

Interactive comment on “Noise performance of microwave humidity sounders over their life time” by Imke Hans et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 13 October 2017

General Comments

This paper is well-written and presents the results of a noise analysis of microwave sounders. The data from these instruments are widely used and this paper provides a valuable resource for users to determine whether data from these instruments should be trusted. The authors do a thorough job explaining the background and the results, and I only have a couple minor comments.

Specific Comments

Page 6, first paragraph (and in some other places) I understand what you mean by saying “four views on the OBCT... four views on the deep space...” But I find it a bit confusing to call those “views” since you also refer to the “deep space view” (singular).

C1

Same thing with saying “90 earth views”. Perhaps call it something else like “samples”. “White noise” and “pink noise” are mentioned but I couldn’t find a definition for what they are. It may be good to include a brief definition for people not familiar with those terms.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2017-277, 2017.

C2