Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2017-359-RC3, 2017 © Author(s) 2017. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



## *Interactive comment on* "Bayesian Dark Target Algorithm for MODIS AOD retrieval over land" by Antti Lipponen et al.

## Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 29 November 2017

This manuscript presents a statistical method of aerosol (plus surface) retrieval from the multispectral satellite measurements, it is very well written with lucid explanations and convincing statistics. I have no objection if this paper is being published in present form. The only suggestion I have is to make it clear that "the retrieval is carried out simultaneously in all the [dark land] pixels of a granule" (page 22 line 4). The average retrieval time of "one minute per granule" only applies to the subset retrieval of dark land pixels. That being said, I do have a general comment to make about such statistical approach (another recent example is Hashimoto and Nakajima, doi:10.1002/2016JD025698). Usually, this kind of approach involves a first-guess of the retrievals, constrains like spatial smoothness, error statistics of the involved variables/processes, and an iterative numerical solver. Once better retrieval is accomplished by such method, the

C1

question puzzles me is what is the key factor for this success – is it due to the accurate ancillary data used (like the first-guess of surface reflectance and aerosol parameters) or the power of the statistical approach (including constrains) itself? Is it possible that the non-statistical method would be as good as the statistical one if the same good a priori data (like the surface albedo) is used? Of course, applying a good first-guess is an integral part of the statistical algorithm, and I am not against using any better data if available (even the ones used for validation), but I am just not convinced that the statistical method is much better than the simple and independent non-statistical algorithm (like the MODIS Dark Target algorithm), given that much less ancillary data are required by the latter while few physics insights are added by the former. To me, getting a good estimate of the error statistics and a priori data is not easier than the retrieval itself, and the estimate of uncertainties is quite uncertain.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2017-359, 2017.