2

# The influence of instrumental line shape degradation on NDACC gas retrievals: total column and profile

- Youwen Sun<sup>1, 3)+</sup>, Mathias Palm<sup>2)+</sup>, Cheng Liu<sup>3, 4, 1)\*</sup>, Frank Hase<sup>5)</sup>, David Griffth<sup>6)</sup>,
  Christine Weinzierl<sup>2)</sup>, Christof Petri<sup>2)</sup>, Wei Wang<sup>1)</sup>, and Justus Notholt<sup>2)</sup>
- 5 (1 Key Laboratory of Environmental Optics and Technology, Anhui Institute of Optics
- 6 and Fine Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230031, China)
- 7 (2 University of Bremen, Institute of Environmental Physics, P. O. Box 330440, 28334
- 8 Bremen, Germany)
- 9 (3 Center for Excellence in Urban Atmospheric Environment, Institute of Urban
- 10 Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xiamen 361021, China)
- 11 (4 University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, China)
- 12 (5 Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Institute for Meteorology and Climate
- 13 Research (IMK-ASF), Karlsruhe, Germany)
- 14 (6 School of Chemistry, University of Wollongong, Northfields Ave, Wollongong, NSW,
- 15 2522, Australia)
- 16 +These two authors contributed equally to this work
- 17 Abstract:

We simulated Instrumental line shape (ILS) degradations with respect to typical 18 19 types of misalignment, and compared their influence on each NDACC (Network for Detection of Atmospheric Composition Change) gas. The sensitivities of total column, 20 root mean square of fitting residual (RMS), total random uncertainty, total systematic 21 22 uncertainty, total uncertainty, degrees of freedom for signal (DOFs), and profile with 23 respect to different levels of ILS degradation for all current standard NDACC gases, i.e., O<sub>3</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, HCl, HF, ClONO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, CO, N<sub>2</sub>O, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, and HCN, were investigated. 24 The influence of an imperfect ILS on NDACC gases retrieval were assessed, and the 25 consistency under different meteorological conditions and solar zenith angles (SZA) 26 27 were examined. The study concluded that the influence of ILS degradation can be approximated by the linear sum of individual modulation efficiency (ME) amplitude 28 influence and phase error (PE) influence. The PE influence is of secondary 29 30 importance compared with the ME amplitude. Generally, the stratospheric gases are

Correspondence to: Cheng Liu (chliu81@ustc.edu.cn)

31 more sensitive to ILS degradation than the tropospheric gases, and the positive ME 32 influence is larger than the negative ME. For a typical ILS degradation (10%), the total columns of stratospheric gases O<sub>3</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, HCl, HF, and ClONO<sub>2</sub> changed by 33 34 1.9%, 0.7%, 4%, 3%, and 23%, respectively. While the columns of tropospheric gases CH<sub>4</sub>, CO, N<sub>2</sub>O, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, and HCN changed by 0.04%, 2.1%, 0.2%, 1.1%, and 0.75%, 35 respectively. In order to suppress the fractional difference in total column for ClONO<sub>2</sub> 36 37 and other NDACC gases within 10% and 1%, respectively, the maximum positive ME 38 degradations for O<sub>3</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, HCl, HF, ClONO<sub>2</sub>, CO, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, and HCN should be less than 6%, 15%, 5%, 5%, 5%, 5%, 9%, and 13%, respectively; the maximum negative 39 40 ME degradations for O<sub>3</sub>, HCl, and HF should be less than 6%, 12%, and 12%, respectively; the influence of ILS degradation on CH4 and N2O can be regarded as 41 42 negligible.

43

#### 44 Key words: NDACC, FTIR, Instrumental line shape, Profile retrieval

45 **1 Introduction** 

In order to achieve consistent results between different FTIR (Fourier transform 46 47 infrared) sites, the TCCON (Total Carbon Column Observing Network, http://www.tccon.caltech.edu/) and NDACC (Network for Detection of Atmospheric 48 49 Composition Change, http://www.ndacc.org/) have developed strict data acquisition 50 and retrieval methods to minimize site to site differences (Hase et al., 2012; Wunch et al., 2010 and 2011; Washenfelder, 2006; Messerschmidt et al., 2010; Kurylo, 1991; 51 Davis et al., 2001; Schneider, et al., 2008; Kohlhepp et al., 2011; Hannigan et al., 2009; 52 53 Vigouroux et al., 2008 and 2015). Interferograms are acquired with similar 54 instruments operated with common detectors, acquisition electronics and/or optical filters. These interferograms are first converted to spectra and then these spectra are 55 analyzed using dedicated processing algorithms, i.e., GFIT, PROFFIT or SFIT 56 57 (Wunch et al., 2010 and 2015; Hase et al., 2006; Hannigan and Coffey, 2009). Typically, the TCCON network only uses the Bruker 125HR instruments 58 59 (http://www.tccon.caltech.edu/; https://www.bruker.com/) with specified settings

60 (entrance aperture, amplification of the detected signal). In the NDACC network, other instruments are used as well, e.g., the Bruker M series, a BOMEM DA8 in 61 self-built 62 Toronto, Canada and a spectrometer in Pasadena, USA (http://www.ndacc.org/; https://www.bruker.com/). FTIR spectrometers are highly 63 precise and stable devices, and if carefully aligned, the instrumental line shape (ILS) 64 might not be far from the theoretical limit. However, their alignment can change 65 abruptly as a consequence of operator intervention or drift slowly due to mechanical 66 67 degradation over time (Olsen et al., 2004; Duchatelet et al., 2010; Hase et al., 2012; Feist et al., 2016). Moreover, the NDACC observation may change the entrance field 68 stop size if incident radiation changes. This practice may introduce a dependency of 69 the instrument alignment status on the optical settings because the mechanical errors 70 71 between different field stops may be non-negligible and inconsistent (Sun et al., 2017). Biases between sites would arise if all these misalignments are not properly 72 characterized. 73

The TCCON network only operates in near infrared (NIR) region and aims at 74 75 column of fewer gases. While the NDACC network operates in both NIR and mid-infrared (MIR) regions and aims at both columns and profile of many gases. The 76 TCCON assumes an ideal ILS in spectra retrieval, and the maximum ILS degradation 77 is prescribed as 5% for the modulation efficiency (ME) amplitude (Wunch et al., 2011 78 79 and 2015). This assumption still holds within the required accuracy of the results. In the NDACC gases retrieval, the ILS can be assumed as ideal if spectrometer is well 80 aligned, or if misalignment exists, described by LINEFIT results derived from 81 dedicated cell measurements or retrieved together with the gas profile from an 82 83 atmospheric spectrum using a polynomial (Vigouroux et al., 2008 and Vigouroux et 84 al., 2015). How these ILS treatments influence the NDACC gases retrieval and how much ILS deviation from unity is acceptable for each NDACC gas if an ideal line 85 shape is assumed are still not fully quantified, and it may be better to assume an ideal 86 ILS. The practice of co-retrieving ILS parameters from atmospheric spectra without 87 dedicated cell measurements is not to be recommended because the observed shapes 88 of spectral lines are exploited primarily for inferring the vertical distribution of the 89

trace gases, the ILS and the trace gas profiles have similar effects on the line shape, i.e., changing the shape and width of the line. Overlapping lines, i.e., due to interfering gases may introduce an asymmetry in the absorption lines which may be undistinguishable from an ILS phase deviation.

This paper investigates the influence of ILS degradation on total column and profile of current standard NDACC gas retrievals and deduces the maximum ILS deviations allowable for suppressing the influence within a specified acceptable ranges.

#### 98 2 Characteristics of ideal and imperfect ILSs

99 The ILS is the Fourier transform of the weighting applied to the interferogram. 100 This weighting consists of two parts: an artificially applied part to change the 101 calculated spectrum and an unavoidable part which is due to the fact that the 102 interferogram is finite in length (box car function), the divergence of the beam is 103 non-zero (due to the non-zero entrance aperture), and several other effects which are 104 due to misalignment (Davis et al., 2001, chapter 9). The ILS consisting of only the 105 unavoidable parts of the line shape is called the ideal line shape.

The theoretical ideal ILS as defined in equation (3), when the instrument is well aligned, is a convolution of sinc and rectangular functions (defined in equations (1) and (2)), representing the finite length of the interferogram and the finite circular field of view (FOV) of the spectrometer (Davis et al., 2001).

110 
$$SINC(\sigma, L) = 2L \frac{\sin(2\pi\sigma L)}{2\pi\sigma L}$$
(1)

111 
$$RECT(\sigma, \sigma_0, \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\sigma_0 \theta^2} & if - 0.5\sigma_0 \theta^2 \le \sigma \le 0\\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$
(2)

112 
$$ILS(\sigma, \sigma_0, L, \theta) = SINC(\sigma, L) * RECT(\sigma, \sigma_0, \theta)$$
(3)

where  $\sigma$  is the wavenumber,  $\sigma_0$  is the central wavenumber, *L* is the optical path difference (OPD) and  $\theta$  is the angular radius of the circular internal FOV of the spectrometer. For standard NDACC measuring conditions,  $L \ge 180$  cm and  $\theta$  defined 116 by the entrance field stop size in the light path.

The LINEFIT software calculates the deviation of the measured ILS from the 117 ideal ILS (Hase et al., 2001 and 2012). It retrieves a complex ME as a function of 118 OPD, which is represented by a ME amplitude and a phase error (PE) (Hase et al., 119 120 1999). The ME amplitude is connected to the width of the ILS while the PE quantifies the degree of ILS asymmetry. For a perfectly aligned spectrometer, it would meet the 121 ideal nominal ILS characteristics if smear and vignetting effects were neglected, and 122 123 thus have an ME amplitude of unity and a PE of zero along the whole interferogram. However, if a FTIR spectrometer is subject to misalignment, the ME amplitude would 124 deviate from unity and the PE deviate from zero (Hase et al., 2012). This results in an 125 imperfect ILS. 126

#### 127 **3 Simulation of ILS degradation**

We use the program ALIGN60 to simulate ILS degradation in a high resolution 128 129 FTIR spectrometer typically used in the NDACC network. As an auxiliary tool of LINEFIT, ALIGN60 is a raytracing model for FTIR spectrometers following the 130 131 classical Michelson design, assuming one fixed and one movable arm, and using cube corners instead of plane mirrors. It calculates the resulting phase distortions in the 132 recombined beam and from these deduces the variable intensity observed by the 133 detector. ALIGN60 takes into account the lateral shear error of the movable 134 135 retro-reflector as function of OPD, a decenter of the field stop with respect to the optical axis, an unsharp boundary line or deformation of the field stop image (as 136 possibly caused by a defocused collimator), and vignetting effects with increasing 137 OPD. It can generate trustworthy results with respect to all types of misalignment 138 139 (Hase et al., 1999). In this simulation, the entrance beam section was assumed to be circular with a diameter of 8.0 cm. The ILS was only calculated from positive side of 140 141 interferogram. The smear and vignetting effects were not taken into account. The 142 misalignment of a FTIR spectrometer can be expressed via two perpendicular axes 143 perpendicular to the beam direction. For a circular entrance beam, the same 144 misalignment in either direction results in a similar ILS. Thus, this work only

145 considers misalignment in one axis.

The misalignments as inputs of ALIGN60 are listed in Table 1, the resulting ILSs 146 are shown in Fig. 1, and the corresponding Haidinger fringes at the maximum OPD 147 are shown in Fig. 2. The ME deviation, decenter of Haidinger fringes and ILS 148 149 deterioration varying over misalignment are evident. All types of misalignment cause nonlinear ME deviations except decentering of measuring laser (c) and the constant 150 shear (d) which mainly affect PE and result in linear PE deviation. Two types of ILS 151 152 degradation are evident, one is referred to as positive ME and has a ME amplitude of larger than unity. The other one is referred to as negative ME and has a ME amplitude 153 of less than unity. Typically, the increasing misalignment with increasing OPD (b, f, h)154 or *i*) causes negative ME amplitude and the decreasing misalignment with increasing 155 OPD (e, g or j) causes positive ME amplitude. For the same misalignment amplitude, 156 the decreasing misalignment causes more ME deviation than the increasing 157 misalignment. Regardless of positive or negative ME, the ME deviation shape 158 depends on misalignment type and the same misalignment amplitude causes the same 159 160 deviation in ME amplitude. The decentering of the entrance filed stop is equivalent to the linear increasing misalignment. 161

- 162 **4 NDACC gases retrieval**
- 163 **4.1 Retrieval strategy**

164 The influence of ILS degradation on all current standard NDACC gases, i.e.,  $O_3$ , HNO<sub>3</sub>, HCl, HF, ClONO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, CO, N<sub>2</sub>O, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, and HCN, is investigated. Typical 165 166 atmospheric vertical profiles of these gases are shown in Fig.3. There are five stratospheric gases and five tropospheric gases. The retrieval settings for all these 167 168 gases as recommended by the NDACC are listed in Table 2 (https://www2.acom.ucar.edu/irwg/links). The latest version of profile retrieval 169 algorithm SFIT4 v 0.9.4.4 is used (http://www.ndacc.org/). The basic principle of 170 SFIT4 is using an optimal estimation technique for fitting calculated-to-observed 171 172 spectra (Rodgers, 2000; Hannigan and Coffey, 2009). All spectroscopic line parameters are adopted from HITRAN 2008 (Rothman et al., 2009). This might not be 173 ideal, but we keep it to achieve consistent results. A priori profiles of pressure, 174

175 temperature and water vapor for the measurement days are interpolated from the National Centers for Environmental Protection and National Center for Atmospheric 176 Research (NCEP/NCAR) reanalysis (Kalnay et al., 1996). A priori profiles of the 177 target gases and the interfering gases except H<sub>2</sub>O use the WACCM4 (Whole 178 179 Atmosphere Community Climate Model) model data. We follow the NDACC standard convention with respect to micro windows (MWs) selection and the 180 interfering gases consideration (https://www2.acom.ucar.edu/irwg/links). For the 181 interfering molecules that affect the target gas retrieval, H<sub>2</sub>O should be treated with 182 183 care as it is almost always present in all MWs, to varying degrees. It has been dealt with differently for different gas. For HNO<sub>3</sub> and ClONO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O is treated as the other 184 interfering species: only a scaling of a single *a priori* profile is made. For other gases, 185 the H<sub>2</sub>O profile is retrieved simultaneously with the target gas profile. No 186 de-weighting signal to noise ratios (SNR) are used except for CO and HCl which 187 utilize a de-weighting SNR of 500 and 300, respectively. 188

The selection of the regularization (a priori covariance matrix  $S_a$  and SNR) cannot be easily standardised because it depends on the real variability for each gas. In optimal estimation, the selection of  $S_a$  is very important in the inversion process and, together with the measurement noise error covariance matrix  $S_{\epsilon}$ , will lead to the following averaging kernel matrix A (Rodgers, 2000):

194

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{G}_{v}\mathbf{K}_{x} = (\mathbf{K}_{x}^{T}\mathbf{S}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{K}_{x}^{T} + \mathbf{S}_{a}^{-1})^{-1}\mathbf{K}_{x}^{T}\mathbf{S}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{K}_{x}$$
(4)

where  $G_y$  is the sensitivity of the retrieval to the measurement.  $K_x$  is weighting function matrix or Jacobian matrix that links the measurement vector y to the state vector  $x : \Delta y = K_x \Delta x$ . A characterizes the vertical information contained in the FTIR retrievals. In this study, we assume  $S_{\varepsilon}$  to be diagonal and its diagonal elements are the inverse square of the SNR. The vertical information content of the retrieved target gas profile can be quantified by the number of degrees of freedom for signal (DOFs), which is the trace of **A**, defined in Rodgers (2000) by:

202  $d_{s} = tr(\mathbf{A}) = tr((\mathbf{K}_{x}^{T}\mathbf{S}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{K}_{x}^{T} + \mathbf{S}_{a}^{-1})^{-1}\mathbf{K}_{x}^{T}\mathbf{S}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{K}_{x}^{T})$ (5)

The diagonal elements of  $S_a$  represent the assumed variability of the target gas volume mixing ratio (VMR) at a given altitude, and the off diagonal elements represent the correlation between the VMR at different altitudes. We can see in Table 3 that, except CO and HCN, the target gases are using an a priori covariance matrix 207 with diagonal elements constant with altitude corresponding to 10, 20, 50 or 100 % variability; the largest variability are for HNO<sub>3</sub>, HCl and ClONO<sub>2</sub>. For CO, the 208 diagonal elements of  $S_a$  correspond to 27% from ground to 34 km and decrease down 209 to 11% at the top of atmosphere. For HCN, the diagonal elements of  $S_a$  correspond to 210 211 79% from ground to 5 km and decrease down to 21% at the top of atmosphere. No 212 correlation of off diagonal matrix elements is used in all retrievals except for ClONO<sub>2</sub> which uses exponential correlation with a HWHM (half with at half-maximum) of 8 213 214 km. The SNR values for all retrievals are the real values taken from each individual 215 spectrum. The ILSs for all retrievals are using the simulations in section 3.

216 4.2 Averaging kernels

217 The rows of A are the so called averaging kernels and they represent the sensitivity of the retrieved profile to the real profile. Their FWHM is a measure of the 218 219 vertical resolution of the retrieval at a given altitude. The area of averaging kernels 220 represents sensitivity of the retrievals to the measurement. This sensitivity at altitude k221 is calculated as the sum of the elements of the corresponding averaging kernels, 222  $\sum_{i} A_{ki}$ . It indicates the fraction of the retrieval at each altitude that comes from the measurement rather than from the a priori information (Rodgers, 2000). A value close 223 224 to zero at a certain altitude indicates that the retrieved profile at that altitude is nearly 225 independent of measurement and is therefore approaching the a priori profile.

The averaging kernels and their areas for these ten NDACC gases are shown in 226 Fig. 4. The altitude ranges with sensitivity larger than 0.5 and the corresponding total 227 DOFs are summarized in Table 3. These sensitive ranges indicate that the retrieved 228 profile information comes by more than 50% from measurement, or, in other words, 229 230 that the a priori information influences the retrieval by less than 50%. Each gas has different sensitive range. The sensitive range for HCN, CO and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> is mainly 231 232 tropospheric, and for ClONO<sub>2</sub>, HCl and HF is mainly stratospheric. O<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O have high retrieval sensitivity in both troposphere and stratosphere. The HNO<sub>3</sub> has 233 234 high retrieval sensitivity in stratosphere and in atmospheric boundary layer below 1.5 235 km.

#### **4.3 Error analysis**

As listed in Table 2, we classified errors as systematic or random according to whether they are constant between consecutive measurements, or vary randomly. For comparison, the error items considered in error analysis are the same for the retrieval of all gases. The smoothing error  $\mathbf{E}_{s}$  is calculated via equation (6), the measurement error  $\mathbf{E}_{m}$  is calculated via equation (7), and all other error items  $\mathbf{E}_{var}$  are calculated via equation (8) (Rodgers, 2000).

- 243  $\mathbf{E}_{s} = (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{I})\mathbf{S}_{a}(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{I})^{T}$ (6)
- 244

$$\mathbf{E}_{m} = \mathbf{G}_{v} \mathbf{S}_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{G}_{v}^{T} \tag{7}$$

245

$$\mathbf{E}_{var} = \mathbf{G}_{v} \mathbf{K}_{var} \mathbf{S}_{var} \mathbf{K}_{var}^{T} \mathbf{G}_{v}^{T}$$
(8)

where  $S_{var}$  is the error covariance matrix of *var*.  $K_{var}$  is weighting function matrix of *var*. Here *var* refers to one of the error items in Table 2 except smoothing error and measurement error. In this study, the *a priori* error covariance for all non-retrieval parameters are set the same for all gases retrieval.

## **5 ILS influence study**

This section presents the ILS influence study, whereby the degraded ILSs that 251 simulated by ALIGN60 are used in the SFIT forward model, and the fractional 252 difference (D%) in various quantities for each gas relative to the retrieval with an 253 254 ideal ILS are computed. For each gas, sections 5.1 and 5.2 only select one typical spectrum for study. In order to retrieve these ten gases, five spectra with different 255 wavenumber coverage are used. All of them are randomly selected from the routine 256 measurements on a clear day at Hefei on February 16, 2016. The consistency of the 257 resulting deduction is evaluated in section 5.3 where one year of measurements from 258 259 August 2015 to August 2016 are used. The Hefei site has run NDACC observations with the Bruker 125HR for more than three years. We regularly use a low-pressure 260 HBr cell to diagnose the misalignment of the spectrometer and to realign the 261 instrument when indicated. As shown in Fig.5, all actual ILS degradations of the 262 263 FTIR spectrometer within this selected period are less than 2% and can be regarded as ideal. For all spectra used in this study, the retrievals with all levels of ILS 264 degradation fulfill the following filter criteria: 265

1) The root mean squares (RMSs) of the residual (difference between measured andcalculated spectra after the fit) in all fitting windows has to be less than 3%.

268 2) The retrievals should converge for all levels of ILS degradation.

269 3) The concentrations of the target and interfering gases at each sub layer should be positive. 270

4) The solar intensity variation (SIV) should be less than 10%. The SIV within the 271 duration of a spectrum is the ratio of the standard deviation to the average of the 272 273 measured solar intensities.

274 These criteria are used to remove those spectra that have sampling errors or contaminated by aerosols, clouds, hazes or other unpredictable objects which cause a 275 276 low SNR or a large detecting intensity variation. In following calculations, we have taken the retrievals with an ideal ILS as the reference. The fractional difference is 277 278 defined here as,

279 
$$\mathbf{D}\% = \frac{\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_{ref}}{\mathbf{X}_{ref}} \times 100$$
(9)

where **X** is a vector which can include multiple elements such as gas profile or only 280 one element such as DOFs, RMS, total column, total random uncertainty, total 281 systematic uncertainty, or total uncertainty. The total random uncertainty and 282 283 systematic uncertainty are the sum in quadrature of each individual uncertainty listed in Table 2, and the total uncertainty is the sum in quadrature of total random 284 285 uncertainty and total systematic uncertainty.  $\mathbf{X}_{ref}$  is the same as  $\mathbf{X}$  but for the nominal ideal ILS. 286

287

#### **5.1 ME amplitude and PE influence**

In order to determine how the ILS degradation affects the NDACC gas retrievals, 288 the results deduced from ILS considering both ME amplitude and PE are compared to 289 290 those only considering ME amplitude or PE. All types of ILS degradation in section 3 291 are used in this study. Fig.6 exemplifies the case of ILS *j*, where the differences in 292 total column, RMS, random uncertainty, systematic uncertainty, total uncertainty, and 293 DOFs for each gas relative to the retrieval with an ideal ILS are compared. Fig.7 294 shows the fractional difference in profile of each gas for ILS *j*. The results show that 295 the influence of ILS degradation on the total column, RMS, random uncertainty, 296 systematic uncertainty, total uncertainty, DOFs, and profile can be approximated by

the linear sum of individual ME amplitude influence and PE influence. The PE influence is of secondary importance compared with the ME amplitude influence. The comparisons for the results retrieved with ILS *a* to *i* come to the same conclusions.

Figs.8 and 9 show the influence of ILS *a* to *j* on total column and profile of all 300 301 NDACC gases. The resulting influence amounts depend on deviation amount and deviation shape of ME. For positive MEs, in most cases, the ILS j causes the 302 maximum influence, and for negative MEs, the ILS *i* causes the maximum influence. 303 304 In a real instrument, the misalignment is a combination of misalignment a to j. In principle, for the same misalignment amplitude, it should not cause influence 305 exceeding misalignment i or j. In the following, misalignment i and j are selected on 306 behalf of negative and positive ME respectively to investigate how the ILS 307 degradation influence the NDACC gas retrievals. 308

309 **5.2 Sensitivity study** 

310 We simulated seven levels of negative ME *i* and positive ME *j* with ALIGN60, 311 and incorporated them in the SFIT forward model, and then calculated the fractional 312 difference in various quantities for each gas relative to the retrieval with an ideal ILS. 313 The misalignments as inputs of ALIGN60 and the resulting ILSs are shown in Figs. 10 and 12. The corresponding Haidinger fringes at the maximum misalignment 314 position are shown in Figs. 11 and 13. The ME deviation, decenter of Haidinger 315 316 fringes and ILS deterioration varying over misalignment are evident. Fig.14 is the 317 sensitivity of total column with respect to different levels of ILS degradation. Figs. 15 ~ 18 are the same as Fig. 14 but for DOFs, RMS, uncertainty and profile. The results 318 319 show that the ILS degradation affected total column, RMS, DOFs, retrieval 320 uncertainty, and profile. Generally, the larger the ME deviation, the larger the influence. The positive and negative ME have opposite influence on total column, 321 322 DOFs, total uncertainty and profile.

With respect to total column, the influence of ILS degradation on stratospheric gases is generally larger than the tropospheric gases. For a typical ILS degradation (10%), the total columns of stratospheric gases O<sub>3</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, HCl, HF, and ClONO<sub>2</sub>

326 changed by 1.9%, 0.7%, 4%, 3%, and 23%, respectively. While the total columns of tropospheric gases CH<sub>4</sub>, CO, N<sub>2</sub>O, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, and HCN changed by 0.04%, 2.1%, 0.2%, 327 1.1%, and 0.75%, respectively. For  $O_3$  and HNO<sub>3</sub>, positive ME causes an 328 overestimated total column and negative ME causes an underestimated total column. 329 330 For other gases, negative ME causes an overestimated total column and positive ME causes an underestimated total column. For all gases except O<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>, the positive 331 ME influence is larger than the negative ME influence. For CH<sub>4</sub>, the negative ME 332 333 influence is larger than the positive ME influence. For O<sub>3</sub>, the level of the positive ME 334 influence and the negative ME influence is very close.

For all gases, positive ME increases the DOFs and negative ME decreases DOFs. 335 For all gases except HF and CH<sub>4</sub>, both positive ME and negative ME increase RMS. 336 337 For HF, positive ME increases RMS while negative ME decreases RMS. For CH<sub>4</sub>, positive ME decreases RMS and negative ME increases RMS. 338

The influence on systematic uncertainty and random uncertainty depends on ME 339 deviation type and gas type. The influence on total uncertainty is the combination of 340 341 the influence on total systematic uncertainty and total random uncertainty. For all gases except O<sub>3</sub>, positive ME decreases total uncertainty and negative ME increases 342 343 total uncertainty. For O<sub>3</sub>, positive ME increases total uncertainty and negative ME decreases total uncertainty. 344

345 The ILS degradation causes an evident difference in profile within the altitude ranges that show high retrieval sensitivity in Fig.4, or in other words, the sensitive 346 347 ranges listed in Table 3. Generally, the profile is more sensitive to positive ME than 348 negative PE, and the influence of ILS degradation on stratospheric gases is larger than 349 the tropospheric gases.

350

5.3 consistency evaluation

351 This section uses the spectra recorded at Hefei from August 2015 to August 2016 to evaluate the consistency of above study. These spectra span a large difference in 352 353 atmospheric water vapor, SZAs, surface pressures, surface temperatures, wind speeds, and wind directions (Fig. 19). All retrievals fulfill the above filter criteria are included 354

in this study. A simulated ILS j with maximum ME amplitude deviation of 5% is used in the retrieval. The results are compared to the retrievals deduced from an ideal ILS.

Fig. 20 exemplifies the fractional difference in total column, RMS, total 357 uncertainty, and DOFs for each gas as a function of SZA. The results show that the 358 fractional difference in total column, total uncertainty, and DOFs for all gases are 359 consistent under different SZAs. For most gases, the fractional difference in RMS 360 exhibits more scatters than the total column, total uncertainty, and DOFs. However, 361 362 they are independent of SZA, and most of them are less than 10%. In general, the influence of ILS degradation on NDACC gases retrieval shows good consistency 363 under different SZAs. The fractional difference as functions of humidity, pressure, 364 SZA, temperature, wind direction, and wind speed come to the same conclusions. 365

#### 366 6 Discussion and recommendation

For each gas, the *a priori* covariance matrices of  $S_a$ ,  $S_{\epsilon}$ , and  $S_{var}$  are the same in 367 the aforementioned study. According to equations  $6 \sim 8$ , we conclude that the ILS 368 369 degradation altered the weighting function matrix  $\mathbf{K}_x$  and eventually altered the 370 quantities such as the total column, RMS, random uncertainty, systematic uncertainty, 371 total uncertainty, DOFs, and profile. The change of  $\mathbf{K}_x$  is attributed to the fact that the ILS degradation alters gas absorption line shape and hence alters the structure of 372 373 calculated spectra, and aggravates the mismatch between the calculated spectra and 374 the measured spectra.

The stratospheric gases are more sensitive to ILS degradation than the 375 tropospheric gases, and the CIONO<sub>2</sub> exhibits the largest sensitivity. This is because 376 377 the absorption structure in stratosphere is narrower than that in troposphere, and is 378 more easily affected by ILS degradation. We set the acceptable fractional difference in total column for ClONO<sub>2</sub> and other NDACC gases as 10% and 1%, respectively. 379 380 Considering an excessively large of ME degradation (e.g., > 20%) seldom occurred 381 within NDACC network because of the regular alignment at each site, the permitted maximum ILS degradation for each gas is deduced in Table 5 as: 382

383 1) The influence of ILS degradation on  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  can be regarded as

384 negligible.

2) If a misalignment causes positive ME degradation, the maximum degradations
for O<sub>3</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, HCl, HF, ClONO<sub>2</sub>, CO, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, and HCN should be less than 6%, 15%,
5%, 5%, 5%, 5%, 9%, and 13%, respectively.

388 3) If a misalignment causes negative ME degradation, the maximum degradations
for O<sub>3</sub>, HCl, and HF should be less than 6%, 12%, and 12%, respectively.

Note that the retrievals of certain gases, e.g.,  $O_3$ , CH<sub>4</sub>, CO, and N<sub>2</sub>O, can be divided into multiple independent sub layers depending on total DOFs. The above deductions don't apply to partial column integrated over each sub layer because, as Figs. 17 and 18 show, the sensitivity of profile to ILS degradation is altitude dependent. How ILS degradation influences partial column of each NDACC gas and how much ILS deviation from unity is acceptable if an ideal line shape is assumed beyond the scope of this paper and will be published elsewhere.

#### 397 7 Conclusion

398 We assessed the influence of instrumental line shape degradation on all current 399 NDACC gases retrieval via investigation of sensitivities of total column, root mean 400 square of fitting residual, total random uncertainty, total systematic uncertainty, total 401 uncertainty, degrees of freedom, and profile with respect to modulation efficiency degradations. The study concluded that the influence of instrumental line shape 402 403 degradation can be approximated by the linear sum of individual modulation 404 efficiency amplitude influence and phase error influence. The phase error influence is of secondary importance compared with the modulation efficiency amplitude 405 406 influence. The influence amounts depend on deviation amount and deviation shape of 407 the modulation efficiency.

The stratospheric gases are more sensitive to instrumental line shape degradation than the tropospheric gases, and the positive modulation efficiency has more influence on total column or profile than the negative modulation efficiency. For a typical ILS degradation (10%), the columns of stratospheric gases O<sub>3</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, HCl, HF, and ClONO<sub>2</sub> changed by 1.9%, 0.7%, 4%, 3%, and 23%, respectively. While the columns 413 of tropospheric gases CH<sub>4</sub>, CO, N<sub>2</sub>O, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, and HCN changed by 0.04%, 2.1%, 0.2%, 414 1.1%, and 0.75%, respectively. The influence of instrumental line shape degradation on NDACC gas retrievals shows good consistency under different meteorological 415 conditions and solar zenith angle. In order to suppress the fractional difference in total 416 417 column for ClONO<sub>2</sub> and other NDACC gases within 10% and 1%, respectively, the maximum positive modulation efficiency degradations for O<sub>3</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, HCl, HF, 418 419 ClONO<sub>2</sub>, CO, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, and HCN should be less than 6%, 15%, 5%, 5%, 5%, 5%, 9%, 420 and 13%, respectively; the maximum negative modulation efficiency degradations for O<sub>3</sub>, HCl, and HF should be less than 6%, 12%, and 12%, respectively; the influence of 421 422 ILS degradation on CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O can be regarded as negligible.

423 **7 Acknowledgements** 

424 This work is jointly supported by the National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (No. 2016YFC0200800, 2016YFC0203302, 425 2016YFC0200404, No. 2017YFC0210002), the National Science Foundation of 426 China (No. 41605018, No.41775025, No. 41405134, No. 41575021, No. 51778596, 427 428 No. 91544212, No. 41722501), Anhui Province Natural Science Foundation of China 429 (No. 1608085MD79), and the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) (Grant No. 01LG1214A). The processing environment of SFIT4 and some 430 plot programs are provided by National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), 431 432 Boulder, Colorado, USA. The NDACC networks are acknowledged for supplying the SFIT software and advice. 433

#### 434 **8 References**

- 435 Davis, S. P., Abrams, M. C., and Brault, J. W.: Fourier transform spectrometry,
- 436 Academic Press, ISBN: 0-12-042510-6, 2001.
- 437 Duchatelet P., Demoulin P., Hase F., Ruhnke R., Feng W., Chipperfield M. P., Bernath
- 438 P. F., Boone C. D., Walker K. A., and Mahieu E.: Hydrogen fluoride total and
- 439 partial column time series above the Jungfraujoch from long term FTIR
- 440 measurements: Impact of the line shape model, characterization of the error
- budget and seasonal cycle, and comparison with satellite and model data, J.

442	Geophys. Res., 115, D22306, doi:10.1029/2010JD014677, 2010.
443	Feist D. G., Arnold S. G., Hase F., and Ponge D.: Rugged optical mirrors for Fourier
444	transform spectrometers operated in harsh environments, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9,
445	2381-2391, www.atmos-meas-tech.net/9/2381/2016/ doi:10.5194/amt
446	-9-2381-2016, 2016.
447	Hannigan, J. and Coffey, M.: semiautonomous FTS observation system for remote
448	sensing of stratospheric and tropospheric gases, Journal of Atmospheric and
449	Oceanic Technology . 09/2009; 26(9). DOI: 10.1175/2009JTECHA1230.1
450	Hase, F., Demoulin, P., Sauval, A., Toon, G. C., Bernath, P., Goldman, A., Hannigan, J.,
451	Rinsland C.: An empirical line-by-line model for the infrared solar
452	transmittance spectrum from 700 to 5000 cm <sup>-1</sup> , J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat.
453	Transfer, 2006, 102, 450 - 463.
454	Hase, F.: Improved instrumental line shape monitoring for the ground-based,
455	high-resolution FTIR spectrometers of the Network for the Detection of
456	Atmospheric Composition Change, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5, 603-610,
457	doi:10.5194/amt-5-603-2012,2012.
458	Hase, F., Drouin, B. J., Roehl, C. M., Toon, G. C., Wennberg, P. O., Wunch, D.,
459	Blumenstock, T., Desmet, F., Feist, D. G., Heikkinen, P., De Mazière, M.,
460	Rettinger, M., Robinson, J., Schneider, M., Sherlock, V., Sussmann, R., T é, Y.,
461	Warneke, T., and Weinzierl, C.: Calibration of sealed HCl cells used for TCCON
462	instrumental line shape monitoring, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 3527-3537,
463	doi:10.5194/amt-6-3527-2013, 2013.
464	Hase, F., Blumenstock, T., and Paton-Walsh, C.: Analysis of the instrumental line
465	shape of high-resolution Fourier transform IR spectrometers with gas cell
466	measurements and new retrieval software, Appl. Optics, 38, 3417-3422, 1999.
467	Kalnay E., Kanamitsu M., Kistler R., et al. (1996) The NCEP/NCAR 40-year
468	reanalysis project. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 77, 437-472.
469	Kurylo, M. J.: Network for the detection of stratospheric change (NDSC), SPIE
470	Proceedings 1991, P. Soc. Photo-Opt. Ins., 1491, 168-174, 1991.
471	Kohlhepp, R., Barthlott, S., Blumenstock, T., Hase, H., Kaiser, I., Raffalski, U., and

472	Ruhnke, R.: Trends of HCl, ClONO <sub>2</sub> , and HF column abundances from
473	ground-based FTIR measurements in Kiruna (Sweden) in comparison with
474	KASIMA model calculations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 4669–4677, 2011
475	www.atmos-chem-phys.net/11/4669/2011/doi:10.5194/acp-11-4669-2011
476	Messerschmidt, J., Macatangay, R., Notholt, J., Petri, C., Warneke, T., and Weinzierl,
477	C.: Side by side measurements of CO <sub>2</sub> by ground-based Fourier transform
478	spectrometry (FTS), Tellus B, 62, 749–758, oi:10.1111/j.1600-0889.2010.00491.x
479	,2010.
480	Olsen, S. C. and Randerson, J. T.: Differences between surface and column
481	atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> and implications for carbon cycle research, J. Geophys.
482	ResAtmos., 109, D02301, doi:10.1029/2003JD003968, 2004.
483	Schneider, M., Redondas, A., Hase, F., Guirado, C., Blumenstock, T., and Cuevas, E.:
484	Comparison of ground-based Brewer and FTIR total column O3 monitoring
485	techniques, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 5535-5550, doi:10.5194/acp-8-5535-2008,
486	2008.
487	Rodgers, C. D.: Inverse methods for atmospheric sounding: Theory and Practice,
488	Series on Atmospheric, Oceanic and Planetary Physics, Vol. 2, World Scientific
489	Publishing Co., Singapore, 2000.
490	Rothman, L. S., Gordon, I. E., Barbe, A., Benner, D. C., Bernath, P. F., Birk, M.,
491	Boudon, V., Brown, L. R., Campargue, A., Champion, JP., Chance, K., Coudert,
492	L. H., Danaj, V., Devi, V. M., Fally, S., Flaud, JM., Gamache, R. R., Goldmanm,
493	A., Jacquemart, D., Kleiner, I., Lacome, N., Lafferty, W. J., Mandin, JY., Massie,
494	S. T., Mikhailenko, S. N., Miller, C. E., Moazzen-Ahmadi, N., Naumenko, O. V.,
495	Nikitin, A. V., Orphal, J., Perevalov, V. I., Perrin, A., Predoi-Cross, A., Rinsland, C.
496	P., Rotger, M., Šime. cková, M., Smith, M. A. H., Sung, K., Tashkun, S. A.,
497	Tennyson, J., Toth, R. A., Vandaele, A. C., and Vander Auwera, J.: The Hitran
498	2008 molecular spectroscopic database, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 110, 533-572,
499	2009.
500	Vigouroux, C., De Mazière, M., Demoulin, P., Servais, C., Hase, F., Blumenstock, T.,
501	Kramer, I., Schneider, M., Mellqvist, J., Strandberg, A., Velazco, V., Notholt, J.,

502	Sussmann, R., Stremme, W., Rockmann, A., Gardiner, T., Coleman, M., and
503	Woods, P.: Evaluation of tropospheric and stratospheric ozone trends over Western
504	Europe from ground-based FTIR network observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8,
505	6865–6886, 2008, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/6865/2008/.
506	Vigouroux, C., Blumenstock, T., Coffey, M., Errera, Q., Garc á, O., Jones, N. B.,
507	Hannigan, J. W., Hase, F., Liley, B., Mahieu, E., Mellqvist, J., Notholt, J., Palm,
508	M., Persson, G., Schneider, M., Servais, C., Smale, D., Thölix, L., and De Mazière,
509	M.: Trends of ozone total columns and vertical distribution from FTIR
510	observations at eight NDACC stations around the globe, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15,
511	2915-2933, doi:10.5194/acp-15-2915-2015, 2015.
512	Washenfelder, R. A.: Column abundances of carbon dioxide and methane retrieved
513	from ground-based near-infrared solar spectra, PhD thesis, California Institute of
514	Technology, Pasadena, California (available at: http://thesis.library.caltech.edu),
515	2006.
516	Wunch, D., Toon, G. C., Wennberg, P. O., Wofsy, S. C., Stephens, B. B., Fischer, M.
517	L., Uchino, O., Abshire, J. B., Bernath, P., Biraud, S. C., Blavier, JF. L., Boone,
518	C., Bowman, K. P., Browell, E. V., Campos, T., Connor, B. J., Daube, B. C.,
519	Deutscher, N. M., Diao, M., Elkins, J. W., Gerbig, C., Gottlieb, E., Griffith, D.
520	W. T., Hurst, D. F., Jim énez, R., Keppel-Aleks, G., Kort, E. A., Macatangay, R.,
521	Machida, T., Matsueda, H., Moore, F., Morino, I., Park, S., Robinson, J., Roehl, C.
522	M., Sawa, Y., Sherlock, V., Sweeney, C., Tanaka, T., and Zondlo, M. A.:
523	Calibration of the Total Carbon Column Observing Network using aircraft profile
524	data, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 1351-1362, doi:10.5194/amt-3-1351-2010, 2010.
525	Wunch, D., Toon, G. C., Blavier, JF. L., Washenfelder, R., Notholt, J., Connor, B. J.,
526	Griffith, D. W. T., Sherlock, V., and Wennberg, P. O.: The Total Carbon Column
527	Observing Network, Phil. T. Roy. Soc. A, 369, 2087–2112, doi:10.1098
528	/rsta.2010.0240, 2011.
529	Wunch, D., Toon G. C., Sherlock V., Deutscher N. M., Liu C., Feist D. G., and
530	Wennberg P. O.: The Total Carbon Column Observing Network's GGG2014 Data
531	Version. 10.14291/tccon.ggg2014.documentation.R0/1221662, 2015.

- 532 Sun, Y., Palm, M., Weinzierl, C., Petri, C., Notholt, J., Wang, Y., and Liu, C.:
- 533 Technical note: Sensitivity of instrumental line shape monitoring for the
- 534 ground-based high-resolution FTIR spectrometer with respect to different optical
- 535 attenuators, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10, 989-997, doi: 10.5194/amt-10-989-2017,
- 536 2017.
- 537

538 9 Figs







right is the resulting PE. Descriptions for the misalignment *a* to *j* are listed in Table 1.



544

545 Fig.2. The Haidinger fringes at maximum OPD for misalignment *a* to *j* shown in Fig. 1.





547 Fig.3. Typical profiles of ten NDACC gases. Bottom panels are five tropospheric gases, i.e., CH<sub>4</sub>,

548 CO, N<sub>2</sub>O, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, and HCN. Top panels are five stratospheric gases, i.e., O<sub>3</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, HCl, HF, and

549 ClONO<sub>2</sub>. Although the CO concentration above 60 km is much higher than that in the troposphere,

550 it is regarded as tropospheric gas because it is an anthropologic pollution gas and shows large

551 variation in troposphere.



552

553 Fig.4. Averaging kernels of ten NDACC gases (color fine lines), and their area scaled by a factor

of 0.2 (black bold line). They are deduced from the spectra recorded at Hefei on February 16, 2016with an ideal ILS.



556 557

Fig.5. ME amplitudes (left) and phase errors (right) along with OPD deduced from HBr cellmeasurements at Hefei.



559

Fig.6. Fractional difference in total column, RMS, total random uncertainty, total systematic 560 uncertainty, total uncertainty, and DOFs for misalignment j. "ME amplitude" represents the ILS 561 only taken ME amplitude deviation into account. "PE" represents the ILS only taken PE deviation 562 563 into account. "ME amplitude & PE" represents the ILS taken both ME amplitude and PE deviations into account. "Linear sum" represents the fractional difference of each item is linear 564 sum of "ME amplitude" and "PE". The ME amplitude and PE are obtained from ALIGN60 with 565 566 misalignment *j* in Fig.1. The results are deduced from the spectra recorded at Hefei on February 16, 567 2016. 568



Fig.7. Fractional difference in profile for misalignment *j*. The nomenclatures in the plot legend is same as Fig.6. The results are deduced from the spectra recorded at Hefei on February 16, 2016.



574 Fig.8. Sensitivity of total column to different types of ILS degradation. The ILS *a* to *j* correspond

to misalignment a to j in Table1. The results are deduced from the spectra recorded at Hefei on February 16, 2016.



579 Fig.9. Sensitivity of profile to different types of ILS degradation. The ILS *a* to *j* correspond to

580 misalignment a to j in Table1. The results are deduced from the spectra recorded at Hefei on 581 February 16, 2016.



583

Fig.10. Simulated positive ME deviations along with OPD. Top left demonstrates the
misalignment, top right is the resulting ILS, bottom left is the resulting ME amplitude, and bottom
right is the resulting PE.





Fig.11. The Haidinger fringes at maximum OPD (the maximum misalignment position) for Fig. 10





590 Fig.12. Simulated negative ME deviations along with OPD. Top left demonstrates the

591 misalignment, top right is the resulting ILS, bottom left is the resulting ME amplitude, and bottom

right is the resulting PE.





Fig.13. The Haidinger fringes at 1/2 maximum OPD (the maximum misalignment position) for Fig. 12





Fig.14. Sensitivity of total column with respect to ME deviation. "P\_Tclmn" represents the sensitivity of total column with respect to positive ME deviation and "N\_Tclmn" represents the sensitivity of total column with respect to negative ME deviation. The results are deduced from the spectra recorded at Hefei on February 16, 2016.



Fig.15. The same as Fig.14 but for DOFs and fitting RMS. The acronyms in the legend are similarto those in Fig.14



605

Fig.16. The same as Fig.14 but for total random uncertainty, total systematic uncertainty and total
uncertainty. The acronyms in the legend are similar to those in Fig.14. "Trnd", "Tsys" and "Tstd"
represent total random uncertainty, total systematic uncertainty and total uncertainty, respectively.



Fig.17. Sensitivity of profile with respect to ME deviation. "4%" represents the ME amplitude

deviation is 4%. The nomenclature for other plot labels is straightforward. The results are deducedfrom the spectra recorded at Hefei on February 16, 2016.



5 Fig.18. The same as Fig.17 but for negative ME deviation.



616

Fig.19. The meteorological data and SZAs record at Hefei. Large span of all these parameters areshown within the period from August 2015 to August 2016 (black dotted square).



620 Fig.20. Fractional difference in total column, RMS, total uncertainty, and DOFs as a function of



### **10 Tables**

#### 

#### Table 1. Misalignments simulated in the ALIGN60

Type <sup>a</sup>	Description	Input	Output in maximum
а	No misalignment occurs: interferometer in ideal condition	none	ME amplitude: 1.00
			PE: 0.000 rad.
b	Decenter of entrance field stop defining FOV: causes a linear	0.33 [mrad] field stop error	ME amplitude: 0.86
	increase in misalignment along OPD		PE: -0.056rad.
С	Decenter of path measuring laser: causes a linear increase in phase	0.33 [mrad] laser error	ME amplitude:1.00
	error along OPD		PE: -0.152rad.
d	Constant shear: causes a constant shear offset of fixed	0.03 [cm]	ME amplitude: 1.00
	retro-reflector		PE: -0.056 rad.
е	Decreasing linear shear: causes a linear decrease in misalignment	0.03-0.00017*OPD [cm]	ME amplitude: 1.16
	along OPD		PE: -0.007 rad.
f	Increasing linear shear: causes a linear increase in misalignment	0.00017*OPD [cm]	ME amplitude: 0.86
	along OPD		PE: -0.056 rad.
g	Cosine bending of scanner bar: causes a cosine decrease in	0.03*cos(π*OPD/360) [cm]	ME amplitude: 1.16
	misalignment along OPD		PE: -0.013 rad.
h	Sine bending of scanner bar: causes a sine increase in misalignment	0.03*sin(π*OPD/360) [cm]	ME amplitude: 0.86
	along OPD		PE: -0.056 rad.
i	Cosine & sine bending of scanner bar: causes a chord increase in	$0.073*(\sin(\pi*OPD/360)+$	ME amplitude: 0.86
	misalignment before 1/2 maximum OPD and causes a chord	cos(π*OPD/360))-0.073 [cm]	PE: -0.029 rad.
	decrease in misalignment after 1/2 maximum OPD		
j	Constant shear plus cosine & sine bending of scanner bar: causes a	-0.073*(sin(π*OPD/360)+	ME amplitude: 1.16
	chordal decrease in misalignment before 1/2 maximum OPD and	$\cos(\pi^*\text{OPD}/360))+0.103$ [cm]	PE: - 0.056 rad.
	causes a chordal increase in misalignment after 1/2 maximum OPD		

<sup>a</sup> The *b*, *f*, *h*, and *i* are referred to increasing misalignment, the *e*, *g*, and *j* are referred to decreasing misalignment.

#### Table 2. Summary of the retrieval parameters used for all NDACC gases. All micro windows (MW) are given in cm<sup>-1</sup>

Gases	O <sub>3</sub>	HNO <sub>3</sub>	HCl	HF	ClONO <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>4</sub>	CO	N <sub>2</sub> O	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	HCN		
MW for profile	1000-1004.5	867.5-870	2727.73-2727.83	4109.4-4110.2	779.85-780.45	2613.7-2615.4	2057.7-2058	2441.8-2444	2976-2978	3268-3268.38		
retrievals			2775.7-2775.8		782.55-782.87	2835.5-2835.8	2069.56-2069.	.6	2982.6-2984.5	3287-3287.48		
			2925.8-2926.0			2921.0-2921.6	76	2481.2-2482				
							2157.5-2159.1	.5				
							5					
Retrieved	$H_2O$ , $CO_2$ , $C_2H_4$ ,	$H_2O$ , OCS,	CH4, NO2, O3,	H <sub>2</sub> O, HDO,	O3, HNO3,	CO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> ,	O3, N2O, CO2,	CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub>	$H_2O$ , $CH_4$ , $O_3$	$H_2O, O_3,$		
interfering gases	03668, 03686	NH <sub>3</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O, HDO	CH <sub>4</sub>	$H_2O, CO_2$	H <sub>2</sub> O, HDO	OCS, H <sub>2</sub> O			$C_2H_2$ , $CH_4$		
H <sub>2</sub> O treatment	Profile retrieval	Scaling	Profile retrieval	Profile	Scaling	Profile	Profile	Profile	Profile	Profile		
		retrieval		retrieval	retrieval	retrieval	retrieval	retrieval	retrieval	retrieval		
SNR for	None	None	300	None	None	None	500	None	None	None		
de-weighting												
Sa	Diagonal: 20%	Diagonal:	Diagonal: 50%	Diagonal:	Diagonal:	Diagonal:	Diagonal:	Diagonal:	Diagonal:	Diagonal:		
	No correlation	50%	No correlation	10%	100%	10%	11% ~ 27%	10%	10%	21% ~ 79%		
		No		No correlation	Exponential	No correlation	No correlation	No	No correlation	No correlation		
		correlation			correlation			correlation				
					HWHM: 8 km							
Error analysis	Systematic error:											
	-Smoothing error											
	-Errors from parameters not retrieved by sfit4 a: Background curvature, Optical path difference, Field of view, Solar line strength, Background slope, Solar line shift, Phase,											
	Solar zenith angle,	Line temperat	ure broadening, Line	e pressure broaden	ing, Line intensity	у						
	Random error:											
	-Interference errors: Retrieval parameters, Interfering species											
	-Measurement error											
	- Errors from parameters not retrieved by sfit4 a: Temperature, Zero level											
<sup>a</sup> The input uncertain	nties of all these iten	ns are the same	and are included int	o error analysis if	they are not retrie	eved. Otherwise, the	he corresponding	uncertainties wo	ouldn't be include	đ.		

Table 3. Altitude ranges with sensitivity larger than 0.5 for all NDACC gases

Items	<b>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	HNO <sub>3</sub>	HCl	HF	ClONO <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>4</sub>	СО	N <sub>2</sub> O	$C_2H_6$	HCN
Altitude	Ground	17 - 28	18 - 42	18-44	20 - 28	Ground	Ground	Ground	Ground	4.5-18
ranges	- 44					- 31	- 27	- 31	- 13.5	
(km)										
Total	5.2	1.4	1.5	1.3	0.55	3.5	3.8	4.0	1.2	1.1
DOFs										

Table 4. Recommendation for suppressing fractional difference in total column for CIONO2 and other NDACC gases within 10% and 1%, respectively

Items	O3	HNO <sub>3</sub>	HCl	HF	ClONO <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>4</sub>	СО	N <sub>2</sub> O	$C_2H_6$	HCN
Positive ME	< 6%	<15%	<5%	<5%	<5%	*	<5%	*	< 9%	<13%
Negative ME	< 6%	*	<12%	<12%	*	*	*	*	*	*

 \*The influence on CIONO<sub>2</sub> is less than 10% and on all other NDACC gases are less than 1% even the ILS degrade by an excessively large of 28%, and thus can normally be regarded as negligible.