Evaluating the performance of five different chemical ionization techniques for detecting gaseous oxygenated organic species

5 6	Matthieu Riva ^{1,2} , Pekka Rantala ¹ , Jordan E. Krechmer ³ , Otso Peräkylä ¹ , Yanjun Zhang ¹ , Liine Heikkinen ¹ , Olga Garmash ¹ , Chao Yan ¹ , Markku Kulmala ^{1,4} , Douglas Worsnop ^{1,3} , Mikael Ehn ¹
7	¹ Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research / Physics, Faculty of Science, University of
8	Helsinki, Helsinki, 00140, Finland
9	² Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, IRCELYON, F-69626, Villeurbanne,

France.

- ³ Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, MA, USA.
- ⁴ Aerosol and Haze Laboratory, Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Soft Matter Science and
- Engineering, Beijing University of Chemical Technology (BUCT), Beijing, China
- Correspondence to:
- Matthieu Riva (matthieu.riva@ircelyon.univ-lyon1.fr) & Mikael Ehn (mikael.ehn@helsinki.fi)

20 Abstract

21 The impact of aerosols on climate and air quality remains poorly understood due to multiple factors. One of 22 the current limitations is the incomplete understanding of the contribution of oxygenated products, generated 23 from the gas-phase oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOC), to aerosol formation. Indeed, atmospheric gaseous chemical processes yield thousands of (highly) oxygenated species, spanning a wide range of chemical 24 25 formulas, functional groups and, consequently, volatilities. While recent mass spectrometric developments 26 have allowed extensive on-line detection of a myriad of oxygenated organic species, playing a central role in 27 atmospheric chemistry, the detailed quantification and characterization of this diverse group of compounds remains extremely challenging. To address this challenge, we evaluated the capability of current state-of-the-28 29 art mass spectrometers equipped with different chemical ionization sources to detect the oxidation products 30 formed from α -pinene ozonolysis under various conditions. Five different mass spectrometers were deployed 31 simultaneously for a chamber study. Two chemical ionization atmospheric pressure interface time-of-flight 32 mass spectrometers (CI-APi-TOF) with nitrate and amine reagent ion chemistries and an iodide chemical 33 ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometer (TOF-CIMS). Additionally, a proton transfer reaction time-of-34 flight mass spectrometer (PTR-TOF 8000) and a new "Vocus" PTR-TOF were also deployed. In the current 35 study, we compared around 1000 different compounds between each of the five instruments, with the aim to determine which oxygenated VOC (OVOC) the different methods were sensitive to, and identifying regions 36 where two or more instruments were able to detect species with similar molecular formulae. We utilized a 37 large variability in conditions (including different VOC, ozone, NO_x and OH scavenger concentrations) in our 38 39 newly constructed atmospheric simulation chamber for a comprehensive correlation analysis between all 40 instruments. This analysis, combined with estimated concentrations for identified molecules in each instrument, yielded both expected and surprising results. As anticipated based on earlier studies: the PTR 41 42 instruments were the only ones able to measure the precursor VOC; the iodide TOF-CIMS efficiently detected 43 many semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOC) with 3 to 5 oxygen atoms; and the nitrate CI-APi-TOF was 44 mainly sensitive to highly-oxygenated organic (O > 5) molecules (HOM). In addition, the Vocus showed good agreement with the iodide TOF-CIMS for the SVOC, including also a range of organonitrates. The amine CI-45 46 APi-TOF agreed well with the nitrate CI-APi-TOF for HOM dimers. However, the loadings in our experiments 47 caused the amine reagent ion to be considerably depleted, causing non-linear responses for monomers. This

study explores and highlights both benefits and limitations of currently available chemical ionization mass spectrometry instrumentation for characterizing the wide variety of OVOC in the atmosphere. While specifically shown for the case of α -pinene ozonolysis, we expect our general findings to be valid also for a wide range of other VOC-oxidant systems. As discussed in this study, no single instrument configuration can be deemed better or worse than the others, as the optimal instrument for a particular study ultimately depends on the specific target of the study.

54 1. Introduction

55 Atmospheric aerosols, a mixture of solid and liquid particles consisting of organic and inorganic substances suspended in the air, have significant impact on climate (Albrecht, 1989; Hallquist et al., 2009; 56 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2014; Twomey, 1977). They are also recognized to adversely 57 impact air quality and human health, representing nowadays the fifth-ranking human health risk factor, 58 59 globally (Gakidou et al., 2017). Depending on the regions, organic aerosol on average contributes 20-90% to 60 the submicron aerosol mass (Jimenez et al., 2009), with secondary organic aerosol (SOA) as the largest source of atmospheric organic aerosol (Hallquist et al., 2009; Jimenez et al., 2009). SOA is predominantly formed 61 62 through the gas-phase oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOC), producing oxygenated VOC (OVOC), 63 which can subsequently condense onto pre-existing aerosol particles. Generally, the more oxidized OVOC, the 64 lower its volatility is, and the greater is the probability of this compound to partition to the particle phase. Recently, studies have provided new insights on how highly-oxygenated organic molecules (HOM) can form 65 faster than previously expected, and at high enough yields to make them a major source of condensing, or even 66 67 nucleating, compounds (Ehn et al., 2014; Jokinen et al., 2015; Kirkby et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018).

The quantitative assessment of the impact of aerosol on climate remains poorly understood due to a number of factors, including an incomplete understanding of how VOC oxidation processes contribute to new particle and SOA formation (Glasius and Goldstein, 2016). Indeed, atmospheric oxidation processes can lead to the formation of thousands of oxidized products from a single precursor (Glasius and Goldstein, 2016; Goldstein and Galbally, 2007). As a result of these complex oxidation processes, atmospheric organic species span an extremely wide range of chemical formulas, structures, and physicochemical properties. Volatilities range from volatile species present only in the gas phase, via low- and semi-volatile organic compounds (LVOC and SVOC), to extremely low volatility organic compounds (ELVOC) present mainly in particle phase
(Donahue et al., 2012). The chemical complexity of OVOC poses a major challenge in detecting, quantifying,
and characterizing such a large number and wide variety of organic compounds.

78 Mass spectrometric techniques, which can detect a large range of species simultaneously, are well-79 suited to tackle these challenges. This is underlined by the major role of the mass spectrometers in improving 80 our understanding of the atmospheric chemical composition over the last twenty years (Breitenlechner et al., 81 2017; Ehn et al., 2014; Jokinen et al., 2012; Krechmer et al., 2018; Lindinger et al., 1998; Yuan et al., 2017). 82 Proton transfer reaction (PTR) has been one of the most used medium-pressure ionization techniques since the 83 mid-1990s (Lindinger et al., 1998). Since then, the PTR-MS technique has been greatly improved in terms of 84 sensitivity, detection limit and mass resolution by introducing the PTR-TOF-MS (Yuan et al., 2017). The latest version has detection limits as low as 10⁷ molecules cm⁻³. While such techniques can characterize VOC, the 85 PTR-MS technique has not been able to measure more oxygenated organic species. This is mostly due to losses 86 87 of these low volatile compounds in the sampling lines and on the walls of the inlet (caused e.g. by very low flow rates), as the instrument was designed to primarily measure volatile compounds. 88

89 Several different chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS) methods have been developed, including medium-pressure systems like CF₃O⁻-CIMS for specific detection of oxygenated VOC and SVOC 90 including hydroperoxides (Crounse et al., 2006), acetate-CIMS for selective detection of organic acids 91 92 (Bertram et al., 2011), and the iodide adduct ionization CIMS for the detection of wider range of OVOC, 93 including alcohols, hydroperoxides and peroxy acids (Lee et al., 2014; Riva et al., 2017). These instruments, 94 based on negative ion chemistry, can detect oxygenated gas phase compounds at concentrations as low as $\sim 10^6$ molecules cm⁻³. Finally, the discovery of the HOM was possible due to the development of nitrate chemical 95 96 ionization source connected to an atmospheric pressure interface time-of-flight mass spectrometer (CI-APi-97 TOF) (Ehn et al., 2014; Jokinen et al., 2012). The selectivity and high sensitivity for molecules containing many functional groups (detection limit below 10⁵ molecules cm⁻³) of the nitrate CI-APi-TOF makes this 98 instrument perfect for detecting HOM and even certain radicals (e.g., peroxy radicals). As part of the rapid 99 100 development in gas-phase mass spectrometry, several new reagent ion chemistries have been tested over the 101 last few years. With improvements in sensitivity and/or selectivity, new methods are now able to detect a wide variety of oxygenated species, including radicals and stabilized Criegee intermediates (Berndt et al., 2015,
2017, 2018; Breitenlechner et al., 2017; Hansel et al., 2018; Krechmer et al., 2018).

104 The selectivity and sensitivity of the different ionization chemistries makes it impossible for one mass 105 spectrometer to be able to measure the full range of VOC and OVOC present in the atmosphere. Hence, only 106 a simultaneous deployment of several mass spectrometry techniques can provide a comprehensive chemical 107 characterization of the gaseous composition. While such a multi-instrument approach maximizes the fraction of organic species measured (Isaacman-VanWertz et al., 2017, 2018), a number of questions and limitations 108 109 can arise in both laboratory and field measurements. For instance, the extent to which instruments (i) can 110 measure species with identical molecular composition, (ii) can cover the entire range of oxygenated species, (iii) can provide constant sensitivity across different conditions, have to be determined. Most studies are 111 typically limited to one, or perhaps two, mass spectrometers, and then it is also important to know which 112 fraction of the OVOC distribution these instruments are sensitive to. To our knowledge, systematic 113 comparisons of the most commonly used, or recently developed, gas-phase mass spectrometers are not yet 114 available. In this work, we compared the suitability of five different chemical ionization methods (including 115 116 iodide TOF-CIMS, nitrate and amine CI-APi-TOFs, a PTR-TOF and the newly developed Vocus PTR-TOF) 117 for the detection of OVOC formed from α -pinene ozonolysis during a comprehensive chamber study with varying VOC, O₃, and NO_x concentrations. We characterized the time evolution of around 1000 compounds 118 and explored the capability of these instruments to measure OVOC of different oxygenation level within 119 different compound groups. 120

121 2. Experimental Section

122 2.1. Chamber experiments

Experiments were performed at the University of Helsinki in a 2 m³ atmospheric simulation Teflon (FEP) chamber. The "COALA" chamber (named after the project in which it was constructed: Comprehensive molecular characterization of secondary Organic AerosoL formation in the Atmosphere) was operated under steady-state conditions, meaning that a constant flow of reactants and oxidants were continuously added to the chamber, while chamber air was sampled by the instruments. Under the conditions used in this study, the average residence time in the chamber was \sim 30 min, and the majority of conditions were kept constant for 6 to 12 hours before changing to new conditions. These experiments focused on the characterization of the oxidation products arising from the α -pinene (C₁₀H₁₆) ozonolysis. α -pinene was used for the generation of oxidation products because it is the most abundant monoterpene emitted by the boreal forests and is one of the most important SOA precursors on a global scale (Jokinen et al., 2015; Kelly et al., 2018).

The experiments were conducted at room temperature $(27 \pm 2^{\circ}C)$ and under dry conditions (RH < 133 1%). An overview of the measurements, as well as the experimental conditions, are presented Figure 1. α -134 pinene was introduced to the chamber from a gas cylinder, and steady-state concentrations of α -pinene were 135 136 varied from 20 to 100 ppb. As alkene ozonolysis yields OH radicals (Atkinson et al., 1997), in some experiments, ~ 1500 ppm of carbon monoxide (CO) was injected to serve as OH scavenger. 10 to 50 ppb of 137 O₃ was generated by injecting purified air through an ozone generator (Dasibi 1008-PC) and monitored over 138 the process of the campaign using a UV photometric analyzer (Model 49P, Thermo-Environmental). In the 139 experiments performed in the presence of NO_x, 400 nm LED lights were used to generate NO in the chamber 140 from the photolysis of the injected NO₂. The purified air ($[O_3/NO_x]$ and [VOC] reduced to less than 1 ppb and 141 5 ppb, respectively), generated by an air purification system (AADCO, 737 Series, Ohio, USA) running on 142 143 compressed air, was used as a bath gas. Temperature, relative humidity (RH) and pressure were monitored by 144 a Vaisala Humidity and Temperature Probe (INTERCAP® HMP60) and a differential pressure sensor (Sensirion SDP1000-L025). 145

146 2.2. Mass spectrometers

We deployed five chemical ionization schemes to the COALA chamber in order to characterize the chemical composition of the gas-phase oxidation products for formed from α-pinene ozonolysis. In this section, we briefly present each instrument, summarized in Table 1. As each mass spectrometer has slightly different working principles, references to more detailed descriptions are provided. Specific benefits and limitations, which were not often discussed in earlier studies, are reviewed in section 2.4. Each of the mass spectrometers were equipped with a mass analyzer manufactured by Tofwerk AG, either an HTOF (mass resolving power ~5000) or Long-TOF (LTOF, mass resolving power ~10000) version.

154 In the analysis, we focused primarily on the relative behavior of the ions measured by the different 155 mass spectrometers. An absolute comparison was also performed, but this approach has larger uncertainty, as the sensitivity towards every molecule is different in each of the mass spectrometers, depending on molecular size, functionality, proton affinity, polarizability, etc. We attempted a rough estimate of absolute concentrations for each instrument, despite the fact that with around a thousand of ions analyzed, it is evident that we make no claim to be accurate for them all. As will be shown, the concentrations of gas-phase VOC and OVOC vary up to 7 orders of magnitude, and therefore useful information can still be obtained even in cases where concentration estimates could be off by an order of magnitude. Details about instruments used in this study as well as calibrations and instrumental limitations are discussed in the following sections.

163 2.2.1. PTR-TOF

α-pinene concentration was measured in the COALA chamber by a proton transfer reaction time-of-flight mass 164 165 spectrometer (PTR-TOF 8000, Ionicon Analytik Gmbh) - later referred to as "PTR-TOF". The technical 166 details have been described in detail elsewhere (Graus et al., 2010; Jordan et al., 2009). The sample air from the COALA chamber was drawn to the instrument using 2 m long PTFE tubing (6 mm o.d, 4 mm i.d.) and a 167 piece of 20 cm capillary PEEK tubing (1.6 mm o.d., 1 mm i.d.), with the sampling flow of 0.8 L/min (liters 168 169 per minute). The instrument was operated using a drift tube at the pressure of around 2 mbar and a drift tube 170 at the temperature of 60 degrees (°C). Drift tube voltage was kept at 600 V leading to E/N = 145 Td where E is the electrical field strength and N the gas number density. With these settings, the primary ion isotope 171 $(H_3^{18}O^+, 21.0221 \text{ Th})$ level stayed at 4500 cps (counts per second), and the mass resolving power of the HTOF 172 mass analyzer was ~ 4500. Data were recorded using a time resolution of 10 s. The background of the 173 174 instrument was measured approximately every day with VOC-free air generated using a custom-made catalytic 175 converter heated to 350 °C (Schallhart et al., 2016).

176 2.2.2. Vocus

The Vocus PTR-TOF (proton transfer reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer, Tofwerk AG/Aerodyne Research, Inc.), later referred to as "Vocus", is based on a new PTR-inlet design (i.e., focusing ion-molecule reactor, FIMR) with sub-ppt detection limits (Krechmer et al., 2018). Sample air was drawn to the instrument using 1-m long PTFE tubing (6 mm o.d, 4 mm i.d.), with a flow rate of 4.5 L/min. Most of the sample air was directed to the exhaust while the actual flow to the Vocus was around 0.15 L/min. The instrument was operated with 1.0 mbar drift tube pressure, the voltages being 350 V and 400 V for axial and radial voltages, respectively 183 and E/N = 120 Td. The Vocus was operated at a higher water flow than in Krechmer et al. (2018), resulting in a decrease of the OVOC (e.g., HOM) fragmentation but also in a lower sensitivity. The signal level of the 184 185 instrument had some instability during the campaign, thus the primary ion signal (H₃O⁺, 19.0178 Th) varied from a few hundred to few thousand cps and the isotope of the second water cluster ($H_2^{18}OH_2OH_3O^+$, 57.0432 186 Th) was around $10^4 - 10^5$ cps. The much lower signal at H₃O⁺ was due to a high-pass band filter, that removes 187 most of the ions < 35 Th (Krechmer et al., 2018). The mass resolving power of the LTOF mass analyzer was 188 189 12000-13000 for the whole campaign. Data were recorded using a time resolution of 10 s. Zero air was 190 produced with a built-in active carbon filter and background was measured hourly except during December 15 191 -17 due the malfunctioning of the zero air pump.

192 2.2.3. Iodide

193 Another deployed instrument was a time-of-flight chemical ionization mass spectrometer (TOF-CIMS, 194 Tofwerk AG/Aerodyne Research, Inc.), equipped with iodide (I⁻) reagent ion chemistry– later referred to as "Iodide". While the molecules could be detected as deprotonated species or as adducts with I⁻, we restricted 195 196 the analysis in this work to ions containing only an iodide adduct, which guarantees detection of the parent 197 organic compounds without substantial fragmentation. Iodide TOF-CIMS has been described previously and has high sensitivity towards (multifunctional) oxygenated organic compounds (Iyer et al., 2017; Lee et al., 198 2014). The instrument was operated at 1 L/min reagent flow rate into the Ion-Molecule Reaction (IMR) 199 200 chamber of the instrument. Iodide ions were generated from methyl iodide (CH₃I) using a polonium (Po-210) source. Sample air was drawn to the instrument using 1 m long PTFE tubing (6 mm o.d, 4 mm i.d.) with the 201 202 flow rate of 2 L/min. The IMR was temperature controlled at 40°C and operated at a nominal pressure of 100 203 mbar. The instrument, equipped with an HTOF mass analyzer, was configured to measure singularly charged 204 ions from 1 to 1000 Th with a mass resolving power and time resolution of 4000 – 5000 and 10 s, respectively.

205 2.2.4. Amine/Nitrate

Two chemical ionization atmospheric pressure interface time-of-flight mass spectrometers (CI-APi-TOF, Tofwerk AG/Aerodyne Research, Inc.) were also deployed (Ehn et al., 2014; Jokinen et al., 2012). The inlet was designed to minimize wall losses through the use of coaxial sample (10 L/min) and sheath flows (~ 30 L/min), in order to sample (extremely) low-volatile species which are easily lost to the walls. Two types of ionization schemes were utilized: the promising new amine reagent ion chemistry (Berndt et al., 2017, 2018)
and the more commonly used nitrate chemistry - later referred to as Amine and Nitrate, respectively. The
Amine has been shown to be sensitive towards a very wide range of OVOC, both closed shell species and
peroxy radicals, from molecules with a few oxygen atoms all the way to HOM (Berndt et al., 2018). Previous
work have shown that protonated amines are effective reagent ions, forming stable clusters with OVOC
(Berndt et al., 2018). The Nitrate, on the other hand, has mainly been used for detection of HOM (Ehn et al., 2014).

217 Sample air was drawn to the instruments using a common 1 m long PTFE inlet line (19.05 mm o.d, 16 mm i.d.) with the flow rate being ~ 20 L/min (~ 10 L/min for each mass spectrometer). Nitrate (NO₃⁻) ions 218 were formed from nitric acid (HNO₃) using an X-ray source while protonated butylamine ($C_4H_{12}N^+$) ions were 219 220 produced using butylamine with a 7.5 MBq Am-241 source. NO_3^- or $C_4H_{12}N^+$ ions enter the ion reaction zone together with a clean sheath air flow, concentric with the sample flow, and the two do not mix turbulently. The 221 222 ions are then guided into the sample flow by an electrical field. The residence time in the IMR was ~ 200 ms. The main reagent ions were NO₃⁻ (mass-to-charge 62 Th), HNO₃NO₃⁻ (125 Th) and (HNO₃)₂NO₃⁻ 188 Th) 223 224 for the Nitrate and C₄H₁₂N⁺ (74 Th) for the Amine. Both instruments were equipped with LTOF mass analyzers 225 providing a mass resolving power of 9000 – 10000.

226 2.3. Calibration of the mass spectrometers

227 In order to estimate absolute concentrations of all detected molecules, each instrument's signals, using an 228 averaging period of 15 min, were normalized to the reagent ion signals (to eliminate the influence of changes affecting all signals in the instruments, e.g., due to degrading response of the detector) followed by 229 multiplication with a scaling factor. The reagent ion quantity used for normalization is described below, 230 231 separately for each instrument. Normalized ion count rates are reported as normalized cps, ncps. The scaling 232 factors were derived differently for each instrument (details provided below). For Iodide, Nitrate and Amine, 233 the same factor was used for all ions in the spectrum, while for the PTR instruments the factors were different depending on the type of molecules (e.g., VOC or OVOC). For the PTR instruments and the Iodide, a duty 234 235 cycle correction was applied to compensate for mass-dependent transmission due to the orthogonal extraction 236 of the mass analyzers. The Amine and Nitrate were calibrated by scaling a wide range of mass-to-charge based 237 on earlier studies, where duty cycle corrections had not been performed. Therefore, we did not apply such a correction for the atmospheric pressure ionization mass spectrometers. Finally, we emphasize that the scaling
 factors should not be compared between instruments as a measure of sensitivity, since multiple factors impact
 these values, including e.g., the specific normalization approach and the chosen extraction frequency of the
 mass analyzers.

The PTR-TOF was calibrated twice using a calibration unit consisting of a calibration gas mixture of 242 16 different VOC (Apel-Riemer Environmental Inc., USA) that was diluted with clean air purified by a 243 catalytic converter (1.2 L/min of zero air, and 8 sccm of standard gas), producing VOC mixing ratios of around 244 7 ppb (parts per billion) (Schallhart et al., 2016). Sensitivities were calculated to be 12.31 ncps ppb⁻¹, 27.92 245 ncps ppb⁻¹ and 30.51 ncps ppb⁻¹ based on the concentration of the monoterpenes, MVK (methyl vinyl ketone) 246 and m-/o-xylenes, respectively. PTR-TOF signals were normalized using the sum of the first primary ion 247 isotope at 21.0221 Th and the first water cluster isotope at 39.0327 Th (e.g., Schallhart et al., 2016). According 248 to common practice, the sensitivities above were scaled to correspond to a situation where the total reagent ion 249 250 signal equaled 10^6 cps.

251 The Vocus was calibrated four times during the campaign using the same calibration gas mixture as 252 used for the PTR-TOF. There was variability in the sensitivity during the campaign and therefore the uncertainty in the Vocus results are slightly larger than normal. Sensitivities were highest for acetone, 253 maximum around 1800 cps ppb⁻¹ and around 650 cps ppb⁻¹ for monoterpenes. α -pinene concentration was 254 255 retrieved using the authentic standard while the concentrations of the OVOC and C₁₀H₁₄H⁺ were estimated 256 using the calibration factor of the MVK and sum of m-/o-xylenes, respectively. MVK and m-/o-xylene sensitivity was around 1700 cps ppb⁻¹ and 700 cps ppb⁻¹, respectively. Vocus signals were normalized using 257 the primary ion signal at 19.0178 Th only, as the water clusters have a negligible effect on the ion chemistry 258 inside the FIMR (Krechmer et al., 2018). Due to the high-pass filter that removes almost all the signal at 259 260 19.0178 Th, we do not report the normalized sensitivities (i.e., in ncps ppb⁻¹) for the Vocus, in order to avoid 261 direct comparisons with the PTR-TOF. Instead, the sensitivities above are given without normalization, although a normalization was used for the final data. For the uncertainty estimates, the same applies as listed 262 above for the PTRTOF. 263

The uncertainties for the compounds that were directly calibrated are estimated to be +-20 % for PTR-TOF and Vocus. For other compounds, the uncertainties are much higher due to uncertain ionization efficiencies and potential fragmentation of the compounds with unknown structures. For example, we used sensitivity of MVK for all oxygenated monoterpenes even though all those compounds may have very different fragmentation patterns, transmission rates and/or proton transfer reaction rates from each other. Therefore, we refrain from quantitative estimates of the uncertainties for these species.

270 The Iodide was calibrated twice during the campaign (December 15 and 23) by injecting known amounts of formic acid to the instrument. Due to unknown reasons, the response of the Iodide decayed 271 272 throughout the campaign, and therefore only data measured before December 17, when a stronger drop 273 occurred, was included for the direct comparison of the non-nitrate OVOC. While normalization should 274 compensate for this type of behavior, this particular instrument utilized a time-to-digital converter (TDC) acquisition card, which meant the primary ion peak was heavily saturated. Lacking any isotopic signatures for 275 276 I^- , we found that utilizing a region of the rising edge of the I^- signal (126.5-126.65 Th) provided a reasonable correction to our data. The sensitivity without normalization was 1.0 cps ppt⁻¹ for formic acid, and following 277 278 the normalization, this sensitivity was applied for all ions throughout the period where Iodide data was included 279 in the analysis. We acknowledge that this brings with it a large uncertainty, as the Iodide has sensitivities 280 ranging over a few orders of magnitude depending on the specific molecule (Lee et al., 2014), and refrain from 281 quantitative uncertainty estimates, as in the case for the PTR instruments above.

282 Standards for OVOC compounds measurable by the Nitrate are still lacking, and this instrument was 283 therefore not directly calibrated during the campaign. However, to be able to roughly estimate concentrations, 284 a calibration was inferred by assuming that the molar yield of HOM, i.e., molecules with six or more oxygen 285 atoms, during α -pinene ozonolysis experiments was 5%. Different values have been reported for the HOM 286 yield in this system, ranging from slightly above to slightly below 5% (Ehn et al., 2014; Jokinen et al., 2014, 287 2015). Clearly such an approach yields large uncertainties, and we estimated it here to roughly \pm 70%. Earlier 288 work with more direct calibrations reported an uncertainty of \pm 50% (Ehn et al., 2014), and the added 20 p.p. 289 in this work reflects the increased uncertainty in scaling the sensitivity based on expected HOM yields. This 290 method requires knowledge on the wall loss rate of HOM in the COALA chamber, which was estimated to be $1/300 \text{ s}^{-1}$ in our study. This estimate is based on a rough scaling to a slightly smaller chamber (1.5 m³) with 291 active mixing by a fan, where the loss rate was measured to be 0.01 s⁻¹ (Ehn et al., 2014). As our chamber is 292 larger, and our mixing fan was only spinning at a moderate speed, we estimated the loss rates to roughly 3 293

times lower. The resulting calibration coefficient was $2 \cdot 10^{10}$ molecules cm⁻³ ncps⁻¹, which is similar as in 294 previous studies (Ehn et al., 2014; Jokinen et al., 2012). As for Nitrate, the Amine was also not calibrated 295 296 directly, and in order to achieve an estimate of the concentrations measured by this instrument, we scaled the sensitivity of the Amine to match that of the Nitrate for specific HOM dimers (C19H28/30O12-17 and C20H30/32O12-297 17), which were found to correlate very well between the two instruments (as described in more detail in the 298 Results section). This approach gave a calibration factor of $6 \cdot 10^8$ molecules cm⁻³ ncps⁻¹, with similar 299 uncertainty estimates as for the Nitrate. In the CI-APi-TOFs, the calibration factor is generally close to 10¹⁰ 300 molecules cm⁻³ ncps⁻¹, but as discussed later in section 3.1, the Amine reagent ion was considerably depleted 301 302 during the experiments, which led to the relatively low calibration factor. As mentioned earlier, the scaling factors should not be compared directly between instruments. The lower value for the Amine is a result of the 303 normalization rather than an indication of higher sensitivity. This reagent ion depletion also means that the 304 305 most abundant species were most likely no longer responding linearly to concentration changes, and therefore their concentrations can be off by an order of magnitude or more. 306

307 2.4. Instrumental limitations and considerations

In this section we aim at highlighting some of the limitations involved when characterizing and quantifying
OVOC measured by online mass spectrometers. The list below is not exhaustive, but addresses several issues
that are relevant for the interpretation of our results.

311 2.4.1. Mass resolving power

One major limitation for all of the mass spectrometers described above, is the mass resolving power, ranging 312 313 from 4000 to 14000. Even though the new generation of LTOF mass analyzers with higher resolving power can enhance the separation of measured ions, it remains challenging to accurately identify and deconvolve the 314 elemental composition of many ions. Indeed, it is common for one CIMS mass spectrum to include more than 315 316 1000 different ions. For high-resolution (HR) peak identification and separation, firstly one needs to generate 317 a list of ions, i.e., "peak list". Its construction can be time-consuming even if only based on one single spectrum, and once conditions change, different ions may appear. For measurements lasting weeks or months, it is nearly 318 319 impossible to assure that all ions are correctly identified and fitted. If the peak list contains too few ions 320 compared to reality, signals from non-fitted ions will assign the adjacent ions with artificially high signals. On 321 the contrary, if too many closely lying ions are included in the peak list, even small errors in the mass axis 322 determination can cause signal to be fitted to specific ions even though their signals are non-existent. In such 323 extreme cases, with closely overlapping ions, traditional HR analysis becomes impossible.

324 While less selective detection techniques can sound more useful to monitor and characterize OVOC, 325 spectra acquired using such ionization techniques (e.g., PTR, Iodide or Amine), pose a significant challenge for data analysis and may ultimately provide even less useful information. Statistical analysis techniques can 326 327 be used in order to better constrain the uncertainties associated with peak fitting, as recently proposed (Cubison 328 and Jimenez, 2015; Stark et al., 2015). These previous studies pointed out that the uncertainties related to the 329 peak fitting can become significant if the overlapping peaks are separated by less than a full-width at halfmaximum (Cubison and Jimenez, 2015). This is very often the case for CIMS instruments, and the more the 330 331 ions overlap, the larger the uncertainty is. Peak fitting becomes increasingly problematic as molecular masses 332 increase, since the number of potential ions increases dramatically with mass.

333

2.4.2. Ionization, declustering and fragmentation

334 The response of a mass spectrometer to a certain compound is to first approximation a result of two factors: the ionization probability of the neutral molecule, and the detection probability of the formed ion. The 335 336 ionization process is largely controlled by the stability of the products compared to the primary ions, whether a question of adduct formation or (de)protonation processes. Different reagent ion chemistries have been 337 338 studied computationally in recent years, successfully reproducing several observations (Berndt et al., 2017; 339 Hyttinen et al., 2015, 2018; Iver et al., 2016). While a neutral molecule can bind to a reagent ion at the collision 340 limit, the adduct can undergo collision-induced dissociation (i.e., declustering) during transport through the interface to the high vacuum in the mass analyzer. Ultimately, the binding strength of the adduct and the energy 341 342 of the collisions in the mass spectrometer will define the survival probability of the ions. To address this issue, 343 procedures have been proposed, e.g., to probe the response of adducts to different collision energies (Isaacman-344 VanWertz et al., 2018; Lopez-Hilfiker et al., 2016), providing critical information on the sensitivity of the 345 instrument.

Similarly to declustering, (de)protonated compounds can undergo fragmentation reactions where molecular bonds are broken. For example, the detection of monoterpenes ($C_{10}H_{16}$) using PTR instruments often shows equally large signals at the parent ion ($C_{10}H_{17}^+$) and at a fragment ion ($C_6H_9^+$). Also, Iodide adducts have been shown to cause molecules to fragment, as in the case of peroxy acids decomposing to carboxylate
anions (Lee et al. 2014). Both declustering and fragmentation processes are associated with the optimization
of the voltages of each instrument, which is performed by the instrument operator (Breitenlechner et al., 2017;
Krechmer et al., 2018; Lopez-Hilfiker et al., 2016). While using voltage scans to probe such processes is
possible, and even desirable, performing, interpreting and utilizing the results, across the mass spectrum and
across different conditions, remains challenging and has only been utilized in a few studies to date (IsaacmanVanWertz et al., 2018; Lopez-Hilfiker et al., 2016).

356 2.4.3. Quantification

2.4.5. Quantification

For quantification, the instrument sensitivity is generally determined via calibration standards, while a background level was measured by zero air. The challenges involved in these procedures are highly dependent on the type of compounds to be quantified. As an example, we discuss three kinds of molecules with different volatility: VOC, SVOC and ELVOC.

(a) VOC: volatile species are relatively easy to quantify since they can be contained in gas bottles or easily
 evaporated from standard samples in known quantities. Their responses are also fast due to negligible
 adsorption/evaporation from walls.

(b) SVOC: Many semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOC) are commercially available and can be 364 evaporated in known amounts from liquid standards into the gas phase. However, the nature of SVOC 365 366 results in both condensed and gas phases for these species, meaning that once clean air is introduced, the signal of SVOC will often show a gradual decay over minutes, or even hours, due to evaporation of the 367 'leftover' from surfaces in the inlet lines and the inlet itself (Pagonis et al., 2017). The procedure to 368 determine the "correct" blank is not trivial, and the blank will look different depending on whether it is 369 370 done at the entrance of the instrument or at the sampling inlet, and depending on the duration of the blank 371 measurement itself. Another related challenge for SVOC quantification is that temperature fluctuations of a few degrees may cause net evaporation (temperature increasing) or condensation (temperature 372 decreasing) of SVOC from sampling lines and the inlet. 373

(c) ELVOC: For ELVOC, finding standard compounds for calibration remains extremely difficult. Most
organic compounds, including hydroperoxide or acid, with such low volatility are likely to decompose
before evaporating. Thus, their quantification is often inferred from other similar compounds. For the

nitrate CI-APi-TOF, sulfuric acid is often used for calibration, by forming it in-situ from SO₂ (Kürten et 377 378 al., 2012). This is, to some extent, a similar approach as we took for the Nitrate in this work, scaling it to 379 the estimated HOM yield, as both methods require knowledge of formation rates from the initial precursors 380 and loss rates of the formed compound of interest. Other studies have used permeation sources of perfluorinated carboxylic acids, which are semi-volatile, yet found to bind strongly to nitrate ions (Ehn et 381 382 al., 2014; Heinritzi et al., 2016). However, while the calibration is complicated, the blank measurements 383 are often not even needed, for exactly the same reasons. Whatever contaminants might be present in the 384 system, most are irreversibly lost to instrument surfaces and unable to evaporate into the gas phase due to 385 the extremely low vapor pressures. Potential oxidation processes occurring inside the mass spectrometer 386 may be an exception, but to our knowledge, this has not been reported as a large concern for ELVOC.

387 In addition to the list above, the response of an instrument to specific molecules may vary according to the 388 conditions at which they were sampled. Temperature (change) was listed as one consideration, and water vapor, or relative humidity (RH), is another important limitation for several mass spectrometers described 389 390 above (Breitenlechner et al., 2017; Krechmer et al., 2018; Kürten et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2014; Li et al., 2018). 391 For chemical ionization techniques, the water vapor can either compete with the OVOC ionization, leading to 392 decrease of the sensitivity, or stabilize the adduct resulting in an increase of the sensitivity. Alternatively, if a 393 compound forms a very stable complex, it may have an adduct formation efficiency that is independent of 394 water vapor. If the sensitivity is RH-dependent, calibrations and blanks should optimally be performed at the 395 same RH as the sampling in order to be representative. This, in turn, may cause considerable practical 396 challenges for both RH control and calibration and blank cleanliness.

In summary, recent computational and experimental work has shown that many approaches exist for optimizing the ability of CIMS instruments to quantify OVOC, including different blanks, calibration methods, voltage scans, etc. However, all these approaches are very rarely utilized in a single study, simply due to the immense time and effort required, both during the experiments and during the data analysis, where the results of all steps need to be incorporated. Ultimately, each study needs to prioritize between producing larger amounts of data (i.e., performing more measurements) with less capability for detailed quantification, or to produce a smaller amount of data with more accurate quantification.

404 3. Results and discussion

We applied our five CIMS instruments at the COALA chamber over a period of nearly one month, where we 405 406 tried to provide different types of atmospherically relevant oxidation conditions for α -pinene. With such a high variability in conditions, we compared signals between the mass spectrometers more robustly, even though 407 408 certain limitations were inevitable. For example, it is often the case that mass spectra will show some signal at 409 almost every mass, which can be due to multiple reasons, and it is important to separate when the signal is 410 truly from the sampled air and not from some internal background or contamination. Similarly, one needs to 411 assess whether the instrument is measuring the majority of the species with the same elemental composition, 412 or only detecting a small subset of those compounds due to specific selectivity for one isomer. In addition, an 413 instrument may be able to detect a certain molecule, but the resulting signal remains unreliable. This may be 414 the case if the sensitivity is extremely low for the molecule, or if the peak is close to a much larger unrelated 415 signal, which will create large interferences when performing HR fitting. In both cases the signal is likely to be influenced by different types of noise. 416

417 First, we performed correlation analyses in order to identify signals which were physically 418 meaningful. We conducted the analysis with the whole dataset (a total of ~ 1000 ions in each instrument) rather 419 than selectively focusing on individual ions. This comprehensive approach utilized more data, but also resulted 420 in larger uncertainties as not all fitted ions could be validated for all CIMS. From the correlation analysis we 421 identified when two instruments agree, i.e., observing identical elemental compositions and having a similar 422 temporal behavior, concerning some group of compounds. From a subsequent absolute comparison, we estimated which chemical ionization method was likely to be detecting a certain group of compounds more 423 efficiently. 424

- 425 3.1. Instrument comparisons: correlations
- 426

3.1.1. Medium pressure ionization mass spectrometers

Peak fitting was performed utilizing the Igor-based Tofware or Matlab-based Toftools software (Junninen et al., 2010) for ion mass-to-charge up to \sim 600 Th, depending on the mass spectrometers. To select which ions to fit (i.e., include in the peak lists), both the exact masses and the isotopic distributions were used as criteria. A Pearson correlation coefficient *R*, was calculated between molecules having the same elemental composition

measured by the different instruments. As a practical example, the time series of $C_{10}H_{16}O_3$ measured by Vocus 431 and Iodide are shown in Figure 1C, and the time series correlation for this compound between the two 432 instruments was R = 0.85. For later comparisons we will use R squared, and in this case $R^2 = 0.73$. For Iodide, 433 the data set covered only the first half of the campaign, but the other instruments covered nearly the whole 434 period. This includes a wide variety of conditions, with and without NO_x , and therefore high correlations are 435 very suggestive of two instruments measuring the exact same compound(s) at that specific elemental 436 composition. However, as an increase in α -pinene is likely to increase almost all measured OVOC signals to 437 438 some extent, low positive correlations can arise artificially and should not be over-interpreted. Due to the selectivity and the sensitivity of the ionization methods, not all ions were observed in all the different 439 instruments, and thus only a certain fraction of the identified compounds can be compared between mass 440 spectrometers. 441

Figure 2 shows the correlation analysis for the medium-pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometers, with marker size scaled by R^2 . In those figures, the abscissa represents the measured mass-tocharge ratio of the compounds and the y-axis their mass defect, which is calculated as the exact mass of the compound minus the mass rounded to the closest integer (Schobesberger et al., 2013). For example, the mass of C₁₀H₁₆O₃ is 184.110 Da and the mass defect is + 0.110 Da. The contribution of the reagent ions has been removed in the different Figures. A mass defect diagram helps to separate the molecules into two dimensions and allows some degree of identification of the plotted markers.

449 As expected, the PTR-TOF and the Vocus are strongly correlated for compounds with low (0-3) 450 oxygen number (Figure 2A). Contrariwise, only a few compounds were identified by the PTR-TOF and the Iodide with a fairly good correlation (i.e., $R^2 > 0.5$). The correlating compounds included small acids such as 451 formic and acetic acid. As discussed earlier, the inlet of the PTR-TOF is not well designed to sample OVOC 452 453 having low volatility, which explained the lack of correlations for larger and more oxidized products between the PTR-TOF and the Nitrate CI-APi-TOF. The molecules with the lowest correlations ($R^2 < 0.2$) were not 454 included in the plots, as the intention is to show regions where instruments agree. If an ion is included in a 455 peak list, it will always be fit, and thereby a value of $R^2 > 0$ is always expected, filling markers throughout the 456 457 MD-mass space.

In addition to VOC, the Vocus was able to measure a large range of OVOC (150-300 Th) as revealed 458 in Figure 2B, displaying a very good correlation with species identified by the Iodide. Indeed, most of the 459 identified compounds have $R^2 > 0.7$. As noted earlier, several different experimental conditions were tested 460 (Figure 1), and these high correlations indicate that both instruments were likely sensitive to the same 461 compounds. In other words, as good correlation was seen in this mass range for nearly all compositions, the 462 463 Iodide and the Vocus did not seem to be strongly impacted by the exact chemical conformation of the organic compounds. Interestingly no dimers (mass-to-charge > 300 Th) were observed with the Vocus, which suggests 464 465 some potential limitation of the instrument or the used settings. As a result, very limited correlation was 466 observed between compounds measured by the Vocus and the Amine or Nitrate CI-APi-TOFs. The two main exceptions being $C_5H_6O_7$ (178.011 Da) and $C_7H_9NO_8$ (235.033 Da). Note that the latter is less clear, as the 467 468 correlation is nearly identical between three instruments (Nitrate, Vocus and Iodide). The lack of correlation 469 was not only due to lack of ion transmission at higher masses in the Vocus, since the instrument was able to 470 detect some ions up to 400 Th, including $C_{10}H_{30}O_5Si_5H^+$ and $C_{19}H_{29}O_6NH^+$. One possibility was that since the 471 compounds above ~ 300 Th were likely to contain hydroperoxides, or in the case of dimers, organic peroxides, 472 the ions might may have fragmented before detection in the Vocus, either during the protonation or due to the 473 strong electric fields in the Vocus FIMR. In the case of HOM monomers with more than 7 oxygen atoms, an 474 additional limitation comes from more abundant and closely overlapping ions in the spectra, impacting the accurate fitting of these ion signals in the Vocus. From our data set, it was not possible to determine the exact 475 476 cause(s) for this lack of sensitivity for larger molecules in the Vocus, but it is possible that changes in 477 instrument operating conditions can extend the range of molecules detectable using the Vocus in future studies. 478 As shown in Figure 2C, the Iodide was capable of measuring ions with larger masses (i.e., above 300 Th) indicating the detection of more complex (e.g., dimers) and oxygenated compounds than the Vocus. This 479 480 was the case in spite of the lower flow rate for the Iodide than the Vocus, and thus less optimal for sampling 481 of low-volatile species (Table 1). The Iodide seemed to have the widest detection range of the mass 482 spectrometers deployed in this study, showing high correlation with other instruments for organic molecules 483 from C_1 (like formic acid) to C_{20} , as long as the molecules had at least two oxygen atoms. This is in line with earlier findings that the lodide is sensitive to most species that are polar or have polarizable functional groups 484 485 (Iver et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2014). However, the correlation with the CI-APi-TOFs was still somewhat limited

 $(R^2 < 0.7)$ for HOM monomers and dimers. One reason may have been that these HOM contain peroxy acid 486 487 functionalities, which have been shown to undergo reactions in the Iodide TOF-CIMS (Lee et al., 2014). In 488 this work, we only analyzed the ions containing Γ as these were believed to be the ones where the parent 489 molecule remained intact. Another reason for lower correlation was the fact that Γ is less selective than other ionization methods resulting in many overlapping peaks at the same integer mass and ambiguous peak fitting 490 491 (Lee et al., 2014; Stark et al., 2015, 2017), similar to the case in the Vocus. This means that although the Iodide and/or the Vocus might be able to charge a specific molecule, and it would not fragment before detection, the 492 493 ion may remain unquantifiable due to highly ambiguous peak fitting as a result of multiple overlapping signals.

494

3.1.2. Atmospheric pressure interface mass spectrometers

Figure 3 shows similar comparisons as in Figure 2, now for the Nitrate (Figure 3A) and the Amine (Figure 3B). Interestingly, these two instruments show excellent correlation ($R^2 > 0.9$) for dimeric products (molecules within 350-500 Th), but showed mostly low correlations ($R^2 < 0.6$) with other instruments in the monomer range. The Nitrate had some agreement with the Iodide for certain monomer compounds, but in the HOM monomer range where the Nitrate generally saw its largest signals (C_{10} molecules with 7 to 11 oxygen atoms; Ehn et al., 2014), none of the other instruments showed strongly correlating signatures.

501 Despite showing signals at almost all OVOC, the Amine presented low correlations for all OVOC 502 except the dimers. In the Amine the reagent ion was greatly depleted due to the relatively high signals (Figure 4), likely leading to a non-linear response for most of the OVOC, apparently with the exception of the HOM 503 504 dimers. It may be that the amine reagent ion formed extremely stable clusters with these dimers, and thus any 505 collision involving these dimers with the reagent ion (regardless of whether already clustered with an OVOC) 506 in the IMR lead to an amine-dimer cluster. While the Amine showed very low correlation with the other 507 instruments for most molecules, it has been demonstrated to be an extremely useful detector of both radicals 508 and closed shell OVOC under very clean, low-loading flow tube experiments (Berndt et al., 2017, 2018). In 509 other words, it can provide information on a wide variety of OVOC, but to obtain quantitative information, the amine CI-APi-TOF has to be used in very diluted system (with very clean air) and at low loadings. Determining 510 more explicitly the limitations requires further studies, but as a rough approximation, the typical CI-APi-TOF 511 sensitivity of $\sim 10^{10}$ molecules cm⁻³ ncps⁻¹ means that when sampling detectable molecules at 10^{10} molecules 512 cm⁻³ (~ 0.4 ppb), these molecules will have ion signals of equal abundance as the reagent ions. Consequently, 513

once the concentration of measurable molecules exceeds roughly 100 ppt, the CI-APi-TOF may no longer be an optimal choice. For the Nitrate CI-APi-TOF, which mainly detects HOM with short lifetimes due to their low volatilities, this has rarely been a limitation, but for less selective reagent ions, like amines, this can be an important consideration.

518 3.2. Instrument comparisons: concentration estimates

519 Concentrations of the identified compounds were estimated for all the different instruments, as described in 520 section 2.6. It should be noted that no separate inlet loss corrections were applied. The estimations for the 521 results of PTR-TOF and the Vocus are the most reliable as both instruments were calibrated using authentic 522 standards with a proven method, while larger uncertainties in the total measured concentrations are expected 523 for the Iodide and the CI-APi-TOFs.

With around 1000 identified ions for each instrument, except for the PTR-TOF, we decided to focus 524 525 our attention in this section on a few particular compound groups: the most abundant C₁₀-monomers (i.e., C₁₀H_{14/16}O_n), C₁₀-organonitrates (C₁₀H₁₅NO_n) and dimers (C₂₀H₃₂O_n). For the non-nitrate compounds, the 526 concentrations were measured during a steady-state conditions of December 9 from 15:30 to 23:00 with [O₃] 527 = 25 ppb and $[\alpha$ -pinene] = 100 ppb) during period I (Figure 1 in blue). The organonitrate concentrations were 528 529 compared using steady-state conditions of December 20 from 02:45 to 07:45 with $[O_3] = 35$ ppb, $[\alpha$ -pinene] = 100 ppb and NO = 0.5 ppb, during period IV (Figure 1 in purple). Figures 5A-D show the concentrations of 530 531 the selected species as a function of oxygen number in the molecules. While we again emphasize that all the 532 concentrations were only rough estimates, these plots painted a similar picture to the correlation analysis, as 533 described in more detail in the next paragraphs.

Focusing first on the non-nitrate monomers (Figures 5A-B), for compounds with zero or one oxygen 534 535 atoms, the PTR-TOF agreed well with the concentration estimated by the Vocus, while molecules with more 536 than two oxygen atoms were already close to, or below, the noise level of the PTR-TOF. In contrast, as the 537 number of oxygen atoms in the molecule reached two or more, the Iodide signal increased, and for most compounds showed concentrations similar to the Vocus. These two instruments agreed on concentration 538 estimates fairly well all the way up to an oxygen content of around 9 oxygen atoms, where the measured signals 539 540 were close to the instruments' noise levels. However, when comparing to the Nitrate, which is assumed to have 541 good sensitivity for HOM with 7 or more oxygen atoms, the concentrations suggested by the Vocus and Iodide 542 for the O₇ and O₈ monomers were very high. We preliminarily attributed this to an over-estimation of the concentrations of HOM by these two instruments, possibly due to higher sensitivities towards these molecules 543 544 as compared to the compounds used for calibration (i.e., MVK). We also did not correct for potential 545 backgrounds using the blanks for the Iodide, although measured, since the variability in the blank 546 concentrations (see also discussion in section 2.4) was large enough to cause artificially high fluctuations in 547 the final signals. Therefore, we opted to not include such a correction, but also note that even if half the signal at a given ion was attributable to background in the Iodide, then it would only have a small impact on the 548 549 logarithmic scales used in Figure 5. Other possible reasons for this discrepancy was that the Iodide and Vocus were able to detect isomers that the Nitrate was not, or that the Nitrate sensitivity was under-estimated. 550 However, considering that the Nitrate HOM signal was scaled to match a 5% molar HOM yield, it was unlikely 551 that the HOM concentrations can be considerably higher than this. Other estimated parameters involved in the 552 553 formation and loss rates of HOM also had uncertainties, but we did not expect any of them to be off by more 554 than 50%. This concentration discrepancy thus remained unresolved, and will require more dedicated future 555 studies.

Finally, the quantities estimated using the Amine are significantly lower (1-2 orders of magnitude) for all monomers when comparing to the other instruments. This was presumably related to the titration of the reagent ion, which meant that the majority of charged OVOC will undergo multiple subsequent collisions with other OVOC, potentially losing their charge in the process. The Nitrate had, as expected, very low sensitivity towards less oxygenated compounds, and its highest detection efficiency for HOM (i.e., molecules with at least 6 oxygen atoms).

562 The organonitrate comparison in Figure 5C suggested that both the Vocus and the Iodide were efficient at detecting these compounds, as both instruments agreed well ($R^2 > 0.7$) for C₁₀-organonitrates with 5 to 10 563 564 oxygen atoms. While organonitrates have been detected before using the Iodide (Lee et al., 2016), this was the 565 first observation that also the Vocus can detect such compounds efficiently. However, we cannot exclude that such compounds undergo fragmentation within the drift tube as commonly observed in other PTR instruments 566 567 (Yuan et al., 2017). For larger oxygen content, the Nitrate again seemed to be most sensitive, showing clear 568 signals above 10 oxygen atoms, where the previous instruments were already close to noise levels. The Amine 569 seemed worse at detecting organonitrates compared to non-nitrate monomers.

Neither of the PTR instruments were able to detect any dimers in this study within their measurement 570 ranges (up to 320 Th for PTRTOF). The Amine and the Nitrate were able to quantify the widest range of HOM 571 dimers, while the Iodide was able to detect less oxidized dimers (Figure 5D). Based on the concentration 572 573 estimates, the Amine's detection range also extended to less oxidized dimers than the Nitrate, as has already been shown by Berndt et al. (2018). Dimers measured by the Iodide were more abundant than the ones detected 574 by the Amine, but already from the monomer comparisons we speculated that the Amine might be 575 576 underestimating concentrations while the lodide might be overestimating them. With the data available to us, 577 we can only speculate on the relative sensitivities of the instruments able to detect dimers, especially with the Vocus providing no support to the comparison. 578

One aspect lending credibility to the Amine dimer data, in addition to the good time series correlation 579 with the Nitrate, was the odd-even oxygen atom patterns visible both in the Amine and Nitrate data. Such a 580 pattern is to be expected, since the 32 hydrogen atoms in the selected dimers indicates that they have been 581 582 formed from RO₂ radicals where one had 15 hydrogen atoms (which is what ozonolysis will yield, following OH loss) (Docherty et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2006; Ziemann and Atkinson, 2012), while the second RO₂ had 17 583 584 hydrogen atoms (which is the number expected from OH oxidation of an alkene where OH adds to the double 585 bond). The first RO₂ from ozonolysis had 4 oxygen atoms, and further autoxidation will keep an even number 586 of oxygen atoms, while the opposite was true for the OH-derived RO_2 which started from 3 oxygen atoms. In 587 other words, the major dimers from this pathway should contain an odd number of oxygen atoms after 588 combination. In the case of $C_{20}H_{30}O_n$ dimers, mainly formed from two ozonolysis RO₂, the pattern was 589 expected to show peaks at even n, which is also the case (not shown).

Such odd-even patterns for the oxygen content was not visible in the Iodide, but the reason remained unknown. It was possible that the dimers detected by the Iodide might be formed via other pathways, where such a selectivity did not occur. This topic should be explored further in future studies, since dimers formed form the oxidation of biogenic compounds are important for new-particle formation, and it is therefore critical to accurately identify and quantify the formation and evolution of different types of dimers. To date, both dimers measured by Iodide (Mohr et al., 2017) and Nitrate (Tröstl et al., 2016) have been found to be important for particle formation from monoterpenes.

597 3.3. Performance in detecting oxygenated species

Figure 6 summarizes our results and depicts the performance of each mass spectrometer in detecting monomer 598 599 and dimer monoterpene oxidation products. Molecules of C10H16On, C10H15NOn and C20H30On were provided 600 as examples. We emphasized that the oxygen content alone was not the determining factor for whether a certain 601 type of mass spectrometer will detect a compound, but we utilized this simplified representation in order to 602 provide an overview of the performances of the different chemical ionization schemes. The results were 603 primarily based on the correlation analysis from section 3.1, and as apparent from the y-axis, this comparison was only qualitative. However, our aim was to provide an easy-to-interpret starting point, especially for new 604 605 CIMS users wanting to compare different available techniques.

606 For monomer compounds without N-atoms, shown in Figure 6A, the PTR-TOF was limited to the 607 detection of VOC, while the Vocus was additionally able to measure a large range of OVOC, up to at least 5-6 oxygen atoms. The Iodide detected OVOC with oxygen content starting from ~3 atoms, but did not seem to 608 609 efficiently observe HOM monomers (i.e., $C_{10}H_xO_{>7}$). While being a very promising instrument for a broad 610 detection of OVOC, the performance of the Amine was limited in our study due to a significant drop of the 611 reagent ion to $\sim 40\%$ of the total signal. Therefore, the Amine was marked with a shaded region rather than a 612 line, with the lower limit based roughly on its usefulness under the conditions we probed, while the upper limit 613 was an estimate based on findings in a cleaner system with low loadings (Berndt et al., 2018). Finally, the 614 Nitrate was mainly selective towards HOM. The detection and quantification of monomeric OVOC containing 615 5 to 8 oxygen atoms remained as the most uncertain, since there were inconsistencies in both concentration and correlation between the Nitrate, measuring the more oxygenated species, and the Vocus/Iodide, which 616 617 detected the less oxidized compounds.

In Figure 6B, the suitability for the different instruments was plotted for organonitrate monomers. The Vocus efficiently detected the less oxidized organonitrates, while the Iodide displayed a good sensitivity for the same compounds, with the exception of the least oxygenated ones. For larger number of oxygens, the Nitrate again seemed the most suitable method. For dimers (Figure 6C), neither of the PTR techniques showed any ability to detect these compounds in our study. We did not extend the lines all the way down to n = 0 for the compounds, as it was still possible that these methods can be able to detect the least oxidized and most volatile C₂₀ compounds, which might not have been present during our experiments. The Iodide showed some 625 correlation with the Nitrate, but had good signals mainly in the range of dimers with 4 to 8 oxygen atoms. The 626 Amine and Nitrate correlated well for the most oxidized dimers, suggesting good suitability for dimer detection 627 of HOM dimers. The Amine concentrations stayed high, with the expected odd-even pattern in oxygen number, 628 even at lower oxygen content than the Nitrate, and therefore the suitability extended further towards lower O-629 atom contents. Again, the shaded area was based on a combination of our findings and those of Berndt et al. 630 (2018).

The results in Figure 6 are based on the α -pinene ozonolysis system. While we will not speculate too 631 632 much about the extent to which these findings can be extrapolated to other systems, certain features will remain similar also for other atmospherically relevant reactions. For example, the most oxidized gaseous HOM species 633 will likely have been formed through autoxidation processes, which means that they will contain 634 hydroperoxide functionalities, and could thus be detectable by the Nitrate. Likewise, the HOM, and in 635 particular the dimers, will very likely have low volatilities, requiring high sample flows with minimal wall 636 637 contact, as in the case of the Eisele-type CI inlets used in the Nitrate and Amine. Several other key features are also expected to be valid in different VOC-oxidant systems, and therefore we believe that our findings are 638 639 relevant also for many other reaction partners.

640 As a final test for each instrument, we estimated how much of the reacted carbon (in ppbC) the different mass spectrometers can explain. As shown in Figure 7, both the Iodide and Vocus seemed to capture 641 most of the reacted carbon, within uncertainties. The concentration determined using the Vocus was 642 overestimated, explaining more carbon than was reacted. Out of the largest contributors to the reacted carbon, 643 644 pinonaldehyde ($C_{10}H_{16}O_2$) was not efficiently detected by Iodide, but otherwise most of the abundant 645 molecules were quantified by both Vocus and Iodide. Any carbon lost by condensation to walls or particles would not have been quantifiable by any of the instruments in this study. While the Nitrate was calibrated with 646 647 an assumption that it can measure 5% of the reacted α -pinene, it only detected less than a tenth of that amount. 648 The reason was that the HOM it can detect were quickly lost to walls (or particles), and thus the gas-phase 649 concentration was not equivalent to the branching ratio of the VOC oxidation reaction. In fact, and as revealed by the slow changes in the times series in Figure 7D, most of the carbon ultimately measured by the Nitrate 650 651 was semi-volatile, as such compounds accumulated and reached higher concentration in the chamber, unlike 652 HOM. Thus, while the Nitrate was able to detect a critical group of OVOC from an aerosol formation perspective, i.e., HOM, for carbon closure studies (Isaacman-VanWertz et al., 2017, 2018) it will be of limited use. This again highlights the need to first determine the target of a study before deciding which CIMS technique is the most useful. For the closure comparison in our study, the overestimations emphasized the need to perform calibration with an extensive set of OVOC, ideally with monoterpene-oxidation products, in order to better constrain the sensitivity of the products of interest. The study by (Isaacman-VanWertz et al., 2018), as the only study to achieve full carbon closure during chamber oxidation of α -pinene by OH, also successfully utilized voltage scanning to determine sensitivities of each compound.

660 4. Conclusions

The primary goal of this work was to evaluate the performance of 5 chemical ionization mass spectrometers 661 (PTR-TOF, Vocus PTR, Iodide TOF-CIMS, Amine CI-APi-TOF and Nitrate CI-APi-TOF) in the 662 663 identification and quantification of a wide variety of products formed in the ozonolysis of α -pinene. In addition, we wanted to estimate the capabilities of the newly developed Vocus PTR in measuring OVOC species. By 664 comparing the regions of coverage of the instruments across multiple experimental conditions (i.e., in different 665 666 O₃, VOC, NO and OH radical concentrations) we demonstrated that current instrumentation captures nearly 667 the entire range of OVOC, spanning from VOC to ELVOC. The PTR-TOF was only able to measure the most 668 volatile compounds, while the Vocus appeared to be able to measure both VOC and most of the OVOC up to 669 5 to 6 oxygen atoms. In combination with the Iodide and Nitrate, most of the OVOC range can be measured. 670 The lodide showed good overlap with the Vocus for most SVOC with 3 to 5 oxygen atoms, while the Nitrate 671 detected mainly products with 6 or more oxygen atoms. No dimer species were observed with either of the 672 PTR instruments, which might be due to wall losses (likely at least for the PTR-TOF) and/or potential 673 fragmentation in the instruments. The Amine CI-APi-TOF is a promising technique, as shown in earlier studies, but it likely requires low loadings in order to not titrate the reagent ion, limiting its utility for many 674 chamber experiments and, potentially, atmospheric observations. The large uncertainties in attempting a 675 quantification of the wide variety of species measurable with these mass spectrometers underline the urgent 676 need of developing robust, simple and complete calibration methods in order to obtain a better estimation of 677 678 the concentrations. Finally, it is important to underline that the experimental and analytical procedures

performed by the user will ultimately impact the sensitivity, the selectivity, and the interpretability of theresults attainable from each instrument.

681

682 Data availability

All data are available by contacting the corresponding authors.

684

685 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the European Research Council (ERC-StG COALA, grant nr 638703). We gratefully acknowledge Pasi Aalto, Petri Keronen, Frans Korhonen, and Erkki Siivola for technical support.
O.G. thanks Doctoral Programme in Atmospheric Sciences (ATM-DP) at the University of Helsinki for financial support. O.P. would like to thank the Vilho, Yrjö and Kalle Väisälä Foundation. We thank the tofTools team for providing tools for mass spectrometry data analysis.

691

692 Author contributions

693 M.R. and M.E. designed the experiments. Instrument deployment, operation, and data analysis were carried

out by: M.R., P.R. J.E.K., O.P., Y.Z., L.H., O.G. C.Y., and M.E.; M.R., P.R., O.P., and M.E., interpreted the

695 compiled data set. M.R., P. R. and M.E. wrote the paper. All co-authors discussed the results and commented

the manuscript. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

697 Table. 1 Overview and characteristics of the mass spectrometers deployed during the campaign at the COALA698 chamber.

Instrument ^a	Ionization ^b	Resolving power ^c	Sampling flow rate (L/min)	T in IMR ^d (°C)	Residence time in IMR	IMR pressure (mbar)	Inlet length (m)
PTR-TOF	Proton transfer	4500	0.8	60	100 µs	2.0	2
Vocus	Proton transfer	12000	4.5	30	82 µs	1.0	1
Iodide	I ⁻ adduct	4500	2	40	94 ms	100	1
Amine	$C_4H_{12}N^+$ adduct	10000	10	Ambient	200 ms	Ambient	1
Nitrate	NO ₃ - adduct	9000	10	Ambient	200 ms	Ambient	1

^a The reagent ion is used as a synonym to name the instrument ^b Type of ionization method used for each instrument; ^c corresponds to the mass resolution of the instruments under the conditions used in this study. ^d *IMR* = Ion-molecule reaction chamber, i.e. the region where sample molecules are mixed with reagent ions. *The IMR has a different design in each of the instruments, except for the Nitrate and Amine, which are identical.*



Figure 1: Campaign overview, including the concentration of O₃, NO, NO_x (A) as well as α-pinene measured 707 by the Vocus and the PTR-TOF and pinonaldehyde measured using the Vocus (B). Concentrations of pinonic 708 and pinic acids (Vocus & Iodide) are presented in C, example of HOM monomers from Nitrate (D) and 709 example of HOM dimers from Amine and Nitrate (E). Concentrations for all the gaseous species are in 710 molecules cm⁻³, see text for details on quantification. The experiments were separated in 5 types: I: α -pinene 711 712 + O_3 ; II: α -pinene + O_3 + CO (as an OH scavenger); III: tests (NO₂ injection, H₂O₂ injection to generate HO₂); 713 IV: α -pinene + O₃ + NO and V: α -pinene + O₃ + NO + CO. Concentrations of NO and C₁₀H₁₆O₈NO₃⁻ are scaled 714 for clarity.

706



Figure 2: Mass defect plots showing the compounds for which time series correlation ($R^2>0.2$) was observed by the medium-pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometers (A) PTR-TOF, (B) Vocus and (C) Iodide. Each circle represents a distinct molecular composition and the marker area represents the correlation (R^2 , legend shown in A) of the time series of that molecule between two different CIMS instruments. The color of each marker depicts the instrument against which the correlation is calculated.



723

Figure 3: Mass defect plots showing the compounds for which time series correlation ($R^2>0.2$) was observed by the atmospheric-pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometers (A) Nitrate and (B) Amine. Each circle represents a distinct molecular composition and the marker area represents the correlation (R^2 , legend shown in Figure 2A) of the time series of that molecule between two different CIMS instruments. The color of each marker depicts the instrument against which the correlation is calculated.



Figure 4: Contribution of the reagent ion, sum of ions from 150 to 350 Th and sum of ions from 350 to 600 Th to total ion count throughout the campaign for the Amine CI-APi-TOF. Only a negligible fraction of the signal was found below 150 Th (excluding $C_4H_{12}N^+$).



Figure 5: Estimated concentrations of the main α -pinene C₁₀-monomer oxidation products (A & B), C₁₀monomer organonitrates (C) and α -pinene dimers (D) by the different mass spectrometers deployed in this study. The average concentrations were estimated when the system reached steady state in two experiments: without NO (panels A, B & D), December 9 (15:30-23:00) and with NO (panel C), December 20 (02:45 to 07:45). See text for more details. Data is plotted only for ions for which the average concentrations were higher than 3 times the standard deviation during the campaign.



Figure 6: Estimated detection suitability of the different CIMS techniques for α-pinene and its oxidation
products, plotted as a function of the number of oxygen atoms. Each panel symbolizes a compound group:
monomers (A), organonitrate monomers (B) and dimers (C). The figures are indicative only, as none of the
reagent ion chemistries are direct functions of the oxygen atom content in the molecules. See text for more
details.



Figure 7: Concentration (in ppbC) of the sum of the compounds measured by each instrument (Vocus, Iodide, Amine and Nitrate) throughout the campaign, compared to the amount of reacted carbon through α -pinene oxidation. Large uncertainties remain in the quantification of the OVOC for all instruments, but it is clear that the Iodide and Vocus are able to measure a large fraction of the reacted carbon in the gas phase.

755 **REFERENCES**

Albrecht, B. A.: Aerosols, Cloud Microphysics, and Fractional Cloudiness, Science, 245(4923), 1227–1230,
doi:10.1126/science.245.4923.1227, 1989.

Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Hampson, R. F., Kerr, J. A., Rossi, M. J. and Troe, J.: Evaluated
Kinetic and Photochemical Data for Atmospheric Chemistry: Supplement VI. IUPAC Subcommittee on Gas
Kinetic Data Evaluation for Atmospheric Chemistry, Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data, 26(6),

- 761 1329–1499, doi:10.1063/1.556010, 1997.
- Berndt, T., Richters, S., Kaethner, R., Voigtländer, J., Stratmann, F., Sipilä, M., Kulmala, M. and Herrmann,
 H.: Gas-Phase Ozonolysis of Cycloalkenes: Formation of Highly Oxidized RO 2 Radicals and Their Reactions
 with NO, NO 2, SO 2, and Other RO 2 Radicals, The Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 119(41), 10336–10348,
 doi:10.1021/acs.jpca.5b07295, 2015.
- Berndt, T., Herrmann, H. and Kurtén, T.: Direct Probing of Criegee Intermediates from Gas-Phase Ozonolysis
 Using Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometry, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 139(38), 13387–
 13392, doi:10.1021/jacs.7b05849, 2017.
- Berndt, T., Scholz, W., Mentler, B., Fischer, L., Herrmann, H., Kulmala, M. and Hansel, A.: Accretion Product
 Formation from Self- and Cross-Reactions of RO 2 Radicals in the Atmosphere, Angewandte Chemie
- 771 International Edition, 57(14), 3820–3824, doi:10.1002/anie.201710989, 2018.
- Bertram, T. H., Kimmel, J. R., Crisp, T. A., Ryder, O. S., Yatavelli, R. L. N., Thornton, J. A., Cubison, M. J.,
 Gonin, M. and Worsnop, D. R.: A field-deployable, chemical ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometer,
 Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 4(7), 1471–1479, doi:10.5194/amt-4-1471-2011, 2011.
- Breitenlechner, M., Fischer, L., Hainer, M., Heinritzi, M., Curtius, J. and Hansel, A.: PTR3: An Instrument for
 Studying the Lifecycle of Reactive Organic Carbon in the Atmosphere, Analytical Chemistry, 89(11), 5824–
 5831, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.6b05110, 2017.
- Crounse, J. D., McKinney, K. A., Kwan, A. J. and Wennberg, P. O.: Measurement of Gas-Phase
 Hydroperoxides by Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometry, Analytical Chemistry, 78(19), 6726–6732,
 doi:10.1021/ac0604235, 2006.
- Cubison, M. J. and Jimenez, J. L.: Statistical precision of the intensities retrieved from constrained fitting of
 overlapping peaks in high-resolution mass spectra, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 8(6), 2333–2345,
 doi:10.5194/amt-8-2333-2015, 2015.
- Docherty, K. S., Wu, W., Lim, Y. B. and Ziemann, P. J.: Contributions of Organic Peroxides to Secondary
 Aerosol Formed from Reactions of Monoterpenes with O ₃, Environmental Science & Technology, 39(11),
 4049–4059, doi:10.1021/es050228s, 2005.
- Donahue, N. M., Robinson, A. L., Trump, E. R., Riipinen, I. and Kroll, J. H.: Volatility and Aging of
 Atmospheric Organic Aerosol, in Atmospheric and Aerosol Chemistry, vol. 339, edited by V. F. McNeill and
 P. A. Ariya, pp. 97–143, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg., 2012.
- Ehn, M., Thornton, J. A., Kleist, E., Sipilä, M., Junninen, H., Pullinen, I., Springer, M., Rubach, F., Tillmann,
 R., Lee, B., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Andres, S., Acir, I.-H., Rissanen, M., Jokinen, T., Schobesberger, S.,
 Kangasluoma, J., Kontkanen, J., Nieminen, T., Kurtén, T., Nielsen, L. B., Jørgensen, S., Kjaergaard, H. G.,
 Canagaratna, M., Maso, M. D., Berndt, T., Petäjä, T., Wahner, A., Kerminen, V.-M., Kulmala, M., Worsnop,
 D. R., Wildt, J. and Mentel, T. F.: A large source of low-volatility secondary organic aerosol, Nature,
 506(7489), 476–479, doi:10.1038/nature13032, 2014.
- Gakidou, E., Afshin, A., Abajobir, A. A., Abate, K. H., Abbafati, C., Abbas, K. M., Abd-Allah, F., Abdulle,
 A. M., Abera, S. F., Aboyans, V., Abu-Raddad, L. J., Abu-Rmeileh, N. M. E., Abyu, G. Y., Adedeji, I. A.,

798 Adetokunboh, O., Afarideh, M., Agrawal, A., Agrawal, S., Ahmadieh, H., Ahmed, M. B., Aichour, M. T. E., 799 Aichour, A. N., Aichour, I., Akinyemi, R. O., Akseer, N., Alahdab, F., Al-Aly, Z., Alam, K., Alam, N., Alam, T., Alasfoor, D., Alene, K. A., Ali, K., Alizadeh-Navaei, R., Alkerwi, A., Alla, F., Allebeck, P., Al-Raddadi, 800 R., Alsharif, U., Altirkawi, K. A., Alvis-Guzman, N., Amare, A. T., Amini, E., Ammar, W., Amoako, Y. A., 801 802 Ansari, H., Antó, J. M., Antonio, C. A. T., Anwari, P., Arian, N., Ärnlöv, J., Artaman, A., Aryal, K. K., Asayesh, H., Asgedom, S. W., Atey, T. M., Avila-Burgos, L., Avokpaho, E. F. G. A., Awasthi, A., Azzopardi, 803 P., Bacha, U., Badawi, A., Balakrishnan, K., Ballew, S. H., Barac, A., Barber, R. M., Barker-Collo, S. L., 804 805 Bärnighausen, T., Barquera, S., Barregard, L., Barrero, L. H., Batis, C., Battle, K. E., Baumgarner, B. R., Baune, B. T., Beardsley, J., Bedi, N., Beghi, E., Bell, M. L., Bennett, D. A., Bennett, J. R., Bensenor, I. M., 806 Berhane, A., Berhe, D. F., Bernabé, E., Betsu, B. D., Beuran, M., Beyene, A. S., Bhansali, A., Bhutta, Z. A., 807 808 Bicer, B. K., Bikbov, B., Birungi, C., Biryukov, S., Blosser, C. D., Boneya, D. J., Bou-Orm, I. R., Brauer, M., 809 Breitborde, N. J. K., et al.: Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for 810 the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016, The Lancet, 390(10100), 1345-1422, doi:10.1016/S0140-811 6736(17)32366-8, 2017. 812

Glasius, M. and Goldstein, A. H.: Recent Discoveries and Future Challenges in Atmospheric Organic
Chemistry, Environmental Science & Technology, 50(6), 2754–2764, doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b05105, 2016.

Goldstein, A. H. and Galbally, I. E.: Known and Unexplored Organic Constituents in the Earth's Atmosphere,
Environmental Science & Technology, 41(5), 1514–1521, doi:10.1021/es072476p, 2007.

Graus, M., Müller, M. and Hansel, A.: High Resolution PTR-TOF: Quantification and Formula Confirmation
of VOC in Real Time, Journal of the American Society for Mass Spectrometry, 21(6), 1037–1044,
doi:10.1016/j.jasms.2010.02.006, 2010.

Hallquist, M., Wenger, J. C., Baltensperger, U., Rudich, Y., Simpson, D., Claeys, M., Dommen, J., Donahue,
N. M., George, C., Goldstein, A. H., Hamilton, J. F., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T., Iinuma, Y., Jang, M.,
Jenkin, M. E., Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Maenhaut, W., McFiggans, G., Mentel, T. F., Monod, A.,
Prévôt, A. S. H., Seinfeld, J. H., Surratt, J. D., Szmigielski, R. and Wildt, J.: The formation, properties and
impact of secondary organic aerosol: current and emerging issues, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9(14),
5155–5236, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5155-2009, 2009.

Hansel, A., Scholz, W., Mentler, B., Fischer, L. and Berndt, T.: Detection of RO 2 radicals and other products
from cyclohexene ozonolysis with NH 4 + and acetate chemical ionization mass spectrometry, Atmospheric
Environment, 186, 248–255, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.04.023, 2018.

Heinritzi, M., Simon, M., Steiner, G., Wagner, A. C., Kürten, A., Hansel, A. and Curtius, J.: Characterization
of the mass-dependent transmission efficiency of a CIMS, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 9(4), 1449–
1460, doi:10.5194/amt-9-1449-2016, 2016.

Hyttinen, N., Kupiainen-Määttä, O., Rissanen, M. P., Muuronen, M., Ehn, M. and Kurtén, T.: Modeling the
Charging of Highly Oxidized Cyclohexene Ozonolysis Products Using Nitrate-Based Chemical Ionization,
The Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 119(24), 6339–6345, doi:10.1021/acs.jpca.5b01818, 2015.

Hyttinen, N., Otkjær, R. V., Iyer, S., Kjaergaard, H. G., Rissanen, M. P., Wennberg, P. O. and Kurtén, T.:
Computational Comparison of Different Reagent Ions in the Chemical Ionization of Oxidized Multifunctional
Compounds, The Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 122(1), 269–279, doi:10.1021/acs.jpca.7b10015, 2018.

838 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Ed.: Climate Change 2013 - The Physical Science Basis:

Working Group I Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
 Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge., 2014.

Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Massoli, P., O'Brien, R. E., Nowak, J. B., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop,
D. R., Su, L., Knopf, D. A., Misztal, P. K., Arata, C., Goldstein, A. H. and Kroll, J. H.: Using advanced mass

- spectrometry techniques to fully characterize atmospheric organic carbon: current capabilities and remaining
 gaps, Faraday Discussions, 200, 579–598, doi:10.1039/C7FD00021A, 2017.
- Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Massoli, P., O'Brien, R., Lim, C., Franklin, J. P., Moss, J. A., Hunter, J. F., Nowak,
 J. B., Canagaratna, M. R., Misztal, P. K., Arata, C., Roscioli, J. R., Herndon, S. T., Onasch, T. B., Lambe, A.
 T., Jayne, J. T., Su, L., Knopf, D. A., Goldstein, A. H., Worsnop, D. R. and Kroll, J. H.: Chemical evolution
 of atmospheric organic carbon over multiple generations of oxidation, Nature Chemistry, 10(4), 462–468,
 doi:10.1038/s41557-018-0002-2, 2018.
- Iyer, S., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Lee, B. H., Thornton, J. A. and Kurtén, T.: Modeling the Detection of Organic and
 Inorganic Compounds Using Iodide-Based Chemical Ionization, The Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 120(4),
 576–587, doi:10.1021/acs.jpca.5b09837, 2016.
- Iyer, S., He, X., Hyttinen, N., Kurtén, T. and Rissanen, M. P.: Computational and Experimental Investigation
 of the Detection of HO ₂ Radical and the Products of Its Reaction with Cyclohexene Ozonolysis Derived RO
 Radicals by an Iodide-Based Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometer, The Journal of Physical Chemistry A,
 121(36), 6778–6789, doi:10.1021/acs.jpca.7b01588, 2017.
- 857 Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Donahue, N. M., Prevot, A. S. H., Zhang, Q., Kroll, J. H., DeCarlo, P. F., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ng, N. L., Aiken, A. C., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M., Grieshop, A. P., Robinson, A. 858 L., Duplissy, J., Smith, J. D., Wilson, K. R., Lanz, V. A., Hueglin, C., Sun, Y. L., Tian, J., Laaksonen, A., 859 860 Raatikainen, T., Rautiainen, J., Vaattovaara, P., Ehn, M., Kulmala, M., Tomlinson, J. M., Collins, D. R., Cubison, M. J., E., Dunlea, J., Huffman, J. A., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Williams, P. I., Bower, K., Kondo, 861 Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Salcedo, D., Cottrell, L., Griffin, 862 863 R., Takami, A., Miyoshi, T., Hatakeyama, S., Shimono, A., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., Dzepina, K., Kimmel, J. 864 R., Sueper, D., Jayne, J. T., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, A. M., Williams, L. R., Wood, E. C., Middlebrook, A. M., Kolb, C. E., Baltensperger, U. and Worsnop, D. R.: Evolution of Organic Aerosols in the Atmosphere, 865 866 Science, 326(5959), 1525–1529, doi:10.1126/science.1180353, 2009.
- Jokinen, T., Sipilä, M., Junninen, H., Ehn, M., Lönn, G., Hakala, J., Petäjä, T., Mauldin, R. L., Kulmala, M.
 and Worsnop, D. R.: Atmospheric sulphuric acid and neutral cluster measurements using CI-APi-TOF,
 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12(9), 4117–4125, doi:10.5194/acp-12-4117-2012, 2012.
- Jokinen, T., Sipilä, M., Richters, S., Kerminen, V.-M., Paasonen, P., Stratmann, F., Worsnop, D., Kulmala,
 M., Ehn, M., Herrmann, H. and Berndt, T.: Rapid Autoxidation Forms Highly Oxidized RO 2 Radicals in the
 Atmosphere, Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 53(52), 14596–14600, doi:10.1002/anie.201408566,
 2014.
- Jokinen, T., Berndt, T., Makkonen, R., Kerminen, V.-M., Junninen, H., Paasonen, P., Stratmann, F., Herrmann,
 H., Guenther, A. B., Worsnop, D. R., Kulmala, M., Ehn, M. and Sipilä, M.: Production of extremely low
 volatile organic compounds from biogenic emissions: Measured yields and atmospheric implications,
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112(23), 7123–7128, doi:10.1073/pnas.1423977112, 2015.
- Jordan, A., Haidacher, S., Hanel, G., Hartungen, E., Märk, L., Seehauser, H., Schottkowsky, R., Sulzer, P. and
 Märk, T. D.: A high resolution and high sensitivity proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer
 (PTR-TOF-MS), International Journal of Mass Spectrometry, 286(2), 122–128,
 doi:10.1016/j.ijms.2009.07.005, 2009.
- Junninen, H., Ehn, M., Petäjä, T., Luosujärvi, L., Kotiaho, T., Kostiainen, R., Rohner, U., Gonin, M., Fuhrer,
 K., Kulmala, M. and Worsnop, D. R.: A high-resolution mass spectrometer to measure atmospheric ion
 composition, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 3(4), 1039–1053, doi:10.5194/amt-3-1039-2010, 2010.
- Kelly, J. M., Doherty, R. M., O'Connor, F. M. and Mann, G. W.: The impact of biogenic, anthropogenic, and biomass burning volatile organic compound emissions on regional and seasonal variations in secondary organic aerosol, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18(10), 7393–7422, doi:10.5194/acp-18-

888 7393-2018, 2018.

- Kirkby, J., Duplissy, J., Sengupta, K., Frege, C., Gordon, H., Williamson, C., Heinritzi, M., Simon, M., Yan,
- 890 C., Almeida, J., Tröstl, J., Nieminen, T., Ortega, I. K., Wagner, R., Adamov, A., Amorim, A., Bernhammer,
- 891 A.-K., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., Brilke, S., Chen, X., Craven, J., Dias, A., Ehrhart, S., Flagan, R. C.,
- Franchin, A., Fuchs, C., Guida, R., Hakala, J., Hoyle, C. R., Jokinen, T., Junninen, H., Kangasluoma, J., Kim,
- J., Krapf, M., Kürten, A., Laaksonen, A., Lehtipalo, K., Makhmutov, V., Mathot, S., Molteni, U., Onnela, A.,
 Peräkylä, O., Piel, F., Petäjä, T., Praplan, A. P., Pringle, K., Rap, A., Richards, N. A. D., Riipinen, I., Rissanen,
- M. P., Rondo, L., Sarnela, N., Schobesberger, S., Scott, C. E., Seinfeld, J. H., Sipilä, M., Steiner, G., Stozhkov,
- 896 Y., Stratmann, F., Tomé, A., Virtanen, A., Vogel, A. L., Wagner, A. C., Wagner, P. E., Weingartner, E.,
- 897 Wimmer, D., Winkler, P. M., Ye, P., Zhang, X., Hansel, A., Dommen, J., Donahue, N. M., Worsnop, D. R.,
- Baltensperger, U., Kulmala, M., Carslaw, K. S. and Curtius, J.: Ion-induced nucleation of pure biogenic
- 899 particles, Nature, 533(7604), 521–526, doi:10.1038/nature17953, 2016.
- Krechmer, J., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Koss, A., Hutterli, M., Stoermer, C., Deming, B., Kimmel, J., Warneke, C.,
 Holzinger, R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., Fuhrer, K., Gonin, M. and de Gouw, J. A.: Evaluation of a New
 Reagent-Ion Source and Focusing Ion-Molecule Reactor for use in Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass
 Spectrometry, Analytical Chemistry, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.8b02641, 2018.
- Kürten, A., Rondo, L., Ehrhart, S. and Curtius, J.: Calibration of a Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometer for
 the Measurement of Gaseous Sulfuric Acid, The Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 116(24), 6375–6386,
 doi:10.1021/jp212123n, 2012.
- Lee, A., Goldstein, A. H., Keywood, M. D., Gao, S., Varutbangkul, V., Bahreini, R., Ng, N. L., Flagan, R. C.
 and Seinfeld, J. H.: Gas-phase products and secondary aerosol yields from the ozonolysis of ten different terpenes, Journal of Geophysical Research, 111(D7), doi:10.1029/2005JD006437, 2006.
- Lee, B. H., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Mohr, C., Kurtén, T., Worsnop, D. R. and Thornton, J. A.: An Iodide-Adduct
 High-Resolution Time-of-Flight Chemical-Ionization Mass Spectrometer: Application to Atmospheric
 Inorganic and Organic Compounds, Environmental Science & Technology, 48(11), 6309–6317,
 doi:10.1021/es500362a, 2014.
- Lee, B. H., Mohr, C., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Lutz, A., Hallquist, M., Lee, L., Romer, P., Cohen, R. C., Iyer, S.,
 Kurtén, T., Hu, W., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Jimenez, J. L., Xu, L., Ng, N. L., Guo, H., Weber, R. J.,
 Wild, R. J., Brown, S. S., Koss, A., de Gouw, J., Olson, K., Goldstein, A. H., Seco, R., Kim, S., McAvey, K.,
 Shepson, P. B., Starn, T., Baumann, K., Edgerton, E. S., Liu, J., Shilling, J. E., Miller, D. O., Brune, W.,
 Schobesberger, S., D'Ambro, E. L. and Thornton, J. A.: Highly functionalized organic nitrates in the southeast
 United States: Contribution to secondary organic aerosol and reactive nitrogen budgets, Proceedings of the
 National Academy of Sciences, 113(6), 1516–1521, doi:10.1073/pnas.1508108113, 2016.
- Li, X., Chee, S., Hao, J., Abbatt, J. P. D., Jiang, J. and Smith, J. N.: Relative Humidity Effect on the Formation
 of Highly Oxidized Molecules and New Particles during Monoterpene Oxidation, Atmospheric Chemistry and
 Physics Discussions, 1–26, doi:10.5194/acp-2018-898, 2018.
- Lindinger, W., Hansel, A. and Jordan, A.: On-line monitoring of volatile organic compounds at pptv levels by
 means of proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry (PTR-MS) medical applications, food control and
 environmental research, International Journal of Mass Spectrometry and Ion Processes, 173(3), 191–241,
 doi:10.1016/S0168-1176(97)00281-4, 1998.
- Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Iyer, S., Mohr, C., Lee, B. H., D' Ambro, E. L., Kurtén, T. and Thornton, J. A.:
 Constraining the sensitivity of iodide adduct chemical ionization mass spectrometry to multifunctional organic
 molecules using the collision limit and thermodynamic stability of iodide ion adducts, Atmospheric
 Measurement Techniques, 9(4), 1505–1512, doi:10.5194/amt-9-1505-2016, 2016.
- 932 Mohr, C., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Yli-Juuti, T., Heitto, A., Lutz, A., Hallquist, M., D'Ambro, E. L., Rissanen,

- M. P., Hao, L., Schobesberger, S., Kulmala, M., Mauldin, R. L., Makkonen, U., Sipilä, M., Petäjä, T. and
 Thornton, J. A.: Ambient observations of dimers from terpene oxidation in the gas phase: Implications for new
 particle formation and growth: Ambient Observations of Gas-Phase Dimers, Geophysical Research Letters,
 44(6), 2958–2966, doi:10.1002/2017GL072718, 2017.
- Pagonis, D., Krechmer, J. E., de Gouw, J., Jimenez, J. L. and Ziemann, P. J.: Effects of gas-wall partitioning
 in Teflon tubing and instrumentation on time-resolved measurements of gas-phase organic compounds,
 Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 10(12), 4687–4696, doi:10.5194/amt-10-4687-2017, 2017.
- Riva, M., Budisulistiorini, S. H., Zhang, Z., Gold, A., Thornton, J. A., Turpin, B. J. and Surratt, J. D.:
 Multiphase reactivity of gaseous hydroperoxide oligomers produced from isoprene ozonolysis in the presence of acidified aerosols, Atmospheric Environment, 152, 314–322, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.12.040, 2017.
- Schallhart, S., Rantala, P., Nemitz, E., Taipale, D., Tillmann, R., Mentel, T. F., Loubet, B., Gerosa, G., Finco,
 A., Rinne, J. and Ruuskanen, T. M.: Characterization of total ecosystem-scale biogenic VOC exchange at a
 Mediterranean oak-hornbeam forest, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16(11), 7171–7194,
 doi:10.5194/acp-16-7171-2016, 2016.
- 947 Schobesberger, S., Junninen, H., Bianchi, F., Lonn, G., Ehn, M., Lehtipalo, K., Dommen, J., Ehrhart, S., Ortega, I. K., Franchin, A., Nieminen, T., Riccobono, F., Hutterli, M., Duplissy, J., Almeida, J., Amorim, A., 948 949 Breitenlechner, M., Downard, A. J., Dunne, E. M., Flagan, R. C., Kajos, M., Keskinen, H., Kirkby, J., Kupc, 950 A., Kurten, A., Kurten, T., Laaksonen, A., Mathot, S., Onnela, A., Praplan, A. P., Rondo, L., Santos, F. D., Schallhart, S., Schnitzhofer, R., Sipila, M., Tome, A., Tsagkogeorgas, G., Vehkamaki, H., Wimmer, D., 951 952 Baltensperger, U., Carslaw, K. S., Curtius, J., Hansel, A., Petaja, T., Kulmala, M., Donahue, N. M. and 953 Worsnop, D. R.: Molecular understanding of atmospheric particle formation from sulfuric acid and large oxidized organic molecules, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110(43), 17223–17228, 954 doi:10.1073/pnas.1306973110, 2013. 955
- Stark, H., Yatavelli, R. L. N., Thompson, S. L., Kimmel, J. R., Cubison, M. J., Chhabra, P. S., Canagaratna,
 M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R. and Jimenez, J. L.: Methods to extract molecular and bulk chemical
 information from series of complex mass spectra with limited mass resolution, International Journal of Mass
 Spectrometry, 389, 26–38, doi:10.1016/j.ijms.2015.08.011, 2015.
- Stark, H., Yatavelli, R. L. N., Thompson, S. L., Kang, H., Krechmer, J. E., Kimmel, J. R., Palm, B. B., Hu,
 W., Hayes, P. L., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R. and
 Jimenez, J. L.: Impact of Thermal Decomposition on Thermal Desorption Instruments: Advantage of
 Thermogram Analysis for Quantifying Volatility Distributions of Organic Species, Environmental Science &
 Technology, 51(15), 8491–8500, doi:10.1021/acs.est.7b00160, 2017.
- Tröstl, J., Chuang, W. K., Gordon, H., Heinritzi, M., Yan, C., Molteni, U., Ahlm, L., Frege, C., Bianchi, F., 965 966 Wagner, R., Simon, M., Lehtipalo, K., Williamson, C., Craven, J. S., Duplissy, J., Adamov, A., Almeida, J., Bernhammer, A.-K., Breitenlechner, M., Brilke, S., Dias, A., Ehrhart, S., Flagan, R. C., Franchin, A., Fuchs, 967 968 C., Guida, R., Gysel, M., Hansel, A., Hoyle, C. R., Jokinen, T., Junninen, H., Kangasluoma, J., Keskinen, H., 969 Kim, J., Krapf, M., Kürten, A., Laaksonen, A., Lawler, M., Leiminger, M., Mathot, S., Möhler, O., Nieminen, 970 T., Onnela, A., Petäjä, T., Piel, F. M., Miettinen, P., Rissanen, M. P., Rondo, L., Sarnela, N., Schobesberger, 971 S., Sengupta, K., Sipilä, M., Smith, J. N., Steiner, G., Tomè, A., Virtanen, A., Wagner, A. C., Weingartner, E., Wimmer, D., Winkler, P. M., Ye, P., Carslaw, K. S., Curtius, J., Dommen, J., Kirkby, J., Kulmala, M., 972 973 Riipinen, I., Worsnop, D. R., Donahue, N. M. and Baltensperger, U.: The role of low-volatility organic 974 compounds in initial particle growth in the atmosphere, Nature, 533(7604), 527-531. 975 doi:10.1038/nature18271, 2016.
- Twomey, S.: The Influence of Pollution on the Shortwave Albedo of Clouds, Journal of the Atmospheric
 Sciences, 34(7), 1149–1152, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1977)034<1149:TIOPOT>2.0.CO;2, 1977.
- 978 Wang, S., Riva, M., Yan, C., Ehn, M. and Wang, L.: Primary Formation of Highly Oxidized Multifunctional

- 979 Products in the OH-Initiated Oxidation of Isoprene. A Combined Theoretical and Experimental Study,
 980 Environmental Science & Technology, doi:10.1021/acs.est.8b02783, 2018.
- Yuan, B., Koss, A. R., Warneke, C., Coggon, M., Sekimoto, K. and de Gouw, J. A.: Proton-Transfer-Reaction
 Mass Spectrometry: Applications in Atmospheric Sciences, Chemical Reviews, 117(21), 13187–13229,
 doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00325, 2017.
- 984 Ziemann, P. J. and Atkinson, R.: Kinetics, products, and mechanisms of secondary organic aerosol formation,
- 985 Chemical Society Reviews, 41(19), 6582, doi:10.1039/c2cs35122f, 2012.