Response to Reviewer #1

We thank the reviewer for the helpful comments on the manuscript. Our point-to-point responses to each comment are as follows (reviewer comments are in black, and author responses are in blue).

I. General Comments

I recommend for this manuscript to be published in Atmospheric Measurement Techniques after revision. The manuscript is a significant contribution to the atmospheric TOF-CIMS literature providing a new method for generating a broad suite of reagent ions via electrospray. The elimination of radioactive ion sources is of great importance as these instruments are routinely deployed throughout the world. Moreover, the authors do a good job implementing the full suite of available procedures for characterizing the novel chemical ionization source (flow rate considerations, calibration with authentic standards, determination of backgrounds and LODs, high-resolution analysis of a complex mixture, voltage scanning, and multiple reagent ion comparisons). I would also like to applaud the authors for acknowledging that this is a work in progress; off-axis spray configurations, sheath gas flow, the positioning of the emitter to tune the reaction time, curtain gas (or counter) N_2 gas flow are all standard features on modern ESI-MS instruments and should improve this system's performance, but these considerations are outside the scope of prototype design and proof of concept experiments.

My only general concern with this manuscript is with the introduction. The authors provide good context for why this work is important and where it fits within the development TOF-CIMS methods and applications. It has, however, been known since 1986 that the electrospray of pure solvents are capable of ionizing gas phase species (see C.M. Whitehouse, F. Levin, C.K. Meng, J.B. Fenn, Proceedings of the 34th ASMS Conference on Mass Spectrometry and Allied Topics). This technique was formalized by Herbert Hill's group and termed secondary electrospray ionization (SESI) in 2000. Arguably, the authors have better controlled the chemistry of this ion source by isolating the electrospray plume from the incoming sample making this truly a chemical ionization source and not SESI. Even this claim is not entirely clear as the mechanism of SESI has been studied for years and no clear conclusion has been reached as to whether SESI ionization proceeds via interaction with small droplets, gas phase ions or other phenomenon. With this being the case, the extensive literature and the diversity of instrumental configurations utilizing electrosprays for the detection of gas-phase species and vapors necessitates placing this work in the broader context of the field. I highly encourage the authors to revisit the broader mass spectrometry literature and at a minimum comment on past work with electrospray plumes for detecting gas-phase species.

I have split my specific comments section into two parts: Major Specific Comments and Minor Specific Comments. There are claims in this manuscript that lack evidence, but I think some of these concerns are addressable with available data. My hope is that these Major Specific

Comments will only lead to a more convincing publication as the claims about ELVOC dimers have been highly contentious to some critics.

Response: Thanks for your overall positive evaluation of our manuscript and yours suggestion on commenting past work with secondary electrospray ionization (SESI) technique for detecting gas-phase species. We have added the following paragraph to the introduction.

"It has been known since 1986 that the electrospray plumes cannot only ionize solvated analytes, but also are capable of ionizing gas phase species (Whitehouse et al., 1986; Chen et al., 1994). The later technique was termed secondary electrospray ionization (SESI) (Wu et al., 2000; Tam and Hill, 2004). SESI-MS has been demonstrated promise in the real-time analysis of a variety of gas phase analytes, including drugs (Wu et al., 2000; Meier et al., 2012), explosives (Tam and Hill et al., 2004; Aernecke et al., 2015), human metabolites (Martínez-Lozano et al., 2011; García-Gómez et al., 2015), electronic cigarette vapors (García-Gómez et al., 2016), as well as volatile emissions from bacteria cultures (Zhu et al., 2010), food (Bean et al., 2015; Farrell et al., 2017), and plants (Barrios-Collado et al., 2016). In SESI, the electrospray plume and incoming sample flow intersect in the ionization region, and analyte ionization proceeds likely via interactions with both small charged droplets and electrospray source to an orthogonal continuous-flow atmospheric pressure IMR region via an evaporation region, we separate the electrospray plume from the incoming samples to avoid SESI, and instead allow for gas-phase chemical ionization."

II. Major Specific Comments

1. Lines 337-339: This is not an entirely correct interpretation of clustering and high-resolution peak fitting in the HR-TOF-CIMS. It is absolutely possible to determine whether ions observed using acetate CIMS are ion-neutral clusters or individual ions via voltage scanning techniques and/or a properly configuring the instrument. This is clearly shown in Brophy and Farmer, 2016, which the authors cite in this section. The HR-TOF-CIMS can be operated such that the probability of observing clusters is ridiculously small, but as Brophy and Farmer point out, operation in this mode may cause fragmentation of high molecular weight ELVOC-like molecules.

At sufficiently high m/Q, the ability to unambiguously distinguish iodide containing clusters from either non-iodide containing ion-neutral clusters and individual ions also becomes potentially nebulous. While the large negative mass defect of iodide certainly aids high-resolution analysis, it is not a panacea for high-resolution molecular formula assignment and the identification of clusters.

This manuscript deals with many other reagent ions that undergo clustering (meaning the instrument is operated in a cluster-transmitting mode), it would also be worthwhile to discuss the

limitations and advantages of Li^+ , Na^+ , K^+ , and NH_4^+ for high-resolution analysis. I would imagine that confident assignment of high-resolution ions would be as problematic for this set of positive ion as running acetate in a cluster-transmitting mode with no voltage scanning experiments.

Response: We agree with the reviewer that voltage scanning techniques and/or a proper instrument configuration may enable distinguishing between the ion-neutral clusters and the individual ions in the acetate mode, and that confident assignment of ions in the iodide mode may become difficult at sufficiently high m/Q. High resolution analysis showed that the vast majority of ions in Li^+ , Na^+ , K^+ , NH_4^+ , and NO_3^- modes could be assigned to the respective reagent ion-neutral clusters with reasonable molecular formulae based on the minimal mass error criteria and with a spectral pattern very similar to that of Iodide clusters. The unique m/Q and in some cases presence of nitrogen helped identify the cluster composition. While our focus did not include organic nitrates, we would suggest using ¹⁴N labeled NH₄⁺ or NO₃⁻ in such cases.

In the revised manuscript, we have modified the statements in lines 334-339 (changes underlined):

"The iodide clusters can be easily distinguished from iodide-free molecular ions due to the large negative mass defects of iodide (Lee et al., 2014), <u>although this advantage weakens at sufficiently high masses (> ~ 500 m/Q for a resolution of 5000).</u> In contrast, broadly distinguishing between acetate-neutral clusters and individual ions in the acetate mode remains a challenge when using non-isotopically labeled acetate as the reagent ion <u>and operating the instrument in a cluster-transmitting mode with no comprehensive voltage scanning experiments</u> (Lopez-Hilfiker et al., 2015; Brophy and Farmer, 2016), <u>as is the case in the present study. As a result, the high-resolution ions observed in the acetate mode cannot be confidently assigned to α -pinene ozonolysis products and are excluded from further discussions."</u>

2. Lines 423-430: Brophy and Farmer 2016 performed voltage scanning experiments using multiple adjacent components throughout the atmospheric pressure interface. If clusters are being formed in the first or second quadrupole regions (or during the transitions from one stage to another), then it would not have been possible to eliminate the presence of acetate clusters using adjacent components in the first quadrupole. Additionally, the reagent ion-clusters are most likely ejected from a stable trajectory after an energetic collision. This makes it very unlikely that the reagent ion will 1) re-combine with a neutral species, 2) find its way back into the ion beam or remain in the ion beam and 3) be transmitted through the second segmented quadrupole with a stable enough trajectory to make it to the last portions of the atmospheric pressure interface. This argument as to why a signal increase occurs as the voltage difference is initially increased is highly unlikely if not completely incorrect. The argument presented in lines 422-423 is simple and makes much more sense: ion transmission efficiency in positive mode simply increases a bit with a slight increase in voltage difference for this specific set of components. Slight increases in

voltage difference should drive ions into the second quadrupole more efficiently, and since these ion-neutral clusters are quite stable, the increased kinetic energy basically doesn't matter. The observation would probably be different for very weakly bound clusters.

Response: We have not done detailed ion trajectory modeling of ions and neutral collisions within the quadrupole ion guides and so we cannot comment on the reviewers' suggestion, though it is certainly a reasonable hypothesis. We have tempered our conclusion regarding secondary ion chemistry in the ion guides, and instead combined it directly with the explanation of potential changes in mass transmission.

We have deleted the discussions in lines 422-429 and added the following statement to the revised manuscript.

"The reason for the initial increase in cluster signals is unclear, but might involve secondary ion chemistry and/or slight changes in ion transmission efficiency of the instrument."

3. Lines 434-444: "...the cluster signals for smaller dimers generally decay more slowly than those for large dimers, suggesting these positive ions can more strongly bind to the smaller dimers, likely due to the higher polarity or the smaller steric effect for smaller dimers... This behavior implies these dimers are most likely covalently-bound species... rather than noncovalently-bound species formed during alpha-pinene ozonolysis"

The fact that smaller dimers decay more slowly than large dimers could also be explained with the alternative hypothesis that two smaller monomers (or one monomer and another small oxidation product) are clustered to the reagent ion. In this scenario, the smaller neutrals would also be more strongly bound to the reagent ion for the exact same reasons (less steric effects and higher polarity) than the larger monomers (or other oxidation products) clustered as a 3-body cluster.

This argument can be made more convincingly by showing the monomer declustering scans. Does this same trend hold true for the C-8 through C-10 monomers (which are most like the >C-10 dimers)? Does this same behavior hold true for dimers detected with nitrate CI and iodide CI?

The authors may already have the data to further understand the behavior of single vs multiple neutral-ion clusters. They note earlier in the manuscript (line 349) that they observe $NO_3(NaNO_3)_n^-$. While this is substantially different from ELVOC/HOM type hydrocarbons, this does present an interesting example of 3-body and higher-order clusters. What do the voltage scans of these clusters look like? What is the dV50 as a function of the number of NaNO₃ neutrals? Should we expect 3-body clusters consisting of two neutrals to be more or less stable than 2-body ion-neutral clusters?

This is a contentious area of HR-TOF-CIMS and nucleation/cluster chemistry. This is not "direct" evidence that these potential-dimers are strongly bound as we don't really know they are, in fact, covalently bonded molecules given the data presented.

I recommend either substantially reworking this section or providing additional evidence via the largest monomers, $NO_3(NaNO_3)_n$ clusters, and behavior of the dimers in iodide an nitrate experiments. Given the amount of additional data I am suggesting here, it may be better to actually remove this claim from this paper as it is outside the scope of characterizing and showing the promise of ESCI. This could be an impactful standalone paper if the additional data are consistent. Further study is likely warranted.

Response: We cannot rule out the possibility that two smaller monomers are strongly bound to the reagent ions forming non-covalently bound dimers based on the data presented in the manuscript. We have rephrased the conclusion that these dimer ions have a binding energy approaching that of a covalent bond. A ΔV_{50} of ~ 15V is a binding enthalpy of ~ 80 kcal/mol according to Figure 4 of Lopez-Hilfiker et al., AMT, 2016. Additional data on declustering scans of monomers, NO₃(NaNO₃)_n⁻ clusters, and dimers in iodide and nitrate modes may help further understand the behavior of ion-neutral clusters and the formation mechanism of dimers. However, as the reviewer pointed out, this is out of the main scope of the present study. Therefore, as suggested by the reviewer, we have removed the discussion on the dimers presented in lines 437-444.

III. Minor Specific Comments

1. Lines 126-128: Here the author's present "preliminary fluid dynamic simulations" that suggest the flow rates in which the flow profiles remain laminar. Previous work with the Aerodyne/Tofwerk HR-TOF-CIMS have evaluated this problem in the IMR by simply introducing a calibration gas flow until a stable signal is reached. Then, the calibration gas is shut off and the decay monitored to determine an e-folding time (or similar metric). This is an easy and direct method for understanding the mixing of gases in these reactors. If this source improves the e-folding time over the conventional Aerodyne/Tofwerk IMR design, this ion source could be quite an improvement!

Response: We have determined the e-folding time of ESCI source/atmospheric pressure orthogonal IMR design using nitric acid standard gas in the iodide mode. Figure R1 shows the changes in ion signal for $I(HNO_3)^-$ upon adding or shutting off the standard gas at an ion source flow of 1 slpm and sample flow of 5 or 10 slpm. The increase and decay of ion signal give an e-folding time of about 1s for nitric acid under two different flow conditions. This time response value is comparable to or better than that for the low pressure IMR (1 second to a few seconds).



Figure R1 Time series of $I(HNO_3)^-$ observed when sampling (a, b) 5 slpm or (c, d) 10 slpm humid room air containing HNO₃ gas. The ion source flow was 1 slpm. The dashed line indicates the time at which the standard gas was added or shut off.

We have added Figure R1 and following paragraph to the revised manuscript.

"The time response of ESCI source/atmospheric pressure orthogonal IMR design was determined using nitric acid standard in the iodide mode. HNO₃ was delivered from a permeation tube using a small (< 100 sccm) continuous flow UHP N₂ through a 3 mm OD Teflon tube to the inlet of the orthogonal IMR. Figure 4 shows the changes in ion signal for I(HNO₃)⁻ upon placing the HNO₃ delivery line at the opening of a 10 cm length of 2.5 cm OD Teflon tubing serving as the inlet to the IMR or removing the delivery line away from the inlet. Tests were conducted at an ion source flow of 1 slpm and sample flow of 5 or 10 slpm. The increase and decay of I(HNO₃)⁻ signal relative to that from HNO₃ in the laboratory air give an e-folding time of about 1s for nitric acid under two different flow conditions. This time response value is comparable to or better than that for the low pressure IMR (1 second to a few seconds)."

2. Lines 138-146: This section could use some clarification as to how the electrospray solvent is being pushed through the electrospray emitter. Conventional electrospray configurations use syringe pumps or HPLC/UPLC style solvent pumps with online feedback control making the use of a pressure driven solvent flow a bit different. This could, perhaps, be addressed in Figure 1 by showing the pressure controller, electrospray liquid reservoir, and high voltage connections. Additionally, have the authors measured the solvent flow rate? This is commonly used to distinguish between nano-ESI and standard ESI sources.

Response: To clarify how the electrospray solvent is driven through the electrospray emitter and how the voltage is applied to the electrospray solvent, we have added the pressure controller, electrospray liquid reservoir, and high voltage connections to the schematic of ESCI module (see Figure R2). In addition, we have modified the descriptions in lines 138-141 (changes underlined).

"During operation, a dilute salt solution (~0.05 wt%) in HPLC-grade methanol (MEOH) is biased at the reservoir to $\pm/-(2-5)$ kV depending on the ion mode by connecting a stainless steel rod immersed into the solution to a high voltage power supply. The solution reservoir is maintained at approximately 50 mbar above atmosphere using a commercial pressure controller (FLUIGENT, model MFCS-EZ) with 0.05 mbar precision. As a result, the salt solution is pushed through the fumed silica capillary tube to the spray needle by the pressure in the reservoir bottle."

We have not measured the solvent flow rate through the spray needle, but based on macroscopic volume changes in the reservoir, the flow rates are likely less than 100 nL/min.



Figure R2 Schematic of the electrospray chemical ionization (ESCI) source module

3. Lines 138-146: I am also curious why the electrospray voltage to reagent ion signal relationship was not investigated? The electrospray voltage is typically a key parameter and is

more complex in this source; the authors point this out by addressing that the ion drift/reaction time depends on the voltage difference between the emitter and the inlet capillary.

Response: We regret leaving this information out. We have investigated the effect of the electrospray voltage on reagent ion signals by varying the reservoir solution voltage (V_R) from +/- (2-5) kV. At a given V_R, the voltage applied to the evaporation tube and IMR (V_L) was carefully tuned to get the best ion signals (S_{max}), as well as the corresponding V_L, i.e., V_L(S_{max}). In the V_R range of 2-5 kV, a larger V_R (with a larger V_L(S_{max})) gives a higher reagent ion signal. In general, we found a fairly smooth curve above a threshold voltage for the reservoir, below which the spray was not stable. We found optimal performance with V_R values of 5 kV (corresponding V_L(S_{max}) = 2.8 kV) and -5 kV (corresponding V_L(S_{max}) = -3.5 kV) for positive ion and negative modes, respectively. We did not probe V_R values higher than 5 kV due to limitations of various power supplies available.

We have modified the sentences in lines 138-139 (changes underlined):

"During operation, a dilute salt solution (~0.05 wt%) in HPLC-grade methanol (MEOH) is biased at the reservoir to <u>+/-</u> (2-5) kV depending on the ion mode by connecting a stainless steel rod immersed into the solution to a high voltage power supply. At a given reservoir solution voltage (V_R), the voltage applied to the evaporation tube and IMR (V_L) was carefully tuned to get the best ion signals (S_{max}), as well as the corresponding V_L, referred to as V_L(S_{max}). In the V_R range of 2-5 kV, a larger V_s (with a larger V_L(S_{max})) gives a higher reagent ion signal. In order to obtain good ion signals, for most of the measurements performed in this study, V_R values of 5 kV (corresponding V_L(S_{max}) = 2.8 kV) and -5 kV (corresponding V_L(S_{max}) = -3.5 kV) were used in the positive ion and negative ion modes, respectively."

4. Lines 165-170: The use of methanol and salts is an interesting choice of reagent ion precursors. Have the authors considered the possibility or looked for any evidence of methanol/protonated-methanol clustering? Additionally, other solvents could be used such as acetonitrile, which typically exhibits less clustering effects in standard electrospray ion sources.

Response: We did not see evidence of protonated methanol clustering in the positive mode, but did see iodide-methanol clustering in the iodide mode when the voltage difference between the first and second quadrupoles is small ($\Delta V < 2V$). However, under typical operating conditions in the present study ($\Delta V = 4$), the iodide-methanol cluster signals became negligible due to declustering.

We have added following sentences after line 170.

"No evidence of protonated methanol clustering was observed when electrospraying a methanolic solution of the described salts except at the extreme voltage differences between the lens and entrance capillary where it was likely a discharge developed. Although the reagent ion

is likely solvated by methanol initially, the sensitivity of the ionization to various trace gases did not appear to be significantly affected in the present study."

We have not tried acetonitrile, but will certainly consider it in the further development and characterization of the ESCI source.

5. Lines 268-273: Here the authors address the differences in calibrations between atmospheric pressure ESCIMS and low-pressure CIMS. They attribute the differences to ion source configuration, pressure and ion-optics voltage settings. Tofwerk's Thuner voltage optimization tool would be a great way to ensure that the voltage configurations are optimized across sources. This also appears later in the manuscript where the authors comment on the differences between positive and negative ion modes.

Response: We agree. The present study focuses on the characterization and performance assessment of the ESCI source. The voltage configurations within the vacuum chamber of the instrument were not optimized in this work, and voltage optimization will be considered for the further characterization and application of the ESCI source.

6. Line 328-329: why was the 5 cps criteria chosen for the high-resolution fitting cutoff? Does this correspond to some significant signal-to-noise ratio? Eyeball test? Some justification of this number is required as various other recommendations have been suggested in the HR-TOF-CIMS literature for what constitutes a significant signal.

Response: This cutoff was somewhat ad hoc, and not based on a rigorous signal to noise criterion as we were interested in comparing several different spectra in a broad sense. As we argued in the manuscript, many of these ions might be of importance to various mechanisms of particle growth or organic radical chemistry, but identifying the composition of ever ion in these spectra is beyond the scope of this paper.

To clarify why 5 cps criteria was chosen for the high-resolution fitting cutoff, we have modified sentences in lines 327-331 (changes underlined):

"High resolution peak fitting was performed and reasonable molecular formulae were assigned for detected ions that have intensity higher than 5 cps in all seven ion modes. <u>Many ions are</u> present at < 5 cps, which were excluded from the high-resolution fittings to ease the number of identifications required for comparison of several different spectra. Although these lower signal ions might be of importance to various mechanisms of particle growth or organic radical chemistry, identifying their compositions was deemed beyond the scope of this paper."

7. Lines 348-350: The presence of $I(NaI)^-$ and $NO_3(NaNO_3)_n$ - suggests that the reagent ions generated from this ESCI source are not identical to the reagent ions generated from

conventional Po-210 based methods. This may explain why the mass spectrum obtained for alpha-pinene ozonolysis are similar but not identical to Lopez-Hilfiker et al., 2015 results.

Response: The difference in the composition of reagent ions generated by ESCI and Po-210 sources may help explain why the mass spectra obtained for α -pinene ozonolysis are not identical in the two studies. However, different voltage settings and instrument configurations in the two studies can also lead to differences in the mass spectra. Iodide adducts are likely weaker than most of the other adducts studied, and thus most sensitive to instrumental parameters.

8. Lines 368-371: It might be worth adding a figure to better illustrate the difference between these various reagent ions. For example, a histogram of O:C or oxidation state by high-resolution assignment could help to highlight these differences. This provides a great opportunity to further show that reagent ions are compound-class specific and parallels the previous work of Aljawhary et al., 2013. I think that bulk metrics (i.e. average oxygen:carbon ratio) would be less informative.

Response: We have added a figure showing boxplots with 5 different percentiles for the O:C ratio of monomeric products from α -pinene ozonolysis detected in I⁻, NO₃⁻, and Na⁺ modes (See Figure R3). We have also added the following paragraph to the revised manuscript.

"Figure 11 shows boxplots for the O:C ratio of monomeric products from α -pinene ozonolysis detected in Γ , NO₃⁻, and Na⁺ modes. The O:C values for all the percentiles observed in Γ and NO₃⁻ modes are overall similar, whereas the corresponding values observed in Na⁺ mode are obviously smaller. In addition, more than half of products observed in the three modes have a O:C ratio larger than 0.8. These results are consistent with the observations from Figure 10, where Γ , NO₃⁻, and Na⁺ are all sensitive to highly oxygenated organics, but the former two reagent ions are insensitive to less oxygenated organics as compared to Na⁺."



Figure R3 Boxplots showing the 5th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 95th percentiles for the O:C ratio of monomeric products from α -pinene ozonolysis detected in different ion modes.

IV. Technical Corrections

1. Line 85: remove double ".."

Response: We have revised it.

2. Line 116: please specify the manufacturer/model if this is a commercial silica spray needle or simply a piece of fused silica tubing

Response: We have specified the manufacturer/model of the silica spray needle and fused silica tubing.

3. Line 126: what type of pump was used to back the IMR? Was the flow controlled via mass flow controller or some other device?

Response: The IMR was backed by a dry scroll vacuum pump (IDP-3, Agilent Technologies) and the flow was controlled by a mass flow controller. We have stated this in the revised manuscript.

4. Line 139: the electrospray solvent reservoir is biased between 3-5 kV. Should this read something like "+/- 3-5 kV depending on the ion mode"? Typical electrospray systems require different voltage magnitudes depending on the ion mode (positive vs negative) with negative mode typically requiring higher negative potentials. This would be useful information.

Response: We have changed "...biased at the reservoir to 3-5 kV" to "...biased at the reservoir to +/-(3-5) kV depending on the ion mode".

For both positive and negative ion modes, voltages magnitudes applied to the electrospray solvent reservoir (V_R) are the same, but the voltages applied to the evaporation tube and IMR (V_L) are different. For example, when $V_S = +/-5$ kV, V_L for the best ion signals is 2.8 kV in the positive ion mode and -3.9 kV in the negative ion mode (see the reply to Minor Specific Comments #3).

5. Similar to item 2 in the specific comments section: It would be useful to show the electrospray solvent reservoir, pressure controller, and HV connections in Figure 1 as the experimental configuration is not totally clear.

Response: We have added electrospray solvent reservoir, pressure controller, and HV connection components to the schematic of the ESCI module (see Figure R2).

6. Figure 2a appears to have error bars while figure 2b does not.

Response: Figure 2b shows the dependence of the ion signal on the sample flow with an ion source flow/sample flow ratio of 0.1. We did not repeat the experiments for the same conditions,

but instead we did the same measurement at ion source flow/sample flow ratios ranging from 0.02-0.2. The trend of the ion signal vs. the sample flow at each flow ratio is very similar to that shown in Figure 2b, though the absolute ion signal values are different.

7. Figure 3's caption reads "normalized sensitivity" while the y-axis reads signal in counts per second. Should the units be normalized counts per second (ncps) and/or "normalized signal"?

Response: We have changed y-axis label in Figure 3 to "normalized signal".

Response to Reviewer #2

We thank the reviewer for the helpful comments on the manuscript. Our point-to-point responses to each comment are as follows (reviewer comments are in black, and author responses are in blue).

The authors describe a characterization study on a new ion source which is tailored for fielddeployable time-of-flight mass spectrometers, which are widely used by the atmospheric science community. The procedure of electrospraying methanolic salt solutions of alkali metals and ammonium for positive ion generation is described as a major advantage compared to radioactive source materials, which are subject to certain restrictions and regulations. Gas-phase measurements are demonstrated for a limited number (three) of standards, and ion-source flow and humidity dependencies are investigated. The application of the source with four different cluster ion systems (Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺ and NH₄⁺) is demonstrated using α -pinene ozonolysis as a surrogate system for ambient SOA.

While the manuscript certainly falls into the scope of AMT, I have some major objections that need to be addressed before publication.

1) The manuscript does not provide a sound argument why the presented technique is an alternative to already existing techniques, such as e.g. proton-transfer reaction (PTR) technology. While the argument of providing a non-radioactive way of charge generation is certainly valid, the authors only indirectly mention (by the citation of e.g. Veres 2008 and Yuan 2016) that a common way to generate ions for atmospheric measurements is the use of hollow cathodes or corona discharge.

A certainly novel characteristic of the described technique is the sampling with an orthogonal high flow rate at atmospheric pressure across the inlet capillary, which likely reduces sampling losses of highly oxidized, low-volatile compounds. The presented design enables the authors to measure oxidized monomers and dimers of α -pinene oxidation in the gas phase that are usually not accessible with common PTR inlets. However, Breitenlechner et al. (2017) recently have shown that PTR ionization using charged water clusters as reagent ions is sensitive towards monomeric and dimeric α -pinene oxidation products in the gas phase at ppqv levels. This demonstrates that the gas inlet design is crucial for successfully measuring highly oxidized compounds in the gas phase. Breitenlechner et al. demonstrated that the whole volatility spectrum of VOCs, SVOCs, LVOCs and ELVOCs can be covered by PTR and thus it is questionable that one needs multiple complementary ionization schemes (as stated in 1. 80).

Response: We appreciate the reviewer's point, and have added a reference to the new PTR-3 to the introduction as it is showing an impressive sensitivity to a wide range of organic compounds. Our experience has been that each reagent ion chemistry has advantages and disadvantages depending upon the analytical goal. Adduct ionization can lead to humidity dependencies or ion-

induced dimers (as discussed herein), while reactive charge transfer methods such as PTR can lead to water loss from alcohols or nitric acid loss from nitrates and thus changes in the spectrum compared to the sample as discussed in Blake et al. (2009).

One of the major characteristic of the CIMS techniques including PTR technology is their high selectivity. The volatility and polarity spectra of the targeted species largely depend on the selection of reagent ions. Although a specific reagent ion may sensitively detect species spanning a wide spectrum of volatility or polarity, it may not be able to cover all of the species presented within the spectrum. For example, as presented in this study, the Na⁺ reagent ion is sensitive to the species covering the volatility spectrum of VOCs, SVOCs, LVOCs, and ELVOCs, as well as the polarity spectrum of less oxygenated and highly oxygenated species. However, some species such as the very small species (CH₂O₂, CH₂O₃, and C₂H₂O₃) and certain highly oxygenated species detected by Γ and NO₃⁻ are not detected or sensitively detected by Na⁺, presumably because of the low sensitivity to these specific species.

Moreover, this comment seems only relevant to organic compounds, but there is continued interest in a suite of inorganic vapors for atmospheric chemistry including halogens, reactive nitrogen oxides, and reduced nitrogen species which may be detected well by some ionization methods and not others. Therefore, multiple complementary ionization schemes are needed to obtain a comprehensive view of a complex chemical system such as that found in the atmosphere.

The electrospray chemical ionization (ESCI) source presented in this work provides an alternative to radioactive sources and allows the production of reagent ions (e.g., Li^+ , Na^+ , K^+ and NH_4^+) that are largely unavailable in current CIMS techniques, including ionization methods that utilize hollow cathodes or corona discharge methods. Thus, the work provides a new alternative form of ionization with a good deal of flexibility to study ionization of compounds by adduct formation across a range of binding enthalpies.

2) The authors state that the main advantage of CIMS is the inherently quantitative character when applying the kinetic theory of gases. To estimate the upper limit ionization efficiency it is a prerequisite that the flows in the IMR region are laminar in order to calculate the interaction time between ions and neutrals. Any flow disturbance or pressure fluctuation in the ionization region can result in turbulent mixing in which secondary ion interactions can become dominant. Therefore the authors evaluated the design with CFD simulations (not shown) and found that under the investigated conditions flows are in the laminar regime.

However, when using electrospray as a source and sampling ambient air of different humidity, ions do appear solvated by alcohol or water clusters (e.g. Horning,1974; Garvey, 1994). This implies that the ion mobility of the charged clusters in the IMR is different from the values stated for unsolvated NO_3^- and Na^+ stated in line 145 and thus the interaction time between ion clusters and gas phase analytes is unknown. The fact that water vapour concentration changes the cluster

size distribution (ion source residence time) and thus affects the sensitivity should be added to the discussion in 1. 274 ff.

Furthermore, it can also not be excluded that secondary reactions occur in the ion transfer optics hindering the estimation of ionization efficiency.

Response: We agree that the reagent ions can be solvated by methanol or water clusters in the IMR. Because of the lack of information on the ion mobility of the solvated reagent ions, the reaction time between these reagent ions and gas phase analytes in the IMR is currently unknown. However, given that the ion mobility of solvated ions is generally smaller than unsolvated reagent ions, the actual ion-molecule interaction time in the IMR is expected to be longer than that estimated for the unsolvated ions.

We have modified the statement around line 145 (changes underlined):

"Under laminar flow conditions, the reaction time between reagent ions and sampled trace gases in the IMR is mainly determined by the electric field-induced drift velocity of the reagent ions. For instance, for two reagent ions used in this study, NO_3^- and Na^+ , the ion molecule reaction time (i.e., ion drift time) in the IMR is estimated to be 0.5-1 ms and 0.4-0.7 ms, respectively, with an ion mobility of 2.37 cm² s⁻¹ V⁻¹ for NO_3^- (Ellis et al., 1978) and 3.4 cm² s⁻¹ V⁻¹ for Na^+ (Bohringer et al., 1987) under typical operation conditions (2-4 kV across the IMR). <u>However</u>, when using electrospray as a source and sampling ambient air of different humidity, the reagent ions can be solvated by methanol or water clusters (Horning et al., 1974; Garvey et al., 1994). As the ion mobility of solvated reagent ions is likely smaller than the values for unsolvated reagent ions, the ion-molecule reaction time between solvated reagent ions and gas phase analytes in the IMR is expected to be longer than that estimated for the unsolvated ions."

We have also modified the paragraph in lines 274-281 (changes underlined):

"The presence of water vapor can affect sensitivities, either by competing for I⁻ ions, thus lowering the sensitivity, or by accommodating excess energy from the collision to stabilize the Iodide-molecule clusters, thereby increasing the sensitivity (Lee et al., 2014; Iyer et al., 2016). Water vapor may also affect sensitivities by changing the size distribution of reagent ion clusters and thus their residence time (ion-molecule reaction time) in the IMR. Moreover, water vapor can affect the transmission of soluble gases through sample tubing. It is difficult to evaluate the effect of changing cluster size distribution as the information regarding the distribution and ion mobility of the reagent ion clusters is currently unavailable. In the current configuration of the ESCIMS, it is also difficult to isolate the sample transfer effect experimentally as done previously in low-pressure IMR regions by using separate delivery lines for calibrants and water vapor. Thus, our results shown here reflect a combination of ionization efficiency, cluster distribution, and sample transfer aspects."

The secondary reactions in the ion transfer optics cannot be ruled out, but they are usually assumed to be negligible for estimating the maximum sensitivities.

3) The list of reagent ions in CIMS (1. 69) does not mention protonated ethanol for selective measurements of gas phase NH₃, DMSO and VOCs (Nowak, 2002). In addition to this, I wonder what would be seen with the described system when using only methanol instead of a methanolic solution of the described salts. In theory methanol has a slightly smaller proton affinity than ethanol (7.9 vs 8.2 eV) and one might observe ionization by proton transfer reactions from methanol electrospray itself (via the reagent ion clusters $(CH_3OH)_nH^+$).

Furthermore, are proton transfer reactions observed when using NH₄⁺ as reagent ion?

Response: Thanks for catching this oversight. We have modified the sentence in line 69 (changes underlined):

"The reagent ions used mainly include Γ , NO₃", acetate, CF₃O", and SF₆" for negative ion CIMS, and H₃O⁺, NO⁺, protonated ethanol, and benzene cation for positive ion CIMS."

We have not tried using only methanol as the electrospray liquid. However, we did not see evidence of protonated methanol clusters when electrospraying a methanolic solution of the described salts. Our comparisons of spectra also do not provide any obvious evidence for proton transfer reactions when using NH_4^+ as the reagent ion for the a-pinene ozonolysis system.

We have also added the following statement after line 170:

"No evidence of protonated methanol clustering was observed when electrospraying a methanolic solution of the described salts except at the extreme voltage differences between the lens and entrance capillary where it was likely a discharge developed. Although the reagent ion is likely solvated by methanol initially, the sensitivity of the ionization to various trace gases did not appear to be significantly affected in the present study."

4) Electrospray ionization has previously been reported in an extraction mode, in which online measurements of aerosols (Gu, 2010) and especially a-pinene SOA (Doezema, 2012; Gallimore, 2013) has successfully been demonstrated. The apparent question related to these publications and the reported application to chamber studies of a-pinene SOA is: How can the authors rule out that the observed signal does not emerge from aerosol particles? This is not discussed in the manuscript but might have fundamental consequences on the interpretation made in chapter 3.4. The figure that needs to be shown is that the gas phase concentration is independent of SOA mass.

Response: In the present study, the ESCI source is coupled to an orthogonal continuous-flow atmospheric pressure IMR region. Under typical sample flow and ion source flow conditions, the mixed flow in the IMR remains laminar, and the sample flow acts to carry the unevaporated

droplets entering the IMR away from the effective ionization region, thus largely isolating the electrospray plume from incoming samples, making the ESCI source a chemical ionization source rather than extractive or secondary electrospray ionization (EESI or SESI).

The evidence of the ESCI source being a chemical ionization source and not EESI or SESI can be provided by monitoring the signal ratio of $NO_3^-/I(HNO_3)^-$ when sampling gas phase HNO₃ in the iodide mode. If the direct interaction between electrospray plume and incoming sample flow is important, HNO₃ dissolved in charged droplets can dissociate forming H⁺ and NO₃⁻, leading to the generation of NO₃⁻ ions in the negative ion mode. Therefore, a large signal ratio of NO₃⁻ /I(HNO₃)⁻ is expected. Figure R1 shows the signal ratio of NO₃⁻/I(HNO₃)⁻ as a function of gas phase HNO₃ concentration under dry and wet conditions observed in the iodide mode. The signal ratios of NO₃⁻/I(HNO₃)⁻ are significantly smaller than 0.01 at various HNO₃ concentrations, suggesting the direct interaction of electrospray plume with incoming samples is not important in the ESCI source.



Figure R1 Signal ratio of $NO_3^{-}/I(HNO_3)^{-}$ as a function of HNO_3 concentration under dry and wet conditions observed using iodide as the reagent ion.

We have added Figure R1 and following paragraph after line 146.

"Under typical operating conditions, the sample flow likely transports any unevaporated droplets away from the effective ionization region in the IMR, thus largely isolating the electrospray plume from the incoming samples, making the ESCI source a chemical ionization source rather than secondary or extractive electrospray ionization (SESI or EESI) source. The evidence of the ESCI source being a chemical ionization source and not SESI or EESI is provided by monitoring the signal ratio of $NO_3^{-}/I(HNO_3)^{-}$ when sampling gas phase HNO₃ in the iodide mode. If the direct interaction between electrospray plume and incoming sample flow is important, HNO₃ dissolved in charged droplets can dissociate forming H^+ and NO_3^- , leading to the generation of NO_3^- ions in the negative ion mode. Therefore, a large signal ratio of $NO_3^-/I(HNO_3)^-$ is expected. Figure 3 shows the signal ratio of $NO_3^-/I(HNO_3)^-$ as a function of gas phase HNO_3 concentration under dry and wet conditions observed in the iodide mode. The signal ratios of $NO_3^-/I(HNO_3)^-$ are significantly smaller than 0.01 at various HNO_3 concentrations, suggesting the direct interaction of electrospray plume with incoming samples is not important in the ESCI source."

5) Chapter 3.4.3: While the work by Lopez-Hilfiker et al. (2016) was conducted at IMR pressure 70 Torr, the reported work samples from an atmospheric pressure IMR. Thus, I assume that the pressure in the transfer ion optics reported in this work is significantly higher than in the work by Lopez-Hilfiker et al. (2016). How does the pressure in the transfer quadrupoles affect the declustering?

Response: In the present work, a stainless steel capillary tube (20 mm long, 0.13 mm ID) acts as the atmospheric pressure interface between the IMR and the vacuum chamber of the MS, and effectively drops the pressure from 1 atm in the IMR to about 1.5 Torr in the first quadrupole of the MS. The pressure in the transfer ion optics in the present study is very similar to that in the work by Lopez-Hilfiker et al. (2016). Thus, the deculstering results obtained in the two studies can be compared.

We have modified the sentences in lines 131-133 (changes underlined):

"The SS capillary projects 3.5 mm into the IMR and acts as the atmospheric pressure interface between the IMR and the vacuum chamber of a commercial HRToF-MS (Tofwerk AG, Thun, Switzerland), effectively dropping the atmospheric pressure in the IMR to 1.5 Torr in the first <u>quadrupole of the MS</u>."

6) The conclusion that the observed dimers are covalently bound molecules instead of noncovalently bound clusters cannot be made, since the authors do not show that ion cluster dimers show a different declustering profile in the Li-mode. Furthermore, the fact that gas-phase dimers are covalently bound molecules was already reported by Kirkby et al. (2016), contrary to the statement in 1. 438 ff.

Response: See our response to reviewer 1. We have modified the conclusion that the dimer ions have adduct energies with Li^+ that approach covalent bonds. As the behavior and formation mechanism of dimers is out of the scope of characterizing and showing the promise of ESCI source in the present study, we have deleted the discussions about the dimers in lines 436-444.

Minor comments:

1. 88: NH4⁺ is not a metal cation- Li⁺ is missing.

Response: We have revised "certain reagent ions such as metal cations (e.g., Na^+ , K^+ , and NH_4^+)" as "certain reagent ions such as metal cations (e.g., Li^+ , Na^+ , and K^+) and NH_4^+ ".

1. 92 - 93: Why is it expected that metal cations are less selective toward HOMs? Furthermore, it is not possible to infer carbon oxidation state from the molecular composition. E.g. an hydroperoxide and an alcohol functional group lead to the same carbon oxidation state but different avg. OSC. Also a carbonyl group is a higher carbon oxidation state than a hydroperoxide.

Response: It is more that the metal cations are more sensitive to less oxygenated compounds than are Γ and NO₃⁻. Compared to Γ and NO₃⁻, which are significantly more sensitive to highly oxygenated organic compounds than to less oxygenated ones, the metal cations such as Li⁺, Na⁺, and K⁺ are less selective because in our system they can sensitively detect both less oxygenated (e.g, compounds containing only carbonyl groups) and highly oxygenated multi-functional organic species. We are now careful to specify that by carbon oxidation state we mean average oxidation state of carbon in the molecule.

To clarify these points, we have modified the sentences in lines 91-96 (changed underlined):

"Compared to Γ , NO₃", and acetate, <u>which are significantly more sensitive to highly oxygenated</u> organic compounds than less oxygenated ones (Aljawhary et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2014, Hyttinen et al., 2015; Iyer et al., 2016, Berndt et al., 2016), these metal cations are expected to be less selective toward <u>oxygenated</u> organic compounds and can be used to sensitively detect both less <u>oxygenated</u> (e.g, compounds containing only carbonyl groups) and highly <u>oxygenated</u> multifunctional organic species (Gao et al., 2010; Nguyen et al., 2010; Nizkorodov et al., 2011; Laskin et al., 2012; Witkowski and Gierczak, 2013; Zhao et al., 2015; Tu et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2017)"

1. 133: What is the sample flow of the MS?

Response: The sample flow of MS is about 270 sccm. We have added this to the revised manuscript.

l. 143: Na+ superscript.

Response: We have revised this.

1. 217: What is the driving force of evaporation? Temperature or dilution into a solvent-free sample flow?

Response: The driving force of evaporation includes both the addition of a flow of ultra-high purity N_2 to the evaporate tube and the dilution into a solvent-free sample flow. However, as the sample flow can quickly carry the unevporated droplets out of the effective ionization region, the

evaporation of these droplets would not be a major contributor to the total ion signal (see the reply to Major Comments # 4).

Although we did not investigate the temperature effect on evaporation, we believe that a higher temperature (e.g., a heated ion source flow) can further improve the evaporation and is worth considering in the further development and characterization of the ESCI source.

1. 246: Why is the ion molecule reaction time in ESCIMS shorter than in low-pressure CIMS?

Response: The ion-molecule reaction time in ESCIMS is determined by the electrical-induced ion drift velocity of the reagent ions. The lower limit is equal to the residence time of unsolvated reagent ions and is, for example, 0.5-1 ms for NO_3^- and 0.4-0.7 ms for Na^+ when there is 2-4 kV across the IMR. In contrast, the ion-molecule reaction time in low-pressure CIMS is determined by the flow conditions in the IMR and is typically 30 to 120 ms (Lopez-Hilfiker et al., 2016; Bertram et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2014).

1. 307: The background signals in Figure 5a are lower than those written.

Response: Thank you for pointing this out. The y-axes in all mass spectrum figures including Figure 5 actually represents the signal density, i.e., d(Signal)/d(m/Q), and the ion signal is equal to the integration of the peak area. We have revised the y-axes label "Signal (cps)" as "d(Signal)/d(m/Q) (cps)" in all the mass spectrum figures.

1. 327: misspelling: reagent

Response: We have revised this.

1. 424: misspelling: reagent

Response: We have revised this.

1. 429: Why are the declustering scans for the monomers not shown?

Response: Scans for the monomers are discussed in the text, but for example purposes, the differences in the behaviors resulting from the reagent ions Li^+ , Na^+ , K^+ , and NH_4^+ were simply cleaner and clearer using the dimers. These ions have more uncertainty regarding their source and structure and thus provide a scientifically more interesting example. As noted above, in the case of Li^+ , these dimer ions are very strongly bound and would therefore provide a good test case for quantum chemical modeling of the binding energies of a covalent dimer compared to two monomers surrounding the ion. Thus, we wish to highlight the dimer ions here as monomer ion declustering behaviors for Iodide are shown in this study and discussed in detail in Lopez-Hilfiker et al. (2016).

Figure 8: What is the peak-width of the measured signals in y-direction? Some of the dimers have a very large positive mass defect (>0.2 at m/z < 300). What is the elemental composition of these signals?

Response: The peak-width at half height is 0.03 at m/z 127 and 0.07 at m/z 381. The dimers with a mass defect > 0.2 and m/z < 300 have low O:C and high H:C ratios. Their molecular formulae include $C_{15}H_{28-30}O_2$, $C_{16}H_{30-32}O_{2-3}$, $C_{17}H_{28-30}O_{2-4}$, $C_{18}H_{28-32}O_3$, etc.

1		
2		
3		
Л		
4		
5 6	An electrospray chemical ionization source for real-time measurement of atmospheric organic and inorganic vapors	
7		
8		
9	Yue Zhao ¹ Jeremy Chan ^{1,2} Feline D. Lonez-Hilfiker ^{1,3} Emma L. D'Ambro ¹ Jay G. Slowik ³	井按于的 · 今休颜舟· 白动沿署
10	Jeff Riffell, ² and Joel A. Thornton ¹	带格式的:上标
11		带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
12	¹ Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA	
13	² Department of Biology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA	
14	³ Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institute, 5232 Villigen, Switzerland	
15		
16		
47		
17		
18		
19	<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>	带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
20	Correspondence to: Joel A. Thornton (thornton@atmos.washington.edu), Felipe D. Lopez-	带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
21	Hilfiker (felipe.lopez@psi.ch)	域代码已更改
22		带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
		带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
23		域代码已更改
		带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
		带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置

带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置

24 Abstract

25 We present an electrospray ion source coupled to an orthogonal continuous-flow atmospheric pressure chemical ionization region. The source can generate intense and stable currents of 26 27 several specific reagent ions using a range of salt solutions prepared in methanol, thereby providing both an alternative to more common radioactive ion sources and allowing for the 28 generation of reagent ions that are not available in current chemical ionization mass spectrometry 29 30 (CIMS) techniques, such as alkali metal cations. We couple the orthogonal electrospray chemical 31 ionization (ESCI) source to a high resolution time-of-flight mass spectrometer (HRToF-MS), and 32 assess instrument performance through calibrations using nitric acid (HNO₃), formic acid (HCOOH), and isoprene epoxydiol (trans-β-IEPOX) gas standards, and through measurements 33 34 of oxidized organic compounds formed from ozonolysis of α-pinene in a continuous-flow reaction chamber. When using iodide as the reagent ion, the HRToF-ESCIMS prototype has a 35 sensitivity of 11, 2.4, and 10 cps pptv⁻¹ per million cps of reagent ions and a detection limit (3σ , 36 37 5s averaging) of 4.9, 12.5, and 1.4 pptv to HNO₃, HCOOH, and IEPOX, respectively. These 38 values are comparable to those obtained using an Iodide-adduct HRToF-CIMS with a radioactive 39 ion source and low pressure ion-molecule reaction region. Applications to the α -pinene ozonolysis system demonstrates that HRToF-ESCIMS can generate multiple reagent ions (e.g, I, 40

41 NO_3^- , acetate, Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, and NH₄⁺) having different selectivity to provide a comprehensive

42 molecular description of a complex organic system.

44 1. Introduction

45	The Earth's atmosphere contains thousands of inorganic and organic species that, through		
46	complex free radical and multiphase chemistry, play a vital role in air quality and climate change		域代码已更改
47	(Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 2000; Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006; Goldstein and Galbally, 2007).		
48	Characterizing the identity and abundance of many of these species in the atmosphere is essential		
49	for understanding their atmospheric processes and subsequent environmental and climate		
50	impacts. As a result, there is a critical interest in the development and application of the state-of-		
51	art analytical instruments for the analysis of atmospheric composition (Noziere et al., 2015).		域代码已更改
52	As a sensitive, selective, and soft-ionization measurement technique, chemical ionization mass		
53	spectrometry (CIMS) has received significant use in the real-time <i>in situ</i> measurement of		
54	atmospheric trace species (Huey et al., 1995; Fortner et al., 2004; Hearn and Smith, 2004; Smith		域代码已更改
55	et al., 2004; Crounse et al., 2006; Huey, 2007; Veres et al., 2008; Kercher et al., 2009; Zhao et al.,		
56	2010). The recent coupling of chemical ionization to high resolution time-of-flight mass		
57	spectrometers (HRToF-MS) enables the simultaneous determination of the abundance and		
58	molecular composition of a wide array of atmospheric inorganic and organic compounds with		
59	fast time response and high sensitivity (Junninen et al., 2010: Bertram et al., 2011: Yatavelli et	_	协代码已再改
60	al., 2012: Aliawhary et al., 2013: Lee et al., 2014: Lopez-Hilfiker et al., 2014: Brophy and		
61	Farmer, 2015, 2016: Lopez-Hilfiker et al., 2016a: Yuan et al., 2016). The use of HRToF-CIMS		
62	has allowed groundbreaking progress in atmospheric organic chemistry, such as the observation		
63	of highly oxygenated molecules (HOMs) formed by monoterpene oxidation (Ehn et al., 2014:	_	域代码已更改
64	Jokinen et al., 2015: Berndt et al., 2016: Lee et al., 2016). Very recently, a newly developed	- (
65	proton-transfer reaction (PTR) time of flight instrument (PTR-3), has enabled sensitive detection		
66	of a wide range of organic compounds including HOMs (Breitenlechner et al., 2017).		
	Le CDAC de se late mala de mide e se ité anna ité anna ité anna de mide en se ité anna de miser		
67	In CIVIS, the analyte molecule reacts with a specific reagent ion via one or more mechanisms,	ſ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
68	including ligand switching reaction forming an ion-molecule adduct (Huey et al., 1995; Kercher		域代码已更改
69 70	et al., 2009; Aljawhary et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2014; Brophy and Farmer, 2015, 2016), proton	C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
70	addition (abstraction) forming a protonated (deprotonated) ion (Nowak et al., 2002; Veres et al.,		域代码已更改
/1	2008; Y atavelli et al., 2012; Aljawhary et al., 2013; Brophy and Farmer, 2015, 2016; Y uan et al.,	C	
72	2016), or by direct charge transfer forming a molecular ion (Huey et al., 1995; Kim et al., 2016).		域代码已更改
73	The reagent ions used mainly include I, NO_3 , acetate, CF_3O , and SF_6 for negative ion CIMS,	C	
74	and H ₃ O', NO', protonated ethanol, and benzene cation for positive ion CIMS. Choosing an	-1	带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
75	appropriate reagent ion is essential for a comprehensive characterization of a specific class of		
76	molecules while having selectivity to avoid unnecessary congestion of the mass spectrum with		
77	unwanted components. For example, previous studies using NO ₃ CIMS have reported a very	C	
78	low yield of HOMs from OH oxidation of monoterpene (Jokinen et al., 2015). However, a recent		域代码已更改
/9	study using acetate CIMS found a significantly higher HOMs yields from the same system		域代码已更改
80	(Berndt et al., 2016). The reason for this difference is presumably a lower sensitivity of NO_3 to	(
81	HOMs formed in OH oxidation of monoterpene than that of acetate (Berndt et al., 2016). On the		域代码已更改
82	other hand, many atmospheric organic systems consist of a wide range of organic compounds		

83	with different functionality and polarity. Therefore, multiple complementary ionization schemes	
84	are needed to obtain a broad view of these systems (Aljawhary et al., 2013; Praplan et al., 2015).	域代码已更改
85	Some advantages of CIMS are that it is direct, online, reproducible and inherently quantitative in	
86	that the kinetic theory of gases allows a robust upper limit ionization efficiency, and thus	
87	instrument response, to be calculated knowing only the pressure and interaction time of reagent	
88	ions and analyte molecules. However, the need for gas-phase reagent ions limits the suite of	
89	usable reagent ions to those for which a safe and stable gas-phase precursor exists and which	
90	produce the desired reagent ion cleanly at a high yield when ionized. As such, certain reagent	
91	ions such as metal cations (e.g., Li^+ , Na^+ , and K^+) and NH_4^\pm metal cations (e.g., Na^+ , K^+ , and	带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
92	NH ₄ ⁺), which are commonly used for detection of atmospheric organic compounds in off-line	
93	techniques like electrospray ionization (ESI)-MS (Nizkorodov et al., 2011; Laskin et al., 2012;	域代码已更改
94	Witkowski and Gierczak, 2013), have remained largely unavailable for CIMS (Fujii et al., 2001).	
95	Compared to I, NO ₃ , and acetate, which are generally more sensitive to more oxygenated	带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
96	organic compounds than to less oxygenated ones (Aljawhary et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2014,	带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
97	Hyttinen et al., 2015; Iyer et al., 2016, Berndt et al., 2016), these metal cations are expected to be	带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
98	less selective toward <u>oxygenatedoxidized organic compounds and can be used be able to to</u>	带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
99	sensitively detect both less <u>oxygenatedoxidized</u> (e.g, compounds containing only carbonyl	带格式的: 字体颜色: 自动设置
100	groups) and highly oxygenatedpolar multi-functional organic species (Gao et al., 2010; Nguyen	
101	et al., 2010; Nizkorodov et al., 2011; Laskin et al., 2012; Witkowski and Gierczak, 2013; Zhao et	
102	al., 2015; Tu et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017), and to form more strongly bound	域代码已更改
103	ion adducts. In addition, at present most CIMS techniques use a radioactive ion source such as	
104	Po-210 to produce the reagent ions, although more recently some utilize X-ray radiation,	
105	electrical discharge (Hirokawa et al., 2009; Yuan et al., 2016), or electron impact (Inomata and	域代码已更改
106	Hirokawa, 2017). Safety regulations for the transport and use of radioactive materials may limit	域代码已更改
107	the deployment of the instrument with a radioactive ion source in the field, while other methods	
108	may be less intense or lead to higher backgrounds.	
109	We have developed a non-radioactive reagent ion source that deploys a custom-built electrospray	
110	setup within an atmospheric pressure orthogonal ion-molecule reaction (IMR) chamber. The	
111	design of the IMR region is similar to that of the Cluster-CIMS developed by Eisele and	
112	coworkers (Zhao et al., 2010). The electrospray chemical ionization (ESCI) source is coupled to	域代码已更改
113	a HRToF-MS for characterization. We present the design and discuss the parameters most	
114	important for optimal performance of the ESCI source. Then, we assess its performance using	
115	the measurement of formic acid, IEPOX, nitric acid, and organic mixtures formed by ozonolysis	
116	of α -pinene in a continuous-flow reaction chamber. Our results demonstrate that the ESCI source	
117	provides a potential alternative to radioactive and X-ray ion source and opens a new avenue for	
118	the generation of reagent ions such as Li ⁺ , Na ⁺ , K ⁺ , and so on, that were previously unavailable	
119	for CIMS.	
120	2. Experimental	

121 2.1 Instrument description

122	A schematic of the ESCI module is shown in Figure 1. The electrospray setup contains a 15 µm	
123	inner diameter (ID) fused silica spray needle (<u>PicoTipTM</u>) mounted within a cylindrical	
124	evaporation chamber through which a flow of ultra-high purity (UHP) N_2 (referred to as the ion	
125	source flow) is passed to aid in the evaporation of the spray droplets and to transport ions into the	
126	IMR. Several spray needle diameters were tried (from 8 to 30 µm), with the 15 µm giving the	
127	best combination of longevity and ion intensity. The emitting end of the spray needle is located 4	
128	mm from the distal wall of the evaporation chamber, which consists of a 13 mm ID stainless	
129	steel (SS) tube welded to the center of a circular SS aperture having a 4 mm diameter. The	
130	aperture forms the entrance into the IMR which is a portion of a 22 mm ID SS tube embedded in	
131	a Teflon block. The ion source flow enters the IMR through the aperture perpendicularly to the	
132	direction of a much larger sample flow, typically 10 to 20 standard liters per minute (slpm)	
133	drawn through the IMR by a pump_dry scroll vacuum pump (IDP-3, Agilent Technologies).	带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
134	Preliminary fluid dynamic simulations suggest that the mixed sample and ion source flow in the	
135	IMR remains laminar when the ratio of the ion source flow to sample flow is ≤ 0.2 and the	
136	overall Reynolds number for the sample flow is low (sample flow < 20 slpm).	
137	Ions are driven across the perpendicular sample flow to a SS capillary tube located on the	
138	opposite wall of the IMR by means of a 2 to 4 kV potential between the evaporation region lens	
139	and the capillary tube. The SS capillary projects 3.5 mm into the IMR and acts as the	
140	atmospheric pressure interface between the IMR and the vacuum chamber of a commercial	
141	HRToF-MS (Tofwerk AG, Thun, Switzerland), effectively dropping the atmospheric pressure to	带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
142	1.5 Torr in the first quadrupole of the MS, and resulting in a sample flow of ~ 270 sccm into the	带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
143	MS. The HRToF-MS and its data acquisition procedures have been described in detail previously	域代码已更改
144	(Junninen et al., 2010; Bertram et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2014). The evaporation tube, lens and	
145	IMR tube are electrically connected, while the mass spectrometer entrance capillary is	
146	electrically isolated from the IMR by a ~1 mm thick jacket of Teflon.	
147	During operation, a dilute salt solution (~0.05 wt%) in HPLC-grade methanol (MEOH) is biased	
148	at the reservoir to <u>+/- (2-5) kV depending on the ion mode by connecting a stainless steel rod</u>	带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
149	immersed into the solution to a high voltage power supply - 3 to 5 kV. At a given reservoir	带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
150	solution voltage (V_R), the voltage applied to the evaporation tube and IMR (V_L) was carefully	
151	tuned to get the best ion signals (S_{max}), as well as the corresponding V_L , referred to as $V_L(S_{max})$.	
152	In the V_R range of 2-5 kV, a larger V_s (with a larger $V_L(S_{max})$) gives a higher reagent ion signal.	
153	In order to obtain good ion signals, for most of the measurements performed in this study, $V_{\underline{R}}$	
154	values of 5 kV (corresponding $V_{L}(S_{max}) = 2.8$ kV) and -5 kV (corresponding $V_{L}(S_{max}) = -3.5$ kV)	
155	were used in the positive ion and negative ion modes, respectively. The reservoir is maintained at	
156	approximately 50 mbar above atmosphere using a commercial pressure controller (FLUIGENT,	
157	model MFCS-EZ) with 0.05 mbar precision. <u>As a result, the salt solution is pushed through the</u>	带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
158	fumed silica capillary tube to the spray needle at a flow rate less than 100 nL min ⁻¹ by the	
159	pressure in the reservoir bottle.	

160	Under laminar flow conditions, the reaction time between reagent ions and sampled trace gases	
161	in the IMR is mainly determined by the electric field-induced drift velocity of the reagent ions.	
162	For instance, for two reagent ions used in this study, NO ₃ ⁻ and Na ⁺ , the ion-molecule reaction	带格式的: 上标
163	time (i.e., ion drift time) in the IMR is estimated to be 0.5-1 ms and 0.4-0.7 ms, respectively,	
164	with an ion mobility of 2.37 cm ² s ⁻¹ V ⁻¹ for NO ₃ (Ellis et al., 1978) and 3.4 cm ² s ⁻¹ V ⁻¹ for Na ⁺	域代码已更改
165	(Bohringer et al., 1987) under typical operation conditions (2-4 kV across the IMR). However,	域代码已更改
166	when using electrospray as a source and sampling ambient air of different humidity, the reagent	带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
167	ions can be solvated by methanol or water clusters (Horning et al., 1974; Garvey et al., 1994). As	
168	the ion mobility of solvated reagent ions is likely smaller than that for unsolvated reagent ions,	
169	the ion-molecule reaction time between solvated reagent ions and gas phase analytes in the IMR	
170	is expected to be longer than that estimated for the unsolvated ions. There was no evidence of	
171	protonated methanol clustering observed when electrospraying a methanolic solution of the	
172	described salts except at the extreme voltage differences between the lens and entrance capillary	
173	where it was likely a discharge developed. Although the reagent ion is likely solvated by	
174	methanol initially, the sensitivity of the ionization to various trace gases did not appear to be	
175	significantly affected in the present study.	带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
176	The ion source and semple flow rates can significantly offect the performance of the ion source	
175	The ion source and sample now rates can significantly affect the performance of the ion source.	
170	it may disput the initially lominar comple flow, carecially when the comple flow is small	
178	It may disrupt the initially familiar sample flow, especially when the sample flow is small.	
1/9	However, at large sample flows, the time for the fons to exit the five via the sample flow may be	
101	flow may community away the reasont ions as well as ion molecule clusters, lowering the encount	
181	now may carry away the reagent ions as wen as ion-molecule clusters, lowering the apparent	
182	ionization efficiency. Therefore, the ion source flow and sample flow need to be carefully	
183	optimized.	
184	For comparison purposes, our prototype source was designed such that it could incorporate a	
185	commercial 10 mCi Po-210 inline ion source (NRD LLC) as in more typical low-pressure CIMS	
186	instruments used for atmospheric composition studies (see introduction). With CH_3I in UHP N_2	
187	as a reagent ion source, this set up was able to produce $0.6-1.8 \times 10^6$ cps of reagent ions at	
188	atmospheric pressure using an ion source flow rate of 1-2 slpm and a sample flow rate of 10 slpm.	
189	with > 2 kV potential across the IMR. Although the commercial Po-210 sources are not	
190	ontimized for ion transmission at low flow rates and high pressures, this intensity is certainly	
191	suitable for use in field or laboratory studies	
191		

- 1922.2 Laboratory characterization
- **193** 2.2.1 Generation of reagent ions and calibration gas standards
- 194 In this study, three negative (i.e., Γ , NO₃, and acetate) and four positive reagent ions (i.e., Li⁺,
- 195 Na^+ , K^+ , and NH_4^+) were generated by electrospraying their precursor salt solutions prepared in
- 196 HPLC grade MEOH (Fisher Scientific). Sodium iodide (\geq 99.5%, EMD), sodium nitrate (\geq 99%,

- 197 Mallinckrodt), potassium acetate (AR(ACS), Macron), ammonium acetate (99.2%, Fisher
- chemical), and lithium chloride (\geq 99%, Mallinckrodt) were used to produce I and Na⁺, NO₃⁻, K⁺ and acetate, NH4⁺, and Li⁺ respectively. All the salts were used as received.
- and acciate, 14114, and Er respectively. An the saits were used as received.
- Three calibration gases, i.e., nitric acid (HNO_3), isotope-labeled formic acid ($H^{13}COOH$), and
- 201 isoprene epoxydiols (*trans*-β-IEPOX) were used to calibrate the instrument. Gases of nitric acid
- and formic acid were generated using a custom-built PTFE permeation tube containing
- respective acid liquids, kept constantly at 40 °C. The permeation rate was determined
- gravimetrically. IEPOX vapor was generated by passing a flow of UHP N_2 over ~200 μ l IEPOX
- solution in ethyl acetate kept in a glass bulb at room temperature. The concentration of IEPOX in
- the flow exiting the bulb was determined by an Iodide-adduct HRToF-CIMS employing a
- 207 radioactive ion source, for which the sensitivity to IEPOX was calibrated using the method as
- described previously, (Lee et al., 2014). These three gases are common in the atmosphere and
- span a range in their properties important for CIMS such as acidity, polarity, and size.

210 2.2.2 Optimization of operation conditions, calibration, and background determination

- 211 The influence of sample flow and ion source flow on the ion signals was systematically
- evaluated using Γ as the reagent ion. The room air was directly sampled into the IMR at a flow
- rate ranging from 2-20 standard liters per minute (slpm). At each sample flow rate, the ratio of
- ion source flow/sample flow is varied from 0.02-0.2. The HNO₃ and H¹³COOH gases were added
- to the sample flow during the optimization.

Calibrations with HNO₃, H¹³COOH, and IEPOX were performed using I reagent ions under 216 optimized sample flow and ion source flow conditions. Atmospherically relevant concentrations 217 of the calibration gases were obtained by varying the dilution of the source gas in UHP N₂ prior 218 to delivery in the sample flow. The observed ion signals as a function of gas concentration allow 219 the determination of the instrument sensitivity. In addition, the sample flow was humidified to a 220 221 wide range of relative humidity (0-80% RH, corresponding to water vapor pressure, P_{H2O} , of 0-222 25 mbar) to explore the influence of water vapor on the instrument sensitivity. The determined 223 sensitivities as well as the dependence on P_{H2O} were compared to the measurements by a radioactive Iodide-adduct HRToF-CIMS. The background signals of the instrument were 224

- indicative founderadulut in the -Chivis. The background signals of the instrument we
- $\label{eq:225} \mbox{determined routinely by directly sampling dry UHP N_2}.$
- 226 2.2.4 Chamber experiments of α -pinene ozonolysis
- 227 The capability of the instrument for characterizing atmospherically relevant complex organic
- 228 systems was evaluated by measuring the oxidation products from α -pinene ozonolysis using
- seven different reagent ions described above. Experiments of α -pinene ozonolysis were carried
- out in a 0.75 m³ PTFE chamber operated in a continuous-flow mode at the University of
- 231 Washington. The chamber was first flushed by 12 slpm of zero air generated by a Teledyne zero
- air generator (Model 701) for >72 h. Ozone, generated by flowing ultra-zero air (Praxair) at 5
- sccm (standard cubic centimeter per minute) past a mercury lamp, was delivered to the chamber

域代码已更改

- during the zero air flushing. α -Pinene was then added by flowing 100 sccm of UHP N₂ through a
- glass diffusion tube containing pure α -pinene and kept in a methanol cold trap at -70 °C. The
- 236 initial concentrations of O_3 and α -pinene added in the chamber were approximately 75 and 110
- ppbv, respectively. The oxidation products formed in the chamber were sampled at 10 slpm bythe HRToF-ESCIMS after 48 h of chamber equilibration.
- 239 3. Results and Discussion

ī

268

269

- 240 3.1 Ion source and sample flow optimization
- 241 Figure 2a shows an example using iodide reagent ions of ion signal dependence on the ion source
- flow rate during sampling of humid air ($P_{H2O} = 15$ mbar) at 10 slpm containing an added
- 243 H^{13} COOH standard. As expected, the reagent ion (I⁻ and I(H₂O)⁻) signals increase with
- increasing ion source flow. The increase of the signal for $I(H^{13}COOH)^{-}$ is well correlated with
- that of the reagent ions. The positive effect of the ion source flow is likely due to more efficient
- evaporation and transport of reagent ions from the spray evaporation region into the IMR region.

12

247	Figure 2b shows the ion signals for Γ , $I(H_2O)^{-}$, $I(H^{13}COOH)^{-}$, and $I(HNO_3)^{-}$ observed during	 带格式的: 正文1, 段落间距段前: 12磅, 段
248	sampling of humid air ($P_{H2O} = 15$ mbar) containing H ¹³ COOH and HNO ₃ standards at a sample	后: 12 磅
249	flow rate ranging from 2-20 slpm. The corresponding ion source flow was controlled to always	
250	be 1/10 of the sample flow. All ion signals increase initially with the increase of the sample flow,	
251	reach maximum values at 12 slpm, and then decrease slightly with further increase of the sample	
252	flowAt small sample flows, the time for the sample flow to pass through the IMR is long	
253	compared to electric field-induced ion drift time across the IMR region, and so the influence of	
254	the sample flow upon ion transit across IMR should be small. However, the corresponding	
255	increase of the ion source flow with the sample flow can promote the generation and	
256	transmission of reagent ions into the IMR, thus leading to the increase of ion signals. At large	
257	sample flows, the influence of the sample flow on the ion transit across IMR becomes significant	
258	and is no longer compensated by the enhancement in ion signals due to the increased ion source	
259	flow, hence resulting in a decrease in ion signals. Note that the same measurement was also	带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
260	performed at ion source flow/sample flow ratios ranging from 0.02-0.2. The trend of the ion	 带格式的: 字体颜色: 自动设置
261	signal versus the sample flow at each flow ratio is very similar to that shown in Figure 2b,	
262	though the absolute ion signal values are different.	
263	For the characterizations and applications discussed below, the sample flow and ion source flow	
264	are kept at 10 slpm and 1 slpm, respectively as these are reasonable conditions for use on	
265	environmental simulation chambers and in field measurements. We note that the sample flow can	
266	be extended to up to 20 slpm without significant loss of ion signal, and the optimal ion source	
267	flow of 2 slpm is essentially the same UHP N ₂ flow requirement for current Po-210 based ion	

域代码已更改

sources (Lee et al., 2014). Further improvements in the spray environment and associated

transfer optics will likely further minimize the ion source flow.

270 <u>3.2 Evidence of chemical ionization</u>

271	Electrospray plumes not only ionize solvated analytes, but also are capable of ionizing gas phase	
272	species (Whitehouse et al., 1986; Chen et al., 1994), the latter termed secondary electrospray	
273	ionization (SESI) (Wu et al., 2000; Tam and Hill, 2004). SESI-MS has been demonstrated in the	
274	real-time analysis of a variety of gas phase analytes, including pharmaceuticals (Wu et al., 2000;	
275	Meier et al., 2012), explosives (Tam and Hill et al., 2004; Aernecke et al., 2015), human	
276	metabolites (Martínez-Lozano et al., 2011; García-Gómez et al., 2015), electronic cigarette	
277	vapors (García-Gómez et al., 2016), as well as volatile emissions from bacteria cultures (Zhu et	
278	al., 2010), food (Bean et al., 2015; Farrell et al., 2017), and plants (Barrios-Collado et al., 2016).	
279	In SESI, the electrospray plume and incoming sample flow intersect in the ionization region, and	
280	analyte ionization proceeds likely via interactions with both small charged droplets and	
281	electrospray-produced gas phase reagent ions (Wu et al., 2000). In the present study, by coupling	
282	the electrospray source to an orthogonal continuous-flow atmospheric pressure IMR region via	
283	an evaporation region, we separate the electrospray plume from the incoming samples to avoid	
284	SESI, and instead allow for gas-phase chemical ionization.	
		(
285	Under typical operating conditions, the sample flow likely transports any unevaporated droplets	
286	away from the effective ionization region in the IMR, thus largely isolating the electrospray	
287	plume from the incoming samples, making the ESCI source a chemical ionization source rather	
288	than secondary or extractive electrospray ionization (SESI or EESI) source. The evidence of the	
289	ESCI source being a chemical ionization source and not SESI or EESI is provided by monitoring	
290	the signal ratio of NO ₃ ⁻ /I(HNO ₃) ⁻ when sampling gas phase HNO ₃ in the iodide mode. If the	
291	direct interaction between electrospray plume and incoming sample flow is important, HNO ₃	
292	dissolved in charged droplets can dissociate forming H^+ and NO_3^- , leading to the generation of	
293	<u>NO₃⁻ ions in the negative ion mode.</u> Therefore, a large signal ratio of NO ₃ ⁻ /I(HNO ₃) ⁻ is expected.	
294	Figure 3 shows the signal ratio of NO ₃ ⁻ /I(HNO ₃) ⁻ as a function of gas phase HNO ₃ concentration	
295	under dry and wet conditions observed in the iodide mode. The signal ratios of NO ₃ ⁻ /I(HNO ₃) ⁻	
296	are significantly smaller than 0.01 at various HNO ₃ concentrations, suggesting the direct	
297	interaction of electrospray plume with incoming samples is not important in the ESCI source.	
298	3.3 Time response of the atmospheric pressure IMR	
299	The time response of ESCI source/atmospheric pressure orthogonal IMR design was determined	(
300	using nitric acid standard in the iodide mode. HNO ₃ was delivered from a permeation tube using	
301	a small (< 100 sccm) continuous flow UHP N ₂ through a 3 mm OD Teflon tube to the inlet of the	\square
302	orthogonal IMR. Figure 4 shows the changes in ion signal for I(HNO ₃) upon placing the HNO ₃	
303	delivery line at the opening of a 10 cm length of 2.5 cm OD Teflon tubing serving as the inlet to	$\langle \langle \langle \rangle$
304	the IMR or removing the delivery line away from the inlet. Tests were conducted at an ion	
305	source flow of 1 slpm and sample flow of 5 or 10 slpm. The increase and decay of I(HNO ₃)	
306	signal relative to that from HNO ₃ in the laboratory air give an e-folding time of about 1s for	

带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置

带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置

带格式的: 下标
带格式的: 下标
带格式的: 下标
带格式的: 上标
带格式的: 下标
带格式的: 下标
带格式的: 上标
带格式的: 下标

307 <u>nitric acid under two different flow conditions. This time response value is comparable to or</u> 308 <u>better than that for the low pressure IMR (1 second to a few seconds).</u>

309 3.<u>2-4</u> Sensitivity to selected trace gases

- To assess the performance of the HRToF-ESCIMS, we measured the sensitivity to HNO₃,
- 311 H^{13} COOH, and IEPOX using I as the reagent ion. The iodide-based CIMS has been widely used
- to detect atmospheric inorganic and organic compounds in previous studies (Huey et al., 1995;
 Kercher et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2014; Brophy and Farmer, 2015; Lee et al., 2016; Lopez-Hilfiker
- et al., 2016b), though almost exclusively at low pressure (20 80 mbar) as opposed to the
- atmospheric pressure (1013 mbar) implementation used here. The sensitivity of iodide-based
- CIMS to a given compound mainly depends on the polarity and hydrogen binding energy of a
- compound to the I⁻ ion (Lee et al., 2014; Iver et al., 2016). In the atmospheric pressure ESCIMS,
- the ion molecule reaction time (a few ms) is set by the electric field, and is up to a factor of 30 or
- 319 more shorter than those (<u>30-120 ms</u>) in low-pressure CIMS instruments (Bertram et al., 2011;
- 320 Lee et al., 2014, Lopez-Hilfiker et al., 2016a). The shorter reaction time should linearly lower
- sensitivities. However, the ion-molecule collision frequency is more than a factor of 10 higher in
- the atmospheric pressure ESCIMS for the same ambient concentrations of analytes. Thus, we
- would expect the ESCIMS sensitivities to be only slightly lower than those found in the low-
- pressure CIMS. It is possible that adduct formation is further stabilized by third-body effects and
- that the ESCIMS could in fact have higher sensitivities for some compounds forming weakerclusters.
- Figure 3.5 shows the signals of I(HNO₃)⁻, I(H¹³COOH)⁻, and I(IEPOX)⁻ per million reagent ion 327 328 count rate at different atmospherically relevant concentrations of the standards under dry and humid conditions. The signal response is linear within the investigated concentration range for 329 330 all three trace gases, with the slope of the linear fit to the ion signals corresponding to the 331 sensitivity per million reagent ion count rate. The HRToF-ESCIMS exhibits a sensitivity of 11, 2.4, and 10 cps pptv⁻¹ to HNO₃, HCOOH, and IEPOX, respectively, under dry conditions and 9.1, 332 0.5, and 1.7 cps pptv⁻¹, respectively, under humid conditions ($P_{H2O} = 14$ or 15 mbar). These 333 sensitivities, and those that follow are given per million cps of reagent ion. Lee et al. (2014) 334 explored the sensitivity of a low-pressure Iodide-adduct HRToF-CIMS equipped with a 335 radioactive ion source to a number of atmospheric inorganic and organic compounds. They 336 reported sensitivities to HNO₃, HCOOH, and IEPOX of 4.0, 2.9, and 0.39 cps pptv⁻¹, 337 338 respectively, at 0.2 mbar water vapor pressure in IMR. Using the same instrument as used by Lee et al. (2014), we have more recently obtained higher values of sensitivities to HCOOH (7 cps 339 pptv⁻¹) and IEPOX (10 cps pptv⁻¹) in the laboratory. Thus, the atmospheric-pressure ESCIMS 340 and low-pressure CIMS approaches are fairly similar in response to the same compounds. The 341 342 sensitivity difference in these calibrations is likely attributed to the differences in instrument 343 parameters, including the configurations and pressures of the ion source and IMR, and the ion optic settings within the vacuum chamber that strongly affect ion transmission to the mass 344 345 spectrometer.

域代码已更改

域代码已更改

带格式的: 字体颜色: 自动设置 带格式的: 字体颜色: 自动设置

346	The presence of water vapor can affect sensitivities, either by competing for I ⁻ ions, thus			
347	lowering the sensitivity, or by accommodating excess energy from the collision to stabilize the			
348	Iodide-molecule clusters, thereby increasing the sensitivity (Lee et al., 2014; Iyer et al., 2016).	t	或代码已更改	
349	Water vapor may also affect sensitivities by changing the size distribution of reagent ion clusters			
350	and thus their residence time (ion-molecule reaction time) in the IMR. Moreover, water vapor			
351	can affect the transmission of soluble gases through sample tubing. It is difficult to evaluate the			
352	effect of changing cluster size distribution as the information regarding the distribution and ion			
353	mobility of the reagent ion clusters is currently unavailable. In the current configuration of the			
354	ESCIMS, it is also difficult to isolate the sample transfer effect separate these two effects	1	带格式的: 字体颜色: 自动设置	
355	experimentally, as done previously in low-pressure IMR regions by using separate delivery lines			
356	for calibrants and water vapor (Lee et al 2014). Thus, our results shown here reflect a	1	带格式的: 字体颜色: 自动设置	
357	combination of ionization efficiency, cluster distribution, and sample transfer aspects and the	_		
358	latter could be significant given the 1 m length of tubing used in these tests, Thus, our results	1	带格式的: 字体颜色: 自动设置	
359	shown here reflect both ionization efficiency and sample transfer aspects because the sample			
360	flow delivering the calibrants had to be humidified.			
261	Figure 4.6 shows the dependence of the instrument sensitivities to HNO_2 , $H^{13}COOH$ and IEPOY			
262	on the $P_{\rm max}$ of the sample flow. The sensitivities to HNO ₂ , H ¹³ COOH, and IEPOX increase			
262	initially with the addition of water vanor at lower P_{max} reach the maximum values at $A = 2.2$			
264	and 2.2 mbar, respectively, and then decrease with the further increase of $P_{\rm trace}$ Compared to			
265	HNO ₂ and H^{13} COOH the positive water vanor effect on the sensitivity at low P_{max} for IEPOY is			
266	significantly smaller Lee et al. (2014) investigated the effects of water vapor on the sensitivity			
267	of a low pressure lodida adduct HPTOE CIMS in the <i>P</i> (water vapor pressure in IMP) range			
207	of 0.0.8 mbar and found a positive water vener dependence for the constitutive to UNO, and an			
308	or 0-0.8 moat, and found a positive water vapor dependence for the sensitivity to HIVO3 and an			
309	approximately inverse 0-snaped dependence for the sensitivity to HCOOH. In general, the transfer the constituities to HNO and $HCOOH$ versus R — observed by Lee et al. are			
370	consistent with those at $P_{1} \leq 4.1$ mbar observed in the present study. In addition, recent			
371	consistent with those at $T_{H20} \ge 4.1$ induit observed in the present study. In addition, recent			
372	the addition of water vaner with $\mathbf{P}_{\rm ext}$ of 0.26 Torr has no significant impacts on the sensitivity to			
272	IEPOX consistent with the relatively weak humidity dependence of the constituity to IEPOX at			
374	P_{res} is the present study. The charm decrease in the constitution of higher P_{res} as			
375	I have F_{H2O} observed in the present study. The sharp decrease in the sensitivities at higher F_{H2O} as			
3/0 277	seen in Figure $+0$ is intereore likely a result of the competitive consumption of 1 ions by water yapar, which dominates over the kinetic stabilization affect of water for the ion malegula charters			
3//	vapor, which dominates over the kinetic stabilization effect of water for the fon-molecule clusters,			
3/8	as well as a larger wall partitioning in the ~50 cm sampling tube under these conditions.			
379	3.3-5 Instrument backgrounds and detection limits			
380	The background signals for the instrument arise mainly from the impurities in the electrospray			

solvent and the salts used for the generation of reagent ions, as well as the desorption of gas
species adsorbed onto the wall of the sampling tube and IMR. The instrument backgrounds were

routinely measured by sampling UHP N_2 . Figure 5-7 shows a typical high-resolution mass

 $384 \quad \text{spectrum in the } \Gamma \text{ mode recorded when sampling UHP } N_2. \text{ The spectrum recorded during the }$

385 386 387 388 389 390	addition of HNO ₃ , H^{13} COOH, and IEPOX to the UHP N ₂ flow is also displayed for comparison. The typical backgrounds for HNO ₃ , H^{13} COOH, and IEPOX were measured to be 800, 240, and 50 cps, respectively. It is noted that the instrument backgrounds can be reduced by using higher purity electrospray solvents and reagent ion precursor salts, or by using a larger sample flow that can dilute the background concentration of the species desorbed from the wall. Moreover, many experiments adding large concentrations of these standards to the sampling tube had been	
391	performed over months, and thus it is likely that these backgrounds are anomalously high.	
392 393	Assuming the uncertainty in the signal and background follows Poisson counting statistics, the signal to noise (<i>S/N</i>) ratio can be determined from eq. $(1)_{*}$ (Bertram et al., 2011):	域代码已更改
	$\frac{S}{N} = \frac{C_f[X]t}{\sqrt{C_f[X]t + 2Bt}} \tag{1}$	
394 395 396 397 398 399 400	Where C_f is the instrument sensitivity; [X] is the concentration for a trace gas; B is the background count rate; t is the integration time. We define the detection limit of the HRToF-ESCIMS for a trace gas as the concentration that gives rise to an S/N ratio of 3. Using the measured instrument sensitivities and backgrounds, we calculate a detection limit of 4.9, 12.5, and 1.4 pptv for HNO ₃ , H ¹³ COOH, and IEPOX, respectively, for 5s averaging, in the Γ mode. These limits of detection are comparable to those for a low-pressure Iodide-adduct HRTOF-CIMS in our lab (Lee et al., 2014).	
401	3.4-6 Application to chamber studies of α -pinene ozonolysis	
402	3.4 <u>6</u> .1 Raw mass spectra	
403 404 405 406 407	Gas mixtures formed by ozonolysis of α -pinene in a steady-state chamber were used to assess the capabilities of this technique for characterizing complex organic systems of atmospheric relevance. Three negative ions (i.e., Γ , NO ₃ ⁻ , acetate) and four positive ions (i.e., Li ⁺ , Na ⁺ , K ⁺ , NH ₄ ⁺) were used as reagent ions for measurements. High resolution peak fitting was performed and reasonable molecular formulae were assigned for detected ions that have intensity higher	
408	than 5 cps in all seven ion modes. <u>Many ions are present at < 5 cps, which were excluded from</u>	 带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
409	the high-resolution fittings to ease the number of identifications required for comparison of	
410 411	several different reagent ion spectra. Although these lower signal ions might be of importance to various mechanisms of particle growth or organic radical chemistry identifying their	 带格式的:字体颜色:目动设置
411	compositions was deemed beyond the scope of this paper. Many jops are present at < 5 cps.	
413	which might be of importance to various mechanisms of particle growth or organic radical	
414	chemistry, but the compositions of these ions is beyond the scope of this paper. Overall, the	
415	results show that the ions observed in NO_3^- and four positive ion modes are in the form of ion-	
416	molecule clusters, whereas those observed in I and acetate modes are either ion-molecule	
417	clusters or molecular ions. The iodide clusters can be easily distinguished from iodide-free	
418	molecular ions due to the large negative mass defects of iodide (Lee et al., 2014), although this	 带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置

advantage weakens at sufficiently high masses (> $\sim 500 \text{ m/Q}$ for a resolution of 5000). In 419 contrast, broadly distinguishing between acetate-neutral clusters and deprotonated organic ions 420 421 in the acetate mode remains a challenge when using non-isotopically labeled acetate as the reagent ion and operating the instrument in a cluster-transmitting mode with no comprehensive 422 423 voltage scanning experiments (Lopez-Hilfiker et al., 2015; Brophy and Farmer, 2016), as is the case in the present study. As a result, the high-resolution ions observed in the acetate mode 424 cannot be confidently assigned to α -pinene ozonolysis products and are excluded from further 425 426 discussions. whereas broadly distinguishing between acetate clusters and deprotonated molecule ions remains a challenge when not using isotopically labeled acetate as the reagent ion. 427 428 Therefore, while chemical formulae for the ions can be obtained, their attribution to a product of 429 α pinene ozonolysis cannot be made with high confidence (Lopez Hilfiker et al., 2015; Brophy and Farmer, 2016). 430

Examples of high-resolution mass spectra of α -pinene ozonolysis products derived in I and NO₃⁻ 431 432 modes are given in Figure $\frac{68}{100}$ and the spectra obtained in four positive ion modes are given in 433 Figure 79. The iodide-mode mass spectrum of the ozonolysis products obtained here is overall similar to that obtained using the low-pressure Iodide-adduct HRToF-CIMS (see Figure S1 in the 434 435 Supplement)as discussed previously (Lopez Hilfiker et al., 2015). It can be seen that peaks assigned to monomeric products ($\leq C_{10}$) are apparent in all ion modes, while peaks associated 436 with dimeric species are evident only in the positive ion mode (discussed further below). Peak 437 438 distributions in both monomer and dimer regions is very similar for Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, and NH₄⁺, suggesting these positive ions likely have a similar selectivity to α -pinene ozonolysis products. It 439 440 is interesting to note that in negative ion modes, ion clusters of precursor salt molecules (e.g., $I(NaI)^{-}$ and $NO_3(NaNO_3)_n^{-}$) were observed with high intensities. These ions can be used as 441 442 excellent mass calibration species.

443 3.4<u>6</u>.2 Mass defect plots

444 To better compare the sensitivity and selectivity between this subset of negative and positive 445 reagent ions, the mass defects of identified products are plotted against their exact mass for I, 446 NO_3^- , and Na^+ modes. Figure 8-10 shows the comparisons of mass defect plots between I⁻ (or 447 NO_3) mode and Na^+ mode. In the mass defect plots, the green, yellow, and purple open circles represent the products observed only in one ion mode and their size is proportional to the signal 448 intensity of observed clusters. The blue open markers in the plots represent the products 449 450 identified in both ion modes of comparison and their size is proportional to the square root of the pinic acid-normalized signal intensity ratio (R) between the two ion modes: 451

$$R = \frac{S_{A^-,i}/S_{A^-,PA}}{S_{Na^+,i}/S_{Na^+,PA}}$$
(2)

452 Where, $S_{A^-,i}$ and $S_{A^-,PA}$ are the signal intensity of clusters for product *i* and pinic acid in I⁻ (or 453 NO₃⁻) mode, respectively; $S_{Na^+,i}$ and $S_{Na^+,PA}$ are the signal intensity of product *i* and pinic acid

13

带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置

域代码已更改

- 454 in Na⁺ mode, respectively. As pinic acid ($C_9H_{14}O_4$) is among the most abundant products
- 455 observed in Γ , NO₃, and Na⁺ modes (see Figures 6-8 and 79), the value of R (i.e., the size of the
- 456 markers relative to that for pinic acid (red solid circles)) can be an indicator of the relative
- 457 sensitivity of I^{-} (or NO₃⁻) and Na⁺ to the oxidation products.
- In the monomer region of the mass defect plots, the less oxidized products observed in both
- 459 modes of comparison generally have a value of $R \le 1$ (the blue markers have sizes smaller than
- 460 or close to that of pinic acid). Thus, Na^+ is generally more sensitive to less oxidized species than
- 461 I and NO_3^- , and most of products observed only in the Na^+ mode show very low oxygen contents
- 462 $(n_0 \le 3)$. As many of these species have signal intensities larger than 1000 cps, their absence in I⁻ 463 and NO₃⁻ modes suggests that I⁻ and NO₃⁻ are extremely insensitive to these least oxidized
- and NO_3^- modes suggests that I⁻ and NO_3^- are extremely insensitive to these least oxidized species, in agreement with the observations in previous studies (Lee et al., 2014; Hyttinen et al.,
- 465 2015; Iver et al., 2016). In contrast, the more oxidized products observed in both modes of
- 466 comparison show a wide range of R values (e.g. $R \le 1$ or $R \ge 1$, corresponding to the blue
- 467 markers having sizes smaller or larger than that of pinic acid). This indicates that Γ , NO₃, and
- 468 Na^+ are all sensitive to more oxidized species but have different sensitivities to a specific species.
- 469 In fact, some highly oxidized products having high oxygen contents ($n_0 \ge 5$) are observed only in
- 470 one of these three ion modes. Note that most of these products have signal intensities lower than
- 471 50 cps, suggesting that they likely have very low concentrations, which are below the detection
- 472 limit in the other two modes.
- The selectivity of I^{-} and NO_{3}^{-} toward more oxidized species as suggested here is consistent with
- the observations in previous studies (Lee et al., 2014; Berndt et al., 2016), which showed that
- these two reagent ions can have distinct sensitivities to the oxidized species having similar
- 476 oxygen contents, depending on the identities and locations of the functional groups. It is clear in
- 477 Figure 8-10 that some very small species (e.g., CH_2O_2 , CH_2O_3 , $C_2H_2O_3$, and $C_2H_4O_3$) have a
- value of R significantly larger than 1, indicating that Γ and NO₃⁻ are markedly more sensitive to
- 479 these small species than is Na^+ .
- Comparisons of the mass defect plots in the dimers region show a large difference in the 480 481 detection of the gas-phase dimers between I^{-} (or NO₃⁻) and Na⁺ modes. These dimers have 482 compositions ranging, for example, from $C_{15}H_{26}O_3$ to $C_{20}H_{32}O_7$. We note that many of these dimers have been recently detected in the gas-phase using a low-pressure Iodide-adduct HRToF-483 484 CIMS in a boreal forest environment (Mohr et al., 2017). Thus, while the lower detection efficiency of dimers in this work using I or NO₃ may be from differences in reagent ion 485 sensitivities, we suspect that differences in ion optic settings between negative and positive ion 486 modes that affect ion transmission efficiencies at large mass-to-charge ratios is a more likely 487 explanation. These settings were not optimized in this work, and improvements to high mass 488 489 transmission in negative ion mode are ongoing. Therefore, we refrain from concluding about the 490 relative detection efficiency of dimers in negative ion mode using the atmospheric pressure ESCI.

域代码已更改

491	Figure 11 shows boxplots for the O:C ratio of monomeric products from α-pinene ozonolysis	带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
492	detected in Γ , NO ₃ , and Na ⁺ modes. The O:C values for all the percentiles observed in Γ and	带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
493	NO ₃ ⁻ modes are overall similar, whereas the corresponding values observed in Na ⁺ mode are	
494	obviously smaller. In addition, more than half of products observed in the three modes have a	
495	O:C ratio larger than 0.8. These results are consistent with the observations from Figure 10	带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置
496	where Γ , NO ₃ , and Na ⁺ are all sensitive to highly oxygenated organics, but the former two	
497	reagent ions are insensitive to less oxygenated organics as compared to Na ⁺ .	
198	In summary, these comparisons suggest that there is not a reagent ion that captures all	
190	components of α -ninene ozonolysis with equally high sensitivity. Therefore, to gain a	
500	comprehensive view on a complex organic system a combination of reagent ions with different	
501	selectivity is needed	
501	selectivity is needed.	
502	3.4 <u>6</u> .3 Declustering scans	
503	Ion-molecule clusters, depending on their binding energies, may break apart due to collision-	
504	induced dissociation (i.e., declustering) during transmission through the ion optics within the	
505	vacuum chamber. In general, clusters with stronger binding energies can more easily survive	
506	declustering in the vacuum chamber, thus the instrument likely has higher sensitivities to the	
507	corresponding analytes, and the observed sensitivities should be closer to those calculated by	
508	ion-molecule collision rates. Declustering scanning, which is performed by systematically	
509	increasing the voltage difference (ΔV) between first and the second quadrupole sections of the	
510	MS, allows for insights into the binding energies of clusters (Lopez-Hilfiker et al., 2016a).	
511	Figure 9-12 shows the declustering scans of clusters containing $C_{10}H_{16}O_{2-8}$ and $C_{9}H_{14}O_{3-8}$	
512	products in I and NO ₃ modes. It is clear that, with the increase of electrical field strength, the	
513	cluster signals for products having higher oxygen contents generally decay more slowly than	
514	those having lower oxygen contents. This is consistent with the fact that I^{-} and NO_{3}^{-} ions	
515	generally bind more strongly to compounds containing more hydroxy or hydroperoxy moieties	域代码已更改
516	(Lee et al., 2014; Hyttinen et al., 2015; Iyer et al., 2016). We note that the trends of decay for	
517	$C_{10}H_{16}O_{2-8}$ iodide clusters are in excellent agreement with previous measurements using a low-	
518	pressure iodide-adduct HRToF-CIMS (Lopez-Hilfiker et al., 2016a).	域代码已更改
F10	Deductoring scans in \mathbf{L}^{+} No ⁺ \mathbf{K}^{+} and NLL ⁺ modes show that the elector signals for the most	
519	abundant monomeric products such as $C_{12}H_{12}O_{22}$ and $C_{2}H_{12}O_{22}$ increase initially with	
520	increasing AV and then decrease with further increase of AV. The reason for the initial increase	
522	in cluster signals is unclear, but might involve secondary ion chemistry and/or slight changes in	带借式的:子体颜色. 自动设置
522	ion transmission efficiency of the instrument The initial increase in cluster signals may be	
524	associated with ion transmission efficiency of the instrument. As the small positive regent ions	
525	have very low transmission efficiencies through the ion ontics, the concentration of the reagent	
526	ions in the vacuum chamber may be low. As a result, the declustering of reagent ion water and	
527	precursor salt molecule clusters may greatly increase the concentration of reagent ions in the	
527	provide the construction of the construction o	

自动设置

528 vacuum chamber. These reagent ions may quickly bind to the neutral oxidation products that are

present in larger abundance, leading to the increase of their cluster signals. Here, we use the 529

530 declustering scans of dimers instead of C₉ and C₁₀ monomers to compare the binding energies of four positive reagent ions. 531

532 As can be seen in Figure $\frac{1013}{1000}$, the decay rate of the cluster signals in four positive ion modes 533 follows the order: $NH_4^+ > K^+ > Na^+ > Li^+$. This indicates an order of $Li^+ > Na^+ > K^+ > NH_4^+$ for the binding energies of the clusters, consistent with expectations from charge density 534 535 considerations. In each ion mode, the cluster signals for smaller dimers generally decay more 536 slowly than those for larger dimers, suggesting these positive ions can more strongly bind to the 537 smaller dimers, likely due to the higher polarity or the smaller steric effect for smaller dimers. It 538 is worth noting that in the Li⁺ mode, these dimer ions have ΔV_{50} values of ~ 15V, suggesting they are very strongly bound, with a binding enthalpy of ~ 70 kcal/mol according to the 539 relationship between ΔV_{50} and cluster binding energies determined by Lopez-Hilfiker et al. 540 (2016a). It is worth noting that none of the clusters signals drop with the increase of ΔV up to 10 541 and 6 V in Li⁺ and Na⁺ modes, respectively. This behavior implies these dimers are most likely 542 eovalently-bound species formed during α -pinene ozonolysis, rather than noncovalently-bound 543 544 species formed due to ion induced clustering in the instrument, which are not expected to survive at strong declustering strengths. To our knowledge, this result is the first direct confirmation that 545 these dimeric products are strongly bound, providing important support for the interpretation that 546 the detected ions represent real gas phase products of α pinene oxidation (Ehn et al., 2012; Zhao 547 et al., 2013; Ehn et al., 2014; Kristensen et al., 2016; Trostl et al., 2016; Mohr et al., 2017) 548

549 4. Conclusion

550 We report an electrospray chemical ionization (ESCI) source coupled to a HRToF-MS for the 551 real-time online measurement of atmospheric organic and inorganic species in the gas-phase. 552 The ESCI source is unique in that it does not rely on radioactive materials or X-ray radiation that 553 are subject to safety regulations, and allows the production of reagent ions (e.g., alkaline cations) 554 that are not available in current CIMS techniques. Calibration experiments using nitric acid, formic acid, and IEPOX gas standards show that the HRToF-ESCIMS using iodide reagent ions 555 has sensitivities and limits of detection comparable to those obtained for a low-pressure Iodide-556 adduct HRToF-CIMS using a radioactive ion source. The detection of oxidized organic 557 compounds formed from α -pinene ozonolysis in a chamber using seven different reagent ions 558 559 (e.g., I⁻, NO₃⁻, acetate, Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, and NH₄⁺) shows different selectivities for these reagent ions and expected ion-adduct binding energy trends. The data demonstrate the capability of this 560 561 technique for comprehensively characterizing complex organic systems using a combination of 562 reagent ions.

563 The ESCI source presented here is in its early stages of development. Continued characterization

564 of the sensitivity and selectivity of different reagent ions, especially their dependence on

565 humidity are needed. Further optimizations of the ion source are also required to improve its 带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置

带格式的:字体颜色:自动设置

域代码已更改

performance especially the long-time stability, which is particularly important for field 566 applications. Versions of our prototype source allowed 10 to 24 hours of continuous operation 567 568 before ion signal degraded, which is certainly suitable for many laboratory experiment durations. A short immersion of the spray tip into HPLC grade MEOH was enough to return to the same 569 570 ion signal for another 10 to 24 hours, suggesting the reason was simply salt build-up on the spray needle tip altering the spray characteristics. Thus, it is likely that more dilute spray solutions, 571 572 shorter spray needle tips, a conventional coaxial sheath gas flow around the needle tip, and off-573 axis spray geometry would greatly improve source stability. Moreover, shifting the spray source 574 further upstream of the entrance capillary would increase ion-molecule reaction times and thus 575 sensitivity, as in Zhao et al. (2013). Finally, applying a dry UHP N₂ counter flow at the mass 576 spectrometer entrance capillary would prevent ambient particles and possible charged spray 577 droplets that are not completely evaporated from entering and blocking the capillary tube. This 578 counter flow could also prevent free water molecules entering the vacuum chamber and promote 579 the dissociation of reagent ion-water clusters, which may lead to an increase of the instrument

580 sensitivity, especially in positive ion mode.

581

582 Acknowledgement

583 This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (CHE-1404573). Jeremy Chan

- acknowledges the support of the University of Washignton Royalty Research Fund (Grant 65-
- 585 7716). Felipe D. Lopez-Hilfiker and Jay G. Slowik acknowledge the support from the Swiss
- 586 <u>National Science Foundation (Grant BSSGI0 155846).</u> We are grateful to Dennis Canuelle, the
- instrument maker, for help in designing and building the ESCI source.
- 588
- 589 References

590	Aernecke, M. J., Mendum, T., Geurtsen, G., Ostrinskaya, A., and Kunz, R. R.: Vapor Pressure of Havamathylana Tringrovida Diamina (HMTD) Estimated Using Secondary Electrospray	
291	Hexametry energy Electrospray	
592	Ionization Mass Spectrometry, J. Phys. Chem. A, 119, 11514-11522, 2015.	
593	Aljawhary, D., Lee, A. K. Y., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: High-resolution chemical ionization mass	
594	spectrometry (ToF-CIMS): application to study SOA composition and processing, Atmos.	
595	Meas. Tech., 6, 3211-3224, doi:10.5194/amt-6-3211-2013, 2013.	
596	Barrios-Collado, C., Garcia-Gomez, D., Zenobi, R., Vidal-de-Miguel, G., Ibanez, A. J., and	
597	Sinues, P. M. L.: Capturing in Vivo Plant Metabolism by Real-Time Analysis of Low to	
598	High Molecular Weight Volatiles, Anal. Chem., 88, 2406-2412,	
599	doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.5b04452, 2016.	
600	Bean, H. D., Mellors, T. R., Zhu, J. J., and Hill, J. E.: Profiling Aged Artisanal Cheddar Cheese	
601	Using Secondary Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry, J. Agric. Food Chem., 63,	

602 4386-4392, doi:10.1021/jf5063759, 2015.

域代码已更改

- Berndt, T., Richters, S., Jokinen, T., Hyttinen, N., Kurten, T., Otkjaer, R. V., Kjaergaard, H. G.,
 Stratmann, F., Herrmann, H., Sipila, M., Kulmala, M., and Ehn, M.: Hydroxyl radicalinduced formation of highly oxidized organic compounds, Nat Commun, 7, 13677, 2016.
- Bertram, T. H., Kimmel, J. R., Crisp, T. A., Ryder, O. S., Yatavelli, R. L. N., Thornton, J. A.,
 Cubison, M. J., Gonin, M., and Worsnop, D. R.: A field-deployable, chemical ionization
 time-of-flight mass spectrometer, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 1471-1479, 2011.
- Bohringer, H., Fahey, D. W., Lindinger, W., Howorka, F., Fehsenfeld, F. C., and Albritton, D. L.:
 Mobilities of several mass-identified positive and negative ions in air, Int. J. Mass
 Spectrom. Ion Processes, 81, 45-65, 1987.
- Breitenlechner, M., Fischer, L., Hainer, M., Heinritzi, M., Curtius, J., and Hansel, A.: PTR3: An
 Instrument for Studying the Lifecycle of Reactive Organic Carbon in the Atmosphere,
 Anal. Chem., 89, 5825-5832, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.6b05110, 2017.
- Brophy, P., and Farmer, D. K.: A switchable reagent ion high resolution time-of-flight chemical
 ionization mass spectrometer for real-time measurement of gas phase oxidized species:
 characterization from the 2013 southern oxidant and aerosol study, Atmos. Meas. Tech.,
 8, 2945-2959, 2015.
- Brophy, P., and Farmer, D. K.: Clustering, methodology, and mechanistic insights into acetate
 chemical ionization using high-resolution time-of-flight mass spectrometry, Atmos. Meas.
 Tech., 9, 3969-3986, doi:10.5194/amt-9-3969-2016, 2016.
- Chen, Y. H., Hill, H. H., and Wittmer, D. P.: Analytical Merit of Electrospray Ion Mobility
 Spectrometry as a Chromatographic Detector, J. Microcolumn Separations, 6, 515-524,
 doi:10.1002/mcs.1220060511, 1994.
- Crounse, J. D., McKinney, K. A., Kwan, A. J., and Wennberg, P. O.: Measurement of gas-phase
 hydroperoxides by chemical ionization mass spectrometry, Anal. Chem., 78, 6726-6732,
 2006.
- Ehn, M., Kleist, E., Junninen, H., Petaja, T., Lonn, G., Schobesberger, S., Dal Maso, M.,
 Trimborn, A., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., Wahner, A., Wildt, J., and Mentel, T. F.:
 Gas phase formation of extremely oxidized pinene reaction products in chamber and
 ambient air, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 5113-5127, 2012.
- Ehn, M., Thornton, J. A., Kleist, E., Sipila, M., Junninen, H., Pullinen, I., Springer, M., Rubach,
 F., Tillmann, R., Lee, B., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Andres, S., Acir, I. H., Rissanen, M.,
 Jokinen, T., Schobesberger, S., Kangasluoma, J., Kontkanen, J., Nieminen, T., Kurten, T.,
- Nielsen, L. B., Jorgensen, S., Kjaergaard, H. G., Canagaratna, M., Dal Maso, M., Berndt,
- T., Petaja, T., Wahner, A., Kerminen, V. M., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., Wildt, J., and
 Mentel, T. F.: A large source of low-volatility secondary organic aerosol, Nature, 506,
 476-479, doi:10.1038/nature13032, 2014.
- Ellis, H. W., McDaniel, E. W., Albritton, D. L., Viehland, L. A., Lin, S. L., and Mason, E. A.:
 Transport properties of gaseous ions over a wide energy range. Part II, At. Data Nucl.
 Data Tables, 22, 179-217, 1978.
- Farrell, R. R., Fahrentrapp, J., Garcia-Gomez, D.,Martinez-Lozano, P., Zenobi, R.: Rapid
 fingerprinting of grape volatile composition using secondary electrospray ionization
 orbitrap mass spectrometry: A preliminary study of grape ripening, Food Control, 81,
 107-112, 2017.
- Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., and Pitts, J. N.: Chemistry of the upper and lower atmosphere: theory,
 experiments, and applications, Academic Press, San Diego, 2000.

- Fortner, E. C., Zhao, J., and Zhang, R. Y.: Development of ion drift-chemical ionization mass
 spectrometry, Anal. Chem., 76, 5436-5440, 2004.
- Fujii, T., Selvin, P. C., Sablier, M., and Iwase, K.: Lithium ion attachment mass spectrometry for
 on-line analysis of trace components in air: direct introduction, Int. J. Mass Spectrom.,
 209, 39-45, 2001.
- Gao, Y. Q., Hall, W. A., and Johnston, M. V.: Molecular composition of monoterpene secondary
 organic aerosol at low mass loading, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 7897-7902,
 doi:10.1021/Es101861k, 2010.
- Garcia-Gomez, D., Bregy, L., Barrios-Collado, C., Vidal-de-Miguel, G., and Zenobi, R.: Real Time High-Resolution Tandem Mass Spectrometry Identifies Furan Derivatives in
 Exhaled Breath, Anal. Chem., 87, 6919-6924, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.5b01509, 2015.
- Garcia-Gomez, D., Gaisl, T., Barrios-Collado, C., Vidal-de-Miguel, G., Kohler, M., and Zenobi,
 R.: Real-Time Chemical Analysis of E-Cigarette Aerosols By Means Of Secondary
- Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry, Chem. Eur. J, 22, 2452-2457, 2016.
- Garvey, J. F., Herron, W. J., and Vaidyanathan, G.: Probing the Structure and Reactivity of
 Hydrogen-Bonded Clusters of the Type {M}_n{H₂O}H⁺, via the Observation of Magic
 Numbers, Chem. Rev., 94, 1999-2014, doi:10.1021/Cr00031a011, 1994.
- Goldstein, A. H., and Galbally, I. E.: Known and unexplored organic constituents in the earth's
 atmosphere, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 1514-1521, doi:10.1021/Es072476p, 2007.
- Hearn, J. D., and Smith, G. D.: A chemical ionization mass spectrometry method for the online
 analysis of organic aerosols, Anal. Chem., 76, 2820-2826, 2004.
- Hirokawa, J., Kato, T., and Mafune, F.: In situ measurements of atmospheric nitrous acid by
 chemical ionization mass spectrometry using chloride ion transfer reactions, Anal. Chem.,
 81, 8380-8386, 2009.
- Horning, E. C., Carroll, D. I., Dzidic, I., Haegele, K. D., Horning, M. G., and Stillwel.Rn: Liquid
 Chromatograph Mass Spectrometer-Computer Analytical Systems Continuous-Flow
 System Based on Atmospheric-Pressure Ionization Mass-Spectrometry, J. Chromatogr.,
 99, 13-21, 1974.
- Huey, L. G., Hanson, D. R., and Howard, C. J.: Reactions of SF₆⁻ and I⁻ with Atmospheric Trace
 Gases, J. Phys. Chem., 99, 5001-5008, 1995.
- Huey, L. G.: Measurement of trace atmospheric species by chemical ionization mass
 spectrometry: Speciation of reactive nitrogen and future directions, Mass Spectrom. Rev.,
 26, 166-184, doi:10.1002/mas.20118, 2007.
- Hyttinen, N., Kupiainen-Maatta, O., Rissanen, M. P., Muuronen, M., Ehn, M., and Kurten, T.:
 Modeling the charging of highly oxidized cyclohexene ozonolysis products using nitratebased chemical ionization, J. Phys. Chem. A, 119, 6339-6345,
- 684 doi:10.1021/acs.jpca.5b01818, 2015.
- Inomata, S., and Hirokawa, J.: Non-radioactive chemical ionization mass spectrometry using
 acetic acid-acetate cluster as a reagent ion for the real-time measurement of acids and
 hydroperoxides, Chem. Lett., 46, 38-41, 2017.
- Iyer, S., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Lee, B. H., Thornton, J. A., and Kurten, T.: Modeling the detection
 of organic and inorganic compounds using iodide-based chemical ionization, J. Phys.
 Chem. A, 120, 576-587, doi:10.1021/acs.jpca.5b09837, 2016.
- Jokinen, T., Berndt, T., Makkonen, R., Kerminen, V. M., Junninen, H., Paasonen, P., Stratmann,
 F., Herrmann, H., Guenther, A. B., Worsnop, D. R., Kulmala, M., Ehn, M., and Sipila, M.:
 Production of extremely low volatile organic compounds from biogenic emissions:

- Measured yields and atmospheric implications, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 112,
 7123-7128, 2015.
- Junninen, H., Ehn, M., Petaja, T., Luosujarvi, L., Kotiaho, T., Kostiainen, R., Rohner, U., Gonin,
 M., Fuhrer, K., Kulmala, M., and Worsnop, D. R.: A high-resolution mass spectrometer
 to measure atmospheric ion composition, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 1039-1053, 2010.
- Kercher, J. P., Riedel, T. P., and Thornton, J. A.: Chlorine activation by N₂O₅: simultaneous, in
 situ detection of ClNO₂ and N₂O₅ by chemical ionization mass spectrometry, Atmos.
 Meas. Tech., 2, 193-204, 2009.
- Kim, M. J., Zoerb, M. C., Campbell, N. R., Zimmermann, K. J., Blomquist, B. W., Huebert, B. J.,
 and Bertram, T. H.: Revisiting benzene cluster cations for the chemical ionization of
 dimethyl sulfide and select volatile organic compounds, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9, 14731484, 2016.
- Kristensen, K., Watne, A. K., Hammes, J., Lutz, A., Petaja, T., Hallquist, M., Bilde, M., and
 Glasius, M.: High-Molecular weight dimer esters are major products in aerosols from αpinene ozonolysis and the boreal forest, Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett., 3, 280-285,
 doi:10.1021/acs.estlett.6b00152, 2016.
- Laskin, A., Laskin, J., and Nizkorodov, S. A.: Mass spectrometric approaches for chemical
 characterisation of atmospheric aerosols: critical review of the most recent advances,
 Environ. Chem., 9, 163-189, doi:10.1071/EN12052, 2012.
- Lee, B. H., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Mohr, C., Kurten, T., Worsnop, D. R., and Thornton, J. A.: An
 Iodide-adduct high-resolution time-of-flight chemical-ionization mass spectrometer:
 Application to atmospheric inorganic and organic compounds, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48,
 6309-6317, doi:10.1021/es500362a, 2014.
- Lee, B. H., Mohr, C., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Lutz, A., Hallquist, M., Lee, L., Romer, P., Cohen, 717 R. C., Iyer, S., Kurten, T., Hu, W. W., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Jimenez, J. L., 718 Xu, L., Ng, N. L., Guo, H. Y., Weber, R. J., Wild, R. J., Brown, S. S., Koss, A., de Gouw, 719 J., Olson, K., Goldstein, A. H., Seco, R., Kim, S., McAvey, K., Shepson, P. B., Starn, T., 720 Baumann, K., Edgerton, E. S., Liu, J. M., Shilling, J. E., Miller, D. O., Brune, W., 721 722 Schobesberger, S., D'Ambro, E. L., and Thornton, J. A.: Highly functionalized organic nitrates in the southeast United States: Contribution to secondary organic aerosol and 723 reactive nitrogen budgets, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 113, 1516-1521, 724 doi:10.1073/pnas.1508108113, 2016. 725
- Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Mohr, C., Ehn, M., Rubach, F., Kleist, E., Wildt, J., Mentel, T. F., Lutz, A.,
 Hallquist, M., Worsnop, D., and Thornton, J. A.: A novel method for online analysis of
 gas and particle composition: description and evaluation of a Filter Inlet for Gases and
 AEROsols (FIGAERO), Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 983-1001, doi:10.5194/amt-7-983-2014,
 2014.
- Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Mohr, C., Ehn, M., Rubach, F., Kleist, E., Wildt, J., Mentel, T. F.,
 Carrasquillo, A. J., Daumit, K. E., Hunter, J. F., Kroll, J. H., Worsnop, D. R., and
 Thornton, J. A.: Phase partitioning and volatility of secondary organic aerosol
 components formed from alpha-pinene ozonolysis and OH oxidation: the importance of
 accretion products and other low volatility compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 77657776, doi:10.5194/acp-15-7765-2015, 2015.
- Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Iyer, S., Mohr, C., Lee, B. H., D'Ambro, E. L., Kurten, T., and Thornton, J.
 A.: Constraining the sensitivity of iodide adduct chemical ionization mass spectrometry

- to multifunctional organic molecules using the collision limit and thermodynamic
 stability of iodide ion adducts, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9, 1505-1512, 2016a.
- Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Mohr, C., D'Ambro, E. L., Lutz, A., Riedel, T. P., Gaston, C. J., Iyer, S.,
 Zhang, Z., Gold, A., Surratt, J. D., Lee, B. H., Kurten, T., Hu, W. W., Jimenez, J.,
 Hallquist, M., and Thornton, J. A.: Molecular Composition and Volatility of Organic
 Aerosol in the Southeastern US: Implications for IEPOX Derived SOA, Environ. Sci.
 Technol., 50, 2200-2209, doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b04769, 2016b.
- Martinez-Lozano, P., Zingaro, L., Finiguerra, A., and Cristoni, S.: Secondary electrospray
 ionization-mass spectrometry: breath study on a control group, J. Breath Res., 5,
 doi:10.1088/1752-7155/5/1/016002, 2011.
- Meier, L., Berchtold, C., Schmid, S., and Zenobi, R.: Sensitive detection of drug vapors using an
 ion funnel interface for secondary electrospray ionization mass spectrometry, J. Mass
 Spectrom., 47, 555-559, doi:10.1002/jms.2982, 2012.
- Mohr, C., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Yli-Juuti, T., Heitto, A., Lutz, A., Hallquist, M., D'Ambro, E. L.,
 Rissanen, M. P., Hao, L. Q., Schobesberger, S., Kulmala, M., Mauldin III, R. L., Ulla
 Makkonen, U., Sipilä, M., Petäjä, T., Thornton, J. A.: Ambient observations of dimers
 from terpene oxidation in the gas-phase: implications for new particle formation and
 growth, Geophys. Res. Lett., doi: 10.1002/2017GL072718, 2017.
- Nguyen, T. B., Bateman, A. P., Bones, D. L., Nizkorodov, S. A., Laskin, J., and Laskin, A.:
 High-resolution mass spectrometry analysis of secondary organic aerosol generated by
 ozonolysis of isoprene, Atmos. Environ., 44, 1032-1042, 2010.
- Nizkorodov, S. A., Laskin, J., and Laskin, A.: Molecular chemistry of organic aerosols through
 the application of high resolution mass spectrometry, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 13,
 3612-3629, doi:10.1039/c0cp02032j, 2011.
- Nowak, J. B., Huey, L. G., Eisele, F. L., Tanner, D. J., Mauldin, R. L., Cantrell, C., Kosciuch, E.,
 and Davis, D. D.: Chemical ionization mass spectrometry technique for detection of
 dimethylsulfoxide and ammonia, J. Geophys. Res., 107, doi:10.1029/2001jd001058, 2002.
- Noziere, B., Kaberer, M., Claeys, M., Allan, J., D'Anna, B., Decesari, S., Finessi, E., Glasius, M.,
 Grgic, I., Hamilton, J. F., Hoffmann, T., Iinuma, Y., Jaoui, M., Kahno, A., Kampf, C. J.,
 Kourtchev, I., Maenhaut, W., Marsden, N., Saarikoski, S., Schnelle-Kreis, J., Surratt, J.
 D., Szidat, S., Szmigielski, R., and Wisthaler, A.: The Molecular Identification of
 Organic Compounds in the Atmosphere: State of the Art and Challenges, Chem. Rev.,
 115, 3919-3983, doi:10.1021/cr5003485, 2015.
- Praplan, A. P., Schobesberger, S., Bianchi, F., Rissanen, M. P., Ehn, M., Jokinen, T., Junninen,
 H., Adamov, A., Amorim, A., Dommen, J., Duplissy, J., Hakala, J., Hansel, A., Heinritzi,
 M., Kangasluoma, J., Kirkby, J., Krapf, M., Kurten, A., Lehtipalo, K., Riccobono, F.,
- Rondo, L., Sarnela, N., Simon, M., Tome, A., Trostl, J., Winkler, P. M., Williamson, C.,
 Ye, P., Curtius, J., Baltensperger, U., Donahue, N. M., Kulmala, M., and Worsnop, D. R.:
 Elemental composition and clustering behaviour of alpha-pinene oxidation products for
- different oxidation conditions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 4145-4159, 2015.
- Seinfeld, J. H., and Pandis, S. N.: Atmospheric chemistry and physics: from air pollution to
 climate change, 2nd ed., J. Wiley, Hoboken, N.J., 2006.
- Smith, J. N., Moore, K. F., McMurry, P. H., and Eisele, F. L.: Atmospheric measurements of
 sub-20 nm diameter particle chemical composition by thermal desorption chemical
 ionization mass spectrometry, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 38, 100-110,
- 784 doi:10.1080/02786820490249036, 2004.

785 Tam, M., and Hill, H. H.: Secondary electrospray ionization-ion mobility spectrometry for 786 explosive vapor detection, Anal. Chem., 76, 2741-2747, doi:10.1021/ac0354591, 2004. Trostl, J., Chuang, W. K., Gordon, H., Heinritzi, M., Yan, C., Molteni, U., Ahlm, L., Frege, C., 787 Bianchi, F., Wagner, R., Simon, M., Lehtipalo, K., Williamson, C., Craven, J. S., 788 Duplissy, J., Adamov, A., Almeida, J., Bernhammer, A. K., Breitenlechner, M., Brilke, S., 789 Dias, A., Ehrhart, S., Flagan, R. C., Franchin, A., Fuchs, C., Guida, R., Gysel, M., Hansel, 790 A., Hoyle, C. R., Jokinen, T., Junninen, H., Kangasluoma, J., Keskinen, H., Kim, J., 791 792 Krapf, M., Kurten, A., Laaksonen, A., Lawler, M., Leiminger, M., Mathot, S., Mohler, O., Nieminen, T., Onnela, A., Petaja, T., Piel, F. M., Miettinen, P., Rissanen, M. P., Rondo, 793 L., Sarnela, N., Schobesberger, S., Sengupta, K., Sipila, M., Smith, J. N., Steiner, G., 794 Tome, A., Virtanen, A., Wagner, A. C., Weingartner, E., Wimmer, D., Winkler, P. M., 795 796 Ye, P. L., Carslaw, K. S., Curtius, J., Dommen, J., Kirkby, J., Kulmala, M., Riipinen, I., 797 Worsnop, D. R., Donahue, N. M., and Baltensperger, U.: The role of low-volatility 798 organic compounds in initial particle growth in the atmosphere, Nature, 533, 527-531, 799 doi:10.1038/nature18271, 2016. Tu, P. J., Hall, W. A., and Johnston, M. V.: Characterization of Highly Oxidized Molecules in 800 801 Fresh and Aged Biogenic Secondary Organic Aerosol, Anal. Chem., 88, 4495-4501, 2016. Veres, P., Roberts, J. M., Warneke, C., Welsh-Bon, D., Zahniser, M., Herndon, S., Fall, R., and 802 803 de Gouw, J.: Development of negative-ion proton-transfer chemical-ionization mass spectrometry (NI-PT-CIMS) for the measurement of gas-phase organic acids in the 804 atmosphere, Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 274, 48-55, 2008. 805 Whitehouse, C.M., Levin, F., Meng, C.K., Fenn, J.B.: Proceedings of the 34th ASMS 806 Conference on Mass Spectrometry and Allied Topics, Denver, 507, 1986. 807 Witkowski, B., and Gierczak, T.: Analysis of alpha-acyloxyhydroperoxy aldehydes with 808 electrospray ionization-tandem mass spectrometry (ESI-MSⁿ), J. Mass Spectrom., 48, 79-809 88, doi:10.1002/jms.3130, 2013. 810 Wu, C., Siems, W. F., and Hill, H. H.: Secondary electrospray ionization ion mobility 811 spectrometry/mass spectrometry of illicit drugs, Anal. Chem., 72, 396-403, 812 813 doi:10.1021/Ac9907235, 2000. Yatavelli, R. L. N., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Wargo, J. D., Kimmel, J. R., Cubison, M. J., Bertram, T. 814 H., Jimenez, J. L., Gonin, M., Worsnop, D. R., and Thornton, J. A.: A Chemical 815 816 Ionization High-Resolution Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometer Coupled to a Micro Orifice Volatilization Impactor (MOVI-HRToF-CIMS) for Analysis of Gas and Particle-817 Phase Organic Species, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 46, 1313-1327, 818 doi:10.1080/02786826.2012.712236, 2012. 819 Yuan, B., Koss, A., Warneke, C., Gilman, J. B., Lerner, B. M., Stark, H., and de Gouw, J. A.: A 820 high-resolution time-of-flight chemical ionization mass spectrometer utilizing hydronium 821 ions (H₃O⁺ ToF-CIMS) for measurements of volatile organic compounds in the 822 atmosphere, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9, 2735-2752, doi:10.5194/amt-9-2735-2016, 2016. 823 Zhang, X., Lambe, A. T., Upshur, M. A., Brooks, W. A., Gray Be, A., Thomson, R. J., Geiger, F. 824 825 M., Surratt, J. D., Zhang, Z., Gold, A., Graf, S., Cubison, M. J., Groessl, M., Jayne, J. T., 826 Worsnop, D. R., and Canagaratna, M. R.: Highly Oxygenated Multifunctional 827 Compounds in *a*-Pinene Secondary Organic Aerosol, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 5932-828 5940, doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b06588, 2017.

- Zhao, J., Eisele, F. L., Titcombe, M., Kuang, C. G., and McMurry, P. H.: Chemical ionization 829 mass spectrometric measurements of atmospheric neutral clusters using the cluster-CIMS, 830 J. Geophys. Res., 115, 2010. 831
- Zhao, J., Ortega, J., Chen, M., McMurry, P. H., and Smith, J. N.: Dependence of particle 832 nucleation and growth on high-molecular-weight gas-phase products during ozonolysis of 833 alpha-pinene, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 7631-7644, 2013. 834
- 835 Zhao, Y., Wingen, L. M., Perraud, V., Greaves, J., and Finlayson-Pitts, B. J.: Role of the reaction 836 of stabilized Criegee intermediates with peroxy radicals in particle formation and growth in air, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 17, 12500-12514, doi:10.1039/C5cp01171j, 2015. 837
- Zhao, Y., Wingen, L. M., Perraud, V., and Finlayson-Pitts, B. J.: Phase, composition, and growth 838 839 mechanism for secondary organic aerosol from the ozonolysis of α -cedrene, Atmos. 840 Chem. Phys., 16, 3245-3264, 2016.
- 841 Zhu, J. J., Bean, H. D., Kuo, Y. M., and Hill, J. E.: Fast Detection of Volatile Organic 842 Compounds from Bacterial Cultures by Secondary Electrospray Ionization-Mass
- 843 Spectrometry, J. Clin. Microbiol., 48, 4426-4431, doi:10.1128/Jcm.00392-10, 2010.







Figure 1 Schematic of the electrospray chemical ionization (ESCI) source module. Also shown
are the orthogonal atmospheric pressure IMR and the entrance capillary serving as the
atmospheric pressure interface between the IMR and the vacuum chamber of HRToF-MS. See
text for detailed description of the source.







869

Figure $\frac{2}{4}$ **.** Dependence of ion signals on the ion source flow and sample flow. (a) Ion signals observed as a function of ion source flow during the sampling of humid room air (15 mbar water vapor pressure) containing H¹³COOH at a flow of 10 slpm. (b) Ion signals observed during the sampling of humid room air containing H¹³COOH and HNO₃ gat flow rates of 2-20 slpm (the ratio of ion source flow/sample flow is fixed to be 1:10). The signals for I (H¹³COOH)⁻ in (a) and (b) are magnified by 100 times.



Figure <u>3-5_Normalized-The</u> sensitivity to (a) nitric acid, (b) formic acid, and (c) IEPOX under
dry and humid (14 or 15 mbar water vapor pressure) conditions. Signals are normalized by the
ratio of observed total reagent ion count rates to a million ion count rate. The normalized signals
were observed to be a linear function of the delivered concentration. The slope derived from a
linear fit corresponds to the sensitivity per million reagent ion count rates.



Figure 4.6 Normalized signal of $I(HNO_3)^-$, $I(H^{13}COOH)^-$, and $I(IEPOX)^-$ as a function of water vapor pressure (P_{H2O}) in the IMR. The signal of iodide-analyte clusters is first normalized by the total reagent ion (I and $I(H_2O)^-$) signals. The resulting normalized signal at each P_{H2O} was then

890 normalized again to the respective value under dry conditions ($P_{H2O} = 0$, dry UHP N₂).



Figure 5-7 High resolution mass spectra collected when sampling (a) UHP N_2 and (b) UHP N_2

895 containing HNO₃, H¹³COOH, and IEPOX gases.





897

Figure 6-8 High resolution mass spectra obtained during ozonolysis of α -pinene in a steady-state chamber using (a) I⁻ and (b) NO₃⁻ modes. For NO₃⁻ mode, the chemical formulae of organic ion clusters are shown without the corresponding NO₃⁻ adduct for clarity as, unlike I⁻ mode, organic ions without a NO₃⁻ adduct were negligible components of the spectrum.





906 **Figure 7-9** High resolution mass spectra of α -pinene ozonolysis products in (a, c, e, g) monomers 907 and (b, d, f, h) dimers regions observed in (a, b) Li⁺ mode, (c, d) Na⁺ mode, (e, f) K⁺ mode, and 908 (g, h) NH₄⁺ mode. The chemical formulae of the detected organics are given for major peaks 909 observed in the mass spectra. To allow direct comparison, the reagent ion adduct has been 910 removed from the detected cluster in each spectrum.

911



Figure 8-10 Comparisons of mass defect plots derived in (a) Γ and Na⁺ modes, and (b) NO₃⁻ and Na⁺ modes during ozonolysis of α -pinene in a steady-state chamber. To compare the mass defect plot obtained in two different ion modes, the reagent ions in observed clusters are excluded for the mass defect calculation, and the signals are normalized to the corresponding pinic acid intensity in each mode (see text for details). The purple circles do not necessarily mean such ions were undetected in the negative mode as they may have very small signal (< 5 cps) and be excluded for the high-resolution fitting.







932 each ΔV are normalized to that obtained at the weakest declustering strength (i.e., $\Delta V=2$ V).



Figure <u>10-13</u> Declustering scans of 15 most abundant dimers formed by the ozonolysis of α -pinene in (a) Li^+ mode, (b) Na^+ mode, (c) K^+ mode, and (d) NH_4^+ mode. ΔV denotes the voltage differences between the first and second quadrupole sections of the mass spectrometer. Signals at each ΔV are normalized to that obtained at the weakest declustering strength (i.e., $\Delta V=2$ V).