

Interactive comment on “Improving the Retrieval of XCO₂ from Total Carbon Column Network Solar Spectra” by Joseph Mendonca et al.

Joseph Mendonca et al.

joseph.mendonca@utoronto.ca

Received and published: 20 July 2018

Response to Referee 2

We thank the reviewer for the comments on our manuscript. Attached is the updated manuscript with all changes. In the manuscript highlighted text is the added text and red crossed out text is deleted text. Please see below for our response to the comments.

Comment 1 - The paper is very theoretical. Line 92 starts with: to take speed dependence into account ... Here it would be nice to explain what is meant by speed dependence. It should be mentioned that the assumed basis for the Lorentz portion of the Voigt profile is, that for all collisions between the molecules the statistical average velocity is taken. However, in reality this is not true, the molecules have a distribution

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



of speeds, which requires the $qSDV$.

To address this, we have added the following:

Lines 104-105: “The Voigt line shape is the convolution of the Lorentz and the Gaussian profiles, which model pressure and doppler broadening of the spectral line respectively.”

Lines 112-113: “The Voigt line shape assumes that pressure broadening is accurately represented by a Lorentz profile calculated for the stastical average velocity at the time of collision.”

Lines 115-118: “The speed-dependent Voigt line shape refines the pressure broadening component of the Voigt by calculating multiple Lorentz profiles for different speeds at the time of collision. The final contribution from pressure broadening to the speed-dependent Voigt is the weighted sum of Lorentz profiles (weighted by the Maxwell-Boltzmann speed-distribution) calculated for different speeds at the time of collision.”

Comment 2 - The same holds for the Dicke narrowing, mentioned in line 59. What is the Dicke narrowing? It should be mentioned that when the mean free path of an atom is much smaller than the wavelength of the radiative transition, the atom changes velocity and direction many times during the emission or absorption of a photon. This causes an averaging over different Doppler states and results in an atomic linewidth that is narrower than the Doppler width (I have taken this from Wikipedia).

To address this comment we have added the following:

Lines 71-74: “Dicke narrowing occurs when the motion of the molecule is diffusive due to collisions changing the velocity and direction of the molecule during the time that it is excited. This diffusive motion is taken into account by averaging over many different Doppler states resulting in a line width that is narrower than the Doppler width (Dicke, 1953).”

Comment 3 - The O₂ concentration in the atmosphere is very stable and well known.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



I would be interested to see the difference between the known O₂ concentration and the O₂ from the TCCON spectra as a function of the SZA. These results are somehow hidden in the paper (Figure 6), but since the qSDV is applied to CO₂ and O₂ it would be good to see where the differences mentioned (0.004) are coming from, from CO₂ or O₂.

To address this comment we have added another figure (Figure 7) which shows XAIR calculated using the column of O₂ retrieved with the Voigt and the qSDV. Ideally XAIR should be 1 since the column of O₂ is being used as a proxy for the dry column of air when calculating XCO₂. However as shown in Figure 7 it is not. When using a Voigt line shape to retrieve the O₂ column, XAIR is 2% lower than it should be (at the smallest SZA) and has an airmass dependence that decreases as SZA increases (so the retrieved O₂ column increases as SZA increases). By using the qSDV to retrieve the O₂ column, less O₂ is retrieved which results in the O₂ column decreasing by 0.8% at the smallest SZA and up to 1.8% at the highest SZA as shown in Figure 6. Thus when the qSDV is used to retrieve O₂ XAIR is closer to 1. The airmass dependence of XAIR changes when O₂ is retrieved with the qSDV, causing XAIR to now increase as the airmass increases. The airmass dependence of the O₂ column is thus similar to the airmass dependence of the CO₂ column, so when calculating XCO₂ with the column of O₂ retrieved using the qSDV, the airmass dependence of XCO₂ is minimized as shown in the new Figure 8.

We have added the following on lines 254-261: “Figure 7 shows XAIR from Park Falls on June 18, 2013. XAIR is the column of air (determined using surface pressure recorded at the site) divided by the column of O₂ retrieved from the spectra and multiplied by 0.2095, which is the dry air mole fraction of O₂ in Earth’s atmosphere. Ideally XAIR should be 1 but when using O₂ retrieved with a Voigt line shape (red points) it is closer to 0.98 near noon (small SZA) and lower near the start and end of the day (large SZA). When using O₂ retrieved with the qSDV, XAIR is closer to 0.988 near noon and a bit higher near the start and end of the day. This means the O₂ column, retrieved

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

with the qSDV, decreases as a function of SZA, while previously the column increased as a function of SZA when the Voigt line shape is used.”

We address the comment about the 0.004 change in comment 4.

Comment 4 - I found it a bit disappointing that the airmass dependence is now + 0.004 instead of - 0.013. This is a large reduction, but the results show that still something is wrong in the measurements/retrieval. The authors might discuss this in more detail. See above at 3.

The positive bias that now exists with the new spectroscopy is because the retrieved columns of CO₂ have increased when retrieved using the qSDV with line mixing while the retrieved columns of O₂ have decreased with the qSDV. This combination of an increase in the CO₂ column with a decrease in the O₂ column results in an increase in XCO₂. The decrease in retrieved O₂ column is good as noted in comment 3 but still needs to decrease further to match the column of dry air calculated from surface pressure measured at the TCCON stations. So if the retrieved O₂ column decreased further the positive bias between TCCON and the aircraft measurements would increase. This means that the retrieved columns of CO₂ are too high but for now compensate for the fact that the retrieved O₂ columns are still larger than they should be.

We have added the following to discuss this point on lines 319-324: “This increase in the slope can be explained by an increase in the retrieved column of CO₂ when using the qSDV with line mixing as shown in Mendonca et al. (2016) as well as combined with a decrease in the retrieved O₂ column due to using the qSDV. As discussed previously (section 5) the decrease in the retrieved O₂ column is an improvement but the expected column of O₂ is still approximately 1.2% higher (at the smallest SZA) than it should be. Therefore, the retrieved column of CO₂ is higher than it should be, and the slope would be greater if the retrieved column of O₂ was 1.2% lower.”

Comment 5 - For me the fact that the airmass dependence is nearly gone when applying qSDV (Figure 8) very important. This should be more highlighted as main result.

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

Figure 8 c and d look very similar. For me an air mass correction is not necessary, or is this a mistake in the panels?

Figures 8c and 8d are now Figures 10c and 10d. Figures 10c and 10d do look very similar but there is still an air mass dependence given by the fact that the correction term is not 0. It is now -0.0012, which is smaller than with a Voigt, but we still need to apply the correction to the data to account for this small air mass dependence. Since the air mass dependence has been significantly decreased Figures 10c and d look similar but are not the same.

Comment 6 - May be a Figure showing XCO_2/O_2 as a function of SZA for i) XCO_2/O_2 , ii) $XCO_2(sQDV)/O_2$ ii) $XCO_2/O_2(sQDV)$, iii) $XCO_2(qSDV)/O_2(qSDV)$ would be interesting to see where the improvement is coming from. For me a few other Figures of 1-5 could be deleted or put in the supplement.

We have added Figure 8 to show how the changes to the retrieved CO_2 or O_2 affect their air mass dependence. Figure 8 shows that the improvement of the retrieved column of O_2 has a greater impact than the improvements made to the retrieval of CO_2 . However, the improvement made to the retrieval of CO_2 are more critical at large SZA because it makes the air mass dependence of the column of CO_2 for large SZA consistent with that for small SZA, allowing the SZA restriction on measurements at large SZA to be removed.

We have added the following lines 265-278: “Figure 8 is XCO_2 calculated for four different combinations pertaining to the two CO_2 column retrievals and the O_2 column retrievals. The CO_2 columns were retrieved with either a Voigt line shape (the standard GGG2014 approach) or the qSDV with line mixing as done in Mendonca et al. (2016) while the O_2 columns were retrieved with either a Voigt (the standard GGG2014 approach) or the new qSDV approach developed here. Figure 8 shows a spurious symmetric component to XCO_2 when the total column of O_2 is retrieved with the Voigt line shape, regardless of line shape used to retrieve CO_2 . When the

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

qSDV is used to retrieve total columns of O₂, the symmetric component of XCO₂ is diminished regardless of line shape used to retrieve CO₂. This is because the airmass dependence of the column of O₂ retrieved using the qSDV is more consistent with the airmass dependence of the column of CO₂ (for both line shapes used to retrieve CO₂). Mendonca et al. (2016) showed that using the qSDV with line mixing results in better fits to the CO₂ windows and impacts the airmass dependence of the retrieved column of CO₂. When using a Voigt line shape the retrieved column amount of CO₂ decreases as airmass increases until the airmass is large (SZA of about 82°) at which point the retrieved column of CO₂ increases as the airmass increases, changing the shape of the airmass dependence of the CO₂ column. When the qSDV with line mixing is used, the retrieved column of CO₂ decreases as a function of airmass (up until the sun is above the horizon).”

It is important that the Figures 1-5 remain since they show that the retrieved spectroscopic parameters have a dependence on quantum number m which has been shown to be the case in other studies of the discrete lines of the O₂ 1.27 μm electronic transitions.

Comment 7 – The main part of the paper deals with the speed-dependent Voigt line shape. I would suggest to include this in the title, may be: Improving the Retrieval of XCO₂ from Total Carbon Column Network Solar Spectra by inclusion of the speed-dependent Voigt line shape.

Changed the title to: “Using a Speed-Dependent Voigt Line Shape to Retrieve O₂ from Total Carbon Column Observing Network Solar Spectra to Improve Measurements of XCO₂”

Comment 8 - In the conclusions the authors write: Using cavity ring-down spectra measured in the lab, we have shown that the Voigt line shape is insufficient to 290 model the line shape of O₂ for the 1.27 μm band, ... As far as I see, the improvement might also results because the qSDV is applied also the CO₂.

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

The improvement made to the retrieval of O₂ has had an impact on the air mass dependence of XCO₂ at all SZA while the improvements to the retrieval of CO₂ has mainly impacted the air mass dependence at high SZA. As shown in Figure 8 using the O₂ columns retrieved with the qSDV decreased the air mass dependence of XCO₂ regardless of the line shape used to retrieve the CO₂ columns. However, improvements made to the CO₂ retrievals results in better measurements of XCO₂ at high SZA. See comment 6 for discussion on this.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<https://www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/amt-2018-62/amt-2018-62-AC2-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2018-62, 2018.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

