Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2017-417-AC2, 2018 © Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.





Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "A large-area blackbody for inflight calibration of an infrared interferometer deployed on board a long-duration balloon for stratospheric research" by Friedhelm Olschewski et al.

Friedhelm Olschewski et al.

olsch@uni-wuppertal.de

Received and published: 29 June 2018

Thanks to Anonymous Referee #2 for the valuable comments. You will find our response below:

Comment:

I urge the authors to make a conscious decision on whether temperatures should be given in K, in degrees Celsius, or both. They may consider using K throughout, which would presumably be the most systematic solution. Perhaps it is worthwhile to add the



Discussion paper



Celsius value in parentheses in certain cases. Celsius (Centigrade) are now used on p. 4 in Table 1, p. 5 line 2 and Table 2, p. 6 Figure 4 a), b) and figure caption, lines 4, 6, and 16, and Figure 7. The use of Celsius instead of K does not seem necessary in any of these cases, except perhaps in Tables 1 and 2, which give manufacturer specifications and may be given to whole Celsius values, but not the apparent 0.01 K precision that might result from calculation. I leave this to the authors to consider, but recommend that the choice is not made "by accident" in each individual case.

Reply: I can assure the referee that the choice between °C and K is not made "by accident". Of course, temperatures stated in Kelvin or degrees Celsius are equivalent. However, among metrology institutes it is common practice to use °C for absolute temperature values when the traceability to the International Temperature Scale of 1990 (ITS-90) shall be indicated and to use K and mK when (small) differences between temperatures or uncertainties shall be described. The Kelvin scale on Fig. 6 will be changed.

Comment:

p. 2 l. 29/30. Given the field of view of about 4 degrees x 4 degrees, it seems impossible not to include stars, galaxies or other in the "deep space" calibration point, which might degrade the uniformity of the temperature field. Please add a comment of explanation.

Reply: This aspect is very important and will be considered by the instrument developer. It is beyond the scope of this paper which only describes the large-area blackbody for the inflight calibration.

typos: p. 2 l. 14 consider "as an imaging FTS..." p. 2 l. 16 consider "... the chemistry mode, respectively."

Typos have been corrected.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2017-417, 2018.

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

