 I do not understand the sentence 'No bias was found ... R2=0.77' based on what I see in Table 6. Also it is not consistent with what is written in line 429/430.

p. 23, line 462 results above \rightarrow results described above

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2017-237, 2017.

AMTD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

