Response to reviewer 1 comments

We would like to thank the reviewer for the positive reception of our work and constructive comments that helped us to improve our manuscript. In this document we provide our replies to the reviewer's comments. Page and line numbers in the responses correspond to those in the AMTD paper.

1. *P5, L15-16. The authors stated that organic compounds with lower sensitivities under dry conditions exhibit a stronger humidity dependence and that higher molecular weight molecules show a weaker humidity dependence. It would be interesting to plot the sensitivity of calibrated compounds versus their molecular weight to check if there is a clear relationship between sensitivity and molecular weight. It is also interesting to check if there is a connection (relationship) between water dependence and V_{50} or $KE_{cm\ 50}$ values for OVOCs.*

   On average, heavier molecules are detected at the higher sensitivity, however the connection between the sensitivity of calibrated compounds and their molecular weights is relatively weak ($R^2 = 0.35$) as shown in Fig. S5.

   We include the following sentence to the manuscript (P5 L9):

   "There is no strong correlation between the sensitivity to the calibrated compounds and their molecular weight ($R^2 = 0.35$, Fig. S5)."

   We include the following figure in the Supporting Information (Fig. S5, SI P7):

   Figure S5: The relationship between the measured sensitivity for calibrated compounds and their molecular weight.

   Similarly, more stable NH_4^+-VOC clusters (the ones that have higher $KE_{cm\ 50}$) show slightly smaller sensitivity dependence on RH, but this connection is also relatively weak ($R^2 = 0.29$) as shown in Fig. S6.

   We modify the last two sentences of the section 3 of the manuscript (P5 L15):

"~~Generally, a stronger humidity dependence is observed for components with lower sensitivities at fry conditions. Higher molecular weight molecules have weaker humidity dependence.~~ Humidity dependence of sensitivity does not show a strong correlation to cluster stability, as quantified by $KE_{50\ cm}$ ($R^2 = 0.29$, Fig. S6). In addition, correlation between humidity dependence of sensitivity and polarity of analyte molecules is relatively weak ($R^2 = 0.31$)."

We include the following figure in the Supporting Information (Fig. S6, SI P8):

Figure S6: The relationship between the NH_4^+-CIMS sensitivity dependence on RH and $KE_{cm\ 50}$. Data points are color-coded using the permanent dipole moment of the species.

2. ***P5, L18. Are there any evidence for protonated ions of organic molecules, especially for aerosol samples?***
There are several molecules that were observed as protonated ions as well as ammonia-organic clusters. Some of these molecules, detected in the particle phase, are shown in Fig. R3.

Figure R3: Peak intensities for molecules detected as ammonia-organic clusters as well as protonated ions.

This plot contains five molecules each of which was detected as a protonated ion (i.e., $C_6H_8O \cdot H^+$) and an ammonia-organic cluster (i.e., $C_6H_8O \cdot NH_4^+$).

We include the following discussion to the manuscript (P4 L29):

"Most organic molecules are detected as ammonium-organic clusters $NH_4^+ \cdot VOC$ with a few exceptions for which protonated ions $VOC \cdot H^+$ are also observed. The protonated ions could be produced through proton switching reaction either from $H_3O^+ \cdot (H_2O)_n$ or NH_4^+. However, for all of these molecules the intensity of the ammonia-organic cluster is at least one order of magnitude higher than the intensity of the corresponding protonated ion."

3. *P6, L25-28. What are the RH conditions for the measurements presented in Fig. 4? Is the relationship between the $KE_{cm\ 50}$ and sensitivity of calibrated compounds probed under varying RH conditions? Given that the sensitivity of NH_4^+-CIMS greatly depends on RH (Fig 2), the relationship between the $KE_{cm\ 50}$ and sensitivity may also be RH dependent. This issue merits additional discussions in the manuscript, as it determines whether the relationship obtained at a certain RH can be applied to measurements performed at a different RH.*

We modify the following sentence by adding the RH conditions for the measurements presented in Fig. 4 (P6 L25):

"Fig. 4 shows the relationship between the calculated kinetic energy $KE_{cm\ 50}$ and measured sensitivity for 16 calibrated compounds at 10% RH and 20 °C."

We include the following discussion of the dependence of the relationship between $KE_{cm\ 50}$ and sensitivity on RH (P7 L4):

"As shown in Fig. 2, the sensitivity of NH_4^+-CIMS to many calibrated compounds is RH dependent, thus we observe that the relationship between the calibrated kinetic energy $KE_{cm\ 50}$ and the measured sensitivity also depends on the humidity of the sampled air (Fig. S7). Therefore, the values of the collisional limit and other calculated sensitivities reported herein are unique to the instrument setup (i.e., pressures and voltages in the reaction chamber) and vary with the humidity of the sampled air."

We include the following figure in the Supporting Information (Fig. S7, SI P9):


Figure S7: The relationship between calculated kinetic energy of the ammonium-organic clusters $KE_{cm\ 50}$ and measured sensitivity to calibrated compounds obtained at different RH of the sampled air.

4. *It is not clear how the reaction time in the reaction chamber was determined based on the sensitivities observed in H_3O^+ mode. An elaboration about this should be included in the manuscript.*

We modify the description of the method of calculating the kinetic sensitivity and include the following description of the procedure used to estimate the reaction time in the reaction chamber (P6 L31):

"We calculate this limit by using experimentally-determined pressure and reaction time in the reaction chamber, and kinetic limit of ion-molecule reaction rate. We estimate the reaction time in the reaction chamber using the instrument sensitivity to specific compounds in the H_3O^+ mode. For polar compounds with proton affinity much higher than of water (i.e., acetone), we can assume that reverse proton transfer reactions do not occur. In this case, the instrument sensitivity to those compounds is given by (Lindinger et al., 1997):

$$\frac{i(\text{RH}^+)}{[\text{R}]} = i_{primary} \cdot k \cdot t_{react} \cdot \frac{p_{react}}{1013 \text{ mbar}} \tag{9}$$

where $\frac{i(\text{RH}^+)}{[\text{R}]}$ is the component sensitivity, $i_{primary}$ is the primary ion current, k is the rate constant for the proton-transfer reaction (e.g., k=3.6·10^{-9} cm^3 s^{-1} for acetone, Cappellin et al., 2012) , t_{react} and p_{react} are the reaction time and pressure in the reaction chamber, respectively. By measuring the instrument sensitivity to acetone in the H_3O^+ mode, we estimate t_{react} to be 3 ms."

5. *P7, L2-4. Again, is there a RH dependence of the kinetic sensitivity or the maximum sensitivity of NH$_4^+$-CIMS?*

We include the following discussion of the dependence of the relationship between $KE_{cm\ 50}$ and sensitivity on RH (P7 L4):

"As shown in Fig. 2, the sensitivity of NH$_4^+$-CIMS to many calibrated compounds is RH dependent, thus we observe that the relationship between the calibrated kinetic energy $KE_{cm\ 50}$ and measured sensitivity also depends on the humidity of the sampled air (Fig. S7). Therefore, the values of the collisional limit and other calculated sensitivities reported herein are unique to the instrument setup (i.e., pressures and voltages in the reaction chamber) and to the humidity of the sampled air."

6. *P7, L20. Can the sensitivity of H$_3$O$^+$ reagent ions to acetone represent the sensitivities to other OVOCs? Did the authors make any measurements and comparisons of sensitivities in H$_3$O$^+$ mode for different calibration compounds as listed in Table 1?*

We include to the following discussion about the instrument sensitivity in the H_3O^+ mode (P7 L20):

"Breitenlechner et al. (2017) showed that due to the enhanced reaction time and the increased pressure in the reaction chamber the equilibrium between the forward and reverse proton reactions can be achieved. Hence, many compounds require careful calibration over a broad humidity range. Since PTR3 has the highest detected sensitivity to ketones, we use the acetone sensitivity to calculate the lower limit concentration of OVOCs."

7. *P7, L24-26. Similar or relevant observations about the sensitivity and selectivity of NH$_4^+$ and H$_3$O$^+$ reagent ions have been reported in previous studies. For example, Aljawhary et al. (2013) showed that (H$_2$O)$_n$H$^+$ reagent ions are more selective to organic compounds with lower oxygen content. Zhao et al. (2017) showed that NH$_4^+$ reagent ions are sensitive to a wide range of oxidized organic compounds including highly oxygenated and higher molecular weight*

molecules formed from ozonolysis of alpha-pinene. The findings in these studies should be discussed in relation to the current work.

The following discussion is included in the manuscript (P7 L28):

"Similar observations about the selectivity of NH_4^+-CIMS and PTR-MS have been reported in the previous studies. Aljawhary et al. (2013) showed that $H_3O^+\cdot(H_2O)_n$ primary ions are more selective to the detection of less oxidized water-soluble organic compounds (WSOC) extracted from alpha-pinene SOA comparing to acetate $CH_3C(O)O^-$ and iodide water clusters $I^-\cdot(H_2O)_n$ used as primary ions. Zhao et al. (2017) demonstrated that multiple positive reagent ions (NH_4^+, Li^+, Na^+, K^+) have higher selectivity to a wide range of highly oxygenated organics with higher molecular weights formed from ozonolysis of alpha-pinene, while negative reagent ions (I^- and NO_3^-) are more selective towards smaller species (e.g., CH_2O_2, CH_2O_3, $C_2H_2O_3$, and $C_2H_4O_3$)."

8. ***P8, L7. Since the authors never discuss the third ion source in the manuscript, I would suggest removing the statement "the instrument is equipped with three corona discharge ion sources".***

We change the sentence as suggested (P8 L7):

"The instrument can be operated in both NH_4^+ and H_3O^+ modes as NH_4^+-CIMS and PTR-MS, respectively, while switching between the two modes can be done within two minutes."

9. ***Table 1. The detection limit is usually defined as the concentration that gives rise to a signal of 3 sigma. I wonder why the authors use 2 sigma.***

We replace 2σ-LOD by 3σ-LOD in Table 1:

Species	Ion formula	m/z	Sensitivity		3σ-LOD [pptv] (1s)	V_{50} [V]	$KE_{cm\,50}$ [eV]
			[cps/ppb]	[dcps/ppb]			
methanol	$CH_4ONH_4^+$	50.06	59	83	93	27.8	0.091
acetonitrile	$C_2H_3NNH_4^+$	59.0604	9700	12600	9	34.5	0.120
acetone	$C_3H_6ONH_4^+$	76.0757	21400	24600	2.75	36.4	0.129
acetic acid	$C_2H_4O_2NH_4^+$	78.055	1890	2140	99	31.4	0.105
isopropanol	$C_3H_8ONH_4^+$	78.0913	1100	1240	23	36.5	0.131
MVK	$C_4H_6ONH_4^+$	88.0757	27900	29700	20	36.9	0.131
MEK	$C_4H_8ONH_4^+$	90.0913	39300	41400	8	37.8	0.136
hydroxyacetone	$C_3H_6O_2NH_4^+$	92.0706	17600	18300	14	35.8	0.126
furanone	$C_4H_4O_2NH_4^+$	102.055	64000	63400	57	40.3	0.149
biacetyl	$C_4H_6O_2NH_4^+$	104.0706	3490	3420	35	36.6	0.130
pyruvic acid	$C_3H_4O_3NH_4^+$	106.0499	1650	1600	53	34.8	0.122
angelica lactone	$C_5H_6O_2NH_4^+$	116.0706	65500	60800	0.86	39.6	0.145
hexanone	$C_6H_{12}ONH_4^+$	118.1226	59000	54300	8	41.5	0.155
benzaldehyde	$C_7H_6ONH_4^+$	124.0757	43200	38800	2.03	36.7	0.130
heptanol	$C_7H_{16}ONH_4^+$	134.1539	12150	10500	2.28	39.5	0.144
decanone	$C_{10}H_{20}ONH_4^+$	174.1852	89400	67800	2.49	47.1	0.189

Table 1: Sensitivities and detection limits of NH_4^+-CIMS for various VOC species; voltage (V_{50}) and corresponding kinetic energy ($KE_{cm\,50}$) at which half of the ions have dissociated.

Response to reviewer 2 comments

We would like to thank the reviewer for the positive reception of our work and constructive comments that helped us to improve our manuscript. In this document we provide our replies to the reviewer's comments. Page and line numbers in the responses correspond to those in the AMTD paper.

1. *Page 4, Line 7: How sensitive is the reagent ion intensity to the position of the corona needle?*

   The position of the corona needle was chosen to obtain the maximum current of $NH_4^+ \cdot (H_2O)_n$ primary ions. Additional sensitivity tests have not been conducted.

2. *Page 4, Line 25: Is the 180 C for the air temperature of the thermal desorption region? Is this temperature prone to decompose labile molecules?*

   We add the following sentence to the manuscript (P4 L25):

   "For more details see the Supporting Information."

   We include the following discussion in the Supporting Information (P S1):

   "In order to find the optimal temperature for the thermal desorption unit (TDU), we conduct a series of experiments with ammonia sulfate seeds coated with alpha-pinene ozonolysis products. We monitor the particle concentration after the thermal desorption unit using Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer Spectrometer (SMPS, TSI Incorporated) while increasing the temperature of TDU. The results are presented on Fig S1 below. The majority of particles is evaporated at temperatures above 140°C.
   We study thermal decomposition of OVOCs extracted from alpha-pinene SOA by measuring their peak intensities using NH_4^+-CIMS. Signals of many species increase at moderate temperatures ($T < 160$°C) and level out or decrease at higher temperatures ($T > 180$°C), as shown in Fig S2. Therefore, we choose the TDU temperature to be 180°C, as at this temperature all particles are evaporated while thermal decomposition of labile species is relatively small.


Figure S1: (a) Particle distribution measured by SMPS as a function of temperature of the thermal desorption unit of the NH_4^+-CIMS; (b) Percentage of particles evaporated in the thermal desorption unit as a function of temperature of the unit.

Figure S2: Thermograms of selected alpha-pinene ozonolysis SOA.

3. ***Page 4, Line 26: How sensitive is the distribution of reagent ions in the NH₄⁺ mode to the concentration of the ammonium hydroxide aqueous solution?***

We include the following discussion to the manuscript (P4 L8):

"For our setup, the concentration of the ammonium hydroxide aqueous solution of approximately 10% leads to an optimal $NH_4^+ \cdot (H_2O)_n$ primary ion signal with moderate impurities (Fig. S4). At smaller concentrations, excessive $H_3O^+ \cdot (H_2O)_n$ primary ions are produced, while at higher concentrations $NH_4^+ \cdot (NH_3)$ becomes more prominent."

4. ***Page 5, Line 15: This is not exactly the case, as methanol exhibits relatively weaker dependence on humidity than pyruvic acid, biacetyl, and acetone. Is the humidity dependence related to the polarity of the analyte?***

Connection between the dipole moment and the sensitivity dependence on RH is relatively weak (R^2=0.31).

We modify the last two sentences of the section 3 of the manuscript (P5 L15):

"~~Generally, a stronger humidity dependence is observed for components with lower sensitivities at dry conditions. Higher molecular weight molecules have weaker humidity dependence.~~ Humidity dependence of sensitivity does not show a strong correlation to cluster stability, as quantified by $KE_{50\,cm}$ ($R^2 = 0.29$, Fig. S6). In addition, correlation between humidity dependence of sensitivity and polarity of analyte molecules is relatively weak ($R^2 = 0.31$)."

We include the following figure in the Supporting Information (Fig. S6, SI P8):

Figure S6: The relationship between the NH_4^+-CIMS sensitivity dependence on RH and $KE_{cm\,50}$. Data points are color-coded using the permanent dipole moment of the species.

5. ***Page 5, Line 21: Increasing the analyte and reagent reaction time usually enhances the instrument sensitivity, which may not be simply the case for this study, as it could possibly promotes the reverse ligand-switching reactions. Do the authors have any idea on the ideal reaction time in the ionization chamber?***

The product of the reaction time and the pressure in the reaction chamber defines the maximum volume mixing ratio of all VOCs which can be measured without depleting the primary ions (for a given ion-molecule reaction rate, e.g., $k=3\cdot10^{-9}$ cm^3 s^{-1}). The instrument presented in this manuscript is designed for detecting the total VOC volume mixing ratio of 50 ppbv without significant depletion of primary ions.

The following description of how the reaction time was estimated is included in the paper (P6 L31):

"We calculate this limit by using experimentally-determined pressure and reaction time in the reaction chamber, and kinetic limit of ion-molecule reaction rate. We estimate the reaction time in the reaction chamber using the instrument sensitivity to specific compounds in the H_3O^+ mode. For polar compounds with proton affinity much higher than of water (i.e., acetone), we can assume that reverse proton transfer reactions do not occur. In this case, the instrument sensitivity to those compounds is given by (Lindinger et al., 1997):

$$\frac{i(\text{RH}^+)}{[\text{R}]} = i_{primary} \cdot k \cdot t_{react} \cdot \frac{p_{react}}{1013 \text{ mbar}} \tag{9}$$

where $\frac{i(\text{RH}^+)}{[\text{R}]}$ is the component sensitivity, $i_{primary}$ is the primary ion current, k is the rate constant for the proton-transfer reaction (e.g., $k=3.6\cdot10^{-9}$ cm^3 s^{-1} for acetone, Cappellin et al., 2012), t_{react} and p_{react} are the reaction time and pressure in the reaction chamber, respectively.

By measuring the instrument sensitivity to acetone in the H_3O^+ mode, we estimate t_{react} to be 3 ms."

6. ***Page 6, Line 20: For some relatively big molecules such as decanone, their intensities increase with increasing de-clustering voltage. Please explain.***

Increasing the voltage between the ionization region and vacuum region of the mass-spectrometer leads to two opposite effects: 1) the ammonia-organic clusters are better guided to the vacuum region which results in higher transmission efficiency; 2) the clusters start breaking apart due to the increased collisional kinetic energy. Therefore, for very stable clusters we expect their signals to slightly increase at moderate voltages due to the higher transmission efficiency.

7. ***Page 6, Line 30: How did the author take account for the influence of mass-dependent ion transmission through the ion optics on the ion signals?***

In order to compensate for the mass-dependent transmission of the ToF mass-spectrometer, we calculated the instrument sensitivities in duty cycle corrected counts per second (dcps(i) = cps(i)· $\sqrt{100/m_i}$). Retrieved transmission efficiency is shown in Fig R4 (Holzinger et al., 2019):


Figure R4: Retrieved transmission efficiency. The black dots correspond to transmission efficiency of acetone, methyl vinyl ketone, butanone, d3-, d4- and d5-siloxanes.

8. ***Page 7, Line 15: Please provide the full mass spectra of all the ions detected, and compare the mass spectra of species in the gas phase with the particle-phase measurements in terms of peak identity and intensity.***

We include the following sentence to the manuscript (P7 L15):

"High-resolution mass-spectra of 3-methylcatechol oxidation products derived in the NH_4^+-mode in the gas and particle phases are given in Fig S8."

We include the following figure in the Supporting Information (Fig. S8, SI P10):


Figure S8: High-resolution mass-spectra obtained during photooxidation of 3-methylcatechol in (a) gas and (b) particle phases. Highlighted peaks are the ones that are enhanced during the experiment.

Fig R3 shows the mass defects of identified peaks in both gas and particle modes. In the mass defect plot, the blue, red, and yellow open circles represent the products observed in one or both modes and their signal is proportional to the logarithm of the signal intensity of observed clusters. Generally, heavier molecules are detected solely in the particle phase and lighter molecules entirely in the gas phase with the significant overlap in the medium range of masses.

Figure R3: Comparison of mass-defect plots derived in the NH_4^+ mode for the gas and particle phase photooxidation products of 3-methylcatechol. The size of dots is proportional to the logarithm of the signal intensity of the observed clusters.

9. *Page 7, Line 20: This is a bit surprising, as the sensitivities of many species are largely different from that of acetone in PTR. But on the other hand, the comparison of the mixing ratios of organic compounds detected by both modes shows good agreement. Please evaluate uncertainties in applying a single sensitivity derived from acetone to all the OVOCs detected in the experiment.*

We include to the following discussion about the instrument sensitivity in the H_3O^+ mode (P7 L20):

"Breitenlechner et al. (2017) showed that due to the enhanced reaction time and the increased pressure in the reaction chamber the equilibrium between the forward and reverse proton reactions can be achieved. Hence, many compounds require careful calibration over a broad humidity range. Since PTR3 has the highest detected sensitivity to ketones, we use the acetone sensitivity to calculate the lower limit concentration of OVOCs."

10. *Page 7, Line 25: As the NH_4^+ mode is able to detect larger and more functionalized molecules, how did the authors quantify the losses of these molecules in the CIMS inlet?*

Low volatile organic compounds (LVOC) have low saturation vapour pressure such that almost every collision with wall inlet leads to a complete loss. However, the estimates for these losses in the literature have shown significant discrepancy. Breitenlechner et al. (2017) estimated the wall losses for LVOC with more than five oxygens in the PTR3 inlet to be 80% while for VOC with less than five oxygens the wall losses were assumed to be negligible. Hansel et al. (2018) evaluated the wall losses in the CI3-ToF inlet to be 50%. Since we did not have another instrument with calibrated diffusion losses in the inlet (i.e., acetate-CIMS), we did not take into account wall losses of less volatile species in the instrument inlet. It results in underestimation of concentration of these molecules and can be one of the reasons of the difference between AMS and NH_4^+-CIMS signals shown in Fig. 8.

The following sentence is modified (P7 L32):

"This discrepancy can be explained by a combination of the following factors: 1) uncertainties in the sensitivities obtained using the presented technique and in the AMS measurements; 2) thermal fragmentation of organic molecules in the thermal desorption unit which leads to lower observed masses in the mass spectrum; 3) low NH_4^+-CIMS sensitivity to certain compounds of organic aerosols if ligand switching reactions between these molecules and ammonium-water clusters are endothermic (e.g., small organic acids); 4) wall losses of less volatile organic molecules in the NH_4^+-CIMS inlet."

11. *Page 12, Table 1: In addition to alcohols, carbonyls, and acids, is the NH_4^+ mode capable of detecting other species, like peroxides?*

NH_4^+-CIMS is capable of detecting other species such as isoprene hydroxy hydroperoxide (ISOPOOH) and isoprene epoxydiols (IEPOX). Figure R4 shows the mass-spectra obtained during calibration of trans-IEPOX in both modes of the instrument. In the NH_4^+ mode, trans-IEPOX is

detected as $C_5H_{10}O_3 \cdot NH_4^+$ (m/z 136.0974) cluster with very little fragmentation. On the contrary, the signal of the protonated ion $C_5H_{10}O_3 \cdot H^+$ (m/z 119.0708) is relatively small while we observe significant fragmentation. Two most prominent fragments are $C_5H_8O_2 \cdot H^+$ (m/z 101.0603) and $C_4H_8O_2 \cdot H^+$ (m/z 89.0603).


Figure R4: High-resolution mass-spectra obtained during calibration of trans-IEPOX in (a) NH_4^+ and (b) H_3O^+ modes.

References:

Breitenlechner, M., Fischer, M., Hainer, M., Heinritzi, M., Curtius, M., and Hansel, A.: PTR3: An instrument for Studying the Lifecycle of Reactive Organic Carbon in the Atmosphere, Anal. Chem., 89, 5824–5831, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.6bo5110, 2017.

Cappellin, L., Karl,T., Probst, M., Ismailova, O., Winkler, P. M., Soukoulis, C., Aprea, E., Märk, T.D., Gasperi, F., Biasioli, F.: On Quantitative Determination of Volatile Organic Compound Concentrations Using Proton Transfer Reaction Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46 (4), 2283–2290, doi: 10.1021/es203985t, 2012.

Hansel, A., Scholz, W., Mentler, B., Fischer L., and Berndt, T.: Detection of RO2 radicals and other products from cyclohexene ozonolysis with NH4+ and acetate ionization mass spectrometry, Atmos. Env., 186, 248–255, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.04.023, 2018.

Holzinger, R., Acton, W. J. F., Bloss, W. J., Breitenlechner, M., Crilley, L. R., Dusanter, S., Gonin, M., Gros, V., Keutsch, F. N., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Kramer, L. J., Krechmer, J. E., Languille, B., Locoge, N., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Materić, D., Moreno, S., Nemitz, E., Quéléver, L. L. J., Sarda Esteve, R., Sauvage, S., Schallhart, S., Sommariva, R., Tillmann, R., Wedel, S., Worton, D. R., Xu, K., and Zaytsev, A.: Validity and limitations of simple reaction kinetics to calculate concentrations of organic compounds from ion counts in PTR-MS, Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2018-446, in review, 2019.

Using collision-induced dissociation to constrain sensitivity of ammonia chemical ionization mass spectrometry (NH4+-CIMS) to oxygenated volatile organic compounds

Alexander Zaytsev[1], Martin Breitenlechner[1], Abigail R. Koss[2], Christopher Y. Lim[2], James C. Rowe[2], Jesse H. Kroll[2], and Frank N. Keutsch[1,3,4]

[1]John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA,
[2]Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA,
[3]Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA,
[4]Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

Correspondence to: Alexander Zaytsev (zaytsev@g.harvard.edu)

Abstract. Chemical ionization mass spectrometers (CIMS) routinely detect hundreds of oxidized organic compounds in the atmosphere. A major limitation of these instruments is the uncertainty in their sensitivity to many of the detected ions. We describe the development of a new high-resolution time-of-flight chemical ionization mass spectrometer that operates in one of two ionization modes: using either ammonium ion ligand switching reactions as NH_4^+-CIMS or proton transfer reactions as PTR-MS. Switching between the modes can be done within two minutes. The NH_4^+-CIMS mode of the new instrument has sensitivities of up to 67,000 dcps ppbv^{-1} (duty cycle corrected ion counts per second/parts per billion by volume) and detection limits between 1 and 60 pptv at 2σ for a 1s integration time for numerous oxygenated volatile organic compounds. We present a mass spectrometric voltage scanning procedure based on collision-induced dissociation that allows us to determine the stability of ammonium-organic ions detected by the NH_4^+-CIMS. Using this procedure, we can effectively constrain the sensitivity of the ammonia chemical ionization mass-spectrometer to a wide range of detected oxidized volatile organic compounds for which no calibration standards exist. We demonstrate the application of this procedure by quantifying the composition of secondary organic aerosols in a series of laboratory experiments.

1 Introduction

Understanding the photochemical oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOC) in the atmosphere is crucial for estimating their contribution to the formation of secondary organic aerosols (SOA) and tropospheric ozone, key components of photochemical smog (Atkinson, 2000; Shrivastava et al., 2017). Identification and quantification of VOCs have remained an analytical challenge due to the complexity of multigenerational chemical systems and high variability in VOC concentrations in the atmosphere.

Chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS) has become an important analytical tool for measurements of organic molecules in the atmosphere. Reagent ions are typically produced by glow discharge (Hansel et al., 1995) or a radioactive ion-source (Blake et al., 2004). These ions subsequently react with analyte molecules by ligand switching, reactive electron transfer, or proton transfer and form product ions which are later detected by a mass spectrometer. Many modern CIMS instruments use time-of-flight mass spectrometers (ToF-MS) which have high mass resolving power and simultaneous detection of all ions. Some of the benefits of CIMS include high sensitivity, fast time response, linearity, and reproducibility. A variety of reagent ions can be used to detect different classes of VOC. Nitrate ion CIMS has been used to detect highly oxidized organic molecules as well as sulfuric acid (Berresheim et al., 2000; Jokinen et al., 2012). Iodide adduct CIMS and acetate CIMS (both negative ion polarity) have played a key role in the measurement of carboxylic acids (Lee and Lopez-Hilfiker et al., 2014; Bertram et al., 2011). CF_3O^- CIMS has been used to measure specific classes of VOC such as hydroperoxides (Crounse et al., 2006). Protonated water clusters have been used to detect a broad range of chemical compounds containing oxygen, nitrogen and sulfur (Lindinger et al., 1998, Yuan et al., 2017). Recently, two new proton transfer reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometers have been developed: the PTR3 (Breitenlechner et al., 2017) and the VOCUS PTR-TOF (Krechmer et al., 2018). Using H_3O^+ reagent ions, both instruments show sensitivities exceeding 10,000 cps ppbv^{-1} (counts per second/parts per billion by volume) for select compounds. Detection efficiency and sensitivity of CIMS instruments depend critically on both the reagent ion and the measured sample molecule (Hyttinen et al., 2017).

CIMS instruments have been also used for analyzing submicrometer particulate organic matter. Hellen et al. (2008) equipped the inlet of the PTR-MS instrument with a denuder to remove the gas phase organics and a heater to vaporize the aerosol particles. Similarly, Eichler et al. (2015) introduced the CHARON-PTR-ToF-MS setup that transmits particles with a 75-90% efficiency. FIGAERO-HRToF-CIMS (Lopez-Hilfiker et al., 2014) uses a new filter inlet for thermal desorption of ambient submicron particles.

In this work, we describe the use of protonated ammonia molecules (ammonium, NH_4^+) for soft ionization of analyte molecules. Ammonium has been previously used as a CIMS reagent ion. Lindinger et al. (1998) showed that proton transfer reactions can be utilized to softly ionize VOCs yielding product ions VOC·H$^+$:

$$NH_4^+ + VOC \rightarrow VOC \cdot H^+ + NH_3 \tag{1}$$

The proton transfer reaction (1) is exothermic for molecules that have proton affinities higher than of ammonia (854 kJ mol^{-1}) and is therefore more selective than the reaction with traditional hydronium ions as proton donors (proton affinity of water

is 691 kJ mol^{-1}). Blake et al. (2006) showed than numerous VOCs can be detected though an association reaction of analyte molecules with ammonium clusters (NH_4^+ and $NH_4^+ \cdot (NH_3)$):

$$NH_4^+ + VOC + M \rightarrow VOC \cdot (NH_4)^+ + M \qquad (2a)$$

$$NH_4^+ \cdot (NH_3) + VOC + M \rightarrow [VOC \cdot (NH_3) \cdot (NH_4)]^+ + M \qquad (2b)$$

5   where M is a third-body molecule. Shen et al. (2009) used these methods for on-line detection of the explosive triacetone triperoxide (TATP).

Most recently, Hansel et al. (2018) showed that ammonium-water clusters can be utilized for soft ionization of organic compounds via exothermic ligand switching reaction:

$$NH_4^+ \cdot (H_2O) + VOC \rightleftharpoons NH_4^+ \cdot (VOC) + H_2O \qquad (3)$$

10   Hansel et al. used a modified version of the PTR3 instrument (called NH_4^+-CI3-ToF) to detect first generation peroxy radicals and closed-shell products from ozonolysis of cyclohexene and achieved sensitivities of up to 28,000 cps ppbv^{-1} for these compounds. However, the enhanced reaction time and increased pressure (4 ms and 80 mbar comparing to 0.1 ms and 2 mbar for PTR-MS instruments operated under standard conditions, respectively) raise the probability of reverse ligand switching reactions, which make it difficult to estimate sensitivities of the NH_4^+-CI3-ToF to species that cannot be calibrated

15   directly.

In this study, we present a new instrument that is equipped with three similar corona discharge ion sources and currently can be operated in two different modes: (1) ligand switching reactions from adduct ions $NH_4^+ \cdot (H_2O)_n$, (n=0,1,2) (NH_4^+-CIMS) and (2) proton transfer reactions with $H_3O^+ \cdot (H_2O)_n$, (n=0,1) ions (PTR-MS). The instrument is a modified version of the PTR3 with a helical tripole reaction chamber and a long time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Tofwerk AG, Switzerland), and it

20   can be used for measurements of organic molecules in both gas and particle phases. Here we discuss the performance of the new instrument and compare the two detection modes. We demonstrate a mass spectrometric voltage scanning procedure which is based on collision-induced dissociation that allows for the determination of the stability of detected ammonium-organic clusters. With this technique, we can experimentally estimate sensitivities of the NH_4^+-CIMS to the vast array of oxygenated organic compounds without their direct calibration in a matter of minutes. Finally, we present how this

25   procedure can be applied to the measurement of organic aerosol composition in laboratory experiments.

2 NH_4^+-CIMS instrument description

The instrument developed in this work is based on the PTR3, which is described in detail by Breitenlechner et al. (2017). Here, we summarize the basic operating principle and describe the two major design changes made to the original design. The schematic drawing of the NH$_4$-CIMS instrument is shown in Fig. 1.

30   Reagent ions are generated in a corona discharge region and are extracted using a source drift region as indicated by red arrows in Fig. 1. The reaction chamber uses a tripole electrode configuration and is operated at typical pressures between 50 and 70 mbar. Unlike many other PTR instruments, there is no axial electric field accelerating ions towards the exit of the

reaction chamber. Therefore, the reaction time is exclusively determined by the flow velocity of the sampled gas in the axial direction, leading to a typical reaction time of 3 ms. The LToF mass spectrometer with mass resolution $m/\Delta m$ of up to 8000 allows for the separation of the components with the same nominal mass.

The first major instrument design change consists of replacing the single ion source by three ion sources, one active at a time. Currently, we use two sources: one for producing $H_3O^+ \cdot (H_2O)_n$, (n=0,1) reagent ions (as PTR-MS, called H_3O^+ mode), and another for producing $NH_4^+ \cdot (H_2O)_n$, (n = 0,1,2) reagent ions (as NH_4^+-CIMS, called NH_4^+ mode). $NH_4^+ \cdot (H_2O)_n$ ions are produced in the corona discharge ion source from NH_3 and H_2O. Constant flow (20 sccm) of ammonia and water vapour is added to the ion source region from the head-space of a ~~10%~~ solution of ammonium hydroxide in water. For our setup, the concentration of the ammonium hydroxide aqueous solution of approximately 10% leads to an optimal $NH_4^+ \cdot (H_2O)_n$ primary ion signal with moderate impurities (Fig. S4). At smaller concentrations, excessive $H_3O^+ \cdot (H_2O)_n$ primary ions are produced, while at higher concentrations $NH_4^+ \cdot (NH_3)$ becomes more prominent. Fig. 1 shows the instrument in the NH_4^+ mode with the active ion source on the left, while the two other ion sources (depicted as a single ion source on the right) are inactive. The innermost source drift plate of the active ion source and the innermost source drift plates of both inactive sources generate an electric field perpendicular to the tripole axis. In addition, another component of the electric field is generated parallel to the tripole axis by biasing the electric potential at the secondary orifice relative to the tripole offset potential. Fig. 1 illustrates the resulting electric field in this transfer region. This geometry allows for effective ion guiding from the active ion source to the centre of the reaction tripole chamber. Compared to single-source designs, separate ion sources allow for faster switching between reagent ion species. As shown in Fig. S3, switching from the H_3O^+ mode to the NH_4^+ mode occurs within one minute, while the reverse switching from the NH_4^+ mode to the H_3O^+ mode can be done within two minutes.

The second major design change consists of replacing the straight tripole electrode rods with a helix. Simulations of ion trajectories in the original tripole showed that ions are lost mostly by exiting the device through spaces between the rods, rather than by collisions with the rods themselves, probably due to inhomogeneous effective potentials generated by the tripole radio frequency (RF) fields (Breitenlechner et al., 2017). The helical structure effectively averages these inhomogeneities, increasing the ion transmission efficiency and therefore the overall instrument performance.

The instrument can be used for measurements of organic molecules in both the gas and particle phases. During particle phase measurements, sampled air passes through a gas phase denuder (Ionicon Analytik GmbH, Austria) that removes the gas phase organics and then through a thermal desorption region heated to 180℃ that vaporizes the aerosol particles. For more details see the Supporting Information.

3 NH$_4^+$-CIMS instrument performance

Multiple reagent ions are observed in the mass spectrum of this instrument in the NH_4^+ mode, including ammonium-water clusters $NH_4^+ \cdot (H_2O)_n$, (n=0,1,2) and ammonium ammonia dimers $NH_4^+ \cdot (NH_3)$. Humidity of the sampled air only slightly affects the distribution of the reagent ions, as shown in Fig. S4. Most organic molecules are detected as ammonium-organic

clusters NH$_4^+$·VOC with a few exceptions for which protonated ions VOC·H$^+$ are also observed. The protonated ions could be produced through proton switching reaction either from H$_3$O$^+$·(H$_2$O)$_n$ or NH$_4^+$. However, for all of these molecules the intensity of the ammonia-organic cluster is at least one order of magnitude higher than the intensity of the corresponding protonated ion.

5   A series of laboratory experiments were performed to obtain instrument sensitivities to various organic compounds as a function of relative humidity. Table 1 shows sensitivities to 16 compounds measured using a liquid calibration unit (LCU, Ionicon Analytik GmbH, Austria) at 10% RH and 20 ℃. The LCU quantitively evaporates aqueous standards into the gas stream. 16 standards were prepared gravimetrically or volumetrically, depending on the compound, with aqueous volume mixing ratios of compounds ranging between 2 and 6 ppmv. 10 μl min^{-1} flow of each of these solutions were then evaporated

10 into a humidified gas stream of synthetic air (9 slpm) resulting in calibration standards containing 1-2 ppbv of each calibrated component. In Table 1 we also present sensitivities calculated in duty-cycle-corrected counts per second/parts per billion by volume (dcps/ppbv, normalized to m/z = 100). The duty cycle correction compensates for the mass-dependent extraction efficiency into the time-of-flight mass spectrometer: dcps(i) = cps(i) $\cdot \sqrt{100/m_i}$. The extraction frequency of the ToF was set at 14 kHz. Limits of detection are calculated for a 1 s integration time as two standard deviations of measured

15 background divided by derived sensitivity. Sensitivity to each compound was measured at 10%, 30%, 50% and 70% RH at 20℃. There is no strong correlation between the sensitivity to the calibrated compounds and their molecular weight (R^2=0.35, Fig. S5).

Signals of NH$_4^+$-VOC clusters decrease as humidity of the sampled air increases, as shown in Fig. 2. Increased reaction time (3 ms) and elevated pressure (60 mbar) in the reaction chamber, compared to the conventional PTR-MS instruments (0.1 ms

20 and 2.3 mbar, respectively), promote equilibrium between forward and backward ligand switching reactions (3). Hence, under humid conditions, excess water vapour favours formation of ammonium-water clusters, which in turn reduces the abundance of ammonium-organic clusters NH$_4^+$·(VOC) and hence the overall instrument sensitivity to oxygenated VOCs (OVOCs). ~~Generally, a stronger humidity dependence is observed for components with lower sensitivities at dry conditions. Higher molecular weight molecules have weaker humidity dependence.~~ Humidity dependence of sensitivity does not show a

25 strong correlation to cluster stability, as quantified by KE$_{50\ cm}$ (R^2=0.29, Fig. S6). In addition, correlation between humidity dependence of sensitivity and polarity of analyte molecules is relatively weak (R^2=0.31).

4 Collision-induced dissociation techniques for constraining sensitivity of the NH$_4^+$-CIMS

When the instrument operates in the NH$_4^+$ mode, organic molecules are detected almost entirely as ammonium-organic clusters. However, kinetic rate constants of ligand-switching reactions (3) from ammonium-water ions to an organic

30 molecule have only been measured for very few analyte molecules. In addition, enhanced reaction time in the reaction chamber relative to conventional PTR-MS instruments increases probability of reverse ligand-switching reactions. Therefore, effective rate constants for both forward and backward reactions (3) are required for analytical estimation of the

compound sensitivities. To avoid these complications, we constrain the instrument sensitivities to the detected compounds through an empirically-based collision-induced dissociation (CID) technique similar to the one used by Lopez-Hilfiker et al. (2016) for constraining sensitivity of iodide adduct CIMS. This is accomplished by varying the voltage between the ionization region and vacuum region of the mass-spectrometer (Fig. 1), which increases the electric field, while measuring intensities of detected peaks in the mass spectrum.

The increase of the collisional kinetic energy of the ammonium-organic clusters and air molecules leads to collision-induced dissociation of the clusters. For each analyte ion we determine the voltage value (V_{50}) at which the peak intensity drops by 50% relative to the intensity at the operational voltage value and calculate the ion kinetic energy corresponding to this voltage (KE$_{50}$). Therefore, we can experimentally determine the electric field strength necessary to break each ammonium-organic cluster, which defines the stability of these clusters and hence the sensitivity of our instrument to analyte molecules. The value of E/N (E is the electric field strength and N is the sample gas number density) is a suitable metric to characterize the motion of ions in the reaction chamber and kinematics of a chemical ionization reaction (Blake et al., 2006). The electric field strength E in a particular region of the reaction chamber depends on the voltage V applied in that region and the effective distance between electrodes d

$$E = \frac{V}{d} \tag{4}$$

Drift velocity of ions in the reaction chamber v_d is determined by the electric field strength E and the ion mobility μ:

$$v_d = \mu E \tag{5}$$

The ion mobility depends on reaction pressure and temperature:

$$\mu = \mu_0 \frac{1013\ \text{mbar}}{p_r} \frac{T_r}{273\ \text{K}} \tag{6}$$

where μ_0 is the reduced mobility, which is estimated for each ion using its mass (Ehn et al., 2011), p_r is pressure in the reaction chamber (in mbar), T_r is temperature in the reaction chamber (in K). Further, we calculate mean kinetic energy of drifting ions KE$_{\text{ion}}$ in the laboratory frame (Lindinger et al., 1998):

$$\text{KE}_{\text{ion}} = \frac{3}{2} k_B T + \frac{M_{\text{buffer}} v_d^2}{2} + \frac{M_{\text{ion}} v_d^2}{2} \tag{7}$$

where k_B is the Boltzmann constant, M_{buffer} and M_{ion} are the masses of the buffer molecule in the air and reagent ion, respectively. Finally, the kinetic energy of analyte ions in the centre of mass for ion-molecule collisions is given by (McFarland et al., 1973):

$$\text{KE}_{\text{cm}} = \frac{M_{\text{buffer}}}{M_{\text{buffer}} + M_{\text{ion}}} \left(\text{KE}_{\text{ion}} - \frac{3}{2} k_B T \right) + \frac{3}{2} k_B T \tag{8}$$

For each ammonium-organic cluster we measure V_{50} and from this calculate the corresponding kinetic energy at which half of the ions have dissociated (KE$_{\text{cm}\,50}$) using formulas (4)-(8). We show a set of declustering scans for eight organic molecules with different functional groups in Fig. 3. Intensities of all clusters follow similar sigmoidal shapes when the voltage is increased. Some clusters (i.e., small alcohols and heterocyclic compounds) are less stable and are dissociated at lower voltages while other clusters (i.e., large ketones) show higher stability. These scans can be obtained within four

minutes by steadily increasing the voltage between the ionization region and vacuum region of the mass-spectrometer (Fig. 1).

Fig. 4 shows the relationship between the calculated kinetic energy $KE_{cm\ 50}$ and measured sensitivity for 16 calibrated compounds at 10% RH and 20°C. We observe a linear relationship ($R^2 = 0.61$) between calculated $KE_{cm\ 50}$ and measured sensitivity for calibrated VOCs. This linear relationship is observed for molecules with $KE_{cm\ 50}$ in the range between 0.10 and 0.19 eV (region B in Fig. 4). Molecules characterized by collisional kinetic energies $KE_{cm\ 50}$ smaller than that of the ammonium-water cluster (0.09 eV, region A in Fig. 4) will show no significant reaction rate since ligand switching reactions between such molecules and $NH_4^+\cdot(H_2O)$ are endothermic. On the other hand, the ligand switching reaction rate cannot exceed the kinetic limit for ion-molecule collisions, and therefore there is also an upper limit of observed sensitivities. We calculate this limit by using experimentally-determined pressure and reaction time in the reaction chamber ~~(based on the sensitivities observed in H₃O⁺ mode)~~ and kinetic limit of ion-molecule reaction rate ~~of 3·10⁻⁹ cm³·s⁻¹ (Viggiano et al., 1989)~~. We estimate the reaction time in the reaction chamber using the instrument sensitivity to specific compounds in the H3O+ mode. For polar compounds with proton affinity much higher than ~~of~~ water (i.e., acetone), we can assume that reverse proton transfer reactions do not occur. In this case, the instrument sensitivity to those compounds is given by (Lindinger et al., 1997):

$$\frac{i(\text{RH}^+)}{[\text{R}]} = i_{primary} \cdot k \cdot t_{react} \cdot \frac{p_{react}}{1013\ \text{mbar}} \tag{9}$$

where $\frac{i(\text{RH}^+)}{[\text{R}]}$ is the component sensitivity, $i_{primary}$ is the primary ion current, k is the rate constant for the proton-transfer reaction (e.g., k=3.6·10⁻⁹ cm³ s⁻¹ for acetone, Cappellin et al., 2012), t_{react} and p_{react} are the reaction time and pressure in the reaction chamber, respectively. By measuring the instrument sensitivity to acetone in the H_3O^+ mode, we estimate t_{react} to be 3 ms. In our case, the instrument sensitivity cannot exceed 70,000 dcps ppb⁻¹, which is in agreement with the highest sensitivity measured for calibrated compounds. Therefore, we assume that all components with $KE_{cm\ 50}$ greater than 0.19 eV (region C in Fig. 4) will be detected at this "kinetic sensitivity". As shown in Fig. 2, the sensitivity of NH_4^+-CIMS to many calibrated compounds is RH dependent, thus we observe that the relationship between the calibrated kinetic energy $KE_{cm\ 50}$ and the measured sensitivity also depends on the humidity of the sampled air (Fig. S5). Therefore, the values of the collisional limit and other calculated sensitivities reported herein are unique to the instrument setup (i.e., pressures and voltages in the reaction chamber) and vary with the humidity of the sampled air.

5 Application to secondary organic aerosols

To demonstrate the application of the procedure described above, we performed a series of laboratory chamber experiments. A complex mixture of organic compounds in both gas and particle phases was generated by the oxidation of 3-methylcatechol ($C_7H_8O_2$), a second-generation oxidation product of toluene and other anthropogenic aromatics, by hydroxyl (OH) radicals in an environmental chamber. Details of the chamber operations are given by Hunter et al. (2014), so we

include only a brief description here. Photochemical oxidation occurred in a 7.5 m^3 temperature-controlled Teflon chamber by OH radicals generated through the photolysis of nitrous acid (HONO). In the experiment described here, 65 ppbv of 3-methylcatechol (Sigma-Aldrich, 98% purity) was injected in the chamber and further oxidized in the presence of ammonium nitrate seed aerosol at 20℃ and low humidity (3% RH). Secondary organic aerosol particles produced in this experiment were detected using an Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (AMS, DeCarlo et al., 2006) and the described CIMS instrument operating in both the H_3O^+ and NH_4^+ modes, equipped with the thermal desorption unit described above. High-resolution mass-spectra of 3-methylcatechol oxidation products derived in the NH_4^+-mode in the gas and particle phases are given in Fig S6. In this experiment, we identified 202 peaks in the NH_4^+ mode mass spectra and grouped them based on the calculated $KE_{cm\,50}$ as shown in Fig. 5. Among those 202 OC·NH_4^+ peaks, 125 analyte formulas were also detected as OC·H$^+$ in the H_3O^+ mode. We plot the relationship between the detected signals in both modes of our instrument in Fig. 6. We use the declustering technique described above to calculate volume mixing ratios of organic molecules detected as ammonium-organic clusters in the NH_4^+ mode. In the H_3O^+ mode, we apply the calibrated acetone sensitivity to calculate volume mixing ratios of OVOCs. Breitenlechner et al. (2017) showed that due to the enhanced reaction time and the increased pressure in the reaction chamber the equilibrium between the forward and reverse proton reactions can be achieved. Hence, many compounds require careful calibration over a broad humidity range. Since PTR3 has the highest detected sensitivity to ketones, we use the acetone sensitivity to calculate the lower limit concentration of OVOCs. Volume mixing ratios of organic compounds detected by both modes are in excellent agreement with a slope of 0.94 as shown in Fig. 6 (R^2=0.78). In addition to 125 peaks measured by both modes, there are peaks that are detected solely by either the H_3O^+ or NH_4^+ modes. In Fig. 7, we plot 34 identified $C_xH_yO_z$·H$^+$ peaks detected by the H_3O^+ mode and 17 identified $C_xH_yO_z$·NH_4^+ peaks detected by the NH_4^+ mode on the carbon number-oxidation state diagram. Two modes cover different areas on this diagram: while the NH_4^+-CIMS is able to detect larger and more functionalized molecules, PTR-MS is better at detection of smaller organic compounds (some of them can be formed as a result of fragmentation during ionization). Hence, the two modes complement each other and allow for the detection and quantification a broader range of oxidized organic molecules. Similar observations about the selectivity of NH_4^+-CIMS and PTR-MS have been reported in the previous studies. Aljawhary et al. (2013) showed that H_3O^+·$(H_2O)_n$ primary ions are more selective to the detection of less oxidized water-soluble organic compounds (WSOC) extracted from alpha-pinene SOA comparing to acetate $CH_3C(O)O^-$ and iodide water clusters I^-·$(H_2O)_n$ used as primary ions. Zhao et al. (2017) demonstrated that multiple positive reagent ions (NH_4^+, Li^+, Na^+, K^+) have higher selectivity to a wide range of highly oxygenated organics with higher molecular weights formed from ozonolysis of alpha-pinene, while negative reagent ions (I^- and NO_3^-) are more selective towards smaller species (e.g., CH_2O_2, CH_2O_3, $C_2H_2O_3$, and $C_2H_4O_3$).

Fig. S7 shows a comparison between the total mass loading of all organic components measured by the AMS with the sum of masses of all organic compounds measured by our instrument in both H_3O^+ and NH_4^+ modes. The sum of signals of all components detected in the NH_4^+ mode account for 65% of the total aerosol organic mass measured by AMS as shown in Fig. 8. This discrepancy can be explained by a combination of the following factors: 1) uncertainties in the sensitivities obtained using the presented technique and in the AMS measurements; 2) thermal fragmentation of organic molecules in the

thermal desorption unit which leads to lower observed masses in the mass spectrum; 3) low NH_4^+-CIMS sensitivity to certain compounds of organic aerosols if ligand switching reactions between these molecules and ammonium-water clusters are endothermic (e.g., small organic acids); 4) wall losses of less volatile organic molecules in the NH_4^+-CIMS inlet. Although the NH_4^+-CIMS does not detect all organic compounds to explain the total organic mass measured by AMS, it gives valuable

5   insight into the composition of SOA as shown in Fig. 8.

6 Conclusion

In this study, a new CIMS instrument is described based on the recently introduced PTR3. The instrument ~~is equipped with three corona discharge ion sources and~~ can be operated in both NH_4^+ and H_3O^+ modes as NH_4^+-CIMS and PTR-MS, respectively, while switching between the two modes can be done within two minutes. Compared to the H_3O^+ mode, the

10   NH_4^+ mode is able to detect more functionalized and larger organic molecules. In the NH_4^+ mode, the instrument has sensitivities in the range of 80-65,000 dcps ppbv^{-1} and detection limits in the range of 1.5-60 pptv for a 1 second integration time (2σ). We present a procedure based on collision-induced dissociation that allows us to estimate the stability of detected ammonium-organic clusters and therefore to constrain the sensitivities of hundreds of compounds detected by the NH_4^+ mode of the new instrument without their direct calibration within several minutes.

15

References

Aljawhary, D., Lee, A. K. Y., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: High-resolution chemical ionization mass spectrometry (ToF-CIMS): application to study SOA composition and processing, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 3211–3224, 2013.Atkinson R.: Atmospheric chemistry of VOCs and NO$_x$, Atmospheric Environment, 34, 2063-2101, 2000.

5   Berresheim, H.; Elste, T.; Plass-Dülmer, C.; Eisele, F. L.; Tanner, D. J. Chemical ionization mass spectrometer for long-term measurements of atmospheric OH and H$_2$SO$_4$, Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 202, 91−109, doi: 10.1016/S1387-3806(00)00233-5, 2000.

Bertram, T. H., Kimmel, J. R., Crisp, T. A., Ryder, O. S., Yatavelli, R. L. N., Thornton, J. A., Cubison, M. J., Gonin, M., and Worsnop, D. R.: A field-deployable, chemical ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometer, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4 (7),
10 1471−1479, doi:10.5194/amt-4-1471-2011, 2011.

Blake, R.S., Wyche, K.P., Ellis, A.M., and Monks, P.S.: Chemical ionization reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometry: Multi-reagent analysis for determination of trace gas composition, Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 254, 85-93, doi:10.1016/j.ijms.2006.05.021, 2006.

Blake, R.S., Whyte, C., Hughes, C.O., Ellis, A.M., and Monks, P.S.: Demonstration of Proton-Transfer Reaction Time-of-
15 Flight Mass Spectrometry for Real-Time Analysis of Trace Volatile Organic Compounds, Anal. Chem., 76 (13), 3841–3845, doi:10.1021/ac0498260, 2004.

Breitenlechner, M., Fischer, M., Hainer, M., Heinritzi, M., Curtius, M., and Hansel, A.: PTR3: An instrument for Studying the Lifecycle of Reactive Organic Carbon in the Atmosphere, Anal. Chem., 89, 5824–5831, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.6bo5110, 2017.

20 Cappellin, L., Karl,T., Probst, M., Ismailova, O., Winkler, P. M., Soukoulis, C., Aprea, E., Märk, T.D., Gasperi, F., Biasioli, F.: On Quantitative Determination of Volatile Organic Compound Concentrations Using Proton Transfer Reaction Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46 (4), 2283–2290, doi: 10.1021/es203985t, 2012

Crounse, J. D., McKinney, K. A., Kwan, A. J., and Wennberg, P. O.: Measurement of Gas-Phase Hydroperoxides by Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometry, Anal. Chem., 78, 6726−6732, doi:10.1021/ac0604235, 2006.

25 DeCarlo, P.F., Kimmel, J.R., Trimborn, A., Northway, M.J., Jayne, J.T., Aiken, A.C., Gonin, M., Fuhrer, K., Horvath, T., Docherty, K.S., Worsnop, D.R., and Jimenez, J.L.: Field-Deployable, High-Resolution, Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer, Anal. Chem., 78 (24), 8281-8289, doi:10.1021/ac061249n, 2006.

Ehn, M., Junninen, H., Schobesberger, S., Manninen, H.E., Franchin, A., Sipilä, M., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V.-M., Tammet, H., Mirme, A., Mirme, S., Hõrrak, U., Kulmala, M., and Worsnop D.R.: An Instrumental Comparison of Mobility and Mass
30 Measurements of Atmospheric Small Ions, Aerosol Science and Technology, 45:4, 522-532, doi:10.1080/02786826.2010.547890, 2011.

Eichler, P., Müller, M., D'Anna, B., and Wisthaler, A.: A novel inlet system for online chemical analysis of semi-volatile submicron particulate matter, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 1353-1360, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-1353-2015, 2015.

Jokinen, T., Sipila, M., Junninen, H., Ehn, M., Lönn, G., Hakala, J., Petäjä, T., Mauldin, R. L., III, Kulmala, M., and Worsnop, D. R.: Atmospheric sulphuric acid and neutral cluster measurements using CI-APi-TOF. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 4117−4125, doi:10.5194/acp-12-4117-2012, 2012.

Hansel, A., Jordan, A., Holzinger, R., Prazeller, P., Vogel, W., and Lindinger W.: Proton transfer reaction mass spectrometry: on-line trace gas analysis at the ppb level, Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Process., 149−150, 609−619, doi:10.1016/0168-1176(95)04294-U, 1995.

Hansel, A., Scholz, W., Mentler, B., Fischer L., and Berndt, T.: Detection of RO2 radicals and other products from cyclohexene ozonolysis with NH_4^+ and acetate ionization mass spectrometry, Atmos. Env., 186, 248−255, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.04.023, 2018.

Hellen, H., Dommen, J., Metzger, A., Gascho, A., Duplissy, J., Tritscher, T., Prevot, A. S. H., and Baltensperger, U.: Using Proton Transfer Reaction Mass Spectrometry for Online Analysis of Secondary Organic Aerosols. Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 7347-7353, doi:10.1021/es801279m, 2008.

Hunter, J.F., Carrasquillo, A.J., Daumit, K.E., and Kroll J.H.: Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation from Acyclic, Monocyclic, and Polycyclic Alkanes. Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 10227−10234, doi:10.1021/es502674s, 2014

Hyttinen, N., Otkjær, R.V., Iyer, S., Kjaergaard, H.G., Rissanen, M.P., Wennberg, P.O., and Kurtén T.: Computational Comparison of Different Reagent Ions in the Chemical Ionization of Oxidized Multifunctional Compounds. J. Phys. Chem A, 122, 269-279, doi:10.1021/acs.jpca.7b10015, 2017.

Krechmer, J., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Koss, A., Hutterli, M., Stoermer, C., Deming, B., Kimmel, J., Warneke, C., Holzinger, R., Jayne, J., Worsnop, D., Fuhrer, K., Gonin, M., and de Gouw J.: Evaluation of a New Vocus Reagent-Ion Source and Focusing Ion-Molecule Reactor for use in Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometry, Anal. Chem., 90, 20, 12011-12018, doi: 10.1021/acs.analchem.8b02641, 2018.

Kroll, J. H., Donahue, N. M., Jimenez, J. L., Kessler, S. H., Canagaratna, M. R., Wilson, K. R., Altieri, K. E., Mazzoleni, L. R., Wozniak, A. S., Bluhm, H., Mysak, E. R., Smith, J. D., Kolb, C. E., and Worsnop, D. R.: Carbon oxidation state as a metric for describing the chemistry of atmospheric organic aerosol, Nature Chemistry, 3, 133−139, doi:10.1038/NCHEM.948, 2011.

Lee, B. H., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Mohr, C., Kurten, T., Worsnop, D. R., and Thornton, J.: An iodide-adduct high-resolution time-of-flight chemical-ionization mass spectrometer: Application to atmospheric organic and inorganic compounds. Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 6309−6317, doi:10.1021/es500362a, 2014.

Lindinger, W., Hansel, A., and Jordan, A.: On-line monitoring of volatile organic compounds at pptv levels by means of proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry (PTR-MS) medical applications, food control and environmental research, Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 173, 191-241, doi:10.1016/S0168-1176(97)00281-4, 1998.

Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Mohr, C., Ehn, M., Rubach, F., Kleist, E., Wildt, J., Mentel, Th. F., Lutz, A., Hallquist, M., Worsnop, D., and Thornton, J. A.: A novel method for online analysis of gas and particle composition: description and evaluation of a Filter Inlet for Gases and AEROsols (FIGAERO), Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 983-1001, doi:10.5194/amt-7-983-2014, 2014.

Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Iyer, S., Mohr, C., Lee, B. H., D'Ambro, E. L., Kurtén, T., and Thornton, J. A.: Constraining the sensitivity of iodide adduct chemical ionization mass spectrometry to multifunctional organic molecules using the collision limit and thermodynamic stability of iodide ion adducts, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9, 1505-1512, doi:10.5194/amt-9-1505-2016, 2016.

5 McFarland, M., Albritton, D.L., Fehsenfeld, F.C., Ferguson, E.E., and Schmeltekopf, A.L.: Flow-drift technique for ion mobility and ion-molecule reaction rate constant measurements. II. Positive ion reactions of N^+, O^+, and with O_2 and O^+ with N_2 from thermal to ~2 eV, The Journal of Chemical Physics 59, 6620, doi: 10.1063/1.1680042, 1973.

Shen, C., Li, J., Han, H., Wang, H., Jiang, H., and Chu, Y.: Triacetone triperoxide detection using low reduced-field proton transfer reaction mass spectrometer, Int. J. Mass Spectrom, 285, 100-103, doi:10.1016/j.ijms.2009.04.007, 2009.

10 Shrivastava, M., Kappa, C.D., Fan, J., Goldstein, A.H., Guenther, A.B., Jimenez, J.L., Kuang, C., Laskin, A., Martin, S.T., Ng, N.L., Petaja, T., Pierce, J.R., Rasch, P.J., Roldin, P., Seinfeld, J.H., Shilling, J., Smith, J.N., Thornton, J.A., Volkamer, R., Wang, J., Worsnop, D.R., Zaveri, R.A., Zelenyuk, A., and Zhang, Q.: Review of Recent Advances in Understanding Secondary Organic Aerosol for Earth System Modeling. Rev. Geophys., 55, 509–559, doi:10.1002/2016RG000540, 2017.

Yuan, B., Koss, A.R., Warneke, C., Coggon, M., Sekimoto, K., and de Gouw, J.A.: Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass
15 Spectrometry: Applications in Atmospheric Sciences. Chem. Rev., 117, 21, 13187-13229, doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00325, 2017.

Viggiano, A.A., Dale, F., and Paulson, J.F.: Proton transfer reactions of $H^+(H_2O)_{n=2-11}$ with methanol, ammonia, pyridine, acetonitrile, and acetone. J. Chem. Phys. 88, 2469-2477, doi:10.1063/1.454027, 1989.

Zhao, Y., Chan, J., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., McKeown, M. A., D'Ambro, E. L., Slowik, J. G., Riffell, J., and Thornton, J. A.:
20 An electrospray chemical ionization source for real-time measurement of atmospheric organic and inorganic vapors, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10, 3609-3625, 2017.

| Species	Ion formula	m/z	Sensitivity		3σ-LOD [pptv] (1s)	V_{50} [V]	$KE_{cm\,50}$ [eV]
			[cps/ppb]	[dcps/ppb]			
methanol	$CH_4ONH_4^+$	50.06	59	83	93	27.8	0.091
acetonitrile	$C_2H_3NNH_4^+$	59.0604	9700	12600	9	34.5	0.120
acetone	$C_3H_6ONH_4^+$	76.0757	21400	24600	2.75	36.4	0.129
acetic acid	$C_2H_4O_2NH_4^+$	78.055	1890	2140	99	31.4	0.105
isopropanol	$C_3H_8ONH_4^+$	78.0913	1100	1240	23	36.5	0.131
MVK	$C_4H_6ONH_4^+$	88.0757	27900	29700	20	36.9	0.131
MEK	$C_4H_8ONH_4^+$	90.0913	39300	41400	8	37.8	0.136
hydroxyacetone	$C_3H_6O_2NH_4^+$	92.0706	17600	18300	14	35.8	0.126
furanone	$C_4H_4O_2NH_4^+$	102.055	64000	63400	57	40.3	0.149
biacetyl	$C_4H_6O_2NH_4^+$	104.0706	3490	3420	35	36.6	0.130
pyruvic acid	$C_3H_4O_3NH_4^+$	106.0499	1650	1600	53	34.8	0.122
angelica lactone	$C_5H_6O_2NH_4^+$	116.0706	65500	60800	0.86	39.6	0.145
hexanone	$C_6H_{12}ONH_4^+$	118.1226	59000	54300	8	41.5	0.155
benzaldehyde	$C_7H_6ONH_4^+$	124.0757	43200	38800	2.03	36.7	0.130
heptanol	$C_7H_{16}ONH_4^+$	134.1539	12150	10500	2.28	39.5	0.144
decanone	$C_{10}H_{20}ONH_4^+$	174.1852	89400	67800	2.49	47.1	0.189

Table 1: Sensitivities and detection limits of NH_4^+-CIMS for various VOC species; voltage (V_{50}) and corresponding kinetic energy ($KE_{cm\,50}$) at which half of the ions have dissociated.

LToF Quadrupole interface (0.01 mbar)

Backing pump ←

$\vec{E}$

CID region (2.5 mbar)

Tripole reaction region (50 mbar)

N_2 in

NH_3 in

Corona needle

$\vec{E}$

Source out ←

Source drift region

Secondary orifice

Pressure controller ←

Inlet orifice

Figure 1: Schematic drawing of the NH_4^+-CIMS.

**Figure 2: Humidity dependence curves for the normalized signals relative to the dryer conditions.**

Figure 3: Declustering scans of ammonium-organic clusters $NH_4^+\cdot(VOC)$ for calibrated components and $NH_4^+\cdot(H_2O)$ reagent ions.

Figure 4: The relationship between calculated kinetic energy of the ammonium-organic clusters $KE_{cm\ 50}$ and measured sensitivity for calibrated compounds. Molecules characterized by $KE_{cm\ 50}$ smaller than 0.10 eV (region A) cannot be detected by NH_4^+-CIMS; for molecules characterized by $KE_{cm\ 50}$ between 0.10 and 0.19 eV (region B) a linear relationship between $KE_{cm\ 50}$ and measured sensitivity is observed; molecules characterized by $KE_{cm\ 50}$ greater than 0.19 eV (region C) are detected at the "kinetic sensitivity".

Figure 5: Application of the collision-induced dissociation techniques for measurement of SOA composition produced during photooxidation of 3-methylcatechol in a laboratory experiment. 202 peaks are detected in NH_4^+ mode and binned based on their $KE_{cm\ 50}$. Molecules with $KE_{cm\ 50}$ smaller than 0.10 eV cannot be detected by NH_4^+-CIMS (region A); sensitivities of molecules characterized by $KE_{cm\ 50}$ between 0.10 and 0.19 eV (region B) can be calculated using the linear fit presented in Fig 4; molecules with $KE_{cm\ 50}$ greater than 0.19 eV are detected at the "kinetic sensitivity" (region C).

Figure 6: Comparison of volume mixing ratios of SOA components detected by the CIMS instrument in both H₃O⁺ and NH₄⁺ modes in the photooxidation experiment of 3-methylcatechol.

Figure 7: Identified SOA components detected in both H₃O⁺ and NH₄⁺ modes (125 peaks), uniquely in the H₃O⁺ mode (34 peaks), and uniquely in NH₄⁺ mode (17 peaks) plotted on the n_C-$\overline{OS}_C$ diagram. The gold star corresponds to the precursor of the photooxidation experiment, 3-methylcatechol. The size of the dots is proportional to the logarithm of the volume mixing ratio of each compound produced at the end of the experiment.

Figure 8: SOA produced during photooxidation of 3-methylcatechol in a laboratory experiment. The total organic aerosol mass is measured by AMS. OVOC detected by NH₄-CIMS are binned in four groups.