Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2018-311-RC4, 2018 © Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



## **AMTD**

Interactive comment

## Interactive comment on "Technical note: Absorption aerosol optical depth components from AERONET observations of mixed dust plumes" by Sung-Kyun Shin et al.

## A. Lyapustin (Referee)

alexei.i.lyapustin@nasa.gov

Received and published: 16 November 2018

P2, Ln15: "Russell et al. (2010) utilized AERONET-retrieved SSA, AAOD, and absorption Ångström exponent (AAE) as indicator to separate the contributions of BC, organic matter (OM), and mineral dust to the absorbing aerosol fraction." That is incorrect: This work suggested a preferred classification scheme, not a "separation".

P2, L21: "... and to infer the fine or coarse mode fraction in the aerosol size distribution" What does it mean?

P3, L10: "The uncertainty in SSA is expected to be of the order of 0.03 (Holben et al.,

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



1998)." The reference is incorrect.

Eq. (4) in my view is incorrect. The correct equation for the measured backscattering should be something like Beta = f\_dust\*Beta\_dust + f\_nd\*Beta\_nd, where f\_dust=AOD\_dust/AOD, AOD=AOD\_dust+AOD\_nd. If phase functions of dust and non-dust are very different, and they usually are because of the particle size difference, then Eq. (4) is not correct.

I may be wrong as I did not work with the lidars before. But if I am correct, then this error (or assumption) propagates in all derivations below. I suggest a major revision with authors addressing this key point first.

	1		
ΔΙ	exe	ı	
<i>,</i> v	しへし		

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2018-311, 2018.

## **AMTD**

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

