

## ***Interactive comment on “Portable Ozone Calibration Source Independent of Changes in Temperature, Pressure and Humidity for Research and Regulatory Applications” by John W. Birks et al.***

### **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 8 June 2018

The authors present a portable ozone calibration source that can serve as a transfer standard for the calibration of ozone monitors, e.g. those deployed in air quality monitoring stations (fulfils the requirements of a U.S. EPA level 4 transfer standard). The manuscript is very clear and well written and actually, it is complete and includes a thorough and interesting discussion about the effect of humidity on the generated O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio. In fact, I did not found any errors or things that should be changed or corrected. Nevertheless, I'm reluctant in recommending the manuscript for publication in AMT, because it is a description (although very detailed and correct) of a commer-

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



cial instrument. A good part of the information in the manuscript is already available on the 2B website within the product description of the Model 306 Ozone Calibration Source (<https://www.twobtech.com/model-306-ozone-cal-source.html>) and the operation manual that can be downloaded from the website (Figures 2, 3, 4 and Table 2 of the manuscript). I therefore think that the manuscript does not provide sufficient novel information to justify publication in a research journal like AMT. However, this is rather a political than a scientific or technical question and the decision should be taken by the Editor. Regarding content, the manuscript is fine.

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2018-110, 2018.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

