

Response to Reviewer 2

Once again, we appreciate Reviewer2's suggestion of double checking that the figures are properly referenced. We made the following changes:

1. Caption for Figure 7 was corrected to read: "Figure 7: As in Figure 6 but for the 1064 nm channel."
2. Page 11, Lines 24: The correct figure to be referenced is Fig. 6 (instead of 5).
3. The reviewer is correct, the coauthor should be SB instead of RB (author contribution).