



1 Comparison of flux gradient and chamber techniques to measure soil N₂O emissions

- 2 Mei Bai^{1,*}, Helen Suter¹, Shu Kee Lam¹, Thomas K. Flesch², Deli Chen¹
- 3 ¹Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC
- 4 3010, Australia
- 5 ²Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB T6G
- 6 2R3, Canada
- 7 Correspondence to: Mei Bai (mei.bai@unimelb.edu.au)
- 8

9 Abstract

Improving the direct field measurement techniques to quantify gases emissions from the large 10 agriculture farm is challenging. We compared nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions measured with 11 static chambers to those from a newly developed micrometeorological flux gradient (FG) 12 approach. Measurements were made at a vegetable farm following chicken manure application. 13 The FG calculations were made with a single open-path Fourier transform infrared (OP-FTIR) 14 spectrometer (height of 1.45 m) deployed in a slant-path configuration: sequentially aimed at 15 retro reflectors at heights of 0.8 and 1.8 m above ground. Hourly emissions were measured 16 with the FG technique, but once a day between 10:00 and 13:00 with chambers. We compared 17 18 the concurrent emission ratios (FG/Chambers) between these two techniques, and found N₂O emission rates from celery crop farm measured at mid-day by FG were statistically higher (1.4 19 20 times) than those from the chambers measured at the same time. Our results suggest the OP-21 FTIR slant-path FG configuration worked well in this study: it was sufficiently sensitive to 22 detect the N₂O gradients over our site, giving high temporal resolution N₂O emissions 23 corresponding to a large measurement footprint.





- 25 Keywords: chamber techniques, chicken manure, flux gradient, N₂O emission, OP-FTIR
- 26 spectroscopy

27

Abbreviations: FG, flux gradient; OP-FTIR, open-path Fourier transform infrared
spectroscopy

30

31 **1 Introduction**

The accurate measurement of soil nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions from agricultural land is 32 33 challenging. Chambers are commonly used for these measurements (Hutchinson and Mosier, 34 1981), and chamber based observations are widely used to calculate greenhouse gas inventories 35 (Dalal et al., 2008). The principle behind the most common type of chamber measurement 36 (static, or non-steady state) is to create a sealed control volume over the soil surface, such that 37 by monitoring the gas concentration change during the chamber deployment, one can calculate the surface emission rate (Denmead, 2008). One of the advantages of chambers is that they can 38 39 be employed at relatively low cost, with simplicity and easy field operation (de Klein et al., 2001). However, chambers have a fundamental limitation – the control volume inevitably 40 41 perturbs the soil-atmosphere interface (e.g., temperature, pressure), which has the potential to 42 modify the ambient soil emission rate (Denmead, 1979). Moreover, static chambers are not well-suited to measure temporal variations in emissions (Denmead et al., 2008; Jones et al., 43 44 2011). These weaknesses can be addressed by alternative approaches, e.g. a dynamic measurement with automated-chamber opening and closing by pneumatic actuators (Yao et al., 45 2009) and can be run for many months. However, in many situations the most important 46 47 disadvantage of chambers is their small surface measurement footprint. With a surface enclosure typically less than 1 m², and the likelihood that soil emissions vary dramatically at 48 49 length scales greater than 1 m (Denmead, 2008; Griffith and Galle, 2000; Turner et al., 2008),





- 50 many replications are needed to adequately quantify the emissions from an agricultural field
- 51 (Christensen et al., 1996; Denmead, 1995).
- 52

53 Micrometeorological measurements avoid some of the problems associated with chamber methods (Christensen et al., 1996; Denmead et al., 2010; Li et al., 2008; Pattey et al., 2006). 54 55 These techniques are based on concentration and windflow measurements made in the free air 56 above the surface, and they do not perturb the surface environment. They also measure 57 emissions over footprints much larger than those from chambers (Hargreaves et al., 1996). The 58 flux gradient (FG) technique is a well-used micrometeorological method, where the vertical 59 flux of gas is inferred from a height gradient in concentration (multiplied by an estimate of the gas diffusivity). When measured above a large and homogeneous surface, this atmospheric flux 60 61 is assumed equal to the underlying surface emission or absorption rate. In this study we used a 62 recently developed modification of the technique. Rather than vertically separated point concentrations, we used a slant-path configuration based on vertically separated long line-63 64 averaged measurements (Flesch et al., 2016; Wilson and Flesch, 2016). A single open-path Fourier transform infrared (OP-FTIR) concentration sensor with motorized aiming gives the 65 gas concentrations along the two paths, from which we can calculate the surface 66 67 emission/deposition rate.

68

In this study we conducted a set of N₂O emission measurements from a vegetable farm following manure application. Measurements were made with both static chambers and the slant-path FG approach. Our objective was to 1) demonstrate the newly developed slant-path FG method at a vegetable farm; and 2) compare the emission rates measured by the static chamber and FG techniques.

74





75 2 Materials and methods

76 2.1 Experimental site

77 This study was conducted at an intensive vegetable farm in Clyde, Victoria, Australia (38.1° 78 S, 145.3° E). The site consisted of two adjacent fields of 5.4 ha (Site 1) and 3.1 ha (Site 2). These sites differ only in the addition of a fertilizer amendment at Site 2. A celery crop at the 79 80 4-5 leaf stage was transplanted to these two sites on 27 Febuary 2014 (Fig. 1). Chicken manure $(4.3\% \text{ N}, \text{NH}_4^+-\text{N}: 4633 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}, \text{NO}_3-\text{N}: 313 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ was applied at rate of 8.2 tonne ha⁻¹ at 81 both sites on 28 March. Fertiliser Cal-Gran (a blend of calcium ammonium nitrate and 82 83 ammonium sulphate, total 23.9% N) was also applied at both sites at rate of 200 kg ha⁻¹ on 15 April. Emission measurements began just prior to manure application and ended on 6 May 84 85 2014. The terrain was open and flat with sandy loam topsoils. Prevailing winds were southeast or northwest during this period. The average minimum and maximum temperature was 6 and 86 87 33°C, respectively. The total precipitation (including rainfall and irrigation) during the measurement period was 186 mm. 88

89 Figure 1

90

91 2.2 Methodologies

92 2.2.1 Static chamber

Four static chambers $(50 \times 50 \times 25 \text{ cm})$ were located at each site (Fig. 1). The metal base for each chamber was placed into the soil to a depth of 8 cm prior to the experiment, and remained in place through the study. The chamber was made of plexiglass with a built in ventilation system. Reflective aluminium foil was attached inside the lid to minimize changes in ambient pressure and temperature after the chamber was placed onto the base. A thermocouple Tinytag Transit 2 (TG-4080 temperature loggers, West Sussex, UK) was placed on the soil surface inside the chamber to monitor the headspace air temperature. Gas samples (20 mL) were





collected into evacuated 12 mL vials (Exetainer®, Labco Ltd., Ceredigion, UK) at 0, 30 and
60 minutes after chamber placement and analysed at an off-site laboratory by gas
chromatography (GC) (Agilent 7890A, Wilmington, USA). The sensitivity of GC for N₂O
concentration was 0.01 ppm. Gas samples were collected daily between 10:00 and 13:00 from
29 March to 7 April and on 9, 11 and 16 April. The N₂O flux was calculated as (Ruser et al.,
1998) (Eq. 1):

106
$$Q_{chamber} = \kappa (273/T) (V/A) dC/dt$$
 (1)

107 where $Q_{chamber}$ is the gas flux (μ g N₂O–N m⁻² h⁻¹), κ is a density factor for N₂O gas, 1.25 (μ g 108 N μ L⁻¹), *T* is the air temperature within the chamber (K), *V* is the total volume of headspace 109 (L), *A* is a surface area inside the chamber (m²), *dC/dt* is the rate of change in concentration of 110 N₂O in the chamber (μ L L⁻¹ h⁻¹).

111

112 **2.2.2 Flux gradient**

113 The basic principle of the FG method has been well-described (Judd et al., 1999; Laubach and 114 Kelliher, 2004; Webb et al., 1980). We followed a modification described in Flesch et al. 115 (2016), in which an open-path sensor was used to measure the concentration difference (ΔC_L) 116 between two slant-paths. The open-path sensor measures gas concentration between the sensor 117 and a distant retro reflector. The concentration difference ΔC_L is calculated by sequentially 118 aiming the sensor at high and low retro reflectors (Eqs. 2, 3):

119
$$Q_{FG} = (k_{\nu}\rho_a \, u_{\ast}/S_c)(M_s/M_a) \, \ast\kappa \, \ast \Delta C_L$$
(2)

120
$$\kappa = l_{PATH} / \int_{x1}^{x2} [\ln(z_{p2}/z_{p1}) - \varphi(z_{p2}/L) + \varphi(z_{p1}/L)] dx$$
 (3)

where Q_{FG} is the gas flux (g m⁻² s⁻¹), k_v is von Karman's constant (0.4), ρ_a is dry air density (g m⁻³), u_* is friction velocity (m s⁻¹), S_c is the turbulent Schmidt number (0.64), M_s and M_a are the molar mass of N₂O (44 g mol⁻¹) and dry air (29 g mol⁻¹), respectively, ΔC_L (ppb) is the





124 difference in the line-average volumetric mixing ratio of the gas (relative to dry air) from the 125 lower (z_{p1}) and upper (z_{p2}) paths (m, relative to celery beds surface), κ is proportional to the 126 height integral of the gas diffusivity along the FTIR path pair, l_{PATH} is the sensor-retro reflector 127 path length (m, equal for the two paths), *L* is atmospheric Obukhov stability length (m). Path 128 heights $(z_{p1} \text{ and } z_{p2})$ along the path length are given by a 5th-order polynomial fit of height vs. 129 distance from the OP-FTIR spectrometer (path heights were measured in the field at 5 m 130 intervals). We used the stability correction factor φ from Flesch et al. (2016).

131

132 An estimate of the uncertainty in Q_{FG} (δ_{QFG}) was calculated as the sum in quadrature of the 133 relative uncertainties in S_c , ΔC_L and κ according to the formula described in Flesch et al. (2016). 134 Q_{FG} values were not calculated when $u^* < 0.05$ m s⁻¹.

135

136 The FG calculations relied on open-path concentrations measured with a robust Bruker OP-FTIR spectrometer (Matrix-M IRcube, Bruker Optics, Ettlingen, Germany) and two retro 137 reflectors located 80 m from the spectrometer (PLX Industries, New York, USA). Briefly, the 138 139 OP-FTIR system measures multiple gas concentrations (N₂O, CH₄, NH₃, CO₂, CO and water vapour) with high precision (Griffith, 1996; Griffith et al., 2012). More details on the OP-FTIR 140 system can be found in Bai (2010). The spectrometer was mounted at a height of 1.45 m above 141 142 ground. A motorized mounting head sequentially aimed the spectrometer to the retro reflectors at 0.8 and 1.8 m above ground. Line-averaged N₂O concentrations with an averaging time of 143 144 2.5-min were measured. Background concentrations prior to manure application were 145 averaged, the standard deviation of the mean was retrieved and the precision of N2O concentration measurements (less than 0.3 ppb) was determined according to Bai (2010). The 146 147 OP-FTIR measurements were made continuously from 25 March until 16 April, and thereafter 148 measurements were made for three days (continuously) per week until 6 May.





149

- A weather station coupled with a three-dimensional sonic anemometer (CSAT3, Campbell 150 Scientific, Logan, UT, USA) was established at a height of 3.0 m above ground, 50 m east of 151 152 Site 2. Fifteen-min average climatic data including ambient temperature, pressure and wind statistics were recorded by a data logger (CR23X, Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT, USA) at 153 154 frequency of 10 Hz. Atmospheric stability parameters of friction velocity (u_*) , surface roughness (z₀) and Obukhov stability length (L) were calculated. We used a data filtering 155 procedure to remove error-prone observations in the FG calculation according to Flesch et al. 156 157 (2014).
- To compare the two measurement techniques we looked at periods with concurrent measurements from FG and the chambers, and hourly flux ratios of Q_{FG}/Q_{chamber} measured between 10:00 and 13:00 are compared.

161

162 **3 Results and discussion**

163 **3.1 Daily N₂O flux**

The FG measurements gave high temporal resolution of fluxes and this provides an opportunity to study the pattern of N₂O emissions in detail. Here we only describe the temporal flux measurements from Site 1.

167

168 **3.1.1 The FG fluxes**

Hourly N₂O fluxes showed large temporal variation during the experimental period in response to fertilisation. There was a rapid increase in N₂O emission from a background level of 0.6 mg N₂O–N m⁻² h⁻¹ before manure application to a peak of 158.0 mg N₂O–N m⁻² h⁻¹ within 24 h after application, which could be attributed to both nitrification and denitrification. After the peak, several spikes between 16–17 April were also observed associated with fertilizer





174	application, followed by a decline in emissions to an average of 2.5 mg N ₂ O–N m ⁻² h ⁻¹ (Fig.
175	2A). One of the conclusions we draw from Figure 2B is that the slant path FG system is
176	sensitive enough to measure the N ₂ O fluxes that accompanied fertilisation at our site, i.e., the
177	measurement uncertainty as represented by $1-\sigma$ is generally well below the flux magnitude.
178	

179 In addition to the long-term pattern of decreasing emissions after manure application, we 180 observed a diurnal pattern where maximum emission tended to occur in the late afternoon (16:00) (Fig. 2B). We believe this is related to the time of maximum soil surface temperature, 181 182 which occurs after the peak air temperature (Christensen et al., 1996; Wang et al., 2013). A 183 strong diurnal emission pattern implies that once-a-day snapshot emission measurements (e.g., chambers) would almost certainly give a biased estimate of the daily average emission rate. 184 185 We also noticed occasional high emissions at night, which was closely related to precipitation 186 events. Negative N₂O fluxes calculated from the FG measurements most likely represent instrument noise, as the flux magnitudes were below the detectable limit of our OP-FTIR 187 188 system, e.g., the uncertainty represented by the $1-\sigma$ error bars in Fig. 2 span zero.

189 Figure 2

190

191 **3.1.2 Chamber fluxes**

192 Nitrous oxide fluxes from the static chambers (once-a-day snapshots) were in general 193 agreement with the FG measurements in terms of the long-term pattern (Fig. 2): hourly fluxes 194 rose from a background level of 1.12 mg N₂O–N m⁻² h⁻¹ (before manure application, data is 195 not shown), reached a spike of 3.48 mg N₂O–N m⁻² h⁻¹ 48 hours after manure application, then 196 dropped to a minimum of 1.02 mg N₂O–N m⁻² h⁻¹ on 5 April. A maximum emission peak of 197 3.55 mg N₂O–N m⁻² h⁻¹ was measured on 16 April and was most likely related to fertilizer 198 application.





199

200 **3.2** Comparison of the two emission techniques

We selected the concurrent measurements from FG and the chambers and a total of 23 comparison pairs were obtained during the study period (note that each chamber observation is an average from four replicate chambers). We calculated the ratio Q_{FG}/Q_{chamber} of these concurrent pairs.

205

The $Q_{FG}/Q_{chamber}$ ratio showed large variation, with values ranging between 0.4 and 4.9. The 206 207 QFG/Q_{chamber} data follows a non-normal distribution. To better interpret these data we logtransformed the ratios (Abdi et al., 2015). The average of the natural logarithm of the ratio, 208 converted back to the ratio units, gives the geometric mean (the process was duplicated to 209 calculate the confidence interval $\alpha = 0.9$). The geometric mean of Q_{FG}/Q_{chamber} was 1.40, with 210 a confidence interval ranging from 1.15 to 1.69. This means that on average the FG measured 211 fluxes were 40% higher than those from the chambers, and this difference was statistically 212 213 significant.

214

Differences between chamber and micrometeorological measurements have been previously 215 216 noted. Some studies have reported that micrometeorological techniques gave emission rates 217 that were 50–60% of those from chambers (Christensen et al., 1996; Neftel et al., 2010). In contrast, Wang et al. (2013) reported N₂O emissions measured by chambers were 17-20% 218 lower than from the eddy covariance micrometeorological technique, and Norman et al. (1997) 219 reported that chamber measurements were 30% lower than micrometeorological 220 221 measurements. Sommer et al. (2004) found static vented chambers underestimated N₂O emissions from manure piles by 12-22% compared to mass balance measurements. 222





Discrepancies between FG and chamber fluxes could be due to very different measurement 224 footprints. Large spatial variability is a characteristic of soil N₂O emissions. For example, 225 Turner et al. (2008) reported N₂O emissions varied from 30 to 800 ng N₂O-N m⁻² s⁻¹ over an 226 227 irrigated dairy pasture $(8,100 \text{ m}^2)$. This high variability, together with the substantial difference in measurement footprint size (chambers $< 1 \text{ m}^2 \text{ vs } \text{FG} > 1000 \text{ m}^2$) will likely result in 228 229 differences between the two techniques because the chambers are not capable of accounting for this variability, unless many chambers are used, whilst the FG method can. If this explains 230 the difference between the two techniques, then discrepancies between chambers and 231 232 micrometeorological techniques should be site dependent, i.e., dependent on the degree of 233 spatial variability in emissions at each site.

234

Several researchers have reported that chamber procedures introduced large uncertainty in N₂O emissions (Levy et al., 2011; Venterea et al., 2010). In particular, using linear regression to determine the rate of change dC/dt in Eq. (1) can lead to an underestimate of emissions (Anthony et al., 1995; Matthias et al., 1978). Venterea (2013) concluded that the typical calculations used for non-steady state chambers underestimated N₂O emissions by 20–50%. Our results are in-line with this conclusion.

241

242 While there is a long and successful history of FG applications, there are still questions about 243 its implementation. The value of the turbulent Schmidt number (S_c) in Eq. (2) is debated (Flesch 244 et al., 2002). In addition, concerns about FG arise if the fetch (upwind distance from the 245 concentration measurement to the source edge) is not large. In our case the ratio of z_1 (the 246 maximum height of the OP-FTIR measurement path, 1.8 m) to the upwind fetch (minimum of 247 90–68 m for Sites 1 and 2, respectively) was < 1:35. This is considered to be sufficient in most 248 conditions, but during stable night-time conditions the fetch may not have been large enough





to satisfy the FG assumption of a constant flux layer (Flesch et al., 2016). In this case the FG measurements would have been contaminated by emissions occurring upwind of our sites. There is also a concern regarding the accuracy of FG during light winds. In our study the light wind data ($0.05-0.15 \text{ m s}^{-1}$) accounted for 24% of the measurement periods. We found the FG uncertainty (δ_{QFG}/Q_{FG}) increased from 0.41 to 1.25 when the friction velocity (u_*) dropped from 0.15 to 0.05 m s⁻¹. However, we note that in this study the periods in which we compared FG and chamber measurements were not light wind periods.

256

257 4 Conclusions

258 Our results showed that FG and static chamber measurements of soil N₂O emissions were statistically different, with fluxes from FG being on average 40% higher. Given the likelihood 259 260 of large spatial variability in N₂O emissions, and the vastly different measurement footprints 261 of the two methods, it is not surprising the two techniques give different results. It is difficult to conclude that one technique or the other is biased based on this experiment alone. However, 262 263 the relationship we observed, together with other reports on the biases created by chamber calculation procedures, supports an interpretation that our FG emission calculations were 264 265 accurate and in this instance the chamber measurements were biased too low.

266

The OP-FTIR flux gradient system used here showed the capability for real-time emission measurements over a large spatial footprint with no surface interference. Furthermore, being free from pumps and tubing, the open-path FG system would be particularly advantageous for measuring multiple gas emissions including "sticky" gases like NH₃.

271

272 **5** Author contribution





- 273 DC, HS, SKL, MB and TF designed the experiments and MB and SKL carried them out. TF
- and MB developed the techniques. MB prepared the manuscript with contributions from all
- 275 co-authors.
- 276

277 6 Competing interests

- 278 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 279

280 **7 Acknowledgments**

- 281 This study was funded by the Australian Department of Agriculture (DA), and the Canadian
- 282 Agricultural Greenhouse Gases Program (AGGP). The authors thank Schruers' vegetable farm,
- Adam Schruers and staff for their great support. The authors also thank Rohan Davies from
- 284 BASF Australia Ltd. for providing assistance. We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of the
- 285 staff and students from Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences soil research group at
- the University of Melbourne during this campaign. The valuable comments from three
- 287 unknown reviewers were appreciated.

288

289 References

- Abdi, D., Cade-Menun, B.J., Ziadi, N., Parent, L.-É., 2015. Compositional statistical analysis
 of soil ³¹P-NMR forms. Geoderma. 257–258, 40-47.
- Anthony, W.H., Hutchinson, G.L., Livingston, G.P., 1995. Chamber measurement of soilatmosphere gas exchange: Linear vs. diffusion-based flux models. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 59,
 1308-1310.
- Bai, M., 2010. Methane emissions from livestock measured by novel spectroscopictechniques, School of Chemistry. University of Wollongong, p. 303.
- 297 Christensen, S., Ambus, P., Arah, J.R.M., Clayton, H., Galle, B., Griffith, D.W.T.,
- Hargreaves, K.J., Klemedtsson, L., Lind, A.M., Maag, M., Scott, A., Skiba, U., Smith, K.A.,
- 299 Welling, M., Wienhold, F.G., 1996. Nitrous oxide emission from an agricultural field:
- 300 comparison between measurements by flux chamber and micrometerological techniques.
- 301 Atmos. Environ. 30, 4183.





- 302 Dalal, R.C., Allen, D.E., Livesley, S.J., Richards, G., 2008. Magnitude and biophysical
- regulators of methane emission and consumption in the Australian agricultural, forest, and
 submerged landscapes: a review. Plant Soil. 309, 43-76.
- de Klein, C.A.M., Sherlock, R.R., Cameron, K.C., van der Weerden, T.J., 2001. Nitrous
- oxide emissions from agricultural soils in New Zealand—A review of current knowledge and
- directions for future research. J. Roy. Soc. New Zeal. 31, 543-574.
- Denmead, O.T., 1979. Chamber systems for measuring nitrous oxide emission from soils in
 the field. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 43, 89-95.
- Denmead, O.T., 1995. Novel meteorological methods for measuing trace gas fluxes. Philos.
 T. R. Soc. A. 351, 383-396.
- Denmead, O.T., 2008. Approaches to measuring fluxes of methane and nitrous oxide between
 landscapes and the atmosphere. Plant and Soil. 309, 5-24.
- Denmead, O.T., Chen, D., Griffith, D.W.T., Loh, Z.M., Bai, M., Naylor, T., 2008. Emissions
 of the indirect greenhouse gases NH₃ and NO_x from Australian beef cattle feedlots. Aust. J.
 Exp. Agr. 48, 213-218.
- 317 Denmead, O.T., Macdonald, B.C.T., Bryant, G., Naylor, T., Wilson, S., Griffith, D.W.T.,
- 318 Wang, W.J., Salter, B., White, I., Moody, P.W., 2010. Emissions of methane and nitrous
- oxide from Australian sugarcane soils. Agric. Forest Meteorol. 150, 748-756.
- 320 Flesch, K.T., Baron, V., Wilson, J., Griffith, D.W.T., Basarab, J., Carlson, P., 2016.
- Agricultural gas emissions during the spring thaw: Applying a new measuremnt technique.
- 322 Agric. Forest Meteorol. 221, 111-121.
- Flesch, T.K., McGinn, S.M., Chen, D.L., Wilson, J.D., Desjardins, R.L., 2014. Data filtering
 for inverse dispersion emission calculations. Agric. Forest Meteorol. 198-199, 1-6.
- Flesch, T.K., Prueger, J.H., Hatfield, J.L., 2002. Turbulent Schmidt number from a tracerexperiment. J. Appl. Meteorol. 111, 299-307.
- Griffith, D.W.T., 1996. Synthetic calibration and quantitative analysis of gas-phase FT-IR
 spectra. Appl. Spectrosc. 50, 59-70.
- 329 Griffith, D.W.T., Deutscher, N.M., Caldow, C., Kettlewell, G., Riggenbach, M., Hammer, S.,
- 330 2012. A Fourier transform infrared trace gas and isotope analyser for atmospheric
- applications. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 5, 2481-2498.
- Griffith, D.W.T., Galle, B., 2000. Flux measurements of NH₃, N₂O and CO₂ using dual beam
 FTIR spectroscopy and the flux gradient technique. Atmos. Environ. 34, 1087-1098.
- Hargreaves, K.J., Wienhold, F.G., Klemedtsson, L., Arah, J.R.M., Beverland, I.J., Fowler, D.,
- 335 Galle, B., Griffith, D.W.T., Skiba, U., Smith, K.A., Welling, M., Harrris, G.W., 1996.
- 336 Measurement of nitrous oxide from Agricultural land using micrometeorological methods.
- 337 Atmos. Environ. 30, 1563-1571.
- Hutchinson, G.L., Mosier, A.R., 1981. Improved soil cover method for field measurement of
- nitrous oxide fluxes. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 45, 311-316.





- 340 Jones, S.K., Famulari, D., Di Marco, C.F., Nemitz, E., Skiba, U.M., Rees, R.M., Sutton,
- 341 M.A., 2011. Nitrous oxide emissions from managed grassland: a comparison of eddy
- covariance and static chamber measurements. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 4, 2179-2194.
- Judd, M.J., Kellier, F.M., Ulyatt, M.J., Lassey, K.R., Tate, K.R., Shelton, D., Harvey, M.J.,
- Walker, C.F., 1999. Net methane emissions from grazing sheep. Global Change Biol. 5, 647 657.
- Laubach, J., Kelliher, F.M., 2004. Measuring methane emission rates of a dairy cow herd by
 two micrometeorological techniques. Agric. Forest Meteorol. 125, 279-303.
- 348 Levy, P.E., Gray, A., Leeson, S.R., Gaiawyn, J., Kelly, M.P.C., Cooper, M.D.A., Dinsmore,
- 349 K.J., Jones, S.K., Sheppard, L.J., 2011. Quantification of uncertainty in trace gas fluxes
- measured by the static chamber method. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 62, 811-821.
- Li, J., Tong, X., Yu, Q., Dong, Y., Peng, C., 2008. Micrometeorological measurements of
 nitrous oxide exchange above a cropland. Atmos. Environ. 42, 6992-7001.
- Matthias, A.D., Yarger, D.N., Weinback, R.S., 1978. A numerical evaluation of chamber
 methods for determining gas fluxes. Geophys. Res. Lett. 5, 765-768.
- Neftel, A., Ammanna, C., Fischer, C., Spirig, C., Conen, F., Emmenegger, L., Tuzson, B.,
- 356 Wahlen, S., 2010. N₂O exchange over managed grassland: Application of a quantum cascade
- laser spectrometer for micrometeorological flux measurements. Agric. Forest Meteorol. 150,775-785.
- 359 Norman, J.M., Kucharik, C.J., Gower, S.T., Baldocchi, D.D., Crill, P.M., Rayment, M.,
- 360 Savage, K., Striegl, R.G., 1997. A comparison of six methods for measuring soil-surface
- 361 carbon dioxide fluxes. J. Geophys. Res. 102, 28771-28777.

Pattey, E., Strachan, I.B., Desjardins, R.L., Edwards, G.C., Dow, D., MacPherson, J.I., 2006.
Application of a tunable diode laser to the measurement of CH₄ and N₂O fluxes from field to
landscape scale using several micrometeorological techniques. Agric. Forest Meteorol. 136,
222-236.

- Ruser, R., Flessa, H., Schilling, R., Steindl, H., Beese, F., 1998. Soil compaction and
 fertilization effects on nitrous oxide and methane fluxes in potato fields. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.
 62, 1587-1595.
- Sommer, S.G., McGinn, S.M., Hao, X., Larney, F.J., 2004. Techniques for measuring gas
 emissions from a composting stock pile of cattle manure. Atmos. Environ. 38, 4643-4652.
- Turner, D.A., Chen, D., Galbally, I.E., Leuning, R., Edis, R.B., Li, Y., Kelly, K., Phillips, F.,
- 2008. Spatial variability of nitrous oxide emissions from an Australian irrigated dairy pasture.
 Plant and Soil. 309, 77-88.
- Venterea, R.T., 2013. Theoretical comparison of advanced methods for calculating nitrous
 oxide fluxes using non-steady state chambers. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 77, 709-720.
- 376 Venterea, R.T., Dolan, M., Ochsner, T.E., 2010. Urea decreases nitrous oxide emissions
- compared with anhydrous ammonia in a Minnesota corn cropping system. Soil Sci. Soc. Am.
 J. 74, 407-418.





- Wang, K., Zheng, X., Pihlatie, M., Vesala, T., Liu, C., Haapanala, S., Mammarella, I.,
- 380 Rannik, Ü., Liu, H., 2013. Comparison between static chamber and tunable diode laser-based
- eddy covariance techniques for measuring nitrous oxide fluxes from a cotton field. Agric.
- 382 Forest Meteorol. 171–172, 9-19.
- Webb, E.K., Pearman, G.I., Leuning, R., 1980. Correction of flux measurements for
- chemistry effects due to heat and water vapour transfer. Quart. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 106, 85-100.
- Wilson, J.D., Flesch, T.K., 2016. Generalized flux-gradient technique pairing line-average
 concentrations on vertically separated paths. Agric. Forest Meteorol. 220, 170-176.
- 388 Yao, Z., Zheng, X., Xie, B., Liu, C., Mei, B., Dong, H., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Zhu, J., 2009.
- $\label{eq:comparison} \mbox{S89} \quad \mbox{Comparison of manual and automated chambers for field measurements of N_2O, CH_4, CO_2}$
- 390fluxes from cultivated land. Atmos. Environ. 43, 1888-1896.
- 391
- 392 Figures
- 393 Figure 1
- 394



Figure 1 The design of the study (upper panel) and photo of experimental site with OP-FTIR set up (lower panel). Emission measurements were conducted with static chambers (four per site) and FG using the OP-FTIR spectroscopy system with retro reflectors at 0.8 and 1.8 m above ground. The figure is not in scale.







Figure 2 (a) Hourly N₂O fluxes measured by FG and static chambers from 25 March to 6 May. Air temperature and precipitation are plotted during the same period; and (b) subset of N₂O fluxes from 28 March to 8 April. Error bars (both upper and lower panels) represent $1-\sigma$ estimate of measurement uncertainty (δ_{QFG}) for the FG measurements and standard error for chambers. Manure was applied on 28 March 2014.