Fifth reply to the Editor's comments

We would like to thank the Editor for his comments which we have addressed below. We keep the editor's comments in red font and our replies in black font.

Dear authors,

Associate Editor Decision: Publish subject to minor revisions (review by editor) (24 Sep 2019) by Christof Janssen Comments to the Author: Dear authors,

Thank you for your corrections.

I think the quantitative difference between O2 mole fractions and the O2/N2 ratio is clear for the general reader and there is no need for the proposed appendix. What is less clear and what yet seems to be an inconsistency in the article is the following:

The abstract characterises the instrument performance in terms of O2 mole fraction and the uncertainty is expressed correspondingly. However, the article implies that it is rather the O2/N2 ratio that needs to be measured. This can be done easily when the composition of air is known. But if the composition of air is not known exactly, the relative uncertainty of O2/N2 is likely different from that of the O2 mole fraction alone and the uncertainty cannot be determined as easily. Please include a discussion/quantification of the additional factors/contribution to the uncertainty of O2/N2 such that the article can be published.

With best regards,

In order to clarify the above comment, we have now added the following paragraph at the end of section 3.2.1.

Furthermore, the slope between the IRMS and CRDS O2 values in Figure A1 corresponds to 5.78 per meg ppm-1, significantly larger than the conversion factor of 4.78 per meg ppm-1 as derived from equation 1 assuming constant N2 content. This higher slope is due to dilution effect originating from any gas component change (Delta, given in ppm) on all air components of air samples, which has not been corrected for the CRDS values. When accounting for this dilution effect - which scales with 1/(1+Delta), the factor approaches 4.78 per meg ppm-1. The scaling of dilution effects is independent on which air component is changing and it affects all air components relative to their molecular fractions similarly. O2 values on a CRDS or paramagnetic cell instrument is affected even if there is no change in O2 or N2 but only in CO2 or water vapor or any other component which is present. This is in contrast to measurements of O2/N2 ratios for the same case where equal ratio would be measured. Major dilution influences are expected from O2, CO2 and H2O changes due to natural exchange processes on air samples or when using artificial air-like compositions.

High-precision atmospheric oxygen measurement comparisons between a newly built CRDS analyzer and existing measurement techniques

3

4 Tesfaye A. Berhanu^{1,2}, John Hoffnagle², Chris Rella², David Kimhak², Peter Nyfeler¹, Markus
5 Leuenberger¹

6 ¹Climate and Environmental Physics, Physics Institute and Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research,

7 University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

8 ²Picarro Inc., 3105 Patrick Henry Drive, Santa Clara, CA, USA

9

10 Abstract

Carbon dioxide and oxygen are tightly coupled in land-biospheres CO₂ - O₂ exchange 11 processes, while they are not coupled in oceanic exchange. For this reason, atmospheric 12 oxygen measurements can be used to constrain the global carbon cycle, especially oceanic 13 uptake. However, accurately quantifying the small ($\sim 1-100$ ppm) variations in O₂ is 14 analytically challenging due to the very large atmospheric background which constitutes 15 about 20.9 % (~209500 ppm) of atmospheric air. Here we present a detailed description of the 16 analyzer and its operating principles as well as comprehensive laboratory and field studies for 17 a newly developed high-precision oxygen mixing ratio and isotopic composition analyzer 18 19 (Picarro G-2207) that is based on cavity ring-down spectroscopy (CRDS). From the laboratory tests, we have calculated a short-term precision (standard error of one-minute O₂ 20 mixing ratio measurements) of < 1 ppm for this analyzer based on measurements of eight 21 standard gases analyzed for two hours consecutively. In contrast to the currently existing 22 techniques, the instrument has an excellent long-term stability and therefore a calibration 23 every 12 hours is sufficient to get an overall uncertainty of < 5 ppm. Measurements of 24

ambient air were also conducted at the High-Altitude Research Station, Jungfraujoch and the Beromünster tall tower in Switzerland. At both sites, we observed opposing and diurnally varying CO_2 and O_2 profiles due to different processes such as combustion, photosynthesis and respiration. Based on the combined measurements at Beromünster tower, we determined height dependent O_2 :CO₂ oxidation ratios varying between -0.98 to -1.60, which increase with the height of the tower inlet, possibly due to different source contribution such as natural gas combustion with high oxidation ratio and biological processes which are at the lower end.

1. Introduction

32

Atmospheric oxygen comprises about 20.9 % of the global atmosphere and in the past decade 33 its concentration decreased at a rate of ~ 20 per meg yr⁻¹ (Keeling and Manning, 2014) mainly 34 associated with the increase in fossil fuel combustion. Measurements of atmospheric O₂ are 35 reported as the ratio to the N₂ concentration and expressed as $\delta(O_2/N_2)$ because the variations 36 in the concentrations of other atmospheric gases such as CO₂ can influence the O₂ partial 37 pressure while this ratio is insensitive to these changes in other gases. Atmospheric O₂ is 38 commonly expressed in units of per meg due to its small variability with respect to a large 39 background, where 40

41
$$\delta\left(\frac{O_2}{N_2}\right)(per \ meg) = \left(\frac{\left(\frac{O_2}{N_2}\right)_{sample}}{\left(\frac{O_2}{N_2}\right)_{reference}} - 1\right).10^6 \tag{1}$$

Note that under the assumption the atmospheric N₂ content is constant (i.e. N_{2sample} equals
N_{2reference}), we convert relative changes in oxygen given in per meg following equation 1 to
oxygen changes in parts per million (equivalent to micromol/mol) by multiplying by the O₂
mole fraction (O_{2reference}) expressed as 209500 ppm. (the O₂ mole fraction of atmospheric air)
(Machta and Hughes, 1970). Hence 1 ppm corresponds approximately to 4.8 per meg, or 1 per
meg to 1/4.8 (209500/10⁶) ppm.

In contrast to O₂, the global average atmospheric CO₂ mixing ratio increased to 405.0 ppm 48 49 averaged over 2017 since its preindustrial value of 280 ppm (Le Quéré et al., 2017). As the variability of atmospheric oxygen is directly linked to the carbon cycle, both its short and 50 long-term observations can be used to better constrain the carbon cycle. For example, since 51 first suggested by Keeling and Shertz (1992) the long-term trends derived from concurrent 52 measurements of atmospheric CO_2 and O_2 have been widely used to quantify the partitioning 53 54 of atmospheric CO₂ between the land-biosphere and oceanic sinks (Battle et al., 2000; Goto et al., 2017; Manning and Keeling, 2006; Valentino et al., 2008). This method hinges on the 55 linear coupling between CO2 and O2 with an oxidation ratio (OR, defined as the 56 57 stoichiometric ratio of exchange during various process such as photosynthesis and respiration expressed using α) of 1.1 for the terrestrial biosphere photosynthesis-respiration processes 58 (α_b) and 1.4 for fossil fuel combustion (α_f) while they are decoupled for oceanic processes (α_o) 59 = 0). Meanwhile, the short-term variability in atmospheric oxygen can be used to estimate 60 marine biological productivity and air-sea gas exchange (Keeling et al., 1998; Nevison et al., 61 2012). However, the accuracy of these estimates is primarily linked to the accuracy and 62 precision of atmospheric O₂ measurements and the assumed ORs for the different processes 63 which are highly variable in contrast to atmospheric CO₂ that can be well measured within the 64 65 precision guidelines set by the Global Atmospheric Watch (GAW) (± 0.1 ppm for the northern hemisphere). 66

67 Currently there are several, mostly custom built techniques that can measure 68 atmospheric O₂ variations as oxygen concentration based on interferometric, paramagnetic, 69 UV absorption and fuel cell technology (Keeling, 1988a; Manning et al., 1999; Stephens et 70 al., 2007) or as O₂/N₂ ratios to account for the large background effect using gas 71 chromatography with thermal conductivity detector (GC-TCD) or gas chromatography

coupled to mass spectrometry (GC-MS) (Bender et al., 1994; Tohjima, 2000). Despite the fact 72 73 that these techniques have been used for more than two decades, accurate quantification of atmospheric oxygen variability remains challenging primarily because the small ppm-level 74 atmospheric oxygen signal rides on a $\sim 210,000$ ppm background, which places stringent 75 requirements on the precision and drift of the analysis methods especially for continuous 76 monitoring(note that the GAW recommendation for the measurement precision of O_2/N_2 is 2 77 per meg). The techniques listed above struggle to routinely achieve the necessary performance 78 for various reasons, including i) instability over time that requires frequent measurement 79 interruption for calibration, ii) measurement bias with ambient and sample temperature and/or 80 81 pressure, and/or iii) systematic errors in the measurement due to other atmospheric species. Further, some techniques require the use of consumables and rely on high vacuum, which 82 complicates field deployment. 83

In this manuscript we describe a new high precision oxygen concentration and isotopic composition analyzer by Picarro Inc., Santa Clara, USA (G-2207) based on CRDS technology. Here, we will introduce the analyzer design principles in details, describe the unique features of the analyzer and evaluate its performance based on various independent laboratory and field tests by comparing it with currently existing techniques. Then, we will present and interpret our observations based on field measurements. Finally, we will conclude its overall performance and provide recommendations and possible improvements.

91 2. Analyzer design principles

The analyzer described here is derived from the Picarro G2000 series of CRDS analyzers. The basic elements have been described elsewhere (Crosson, 2008; Martin et al., 2016; Steig et al., 2014): briefly, the instrument is built around a high-finesse, traveling-wave optical cavity, which is coupled to either of two single-frequency Distributed FeedBack-

stabilized semiconductor lasers. One cavity mirror is mounted on a piezoelectric translator 96 (PZT) to allow fine tuning of the cavity resonance frequencies. A semiconductor optical 97 amplifier between the laser sources and the cavity boosts the laser power and serves as a fast-98 optical switch. The cavity body is constructed of invar and enclosed in a temperature 99 stabilized box (T = 45° C, stabilized to approximately 0.01 °C) for dimensional and 100 spectroscopic stability. A vacuum pump pulls the gas to be sampled through the cavity and a 101 102 proportional valve between the cavity and the pump maintains the sample pressure in the cavity at a value of 340 hPa, with variations on the order of 1 Pa. The instrument has a 103 wavelength monitor, based upon measurements of interference fringes from a solid etalon, 104 105 which is used to control the laser wavelength by adjusting the laser temperature and current. The wavelength monitor is a fiber-coupled device located between the laser and the cavity. A 106 fraction of the beam from the input fiber is collected using a beam splitter for the wavelength 107 108 measurement and the remaining power is collected in the output fiber. A high-speed photodiode monitors the optical power emerging from the cavity. The instrument's data 109 acquisition system is used to sweep the laser frequency over the spectral feature to be 110 measured, modulates the laser output to initiate ring-downs, and fits the ring-down signal to 111 an exponential function to generate a spectrogram of optical loss versus laser frequency. For 112 113 this instrument the empty cavity ring-down time constant is about 39 µs. Subsequent program modules compare the measured loss spectrum to a spectral model, using non-linear least-114 115 squares fitting (Press et al., 1986) to find the best-fit model parameters and thereby obtain a quantitative measure of the absorption due to the target molecule, and finally apply a 116 calibration factor to the optical absorption to deduce the molecular concentration. When 117 118 operating in its normal gas analysis mode, the instrument acquires about 200-300 ring-downs

119 per second and achieves a noise equivalent absorption of typically about 10^{-11} cm⁻¹ Hz^{-1/2}, 120 with some variation between instruments.

The primary goal when designing this analyzer was to measure the molecular oxygen 121 concentration with few-per-meg level precision and stability. In this context operational 122 stability is as important as signal-to-noise. Our experience has been that the most stable 123 operation of the analyzer is achieved when the optical phase length of the cavity is held as 124 nearly constant as possible. In this case the free spectral range (FSR, 0.0206 cm⁻¹) of the 125 temperature stabilized, invar ring-down cavity provides a better optical frequency standard 126 than the etalon-based wavelength monitor, which in turn allows more consistent 127 measurements of absorption line width and integrated absorption line intensity (Steig et al., 128 129 2014). For a small, field-deployable instrument, it is not practical to stabilize the absolute frequencies of the cavity modes to an optical frequency standard (Hodges et al., 2004) but the 130 oxygen lines themselves, under conditions of constant temperature and pressure, provide an 131 adequate frequency reference. The oxygen spectrum was also used to calibrate the FSR, by 132 comparing a wide (approximately 10 cm⁻¹) FSR-spaced spectrum with the Hitran database 133 (Rothman et al., 2013). 134

To determine molecular oxygen concentration, the analyzer measures absorption of the 135 Q13Q13 component of the $a^1\Delta_g \leftarrow X^3\Sigma^-_g$ band, at 7878.805547 cm⁻¹, according to the latest 136 edition of Hitran (Gordon et al., 2017). This is one of the strongest near-infrared lines of 137 oxygen, well separated from other oxygen lines, and reasonably free of spectral interference 138 from water, carbon dioxide, methane, and other constituents of clean air. The spectral model 139 for this line was developed using reference spectra of clean, dry, synthetic air that were 140 acquired with the same hardware as in the field-deployable analyzer, but with special-purpose 141 software that allows it to operate as a more general spectrometer. 142

Recently, considerable work has been done to advance the understanding of spectral 143 line shapes and to define functional representations that better describe the processes that 144 determine spectral line shapes than does the Voigt model (Hartmann et al., 2008; Tennyson et 145 al., 2014, Tran et al., 2019). Line shape studies have been published for the 1.27 µm band of 146 O₂ (Fleisher et al., 2015; Lamouroux et al., 2014), though not to our knowledge for the Q 147 branch. The apparatus used here is not capable of spectroscopic studies of comparable 148 precision; the absolute temperature and pressure monitoring and especially the frequency 149 150 metrology are far too crude for that purpose. Our goal is merely to define a simple model of the Q13Q13 line that is adequate for least-squares retrievals of the O2 absorption under the 151 limited range of conditions (stabilized temperature and pressure) that the operational analyzer 152 153 experiences in the field. The CRDS analyzers use the Galatry function (Varghese and Hanson, 1984), which is distinctly better than the Voigt and still easily and quickly evaluated for line 154 shape modeling. Ultimately, the usefulness of the spectral model is to be evaluated by the 155 precision and stability of the O₂ measurements when compared with established techniques. 156 For spectral model development, this spectrometer has the drawback that the cavity FSR, is 157 158 too large to reveal much detail of the absorption line shape, even with the simplifying assumption of a Galatry line shape. We therefore acquired a set of four interleaved spectra, 159 with the PZT-actuated mirror moved to offset the cavity modes of the individual FSR-spaced 160 161 spectra by one-fourth of an FSR. The precise offsets were determined from fits to the strong and well-isolated O₂ lines in the spectra. From the consistency of the fitted line centers, we 162 estimate that the positioning of the interleaved spectra was accurate to approximately 10 163 MHz. The spectrum of the Q13Q13 line acquired in this manner is shown in Figure 1, 164 together with the best-fit Galatry function. It stands out that the residuals are largely odd in 165 detuning from the line center: this shows the limitations of the Galatry model in this case, 166

since the Galatry function is purely even about the line center. The shape of the absorption
line in this model is specified by two dimensionless parameters: the collisional broadening
parameter

$$170 \quad \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{\gamma} / \, \mathbf{\sigma}_{\mathrm{D}} \tag{2}$$

and the collisional narrowing parameter

$$172 \quad z = \beta / \sigma_D \tag{3}$$

where γ is the frequency of broadening transitions, β is the velocity change collision rate, and σ_D is the 1/e Doppler half-width of the transition, given by

175
$$\sigma_{\rm D} = v_0 (2k_{\rm B}T/{\rm Mc}^2)^{1/2}$$
 (4)

where v_0 is the transition frequency, k_B is Boltzmann's constant (J. K⁻¹), T is the sample 176 temperature (K), M is the molecular mass (amu), and c is the speed of light (m/s). Figure 2 177 shows the values of y and z obtained from spectra acquired in the same way as Figure 1, as a 178 function of cavity pressure. The values depend linearly on pressure, as expected from the 179 Galatry model, but the unconstrained linear fits do not go precisely through the origin. It is 180 not clear whether this represents a breakdown of the Galatry model or simply reflects the 181 limited quality of the data set. The slope of y can be converted to an air-broadened collisional 182 width $\gamma_{air} = 0.0442 \text{ cm}^{-1}/\text{atm}$, which agrees with the Hitran value of 0.0460 cm⁻¹/atm (Gordon 183 184 et al., 2016) to within the uncertainty estimate stated by Hitran (uncertainty code 4 for γ_{air} corresponding to 10% --20% relative uncertainty). The slope of z can be interpreted in terms of 185 the optical diffusion coefficient (Fleisher et al., 2015), yielding D = 0.285 cm² s⁻¹, compared 186 to the literature value of 0.233 cm² s⁻¹ for O₂ in air at 45 °C (Marrero and Mason, 1972). 187 Although the anticipated use of the analyzer is for ambient air samples having a very small 188 range of O₂ concentrations, we did investigate the variation of the line shape in binary 189 mixtures of O₂ and N₂ shown in Figure 3. The error bars are taken from the output of the 190

Levenberg-Marquardt fitting routine (Press et al., 1992). The dependence of the collisional broadening parameter z on O_2 mole fraction was considered too small to be significant, but the variation in y was used in the subsequent analysis of the air samples. Note that Wójtewicz et al. (Wójtewicz et al., 2014) also found collisional broadening coefficients for nitrogen to be slightly larger than for oxygen in measurements of one O_2 line in the B-band.

The primary goal in designing the analyzer was to achieve high enough precision to 196 make meaningful measurements of O₂ in clean atmospheric samples. Although the current 197 best practice for such high-precision measurements is to work with dried samples, we decided 198 to include high precision measurements of water vapor. There were two reasons for this 199 200 decision: one is to serve as a monitor for residual water vapor, which is difficult to remove 201 completely from the ring-down cavity and associated sample handling hardware, and the second and more ambitious reason was to see how well the effect of water vapor could be 202 203 corrected for measurements of undried ambient air. While it was considered unlikely that measurements of undried air could compete in accuracy with those of dried air, it might be 204 possible to correct for water vapor well enough to enable useful measurements in some 205 circumstances without the expense and inconvenience of drying the sample. For this purpose, 206 a second laser was added, which probes the $7_{1,6} \rightarrow 8_{4,5}$ component of the 2v₃ band of water 207 vapor, at of 7816.75210 cm⁻¹ (Gordon et al., 2017). The Galatry model was used to fit spectra 208 of synthetic air humidified to various levels of water vapor concentration. These fits also 209 included two other nearby, very weak water lines, with intensities less than 1% of the strong 210 211 transition, in order that their absorption should not perturb the line shape of the main transition. Results for the shape of the 7816.75210 cm⁻¹ line are shown in Figure 4. At the 212 level that we can measure, only the y-parameter has a meaningful variation with water 213 concentration. From the linear fit one obtains a pressure broadening coefficient for air, γ_{air} = 214

215 0.0752 cm⁻¹/atm, in reasonable agreement with the Hitran value $\gamma_{air} = 0.0787$ cm⁻¹/atm 216 (Gordon et al., 2017), and a self-broadening coefficient $\gamma_{self} = 0.413$ cm⁻¹/atm, to be compared 217 with the Hitran value $\gamma_{self} = 0.366$ cm⁻¹/atm. Since the uncertainty estimate for the Hitran 218 values is 10 % to 20 %, this level of agreement seems reasonable.

We also looked at absorption from water near the Q13Q13 absorption line of O₂. 219 These spectra were measured in a background of pure nitrogen to reveal the very weak lines 220 221 interfering with the O₂ measurement. Without the strong O₂ lines, it was impossible to interleave FSR-spaced spectra, so in this case the frequency axis comes from the analyzer's 222 wavelength monitor. The upper panel of Figure 5 shows the spectrum of saturated water vapor 223 224 in nitrogen, together with a fit to a Voigt model of the molecular lines. The measurement was made at a pressure of 340 hPa and temperature of 45° C. The main features are the Q13Q13 line 225 from trace contamination of oxygen in the sample and several lines that arise from normal water 226 227 (¹H₂¹⁶O) and deuterated water (¹H²H¹⁶O also abbreviated HDO). The lower panel of Figure 5 shows the lines tabulated in Hitran. Immediately after the data in Figure 5 were acquired, 228 measurements were also made at 7816.85210 cm⁻¹, to establish the relationship between the 229 absorption strengths in the two spectral regions. The relative intensities of the H₂O and HDO 230 lines change with variations in the isotopic composition of the water, but fortunately the direct 231 232 interference with the oxygen Q13Q13 lines comes entirely from the H₂O isotopologue, with the strongest HDO line being separated by approximately 8 line widths (FWHM) from the 233 Q13Q13 line. Hitran simulations for molecules other than water that are expected to be 234 235 present in clean, ambient air indicate that direct interference with the Q13Q13 line should be negligible at the level of precision considered here. In the case of CO₂, the dilution of oxygen 236 due to 400 ppm of CO₂ is significant, and larger than any direct spectral interference. 237

Finally, we investigated the influence of water vapor on the shape of the O₂ Q13Q13 238 line. Switching between the two lasers sources, we acquired FSR-spaced spectra of 239 humidified synthetic air, alternately covering the 7817 cm⁻¹ and 7878 cm⁻¹ regions. Individual 240 spectra were acquired in less than 2 s, so changes in water vapor concentration between 241 spectra were small. These spectra, with frequency resolution of 0.0206 cm⁻¹, were analyzed by 242 nonlinear least-squares fitting with the following spectral models: the 7817 cm⁻¹ spectra were 243 244 modeled as the sum of an empty-cavity baseline having an adjustable offset level and slope and the water spectrum is modeled with three peaks: one strong line and two weak perturbers. 245 The molecular absorption of the main peak was expressed as an adjustable amplitude, Aw, 246 247 multiplying a dimensionless, area-normalized Galatry function (Varghese and Hanson, 1984). The weak perturbers were modeled by Voigt profiles with amplitudes and line widths that 248 were constrained to be in fixed proportions to the strong line, and therefore added no new 249 250 degrees of freedom to the fitting procedure. Since the amplitude Aw multiplies an areanormalized shape function, it is essentially equivalent to the area of the absorption line, to the 251 extent that the Galatry model provides a valid description of the line shape. The Doppler 252 width of the Galatry function was fixed based on the measured cell temperature, the y-253 parameter was allowed to vary, and the z-parameter was constrained to be proportional to y, 254 255 based on measurements summarized in Figure 2. In addition, the center frequency of the Galatry function was adjusted to match the data set, giving a total of five free parameters for 256 this fit. The 7878 cm⁻¹ spectra were modeled with an adjustable baseline offset and slope and 257 molecular absorption amplitude, A₀₂, describing the Q13Q13 O₂ line. Here, too, the y-258 parameter and line center position of the O₂ lines were allowed to adjust, and the z-parameter 259 was constrained to be proportional to y. The weak water lines interfering with oxygen 260 absorption were included in the model, but with no additional free parameters; rather the 261

amplitudes were preset based on the measured water absorption at 7817 cm⁻¹ and the 262 263 previously determined amplitude relationships between the water lines. This procedure does not account for variations in HDO abundance, which may introduce some systematic error 264 into the water vapor correction for samples of unusual isotopic composition, but it should 265 accurately model the most important lines that interfere with the oxygen measurement. 266 Collisional broadening of the Q13Q13 O₂ line by water vapor is shown in Figure 6. From the 267 linear fit one obtains a coefficient for collisional broadening of the Q13Q13 line by water 268 vapor of $\gamma_{water} = 0.0442$ cm⁻¹/atm at 45 °C. Recently, parameters describing broadening of 269 oxygen lines by water vapor, obtained by empirical modeling of selected experimental 270 271 data, were added to the Hitran data base (Tan et al., In review). The new Hitran entries predict a value of 0.0486 cm-1/atm at 45 °C, which is in agreement with our measurement within the 272 5-10% uncertainty attributed by Hitran to the broadening parameter. The alternating 273 measurements at 7817 cm⁻¹ and 7878 cm⁻¹ also calibrated the relationship between water mole 274 fraction and the absorption at 7817 cm⁻¹, using a dilution analysis described by Filges et al. 275 (2018), who showed that the results obtained this way agree well with water vapor fractions 276 measured with a conventional hygrometer. Figure 7 shows the measured amplitudes of the 277 278 water and oxygen lines for samples of variable humidity. Since the air came from a tank of 279 constant composition, the oxygen concentration changes due to dilution of oxygen when water is added. Assuming that this is the sole cause of the change in measured absorption, 280 since the line shapes were being constantly adjusted to account for changes in collisional 281 282 broadening, it is straightforward to deduce the relation between the water fraction and the absorption amplitude. This calibration was used to generate the water fraction axes in Figures 283 4 and 6. We note that we did not take particular care to control or measure the quantity of 284 dissolved gases, especially oxygen and carbon dioxide, in the water used for this experiment. 285

While these gases would not significantly affect the water calibration, they may affect the water vapor correction of the oxygen measurement at the ppm level. More work needs to be done to investigate the water vapor correction of the oxygen measurement.

The observations described above were used to design a method to measure oxygen 289 concentration in ambient air. Gas from the inlet to the analyzer is drawn through the cavity at 290 a rate of about 100 sccm (standard cubic centimeter per minute) and the conditions in the 291 cavity are held stable at 340 hPa and 45°C. In its analysis mode the analyzer alternately 292 measures ring-downs in the 7817 cm⁻¹ and 7878 cm⁻¹ regions. At 7878 cm⁻¹ measurements are 293 made at 11 different frequencies, spaced by one FSR of the cavity and centered at the peak of 294 the Q13Q13 line. Multiple ring-down measurements are made to improve the precision of the 295 loss determination, with a total of 305 ring-downs allocated to one spectrum. In the 7817 cm⁻ 296 ¹ region measurements are also made at 11 distinct frequencies at FSR spacings. Only 35 ring-297 298 downs are allocated to this spectral region, since the measurement of O₂ is much more important than water vapor. The data sets are analysed using a Levenberg-Marquardt fitting 299 routine, which adjusts five free parameters in each region to find the best agreement to a 300 spectral model based on Galatry line shapes, as described above. One of the outputs of the 301 7878 cm⁻¹ fit is the frequency offset of the FSR grid from the center of the Q13Q13 line. This 302 303 information is used to adjust the position of the PZT actuated mirror to keep the measurements centered on the line, effectively stabilizing the optical path length of the cavity 304 to the frequency of the O₂ line. The reported water fraction is obtained by multiplying the 305 fitted amplitude of the water line by a calibration constant derived from the dilution 306 experiment as explained above. For the O₂ fraction a slightly more complicated procedure is 307 followed. It was observed that the least-squares fitting of the data gives highly correlated 308 results for the amplitude of the absorption line and the line width parameter y. The correlation 309

may be due in part to covariance of the fitted amplitude (proportional to line area) and line 310 width (Press et al., 1992) and it may also have a contribution from pressure variations that the 311 pressure sensor is unable to detect. The ratio A_{O2}/y can be determined from the fit much more 312 precisely than A₀₂ alone and so gives a more sensitive measurement of molecular absorption. 313 It also has the advantage of being independent of sample pressure, to the extent that the 314 Galatry model applies (Figure 2). However, using the ratio A₀₂/y as a metric for absorption 315 316 adds more complications if measurements are to be made over a range of O₂ and water concentrations, because the O2/ N2 ratio and the water concentration affect the line width 317 independently of pressure and O₂ concentration alone. To minimize systematic errors due to 318 319 these broadening effects, we define a nominal y-parameter based on the measured amplitudes 320 of the O₂ and water lines and the line broadening dependences shown in Figures 3 and 4. The measured ratio A_{O2}/y is multiplied by the nominal y to obtain a quantity that is ideally 321 independent of pressure and water concentration, and this is the quantity that is multiplied by 322 a calibration constant to give the reported O₂ fraction. In addition, a dry mole fraction is 323 reported for O₂, defined as the directly measured mole fraction corrected for water dilution. 324

The main goal in developing this instrument was to make high precision 325 measurements of O₂ mole fraction, based on absorption by the dominant ¹⁶O₂ isotopologue. 326 327 The absorption lines of the rarer isotopologues are also present nearby, so a mode of operation was included in which one laser is scanned over neighboring lines of ¹⁶O₂ and ¹⁶O¹⁸O and the 328 ratio of amplitudes is used to derive an isotopic ratio, reported in the usual delta notation. In 329 330 this case the operating pressure was reduced to 160 hPa to improve the resolution of the nearby lines. The lines measured were the Q3Q3 line of ¹⁶O₂, at 7882.18670 cm⁻¹, and the 331 Q9Q9 line of ¹⁶O¹⁸O, at 7882.050155 cm⁻¹. The measurement procedure is very much like 332 that for the O₂ fraction measurement, so it will not be described in detail, only the main 333

differences will be noted. One is that in determining an isotopic ratio, normalizing absorption 334 amplitudes by line widths does not provide any advantage, instead we simply take the ratio of 335 amplitudes to compute delta. Although the Q9Q9 line and its neighbor Q8Q8 are the strongest 336 ones in this band, absorption by ${}^{16}O{}^{18}O$ is still very weak, only about $5x10^{-9}$ cm⁻¹ at the line 337 center under the conditions we used. The signal-to-noise that can be achieved with this 338 analyzer is not adequate to determine both the amplitude and the width of the ¹⁶O¹⁸O line with 339 useful precision, so in the fitting step the y-parameter of the ¹⁶O¹⁸O line is constrained to be a 340 constant factor times the fitted y-parameter for the ¹⁶O₂ line. Additionally, because of the 341 weakness of the rare isotopologue absorption, the majority of ring-downs in each spectrum is 342 devoted to measuring ¹⁶O¹⁸O i.e. 232 ring-downs in each spectrum versus only 40 for ¹⁶O₂. 343 This implies that the mole fraction measurement in the isotopic mode is much less precise 344 than when the analyzer measures the Q13Q13 line alone. 345

346 **3. Results and Discussions**

347 3.1. Laboratory tests at Picarro, Santa Clara

348 3.1.1. Temperature and pressure sensitivity

One set of tests was done to determine how well the goal was met of minimizing the susceptibility of the concentration measurements to noise or drift of the sample temperature and pressure. For these tests the analyzer sampled dry synthetic air from a tank and the temperature and pressure setpoints of the cavity were adjusted upward and downward from the nominal values, to obtain an estimate of the differential response. We express the sensitivity to experimental conditions in relative form, that is the derivative with respect to temperature or pressure divided by the signal under nominal conditions.

From these experiments, we determined a temperature sensitivity of $-2.1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ K}^{-1}$ and a pressure sensitivity of $+9.8 \times 10^{-6} \text{ hPa}^{-1}$. The temperature sensitivity is somewhat larger than expected based on a calculation using Hitran data to estimate the temperature dependences of all the quantities that go into the measured absorption of the Q13Q13 line. The pressure sensitivity is strikingly small, indicating a good cancelation of the pressure dependence of absorption amplitude and line width. Both temperature and pressure sensitivities are small enough to have a negligible effect on short-term precision of measurements made with the stabilized ring-down cavity, though long-term drifts in the sensors are always a matter of concern.

365 3.1.2. Measurement precision and drift

Measurement precision was evaluated by analyzing synthetic air containing nominal atmospheric concentrations of CO_2 and CH_4 from an aluminum Luxfer cylinder over a period of several days. The tank, oriented horizontally and thermally insulated (though not controlled), was connected directly to the instrument (S/N TADS2001) with a 2-stage pressure regulator and stainless-steel tubing with an additional orifice to about 55 sccm. For the isotopic mode of operation, the precision of the measurement was also tested by making repeated measurements from a tank of clean, dry synthetic air.

Figure 8 shows the time series of the precision test data, displaying the reported 373 oxygen concentration, the height of the oxygen absorption peak, the width of the oxygen 374 375 absorption peak and the ambient temperature. The residual error of the analyzer, although small, is nevertheless significant given the stringent targets set forth by the WMO-GAW 376 program. Possible sources of error include: temperature drifts due to sensor drift or gradients; 377 pressure errors due to sensor drift; optical artifacts such as parasitic reflections, higher order 378 cavity mode excitation, and/or loss nonlinearity that can distort the reported oxygen spectrum. 379 More work is required to identify and eliminate these small drifts. 380

The Allan standard deviation of the reported O₂ fraction is shown in the Allan-Werle plot in Figure 9. The ordinate on this plot is the square root of the Allan variance of reported mole fraction, so 1 ppm in these units corresponds to about 5 per meg in the ratio of O₂/ N₂. The precision of averaged measurements improves as $\tau^{-1/2}$ for approximately 5000 s and reaches 1 ppm in less than 10 minutes and remains below 1 ppm for time scales on the order of about 1 hour (τ is the averaging time which is the abscissa of the Allan-Werle plot).

Figure 10 shows the precision of $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ (uncalibrated) derived from the ratio of lines measured at 7882 cm⁻¹. Because of the weak signal from the $^{16}\text{O}^{18}\text{O}$ line, it is necessary to average for more than 20 seconds or more to achieve 1‰ precision on the isotopic ratio. As for the concentration measurement, averaging improves the measurement precision for time scales up to about 1 hour.

392 3.2. Laboratory measurements at the University of Bern

393 3.2.1. Measurements of standard gases

The performance of the instrument was tested by analyzing eight standard gases with 394 precisely known CO₂ and O₂ compositions (Table 1) using the CRDS analyzer and comparing 395 it to parallel measurements with a paramagnetic oxygen sensor (PM1155 oxygen transducer, 396 Servomex Ltd, UK) embedded to a commercially available fuel cell oxygen analyzer 397 398 (OXZILLA II, Sable Systems International, USA) (Sturm et al., 2006) as well as with an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS, Finnigan Delta^{Plus}XP). The design of the 399 measurement set-up is shown in Figure 11. Standard gases were directly connected to the 400 401 pressure controlling unit, and a multi-port valve (V2) was used to select among the standard gases. Flow from each cylinder was adjusted to about 120 sccm which was eventually 402 directed to a selection valve (V1), allowing switching between ambient air and standard gases. 403 404 Flow towards and out of the fuel cell analyzer was controlled by the pressure controlling unit.

The O_2 mixing ratio of this incoming gas was first measured on the Paramagnetic O_2 sensor and then directed towards a non-dispersive infrared analyzer (NDIR) (Li-7000, LICOR, USA) for measuring CO_2 and H_2O . The outflow from this analyzer (100 sccm) returns to the pressure controlling unit and was eventually divided between the CRDS analyzer (which uses about 75-80 sccm) and the IRMS (~ 20 sccm) via a Tee-junction. Each cylinder was measured for two hours in each system controlled by a LabView program.

411 First, we investigated the influence of this Tee-junction, which splits the gas flow between the CRDS and the IRMS, on the measured O₂ values. Manning (2001) showed that 412 the fractionation of O₂ in the presence of a Tee-Junction is strongly dependent on the splitting 413 414 ratios as well as temperature and pressure gradients. Hence, we measured and compared the O₂ mixing ratios of two standard gases (CA07045 and CA060943) in two cases: i) in the 415 presence of a Tee-junction with different CRDS to IRMS splitting ratios and ii) without a 416 417 Tee-junction so that all gas flow is directed towards the CRDS analyzer. The splitting ratios in these test experiments vary from 1:1 to 1:100, and reversed to change the major flow direction 418 either to the CRDS or the IRMS. Note that the experimental condition in this manuscript is 419 with a 4:1 splitting ratio (i.e. ~ 80 sccm towards the CRDS analyzer and ~ 20 sccm towards 420 421 the IRMS).

In the cases of the smaller splitting ratios (1:1, 1:4 and 4:1), which are relevant for the results presented in this study, only minor differences in the measured O_2 mixing ratios were observed when compared to case ii (i.e. without a Tee-junction). For these two cylinders measured, the average differences in these cases were about 0.5 ppm, calculated as the mean of the differences in the raw O_2 measurements of the last 60 seconds. The negligible fractionation can indeed be the result of smaller splitting ratios while strong influence is usually expected in case of larger splitting ratios (Stephens et al., 2007). For higher splitting ratios, the result seems inconclusive without any dependence on the ratios due to the strong decline in the cylinder temperature (specifically at the pressure gauge) caused by higher flow to achieve the higher splitting ratios (as high as 1:100). Hence, these tests need to be conducted in a temperature-controlled condition and the results could not be discussed in this manuscript.

Figure 12 shows the standard gas measurements for the seven cylinders with known 434 CO₂ and O₂ mixing ratios (Table 1) using both the CRDS and the Paramagnetic analyzers. 435 Standard eight, which has too high O₂, is not shown in the figure as the figure is zoomed-in to 436 better illustrate the change in O₂ for the remaining cylinders. While the first five cylinders 437 438 contain O₂ and CO₂ mole fractions comparable to ambient air values, standards 6 & 8 had either very low or very high O₂, respectively. In addition, standards 6 and 7 have very low and 439 very high CO₂ mixing ratios. Note that due to its very high CO₂ content (~ 2700 ppm), 440 standard 7 was not measured on the IRMS and hence the O₂ mixing ratio is unknown. The 441 measured mixing ratios for the six standard gases measured with the two systems are in very 442 good agreement while cylinder 7 showed an opposing signal for the two analyzers compared 443 to standard 6 (Figure 12). While the Paramagnetic analyzer showed a higher O₂ mixing ratio, 444 the values from the CRDS analyzer are lower in O₂. This can be associated with the very high 445 446 CO₂ mixing ratio in standard 7, which leads to a strong dilution effect in the CRDS analyzer as it does not include any correction function for dilution effect from CO₂. However, such 447 high CO₂ mixing ratios may not be that important for most atmospheric research. Yet, it 448 449 should be considered to include a parallel CO₂ mixing ratios measurement to the instrument as it will further improve the accuracy. This would be especially important for biological or 450 physiological studies where a wide range of CO₂ and O₂ concentrations must be expected. 451

The measurement precision of the CRDS analyzer was calculated as the standard error of the mean i.e. the standard deviation $(1-\sigma)$ of the last 1-minute raw measurements divided by the square root of the number of measurements (n = 60), and for all these cylinders the values are usually between 0.5 ppm to 0.7 ppm. For parallel measurements of these cylinders using a Paramagnetic analyzer, we obtained a precision of about 1 ppm, calculated exactly the same way.

We also made a correlation plot to see which of the two instruments are in better 458 agreement with the assigned values based on IRMS measurements for the individual 459 cylinders. While similar correlation coefficients were observed for both analyzers, different 460 slopes were calculated (Fig. A.1). This is due to the fact that the IRMS measures the O_2 to N_2 461 ratio ($\delta(O_2/N_2)$) in per meg, while the CRDS and the Paramagnetic analyzers provide non-462 calibrated O₂ mixing ratios in units of ppm and per meg, respectively. If we exclude the two 463 standard gases with the highest and lowest O₂ mixing ratios (standards 7 and 8) that are 464 subjected to strong dilution effects, both the slope and the r^2 values decrease from those 465 shown in Figure A.1. But this decrease is larger in the case of the Paramagnetic 466 measurements, implying a slightly better linearity of the CRDS analyzer. 467

468

Furthermore, the slope between the IRMS and CRDS O₂ values in Figure A1

469 <u>corresponds to 5.78 per meg ppm⁻¹, significantly larger than the conversion factor of 4.78 per</u>

470 meg ppm⁻¹ as derived from equation 1 assuming constant N_2 content. This higher slope is due

471 to dilution effect originating from any gas component change (Delta, given in ppm) on all air

472 components of air samples, which has not been corrected for the CRDS values. When

473 <u>accounting for this dilution effect - which scales with 1/(1+Delta), the factor approaches 4.78</u>

474 per meg ppm⁻¹. The scaling of dilution effects is independent on which air component is

475 <u>changing and it affects all air components relative to their molecular fractions similarly. O2</u>

values on a CRDS or paramagnetic cell instrument is affected even if there is no change in O₂ 476 477 or N₂ but only in CO₂ or water vapor or any other component which is present. This is in contrast to measurements of O2/N2 ratios for the same case where equal ratio would be 478 measured. Major dilution influences are expected from O₂, CO₂ and H₂O changes due to 479 natural exchange processes on air samples or when using artificial air-like compositions.

480

3.2.2. Measurements of ambient air 481

482 Ambient air measurements were conducted from the roof top of our laboratory at the University of Bern to evaluate the analyzer's performance under atmospheric variability. 483 Ambient air was continuously aspirated from the inlet at the roof of the building at a flow rate 484 of ~ 250 sccm which is then dried using a cooling trap kept at -90 °C towards the switching 485 valve (V1) and measured in similar way to the standard gases as explained above. The 486 measurement values obtained here were compared with the parallel measurements by the 487 488 Paramagnetic sensor to test the instruments stability and accuracy.

Figures 13 panels a &b show the 1-minute average ambient air measurements from the 489 rooftop inlet by the Paramagnetic and the CRDS analyzers at the beginning of the testing 490 period including standard gases measured every 12-hour. While the Paramagnetic analyzer 491 seems to be stable, the CRDS analyzer showed a strong drift for an extended period. This can 492 493 be due to unstable conditions in the CRDS measurement system as it started operating right after it was unpacked. Hence, we looked into temperature inside the instrument chassis and 494 pressure records, which were stable within the manufacturer's recommended range during this 495 496 period. As the CRDS analyzer incorporates a water correction function, interference from this species should be well accounted. Even comparing the analyzer's parallel water 497 measurements to water measurements by the NDIR system such a drift was not observed. It 498 should be noted that the two internal standard gases which were less frequently measured 499

(every 12 hours) during this period were also drifting following similar pattern. This implies 500 501 that the drift is associated with the analyzer. Interestingly, this pattern can be modeled using a polynomial function which can then be used to correct for the observed drift in the ambient air 502 measurements. After applying a polynomial drift correction, we were able to fully accounted 503 for the observed drift. However, the manufacturer decided to further investigate possible 504 causes of this drift. After further improvements, we obtained the first commercial analyzer in 505 506 September 2017 and repeated the above tests (Figure 13 c &d). No such drift was observed any more in the standard gases or in ambient air measurements. 507

We believe that the optical amplifier has caused the drift in the first system and not anymore 508 509 included in the design of the product which produced a significant amount of broadband light that could fill the cavity (albeit with a low coupling coefficient), and would ring down with a 510 different (and generally much faster) time constant that the baseline loss of the cavity. 511 512 However, the ringdown time on the peak of the oxygen line is just 10 microseconds, such that the broadband light might have distorted the single exponential decay of the central laser 513 frequency, leading to the observed drift in the oxygen signal. However, we were not able to 514 confirm this hypothesis. 515

516 3.2.3. Water correction test

517 Measurements of oxygen are reported as both wet $(O_{2, raw})$ and dry $(O_{2, dry})$ mole 518 fractions by the CRDS analyzer as it also measures water vapor in parallel at its water 519 absorption line (7817 cm⁻¹), and corrects for the dilution effect based on an inbuilt numerical 520 function:

521
$$O_{2,dry} = \frac{O_{2,raw}}{1-H_2O}$$
 (5)

522 where H_2O is the measured water mole fraction.

The efficiency of water correction by this function was assessed in two ways: i) by comparing the water vapor content in standard air measured by this analyzer with similar measurements by the NDIR analyzer and ii) by comparing the oxygen mixing ratios between non-dried ambient air measured and corrected for water dilution by the CRDS analyzer with dried air measured using a paramagnetic analyzer.

Figure 14 shows the water vapor content for standard gases measured continuously for two days by the CRDS and the NDIR analyzers. Note that the two data sets are manually fitted to each other as the measured water values by the NDIR analyzer are not calibrated. Based on this plot, the two analyzers are in very good agreement although there are small differences during very dry conditions (low water content).

Figure 15a shows the dried ambient air water measurements in both analyzers with 533 frequent spikes due to valve switching while measuring standard gases. In the second case, 534 535 where the water trap was by-passed and non-dried air was allowed to the CRDS analyzer keeping the dried air flow to the NDIR (Figure 15b), a clear increase in the water 536 measurements in the CRDS analyzer can be observed. Here, it should be noted that there are 537 no spikes in the water measurements of the CRDS analyzers as there are no standard gas 538 measurements in between and the inlet is directly connected to the CRDS analyzer (Figure 539 540 11). Figures 15c & 15d show the difference in oxygen measurements of ambient air measured in both analyzers in the two cases stated above (note that the CRDS uses its built-in water 541 correction function applying Eq. 5). The measurements of the Paramagnetic analyzer were 542 543 scaled to ppm units by applying the correlation equation obtained from the six standard gas measurements of the two analyzers (Fig. A.1). Note that the CRDS measurements were 544 545 corrected for the observed drift using the polynomial fit to the two standard gas measurements stated above. 546

In the first period of the measurement when both analyzers measured dried ambient 547 air, the absolute differences between the 1-minute averages measured over two days by the 548 two analyzers were mostly within 15 ppm (Figure 15c) and symmetrically distributed around 549 zero (Figure 15e). However, when wet air was admitted to the CRDS analyzer and the in-built 550 water correction was applied, a stronger variability was observed in the calculated differences 551 (Figure 15d). This implies stronger short term variability in the CRDS analyzer measurement 552 553 values (as nothing was changed for the Paramagnetic measurement system) when wet samples were analyzed. The more negative values in the differences (Figure 15f) can also be 554 associated with overestimation of the O₂ mixing ratios by the CRDS originating from an 555 overestimated water correction. However, detailed evaluation of the analyzer's water 556 557 correction function is beyond the scope of this study.

558 **3.3. Field Measurements**

After a series of tests at University of Bern, we conducted multiple field measurements at the High Altitude Research Station Jungfraujoch and the Beromünster tall tower sites in Switzerland described below.

562 3.3.1. Tests at the High Altitude Research station Jungfraujoch

The High Alpine research station Jungfraujoch is located on the northern ridge of the 563 Swiss Alps (46° 33' N, 7° 59' E) at an elevation of 3580 m a.s.l. It is one of the global 564 atmospheric watch (GAW) stations well-equipped for measurements of numerous species and 565 aerosols. The site is above the planetary boundary layer most of the time due to its high 566 elevation (Henne et al., 2010; Zellweger et al., 2003). However, thermally uplifted air from 567 the surrounding valleys during hot summer days or polluted air from the heavily industrialized 568 northern Italy may reach at this site (Zellweger et al., 2003). The Division of Climate and 569 Environmental Physics at the University of Bern has been monitoring CO₂ and O₂ mixing 570

ratios at this site based on weekly flask sampling and continuous measurements since 2000 571 572 and 2004, respectively (Schibig et al., 2015). The CO₂ mixing ratio is measured using a commercial NDIR analyzer (S710 UNOR, SICK MAIHAK) while O2 is measured using the 573 Paramagnetic sensor (PM1155 oxygen transducer, Servomex Ltd, UK) and fuel cells (Max-574 250, Maxtec, USA) installed inside a home-built controlling unit. Similar to the comparison 575 tests at the University of Bern, we have conducted parallel measurements between the CRDS 576 577 analyzer and the paramagnetic cell at this high altitude site during 03 - 14 February 2017. The measurement of ambient air at the Jungfraujoch system is composed of sequential switching 578 between low span (LS) and high span (HS) calibration gases followed by a target gas (T) 579 580 measurement (once a day) to evaluate the overall system performance and finally a working gas (WG) measurement before switching back to ambient air. 581

Figure 16 (top panel) shows the calibrated 1-minute averaged O₂ mixing ratios measured at this high altitude site in comparison with the Paramagnetic oxygen analyzer already available at the site. Despite the strong variability observed during the measurement period of 10-days by both analyzers, a very good agreement was observed between them.

Figure 16 (bottom panel) shows the absolute difference of 1-minute averages in atmospheric O₂ measured at Jungfraujoch between the two analyzers which are mostly within ± 5 ppm range (but sometimes going as high as ± 10 ppm) without an offset. However, for generally reported 10-minutes, half-hourly or hourly means these values correspond to < 1.5 ppm, < 1 ppm and < 0.65 ppm.

591 3.3.2. Tests at the Beromünster tall tower site

The Beromünster tower is located near the southern border of the Swiss Plateau, the comparatively flat part of Switzerland between the Alps in the south and the Jura mountains in the northwest (47° 11′ 23″ N, 8° 10' 32″ E, 797 m a.s.l.), which is characterized by intense

agriculture and rather high population density. A detailed description of the tower 595 596 measurement system as well as a characterization of the site with respect to local meteorological conditions, seasonal and diurnal variations of greenhouse gases, and regional 597 representativeness can be obtained from previous publications (Berhanu et al., 2016; Berhanu 598 et al., 2017; Oney et al., 2015; Satar et al., 2016). The tower is 217.5 m tall with access to five 599 sampling heights (12.5 m, 44.6 m, 71.5 m, 131.6 m, 212.5 m) for measuring CO, CO₂, CH₄ 600 601 and H₂O using Cavity Ring Down Spectroscopy (Picarro Inc., G-2401). By sequentially switching from the highest to the lowest level, mixing ratios of these trace gases were 602 recorded continuously for three minutes per height, but only the last 60 seconds were retained 603 604 for data analysis. The calibration procedure for ambient air includes measurements of reference gases with high and low mixing ratios traceable to international standards (WMO-605 X2007 for CO₂ and WMO-X2004 for CO and CH₄), as well as target gas and more frequent 606 607 working gas determinations to ensure the quality of the measurement system. From two years of data a long-term reproducibility of 2.79 ppb, 0.05 ppm, and 0.29 ppb for CO, CO₂ and 608 CH₄, respectively was determined for this system (Berhanu et al., 2016). 609

Between 15.02.2017 and 02.03.2017, we have connected the new CRDS oxygen analyzer in series with the CO₂ analyzer (Picarro G-2401) and measured the O₂ mixing ratios at the corresponding heights. Similar to the CO₂ measurements, O₂ was also measured for three minutes at each height. During this period, we have evaluated the two features (isotopic mode and concentration mode) of the CRDS analyzer. In the isotopic mode, the CRDS measures the δ^{18} O values as well as the O₂ concentration while in concentration mode only the latter was measured.

617 During the tests conducted at this tower site, we first evaluated the two operational 618 modes (concentration vs isotopic modes) of the CRDS analyzer. Ambient air measurements

on isotopic mode over a 4-days period showed a strong variability in the measured oxygen 619 620 mixing ratios and it was not possible to distinguish the variability in the O₂ mixing ratios among the five height levels. The calculated 1-minute standard error for ambient air 621 measurements was as high as 10 ppm while a standard error of less than 1 ppm was 622 determined from similar measurements in the concentration mode. Additionally, comparing 623 the O_2 values between the two modes, frequent short time variation in ambient air O_2 (~ 200 624 ppm) was observed in the isotope mode measurements while the variation in the concentration 625 mode is significantly smaller (~ 30 ppm). This precision degradation is due to the weaker 16 O 626 oxygen line used for the isotopic mode, and the fact that far more ring-downs are collected on 627 628 the rare isotopologue in isotopic mode Hence, we have conducted the remaining test 629 measurements in concentration mode.

As this tower has five sampling height levels, we first followed three minutes of 630 switching per inlet level, which enables four measurements per hour at a given level. 631 However, we noticed hardly any difference among the different levels due to strong short 632 term variability in O₂ mixing ratios between the consecutive heights. Hence, we switched to a 633 longer sampling period of six-minutes per height. Figure 17 shows the diurnal CO₂ and O₂ 634 variations at the lowest (12 m) and highest (212.5 m) sampling heights of the tower. These 635 636 two heights were selected simply to better illustrate the difference in the mixing ratios. The CO₂ mixing ratios on the top panel show higher values at the 12 m inlet than the highest level 637 most of the day due to its closeness to sources except during the afternoon (11:00 - 17:00 638 639 UTC) when both levels show similar but decreasing CO₂ mixing ratios. This is due to presence of a well-mixed planetary boundary layer (PBL) (Satar et al., 2016). The lag in CO₂ 640 peak between the two height levels by about two hours indicates the duration for uniform 641 vertical mixing along the tower during winter 2017. The opposite variability patterns are also 642

clearly visible in the O_2 mixing ratios shown in the lower panel with a clear distinction between the two height levels during early in the morning and in the evening while similar O_2 values were observed in the afternoon. These opposing profiles are expected as CO_2 and O_2 are linearly coupled with a mean oxidation ratio of -1.1 ± 0.05 (Severinghaus, 1995) for landbiospheric processes (photosynthesis and respiration) and -1.44 ± 0.03 for fossil fuel burning (Keeling, 1988b).

Table 2 shows the oxidation ratios derived as the slopes of the linear regression 649 between CO₂ and O₂ mixing ratios at the different height levels measured on 25 February 650 2017. Accordingly, height dependent slopes were observed with a slope of -0.98 ± 0.06 at the 651 652 lowest level, close to the biological processes induced slope but slightly lower than its mean value. For the highest level, we calculated a slope of -1.60 ± 0.07 a value close to fossil fuel 653 combustion oxidation ratio. Note that depending on fossil fuel type the oxidation ratio can 654 range between -1.17 and -1.95 for coal and natural gas, respectively (Keeling, 1988b). While 655 the slopes derived for the two other levels (44.6 m and 131.6 m) show similar values between 656 the highest and lowest height levels, possibly from mixed sources, the middle level showed a 657 slightly higher slope than these two levels but still in the large range between the lowest and 658 highest inlet heights. 659

660 3.4. Evaluation of the δ^{18} O measurements

To further evaluate the analyzer's performance in measuring stable oxygen isotopes, we conducted ambient air isotopic composition measurements as well as analyzed a standard gas without CO₂ which has a known δ^{18} O value. The choice of this CO₂-free air standard gas is twofold: one it has a known δ^{18} O value and second as it has no interference from possible CO₂ absorption band overlap. For this test three 0.5 L glass flasks were preconditioned and filled with this standard gas to ambient pressure. These flasks were attached before or after the water trap (Fig. 11) and measured similar to ambient air measurements. These measurements were then compared with $\delta(^{34}O/^{32}O)$ values obtained by parallel measurements using our IRMS.

Figure 18 shows the δ^{18} O values of ambient air from the roof top with three 670 consecutive measurements of glass flasks filled with CO₂-free air in-between followed by a 671 fourth flask filled with breath air. An excellent agreement was observed for measurements 672 673 from both instruments for the three flasks filled with a standard gas. However, the fourth flask with breath air showed a signal opposite to the measurements by the IRMS. As breath air 674 contains large amount of water and CO₂ in addition to O₂, which can possibly interfere with 675 676 the CRDS analyzer measurements, we have removed H₂O and CO₂ by using a cryogenic trap (-130 °C) and in an additional experiment using Schütze reagent to remove both CO and CO₂. 677 However, we have not observed any improvement towards an agreement with the IRMS 678 679 measurements. Therefore, any other gas component in the breath air must be relevant for the interference. Based on the absorption lines in the spectral range of the instrument (7878 cm⁻¹) 680 retrieved from HITRAN database, we expect interference either from carbon monoxide (now 681 excluded by the tests) or methane or VOCs including acetone, ethanol, methanol or isoprenes, 682 all of which have been measured in breath air (Gao et al., 2017; Gottlieb et al., 2017; Mckay 683 684 et al., 1985; Ryter and Choi, 2013; Wolf et al., 2017). Further investigations have to shed light on these interferences in order to take corresponding action to surpass these shortcomings in 685 the isotope analysis based on cavity ring-down spectroscopy. 686

687 **4.** Conclusions

688 We have thoroughly evaluated the performance of a new CRDS analyzer which 689 measures O₂ mixing ratios and isotopic composition combining laboratory and field tests. 690 Even if a drift in the analyzer was observed at the beginning of this study, which can be easily 691 corrected by calibration, the recent analyzers built by the manufacturer did not show such692 instrumental drift. However, prior tests are recommended to see the analyzer's stability.

The T-split tests for the current measurement setup based on the measurements of two standard gases showed a difference within the measurement uncertainty. However, this effect may become significant while applying larger splitting ratios and we recommend conducting further experiments to accurately quantify this influence for larger splitting ratios.

We have observed a strong influence of dilution in the measured O_2 values during the presence of high CO_2 mixing ratios. Even if such an influence may not be critical for the present study, such an effect might be significant in other studies where higher CO_2 mixing ratios might be present and we recommend following a correction strategy based on parallel CO_2 measurements. This also applies for more accurate analysis.

The water correction applied by the instrument's in-built function seems to sufficiently correct for the water vapor influence. However, a larger variability of the difference was observed between the CRDS analyzer and the Paramagnetic cell when dried samples were used in both systems. This can possibly be due to an overcorrection by the water correction function of the CRDS analyzer when dried samples were used. This is particularly true for the very low water vapor range (< 100 ppm). We believe that it is important to further investigate this issue and identify an improved water correction strategy.

Based on the analysis of O_2 mixing ratios in the concentration and isotopic modes, we have observed a significant decrease in precision (about ten-fold) in the latter measurement mode. The measured $\delta^{18}O$ values for the standard air by the CRDS analyzer are in excellent agreement with the IRMS values. However, such measurements for a breath air showed a contrasting signal, possibly due to interference from other gases such as CH₄. Hence, we recommend further investigation on such possible contaminants and how to possibly remove

715	them while conducting ambient air measurements. However, we believe that this analyzer can
716	be used for tracer experiments where artificially enriched isotopes are used to study biological
717	processes such as photosynthesis in plants using isotopically labelled CO ₂ and H ₂ O.
718	Acknowledgement
719	We would like to thank ICOS-RI and the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNF) for
720	funding ICOS-CH (20FI21_148994, 20FI21_148992). We are also grateful to the
721	International Foundation High Alpine Research Stations Jungfraujoch and Gornergrat. The
722	measurement system at the Beromünster tower was built and maintained by the CarboCount-
723	CH (CRSII2_136273) and IsoCEP (200020_172550) projects both funded by SNF.
724	
725	
726	
727	
728	
729	
730	
731	
732	
733	List of Tables
734	Table 1. Assigned mixing ratios of standard gases used in this study and their corresponding
735	values measured by the NDIR, CRDS and IRMS at the University of Bern. ¹ The assigned
736	values are based on measurements from different institutions (University of Bern (UB),
737	Scripps or NOAA, see column cylinder name). ² Measurements are on the Bern scale for CO_2
738	and O_2 . The Bern scale is shifted by +550 per meg. ³ Values on the Scripps scale.

Cylinder	Assigned	Assigned	CO ₂ -	CO ₂ -	O ₂ -IRMS	O ₂ -	O ₂ -
name	CO ₂	O ₂ (per	IRMS	NDIR	(per	Paramagnetic	CRDS
	(ppm) ¹	$meg)^{1}$	$(ppm)^2$	$(ppm)^2$	$meg)^2$	$(per meg)^2$	(per
							meg) ²
ST 1	107 17	1026	127 17	427.50	1026	1070	1057
51-1 1 11 V 2576	427.47	-1020	427.47	427.39	-1020	-1070	-1037
LUA3370-							
UD							
ST-2	368.09	599	368.09	367.82	599	560	590
LK922131-							
UB							
ST-3	382.303	-271.6	382.50	381.99	278	302	281
CA07045-							
Scripps					$(-272.2)^3$		
11							
ST-4	390.528	-476.4	390.69	390.15	71	66	63
CA07043-					$(-4795)^3$		
Scripps					(175.5)		
ST-5	374.480	-807.7	374.70	374.17	-253	-212	-233
CA07047-					$(0000)^3$		
Scripps					$(-803.3)^3$		
ST 6	102 //	3/10	101 21	101.64	3/10	2005	3013
C = 0.04556	192.44	-3410	191.21	191.04	-3410	-2903	-3013
nonn							
ST-7	2699.45	-		2612.80	-	-2691	-3369
CA06943-							
NOAA							
ST-8	411.49	37794	411.49	406.25	37794	34513	36017
LK76852-							
UB							

Table 2. The CO₂ and O₂ correlation coefficients at the different height levels derived using the least square fit and the correlation coefficients (r^2). Uncertainties are calculated as standard error of the slope.

Height	Oxidation Ratios
	(O ₂ :CO ₂)
12.5 m	$-0.98 \pm 0.06 \ (0.48)$
44.6 m	$-1.29 \pm 0.07 \ (0.50)$
71.5 m	$-1.49 \pm 0.08 \ (0.47)$
131.6 m	$-1.23 \pm 0.05 \ (0.55)$
212.5 m	$-1.60 \pm 0.07 \ (0.61)$

753 List of Figures



754

Figure 1. The top panel (a) shows the raw data (points) and the best-fit Galatry function (solid line). Residuals of the Voigt fit are shown in panel (b) and residuals of the Galatry fit are shown in panel (c).



Figure 2. Best-fit values for the Galatry parameters of the Q13Q13 line of O_2 , as a function of pressure. The line broadening parameter y is represented by circles and the line narrowing parameter z by squares. The solid lines are linear fits to the measurements. The best-fit offset and slope are 0.0227 and 0.004082 hPa⁻¹ for y, and -0.0169 and 0.001424 hPa⁻¹ for z.



Figure 3. Galatry parameters of the Q13Q13 line of O₂ at 340 hPa and 45° C as a function of O₂ mole fraction in binary O₂ - N₂ mixtures. The linear fits to the data are y = 1.417 - 0.023 xfo₂ and z = 0.481 + 0.085 x fo₂.



Figure 4. Galatry parameters of the 7816.75210 cm⁻¹ water line in air at 340 hPa and 45° C as a function of water mole fraction. Black points are from measurements and red lines are linear fits: $y = 1.7846 + 8.01 \text{ x} \text{ f}_{\text{H2O}}$ and $z = 0.656 + 3.60 \text{ x} \text{ f}_{\text{H2O}}$.

- 773
- 774
- 775



Figure 5. Upper panel: spectrum of water in nitrogen (points) and fit to Voigt model (blue
curve). Lower panel: Oxygen (green), normal water (blue), and deuterated water (red) lines
in the 2016 Hitran data base.



Figure 6. Galatry collisional broadening parameter of the oxygen Q13Q13 line at 340 hPa and 45° C versus water mole fraction. Black points are from measurements and the red line is a linear fit: $y = 1.4109 + 0.467 f_{H2O}$.



Figure 7. Measured absorption line amplitudes for oxygen and water vapor for water vapor mixing ratios ranging from nearly 0 to 0.025. Black points are from measurements and the red line is a linear fit: with intercept 7.78001 x 10^{-6} cm⁻¹ and slope -0.014807.



799

Figure 8. Time series from a measurement of a single tank over about a week. The four panels show the water-corrected oxygen concentration, the absorption peak loss minus the baseline loss, the measured Lorentzian broadening factor, and the ambient temperature (measured in the instrument housing), respectively. A windowed average of 300 seconds was applied to all four data sets.





Figure 9. Precision of O_2 mole fraction measured from a tank of synthetic air. Filled circles are measurements and the line shows the ideal $\tau^{-1/2}$ dependence.



Figure 10. Precision of $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ measured from a tank of synthetic air. Filled circles are measurements and the line shows the ideal $\tau^{-1/2}$ dependence.



Figure 11. Schematics of the measurement system used to compare the Picarro analyzer with

- the Mass Spectrometer at Bern.



Figure 12. Comparison of oxygen mixing ratios for the seven standard gases measured using

the CRDS analyzer (black) and the Paramagnetic sensors (red).



Figure 13. Parallel ambient air measurements by the Paramagnetic and CRDS analyzers at the
beginning of the testing period (Panels a & b, January 2017) and the second phase of testing
(Panels c & d, September 2017). The spikes are measurements from the two standard gases
bracketing the ambient air values.



Figure 14. Parallel water vapor measurements for a dried ambient air by both the NDIR and

874 CRDS analyzers. Note that the water values from the NDIR analyzer are not calibrated.



Figure 15. Results of water correction tests. Water measurements of the NDIR (left scale) for dry conditions (a,b) and the CRDS analyzer (right scale) for dry (a) and wet (b) conditions. The difference in oxygen measurements between the Paramagnetic and the CRDS instrument using the built-in water correction for the CRDS values under dry (c) and wet (d) conditions. Panels (e) and (f) show the population density functions.

- 895
- 896
- 897
- 898
- 899







Figure 18. Consecutive δ^{18} O measurements of a standard gas (CO₂-free air) filled into three flasks followed by measurement of breath air using the CRDS analyzer (top) and IRMS (bottom). These measurements were carried out in the middle of ambient air measurements.

937 Appendix A.





940 Figure A.1. Correlations between the O_2 mixing ratios measured by the CRDS and 941 Paramagnetic analyzers with the mass spectrometric measurements (uncalibrated values). The 942 left panels are for all the cylinders measured (standards 1 to 8) while the right ones are after 943 selecting standards 1-5.

952 **References**

- Battle, M., Bender, M. L., Tans, P. P., White, J. W. C., Ellis, J. T., Conway, T., and Francey, R. J.: Global
 carbon sinks and their variability inferred from atmospheric O-2 and delta C-13, Science, 287, 24672470, 2000.
- Bender, M. L., Tans, P. P., Ellis, J. T., Orchardo, J., and Habfast, K.: A High-Precision Isotope Ratio
 Mass-Spectrometry Method for Measuring the O-2 N-2 Ratio of Air, Geochim Cosmochim Ac, 58,
 4751-4758, 1994.
- Berhanu, T. A., Satar, E., Schanda, R., Nyfeler, P., Moret, H., Brunner, D., Oney, B., and Leuenberger,
 M.: Measurements of greenhouse gases at Beromünster tall tower station in Switzerland, Atmos.
 Meas. Tech., 9, 2016.
- 962 Berhanu, T. A., Szidat, S., Brunner, D., Satar, E., Schanda, R., Nyfeler, P., Battaglia, M., Steinbacher,
- 963 M., Hammer, S., and Leuenberger, M.: Estimation of the fossil-fuel component in atmospheric CO2
- 964 based on radiocarbon measurements at the Beromünster tall tower, Switzerland, Atmos. Chem.
 965 Phys. Discuss., 2017, 1-33, 2017.
- Crosson, E. R. J. A. P. B.: A cavity ring-down analyzer for measuring atmospheric levels of methane,
 carbon dioxide, and water vapor, 92, 403-408, 2008.
- 968 Filges, A., Gerbig, C., Rella, C. W., Hoffnagle, J., Smit, H., Krämer, M., Spelten, N., Rolf, C., BozÃ³ki, Z.,
- 969 Buchholz, B., and Ebert, V.: Evaluation of the IAGOS-Core GHG Package H2O measurements during
- 970 the DENCHAR airborne inter-comparison campaign in 2011, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 5279–5297,
- 971 2018, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-5279-2018. Gao, F., Zhang, X., Zhang, X., Wang, M., and Wang,
- 972 P.: Virtual electronic nose with diagnosis model for the detection of hydrogen and methane in breath
- 973 from gastrointestinal bacteria, 28-31 May 2017 2017, 1-3.
- 974 Gordon, E., Rothman, S., Hill, C., Kochanov, V., Tan, Y., Bernath, P., Birk, M., Boudon, V., Campargue,
- 975 A., Chance, K., Drouin, J., Flaud, J., Gamache, R. R., Hodges, J., Jacquemart, D., Perevalov, I., Perrin, A.,
- 976 Shine, P., Smith, M., Tennyson, J., Toon, G., Tran, H., Tyuterev, G., Barbe, A., Császár, G., Devi, M.,

- 977 Furtenbacher, T., Harrison, J., Hartmann, J., Jolly, A., Johnson, J., Karman, T., Kleiner, I., Kyuberis, A.
- 978 A., Loos, J., Lyulin, M., Massie, S., Mikhailenko, S., Moazzen-Ahmadi, N., Muller, S., Naumenko, O. V.,
- 979 Nikitin, A. V., Polyansky, O. L., Rey, M., Rotger, M., Sharpe, S., Sung, K., Starikova, E., Tashkun, S.,
- Auwera, J., Wagner, G., Wilzewski, J., Wcisło, P., Yu, S., and Zak, E. J.: The HITRAN2016 molecular
 spectroscopic database, 203, 3 69, 2017.
- Goto, D., Morimoto, S., Ishidoya, S., Aoki, S., and Nakazawa, T.: Terrestrial biospheric and oceanic CO2 uptake estimated from long-term measurements of atmospheric CO2 mole fraction, δ 13C and δ (O2/N2) at Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, doi: 10.1002/2017JG003845, 2017. n/a-n/a, 2017.
- Gottlieb, K., Le, C. X., Wacher, V., Sliman, J., Cruz, C., Porter, T., and Carter, S.: Selection of a cut-off
 for high- and low-methane producers using a spot-methane breath test: results from a large north
 American dataset of hydrogen, methane and carbon dioxide measurements in breath, Gastroenterol
 Rep, 5, 193-199, 2017.
- Hartmann, J.-M., Boulet, C., and Robert, D.: Collisional Effects on Molecular Spectra, Elsevier Science,2008.
- Henne, S., Brunner, D., Folini, D., Solberg, S., Klausen, J., and Buchmann, B.: Assessment of
 parameters describing representativeness of air quality in-situ measurement sites, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 10, 3561-3581, 2010.
- Hodges, J. T., Layer, H. P., Miller, W. W., and Scace, G. E.: Frequency-stabilized single-mode cavity
 ring-down apparatus for high-resolution absorption spectroscopy, 75, 849-863, 2004.
- Keeling, R. F.: Development of an Interferometric Oxygen Analyzer for Precise Measurement of theAtmospheric O2 Mole Fraction, UMI, 1988a.
- 999 Keeling, R. F.: Measuring correlations between atmospheric oxygen and carbon dioxide mole 1000 fractions: A preliminary study in urban air, J Atmos Chem, 7, 153-176, 1988b.

- Keeling, R. F. and Manning, A. C.: 5.15 Studies of Recent Changes in Atmospheric O2 Content A2 Holland, Heinrich D. In: Treatise on Geochemistry (Second Edition), Turekian, K. K. (Ed.), Elsevier,
 Oxford, 2014.
- 1004 Keeling, R. F. and Shertz, S. R.: Seasonal and Interannual Variations in Atmospheric Oxygen and 1005 Implications for the Global Carbon-Cycle, Nature, 358, 723-727, 1992.
- Keeling, R. F., Stephens, B. B., Najjar, R. G., Doney, S. C., Archer, D., and Heimann, M.: Seasonal
 variations in the atmospheric O-2/N-2 ratio in relation to the kinetics of air-sea gas exchange, Global
 Biogeochem Cy, 12, 141-163, 1998.
- Lamouroux, J., Sironneau, V., Hodges, J. T., and Hartmann, J. M.: Isolated line shapes of molecular oxygen: Requantized classical molecular dynamics calculations versus measurements, Physical Review A, 89, 042504, 2014.
- 1012 Le Quéré, C., Andrew, R. M., Friedlingstein, P., Sitch, S., Pongratz, J., Manning, A. C., Korsbakken, J. I.,
- 1013 Peters, G. P., Canadell, J. G., Jackson, R. B., Boden, T. A., Tans, P. P., Andrews, O. D., Arora, V. K.,
- 1014 Bakker, D. C. E., Barbero, L., Becker, M., Betts, R. A., Bopp, L., Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Ciais, P.,
- 1015 Cosca, C. E., Cross, J., Currie, K., Gasser, T., Harris, I., Hauck, J., Haverd, V., Houghton, R. A., Hunt, C.
- 1016 W., Hurtt, G., Ilyina, T., Jain, A. K., Kato, E., Kautz, M., Keeling, R. F., Klein Goldewijk, K., Körtzinger, A.,
- 1017 Landschützer, P., Lefèvre, N., Lenton, A., Lienert, S., Lima, I., Lombardozzi, D., Metzl, N., Millero, F.,
- 1018 Monteiro, P. M. S., Munro, D. R., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Nakaoka, S. I., Nojiri, Y., Padín, X. A., Peregon, A.,
- 1019 Pfeil, B., Pierrot, D., Poulter, B., Rehder, G., Reimer, J., Rödenbeck, C., Schwinger, J., Séférian, R.,
- 1020 Skjelvan, I., Stocker, B. D., Tian, H., Tilbrook, B., van der Laan-Luijkx, I. T., van der Werf, G. R., van
- Heuven, S., Viovy, N., Vuichard, N., Walker, A. P., Watson, A. J., Wiltshire, A. J., Zaehle, S., and Zhu,
- 1022 D.: Global Carbon Budget 2017, Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., 2017, 1-79, 2017.
- Machta, L. and Hughes, E.: Atmospheric Oxygen in 1967 to 1970, Science, 168, 3939, 1582-1584,
 1024 1970.

- 1025 Manning, A.: Temporal variability of atmospheric oxygen from both continuos and measurements 1026 and a flask sampling network: tools for studying the global carbon cycle, Ph.D. Ph.D., University of 1027 California, San Diego, San Diego, Calofornia, USA, 2001.
- 1028 Manning, A. C. and Keeling, R. F.: Global oceanic and land biotic carbon sinks from the Scripps 1029 atmospheric oxygen flask sampling network, Tellus B, 58, 95-116, 2006.
- 1030 Manning, A. C., Keeling, R. F., and Severinghaus, J. P.: Precise atmospheric oxygen measurements 1031 with a paramagnetic oxygen analyzer, Global Biogeochem Cy, 13, 1107-1115, 1999.
- Marrero, T. R. and Mason, E. A.: Gaseous Diffusion Coefficients, Journal of Physical and Chemical
 Reference Data 1, 3, 1972.
- 1034 Martin, N. A., Ferracci, V., Cassidy, N., and Hoffnagle, J. A. J. A. P. B.: The application of a cavity ring-
- down spectrometer to measurements of ambient ammonia using traceable primary standard gasmixtures, 122, 219, 2016.
- Mckay, L. F., Eastwood, M. A., and Brydon, W. G.: Methane Excretion in Man a Study of Breath,
 Flatus, and Feces, Gut, 26, 69-74, 1985.
- 1039 Nevison, C. D., Keeling, R. F., Kahru, M., Manizza, M., Mitchell, B. G., and Cassar, N.: Estimating net
- 1040 community production in the Southern Ocean based on atmospheric potential oxygen and satellite
- 1041 ocean color data, Global Biogeochem Cy, 26, 2012.
- 1042 Oney, B., Henne, S., Gruber, N., Leuenberger, M., Bamberger, I., Eugster, W., and Brunner, D.: The
- 1043 CarboCount CH sites: characterization of a dense greenhouse gas observation network, Atmos.
- 1044 Chem. Phys., 15, 11147-11164, 2015.
- 1045 Press, W. H., Teukolsky, S. A., Vetterling, W. T., and Flannery, B. P.: Numerical Recipes 3rd Edition:
- 1046 The Art of Scientific Computing, Cambridge Printing Press, Cambridge, England, 1986.
- 1047 Press, W. H., Teukolsky, S. A., Vetterling, W. T., and Flannery, B. P.: Numerical recipes in C: the art of
- scientific computing, Cambridge University Press, London, 1992.

- 1049 Ryter, S. W. and Choi, A. M. K.: Carbon monoxide in exhaled breath testing and therapeutics, J Breath
 1050 Res, 7, 2013.
- Satar, E., Berhanu, T. A., Brunner, D., Henne, S., and Leuenberger, M.: Continuous CO2/CH4/CO
 measurements (2012–2014) at Beromünster tall tower station in Switzerland, Biogeosciences, 13,
 2623-2635, 2016.
- 1054 Schibig, M. F., Steinbacher, M., Buchmann, B., van der Laan-Luijkx, I. T., van der Laan, S., Ranjan, S.
- 1055 and Leuenberger, M. C,: Comparison of continuous in situ CO2 observations at Jungfraujoch using
- 1056 two different measurement techniques, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques
- 1057 , 8, 57-68, 10.5194/amt-8-57-2015, 2015.
- 1058 Severinghaus, J. P.: Studies of the terresterial O2 and carbon cycles in sand dune gases and in
- 1059 Biosphere Doctoral Ph.D., Columbia University, New York, USA, 1995.
- 1060 Steig, E. J., Gkinis, V., Schauer, A. J., Schoenemann, S. W., Samek, K., Hoffnagle, J., Dennis, K. J., and
- Tan, S. M.: Calibrated high-precision ¹⁷O-excess measurements using cavity ring-down
 spectroscopy with laser-current-tuned cavity resonance, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 2014.
- 1063 Stephens, B. B., Bakwin, P. S., Tans, P. P., Teclaw, R. M., and Baumann, D. D.: Application of a
- differential fuel-cell analyzer for measuring atmospheric oxygen variations, J Atmos Ocean Tech, 24,82-94, 2007.
- 1066 Sturm, P., Leuenberger, M., Valentino, F.L., Lehmann, B. and B. Ihly: Measurements of CO₂, its stable
- 1067 isotopes, O₂/N₂, and ²²²Rn at Bern, Switzerland, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 6, 1991-2004,
- 1068 2006.
- 1069 Tan, Y., Kochanov, R.V., Rothman, L., and Gordon, I.E.:Introduction of water-vapor broadening
- 1070 coefficients and their temeprature dependence exponents into the HITRAN database, Part 1: CO2,
- 1071 N2O, CO, CH4, O2, NH3, and H2S, Submitted to Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 2019,
- 1072 <u>arXiv:1906.01475</u>.

Tennyson, J., Bernath, P. F., Campargue, A., Császár, A. G., Daumont, L., Gamache, R. R., Hodges, J. T.,
Lisak, D., Naumenko, O. V., Rothman, L. S., Tran, H., Zobov, N. F., Buldyreva, J., Boone, C. D., De Vizia,
M. D., Gianfrani, L., Hartmann, J.-M., McPheat, R., Weidmann, D., Murray, J., Ngo, N. H., and
Polyansky, O. L.: Recommended isolated-line profile for representing high-resolution spectroscopic
transitions (IUPAC Technical Report), 86, 1931–1943, 2014.

- 1078 Tohjima, Y.: Method for measuring changes in the atmospheric O-2/N-2 ratio by a gas 1079 chromatograph equipped with a thermal conductivity detector, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 105, 14575-1080 14584, 2000.
- Tran, H., Turbet, M., Hanoufa, S., Landsheere, X., Chelin, P., Ma, Q., Hartmann, J.: The CO2–
 broadened H2O continuum in the 100–1500 cm-1 region: Measurements, predictions and empirical
 model, Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer, 230, 75-80, 2019.
- 1084 Valentino, F. L., Leuenberger, M., Uglietti, C., and Sturm, P.: Measurements and trend analysis of O2,
- 1085 CO2 and δ 13C of CO2 from the high altitude research station Junfgraujoch, Switzerland A 1086 comparison with the observations from the remote site Puy de Dôme, France, Sci Total Environ, 391,
- 1087 203-210, 2008.
- 1088 Varghese, P. L. and Hanson, R. K.: Collisional narrowing effects on spectral line shapes measured at
 1089 high resolution, Appl. Opt., 23, 2376-2385, 1984.
- 1090 Wójtewicz, S., Cygan, A., Masłowski, P., Domysławska, J., Wcisło, P., Zaborowski, M., Lisak, D.,
- 1091 Trawiński, R. S., and Ciuryło, R.: Spectral line-shapes of oxygen B-band transitions measured with
- 1092 cavity ring-down spectroscopy, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 548, 012028, 2014.
- 1093 Wolf, P. G., Parthasarathy, G., Chen, J., O'Connor, H. M., Chia, N., Bharucha, A. E., and Gaskins, H. R.:
- 1094 Assessing the colonic microbiome, hydrogenogenic and hydrogenotrophic genes, transit and breath
- 1095 methane in constipation, Neurogastroent Motil, 29, 2017.

1096	Zellweger, C., Forrer, J., Hofer, P., Nyeki, S., Schwarzenbach, B., Weingartner, E., Ammann, M., and
1097	Baltensperger, U.: Partitioning of reactive nitrogen (NO _y) and dependence on
1098	meteorological conditions in the lower free troposphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 779-796, 2003.