Atmospheric Measurement Techniques



- 1 The interference of tetrachloromethane in the measurement of benzene in air by Gas
- 2 Chromatography Photoionization Detector (GC-PID).
- 3 4
- 5 **Authors:** Cristina Romero<sup>1</sup>, María Esther González<sup>\*1</sup>, Marta Doval<sup>2</sup> and Enrique González<sup>1</sup>
- 6
- <sup>7</sup><sup>1</sup>Chemical Engineering Department, School of Chemistry, University of Murcia, 30100 Murcia, Spain
- <sup>2</sup>Chemical and Environmental Engineering Department, Technical University of Cartagena, Paseo
   Alfonso XIII, 52, 30203 Cartagena, Murcia, Spain
- 10
- 11 \*Correspondence to: María Esther González (esthergd@um.es)
- 12

## 13 ABSTRACT

14

15 The European Union requires that the benzene in air is measured due to its toxic characteristics and 16 widespread presence in the population nuclei, motivated by vehicle emissions. The reference 17 measuring technique is by gas chromatography (GC). For practical and safety reasons it is 18 recommended that a photometric ionisation detector (PID) is used. The automatic chromatographs 19 used in the monitoring stations must verify the operating conditions in the standard EN 14662:2005 20 part 3, which describes the Type Approval Tests. One of the tests determines possible 21 interferences, including by tetrachloromethane (TCM). Part 3 of the cited standard was modified in 22 2015, eliminating TCM as a possible interferer. Given that some studies ensure the presence of said 23 product in air, there has been considerable interest in testing different mixtures of benzene and 24 TCM.

25

This study has shown that the simultaneous presence of benzene and TCM causes a significant decrease in the first GC-PID readings. For TCM concentrations of 0.7  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (typical of urban areas) and 4.5  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (detected in the vicinity of landfills), the relative errors in benzene concentration were 34 and 70%, respectively, which are of unacceptable quality for the measurement of benzene. Possible mechanisms have been proposed to qualitatively and quantitatively explain what happens in the PID with benzene alone and in the presence of TCM.

32

Given the significant impact of the interferer, it is important to open a discussion forum to addressthis issue.

- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44





- 46 INTRODUCTION
- 47

45

Benzene is a volatile organic compound (VOC). This group contains a diverse set of compounds with
15 carbon atoms or less, vapour pressures greater than 0.01 KPa at 20 °C and boiling temperatures
below 260 °C. Methane, organo-metallic compounds, carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide are
excluded.

52

Benzene originates from natural emissions (from vegetation, oceans, soils, sediments, microbial decompositions and volcanoes) and anthropogenic emissions from fossil fuels (mainly from vehicles and to a lesser extent from the combustion of wood that is widely used in central and northern European countries for domestic heating). It is also present in tobacco smoke and in a wide range of industrial and household products (solvents, adhesives, paints and cleaning products), and is also a raw material for the synthesis of other products, such as dyes, detergents, plastics and explosives (Guenther et al.1995). .

60

In Europe, the greatest anthropogenic emission of benzene is from vehicles (Sarigiannis et.al 2011),
 with a contribution estimated at 80–85%, as shown in table 1. Currently, its presence is regulated in
 petroleum automotives by Directive 2009/33/CE, and there must be less than 1% by volume
 emitted.

65

66 Table 1. Contribution of the main anthropogenic sources of benzene in Europe.

67

Due to the chemical stability of benzene compared with most VOCs, its permanence in the atmosphere is high (with a half-life of 12 days) compared to other hydrocarbons of similar molecular mass. Consequently, it can be transported over long distances and degraded by OH radicals in the troposphere, forming phenol and glyoxal, among other compounds.

72

VOCs can affect human health, and benzene is a recognised inductor of leukaemia and also affects
the central nervous system, deteriorates the immune system and damages genetic material.
Benzene is the only VOC regulated in Europe in terms of air quality; the annual average limit is 5
µg/m<sup>3</sup> (and its determination in air is mandatory, especially in urban centers.

77

## 78 BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

79

80 The standardised methods in Europe for the measurement of benzene concentrations in air have 81 been established by Directive 2008/50/EC and are described in the standard EN 14662 published in 82 2005, which is composed of three parts. The first two parts are still valid, but part 3 was modified in 83 2015. Each part describes the use of GC with a capillary column, but they differ in methods of 84 sample collection and analytical automation. Part 1 of the standard EN 14662 describes the 85 sampling of air by aspiration, using active carbon as an adsorbent and carrying out thermal 86 desorption before the analysis. Part 2 differs in the desorption process, in which carbon sulphide is 87 used. Carbon sulphide was the most widely used solvent for VOCs captured on carbonaceous 88 adsorbents in industrial hygiene and atmospheric pollution testing. Currently, carbon sulphide is 89 not used.





| 90         |   |  |  |  |  |
|------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 91         | Part 3 of the 2005 and 2015 versions of the standard describes a method based on ambient air          |  |  |  |  |
| 92         | sampling and automatic analysis, which is commonly used in measuring stations for atmospheric air     |  |  |  |  |
| 93         | pollution in Europe. Both versions describe Type Approval that consists of a series of tests that are |  |  |  |  |
| 94         | carried out in accredited laboratories. In Europe, it is mandatory that air pollutant measuring       |  |  |  |  |
| 95         | equipment complies with the aforementioned requirements before commercialisation.                     |  |  |  |  |
| 96         |   |  |  |  |  |
| 97         | The Type Approval tests of the two versions (2005 and 2015) of the cited standards and other          |  |  |  |  |
| 98         | complementary tests were carried out in our laboratory under controlled conditions. Two articles      |  |  |  |  |
| 99         | have been previously published regarding the effect of absolute air pressure at the entrance of the   |  |  |  |  |
| 100        | chromatographs (Romero et al.2016), humidity and ambient temperature on the benzene                   |  |  |  |  |
| 101        | measurements (Romero et al.2017).   |  |  |  |  |
| 102        |   |  |  |  |  |
| 103        | This article describes the possible interference of organic compounds that coexist in ambient air     |  |  |  |  |
| 104        | with benzene. These interferences may have various causes, and highlight the following:               |  |  |  |  |
| 105        |   |  |  |  |  |
| 106        | For a substance to act as an interfering agent, it must have a retention time in the chromatographic  |  |  |  |  |
| 107        | column within the interval for the identification of benzene, so that both species reach the detector |  |  |  |  |
| 108        | within this interval.   |  |  |  |  |
| 109        |   |  |  |  |  |
| 110        | If the above is true, the interference causes an increase or decrease in the detector signal. When    |  |  |  |  |
| 111        | the chromatograph has a PID, one of the following occurs:   |  |  |  |  |
| 112        |   |  |  |  |  |
| 113        | 1. If any organic compound other than benzene is ionised by the radiation of the detector             |  |  |  |  |
| 114        | lamp, the electronic density, and consequently the electrical signal, increase, which leads to        |  |  |  |  |
| 115        | an increase in the concentration of benzene. For this, the ionization potential of the                |  |  |  |  |
| 116        | interferent must be lower than that associated with the radiation of the lamp.                        |  |  |  |  |
| 117        |   |  |  |  |  |
| 118<br>119 | 2. When the interferer causes a decrease in the benzene signal, there can be several causes:          |  |  |  |  |
| 120        | 2.1. The radiation of the detector lamp is absorbed to a greater or lesser extent by the              |  |  |  |  |
| 121        | interferer, and the remaining energy is insufficient to completely ionise the benzene. This           |  |  |  |  |
| 122        | phenomenon is known as the "guenching effect" (Chou 1999). This has also been described               |  |  |  |  |
| 123        | and evaluated in a previous article (Romero et al.2017).  |  |  |  |  |
| 124        |   |  |  |  |  |
| 125        | 2.2. The interferer absorbs (blocks) part of the formed ions that participate in the                  |  |  |  |  |
| 126        | quantification of benzene, leading to decrease in the detected concentration. This                    |  |  |  |  |
| 127        | mechanism is known as quenching effect via electron capture. As discussed below,                      |  |  |  |  |
| 128        | tetrachloromethane (TCM) acts in this way.  |  |  |  |  |
| 129        |   |  |  |  |  |
| 130        | The 2005 version of part 3 of the standard EN 14662 included a list of paraffinic, cyclic and         |  |  |  |  |
| 131        | halogenated organic compounds (trichlorethylene and TCM) that should be tested as possible            |  |  |  |  |
| 132        | interferers. In the 2015 version, all hydrogenated compounds are maintained, isooctane (2,2,4-        |  |  |  |  |
| 133        | trimethylpentane) and 1-butanol have been added and TCM has been removed. Table 2 shows all           |  |  |  |  |

134 the common and specific components of each version.





| 135<br>136<br>137<br>138   | Table 2. Organic compounds used to assess interference in the measurement of benzene in air, in accordance with standards EN 14662-3 (2005 and 2015).  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 139<br>140<br>141<br>142<br>143<br>144<br>145<br>146                             | When we carried out the interference tests, part 3 of the standard (2005) was still current and the Type Approval Test was carried out as indicated, where the established benzene concentrations were close to 0.5 $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> and 40 $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> . For these concentrations, benzene measurements were compared in the absence and presence of the interfering agent (table 2). We observed that the presence of the interfering agent did not affect the smaller benzene concentrations (approximately 0.5 $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> ) but the highest concentrations decreased significantly, up to a third of its value.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 147  | 1, and observed the following:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 148<br>149<br>150<br>151<br>152<br>153<br>154<br>155<br>156<br>157<br>158<br>159 | <ol> <li>Peak 2, which was within the interval that quantified benzene, also contained the following compounds: cyclohexane, 2-methylhexane, 2,3-dimethyl pentane and TCM.</li> <li>The first three cited interferers increased the concentration of benzene because their respective ionisation potentials (between 9.88 and 10.08 eV) were lower than the potential generated by the detector lamp (10.6 eV), and therefore the electric intensity in the detector increased, leading to an increase in the apparent concentration of benzene.</li> <li>TCM exhibited different behaviour as its ionisation potential (11.7 eV) was greater than that emitted by the lamp; therefore it does not ionise or increase the intensity in the detector. However, it is evident that it acts in an inverse manner, since it significantly decreased the apparent benzene concentration.</li> </ol> |  |  |  |  |  |
| 160<br>161<br>162<br>163   | Figure 1. Benzene chromatogram with the organic compounds described in the standard as potential measurement interferers.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 164<br>165<br>166<br>167   | The aim of this article was to study the cited behaviour of TCM. First, the possible presence of this compound in air that can lead to high deviations in benzene concentrations was studied. Second, a mechanism was proposed to explain the aforementioned deviations.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 168  | The synthesis of TCM for direct use is prohibited by the Montreal Protocol because it is a substance   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 169  | that destroys the ozone layer. However, its use as a raw material for the synthesis of other   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 170  | substances such as hydrofluorocarbons (HFC), pyrenthroid pesticides or perchloroethylene is  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 171  | allowed (Graziosi et al, 2016). Diffuse emissions may occur in its manufacture or during its use in  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 172  | the aforementioned syntheses. In this sense, Penny et al (2010) estimated in 2007 emissions of,  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 173  | approximately, 9500 MT of TCM in 192 countries. However, since the entry into force of the   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 174  | Montreal Protocol, there has been a progressive decrease in the environmental presence of TCM,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 175  | with a decrease in the global average concentration of 10 to 15 pptv / decade (equivalent to 69 to   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 176  | 104 ng/Nm <sup>-</sup> /decade). In 2005, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (2005) determines   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 177  | a global average concentration of 0.7 $\mu$ g/Nm <sup>3</sup> with peaks in urban areas of 1.4 to 4.5 $\mu$ g/Nm <sup>3</sup> and  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 178  | Brosas (2008) detected 45 µg/Nm <sup>2</sup> in the vicinity of landfills. Most recent data provided by Blas et  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 179  | al. (2016) in diverse cities of the world confirm average values of 0.61 µg/Nm <sup>3</sup> in Lukang (Taiwan)   |  |  |  |  |  |





0.64 µg/Nm<sup>3</sup> in Bristol (UK) and 1.10 µg/Nm<sup>3</sup> in Bilbao (Spain). In this last city, maximum 180 concentrations of 9.94 µg/Nm<sup>3</sup> have been measured. These values justify the concentrations that 181 182 have been used in the tests carried out in this work. It should also be noted that the TCM has a half-183 life in the troposphere from 26 to 35 years (SPARC, 2013; Liang et.al, 2014), so its effects, such as the one indicated here, will be manifested during the next two decades. 184 185 186 187 **EXPERIMENTAL** 188 189 Test atmosphere: A standard atmosphere system was used, which allowed "zero" air, and mixtures of air with benzene and/or TCM with known concentrations, at a temperature of 20±2 °C, pressure 190 191 of 1013 hPa and 50% relative humidity. 192 193 The airflows containing the components of interest (benzene and TCM) were prepared as in the 194 installation shown in figure 2, which consisted of the following: 195 196 • Initial air: Ambient air was obtained from a compressor free of lubrication oil to avoid air 197 pollution with organic volatiles contained in the oil (the compression chamber was made of 198 polytetrafluoroethylene (Teflon®), which did not require lubricant oil). The compressor dried the air 199 to 5% relative humidity, providing an adjustable pressure controller at the outlet that was 200 maintained at 1.8 bars. 201 202 • "Zero" air purification and humidification: After leaving the compressor, the air passed through 203 several beds containing silica gel, active carbon and alumina that almost completely dried it and 204 eliminated possible traces of organic and other inorganic contaminants (mainly nitrogen dioxide 205 and other acidic gases). After purification, the concentration levels of the organic pollutants in 206 "zero" air were periodically checked by chromatographic analysis, ensuring they were below the 207 limits of chromatographic detection. The airflow, up to a maximum of 200 L/min at atmospheric 208 pressure, was regulated with a Bronkhorst HI-T mass controller (B HI-T), depending on the required 209 concentrations of the components for each test. 210 Figure 2. Diagram of the standard atmosphere installation used to obtain airflows with benzene 211 212 and/or TCM at known concentrations 213 214 After purification, the airflow was humidified to a relative humidity of 50±5%, which was constant 215 for all tests, given its effect on the results. To humidify the airflow, the air conduction behind the 216 compressor was bifurcated: one through which dry air circulated and another that was connected 217 to a humidifier, until reaching airflow saturation. The regulation of both flow rates (dry and wet) 218 was ensured using two B HI-T mass controllers. Both lines were rejoined to obtain the final required moisture level, measured with a hygrometer. The flow rate of water vapour supplied to the air after 219 the humidifier was calculated. 220 221 222 • Incorporation of pollutants into the "zero" air stream: The pollutants (benzene and TCM) mixed 223 with nitrogen were stored in bottles at certified concentrations, which were connected to the





| 224 | humidified "zero" air line. The concentrations of benzene in the two bottles were 335 $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> and                              |
|-----|---|
| 225 | 1054 $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> . The concentrations of TCM in the two bottles were 17.6 $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> and 65.3 $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> . |
| 226 |   |
| 227 | The final standard concentration of pollutant x (Cp <sub>x</sub> , expressed in $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> ) after mixing the flows of            |
| 228 | the bottle/s and the air was determined from the mass balance as:   |
| 229 |   |
| 230 | $Cp_x = Q_{bx} \cdot Cpb_x/(Q_a + \Sigma Q_b)$ Eq. (1)  |
| 231 |   |
| 232 | where $Cpb_x$ is the concentration of x in the bottle of origin, $Qb_x$ is the flow of the bottle containing                                  |
| 233 | pollutant x, and $Q_a$ is the humidified "zero" air flow and $\Sigma Q_b$ is the sum of the flows, respectively.                              |
| 234 |   |
| 235 | The above concentrations and flow rates were under the reference conditions of temperature and  |
| 236 | pressure, 293 K and 101.3 KPa, respectively. These conditions were maintained at the entrance of  |
| 237 | each chromatograph for all tests. There was a barometer in the laboratory, and high sensitivity   |
| 238 | manometers were connected to the input of each chromatograph to maintain the flow at the  |
| 239 | reference pressure.   |
| 240 |   |
| 241 | In order to maintain the air currents at the reference temperature (20 $\pm$ 2 $^{\circ}$ C), the above-described                             |
| 242 | installation and the chromatographs were placed in respective chambers equipped with thermal  |
| 243 | conditioning.   |
| 244 |   |
| 245 | Two Syntech Spectras GC955 (Groningen, Netherlands) chromatographs were used. These are   |
| 246 | widely used in European air pollution monitoring networks, and were identified as analysers I and   |
| 247 | II.   |
| 248 |   |
| 249 | The analytical process was semi-continuous. While the analyser was analysing a sample, a new one  |
| 250 | was sampled and sent to the pre-concentration system.   |
| 251 |   |
| 252 | The procedure is described in the following stages:   |
| 253 |   |
| 254 | • The air sampling system comprised a 35 mL capacity piston pump, with 175 mL aspiration, and   |
| 255 | the suction operation was repeated five times. The successive 35 mL samples of air moved to a pre-  |
| 256 | concentrator (consisting of a column filled with Tenax), which retained the organic compounds and   |
| 257 | released the excess air.  |
| 258 |   |
| 259 | • Once the five suction cycles were completed, the contaminants retained in the pre-concentrator  |
| 260 | underwent thermal desorption and were pulled with Nitrogen 5.0 (as a carrier gas) towards the   |
| 261 | chromatographic column. The column was 15 m in length and 0.32 mm in diameter, and was  |
| 262 | formed of silica with a film of adsorbent polymer (1 $\mu$ m heliflex coating) for substances with boiling                                    |
| 263 | points between 40 and 250 $^\circ$ C. The initial oven temperature was set at 50 $^\circ$ C and maintained for 3                              |
| 264 | min, then increased to 70 $^\circ$ C at 10 $^\circ$ C/min. This temperature was maintained for 7 min before being                             |
| 265 | reduced to 50 °C with a cooling rate of 10 °C/min.  |
| 266 |   |
| 267 | • To explain the behaviour of benzene in the PID, we proposed the model shown in figure 3, which  |
| 268 | also served as a basis to determine what happens in the presence of TCM (figure 5). When the  |





269 gasified benzene (n, in molar units) leaves the column, pulled by the carrier gas, it accesses the PID 270 where a fraction,  $F(\leq 1)$ , is ionised by the radiation of the lamp, forming nF ionic couples (electrons and benzyl cations). This forms a magma that produces an electrical intensity when passing through 271 272 the electrodes of the detector, whose area is proportional to the concentration of benzene in the 273 sample, given that F is practically constant within the range of concentrations tested, as 274 demonstrated by the experimental results. The benzyl ions recover the electrons in the cathode 275 and benzene is reformed, hence the non-destructive nature of the detector. 276 277 • The "windows" used to quantify the benzene in chromatographs I and II used, were 176-212 s 278 and 148–182 s, respectively. 279 280 Figure 3. Model of the behaviour of benzene in the PID of the chromatograph in the absence of 281 TCM. 282 283 Each analytical determination (from the first aspiration of air to the final result of the detected 284 concentration) occurred in 15 min. 285 286 The measurements were obtained using the following sequence: 287 288 · A continuous flow of "zero air" was prepared with the relative humidity, temperature and 289 standard pressure mentioned above. The chromatographic analysis of at least three samples of air 290 was performed until free of organic components. 291 292 Once the absence of contaminants was verified, the flow of benzene was added to the "zero" air 293 from their respective bottles and, where appropriate, the TCM. Flows were previously calculated to 294 obtain the final concentrations required in the tests. In each experiment, at least six samples were 295 taken after measuring the stabilities of the concentration levels, and the average was determined. 296 297 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** 298 299 300 According to the standard EN 14662, the parameters used to evaluate the deviations caused by the 301 interferers were: 302 303 • Effect of organic compounds, b<sub>Corg</sub>: 304  $b_{Corg} = |C_{aCorg} - C_a| / C_a Eq. (2)$ 305 306 307 where  $C_{aCorg}$  is the average concentration of benzene chromatographic measurements in the 308 presence of organic compounds ( $\mu g/m^3$ ) and C<sub>a</sub> is the average concentration of individual benzene 309 measurements in the absence of organic compounds ( $\mu g/m^3$ ). 310 311 312 313 • The typical uncertainty, U<sub>Corg</sub>:





| 314 |  |
|-----|--|
| 315 | $U_{\text{Corg}} =  C_{a\text{Corg}} - C_a  / \sqrt{3}$ Eq. (3)  |
| 316 |  |
| 317 | • The test value, V <sub>test</sub> :  |
| 318 | $V_{\text{test.}} = (U_{\text{Corg}} / C_a) \cdot 100  \text{Eq.} (4)$   |
| 319 |  |
| 320 |  |
| 321 | We have included the relative error (RE):  |
| 322 |  |
| 323 | RE= b <sub>Corr</sub> .100 Eq. (5)   |
| 324 |  |
| 325 | Previous experiments   |
| 326 |  |
| 327 | First, the organic interference tests were carried out. These tests have been established in the                     |
| 328 | earlier version of part 3 of the standard EN 14662, and involve comparing the responses of the                       |
| 329 | chromatographs when analysing standards (C <sub>0</sub> ) containing benzene at two concentrations (one-             |
| 330 | tenth of the limit value established in the European legislation, Directive 2000/69/CE, and close to                 |
| 331 | 70–90% of the maximum certification range) and mixtures of benzene and the organic compounds                         |
| 332 | indicated in table 3, each with concentrations close to 10 $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> . The results are shown in table 3 |
| 333 | using the previously defined parameters.   |
| 334 |  |
| 335 | In each test, six individual measurements were made to obtain statistically significant data, using                  |
| 336 | the arithmetic mean as a representative value (C <sub>b</sub> ).   |
| 337 |  |
| 338 | Table 3. Results obtained in the tests for the interference of organic compounds in benzene for                      |
| 339 | analysers I and II, with respect to those established in part 3 of the standard EN 14662.                            |
| 340 |  |
| 341 | As shown in table 3, the mixture of organic compounds interfered significantly, causing errors close                 |
| 342 | to 60% for the highest concentrations of benzene in the two chromatographs tested.                                   |
| 343 |  |
| 344 | Based on the results, an analogous test was performed for concentrations of benzene close to the                     |
| 345 | limit value established in the Community Legislation (5 $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> ). The results are shown in table 4.  |
| 346 |  |
| 347 | Table 4. Results for the test of the interference of organic compounds in benzene for analysers I                    |
| 348 | and II, for benzene concentrations close to the limit value in Europe.   |
| 349 |  |
| 350 | The results also showed the interference of the mixture of organic compounds on the readings of                      |
| 351 | benzene concentration, though in this case the deviations were different for each chromatograph.                     |
| 352 |  |
| 353 | Due to the different behaviours of organic compounds that reach the PID in the benzene "window"                      |
| 354 | (see Background and Objectives), separate studies should be carried out for those that positively                    |
| 355 | (increasing) and negatively (decreasing) affect the concentration of benzene. TCM caused the                         |
| 356 | concentration of benzene to decrease, and was interferer studied in this article.                                    |
| 357 |  |
| 358 |  |





| 359 | Effect of TCM on benzene measurement  |
|-----|---|
| 360 |   |
| 361 | To study the effect of TCM on the chromatographic measurements of benzene, the analyzer was                                   |
| 362 | first calibrated ( $C_a$ versus $C_p$ ) to standard concentrations ( $C_p$ ) of the pollutant, approximately 0, 2.5,          |
| 363 | 5, 10, 20 and 40 μg/m <sup>3</sup> .  |
| 364 |   |
| 365 | Next, air flows containing benzene were prepared at the same concentrations but with the addition                             |
| 366 | of TCM flows diluted with nitrogen, such that the final concentrations of TCM ( $C_{TCM}$ ) were 0.5, 1.0,                    |
| 367 | 2.0 and 5.0 $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> , leading to the chromatographic reading (C <sub>aTCM</sub> ). The TCM concentrations were |
| 368 | selected due to the presence in urban areas at these levels (section 2), allowing estimations of the                          |
| 369 | possible deviations in expected benzene measurements. To establish the concentrations of the                                  |
| 370 | benzene and TCM standards in the test chamber shown in table 5, the proportions of the different                              |
| 371 | streams (zero air, and the benzene and TCM bottles diluted with nitrogen) have been taken into                                |
| 372 | account, and in this case, equation 1 was applied. In all cases, each measurement was repeated six                            |
| 373 | times and the standard deviations of each measurement are indicated in parentheses.   |
| 374 |   |
| 375 | Table 5. Concentrations of benzene without TCM ( $C_a$ ) and with TCM ( $C_{aTCM}$ ), measured by analyzer I                  |
| 376 | for different concentrations of TCM   |
| 377 |   |
| 378 | From the results in table 5, the presence of TCM significantly decreased the benzene concentration                            |
| 379 | readings with respect to the standards, and the deviations increased with TCM concentration.                                  |
| 380 | Likewise, for the same TCM concentration, the relative errors of the readings at different standard                           |
| 381 | benzene concentrations remained practically constant.   |
| 382 |   |
| 383 | The experimental values of $C_a$ and $C_{aTCM}$ versus $C_p$ of table 5 are shown in figure 4, and the following              |
| 384 | was observed:   |
| 385 |   |
| 386 | 1. The relationship between $C_a$ or $C_{aTCM}$ and $C_p$ for each series was linear (p<0.001) and passed                     |
| 387 | through the origin of the coordinates, leading to the following general equations:  |
| 388 |   |
| 389 | $C_a = K^* C_p$ (without TCM) Eq. (6)   |
| 390 | $C_{aTCM} = K C_p$ (with TCM) Eq. (7)   |
| 391 |   |
| 392 | where K* and K are the slopes of the respective straight lines.   |
| 393 |   |
| 394 | 2. The slopes of the straight lines decreased with increasing TCM concentration.  |
| 395 |   |
| 396 | Figure 4. Representation of benzene concentrations, $C_{a}$ and $C_{aTCM\!,}$ read by analyser I, compared                    |
| 397 | with the introduced patterns, $C_p$ , at different TCM concentrations.  |
| 398 |   |
| 399 | Table 6 shows the adjusted equations of the experimental values in table 5. A decrease in K was                               |
| 400 | observed as the concentration of the interferent increased.   |
| 401 |   |
| 402 | Table 6. Adjusted equations for the obtained experimental values.   |
| 403 |   |
|     |   |





404To attempt to explain what occurs in the PID, and find the best relationship in terms of K for TCM405concentrations, we proposed the model in figure 5. The sequence and behaviour of the two406analysed species are depicted as they pass through the detector. The basic concepts of the model407are as follows:

408

1. The air sample, containing benzene (n moles) and TCM (m moles), accesses the PID. The lamp
ionises a fraction F of benzene but does not act on the TCM, since its ionisation potential is greater
than that provided by the lamp.

412

2. When the magma is formed, the mechanisms that take place are complex, given that the electrons formed by benzene ionisation (nF) are distributed between two competing paths. One part (pF) is directed towards the anode of the detector, and the other (qF) is retained by the strong electronegativity of TCM. Thus, the measurement by the detector (pF) depends on the electric fields configured by both systems and the quantities of benzene (n) and TCM (m). This may cause one of the species to be limiting, this is that one of them is in default with respect to the other, which also affects the distribution.

420

3. Finally, the system changes as shown in figure 5. The electric circuit closes and the initial speciesis regenerated, showing that the PID is non-destructive in nature.

423

Figure 5. Simplified model of the behaviour of benzene and TCM when interacting simultaneouslyin the PID detector

426

From a quantitative point of view, the proposed model and experimental data and establish thefollowing:

429

According to figure 3, the concentration of benzene read by the chromatograph in the absence of
 TCM (C<sub>a</sub>) is expressed by:

432

433  $C_a = C_p = n.F.M_b / V_T = n.F.\alpha_b$  Eq. (8)

434 435

436

where  $M_b$  is the molecular mass of benzene,  $V_T$  is the volume of the air sample and  $\alpha_b = M_b/V_T$ .

• As explained previously, when benzene and TCM simultaneously coexist, the benzene concentrations read by the chromatograph ( $C_{aTCM}$ ) for a given concentration of TCM, followed the generic representation in figure 6, the following equation was confirmed:

- 441  $C_{aTCM} = K.C_{p} = C_{p} \Delta \qquad Eq. (9)$
- 442

440

443 where  $\Delta$  is the deviation of  $C_{aTCM}$  from  $C_p$ .

444

Figure 6. Generic representations of benzene concentrations ( $C_a$  and  $C_{aTCM}$ ) read by the chromatograph with respect to the standard concentrations ( $C_p$ )





448 As can be deduced from figures 4 and 6,  $\Delta$  was proportional to C<sub>p</sub> for each C<sub>TCM</sub>, and was also 449 dependent on  $C_{TCM}$ , which was determined experimentally. Based on the above, the following 450 function was proposed: 451  $\Delta = C_{p}. \phi(C_{TCM}) \qquad Eq. (10)$ 452 453 • Based on figures 5 and 6 and equations 9 and 10: 454 455  $C_{aTCM} = p.F.\alpha_b = (n - q).F.\alpha_b = C_p - q.F.\alpha_b = C_p - \Delta = C_p - C_p.\phi(C_{TCM}) = [1 - \phi(C_{TCM})].C_p$  Eq. (11) 456 457 From equations 9 and 11:  $1 - K = \phi(C_{CTM})$  Eq. (12) 458 459 460 Table 6 shows the values of 1 - K, which correlated with the respective TCM concentrations. The 461 best fit is represented by the following equation: 462  $1 - K = 0.389.C_{TCM}^{0.388}$  (r<sup>2</sup> = 0.988) Eq. (13) 463 464 465 From equations 9, 11 and 13:  $C_{aTCM} = (1 - 0.389.C_{TCM}^{0.388}) C_{p}$  Eq. (14) 466 467 From equation 14, the relative error of the benzene chromatographic measurements in the 468 presence of TCM (RE) can be estimated: 469  $RE = [(C_{aTCM} - C_p)/C_p].100 = (0.389.C_{TCM}^{0.388}).100$ 470 Eq. (15) 471 472 Thus, for TCM concentrations of 0.7, 1.4 and 4.5  $\mu$ g /m<sup>3</sup> (levels that are currently found in urban

4/2 Thus, for TCM concentrations of 0.7, 1.4 and 4.5 μg /m<sup>2</sup> (levels that are currently found in urban areas), errors may occur in benzene readings close to 34, 44 and 70%, respectively. These deviations are high and not acceptable.

475 As indicated in the standard EN 14662:2005, TCM was included as a possible interfering 476 contaminant to be evaluated, but was not included in the new version. However, section 8 of the 477 current standard establishes that "some compounds, including carbon tetrachloride or butanol, may 478 be present under site-specific conditions. In such cases, the responsibility for the proper 479 determination of benzene falls on the network that operates the analyzer by the appropriate choice 480 of separation conditions (analytical column, temperature program of the column)". This approach 481 seems difficult for network managers to implement, and we feel that the manufacturers of the 482 equipment should be responsible for solving this problem since they have the required technology 483 and equipment.

Therefore, a forum should be opened to discuss this problem, given that the GC-PID equipment is the most widely used in the EU for the measurement of benzene in air and it would be advisable to determine the best way to resolve this issue.





## 487 CONCLUSIONS

488 1. Given the toxic characteristics of benzene, the EU has established that its determination in 489 ambient air is mandatory, particularly in urban areas. Benzene forms part of automotive fuels and is also present during the combustion of these fuels, leading to the establishment of an annual 490 491 average limit value of 5  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. GC-PID has also been confirmed as the reference technique for the 492 detection of benzene, as described in the standard EN 14662:2005. This standard comprises three parts. Part 3 was modified in 2015, and describes the tests (so-called Type Approval Tests) that 493 494 must be conducted and passed by the automatic measurement equipment before being marketed 495 in EU countries. One such test verifies whether organic compounds that are common in ambient air 496 interfere with the measurement of benzene, including TCM. This compound was considered in the 497 earlier 2005 version of the standard but was excluded from the new 2015 version.

498 2. The research described in this article determined that the measurement of benzene by GC-PID in
 499 the presence of TCM caused a significant decrease in the concentration of benzene. The relative
 500 error (RE) of the concentration of benzene measured as a function of the concentration of TCM
 501 (C<sub>TCM</sub>) can be calculated from the following expression:

502 
$$RE = (0.389.C_{TCM}^{0.388}).100$$

503 Thus, for  $C_{TCM}$  values of 0.7  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (typical of urban areas) and 4.5  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (in the vicinity of landfills), 504 the REs in benzene concentration would be 34 and 70%, respectively, which are independent of the 505 concentration of benzene.

506 3. Given the importance of this behaviour, a possible mechanism was proposed to explain the 507 phenomenon when benzene is measured in the presence and absence of the interferent.

4. Of note, it is established in part 3 of the standard EN 14662:2015 that the managers of the air pollution monitoring network are responsible for determining the presence of TCM in the area where the atmospheric pollutants are measured. If detected, they must act to eliminate the effect of the interferent. We believe that the manufacturers of the chromatographs have greater technical and scientific capacity to solve this issue than the network managers.

513

514 This study highlights the uncertainty of measuring benzene using a GC-PID, and it is important to 515 open a forum for discussion of this issue.

- 516 517
- 517 REREFENCES.518

519 ATSDR. Public Health summary of CCl<sub>4</sub>. Atlanta: US Public Health Services, 2005.

520

521 Blas, M., Uria-Tellaetxe, I., Gomez, M.C., Navazo, M., Alonso, L., García, J.A., Durana, N., Iza, J.,

522 Ramon, J.D. Atmospheric carbon tetrachloride in rural background and industry surrounded urban

523 areas in northern Iberian Peninsula: Mixing ratios, trends, and potential sources 562, 26-34.

- 524 <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j\_scitotenv2016.03.177, 2016.</u>
- 525





526 Brosas, D. Ambient air monitoring of hazardous volatile organic compounds in Seattle, Washington 527 neighborhoods: trends and implications. Doctoral Thesis. Department of Civil Engineering and Environment.Washington University. USA, 2008. 528 529 Carleton, J., Evenson, KM. Rate constants for the reactions of OH with ethane and some halogen 530 substituted ethanes at 296 K. Journal of Chemical Physics 64, 4303-4306. 531 https://doi.org/10.1063/1.432115, 1976. 532 Chou, J. 'Hazardous gas monitors: A practical guide to selection, operation and applications, 1st edn'. McGraw-Hill Professional, 1999. 533 534 535 Clyne, MAA., Holt, PM. Reaction-Kinetics involving ground X2-PI and exited A2-XI+ Hydroxyl 536 radicals. 2. Rate constants for reactions of OH X2-PI with halogenomethanes and halogenoethanes. Journal of the chemical society-Faraday transactions II 75,582-591, 1979. 537 538 Cox, RA., Derwent, RG., Holt, PM. Relative rate constants for reactions of OH radicals with H-2, CH4, 539 CO, NO and HONO at atmospheric-pressure and 296 K. Journal of the chemical society-Farady 540 Transactions I 72, 2031-2043. doi:10.1039/F19767202031, 1976. 541 542 Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe, Off. J. Eur. Communities, 152, 1-43. http://eur-543 544 lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do? uri=OJ:L:2008:152:0001:0044:EN:PDF (last access: 10 april 545 2018). 546 Directive 2009/33/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 April 2009 on the 547 promotion of clean and energy-efficient road transport vehicles. 548 ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2009/33/oj (last access: 10 april 2018). 549 Graziosi, F., Arduini, J., Bonasoni, P., Furlani, F., Giostra, U., Manning, A.J., McCulloch, A., O'Doherty, 550 s., Simmonds, P.G., Reimann, S., Vollmer, M.K., Maione, M. Emissions of carbon tetrachloride from 551 Europe.Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 16, 12849-12859.doi: 10.5194/acp-16-12849-2016. 552 553 Guenther, A., Hewitt, CN., Erickson, D., Fall, R., Geron, C., Graedel, T., Harley, PLK., Lerdau, M., 554 McKay. WATP., Sholes, B., Steinbrecher, R., Tallmraju, R., Taylor, J., Zimmerman, P. A global model 555 of natural volatile organic compounds emissions. Journal of Research 100, 8873-8892. doi:10.1029/94JD02950, 1995. 556 557 558 Liang, Q., Newman, P.A., Daniel, J.S., Reimann, S., Hall, B.D., Dutto, G., and Kuijpers, L.J.M. 559 Constraining the carbon tetrachloride ( $CCL_4$ ) budget using its global trend and inter-hemispheric 560 gradient. Geophys.Res.Lett., 41, 5307-5315. Doi:10.1002/2014GL060754, 2014. 561 562 Lillian, D., Singh, HB., Appleby, A., Lobban, L., Arnts, R., Gumpert, R., Hague, R., Toomey, J., Kazazis, 563 J., Antell ,M. Fates and levels of ambient halocarbons. ACS Symposium Series 17,152-158, 1975. 564





Locoge, N., Léonardis, T., Mathé, F. Analytical characteristics for benzene and VOC automatic
 measuring system: results from laboratory tests and field campaign. 1stWorshop–AirMonTech
 London, 2010.

568

- 569 Penny, C., Vuilleumier, S., Bringel, F. Microbial degradation of tetrachloromethane:mechanisms and
- 570 perspectives for bioremediation. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 74, 257-275. Doi:10.1111/j.1574-571 6941.2010.00935.x, 2010.
- 572
- Romero, C., Doval, M., González Duperón, E., González, E. Study of the effect of sample pressure on *in situ* BTEX chromatographs. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 188, 1-8.
  doi:10.1007/s10661-016-5674-8, 2016.

576

- Romero, C., Doval, M., González Duperón, E., González, E. Influence of simple temperature and
  environmental humidity on measurements of benzene in ambient air by transportable GC-PID.
  Atmospheric Measurement Techniques 10, 4013-4022. https://doi.org/105194/amt-10-4013-2017,
  2017.
- Sarigiannis , DA., Karakitsios, SP., Gotti, A., Liakos, IL., Katsoyiannis. A Exposure to major
   volatile organic compounds and carbonyls in European indoor environments and associated health
   risk. Environment International 37,743-765. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2011.01.005, 2011.
- Singh, HB., Viezee, W., Johnson, WB., Ludwig, FL. The impact of stratospheric ozone on
  tropospheric air-quality. Journal of the air pollution control association 30, 1009-1017.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/00022470.1980.10465139, 1980.

587 SPARC: SPAC Report on the Lifetimes of Stratospheric Ozone Depleting Substances, Their
 588 Replacements, and related Species, edited by: K0, M., Newman, P., Reimann, S., and Strahan, S.,
 589 SPARC Report No. 6, WCRP-15/2013, available at: http://www.sparc 590 climate.org/publications/sparc-reports/sparc-report-no6/, 2013.

591 Standard EN 14662-1. Ambient air quality. Standard method for measurement of benzene 592 concentrations. Part 1: Pumped sampling followed by thermal desorption and gas 593 chromatography, 2005.

Standard EN 14662-2. Ambient air quality. Standard method for measurement of benzene
concentrations. Part 2: Pumped sampling followed by solvent desorption and gas chromatography,
2005.

- 597 Standard EN 14662-3. Ambient air quality. Standard method for measurement of benzene 598 concentrations. Part 3: Automated pumped sampling with *in situ* gas chromatography, 2005.
- 599 Standard EN 14662-3. Ambient air Standard method for the measurement of benzene 600 concentrations - Part 3: Automated pumped sampling with in situ gas chromatography, 2015.
- 601

- 603
- 604
- 605
- 606





607 Table 1. Contribution of the main anthropogenic sources of benzene in Europe.

| Source            | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------|----------------|
| Vehicles          | 80-85          |
| Oil refineries    | 0.3-1.5        |
| Fuel distribution | 2.6-6          |
| Chemical industry | 1.3-13         |
| Domestic heating  | 3-7            |
| Use of solvents   | 1-4            |

608

609 Table 2. Mixture of organic compounds to assess interferences in the measurement of benzene in

610 air, in accordance with standards EN 14662-3 (2005 and 2015).

| EN 14662-3:2005    | EN 14662-3:2015        |  |  |  |
|--------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| Methylcyc          | Methylcyclopentane     |  |  |  |
| 2,2,3- Trime       | 2,2,3- Trimethylbutane |  |  |  |
| 2,4-Dimet          | nylpentane             |  |  |  |
| Cycloł             | nexane                 |  |  |  |
| 2,3-Dimet          | nylpentane             |  |  |  |
| 2-Methy            | /lhexane               |  |  |  |
| 3-Ethyl            | pentane                |  |  |  |
| Trichlor           | ethylene               |  |  |  |
| n-Heptane          |                        |  |  |  |
| Tetrachloromethane | 1-Butanol              |  |  |  |
|                    | 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane |  |  |  |

611

612 Table 3. Results obtained in the test of the interference of the organic compounds in the benzene

readings for the analyzers I and II, according to what is established in part 3 of the standard EN

614 14662.

| ANALYZER I   |   |  |       |                      |                       |
|--|---|--|-------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Standard concentrations<br>introduced                                |   | 3.1  | RE    | Ucorr                |                       |
| C <sub>p</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub><br>(μg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | C <sub>p</sub> organic<br>compounds<br>(μg/m³)              | C <sub>b</sub> (μg/m³)¹                          | (%)   | (μg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | V <sub>test</sub> (%) |
| 0.50   | 0.00  | C <sub>a</sub> : 0.48 (0.04)                     |       |                      |                       |
| 0.00   | 10.00   | 0.05 (0.00)                                      | 2.25  | 0.01                 | 1.30                  |
| 0.50   | 10.00   | C <sub>aCorg</sub> : 0.49 (0.04)                 |       |                      |                       |
| 32.55  | 0.00  | C <sub>a</sub> :33.07 (0.25)                     |       |                      |                       |
| 0.00   | 10.00   | 0.05 (0.00)                                      | 60.71 | 11.59                | 35.05                 |
| 32.55  | 10.00   | C <sub>aCorg</sub> : 13.00 (1.05)                |       |                      |                       |
|  |   | ANALYZER II                                      |       |                      |                       |
| Standard co  | oncentrations   |  |       |                      |                       |
| intro  | duced   |  | DE    | U <sub>Corg</sub>    |                       |
| C <sub>p</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub><br>(μg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | C <sub>p</sub> organic<br>compounds<br>(μg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | C <sub>b</sub> (μg/m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1</sup> | (%)   | (μg/m³)              | V <sub>test</sub> (%) |
| 0.50   | 0.00  | C <sub>a</sub> : 0.48 (0.04)                     |       |                      |                       |
| 0.00   | 10.00   | 0.05 (0.00)                                      | 3.37  | 0.01                 | 1.95                  |
| 0.50   | 10.00   | C <sub>aCorg</sub> : 0.50 (0.03)                 |       |                      |                       |
| 39.50  | 0.00  | C <sub>a</sub> :39.58 (0.25)                     |       |                      |                       |
| 0.00   | 10.00   | 0.05 (0.00)                                      | 60.56 | 13.84                | 34.97                 |
| 39.50  | 10.00   | C <sub>aCorg</sub> : 15.61 (0.36)                |       |                      |                       |

<sup>1</sup>The parenthesis shows the standard deviation of the 6 measurements.





- 618 Table 4. Results obtained in the test of the interference of organic compounds in the benzene
- 619 readings of analyzers I and II for benzene concentrations close to the limit value in Europe.

|  | ANA  | ALYZER I   |                   |  |  |
|--|--|--|-------------------|--|--|
| Standard co<br>intro   | oncentrations<br>oduced  | C  |                   |  |  |
| C <sub>p</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub><br>(μg/m <sup>3</sup> )                 | C <sub>p</sub> organic<br>compounds<br>(μg/m <sup>3</sup> )                  | C <sub>b</sub><br>(μg/m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>  | (%)               |  |  |
| 4.68   | 0.00   | C <sub>a</sub> : 4.64 (0.02)   |                   |  |  |
| 0.00   | 10.00  | 0.05 (0.00)  | 69.2              |  |  |
| 4.68   | 10.00  | C <sub>aCorg</sub> :1.43 (0.08)  |                   |  |  |
|  | ANALYZER II  |  |                   |  |  |
| Standard co<br>intro   | oncentrations  |  |                   |  |  |
|  | Juuceu   | 6  | D.C.              |  |  |
| C <sub>p</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub><br>(μg/m <sup>3</sup> )                 | C <sub>p</sub> organic<br>compounds<br>(µg/m <sup>3</sup> )                  | $(\mu g/m^3)^1$  | RE<br>(%)         |  |  |
| C <sub>p</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub><br>(μg/m <sup>3</sup> )<br>5.06         | C <sub>p</sub> organic<br>compounds<br>(μg/m <sup>3</sup> )<br>0.00          | $C_{b}$<br>$(\mu g/m^{3})^{1}$<br>$C_{a}: 5.03 (0.17)$   | RE<br>(%)         |  |  |
| C <sub>p</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub><br>(μg/m <sup>3</sup> )<br>5.06<br>0.00 | C <sub>p</sub> organic<br>compounds<br>(μg/m <sup>3</sup> )<br>0.00<br>10.00 | C <sub>b</sub><br>(µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1</sup><br>C <sub>a</sub> : 5.03 (0.17)<br>0.05 (0.00) | RE<br>(%)<br>40.4 |  |  |

621 <sup>1</sup>The parenthesis shows the standard deviation of the measurements.





- 647
- 648
- 649 Table 5. Concentrations of benzene without TCM (C<sub>a</sub>) and with TCM (C<sub>aTCM</sub>), read by Analyzer I for
- 650 different concentrations of TCM.

651

|  | Series  | I: C <sub>TCM</sub> = 0.5 μg / m <sup>3</sup> .                                  |        |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--------|--|--|--|
| C <sub>p</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub><br>(μg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | C <sub>a</sub><br>(without TCM)<br>(µg/m³)              | C <sub>атсм</sub><br>(with 0.5 µg/m <sup>3</sup> de TCM)<br>(µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | RE (%) |  |  |  |
| 0.00   | 0.00 (0.00)   | -0.01 (0.00)   | -      |  |  |  |
| 1.15   | 1.17 (0.01)   | 0.90 (0.05)  | 22.90  |  |  |  |
| 3.48   | 3.45 (0.03)   | 2.43 (0.01)  | 29.56  |  |  |  |
| 8.62   | 8.55 (0.15)   | 6.10 (0.14)  | 28.61  |  |  |  |
| 22.25  | 20.19 (0.12)  | 14.32 (0.12)   | 29.07  |  |  |  |
| 42.60  | 42.57 (0.28)  | 31.32 (0.16)   | 26.42  |  |  |  |
|  | Series  | II: $C_{TCM} = 1.0 \ \mu g/m^3$  |        |  |  |  |
| Cp C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub><br>(μg/m <sup>3</sup> )             | C <sub>a</sub><br>(without TCM)<br>(μg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | C <sub>aτCM</sub><br>(with 1 μg/m <sup>3</sup> de TCM)<br>(μg/m <sup>3</sup> )   | RE(%)  |  |  |  |
| 0.00   | 0.00 (0.00)   | -0.01 (0.00)   | -      |  |  |  |
| 1.25   | 1.21(0.01)  | 0.72 (0.00)  | 40.33  |  |  |  |
| 3.55   | 3.45 (0.02)   | 2.03 (0.03)  | 41.16  |  |  |  |
| 8.70   | 8.49 (0.09)   | 5.01 (0.06)  | 40.99  |  |  |  |
| 20.31  | 20.22 (0.13)  | 11.88 (0.05)   | 41.25  |  |  |  |
| 42.89  | 43.01 (0.19)  | 25.68 (0.07)   | 40.29  |  |  |  |
|  | Series  | III: $C_{TCM} = 2.0 \ \mu g/m^3$   |        |  |  |  |
| Cp C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub><br>(μg/m <sup>3</sup> )             | C <sub>a</sub><br>(without TCM)<br>(μg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | C <sub>aτCM</sub><br>(with 2 μg/m <sup>3</sup> de TCM)<br>(μg/m <sup>3</sup> )   | RE(%)  |  |  |  |
| 0.00   | 0.00 (0.00)   | -0.01 (0.00)   | -      |  |  |  |
| 2.49   | 2.26 (0.01)   | 1.00 (0.01)  | 55.75  |  |  |  |
| 5.00   | 5.07 (0.02)   | 2.18 (0.03)  | 57.00  |  |  |  |
| 11.32  | 11.40 (0.11)  | 4.64 (0.04)  | 59.30  |  |  |  |
| 23.77  | 23.85 (0.11)  | 10.19 (0.24)   | 57.27  |  |  |  |
| 42.49  | 42.57 (0.28)  | 20.95 (0.10)   | 50.79  |  |  |  |
|  | Series IV: $C_{TCM} = 5.0 \ \mu g/m^3$                  |  |        |  |  |  |
| Cp C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub><br>(μg/m <sup>3</sup> )             | C <sub>a</sub> (without<br>TCM)<br>(µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | C <sub>aτCM</sub><br>(with 5 μg/m <sup>3</sup> de TCM)<br>(μg/m <sup>3</sup> )   | RE(%)  |  |  |  |
| 0.00   | 0.00 (0.00)   | -0.01 (0.00)   | -      |  |  |  |
| 3.35   | 3.41 (0.2)  | 1.18 (0.01)  | 65.40  |  |  |  |
| 5.56   | 5.73 (0.03)   | 1.97 (0.02)  | 65.62  |  |  |  |
| 10.01  | 9.86 (0.10)   | 2.88 (0.05)  | 70.79  |  |  |  |
| 20.04  | 19.80 (0.14)  | 5.88 (0.10)  | 70.30  |  |  |  |
| 40.02  | 40.42 (0.18)  | 11.87 (0.09)   | 70.63  |  |  |  |
|  | /   |  | 1      |  |  |  |

652 653 654





| 656 |  |
|-----|--|
| 657 |  |

Table 6. Adjusted equations of the experimental values obtained.

| Series | С <sub>тсм</sub><br>( µg/m³) | Calibration<br>$C_a = K^* C_p (r^2)$ | $C_{aTCM} = K C_p (r^2)$                       | 1-K   |
|--------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-------|
| I      | 0.00                         | $C_a = 0.980 C_p (0.997)$            |  |       |
|        | 0.50                         |                                      | $C_{aTCM} = 0.715 C_p$ (0.995)                 | 0.285 |
| II     | 0.00                         | $C_a = 1.00 C_p$ (0.999)             |  |       |
|        | 1.00                         |                                      | $C_{aTCM} = 0.595 C_{p}$ (1.00)                | 0.405 |
|        | 0.00                         | $C_a = 1.00 C_p$ (1.00)              |  |       |
|        | 2.00                         |                                      | $C_{aTCM} = 0.474 C_p$ (0.992)                 | 0.526 |
| IV     | 0.00                         | $C_a = 1.01 C_p$ (1.00)              |  |       |
|        | 5.00                         |                                      | $C_{\text{aTCM}} = 0.297 C_{\text{a}}$ (0.998) | 0.703 |







676

677 Figure 1. Benzene chromatogram with the organic compounds considered in the standard as

- 678 possible interferences in the measurement. (Locoge et al., 2010)
- 679
- 680



681

682

683

Figure 2. Diagram of the components of the standard atmosphere installation used to obtain airflows with benzene and/or TCM at known concentrations.







688 689

Figure 3. Behaviour model of benzene in the PID of the chromatograph in absence of TCM. 690



693 Figure 4. Analyzer calibration lines for benzene without and with various concentrations of TCM.















3

4