

Interactive comment on “Strategies of Method Selection for Fine Scale PM_{2.5} Mapping in Intra-Urban Area Under Crowdsourcing Monitoring” by Shan Xu et al.

Shan Xu et al.

210010@csu.edu.cn

Received and published: 4 March 2019

Anonymous Referee #3 Received and published: 4 February 2019 In this manuscript, the authors presented strategies of method selection for efficiently and effectively PM_{2.5} concentration mapping with increasing training sites based on a crowdsourcing sampling campaign. This study found that Ordinary Kriging (OK) interpolation performed best under conditions with non-peak traffic situation in lightpolluted period, the Universal Kriging (UK) modeling performed better for conditions with the peak traffic and relatively few sampling sites in heavy-polluted period, and the Land Use Regression (LUR) model demonstrated limited ability in the estimation PM_{2.5} concentrations

C1

at very fine scale. Overall, the the manuscript is well-written and scientifically sounds good, and can be accepted after minor revision. The authors should really redefine all acronyms in conclusions. . .Conclusions should broadly read as if the reader hadn't read the rest of the paper. Thus, the authors reintroduce everything, including hypothesis and research plan.

Response: Thank you very much for your thoughtful comments and suggestions. The conclusions were changed as: This study presented strategies of method selection for efficient PM_{2.5} concentration mapping with an increasing number of training sites using crowdsourced monitoring. The results confirmed that PM_{2.5} concentrations in microenvironments varied across the intra-urban area in China's cities. These variations can be clearly disclosed by the crowdsourced PM_{2.5} sampling rather than the national air quality monitoring sites. The selection of models for fine scale PM_{2.5} concentration mapping should be adjusted with changing sampling and pollution circumstances. Generally, ordinary kriging (OK) interpolation performs the best in conditions with non-peak traffic situations in the light-polluted period, while regression kriging (RK) can perform better in the heavy-polluted period and conditions with peak traffic and relatively few sampling sites in the light-polluted period. Additionally, note that the LUR model demonstrates a limited ability in estimating PM_{2.5} concentrations at very fine scale in this study. This method selection strategy provides empirical evidence for the method selection of PM_{2.5} mapping using crowdsourced monitoring and a promising way to reduce the exposure risks for individuals in their daily lives.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2018-402, 2019.