Comparison of aerosol optical depth from satellite (MODIS),
sun photometer and broadband pyrheliometer ground-based
observations in Cuba.
Juan Carlos Antuña-Marrero <sup>1</sup> , Victoria Cachorro Revilla <sup>2</sup> , Frank García Parrado <sup>1</sup> , Ángel de Frutos Baraja <sup>2</sup> , Albeth Rodríguez Vega <sup>1</sup> , David Mateos <sup>2</sup> , René Estevan Arredondo <sup>3,1</sup> , Carlos Toledano <sup>2</sup>
<ol> <li><sup>1</sup> Atmospheric Optics Group of Camagüey (GOAC), Meteorological Institute of Cuba, Camagüey, Cuba</li> <li><sup>2</sup> Atmospheric Optics Group (GOA), University of Valladolid (UVA), Valladolid, Spain</li> </ol>
<sup>3</sup> Huancayo Observatory, Geophysical Institute of Peru, Huancayo, Peru
Describeritte d.to. Atmospheric Management Techniques
Resubmitted to Atmospheric Measurement Techniques
Marsh 2019
March 2018
Corresponding author:
Juan Carlos Antuña-Marrero
Atmospheric Optics Group of Camagüey
Meteorological Institute of Cuba
Camagüey, Cuba
Email: jcam45@gmail.com

#### 33 Abstract

In the present study, we report the first comparison between the aerosol optical depth 34 (AOD) and Angstrom exponent (AE) of the MODerate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer 35 (MODIS) instruments on the Terra (AODt) and Aqua (AODa) satellites and those measured using 36 a sun photometer (AOD<sub>SP</sub>) at Camagüey, Cuba, for the period 2008 to 2014. The comparison of 37 38 Terra and Aqua data includes AOD derived with both Deep Blue (DB) and Dark Target (DT) algorithms from MODIS Collection 6. Combined Terra and Aqua (AODta) data were also 39 considered. Assuming an interval of  $\pm 30$  minutes around the overpass **time** and the area of 25 km 40 41 around the sun photometer site, two coincidence criteria were considered: individual pairs of observations, and both spatial and temporal mean values, which we call collocated daily means. 42 The usual statistics (BIAS, MAE, RMSE) together with linear regression analysis are used for this 43 comparison. Results show very similar values for both coincidence criteria: DT algorithm 44 generally displays better statistics and higher homogeneity than the DB algorithm in the 45 behaviour of AODt, AODa, AODta as compared to AODsp. For collocated daily means: a) 46 mean square errors (RMSE) of 0.060 and 0.062 were obtained for Terra and Aqua with the DT 47 algorithm and 0.084 and 0.065 for the DB algorithm; b) MAE follows the same patterns; c) BIAS 48 49 for both Terra and Aqua presents positive and negative values but its absolute values are lower for the DT algorithm; d) Combined AOD<sub>ta</sub> data also give lower values of these three statistical 50 indicators for the DT algorithm; e) both algorithms present good correlations for comparing 51 52 AODt, AODa, AODta vs. AODsp, with a slight overestimation of satellite data compared to AOD<sub>SP</sub>, f) DT algorithm yields better figures with slopes of 0.96 (Terra), 0.96 (Aqua) and 0.96 53 54 (Terra+Aqua) compared to the DB algorithm (1.07, 0.90, 0.99) that displays greater variability. 55 Multiannual monthly means of AOD<sub>ta</sub> establish a first climatology more comparable to that

56 given by the sun-photometer and their statistical evaluation reveals better agreement with AOD<sub>SP</sub> for the DT algorithm. Results of the AE comparison showed similar results to those 57 reported in the literature concerning the two algorithms' capacity for retrieval. A comparison 58 between broadband optical depths (BAOD), derived from broadband pyrheliometer observations 59 at the Camagüey site and three other meteorological stations in Cuba, and AOD observations from 60 61 MODIS on board Terra and Aqua show a poor correlation with slopes below 0.4 for both algorithms. Aqua (Terra) showed RMSE values of 0.073(0.080) and 0.088(0.087) for the DB and 62 DT algorithms. As expected, RMSE values are higher than those from the MODIS/sun photometer 63 64 comparison, **but within** the same order of magnitude. Results from the BAOD, derived from solar radiation measurements, demonstrate its reliability to describe climatological AOD series 65 estimates. 66

67

KEY WORDS: atmosphere, remote sensing, aerosols, Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD), Broadband
Aerosol optical depth (BAOD), AERONET, MODIS

70

#### 71 **1. Introduction**

Atmospheric aerosols play an important role in weather and climate (IPPC 2013). Depending on the physical/chemical and optical properties of atmospheric aerosols together with their origin and spatial and temporal distribution, they can affect the Earth's radiative budget, as well as dynamic, biogeochemical and chemical processes (Knippertz and Stuut, 2014; Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016). All of these processes play a key role at a global and regional scale due to the high spatio-temporal variability of aerosol properties. Aerosols can also affect the biosphere and, in particular, humans in several ways: for example, the Saharan dust transported to America across the Atlantic supplies 79 nutrients to the Amazon forest (Swap et al., 1992; Yu et al., 2015). Moreover, in the Caribbean, in addition to aerosols of local origin, dust makes the amount of aerosol exceed air quality standards 80 associated to human health (Prospero and Lamb, 2003; Prospero et al., 2014). The great variability 81 of Saharan dust transported to the Caribbean basin has been documented using long-term 82 observations in Barbados (Prospero and Lamb, 2003; Prospero and Mayol-Bracero, 2013) and 83 more recently in Miami, Guadeloupe and Cayenne (Prospero et al., 2014). The Caribbean region 84 is thus of great importance for aerosol studies due to its low aerosol background, which helps 85 aerosol transport studies (Kaufman et al., 2005; Denjean et al., 2016; Velasco et al., 2018). One 86 87 difficulty, however, is that it is an area where land and water make up a mixed pixel when remote satellite aerosol studies are carried out. 88

In order to improve calculations of aerosol climatology for Cuban land areas, which 89 remains ongoing, we compared aerosol ground-based observations and available satellite data, as 90 a first step towards assessing this climatology. This involves a comparison between all the 91 available Camagüey sun photometer aerosol optical depth (AOD) data and the BAOD provided 92 by solar radiation measurements with the series of AOD (550 nm) from the MODerate resolution 93 Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) instruments on board the Terra (2001 to 2015) and Aqua 94 95 (2002 to 2015) satellites. Selected observations were those spatially and temporally collocated between satellite instruments and ground-based sites. In addition to the aerosol load given by the 96 AOD, we also evaluated the Ångström exponent (AE) as a parameter providing information about 97 98 particle size for MODIS and sun photometer data.

99 One of the challenges we faced was the low amount of potential coincident AOD and AE 100 from MODIS and the Sun photometer. The same is true for AOD from MODIS and broadband 101 pyrheliometer derived BAOD, in both cases due to existing gaps in the ground-based time series

and also because this area is strongly affected by clouds (mainly partially cloud cover). In order to
maximize the number of satellite and surface measurement pairs, we used primary AOD and AE
L2 products without any averaging as well as combined AOD and AE from Terra and Aqua
MODIS sensors as a whole dataset. We also used Deep Blue (DB) and Dark Target (DT)
algorithms to evaluate the reliability of satellite AOD and AE retrievals to select the most
appropriate data set to derive the climatology of both AOD/AE aerosol parameters in Cuba.

The earliest attempt to measure aerosol optical properties at ground level in Cuba recorded 108 in a scientific publication dates back to 1988 (Martinez, 1988) where the Linke turbidity factor 109 110 and the Ångström ß turbidity coefficient were derived from solar direct normal irradiance (DNI) measurements. Twenty years later, a cooperation agreement between scientific institutions in 111 Spain and Cuba enabled a Cimel CE-318 sun photometer to be installed at Camagüey (Cuba) and 112 for it to be included in the Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET, Holben et al., 1998). Several 113 aerosol studies have been conducted using the Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) and AE from 114 Camagüey's observations 115 sun photometer (see, Antuña-Marrero et al.. 2016; 116 http://www.goac.cu/uva/).

Broadband pyrheliometric DNI observations allow the Broadband Aerosol Optical Depth 117 118 (BAOD) to be determined, which complements sun photometer aerosol observations at Camagüey, and provides aerosol information at three other locations in Cuba. The main purpose of 119 determining BAOD is to offer information concerning aerosol variability over the island, also 120 121 making it possible to extend aerosol records back in time. The first BAOD calculations used for DNI measurement were conducted at Camagüey under clear sky conditions for the period 1985-122 2007 using Gueymard's (1998) improved parameterizations (Fonte and Antuña, 2011). García et 123 124 al. (2015) used this kind of DNI observation for a longer period (1981-2013) and compared this BAOD to sun-photometer AOD data. They used observations under the clear line of sight between
the broadband pyrheliometer and a region of 5° around the Sun, as well as improved climatological
values of the integrated water vapor.

This comparative analysis does not aim to be a validation study of the MODIS sensor since 128 many works during the long history of the MODIS sensor on the Terra and Aqua platforms have 129 130 sought to improve its features (these include: Kaufman et al., 1997a, b; Tanré et al., 1997; Remer et al., 2002, 2005, 2006; Hsu, et al., 2004, 2006, 2013; Levy et al., 2007; 2009; 2010, 2013, 2015; 131 Sayer et al., 2013, 2014; https://darktarget.gsfc.nasa.gov/atbd/overview). However, compared to 132 133 other areas of the world, no studies have been reported in the Caribbean region and in Cuba in particular (Papadimas et al., 2009; Mishchenko, et al., 2010; Kahn et al., 2011; Bennouna et al., 134 2011, 2013; Witte et al., 2011; Gkikas et al., 2013; 2015; Levy et al., 2015). 135

As mentioned, our aim is to establish reliable aerosol climatology in Cuba based on satellite and ground-based instruments. By making a detailed comparison of similarities and differences between available data sets, the present work seeks to make a contribution to said aim.

The article is structured as follows. Section 2 begins with the description of the datasets, 139 followed by the explanation of the coincidence criteria between the AOD and AE MODIS L2 140 141 products and the same two variables from the sun photometer and broadband pyrheliometer BAOD. This section ends with the explanation of the statistical indices used. Section 3 is composed 142 of various sections designed to explain and discuss the large volume of results to emerge from the 143 144 comparison given by taking two different retrieval AOD aerosol algorithms, for both the Terra and Aqua platforms, with the sun photometer and BAOD. Section 4 contains a summary of the 145 146 conclusions.

#### 148 2. Materials and Methods

#### 149 **2.1** *MODIS* satellite instruments

The twin MODIS instruments on board the Terra and Aqua satellites have accumulated 150 over 15 years of observations of several atmospheric parameters, including AOD at several 151 152 wavelengths and the AE parameter, the two most common parameters for describing atmospheric 153 aerosol optical properties. Based on the assumptions about the properties of the Earth's surface and the aerosol type expected over these surfaces, the MODIS Atmosphere team developed three 154 algorithms for processing MODIS observations (Levy et al., 2013). Regions which appear visually 155 "dark" from space, referred to as Dark Target (DT), include the algorithm assumptions for 156 vegetated land surfaces (Kaufman et al., 1997a, b) and for remote ocean regions (Tanré et al., 157 1997). The third algorithm, called the Deep Blue (DB) algorithm, includes assumptions for 158 159 surfaces which are visually "bright" from space and uses near-UV wavelengths (DB band near 410 nm). Under these conditions, the DB band provides a better signal than the visible wavelengths, 160 improving the information content for aerosol retrievals (Hsu et al., 2004; 2006) due to lower 161 surface albedo at this short wavelength. Levy et al. (2013) provide a detailed explanation of basic 162 MODIS retrieval concepts and improvements to the DT algorithm in Collection 6 for aerosol 163 164 products. In addition, Hsu et al. (2013) give a detailed explanation of the DB algorithm improvements in Collection 6. 165

Following Levy et al. (2013), we summarize the MODIS calculus chain. MODIS Level 0 (L0) is the basic data file containing raw observations from the sensors. Observations grouped in five-minute swath scans (called granules) are Level 1A (L1A), which after calibration becomes Level 1B (L1B). L1B data feed the MODIS geophysical retrieval algorithms, generating the primary geophysical observations, which include AOD and AE, designated Level 2 (L2). This is

followed by Level 3 (L3), consisting of daily and monthly statistics of geophysical products, in 1°
x 1° latitude\longitude grid boxes. L2 aerosol products are stored in the MOD04 (Terra) and
MYD04 (Aqua) files.

We selected AOD at 550 nm from MODIS (both on Terra and Aqua satellites) Collection 6, L2 data level derived using the two algorithms; DB for land with the highest data quality (Quality flag = 2, 3) and DT for land, corrected (Quality flag = 3). In addition, we selected the AE retrieved over land from the DB algorithm using the corresponding pairs of AOD values (412/470 nm or 470/650 nm) with the highest quality (Quality flag = 2, 3), since the DT algorithm only retrieves the AE over the ocean (Table B1 in Levy et al., 2013). Table 1 lists the aerosol products used in the present study.

At a global scale, it has been established that using the DT algorithm over land, MODIS-181 retrieved aerosol size parameters evidence poor quantitative capacity, particularly AE (e.g., Levy 182 et al., 2010; Mielonen et al., 2011). However, for the DB algorithm, AE capacity increases for 183 moderate or high aerosol loadings, AOD > 0.3 (Sayer et al., 2013). We therefore decided to 184 conduct the comparison between the AE from the MODIS DB algorithm and the AE from the 185 Camagüey sun photometer to estimate its uncertainty. The enhanced DB algorithm methodology 186 for deriving AE in Collection 6 is the same as in Collection 5. It uses the Ångström power law and 187 AOD values at 412, 470 and 650 nm. Under non-vegetated surfaces, AE is derived using the AOD 188 from pair 412/470 nm. For vegetated surfaces, AE is derived from the 470/650 nm pair. In the case 189 190 of a surface with mixed vegetated and non-vegetated areas, AE is derived using the AOD at the 191 three wavelengths mentioned (Hsu et al., 2013).

192

## 194 **2.2** Camagüey AERONET sun-photometer

The Camagüey sun photometer, installed thanks to an agreement between the University 195 of Valladolid (UVA), Spain, and the Meteorological Institute of Cuba (INSMET) for joint aerosol 196 research, contributes to the NASA Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) (Antuña et al., 2012). 197 198 Annual replacement of the instrument for one calibrated, sent from Valladolid to Camaguey, 199 encountered numerous transportation and customs delays, causing gaps in the observation series. However, the collected series of observations does represent a valuable dataset of aerosol columnar 200 optical properties in the Caribbean, enabling GOAC-INSMET and GOA-UVA to conduct 201 202 preliminary aerosol research (Antuña-Marrero et al, 2016).

The AERONET Cimel sun photometers have been conducting aerosol observations at nine 203 spectral narrow band filters for over two decades, producing spectral AOD and column effective 204 205 particle properties (Holben et al., 1998). In general, Cimel sun photometer nominal wavelengths are 340, 380, 440, 500, 675, 870, 935, 1020 and 1640 nm. In some cases, the 1640 nm is replaced 206 by a 1240 nm. Its processing algorithm, based on the Beer-Lambert-Bouguer law, allows spectral 207 208 OD values at an uncertainty level of approximately 0.01 to 0.02 to be determined (Holben et al., 1998; Eck et al., 1999). Because of this low level of uncertainty, AERONET AOD observations 209 210 commonly serve as reference values ("ground truth") to validate AOD measured by other remote sensing sensors (Zhao et al., 2002). AERONET AE are derived for five different wavelength 211 intervals; 340-440 nm, 380-500 nm, 440-675 nm, 440-870 nm and 500-870 nm. In the present 212 213 study, the selected AE is the one in the 440-675 nm range (AE<sub>SP</sub>).

We used Camagüey sun photometer Level 2.0 data as processed by AERONET, i.e., cloud screened and quality-assured (Smirnov et al., 2000), covering the period from 7 October 2008 to 1 August 2014. This consisted of 29,940 single AOD (340 to 1640nm) and AE<sub>SP</sub> observations.

Applying the Ångström power law, we converted single sun photometer AOD observations at 500 nm wavelength to AOD at 550nm, (AOD<sub>SP</sub>) using the  $AE_{SP}$  from the same measurement:

$$AOD_{SP} = AOD_{500} \left(\frac{\lambda_{550}}{\lambda_{500}}\right)^{-AE_{SP}} \tag{1}$$

220

# 221 2.3 Solar direct irradiance measurements and derived Broadband Aerosol Optical 222 Depth(BAOD)

Four actinometrical stations belonging to the "Diagnostic Service for Solar Radiation in Cuba" 223 224 provided the DNI observations used to derive the BAOD (Antuña et al., 2008; 2011). Table 2 lists the WMO code of the four stations, the geographical location and the number of observations 225 available for the periods at each station. Figure 1 shows the geographical location of the four 226 227 stations. The stations are equipped with Yanishevsky manual broadband solar radiation instruments supplied between the 1970s and 1980s by the Hydrometeorological Service of the 228 Soviet Union. The Yanishevski broadband pyrheliometer is the M-3 model, a thermo-battery 229 230 system with a 5° field of view connected to an analogic galvanometer, GSA-1MA or GSA-1MB model (GGO, 1957). 231

Calibrations of all the actinometrical instruments are conducted periodically by comparison with a master broadband pyrheliometer and a master pyranometer. Trained observers perform hourly manual observations from sunset to sunrise, following the standard methodologies and quality control procedures established for this set of instruments (GGO, 1957). Once manual measurement is conducted and recorded in a notebook designed for the purpose, all the measurement information is digitized using Actino version 2.0 software (Estevan, 2010; Antuña et al., 2008) of the "Diagnostic Service of the Broadband Aerosol & Clouds Optical Depth for Cuba" (http://www.goac.cu/eoc/), a public service provided by GOAC. The software includes a
robust quality control of input data, its processing and output quality control (Antuña et al., 2011).
Because of the ageing of the Soviet era instruments, the magnitude of the error associated to the
broadband pyrheliometers currently operating in Cuba is estimated to be around 10 %.

Based on the model parameterization of solar broadband irradiances, the integrated aerosol optical depth  $\delta_a$ , BAOD, can be obtained using equation (2), where direct normal solar irradiance (DNI) is measured and the remaining variables are determined independently (Gueymard, 1998).

247 
$$\delta_a = \left(\frac{1}{m_a}\right) \left[ ln \left(\frac{E_{0n}}{DNI}\right) - m_R \delta_c - m_w \delta_w - m_{nt} \delta_{nt} \right]$$
(2)

The individual atmospheric processes considered are: Rayleigh scattering, absorption by 248 ozone  $(O_3)$ , stratospheric and tropospheric nitrogen dioxide  $(NO_2)$ , uniformly mixed gases, water 249 vapor, and extinction (mostly scattering) by aerosols. The variables in equation (2) are: optical air 250 mass of aerosols  $(m_a)$ , Rayleigh scattering, uniformed mixed gases, O<sub>3</sub> absorption and 251 stratospheric NO<sub>2</sub> ( $m_R$ ), water vapor ( $m_w$ ) and tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> ( $m_{nt}$ ) and similarly the 252 corresponding broadband optical depths  $\delta$ . The method makes a series of assumptions, i.e., 253 Bouguer's law; in the strict sense that it is only valid for monochromatic radiation and is applied 254 to define broadband transmittance. For a detailed description of the derivation of equation (2) and 255 256 the parameterization of the variables, see Gueymard, (1998), and Fonte and Antuña (2012) and García et al. (2015) for the method's application to our data. 257

In order to avoid cloud contamination in BAOD retrieval, we used only DNI observations with the cloud-free condition in the line of sight to the sun, in other words with a clear line of sight between the broadband pyrheliometer and a region of 5° around the sun (GOAC, 2010). Furthermore, to avoid errors associated with high elevation zenith angles, causing larger air masses, DNI observations performed at 6:00 and 18:00 Local Time (LT) were not used in thepresent study.

The main errors of the method for determining BAOD are associated to instrumental errors 264 and the error when estimating the precipitable water (PW) component (Gueymard, 2013). In the 265 first case, in order to ensure the quality of the solar radiation dataset from the four actinometrical 266 267 stations used in this study, including DNI, they are regularly subject to a two-step quality control (Estevan et al., 2012). The first step applies the standard procedures designed for Yanishevski type 268 actinometrical instruments from the former Soviet Hydro-Meteorological Service (Kirilov et al., 269 270 1957). Data that pass this quality procedure are then evaluated following the standards set by the Baseline Solar Radiation Network - BSRN (Ohmura 1998, Long and Shi, 2006; 2008; Estevan et 271 al., 2012). 272

The size of the field of view of the broadband pyrheliometers is another potential source of error since, in certain cases, circumsolar radiation causes more radiation to be measured than expected. In such cases, the effect is an underestimation of BAOD. Nevertheless, this effect is low in general, except in specific conditions such as large air masses, in the presence of high aerosol loads or of large-particle aerosols (Gueymard, 1998).

Monthly mean PW values at the four actinometrical stations were used as input to derive monthly mean  $\delta_w$  values (Gueymard, 1998). For Camagüey, we calculated the monthly mean PW values from the sun photometer PW observations from 2008 to 2014 (García et al., 2015). For each of the three other stations, we calculated the monthly mean PW values using the vertical integrated water vapor (kg m<sup>-2</sup>) from spatially coincident ERA-Interim reanalysis between 1979 and 2013 (Barja et al., 2015). Taking into account all the above-mentioned errors, the total uncertainty of the method used to determine BAOD is in the order of  $10^{-2}$  (Gueymard, 1998).

# 285 **2.4** Coincidence criteria for MODIS and Sun photometer observations

Obtaining sufficient AOD satellite observations over land for climatological studies in 286 insular areas poses a challenge when compared to the amount of data usually available over 287 continental regions such as the US, Europe or China. The reason tends to be the small size of the 288 289 islands. In the case of Cuba, its particular narrow latitudinal and elongated longitudinal extension 290 combined with its irregular coasts renders the MODIS L3 product unsuitable for climatological studies. As can be seen in Figure 1, most of the 1° by 1° grid cells consist of both land and sea 291 areas, resulting from the merging AOD measured over the two surfaces. The red grid cell in Figure 292 293 1 is an example of the limitations of MODIS L3 products to represent land areas in the case of Cuba. In response to this, we plan to use the MODIS L2 product to produce aerosol climatology 294 for Cuba rather than L3, which is commonly used for this type of studies. In this regard, it is vital 295 to validate the single observations from MODIS L2 with the single sun photometer observations. 296 We designed and applied a method to maximize the available pairs of MODIS L2 and sun 297 photometer AOD and AE observations coincident in space and time, avoiding duplicating the use 298 299 of any of them. Additionally, in an effort to increase the amount of data, we tested the differences between Terra and Aqua L2 MODIS AOD and AE observations in order to determine the possible 300 301 combination of both Terra and Aqua in a single dataset.

Hereinafter, AOD<sub>t</sub>, AOD<sub>a</sub>, AOD<sub>ta</sub> and AOD<sub>SP</sub> will denote spatio-temporal AOD from collocated MODIS (Terra, Aqua and Terra + Aqua) and AERONET sun photometer data, respectively. Unless otherwise indicated, "AOD" refers to AOD at 550 nm wavelength. Similarly, AE from Terra, Aqua and Terra + Aqua derived using only the DB algorithm will be denoted as AE<sub>t</sub>, AE<sub>a</sub> and AE<sub>ta</sub>. 307 Given the challenges arising from the small amount of potential coincident spatial and temporal AOD<sub>t</sub> and AOD<sub>a</sub> with AOD<sub>SP</sub> and BAOD, as explained above, we used MODIS L2 data 308 to maximize the amount of available MODIS observations for comparison. Hereinafter, we call 309 these observations "single observation values"; using the same denomination for the instantaneous 310 sun photometer observations on each day and for hourly broadband pyrheliometer observations. 311 312 Another way to increase the amount of data was to combine  $AOD_t$  and  $AOD_a$  ( $AOD_{ta}$ ) for comparison with AOD<sub>SP</sub> and BAOD. In these cases, different observations of AOD<sub>SP</sub> and BAOD 313 match AOD<sub>t</sub> and AOD<sub>a</sub> because the time difference established for coincidence ( $\pm$  30 min) is lower 314 315 than the difference between the Terra and Aqua daily overpass times.

Spatial coincidence criteria were guaranteed by selecting all the AOD<sub>t</sub> and AOD<sub>a</sub> measured 316 inside the 25 km radius around the sun photometer site for the whole data period from each satellite 317 sensor. Table 3 shows the amount of spatial coincident information for non-negative AODt and 318 AOD<sub>a</sub> values. It shows the amount of data available for the whole period 2001 to 2015, when 319 broadband pyrheliometer observations at Camagüey are available, and 2008 to 2014, the period of 320 321 available sun photometer observations. There are at least twice as many available observations from Terra as from Aqua for the two periods. The greater number of available data from Terra 322 323 compared to Aqua is associated to the different overpass times of the two satellites over Cuba. Figure 2 shows that Terra overpasses occur in the mid to late morning before convective activity 324 begins, while the Aqua overpasses take place in the early afternoon when convection has already 325 326 begun, causing a higher number of observations to be discarded in AOD retrievals due to cloud 327 presence.

#### 328 **2.4.1** Collocated "Single observation" values and "daily mean" values

All Aqua and Terra overpass times in a radius of 25 km around Camagüev for the periods 329 330 2001 to 2015 (Terra) and 2002 to 2015 (Aqua) are shown in Figure 2. Overpass times, defined by the maximum and minimum values of all the 25 km spatially coincident MODIS observations, are 331 10:12 - 11:49 (LT) for Terra and 12:47 - 14:20 (LT) for Aqua. In addition, Figure 2 shows the 332 diurnal frequency of sun photometer observations from 2008 to 2014, and the diurnal frequency 333 334 of the BAOD observations for Camagüey for the period 1981 to 2015. Note that the BAOD histogram shows only hourly frequency values, since that is the time interval between the manual 335 pyrheliometric observations. 336

337 For each day, we compared the corresponding time of each single sun photometer measurement with the time of each single AOD<sub>t</sub> and AOD<sub>a</sub> observation located in a radius of 25 338 km around the sun photometer site (an area of almost 2,000 km<sup>2</sup>) and in the time window of  $\pm$  30 339 minutes between both types of observations. The former selection process includes, for each 340 satellite, the AOD<sub>t</sub> and AOD<sub>a</sub> values derived both with the DB and DT processing algorithms 341 separately, producing four independent bulk datasets, two for Aqua and two for Terra. We then 342 identified four different cases of matching data per day in the bulk coincident datasets. The first 343 consisted of days with only one AOD<sub>SP</sub> value and one AOD<sub>t</sub> (AOD<sub>a</sub>) coincident value, and the 344 345 second, only one AOD<sub>SP</sub> value coincident with multiple AOD<sub>t</sub> (AOD<sub>a</sub>) values each day. In the third case, only one AOD<sub>t</sub> (AOD<sub>a</sub>) value coincided with multiple AOD<sub>SP</sub> values. Finally, the fourth 346 case consisted of multiple AOD<sub>SP</sub> values coincident with multiple AOD<sub>t</sub> (AOD<sub>a</sub>) values. 347

Coincident cases were then selected for comparison, case by case. In the first instance, we selected all cases. In the second case, because of the MODIS instruments spatiotemporal sampling geometry, time differences between MODIS and sun photometer observations are in the order of one minute. As a result, only the criterion of the minimum distance between the positions of the 352  $AOD_t$  (AOD<sub>a</sub>) and the sun photometer was applied to determine the pair of coincident values, therefore not allowing any repeated AOD<sub>SP</sub> and AOD<sub>t</sub> (AOD<sub>a</sub>) values to be selected. Since it 353 consists of only one AOD<sub>t</sub> (AOD<sub>a</sub>) measurement and multiple AOD<sub>SP</sub> observations, in the third 354 case the distance is the same; hence the selection criteria was the minimum of the time differences 355 between AOD<sub>SP</sub> and AOD<sub>t</sub> (AOD<sub>a</sub>) observations. The fourth case, the most complicated, allowed 356 357 both criteria to be applied; the minimum in distance and time. No differences in the amount of coincident data were found when testing whether the order in which the two criteria were applied 358 had any impact. 359

360 Another approach, the most commonly used for comparison (Bennouna et al., 2011; Sayer et al., 2014), involves the average of all the AOD<sub>SP</sub> values in the interval of  $\pm$  30 minutes compared 361 to MODIS instrument overpass time (note that AOD<sub>t</sub> and AOD<sub>a</sub> averages are really the daily values 362 of MODIS) located in a radius of 25 km around the sun photometer. At least two single AOD<sub>SP</sub> 363 and two single AOD<sub>t</sub> (AOD<sub>a</sub>) observations were required to calculate the spatio-temporal average. 364 We applied a similar approach to calculate collocated daily means  $AE_{SP}$ ,  $AE_t$  and  $AE_a$ . The 365 procedures described above generated a series of collocated daily means of AOD<sub>SP</sub> versus AOD<sub>t</sub> 366  $(AOD_a)$  and  $AE_{SP}$  vs.  $AE_t$  (AE<sub>a</sub>). Hence, by combining the former generated series of AOD (AE) 367 368 for Terra and Aqua we produced the coincident (Terra + Aqua) dataset. The term *collocated daily* mean AOD will be used hereinafter although it should be stressed that this approach reduces the 369 number of observations generated by virtually a third. 370

After explaining the coincidence criteria adopted here, it is well known that this type of comparison shows major differences depending on the spatial and or temporal resolution taken for the MODIS sensor in relation to the ground-based instruments used (Santese et al., 2007; Levy et al., 2009; Bennouna et al., 2011, 2013). The justification for using a "single observations" dataset and a "collocated daily means" dataset separately to analyze this comparison is based on: a) the characteristics of the surface area under study, with nearby areas of water and land; b) the difference concerning how cloud cover affects data during the overpass time of the Terra and Aqua platforms; and c) the possibility of including the largest amount of data; d) the fact that only single observations can be compared in the case of BAOD pyrheliometer measurements.

380 **2.5** *Statistics* 

The statistics used in the present study are those commonly used (e.g., Sayer et al., 2014). 381 These are the root mean square error (RMSE), mean absolute error (MAE), median bias (BIAS), 382 the Pearson linear correlation coefficient (R), the number of coincident MODIS and sun 383 photometer cases (Cases) and the fraction (f) of the MODIS/AERONET AOD retrievals in 384 agreement within the expected uncertainty. Expected uncertainty, defined as a one standard 385 deviation confidence interval entails the sum of the absolute and relative AOD errors. Usually 386 referred to as "expected error, EE", it was applied in accordance with equation 3 (Sayer et al., 387 2014) 388

389

$$EE_{DT} = \pm (0.05 + 0.15 \,AOD) \tag{3}$$

The aim is to compare the performance of the DB and DT algorithms directly (Sayer et al., 2014). All of these statistical indicators were evaluated for the whole set of collocated AOD<sub>t</sub>, AOD<sub>a</sub>, AOD<sub>ta</sub> with AOD<sub>SP</sub>, and BAOD; AE<sub>t</sub>, AE<sub>a</sub>, AE<sub>ta</sub> with AE<sub>SP</sub>; as well as time frequencies (Figure 2) and histograms of these quantities. We also evaluated these statistics on a monthly scale for the AOD values.

395

**396 3. Results and Discussion.** 

397 This section is divided into four subsections. In the first subsection, we analyze in detail the main results from comparing the AOD satellite MODIS sensors and the sun photometer data 398 given by the statistical indicators and linear correlations, as a result of taking two different criteria, 399 two different retrieval AOD aerosol algorithms both for the Terra and Aqua platforms. Section 3.2 400 analyzes the same type of results but under the perspective of monthly values since they represent 401 402 the climatology of AOD and the associated uncertainties. Section 3.3 shows AE behavior and Section 3.4 analyzes the comparison of satellite MODIS data in relation to broadband aerosol 403 optical depth from solar radiation. 404

#### 405 **3.1** Comparison of AOD retrievals from sun photometer and MODIS satellite instruments.

As explained, we selected MODIS  $AOD_t (AOD_a)$  and sun photometer  $AOD_{SP}$  data based 406 on two different criteria for their comparison. Results are shown in Tables 4 and 5, corresponding 407 to collocated daily means and single observations, respectively. The values of all the statistics of 408 these two tables are extraordinarily similar, with analogous behavior for the different algorithm 409 and platforms. In truth, no substantial differences are found. It must be noted that Table 4 for 410 collocated daily means contains a third less data than Table 5 based on single observations. In 411 contrast, however, the latter data have a higher associated error than daily mean data. This result 412 413 cannot be foreseen a priori but clearly demonstrates that either criterion may be taken, since the result is basically the same. 414

Taking Table 5 together with Figure 3 of collocated daily mean values, we then analyze the different behavior of the two algorithms for the Terra and Aqua platforms, when AOD<sub>t</sub> (AOD<sub>a</sub>) from satellite are compared with the sun photometer, AOD<sub>SP</sub>. Figure 3 shows the density plots of the collocated daily mean AOD values from the sun photometer versus those of MODIS instruments for Terra, Aqua and combined, for DB (top plots) and DT (bottom plots) algorithms.

The least squares linear fit lines and equations are also shown in the figure while the correlation coefficients (R values) are in Table 5. In general, the plots show that low loading aerosols predominate and that scatter increases for higher aerosol loadings, with a slight overestimation of AOD<sub>t</sub> (AOD<sub>a</sub>) satellite data compared to AOD<sub>SP</sub>. In all cases, the slopes are between 1 and 0.9 and the intercepts are in the order of  $10^{-2}$  (with lower values for the DT algorithm), showing very good values of these parameters for Terra and Aqua for both the DT and DB algorithms.

Figure 3 shows that the DT algorithm displays generally better behavior than the DB 426 algorithm. The DT algorithm evidences more unified behavior as can be seen for the slope values 427 428 (0.96 for both Aqua and Terra) while DB changes, giving a value above 1 (1.069) for Terra and below 1 for Aqua (0.901). However, these differences are not very relevant since both algorithms 429 give almost identical R values, and the difference appears for the platforms, with higher values for 430 Aqua than for Terra (~0.78 and ~0.73, respectively). A compensation effect can be observed when 431 data are combined, since in this case the slope of the DB algorithm is closer to 1 than the DT 432 algorithm, although the intercept is higher (closer to 0 for DT algorithm). For combined data, the 433 434 two algorithms show a more similar behavior than for separate Aqua or Terra results. Analyzing Table 5, the magnitudes of the RMSE, MAE, BIAS and f statistics are lower for the DT than for 435 436 the DB algorithm (see the higher values of DB for Terra, column 1, and the more similar values in the other columns). As mentioned, the values of these four parameters show that the DT algorithm 437 presents a more unified behavior for both platforms than the DB, which has similar values for 438 439 Aqua but which change significantly for Terra.

Although the statistical numbers in the comparison depend on the area under study,
comparisons between areas are always possible. A recent validation of MODIS Collection 6 AOD<sub>a</sub>
(Aqua), derived using the DB algorithm, with AOD<sub>SP</sub> from six AERONET stations in

Central/South America (CSA) and seven in Eastern North America (ENA) was reported by Sayer et al. (2013). The number of pairs of collocated MODIS and AERONET daily averaged observations for CSA (ENA) was 3,032 (4155). Sun photometer data were averaged within the 30 minute MODIS overpass time and MODIS data were averaged in the 25 km radius around the sun photometer site, which makes the comparison appropriate. We selected the BIAS and R statistics in Table 1, which were defined as in the present study (Sayer et al., 2013).

We compare those statistics with the ones given in Tables 4 and 5, calculated for 449 Camagüey. The BIAS for the CSA (ENA) stations is -0.016 (0.0094), although those of Camagüey 450 451 for both single observations and collocated daily means are (-0.027 and -0.033), thus showing higher values for Camaguey and similar signs for CSA and the opposite for ENA. R values for 452 Camagüey for single observations and collocated daily means are 0.82 and 0.79, respectively, 453 lower by around 10 % (5 %) than the R values of 0.96 (0.86) for the CSA (ENA). However, it 454 should be noted that the number of cases used for the statistics at Camagüey was 419 for single 455 observation and 169 for collocated daily means, representing 6 % and 14 % of the 3,032 cases 456 457 used in the cited study. In addition, none of the stations in the CSA (ENA) regions were located in the Caribbean, but south and north (Sayer, 2018). Despite the significant difference in the amount 458 459 of cases used in both studies and the location of the six stations, results show reasonable agreement.

460 *3.2 Monthly means values and statistics* 

Given the close similarity in the results from single observations and collocated daily means data, it seems reasonable to evaluate monthly mean values based on only one of them, i.e., for the collocated daily means data. Figure 4 shows the monthly means (based on the mean of each month for every year of the measured period) and the statistics resulting from the comparison between AOD<sub>SP</sub> and AOD<sub>ta</sub> for both the DB and DT algorithms. Tables S1 and S2 (see supplementary material) also illustrate this comparison although they add separate information for Terra and Aqua (see supplementary material). In Figure 4a, the multiannual monthly means from the combined  $AOD_{ta}$  and  $AOD_{SP}$  for both the MODIS DB and DT algorithm are shown, providing an initial overview of aerosol AOD climatology in Camaguey. It can also be seen that the DT algorithm gives the best match with monthly mean  $AOD_{SP}$ .

471 The monthly RMSE and MAE plots in Figures 4b and 4c generally show increases, with the increase in the AOD<sub>ta</sub> for the DT algorithm and also for the DB algorithm, the exception being 472 the minimum in April for the DT algorithm (this means greater differences between satellite and 473 474 supplotometer in summer than in winter). These results are consistent with the fact that AOD uncertainty depends on the AOD itself (see eq. 3) and greater AOD variability in summer. The 475 AOD<sub>ta</sub> peaks for the DT algorithm in March in both RMSE and MAE are also present in the results 476 for AOD<sub>t</sub> and AOD<sub>a</sub>, separately, and the amount of cases available for the statistics is among the 477 highest of all the months seen in Tables S1 and S2 (see supplementary material). In Table S2, for 478 the DT algorithm, we can see that the number of cases of AOD<sub>ta</sub> from March to April drops by 55 479 %. However, something similar happens for the DB algorithm in Table S1, with the number of 480 AOD<sub>ta</sub> cases falling from March to April by 61 %. Sampling cannot therefore be seen as the cause 481 482 of the RMSE and MAE peaks for the DT algorithm. We plan to revisit this feature in future studies. In summer, RMSE and MAE show their maximum values associated to the maximum values of 483 the AOD resulting from Saharan dust reaching Cuba from across the Atlantic. The BIAS is 484 485 negative in summer for both Terra and Aqua AOD, showing that AOD<sub>t</sub> and AOD<sub>a</sub> observations have higher magnitudes than AOD<sub>SP</sub>. 486

Tabulated results of the comparison between AOD<sub>t</sub>, AOD<sub>a</sub> and AOD<sub>ta</sub> with AOD<sub>SP</sub> on a
monthly scale also show better results for the DB (see Table S1) than for the DT (Table S2)

algorithm. Here, we only discuss the results of the joint  $AOD_{ta}$  dataset using both the DT and DB algorithms. In Figures 4d, the BIAS for the DT algorithm is positive from December to May, a period of the year with predominant lower  $AOD_{ta}$  and  $AOD_{SP}$  values. During this period,  $AOD_{ta}$ underestimates  $AOD_{SP}$ . BIAS then becomes negative from June to November, which is when Saharan dust reaches the Caribbean basins. At the same time, the BIAS of the  $AOD_{ta}$  derived with the DB algorithm is negative for the whole year, with higher absolute values than those from the DT algorithm.

The correlation coefficient, R, in Figure 4e is the statistic which shows almost the same agreement for the DB and DT algorithm. However, the DT shows a higher number of R-values bearing higher magnitudes. R magnitudes remain over 0.5 almost the whole year round except in December and January when lower AOD values occur.

Figure 4f shows the fraction of the  $AOD_{ta}$  (f), in agreement with  $AOD_{SP}$  within the expected 500 uncertainty, showing its higher values over 80 % from November to January, in general for both 501 algorithms. This is the period of the year with the lowest monthly mean values of both AOD<sub>ta</sub> and 502 503 AOD<sub>SP</sub>. During the rest of the year, including the period of the Saharan dust arrivals, it shows its lowest values between 60 % and 75 % for the DT algorithm while values for DB below 50 % occur 504 505 in four of the months between June and October. The discontinuous blue line at f = 68 % denotes a one standard deviation confidence interval, selected to describe EE. The f values above that value 506 mean the algorithm works better than expected. All the statistics demonstrate that the DT algorithm 507 508 performs better than the DB for the region of study. However, the lowest R values for those months with the highest f values would seem to be contradictory. At present, we have no explanation for 509 510 this.

511 3.3 Comparison of Ångström Exponent by sun photometer and MODIS satellite instruments:

512 Figure 5 shows the frequency distribution of the coincident  $AE_{SP}$  with both  $AE_t$  and  $AE_a$ using the DB algorithm, as explained. As can be seen in the literature, the Ångström Exponent 513 varies between 0 and 2. Our Ångström Exponent data obtained from the AERONET sun 514 photometer measurements are within this range with a wide and smooth frequency distribution of 515 516 values and with a not well-defined maximum in the range 1.2 and 1.6. Neither AE<sub>t</sub> nor AE<sub>a</sub> present 517 any real distribution shape because there are practically no values below 1, with most being around AE = 1.5, followed by a second maximum at AE = 1.8. The first, 1.5, is a regional default value 518 for AE<sub>t</sub> and AE<sub>a</sub> (Hsu et al., 2013; Sayer et al., 2013) assumed by the DB algorithm in the case of 519 520 low AOD values (AOD<sub>t</sub> or AOD<sub>a</sub> < 0.2). The second is associated with the fact that the AE<sub>t</sub> and AE<sub>a</sub> values allowed by the aerosol optical models in Collection 6 are constrained between 0 and 521 1.8 to avoid unrealistic values (Sayer et al., 2013). 522

Table 6 shows the results of the comparison of coincident  $AE_t$ ,  $AE_a$  and  $AE_{ta}$  with  $E_{SP}$ . For 523 both single observations and collocated daily mean data the statistics were calculated for the two 524 525 options: the first including all values and the second excluding cases with AE=1.5 and 1.8. The 526 statistics in Table 6 for all values present similar values considering those derived by single observation or for collocated daily mean values as expected once we know the results for AOD, 527 528 although similar values also appear for Terra and Aqua (no clear distinction appears between Terra and Aqua). These statistics present very high values if compared with those shown for AOD. 529 Obviously, the R correlation coefficient presents very low values, which are below 0.5 (the poor 530 531 correlation is observed in the scatter plots similar to those in Figure 6, not shown here). Excluding AE<sub>t</sub> and AE<sub>a</sub> values equal to 1.5 or 1.8 entails no substantial difference, only lower BIAS values. 532 533 Overall, the results of the comparison showed the low quantitative skill of the AE<sub>t</sub> and AE<sub>a</sub> for this 534 site. One factor contributing to this result is that the AE from the MODIS DB algorithm displays

great uncertainty for low-AOD conditions, since AE is obtained as a gradient between two small
AOD numbers (Wagner and Silva, 2008).

# 537 3.4 Comparison of AOD between MODIS products and BAOD for the four Cuban 538 actinometrical stations.

Two main facts limit the number of available BAOD values coincident in time with  $AOD_t$ 539 540 and AOD<sub>a</sub>: the hourly time step between manual DNI observations and the required condition of a clear line of sight between the pyrheliometer and a region of 5° around the Sun. Consequently, 541 only one BAOD measurement could coincide each day with AOD<sub>t</sub>, and another with AOD<sub>a</sub> given 542 543 the time coincidence criteria. Table 7 lists the number of coincident AODt, AODa, AODta observations in space and time with BAOD both for the DB and DT algorithms for each of the 544 actinometrical stations. Since the amount of coincident observations at each station is low, we 545 decided to combine all the pairs of AOD<sub>t</sub>, AOD<sub>a</sub> and AOD<sub>t</sub> coincident with BAOD in the four 546 sites together in order to conduct the comparison. In addition, we did not consider the very few 547 cases with values of BAOD > 0.6, around 1 % of all cases, so as to avoid the possibility of 548 549 inadvertent cloud contamination.

Table 8 contains almost the same statistics used in previous comparison satellite-sun 550 551 photometer data (see Table 4 and 5), both for the DB and for DT algorithms for the four actinometrical stations together. The only statistic not included in Table 8 is f, the fraction of the 552 MODIS/AERONET AOD retrievals in agreement within the expected uncertainty, because such 553 554 uncertainty still has to be established for BAOD. We highlighted the best performing algorithm in bold for each of the statistics. The AOD<sub>a</sub> derived with the DB algorithm performs better than the 555 556 other three combinations of AODt, AODa, for DT and DB in accordance with all four statistics, 557 except for BIAS, where the best performing is still the DB algorithm, but for AOD<sub>t</sub>. However, in 558 general and taking into account the low number of data and the fact that we have single observations, the RMSE, MAE and BIAS for AOD<sub>t</sub>, AOD<sub>a</sub>, AOD<sub>ta</sub> derived with both DB and DT 559 algorithms remain in the same order of magnitude as earlier Tables 4 and 5, with the exception of 560 the low values of the correlation coefficient R. The BIAS shows almost similar behavior except 561 for its best performing value. This different behavior of algorithms and platforms with respect to 562 the earlier results of Table 4-5 is clearly shown by Figure 6 where the scatter plots of the BAOD 563 vs. AOD<sub>t</sub>, AOD<sub>a</sub>, and AOD<sub>ta</sub> are depicted. What is clear is the poor correlation given by the very 564 low values of the slope with respect to the value 1 and also the relatively high values of the intercept 565 566 in relation to 0, and hence the resulting low values of the R coefficient. BAOD shows a high uncertainty for low values of AOD (below 2, see this range over the X axis in the plots) which are 567 those prevalent in this area (1). 568

569

#### 570 4. Conclusions

571 This study addresses the comparisons of different sources of AOD and AE from ground-572 based sun photometer (AERONET level 2.0 data), MODIS instruments (Terra, Aqua, and Terra + 573 Aqua) and retrievals from direct normal solar irradiance observations in Cuba.

The comparison of spatial and temporal coincident single observations and collocated daily means of  $AOD_t$ ,  $AOD_a$ ,  $AOD_{ta}$  vs.  $AOD_{SP}$  shows, in general, a better performance for the Dark Target (DT) than for the Deep Blue (DB) algorithm for Camagüey. In particular we found: 1) small differences were found between  $AOD_t$  and  $AOD_a$ , thus justifying the combination of these observations in a single dataset for climatological studies; 2) Both DT and DB algorithms are better than expected (f around 80%) between November and January, but in other months f is on the order of one standard deviation (f = 68%) for DT and significantly lower for DB; 3) from linear correlation analysis, MODIS slightly overestimates AOD compared to the sun
photometers; 4) data from both MODIS instruments are well correlated with AERONET
AOD with regression slopes close to 1, with the DT algorithm outperforming the DB
algorithm; In addition, the comparison of multi-annual monthly means of AODta with
AODsp indicate better agreement with results from the DT algorithm (compared to DB),
consistent with the findings above.

The Ångström exponents  $AE_t$ ,  $AE_a$  and  $AE_{ta}$  do not show good agreement with the spatial and temporal coincident  $AE_{SP}$  values when the default-1.5 and the **constrained**-1.8 values are or are not considered. Those results corroborate the **limited skills** of the MODIS derived AE, **as indicated** in **previous studies**.

In the comparison of BAOD vs. AOD<sub>t</sub>, AOD<sub>a</sub>, AOD<sub>ta</sub>, where only individual observations can be compared, the statistics indicate larger uncertainties but of the same order of magnitude as the statistics of MODIS-photometer. Although correlations are very poor, these results support the potential of BAOD as a reliable source of aerosol information for climatological studies in areas that lack a sun photometer or any other surface aerosol measurement.

597

#### 598 **5. Acknowledgements:**

This work has been supported by the Cuban National Program "Meteorology and sustainable development for Cuba" research grant P211LH007-20 and by the Joint Agreement between the University of Valladolid, Spain, and the Cuban Meteorological Institute for aerosol research. JCAM wishes to thank Dr. Loraine Remer and Dr. Andrew Sayer for their contributions to understanding MODIS algorithms. This research has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Program under grant agreement No 654109
(ACTRIS-2). We acknowledge the funding provided by MINECO (CTM2015-66742-R) and by
the Junta de Castilla y León (VA100U14).

#### 608 **6. References:**

- Antuña, J. C., Fonte, A., Estevan, R., Barja, B., Acea, R., Antuña Jr.: J.C., Solar radiation data
  rescue at Camagüey, Cuba, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc*, **89**, 1507–1511.
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2008BAMS2368.1, 2008.
- Antuña J. C., Hernández, C., Estevan, R., Barja, B., Fonte, A., Hernández, T., Antuña Jr, J. C.:
- 613 Camagüey's solar radiation rescued dataset: preliminary applications, *Óptica Pura y*614 *Aplicada*, 44 (1), 43-48, 2011.
- Antuña, J. C., Estevan, R., Barja, B.: Demonstrating the Potential for First-Class Research in
- 616 Underdeveloped Countries: Research on Stratospheric Aerosols and Cirrus Clouds Optical
- 617 Properties, and Radiative Effects in Cuba (1988–2010), Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 93,

618 1017–1027. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00149.1</u>, 2012.

- Antuña-Marrero, J. C., De Frutos Baraja, A., Estevan Arredondo, R.: Joint aerosol research
  between Cuba and Spain proves fruitful, *EOS*, 97, doi:10.1029/2016EO060125, 2016.
- Barja, B., Rosas, J., Estevan, R.: Caracterización del contenido integral del vapor de agua
  atmosférico sobre Cuba obtenido mediante mediciones y modelación, Scientific Report,
  Grant 200.04070, 77 pp. (In Spanish, unpublished), 2015.
- Bennouna, Y. S., Cachorro, V. E., B., Toledano, C., Berjon, Prats, N., D Fuertes, González, R.;
  Rodrigo, R., Torres, B., and De Frutos, A. M.: Comparison of atmospheric aerosol
  climatologies over southwestern Spain derived from AERONET and MODIS. Remote
  Sens. Environ. 115, 1272-1284, 2011, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2011.01.011.
- Bennouna, Y. S., Cachorro, V. E., Torres, B., Toledano, C., Berjon, A., de Frutos, A. M. and
  Alonso Fernandez-Coppel, I.: Atmospheric turbidity determined by the annual cycle of the

630	aerosol optical depth over north-center Spain from ground (AERONET) and satellite
631	(MODIS). Atmos. Environ. 67, 353-364, 2013. doi:10.016./j.atmosenv.2012.10.065.
632	Denjean, C., Formenti, P., Desboeufs, K., Chevaillier, S., Triquet, S., Maillé, M., Cazaunau, M.,
633	Laurent, B., Mayol-Bracero, O.L :, Vallejo, P., Quiñones, M., Gutierrez-Molina, I. E.,
634	Cassola, F., Prati, P., and Andrews, E., and Ogren, J. : Size distribution and optical
635	properties of Africanm mineral dust after intercontinental transport, J. Geophys. Res., 121,
636	7117–7138, doi:10.1002/2016JD024783. 2016.
637	Eck, T., Holben, B., Reid, J. Dubovik, O.: Wavelength dependence of the optical depth of biomass
638	burning, urban, and desert dust aerosols. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 31333_31349, 1999.
639	Estevan, R.: Certificación de depósito legal facultativo de obras protegidas; software: "Actino
640	2.0"; CENDA 218-2010. (In Spanish), 2010.
641	Estevan R., Antuña, J.C., Barja, B., Hernández, C.E., Hernández, T., García, F., Rosas, J., Platero,
642	I. Y.: Climatología de la Radiación solar en Camagüey 1981 – 2010, Scientific Report,
643	Grant 01301216, 41 pp. (In Spanish, unpublished), 2016.
644	Fonte, A., Antuña, J.C.: Caracterización del espesor óptico de banda ancha de los aerosoles
645	troposféricos en Camagüey, Cuba, Revista Cubana de Meteorología, 17, No. 1, pp. 15-26,
646	2011.
647	García, F., Estevan, R., Antuña-Marrero, J. C., Rosas, J., Platero, I. Y., Antuña-Sánchez, J., C.
648	Díaz, N.: Determinación de la Línea Base del Espesor Óptico de Aerosoles de Banda Ancha
649	y comparación con datos de fotómetro solar. Óptica Pura y Aplicada, 48(4), 249-258.doi:
650	10.7149/OPA.48.4.249, 2015.
651	GGO: Manual for the setup and operation of solar radiation instruments. Ed. Guidrometeoizdat,
652	124 pp. (In Russian), 1957.

- Gkikas, A., Hatzianastassiou, N., Mihalopoulos, N., Katsoulis, V., Kazadzis, S., Pey, J., Querol,
  X., and Torres, O.: The regime of intense desert dust episodes in the Mediterranean based on
  contemporary satellite observations and ground measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *13*(23),
  12135-12154, doi:10.5194/acp-13-12135-2013, 2013.
- Gkikas, A., Basart, S., Hatzianastassiou, N., Marinou, E., Amiridis, V., Kazadzis, S., Pey, J.,
  Querol, X., Jorba, O., Gassó, S., and Baldasano, J. M.: Mediterranean desert dust outbreaks
  and their vertical structure based on remote sensing data, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 15,
  27675-27748, doi:10.5194/acpd-15-27675-2015, 2015.
- 661 GOAC: Manual de Observaciones Actinométricas, 37 pp. (In Spanish, unpublished), 2010.
- Gueymard, C.A.: Turbidity determination from broadband irradiance observations: A detailed
  multicoefficient approach. *J. Appl. Meteorol.* 37: 414-435, 1998.
- Gueymard, C. A.: Aerosol turbidity derivation from broadband irradiance observations:
  Methodological advances and uncertainty analysis. *Solar 2013 Conf.*, Baltimore, MD,
  American Solar Energy Soc., 8 pp., 2013.
- 667 Holben, B. N., Tanré, D., Smirnov, A., Eck, T. F., Slutsker, I., Abuhassan, N., Newcomb, W. W.,
- 668 Schafer, J., Chatenet, B., Lavenue, F., Kaufman, Y. J., Castle, J. V., Setzer, A., Markham,
- B., Clark, D., Frouin, R., Halthore, R., Karnieli, A., O'Neill, N. T., Pietras, C., Pinker, R.
- T., Voss, K., Zibordi, G.: An emerging ground-based aerosol climatology: Aerosol optical
  depth from AERONET, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **106**, 12,067–12,097, 2001.
- Hsu, N. C., Tsay, S. C., King, M. D., Herman, J. R.: Aerosol Properties Over Bright-Reflecting
  Source Regions, *IEEE T. Geosci. Remote*, 42, 557–569, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2004.824067,
  2004.
- Hsu, N. C., Tsay, S. C., King, M. D., Herman, J. R.: Deep blue retrievals of Asian aerosol
  properties during ACE-Asia, *IEEE T. Geosci. Remote*, 44, 3180–3195,
  doi:10.1109/TGRS.2006.879540, 2006.

678	Hsu, N. C., Jeong, MJ., Bettenhausen, C., Sayer, A. M., Hansell, R., Seftor, C. S., Huang, J.,
679	Tsay, SC.: Enhanced Deep Blue aerosol retrieval algorithm: the second generation, J.
680	Geophys. Res., 118, 9296–9315, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50712, 2013.
681	IPCC: Climate Change 2013. The Physical Science Basis -Contribution of Working Group I to
682	the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Stocker
683	TF, Qin D, Plattner G-K, Tignor M, Allen SK, Boschung J, Nauels A, Xia Y, Bex V,
684	Midgley PM. (eds). Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK and New York, NY,
685	2013.
686	Kahn, R. A., M. J. Garay, D. L. Nelson, R. C. Levy, M. A. Bull, D. J. Diner, J. V. Martonchik, E.
687	G. Hansen, L. A. Remer, and D. Tanré, Response to "Toward unified satellite climatology
688	of aerosol properties: 3. MODIS versus MISR versus AERONET", J. Quant. Spectrosc.
689	Radiat. Transfer, 112(5), 901-909, doi:10.1016/j.jqsrt.2010.11.001, 2011.
690	Kaufman, Y. J., Wald, A. E., Remer, L. A., Gao, BC., Li, RR. and Flynn, L.: The MODIS 2.1-
691	$\mu$ m channel-correlation with visible reflectance for use in remote sensing of aerosol, <i>IEEE</i>
692	T. Geosci. Remote, 35, 1286–1298, doi:10.1109/36.628795, 1997a.
693	Kaufman, Y. J., Tanré, D., Remer, L. A., Vermote, E. F., Chu, A., Holben, B. N.: Operational
694	remote sensing of tropospheric aerosol over land from EOS moderate resolution imaging
695	spectroradiometer, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 17051–17068, doi:10.1029/96JD03988, 1997b.
696	Kaufman, Y. J., Koren, I., Remer, L., Tanré, D., Ginoux, P., and Fan, S.: Dust transport and
697	deposition observed from the Terra-Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer
698	(MODIS) spacecraft over the Atlantic Ocean. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 110, D10S12, 2005.

- Kirilov, T. B., Vlasov, Yu. B., Flaum, M. Ya.: Manual para la operación e instalación de instrumentos de radiación solar, Ed.Guidrometeoizdat, Leningrad, 124 pp. (In Russsian), 1957.
- 702 Knippertz, P., Stuut, J.-B.W., 2014. Chapter 1 Introduction. In: Knippertz, P., Stuut, J.-B.W.
- (Eds.), Mineral Dust: A Key Player in the Earth System. Springer, New York, pp. 1–14,
   http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-8978-3, 2014.
- Levy, R. C., Remer, L., Mattoo, S., Vermote, E., and Kaufman, Y.: Second-generation operational algorithm: Retrieval of aerosol properties over land from inversion of moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer spectral reflectance. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, **112**, D13211, 2007.
- Levy, R. C., Leptoukh, G., Kahn, R., Zubko, V., Gopalan, A., and Remer, L.: A critical look at
  deriving monthly aerosol optical depth from satellite data. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 47(8), 2942–2956, 2009.
- Levy, R. C., Remer, L. A., Kleidman, R. G., Mattoo, S., Ichoku, C., Kahn, R., Eck, T. F.: Global
  evaluation of the Collection 5 MODIS dark-target aerosol products over land, *Atmos*.

714 *Chem. Phys.*, **10**, 10399-10420, doi:10.5194/acp-10-10399-2010, 2010.

- Levy, R. C., Mattoo, S., Munchak, L. A., Remer, L. A., Sayer, A. M., Patadia, F., Hsu, N. C.: The
  Collection 6 MODIS aerosol products over land and ocean, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 6, 29893034, doi:10.5194/amt-6-2989-2013, 2013.
- Levy, R. C., Munchak, L.A., Mattoo, S., Patadia, F., Remer, L.A., and Holz, R. E.: Towards a
  long-term global aerosol optical depth record: applying a consistent aerosol retrieval
  algorithm to MODIS and VIIRS-observed reflectance. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 4083–4110,
- 721 2015. doi:10.5194/amt-8-4083-2015.

722	Long, C. N., Shi, Y.: The QCRad Value Added Product: Surface Radiation Measurement Quality
723	Control Testing, Including Climatology Configurable Limits, Office of Biological and
724	Environmental Research, U.S. Department of Energy, pp. 69, 2006.
725	Long, C. N., Shi, Y.: An Automated Quality Assessment and Control Algorithm for Surface
726	Radiation Observations. The Open Atmospheric Science Journal, 2, 23-37, 2008.
727	Martínez, E., Campos, A., Borrajero, I., Vázquez, A.: Algunos índices de turbidez del aire en la
728	Ciudad de la Habana, Revista Cubana de Meteorología, 1 (1), pp. 57-60, 1988.
729	Mielonen, T., Levy, R. C., Aaltonen, V., Komppula, M., de Leeuw, G., Huttunen, J., Lihavainen,
730	H., Kolmonen, P., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Arola, A.: Evaluating the assumptions of surface
731	reflectance and aerosol type selection within the MODIS aerosol retrieval over land: the
732	problem of dust type selection, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 201-214, doi:10.5194/amt-4-201-
733	2011, 2011.
734	Mishchenko M.I., Li Liu, Geogdzhayev, I. V., Travis, L. D., Cairns, B., Lacis, A. A.: Toward
735	unified satellite climatology of aerosol properties.: 3. MODIS versus MISR versus
736	AERONET, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 111, 540-552, 2010.
737	Ohmura, A., Dutton, E., Forgan, B., Froehlich, C., Gilgen, H., Hegner, H., Heimo, A., Koenig-
738	Langlo, G., McArthur, B., Mueller, G., Philipona, R., Pinker, R., Whitlock, C., Wild, M.:
739	Baseline Surface Radiation Network (BSRN/WCRP), a new precision radiometry for
740	climate research. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 79, 2115-2136, 1998.
741	Papadimas, C.D., Hatzianastassiou, N., Mihalopoulos, N., Kanakidou, M., Katsoulis, B. D., and
742	Vardavas, I.: Assessment of the MODIS Collections C005 and C004 aerosol optical depth
743	products over the Mediterranean basin, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 2987-2999;
744	doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-2987-2009, 2009.

- Prospero, J. M., Lamb, P. J.: African droughts and dust transport to the Caribbean: Climate change
  implications. *Science*, **302**, 1024–1027, 2003.
- Prospero, J. M., Mayol-Bracero, O. L.: Understanding the transport and impact of African dust on
  the Caribbean Basin, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, **94**(9), 1329–1335, 2013.
- Prospero, J. M., Collard, F.-X., Molinié, J., Jeannot, A.: Characterizing the annual cycle of African
  dust transport to the Caribbean Basin and South America and its impact on the environment
  and air quality, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 29, 757–773, doi:10.1002/2013GB004802,
  2014.
- 753 Remer, L. A., Tanré, D., Kaufman, Y. J., Ichoku, C., Mattoo, S., Levy, R., Chu, D. A., Holben, B.
- N., Dubovik, O., Smirnov, A., Martins, J.V., Li, R. R., Ahmad, Z.: Validation of MODIS
  aerosol retrieval over ocean. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29(12), 1618, 2002.
- 756 Remer, L. A., Kaufman, Y. J, Tanre, D., Mattoo, S., Chu, D. A., Martins, J. V., Li, R. R., Ichoku,
- C., Levy, R. C., Kleidman, R. G., Eck, T.F., Vermote, E., Holben, B. N.: The MODIS
  aerosol algorithm, products, and validation". *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 62(4), 947-973, 2005.
- 759 Remer, L. A., Tanré, D., Kaufman, Y., Levy, R., and Mattoo, S.: Algorithm for remote sensing of
- 760 tropospheric aerosol from MODIS: Collection 005. <u>https://modis-</u>
   761 <u>images.gsfc.nasa.gov/\_docs/MOD04:MYD04\_ATBD\_C005\_rev1.pdf</u>.
- Sayer, A. M., Hsu, N. C., Bettenhausen, C., Jeong, M.-J.: Validation and uncertainty estimates for
   MODIS Collection 6 "Deep Blue" aerosol data, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 118, 7864–7872,
   doi:10.1002/jgrd.50600, 2013.
- 765 Sayer, A. M., Munchak, L. A., Hsu, N. C., Levy, R. C., Bettenhausen, C., Jeong, M.-J.: MODIS
- 766 Collection 6 aerosol products: Comparison between Aqua's e-Deep Blue, Dark Target, and

- "merged" data sets, and usage recommendations, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119, 13,965–
  13,989, doi:10.1002/2014JD022453, 2014.
- Santese, M., De Tomasi, M. F. and, Perrone, M. R.: AERONET versus MODIS aerosol parameter
  at different spatial resolutions over southeast Italy, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D10214,
  doi:10.1029/2006JD007742, 2007.
- Seinfeld, J. H. and S. N. Pandis.: Atmospheric chemistry and physics: from air pollution to climate
  change. 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., ISBN: 9781118947401, 1120 pp., 2016.
- Smirnov, A., Holben, B. N., Eck, T. F., Dubovik, O., Slutsker, I.: Cloud-screening and quality
  control algorithms for the AERONET database, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, **73**(3), 337–349,
  2000.
- Swap, R., Garstang, M., Greco, S., Talbot, R., Kallberg, P.: Saharan dust in the Amazon basin. *Tellus*, 44B, 133–149, 1992.
- Tanré, D., Kaufman, Y. J., Herman, M., Mattoo, S.: Remote sensing of aerosol properties over
  oceans using the MODIS/EOS spectral radiances, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 16971–16988,
  1997.
- 782 Velasco-Merino, C., Mateos, D., Toledano, C.; Prospero, J. M., Molinie, J., Euphrasie-Clotilde,
- L., González, R., Cachorro, V. e., Calle, A., and De Frutos, A. M.: Impact of long-range
  transport over the Atlantic Ocean on Saharan dust optical and microphysical properties. *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Disc.*, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2017-1089, 2017
- 786 Wagner, F., and Silva A. M.: Some considerations about Ångström exponent distributions. *Atmos.*
- 787 *Chem. Phys.*, **8**, 481–489, 2008.

788	Witte, J. C., A.R. Douglass, A. da Silva, O. Torres, R.C. Levy, and B.N. Duncan, (2011). NASA
789	A-Train and Terra observations of the 2010 Russian wildfires, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11,
790	9287-9301, doi:10.5194/acp-11-9287-2011, 2011.
791	Yu, H., M. Chin, T. Yuan, H. Bian, L. A. Remer, J. M. Prospero, A. Omar, D. Winker, Y. Yang,
792	Y. Zhang, Z. Zhang and C. Zhao, The fertilizing role of African dust in the Amazon
793	rainforest: A first multiyear assessment based on data from Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and
794	Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 1984–1991,
795	doi:10.1002/2015GL063040, 2015.
796	Zhao, T. XP., Stowe, L. L., Smirnov, A., Crosby, D., Sapper, J., McClain, C. R.: Development
797	of a global validation package for satellite oceanic aerosol optical thickness retrieval based
798	on AERONET observations and its application to NOAA/NESDIS operational aerosol
799	retrievals, J. Atmos. Sci., 59, 294–312, 2002.
800	
801	
802	
803	
804	
805	
806	
807	
808	
809	
810	
811	
812	
813	

814 Tables:

815

Table 1: Aerosol products from the MODIS Collection 6 dataset used in the present study

Product	Description
Deep_Blue_Aerosol_Optical_Depth_550_	Deep Blue AOT at 0.55 micron for land with higher quality data
Land_Best_Estimate	(Quality flag=2,3)
Deep_Blue_Angstrom_Exponent_Land	Deep Blue Angstrom Exponent for land with all quality data
	(Quality flag=1,2,3)
Optical_Depth_Land_And_Ocean	AOT at 0.55 micron for both ocean (Average) (Quality flag=1,2,3)
	and land (corrected) (Quality flag=3)

817

- 818
- 819 Table 2: Information about Cuban actinometrical stations operating under the Solar Radiation Diagnostic Service
- 820 (SRDS). Available number of BAOD observations included in column 6 and the period covered in the last column.

Code	Station Name	Latitude	Longitude	Height (m)	No. Obs.	Period
78355	Camagüey (CMW)	21.42	-77.85	122 m	2495	2001-2015
78330	Jovellanos (JVN)	22.80	-81.14	23 m	1182	2010-2015
78342	Topes de Collantes (TPC)	21.92	-80.02	766 m	1358	2011-2015
78321	Santa Fé (LFE)	21.73	-82.77	32 m	1756	2011-2015

821

822

Table 3: Number of available non-negative AOD<sub>a</sub>, AOD<sub>t</sub>, AE<sub>a</sub> and AE<sub>t</sub> data spatially coincident with the Camagüey sunphotometer in a radius of 25 km for each retrieval algorithm, DB and DT for the whole period 2001-2015, as well as the period 2008-2014, when sunphotometer data, AOD<sub>SP</sub> and AE<sub>SP</sub>, are available.

2001-2015

AE

8111

3909

DT

AOD

6311

2869

DB

AOD

6884

2445

2008-2014

AE

4024

1534

DT

AOD

3166

2093

DB

AOD

3418

1329

Period

Terra

Aqua

Algorithm

**Parameter** 

826

827

- 829
- 830
- 831
- 0.21
- 832

833 Table 4: Statistics of the comparison between collocated daily means of AOD<sub>t</sub> and AOD<sub>a</sub> with AOD<sub>SP</sub> and the

# 834 combined $AOD_{ta}$ .

	<b>AOD</b> <sub>SP</sub> v	vs. AODt	AOD <sub>SP</sub> v	s. AOD <sub>a</sub>	AOD <sub>SP</sub> vs. AOD <sub>ta</sub>		
	DB	DT	DB	DT	DB	DT	
RMSE	0.084	0.060	0.065	0.062	0.078	0.061	
MAE	0.062	0.045	0.046	0.047	0.056	0.046	
BIAS	-0.053	-0.001	-0.033	0.006	-0.046	0.002	
R	0.730	0.729	0.785	0.779	0.741	0.753	
f	0.656	0.803	0.763	0.795	0.694	0.800	
Cases	311	335	169	254	480	589	

835

836

837 Table 5: Statistics of the comparison between collocated single observation of AOD<sub>t</sub> and AOD<sub>a</sub> with AOD<sub>SP</sub> and

 $838 \quad \ \ combined \ AOD_{ta} \, .$ 

	AOD <sub>SP</sub> v	s. AOD <sub>t</sub>	AOD <sub>SP</sub> v	s. AOD <sub>a</sub>	AOD <sub>SP</sub> vs. AOD <sub>ta</sub>		
	DB	DT	DB	DT	DB	DT	
RMSE	0.081	0.061	0.063	0.064	0.076	0.062	
MAE	0.059	0.046	0.044	0.050	0.054	0.047	
BIAS	-0.048	0.007	-0.027	0.017	-0.042	0.010	
R	0.716	0.701	0.817	0.794	0.744	0.742	
f	0.664	0.773	0.773	0.784	0.699	0.777	
Cases	880	900	419	500	1299	1400	

839

840

841 Table 6: Statistics of the comparison between AE<sub>t</sub>, AE<sub>a</sub> and AE<sub>ta</sub> with AE<sub>SP</sub> for single observations and daily mean

842 values.

	Single observations		Singl (Excep	ingle observations scept AE 1.5 & 1.8)		Colloc	Collocated daily means		Collocated daily means (Except AE 1.5 & 1.8)			
	AEt	AEa	AEta	AEt	AEa	AEta	AEt	AEa	AEta	AEt	AEa	AEta
RMSE	0.637	0.692	0.658	0.575	0.609	0.587	0.637	0.659	0.645	0.548	0.578	0.561
MAE	0.494	0.553	0.516	0.446	0.496	0.464	0.490	0.512	0.498	0.431	0.466	0.445
BIAS	-0.327	-0.337	-0.331	-0.129	-0.101	-0.119	-0.398	-0.384	-0.393	-0.189	-0.139	-0.167
R	-0.187	-0.426	-0.272	-0.191	-0.444	-0.269	-0.259	-0.414	-0.308	-0.124	-0.400	-0.236
Cases	615	374	989	353	189	542	311	169	480	172	120	292

843

Station	BAOD v	vs. AOD <sub>t</sub>	BAOD v	vs. AOD <sub>a</sub>	BAOD vs. AOD <sub>ta</sub>		
Station:	DB	DT	DB	DT	DB	DT	
Camagüey	166	171	66	79	232	250	
Topes de Collantes	112	138	49	76	161	214	
Jovellanos	65	65	35	34	100	99	
La Fe	34	66	46	85	80	151	
All combined	377	440	196	274	573	714	

845 Table 7: Number of coincident cases of AOD<sub>t</sub>, AOD<sub>a</sub>, AOD<sub>ta</sub> with BAOD both for the DB and for DT algorithms.

847 Table 8: Statistics of the comparison between the single observations of BAOD at the four actinometrical stations
848 coincident in space and time with the single observation (L2) of AOD<sub>t</sub>, AOD<sub>a</sub> and AOD<sub>ta</sub>. In bold, the values of best
849 agreement.

	Camagüey, La Fe, Topes de Collantes & Jovellanos									
	BAOD w	vs. AOD <sub>t</sub>	BAOD w	vs. AOD <sub>a</sub>	BAOD vs. AOD <sub>ta</sub>					
	DB	DT	DB	DT	DB	DT				
RMSE	0.080	0.087	0.073	0.088	0.078	0.088				
MAE	0.055	0.063	0.048	0.066	0.052	0.064				
BIAS	0.001	0.027	0.014	0.049	0.005	0.035				
R	0.455	0.325	0.501	0.417	0.468	0.355				
Cases	373	436	191	268	564	704				

860 Figure and Captions:



**Figure 1:** Map of Cuba locating the stations where the sun photometer and the four broadband

864 pyrheliometer observations are conducted.



Figure 2: Frequencies of the time of the day (Local Time) overpass of Terra and Aqua (blue and red respectively) Camagüey's sun photometer site in a radius of 25 km for the period 2001 to 2015. In green the time frequencies for the Camagüey's sun photometer observations in the period 2008 to 2014. In addition, the time frequencies for the direct radiation observations used to calculate the BAOD. The bar width is 10 minutes for Terra, Aqua and the sun photometer and 1 hour for the BAOD.



Figure 3: Collocated "daily mean" density scatter plots of the coincident AOD observations from
the sun photometer and Terra and Aqua MODIS instruments for DB and DT
algorithms.: a) to c) AOD<sub>SP</sub> vs AODt, AODa and AODta respectively for DB
algorithm; d) to f) Idem for DT algorithm. The data density is represented by the color
scale, showing the number of data points located in a particular area of the plot. Linear
regression is given by the black discontinuous line and the corresponding equation.
The number of data points appears in the right bottom.



Figure 4: Monthly means and statistics (RMSE, MAE.....) resulting from the comparison
between AOD<sub>SP</sub> and AOD<sub>ta</sub> for both DB and DT algorithms: a) Monthly means of the
AOD<sub>SP</sub> and AOD<sub>ta</sub> for both DB and DT algorithms; b) RMSE for the comparison
between AOD<sub>SP</sub> and AOD<sub>ta</sub> for both DB and DT algorithms; c) Idem for MAE, d) for
BIAS, e) for R and f) for f. The blue discontinuous line at f= 68 % represent one
standard deviation confidence interval for the EE indicator.



Figure 5: Frequency distribution of the Angstrom exponent (AE) values from both MODIS instruments Terra and Aqua and the sun photometer coincident in ± 30 minutes and 25 km radius around Camagüey.



Figure 6: Single observations density scatter plots of the coincident BAOD observations from the
broadband pyrheliometer and Terra and Aqua MODIS instruments for DB and DT
algorithms.: a) to c) BAOD vs. AODt, AODa and AODta respectively for DB
algorithm; d) to f) Idem for DT algorithm. The data density is represented by the color
scale, showing the number of data points located in a particular area of the plot.
Linear regression line is shown by the black discontinuous line and the corresponding
equation. The number of data points appears in the right bottom.