

Dear Authors,

Very nice job in addressing the Reviewers' suggestions. There is one minor thing (sentence) that I believe should be changed - contained in lines 239 - 241.

"It has been well documented that the use of stainless steel canisters for sampling terpenes in dry or humidified air can be problematic (Batterman et al., 1998; Rhoderick, 2010)."

First of all the Rhoderick study did not address the use of SS canisters - all it did was reference the Batterman study within. Also, the Batterman study used one type of SS canister. It is possible that other e-polished canisters could do a better job. We don't know but my point is that saying "it is well documented" is a stretch. I suggest revising the sentence and dropping the Rhoderick reference.

Best,

Eric Apel

Dear Eric.

Thank you for your kind response and the thoroughness of your review. We have removed the Rhoderick reference and modified the sentence to "Previous work has shown that the use of stainless steel canisters for sampling terpenes in dry or humidified air can be problematic (Batterman et al., 1998)." I hope that this suffices.

Thanks for your help.

Nick (Allen)