# An instrument for in situ measurement of total ozone reactivity

Roberto Sommariva<sup>1</sup>, Louisa J. Kramer<sup>1</sup>, Leigh R. Crilley<sup>1</sup>, Mohammed S. Alam<sup>1</sup>, and William J. Bloss<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK **Correspondence:** R. Sommariva (r.c.sommariva@bham.ac.uk)

Abstract. We present an instrument for the measurement of total ozone reactivity – the reciprocal of the chemical lifetime of ozone  $(O_3)$  – in the troposphere. The Total Ozone Reactivity System (TORS) was developed with the objective to study the role of biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) as chemical sinks of tropospheric ozone. The instrument was extensively characterized and tested in the laboratory using individual BVOCs and small plants (lemonthyme, *Thymus citriodorus*) in a

- 5 Teflon bag and proved able to measure reactivities corresponding to  $> 4.5 \times 10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup> (at 5 minutes averaging time), with an estimated total uncertainty of ~32%. Such reactivities correspond to >20 ppb of  $\alpha$ -pinene or >150 ppb of isoprene in isolation – larger than typical ambient levels, but observable in environmental chamber and enclosure experiments, as well as in BVOC-rich environments. The functionality of TORS was demonstrated in quasi-ambient conditions with a deployment in a horticultural glasshouse containing a range of aromatic plants. The measurements of total ozone reactivity made in the
- 10 glasshouse showed a clear diurnal pattern, following the emissions of BVOCs, and is consistent with mixing ratios of tens ppb of monoterpenes and several ppb of sesquiterpenes.

# 1 Introduction

Ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) is a key component of the troposphere: it is known to be damaging for human health and vegetation, to reduce crop yields, and it is an important greenhouse gas (Monks et al., 2015). Ozone is also a primary source of the OH radical, the
main atmospheric oxidant, and acts as an oxidant itself (Johnson and Marston, 2008). Because of its importance to tropospheric chemistry, the ozone budget has long been a subject of considerable interest. Ozone is not directly emitted, but is formed in the troposphere via photolysis of nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), followed by reaction of atomic oxygen with molecular oxygen (R1-R2):

$$NO_2 + h\nu \rightarrow O(^3P) + NO$$
 ( $\lambda < 420 \text{ nm}$ ) (R1)

$$O(^{3}P) + O_{2} + M \rightarrow O_{3} + M$$
(R2)

Ozone is destroyed in the troposphere via a series of processes, both physical (e.g., dry and wet deposition) and chemical (Monks et al., 2015). The latter involve photolysis (R3-R4) and reactions with a range of inorganic molecules and unsaturated volatile organic compounds (R5-R9):

$$O_3 + h\nu \to O(^3P) + O_2 \qquad (\lambda < 340 \text{ nm}) \tag{R3}$$

$$O_3 + h\nu \to O(^1D) + O_2 \qquad (\lambda < 340 \text{ nm})$$
 (R4)

$$0_3 + \mathrm{NO} \to \mathrm{NO}_2 + \mathrm{O}_2 \tag{R5}$$

$$O_3 + NO_2 \rightarrow NO_3 + O_2$$
 (R6)

$$O_3 + OH \to HO_2 + O_2 \tag{R7}$$

$$O_3 + HO_2 \rightarrow OH + 2O_2$$
 (R8)

$$O_3 + unsaturated VOCs \rightarrow products$$
 (R9)

Ozone photolysis forms atomic oxygen in ground  $(O(^{3}P))$  and excited  $(O(^{1}D))$  states, which primarily react with molecular oxygen in the atmosphere to reform ozone in a null cycle (R2, R10). However, a small fraction (~10% in the lower troposphere) of  $O(^{1}D)$  reacts with water vapour to form OH radicals (R11), a key process for the oxidative capacity of the atmosphere:

$$O(^{1}D) + O_{2} + M \rightarrow O_{3} + M$$
(R10)

$$O(^{1}D) + H_{2}O \rightarrow 2OH$$
(R11)

35 The chemical losses of ozone are one of the least understood parts of the tropospheric ozone budget, in particular because of the many unknowns related to the abundance of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and their reactivity with ozone (Johnson

and Marston, 2008; Glasius and Goldstein, 2016). The organic compounds that react with ozone contain one or more double carbon bonds (e.g., alkenes and dialkenes), and many of these species are emitted by plants during their metabolic processes. These biogenic VOCs (BVOCs) constitute a large fraction of the carbon loading of the atmosphere: estimates suggest that the

- 40
- total biogenic sources of VOCs can be 8-10 times larger than the total anthropogenic sources (Williams, 2004; Glasius and Goldstein, 2016). Isoprene is by far the most important reactive BVOC, accounting for  $\sim$ 50% of the total natural emissions of non-methane hydrocarbons by mass, followed by monoterpenes (15%), methanol (9%), CO (7%) and a range of other organic compounds which include acetone (4%) and sesquiterpenes (3%) (Guenther et al., 2012).
- However, due to the limitations of the analytical techniques used to measure VOCs, it is very likely that both the number and the mass of BVOCs in the troposphere are severely underestimated (Di Carlo et al., 2004; Sinha et al., 2010; Whalley 45 et al., 2011). Estimates vary depending on the approach used, but it is thought that between 20% and 60% (and possibly more) of the total organic carbon pool in the troposphere is currently unaccounted for (Lewis et al., 2000; Goldstein and Galbally, 2007; Glasius and Goldstein, 2016). A significant fraction of these unmeasured organic compounds is constituted by biogenic VOCs: besides isoprene, only a few monoterpenes (e.g.,  $\alpha$ -pinene,  $\beta$ -pinene, limonene) and even fewer sesquiterpenes (e.g.,
- 50  $\beta$ -caryophyllene) are routinely measured in the atmosphere (Bouvier-Brown et al., 2009; Hellén et al., 2018). Sesquiterpenes are particularly challenging to measure, due to their low vapour pressure, and therefore their ambient levels are most likely underestimated (Pollmann et al., 2005; Duhl et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2009).

Most BVOCs are reactive with OH and many of them, such as isoprene, monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes, include double carbon bonds and therefore also react with  $O_3$ : therefore, the existence of a significant pool of unknown and unmeasured BVOCs has important consequences for the quantification of the ozone budget, which is crucial to understand the environmental

- 55 and societal impacts of ozone pollution. The oxidation of BVOCs by ozone is especially important because it forms additional pollutants, such as secondary organic aerosol, and impacts key chemical processes, such as the conversion of NO to NO<sub>2</sub> and therefore the formation of ozone via R1-R2, as well as the radical budget (Lewis et al., 2000; Glasius and Goldstein, 2016). Missing a large fraction of BVOCs means that all these processes remain potentially underestimated.
- 60 One way to address this problem is to expand the number of VOCs that can be measured. This approach has achieved some success, thanks to comprehensive 2-dimensional gas chromatographic techniques (Pankow et al., 2012; Edwards et al., 2013; Glasius and Goldstein, 2016). However, the chemical complexity of the composition of ambient air makes it difficult, if not impossible, to completely quantify the VOC loading of the atmosphere. An alternative approach is to measure an integrated chemical property of all VOCs, such as the chemical lifetime, which includes all the reactions that remove a given species, in
- this case  $O_3$  (R3-R9). Instruments to directly measure the total ozone reactivity have been demonstrated by Park et al. (2013) 65 and Matsumoto (2011, 2014), and used for laboratory studies of gas-phase ozonolysis reactions (Matsumoto, 2016).

This paper presents an instrument designed to measure total ozone reactivity under ambient, environmental chamber and branch enclosure conditions. The Total Ozone Reactivity System (TORS) was developed from the instrument described by Matsumoto (2014) with several modifications, as described below. Section 2 describes the theoretical and operating principles

of TORS, while Sect. 3 and Sect. 4 describe the design and the laboratory characterization of the TORS instrument, respectively. 70 In Sect. 5 the TORS instrument is evaluated with three types of experiments, increasingly approaching ambient conditions: laboratory measurements with known concentrations of selected BVOCs, laboratory measurements with small plants, and quasi-ambient measurements in a horticultural glasshouse.

# 2 Ozone Reactivity

### 75 2.1 Reactivity measurements

The atmospheric lifetime ( $\tau$ ) of a generic species A is defined as the inverse of its total chemical loss rate, i.e. of its chemical reactivity (R):

$$\tau_{(A)} = \frac{1}{R_{(A)}} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i} k_i [\mathbf{X}]_i}$$
(1)

where  $[X]_i$  is the concentration of a molecule reacting with A and  $k_i$  is the corresponding bimolecular rate coefficient. The chemical lifetime of a species has long been considered a useful diagnostic parameter, especially to investigate the loss terms of key atmospheric oxidants, such as the OH radical, which are generally less well known than the respective production terms (Bell et al., 2003).

The comparison between the directly measured lifetime and the lifetime calculated from an independent determination of  $k_i$ and  $[X]_i$  (Eq. 1) allows us to understand whether all the loss terms for a given species have been accounted for. This approach

- has been used with success to investigate the budget of the OH radical (Kovacs and Brune, 2001; Ingham et al., 2009; Sinha et al., 2010; Whalley et al., 2016; Fuchs et al., 2017; Sanchez et al., 2018), of the HO<sub>2</sub> radical (Miyazaki et al., 2013) and of the NO<sub>3</sub> radical (Sobanski et al., 2016; Liebmann et al., 2018). Measurements of OH reactivity have also helped to discover previously unknown chemistry in terms of recycling and losses of the OH radical (Di Carlo et al., 2004; Lou et al., 2010; Whalley et al., 2011).
- 90 The same principle can be used to investigate the chemical loss of ozone. From reactions R1-R11, the rate of production/loss of tropospheric ozone can be calculated as:

$$\frac{d[O_3]}{dt} = -k_{\rm NO}[\rm NO][O_3] - k_{\rm NO2}[\rm NO_2][O_3] - k_{\rm OH}[\rm OH][O_3] - k_{\rm HO2}[\rm HO_2][O_3] - \Sigma_i k_i [\rm VOC]_i [O_3] - \alpha j_{\rm O3}[O_3] + j_{\rm NO2}[\rm NO_2]$$
(2)

95

where  $\alpha$  is the fraction of atomic oxygen that does not reform O<sub>3</sub> via reaction with O<sub>2</sub> (R11). Halogens (X = Cl, Br, I) can also react with ozone to form halogen oxides (XO), although this process is likely minor in continental environments far from the main halogen sources (Monks et al., 2015; Simpson et al., 2015). Under the typical unpolluted conditions that can be encountered in a forested environment (NO = 50 ppt, NO<sub>2</sub> = 500 ppt, OH =  $5 \times 10^6$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>, HO<sub>2</sub> =  $1 \times 10^8$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>, Griffith et al. (2013)), the loss of ozone to NO<sub>2</sub>, OH and HO<sub>2</sub> is a factor of 50-100 times slower than the loss of ozone to NO (Table 1). Under more polluted conditions, NO<sub>2</sub>, OH and HO<sub>2</sub> reactions are even less important as ozone sinks compared to NO.

- During the night when photolysis rates are zero, and the concentrations of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> are typically 2 orders of magnitude lower than during the day NO is titrated to NO<sub>2</sub> (R5) soon after sunset, leading to the formation of NO<sub>3</sub> radicals (R6). The rate coefficient of O<sub>3</sub> + NO<sub>2</sub> is small (k = 3.52 × 10<sup>-17</sup> cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, Table 1) compared to the rate coefficients of most monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes with O<sub>3</sub> (since its emissions are light dependent, isoprene is normally not present at night (Guenther et al., 2012)): for example, 1 ppb of NO<sub>2</sub> has the same O<sub>3</sub> reactivity as 0.37 ppb of α-pinene and 0.16 ppb of limonene (at 298 K). This means that NO<sub>2</sub> can be a significant ozone loss during the night if its concentration is high which
- is not usually the case in unpolluted forested environments. Equation 2 can thus be simplified to:

$$\frac{d[O_3]}{dt} = -k_{\rm NO}[\rm NO][O_3] - k_{\rm NO2}[\rm NO_2][O_3] - \Sigma_i k_i [\rm VOC]_i [O_3] - \alpha j_{\rm O3}[O_3] + j_{\rm NO2}[\rm NO_2]$$
(3)

where the NO and photolysis terms are significant only during the day and the NO<sub>2</sub> term is significant only during the night (and under relatively high NO<sub>x</sub> conditions). The concentrations of O<sub>3</sub>, NO and NO<sub>2</sub>, their rate coefficients with O<sub>3</sub> and the photolysis rates of O<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> can be measured and/or are well known. Therefore, Eq. 3 can be used to evaluate the contribution of the volatile organic compounds ( $\Sigma_i k_i [VOC]_i$ ). As mentioned in Sect. 1, only a handful of the VOCs that react with ozone are routinely measured, which likely leads to underestimation of the VOC contribution to ozone loss. If the loss

rate of ozone can be directly measured, it is possible to determine the total VOC loading and compare it with the measured VOCs, thus allowing an estimate of missing (i.e., non measured) VOCs.

# 115 2.2 TORS concept

110

The Total Ozone Reactivity System (TORS) is based on the technique developed by Matsumoto (2014). At its core, the system is a dark flow tube reactor in which a known amount of ozone is reacted with a sample (e.g., NO, unsaturated VOCs). If the change in the concentration of the co-reactants in the sample is small, the reaction follows pseudo first-order kinetics and the change in ozone concentration is described by:

120 
$$[O_3]_t = [O_3]_0 \times e^{-k't}$$
 (4)

where  $[O_3]_0$  and  $[O_3]_t$  are, respectively, the initial and final ozone concentrations, k' is the pseudo first-order rate coefficient and t is the reaction time, which corresponds to the reactor residence time and can be determined experimentally (Sect. 4.1). Equation 4 can be solved analytically provided the reaction time and the initial and final  $O_3$  concentrations are known:

$$k' = \frac{-ln([O_3]_t/[O_3]_0)}{t}$$
(5)

125

TORS provides a direct measurement of k', which includes the chemical reactions inside the reactor (described by Eq. 3) plus other O<sub>3</sub> removal processes, such as the loss of ozone on the reactor wall. Since the reactor is completely dark, the photolysis terms in Eq. 3 can be neglected and the only contributors to the O<sub>3</sub> chemical loss are NO and VOCs. The focus of this study is on the reactivity of VOCs (Sect. 1), and therefore the loss of  $O_3$  due to NO and to the reactor wall need to be subtracted. For the purpose of this work, we define ozone reactivity ( $R_{O3}$ ) as:

130 
$$R_{O3} = k' - R_{NO} - R_{wall} = k' - k_{NO}[NO] - R_{wall} = \Sigma_i k_i [VOC]_i$$
 (6)

where  $R_{\rm NO}$  is the removal of O<sub>3</sub> by reaction with NO and  $R_{\rm wall}$  is the loss of O<sub>3</sub> on the reactor wall. This definition of  $R_{\rm O3}$  is directly comparable to the definition by Matsumoto (2014, 2016), since those experiments were conducted in zero air (i.e., at  $R_{\rm NO} = 0$ ). The ozone loss on the reactor wall ( $R_{\rm wall}$ ) is a potentially significant parameter in the TORS technique and requires accurate and precise determination (Sect. 4.2). Another potentially important factor is the effect of secondary reactions, which

135 can increase the loss of ozone (R5-R8) causing overestimation of  $R_{O3}$  or can decrease the concentration of VOCs in the sample via reactions other than ozonolysis (e.g., if there is significant formation of NO<sub>3</sub> in the reactor via R6) causing underestimation of  $R_{O3}$ .

Particularly important can be the formation of OH radicals from VOC ozonolysis (Paulson et al., 1999; Rickard et al., 1999; Johnson and Marston, 2008): to remove this interference, an OH scrubber, such as cyclohexane, can be added to the system. Cyclohexane does not react with  $O_3$ , but reacts quickly with OH ( $k = 6.95 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) and forms organic peroxy radicals which combine with HO<sub>2</sub> to form products that do not react with  $O_3$  (Alam et al., 2011). Therefore, cyclohexane acts as an efficient OH scrubber when present at high mixing ratios (ppm level). The effects of the ozone wall loss and of the secondary chemistry of ozonolysis products were investigated with a box-model simulation of the TORS chemistry (Sect. 2.3) and with laboratory characterization experiments (Sect. 4.2).

# 145 2.3 Simulation of TORS chemistry

A box-model was used to simulate the chemical reactions occurring in the TORS reactor. The main objective of the model was to assess the effect of the OH radicals generated by the ozonolysis of VOCs and the role of the OH scrubber, as well as the impact of potential interfering chemistry such as removal of ozone by OH,  $HO_2$  and  $NO_2$  (R6-R8), and removal of VOCs by OH and  $NO_3$  radicals.

- The model was assembled using the AtChem2 modelling toolkit (Sommariva et al., 2020) with the inorganic chemistry and the oxidation mechanisms of  $\alpha$ -pinene and cyclohexane taken from the Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM v3.3.1, Saunders et al. (2003)). The cyclohexane mechanism was updated to include a more accurate representation of the ring-opening path of the cyclohexoxy radical, following Alam et al. (2011), although the model results were not subtantially different from those of a model using the MCM standard cyclohexoxy radical scheme ( $\leq 0.1\%$ ). It must be noted that the model results depend on
- 155 the VOC used, as the OH yield from ozone + alkene reactions can vary from 0.16 for ethene to 0.90 for 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene (Johnson and Marston, 2008). The choice of  $\alpha$ -pinene for the model, as well as for the laboratory experiments (Sect. 5.1) is due to the fact that  $\alpha$ -pinene is one of the most abundant BVOC (Guenther et al., 2012). It also has a high OH yield (0.8, Johnson and Marston (2008)), allowing an estimate of the upper bounds of the the potential interferences caused by OH chemistry.

The model was initialized with a range of α-pinene mixing ratios (0.1-50 ppb) and, for each, with a range of cyclohexane
mixing ratios (0-20 ppm). The initial mixing ratios of NO and NO<sub>2</sub> were 50 and 500 ppt, respectively, representative of an unpolluted forested environment (Griffith et al., 2013). The initial O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio was set to 120 ppb, as used during the experimental work (Sect. 4.3). The model runtime was 300 seconds, covering the range of potential instrument residence times (Sect. 4.1). A summary of the model results is shown in Fig. 1.

- The removal of  $\alpha$ -pinene during the residence time in the reactor was ~7% and 4-5% for initial  $\alpha$ -pinene mixing ratios of 0.1 ppb and  $\geq$ 0.5 ppb, respectively (Fig. 1a). In the absence of cyclohexane, the removal of  $\alpha$ -pinene was slightly higher (1-2 percentage points) due to reaction with OH radicals. These numbers indicate that the consumption of  $\alpha$ -pinene inside the reactor was over an order of magnitude smaller than its initial concentration and, therefore, the chemical system approached the pseudo first-order conditions required for the TORS method (Sect. 2.2). The error in the determination of ozone reactivity caused by the assumption of pseudo first-order conditions can be estimated at <4% for  $\alpha$ -pinene mixing ratios >10 ppb. As
- 170 expected (Alam et al., 2011), the reactions with oxidation products of cyclohexane were not significant sinks for ozone: apart from the wall loss, which is not included in the model, the loss of  $O_3$  inside the reactor was less than 1.5% for  $\alpha$ -pinene mixing ratios up to 50 ppb and was independent on the concentration of cyclohexane (Fig. 1b).

The average modelled concentrations of the OH radical at different levels of cyclohexane are shown in Fig. 1c. In the absence of cyclohexane, the model calculated OH concentrations between  $1.3 \times 10^6$  and  $4 \times 10^6$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> for  $\alpha$ -pinene

- 175 mixing ratios of 0.1 ppb and 50 ppb, respectively. With addition of the OH scrubber, the simulated concentration of OH in the reactor decreased by 2 orders of magnitude at mixing ratios of cyclohexane between 1 ppm (for  $\alpha$ -pinene <5 ppb) and 10 ppm (for  $\alpha$ -pinene = 50 ppb). Increasing the level of cyclohexane above 10 ppm did not cause further significant decrease in the calculated concentration of OH, nor a reduction in the loss of ozone and  $\alpha$ -pinene (Fig. 1a-c), at least within the range of  $\alpha$ -pinene concentrations explored by the model. Figure 1d shows that the ozone reactivities determined with 1 and 20 ppm of
- 180 cyclohexane were essentially the same for  $\alpha$ -pinene initial mixing ratios up to 50 ppb. Moreover, the model results show that the differences between the ozone reactivity calculated with and without cyclohexane were between +1% and -6%, depending on the  $\alpha$ -pinene level (Fig. 1d). This demonstrates that OH chemistry has a small overall impact on the determination of total ozone reactivity, a conclusion that is supported by the laboratory experiments (Sect. 5.1).
- Model calculated HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were less than 1 × 10<sup>8</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>, meaning that ozone reactivity with HO<sub>2</sub> was
  two orders of magnitude lower than ozone reactivity with α-pinene (at 10 ppb of α-pinene). Only at very low concentrations of α-pinene (<0.1 ppb) was HO<sub>2</sub> a significant sink for ozone. The presence of NO<sub>3</sub> radicals in the reactor is a potentially important interference for the TORS technique, both because its formation consumes O<sub>3</sub> (R6) and because NO<sub>3</sub> reacts quickly with α-pinene (k = 6.2 × 10<sup>-12</sup> cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). Ambient NO<sub>3</sub> is likely lost in the inlet before the reactor, since the transmission of NO<sub>3</sub> through the inlet a 6 mm diameter, 5 m long teflon tube with a residence time of ~4 seconds is
  poor (Dubé et al., 2006). However, NO<sub>3</sub> can be formed inside the reactor via R6 and the model calculated NO<sub>3</sub> formation of
- the order of  $10^6$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> for  $\alpha$ -pinene mixing ratios >5 ppb. Although the rate coefficient of  $\alpha$ -pinene + NO<sub>3</sub> is 5 orders of magnitude larger than the rate coefficient of  $\alpha$ -pinene + O<sub>3</sub>, the ozone concentration in the reactor is 6-7 orders of magnitude higher than the concentration of NO<sub>3</sub>. Therefore the reactivity of  $\alpha$ -pinene with O<sub>3</sub> was 1-2 orders of magnitude

larger than its reactivity with  $NO_3$  (Table 1). It must also be noted that  $NO_3$  formation in the reactor is only an issue for ambient

195 measurements under moderate or high  $NO_x$  conditions, not for laboratory, enclosure and environmental chamber experiments under low or zero  $NO_x$  conditions.

To summarize, the model of the TORS reactor suggest that, under the typical operating conditions described in Sect. 4.3, the concentrations of  $HO_2$  and  $NO_3$  are too small to compete with BVOCs for reaction with  $O_3$ . Additionally, the model provides no indication that the products of the oxidation of cyclohexane, when used as OH scrubber, can significantly affect the determination of total ozone reactivity. While ppm levels of cyclohexane effectively eliminate the OH radicals formed by

- the determination of total ozone reactivity. While ppm levels of cyclohexane effectively eliminate the OH radicals formed by BVOC ozonolysis reactions, the model suggests that ozone reactivities determined with and without an OH scrubber differ by <6%. The model results are in agreement with the discussion in Sect. 2.1, where it was concluded that the decay of  $O_3$ in the TORS reactor is predominantly due to the reactions with NO and VOCs, alongside loss on the reactor wall (Eq. 6). It is important to note, however, that the conclusions drawn from the model simulations may vary depending on the chemical conditions in the reactor, as several factors affect the chemistry inside the TORS reactors: the type and mixture of VOCs in the
- sample, their OH yields, the ambient concentrations of NO and  $NO_2$  and, to a lesser extent, ambient temperature and pressure (which influence the rate coefficients of chemical reactions). To date, the effect of temperature and pressure is negligible, as the system has been operated under near-ambient conditions.

# 3 Instrumentation

#### 210 3.1 Description of TORS

The operating principles of TORS are described in Sect. 2.1 and Sect. 2.2, and a diagram of the TORS instrument is shown in Fig. 2. The reactor is a 1 m long polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) tube with an external diameter of 90 mm and an internal diameter of 87.33 mm. Several different materials and geometries for the reactor were tested during the instrument development phase and this design was found to allow a residence time inside the reactor sufficient for the ozonolysis reactions to take place to a suitably measurable extent, while minimizing the consumption of VOCs – in order to maintain pseudo-first order conditions

215

– and the loss of ozone on the reactor wall (Sect. 4.1).

An ozone flow is generated by irradiating a flow of zero air with a UV mercury lamp (UVP Ltd., UK); a zero air flow is added downstream the mercury lamp to control the concentration of ozone (Fig. 2). The ozone flow is mixed with the sample flow just before the reactor and the initial ozone concentration  $([O_3]_0)$  is measured at this point, while the final ozone concentration  $([O_3]_t)$  is measured at the exit point of the reactor (Fig. 2). Depending on the instrument settings, this setup produces an  $O_3$  mixing ratio in the reactor of 100-140 ppb. Ozone concentrations are measured using two identical UV photometric  $O_3$  monitors (Model 49i, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The Model 49i  $O_3$  monitor has a stated detection limit of 1 ppb and a precision of 0.25 ppb at 1 minute averaging time. The reactor can be bypassed using two 3-way teflon valves, so that the two ozone monitors can simultaneously measure the  $O_3$  concentration before it enters the the reactor, thus allowing the ozone measurements to be corrected for any difference between the two monitors (Sect. 4.3). In addition, a T/RH probe (HMP110, Vaisala Oyj, Finland) is inserted in the reactor to monitor temperature and relative humidity. All the flows in the TORS instrument are controlled with mass flow controllers (Brooks Instrument LLC, USA) using a custom-built control box (IGI Systems Ltd, UK). The signals from the ozone monitors, the T/RH probe and the mass flow controllers are logged on a laptop and processed with bespoke software in the R programming language.

230

A potentially important factor for TORS is the stability of the ozone source: highly variable levels of  $O_3$  in the ozone flow (Fig. 2) can affect the determination of the ozone reactivity and increase the signal-to-noise ratio of the instrument. The ozone mixing ratio generated by the mercury lamp was found to vary, on average, by 0.4-0.6 ppb (5 minutes,  $2\sigma$ ), i.e. less than 1%. The ozone reactivity measurements reported in Sect. 5 were all averaged to 5 minutes.

#### 3.2 **Supporting VOC measurements**

- 235 A proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (PTR-QiTOF-MS, Ionicon Analytik GmBH, Austria) was used to measure VOC concentrations during the laboratory experiments (Sect. 5.1 and Sect. 5.2). The instrument (Sulzer et al., 2014) was operated according to the standard operating conditions recommended by the manufacturer (drift pressure = 3.8 mbar, drift tube temperature = 80 °C and E/N = 129 Td), using  $H_3O^+$  as the reagent ion. Calibration was performed using a TO-14A aromatics standard mixture (Airgas Inc., USA). This mixture does not contain biogenic compounds, so a mass transmission 240 curve calculated using the calibration gas was used for quantification. Recent work by Holzinger et al. (2019) showed that a PTR-MS operated under standard conditions is able to accurately measure concentration of uncalibrated compounds (to within 30%) using a mass transmission curve, if these compounds have high proton affinity and do not undergo unknown fragmentation. This assumption is likely valid for BVOCs, such as isoprene and monoterpenes (Holzinger et al., 2019), which were the primary focus of this work. The limits of detection determined using zero air for calibrated compounds were in the
- order of 20-80 ppt. 245

Measurements of BVOCs can be problematic for monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes, as the PTR technique cannot distinguish between isomers (de Gouw and Warneke, 2007). Typically, when measured by PTR-MS the main fragment ions for monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes are at m/z 81.07 and 149.1, respectively, and this fragmentation is independent of the structure of the isomers (Tani et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2009). Therefore total monoterpene and sesquiterpene concentrations were estimated 250 using the abundances of the protonated parent ions (m/z 137 and 205, respectively) and of the main fragment ions (m/z 81 and 149, respectively). Other compounds associated with biogenic emissions (e.g., substituted monoterpene alcohols, after loss of a neutral  $H_2O$  can also be detected at these fragment ions (Kim et al., 2010) and thus the estimated concentrations shown here may be considered upper limits.

255

The PTR-MS instrument was not available for the experiments outside the laboratory (Sect. 5.3). Instead, samples were taken with adsorption tubes, which were desorbed using a TD Unity-2 thermal desorption unit (Markes Int., UK) and subsequently analyzed with a gas chromatograph (GC 7890B, Agilent Technologies, USA) interfaced with a BenchTOF-Select time-offlight mass spectrometer with tandem ionisation (Markes Int., UK). Further information on the analytical technique and the GC protocol can be found in Alam et al. (2016). The GC-MS analysis were only qualitative due to unavailability of appropriate calibration standards, and the data were used to identify the VOCs in the samples.

#### 260 4 Characterization of TORS

#### 4.1 Residence time

265

The residence time is one of the key parameters in Eq. 5 and therefore needs to be determined as accurately as possible. The geometry of the reactor and the total flow (sample + ozone) affect the residence time. Three methods were used to determine the residence time: 1) direct measurement using a double injection of acetone 2) indirect measurement via determination of NO reactivity with  $O_3$  and 3) calculation using the flow rate and the internal volume of reactor (5990 cm<sup>3</sup>).

- Method 1 is illustrated in Fig. 3: the reactor was connected to the PTR-MS and aliquots of 0.05  $\mu$ l of acetone, in a flow of zero air, were injected simultaneously at the entrance and at the exit of the reactor. Acetone was used as a tracer for these experiments because it is easily ionised by H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> and does not undergo fragmentation, and consequently is straightforward to detect by PTR-MS at its protonated mass (m/z 59). The simplest way to determine the residence time is to measure the time lag
- 270 between the detection of the first and the second acetone peak signals by the PTR-MS ("maxima" in Fig. 3). However, the flow dynamics inside the reactor are complex and, as a consequence, there is no single value of residence time, but a distribution (Cazorla and Brune, 2010; Huang, 2016). Hence, the acetone signal from each injection can also be used to determine the mean residence time in the reactor ("means" in Fig. 3): the residence times estimated using the "means" calculations are about 40% larger than those estimated using the "maxima" calculations.
- Method 2 uses the TORS technique to measure the reactivity of O<sub>3</sub> (~20 ppb) with NO: the sample flow contained only ~100 ppb of NO (diluted from a certified gas cylinder, 4.90 ± 0.25 ppm in N<sub>2</sub>, by BOC UK) and, since the rate coefficient of NO + O<sub>3</sub> is known (1.89 × 10<sup>-14</sup> cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 298 K, with an uncertainty of 17% (Atkinson et al., 2004)), the only unknown variable in Eq. 5 was the reaction time *t*. Since under these conditions the chemical system deviates from pseudo first-order conditions, the mean concentration of NO inside the reactor was used to analyze the experimental results.
  The averages of several experiments conducted at different flow rates are shown in Fig. 4a. Method 3 assumes perfect instant
- mixing and plug flow in the reactor: the calculation for a range of flow rates is shown in Fig. 4b.

All three methods offer internally consistent results, within the respective uncertainties (Fig. 4b). Method 3 agrees well with the acetone injection "means" calculation, but overestimates the residence times determined with method 2 by ~18%. Method 2 relies on well known kinetic parameters and implicitly takes into account the real distribution of flow paths through the reactor. Method 2 also provides a simple test of the TORS functionality using NO instead of a BVOC. In the experiments described in Sect. 5, we used a value of 140 seconds for the residence time, determined by fitting a 2<sup>nd</sup> degree polynomial to all three methods, as shown in Fig. 4b for a reactor flow of 2470 secm.

# 4.2 Ozone wall loss

One of the most important parameters and key uncertainties of the TORS technique is the ozone wall loss ( $R_{wall}$ ), as discussed in Sect. 2.2. Other species can be lost on the reactor surface, but these are likely to have little or no impact on the determination of the ozone reactivity either because they do not react with O<sub>3</sub> (e.g., multifunctional products of VOC oxidation) or because they are present at very low concentrations. Measured  $R_{O3}$  is obtained by subtracting the loss of  $O_3$  on the reactor wall ( $R_{wall}$ ) and, if present, to NO ( $R_{NO}$ ) from the total loss rate of  $O_3$  (Eq. 6). Small changes in the  $O_3$  wall loss could lead to significant variation in the final determination of

- 295 ozone reactivity. It is therefore important to minimize this parameter in order to reduce the uncertainty of the measurement. In the design stage, several materials and sizes were tested under dry and humid conditions: 1) a glass cylinder (5 x 70 cm), 2) a quartz cylinder (9 x 100 cm), 3) a PFA coil (1.9 x 1524 cm), 4) a PTFE cylinder (9 x 100 cm). Based on these experiments, the large diameter (OD=9 cm, ID = 8.73 cm) PTFE cylinder showed the lowest ozone wall loss and minimal dependence on humidity.
- The ozone wall loss was regularly determined during measurements by switching to a flow of zero air instead of the regular sample with a 3-way teflon valve (Fig. 2): in this operating mode there are no reactants (NO or VOCs) in the reactor and the measured ozone reactivity is equal to  $R_{wall}$ . During each experiment and measurement period, multiple determinations of the ozone wall loss were made. Figure 5 shows the average  $R_{wall}$  determined during a series of laboratory experiments (Sect. 5.1 and Sect. 5.2), as a function of measured humidity, temperature and time.  $R_{wall}$  showed a weak dependence on the relative
- 305 humidity inside the reactor  $(R_{wall} = 9.6 \times 10^{-7} \times RH + 4.4 \times 10^{-5})$ , with  $R^2 = 0.198)$ . Ambient humidity is usually higher than the range shown in Fig. 5, but because of the dilution of the sample flow by the dry ozone flow before entering the reactor (Fig. 2), the relative humidity in the reactor is always less than 50%. There was no clear dependence of  $R_{wall}$  on temperature, at least in the limited range experienced in the laboratory (14-24 °C). The ozone wall loss can be expected to vary with time, as the surface of the reactor is passivated and exposed to ambient air, but there was no obvious temporal trend over the nine 310 month period of these experiments (Fig. 5).

Although there was no clear pattern with respect to the measured parameters, it is apparent that there was significant variability in the ozone wall loss and, therefore, it is necessary to measure  $R_{wall}$  often during an experiment and/or ambient measurements. The average standard deviation of  $R_{wall}$  was  $2.4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and the interquartile range was  $0.5 - 1.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (mean =  $9.8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , median =  $7.1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ), which corresponds to the reactivity of 21-51 ppb of  $\alpha$ -pinene (mean = 41.5 ppb, median = 30 ppb). The range of the measured ozone wall losses suggests that the limit of detection of TORS is of the order of a few tens of ppb of  $\alpha$ -pinene or the equivalent concentration, in terms of reactivity, of other BVOCs (Table 1); the limit of detection was quantified with laboratory experiments using known concentrations of  $\alpha$ -pinene, as described in Sect. 5.1.

# 4.3 TORS operation

320 The flows in the TORS instrument are constrained by several competing factors (Fig. 2): first, the total flow (sample + ozone) must be larger than the inlet flows of both  $O_3$  monitors, which are fixed at ~1.4 slpm each. Second, the residence time in the reactor must be long enough to allow the ozonolysis reactions to take place to a measurable extent, but short enough that the consumption of the reactants does not become significant, so that pseudo first-order conditions are maintained (Sect. 2.2).

During the design phase of the TORS instrument several combinations of flow settings were experimented with, eventually settling on a sample flow of  $\sim 2.3$  slpm and an ozone flow – composed of a flow of zero air through the ozone lamp, plus a dilution flow to control the concentration of ozone, and a flow of cyclohexane as OH scrubber – of  $\sim 1.5$  slpm (Fig. 2). These settings result in a reactor flow rate of 2470 sccm, corresponding to a residence time of 140 seconds (Sect. 4.1). Since the sample flow is mixed with the ozone flow (Fig. 2), a correction factor needs to be applied to account for the dilution of the sample by the ozone flow. In the experiments and measurements described in Sect. 5, the mixing ratio of ozone at the entrance of the reactor was  $\sim$ 120 ppb. The instrument settings, such as the residence time and the ozone concentration, can in principle

be varied to adjust the sensitivity of TORS for environments with different values of  $R_{O3}$ .

Once the flows are set, the basic operation cycle of the TORS instrument consists of 3 main steps:

- 1. "bypass mode" to check the agreement between the two  $O_3$  monitors: the sample flow is substituted with an equal flow of zero air and the reactor is bypassed for a period of approximately 15 minutes. In this mode, both monitors measure the  $O_3$  concentration in zero air (i.e., with no reactants) before the entrance of the reactor, so that a correction factor can be derived if they differ. In this work, the difference between the  $O_3$  monitors was checked every day at the start and at the end of each experiment/measurement period, and was typically ~1 ppb.
- 2. "wall loss mode" to determine  $R_{wall}$  (Eq. 6): the sample flow is substituted with an equal flow of zero air inside the reactor for a period of approximately half an hour. The effect of the humidity change in the reactor within such a short period of time was found to be negligible. In this work, the wall loss was determined every 2-3 hours.
- 3. "sampling mode", the main operation mode of the instrument with the sample flow containing BVOCs and/or NO.

The rate coefficients required by Eq. 6 to retrieve the ozone reactivity are calculated using the actual temperature measured inside the reactor (Fig. 2) and ambient pressure. This procedure was followed during all the experiments and measurements described in Sect. 5.

#### 345 **5** Evaluation of TORS

330

335

340

350

355

The TORS instrument was tested in a series of experiments to evaluate its functionality and potential. The experiments were designed and conducted in order of increasing complexity from individual species in laboratory conditions to the complex BVOCs mixture of a horticultural glasshouse. The TORS instrument was at first tested in the laboratory using pure  $\alpha$ -pinene (Sect. 5.1), followed by emissions from small plants (Sect. 5.2). After these tests showed that the instrument was behaving as expected under controlled conditions, it was deployed in a glasshouse containing various aromatic plants to demonstrate that TORS can measure total ozone reactivity under quasi-ambient conditions (Sect. 5.3).

It must be noted that the rate coefficients of  $O_3$  with BVOCs span several orders of magnitude (Table 1). Therefore, for individual species in isolation, a measured ozone reactivity of (for example)  $2.36 \times 10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup> corresponds equally to 1.9 ppm of camphene, 10 ppb of  $\alpha$ -pinene, 75 ppb of isoprene, 80 ppt of  $\beta$ -caryophyllene. This affects the interpretation of  $R_{O3}$ measurements by TORS, particularly if the composition of the sample is not known, as would be the case when taking ambient

measurements.

### 5.1 Laboratory experiments

Several laboratory experiments were carried out using known concentrations of a selected biogenic compound. A thermostated diffusion tube and pure  $\alpha$ -pinene (98%, from Sigma-Aldrich) in zero air were used to provide a constant source of BVOC. The

360

365

diffusion rate was controlled by varying the temperature of the diffusion tube and determined by regularly weighing it with a precision balance over a period of several weeks. The concentration of  $\alpha$ -pinene was then calculated from the diffusion rate and confirmed via direct measurements by PTR-MS (Sect. 3.2), with an agreement of ~14%.

The values of  $R_{O3}$  measured during several experiments were compared with the values calculated using the known concentrations of  $\alpha$ -pinene in the sample (Eq. 6). The agreement between the calculated and measured ozone reactivity for  $\alpha$ -pinene mixing ratios larger than 40 ppb was about 25% – within the combined uncertainties of the instrument and of the  $\alpha$ -pinene +  $O_3$  rate coefficient (41%, Atkinson et al. (2004)) (Fig. 6a). At mixing ratios below 10 ppb of  $\alpha$ -pinene, the measured reactivities cannot be statistically distinguished from each other and from zero; in fact, the corresponding reactivity ( $2.36 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) is of the same magnitude as the average standard deviation of  $R_{wall}$  (Sect. 4.2). Measured  $R_{O3}$  corresponding to concentrations of  $\alpha$ -pinene >14 ppb are linearly correlated ( $r^2 = 0.993$ ) with a slope of  $1.2 \times 10^{-6} \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1}$ /ppb, corresponding to

370 the sensitivity of the instrument, and an intercept of  $6.4 \times 10^{-5} \pm 8.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (Fig. 6a).

Based on these experiments, the TORS detection limit, for a residence time of 140 seconds, can be estimated between  $4.5 \times 10^{-5}$  and  $9.0 \times 10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, corresponding to ozone reactivities equivalent to 20-40 ppb of  $\alpha$ -pinene (Table 1). These values are consistent with the estimates based on the range of measured  $R_{wall}$ , as discussed in Sect. 4.2; the actual detection limit for a given set of measurements depends on the magnitude of the ozone wall loss, which can vary significantly (Fig. 5).

- These values are also comparable to the detection limit of the instrument described by Matsumoto (2014) of  $1.4 \times 10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, for a residence time of 57 seconds. From Eq. 5 and Eq. 6, the total uncertainty of TORS can be estimated by propagating the uncertainties in the determination of the residence time (related to the uncertainty in the concentration of the NO cylinder and in the rate coefficient of the NO + O<sub>3</sub> reaction, Sect. 4.1), the uncertainty of the ozone monitors (Sect. 3.1) and the median variability of  $R_{wall}$  during individual experiments (Sect. 4.2) at ~32%.
- Some experiments were conducted without adding cyclohexane to the ozone flow (Fig. 2) to verify the effect of the OH scrubber, as discussed in Sect. 2.3. Ozonolysis of BVOCs is known to generate OH radicals with different yields (Rickard et al., 1999; Johnson and Marston, 2008) which may lead to consumption of BVOCs by OH in the reactor, thus causing underestimation of  $R_{O3}$ . However, the experiments where the OH scrubber was used did not show substantially different results from those where it was not used (Fig. 6b). Measured  $R_{O3}$  with ~ 30 ppb of  $\alpha$ -pinene was  $6.2 \times 10^{-5} \pm 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$
- $s^{-1}$  with cyclohexane and  $5.8 \times 10^{-5} \pm 1.9 \times 10^{-5} s^{-1}$  without cyclohexane: the corresponding p-value was 0.394, indicating that the difference between the two measurements is not statistically significant. The difference between the ozone reactivities determined with and without OH scrubber was 6-7%, in agreement with the modelling results (Sect. 2.3). While this is less than the precision of the ozone monitors (Sect. 3.1) we note that, in principle, the comparison of total ozone reactivity measurements with and without an OH scrubber can yield additional information on the speciation of the VOC mixture in the sample.

#### 390 5.2 Plant experiments

Laboratory experiments were carried out using small aromatic plants in a controlled environment to test the TORS instrument under more realistic conditions. Three plants of lemonthyme (*Thymus citriodorus*) were enclosed in a Teflon bag (Adtech Polymer Engineering Ltd, UK) with an approximate volume of  $0.1 \text{ m}^3$ , filled with a continuous flow of zero air. A halogen lamp (ROK 120W) was located over the bag and a temperature/humidity probe (Vaisala HMP110) was inserted into the bag, together

with a small fan (RS Components) to ensure homogeneous conditions. The natural release of water vapour via transpiration and evaporation by the plants caused the humidity in the bag to rise over the course of the experiment, but relative humidity remained below 50% inside the TORS reactor due to dilution with the dry ozone flow (Fig. 2) and therefore it did not affect the loss of  $O_3$  on the reactor wall, as discussed in Sect. 4.2. The PTR-MS was connected to the bag to identify and quantify the BVOCs that constitute the plant emissions (Sect. 3.2). The TORS instrument and the PTR-MS sampled continuously from the 400 Teflon bag during the experiment, which had a duration of about 48 hours.

Figure 7 shows the ozone reactivity measurements of one lemonthyme experiment, together with the reactivity calculated using the BVOCs measurements by PTR-MS. The interquartile range of measured  $R_{O3}$  was  $3 - 10 \times 10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup> for the first experiment and  $6 - 9 \times 10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup> for the second experiment, with mean values of  $6.5 \times 10^{-5}$  and  $8.1 \times 10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Measured ozone reactivity increased by about a factor of 2 when the lamp was turned on, due to increased emissions of all BVOCs and, in particular, of the more reactive ones (i.e., monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes, Table 1). This is because when the lamp was switched on, the temperature inside the bag increased (by ~10 °C, Fig. 7), as well as the light. Isoprene emissions are controlled by both light and temperature, but monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes emissions are mostly controlled by temperature and have an exponential response to temperature (Duhl et al., 2008; Guenther et al., 2012; Hellén et al., 2018). Therefore, the

410 To calculate  $R_{O3}$  from the PTR-MS measurements using Eq. 6, a number of assumptions have to be made. The only BVOC that the Proton Transfer Reaction technique can uniquely identify is isoprene. All monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes have the same molecular weight (136.24 and 204.36 g/mol, respectively) and therefore are very difficult to distinguish from each other using a soft ionization technique (de Gouw and Warneke, 2007). Thus, the PTR-MS instrument effectively reports the sum of monoterpenes and the sum of sesquiterpenes. To account for this problem, estimated low and high  $R_{O3}$  limits were calculated.

emissions of these more reactive compounds tend to increase faster than those of isoprene when temperature rises quickly.

- 415 Lemonthyme is an evergreen broadleaf plant, whose main emissions (besides isoprene) are  $\alpha$ -pinene,  $\beta$ -pinene,  $\beta$ -ocimene (monoterpenes) and  $\beta$ -caryophyllene,  $\alpha$ -farnesene (sesquiterpenes) (Fares et al., 2011; Guenther et al., 2012). The lower limit  $R_{O3}$  estimate was calculated assuming that the measured monoterpene signal was solely due to  $\beta$ -pinene and that the measured sesquiterpene signal was solely due to  $\alpha$ -farnesene. The higher limit  $R_{O3}$  estimate was calculated assuming that the measured monoterpene signal was solely due to  $\beta$ -ocimene and that the measured sesquiterpene signal was solely due to  $\beta$ -caryophyllene.
- 420 This provides a range of  $R_{O3}$  which likely includes that of the particular BVOCs mixture emitted by the lemonthyme plants (Table 1). The calculated low and high  $R_{O3}$  limit estimates are compared to the TORS measurements in Fig. 7. The TORS measured reactivities were within the range of these estimates and followed the same pattern, with higher values when the light was on and the temperature higher.

#### 5.3 Glasshouse experiments

- 425 In order to evaluate the TORS technique under quasi-ambient conditions, the instrument was deployed in a horticultural glasshouse containing a range of aromatic plants. The glasshouse is a similar environment to ambient and was subject to a continuous inflow of ambient air, but, being a semi-enclosed system, the concentrations of BVOCs emitted from the plants are higher and the concentrations of NO lower than the external environment, resulting in a stronger  $R_{O3}$  signal. The glasshouse is located at the Winterbourne House and Garden (https://www.winterbourne.org.uk/), adjacent to the University of Birmingham
- 430 campus, and has an approximate volume of 200 m<sup>3</sup>. The following plants were inside the glasshouse during the sampling period: Fringed "French" Lavender (*Lavandula dentata var. candicans*), Lemon Verbena (*Aloysia triphylla*), Scented Leaf Geraniums (*Pelargonium x citriodorum* "Prince of Orange", *Pelargonium Radula*) and several varieties of the Citrus genus (*Citrus x limon, Citrus x latifolia* "Tahiti", *Citrus reticulata* "Clementine").
- The TORS instrument was set up in a similar way as in the plant experiments (Sect. 5.2), with regular determinations of the
  ozone wall loss using a flow of zero air instead of the ambient flow. The ozone wall loss during the measurement period varied
  between 4.9 × 10<sup>-5</sup> and 1.1 × 10<sup>-4</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (first and third quartiles), with mean values between 0.7 × 10<sup>-4</sup> and 1.1 × 10<sup>-4</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. The measurements were taken over a period of two weeks in early June 2018; during this period the weather was dry (mean RH = 57%) with temperatures reaching a maximum of 39 °C inside the glasshouse (mean = 15 °C). Cyclohexane was used as OH scrubber only during the second week of measurements. The PTR-MS was not available at the glasshouse, but two air
  samples were taken on two different days using adsorption tubes and qualitatively analyzed by GC-MS (Sect. 3.2). The GC data were used to determine the most important monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes in the air inside the glasshouse, based on
  - their relative abundance.

The total ozone reactivity measurements made in the glasshouse are shown in Fig. 8. For the period without cyclohexane the interquartile range was  $1.9 - 4.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$  with a mean value of  $3.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . For the period with cyclohexane the interquartile range was  $2.0 - 4.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$  with a mean value of  $3.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Taking into account the natural variability of plant emissions, these numbers suggest that the use of an OH scrubber does not change significantly the TORS measurements, in keeping with the laboratory experiments (Sect. 5.1) and the model results of the chemistry inside the reactor (Sect. 2.3).

In the absence of BVOC measurements, an estimate of the ozone reactivity was calculated using the qualitative information obtained from the GC-MS analysis of the adsorption tubes and from the emission factors by Guenther et al. (2012): broadleaf evergreen plants emit isoprene, monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes in a proportion of approximately 1:0.1:0.02. However, Fares et al. (2011) found that Citrus plants, several types of which were present on the glasshouse, emit more monoterpenes than isoprene. Since most monoterpenes are more reactive with ozone than isoprene (Table 1), the estimates of  $R_{O3}$  discussed below are relatively insensitive to the actual isoprene concentration. Analysis of the adsorption tubes showed that the most important monoterpenes were limonene,  $\beta$ -pinene, camphene, myrcene, and the most important sesquiterpenes were longifolene and

455 farnesene. Based on these results, a high  $R_{O3}$  was estimated assuming 100 ppb of isoprene, 25 ppb of myrcene and 5 ppb of  $\alpha$ -farnesene. A low  $R_{O3}$  was estimated assuming 50 ppb of isoprene, 5 ppb of camphene and 1 ppb of longifolene. The estimated low and high  $R_{O3}$  were of the same magnitude as the TORS measurements (Fig. 8).

In contrast to the laboratory experiments, which were performed using zero air (Sect. 5.1 and Sect. 5.2), the ozone reactivity measurements in the glasshouse were affected by ambient NO (R5). Measurements of NO were not available inside the

- 460 glasshouse, so it is not possible to definitively quantify the contribution of  $R_{\rm NO}$  to the total ozone reactivity measurements shown in Fig. 8. The average total ozone reactivity showed a clear diel pattern, with maximum values of about  $5.2 \times 10^{-4}$ s<sup>-1</sup> observed around 06:00 (approximately one hour after dawn), and was anticorrelated with ambient temperature (Fig. 8). BVOCs emissions are driven by both light and temperature and are therefore higher during the day (Fares et al., 2011; Hellén et al., 2018); likewise, NO concentrations are higher during day, due to traffic emissions. Therefore, it may be expected that
- 465 measured total ozone reactivity (from both BVOCs and NO) is higher during the daylight hours, which was in fact observed during the laboratory experiments with the lemonthyme plants (Fig. 7). Under typical ambient conditions, BVOCs react during the day with OH radicals at a faster rate than they react with  $O_3$  (Atkinson et al., 2006; Johnson and Marston, 2008) and, as a result, ozone reactivity may be expected to peak in the early morning, when the NO and BVOCs emissions start increasing, but the concentration of OH is still too low to compete with  $O_3$  for BVOC removal (Hellén et al., 2018). In the quasi-ambient
- 470 conditions of the glasshouse OH formation is also possible but may be influenced by environment-specific factors, such as heterogeneous production of HONO and may affect the diurnal variability of BVOCs in a complex manner including the behaviour shown in Fig. 8.

#### 6 Summary and Future Work

An instrument to measure total ozone reactivity, the Total Ozone Reactivity System (TORS), was developed, characterized and tested under controlled conditions in the laboratory; both individual compounds and small plants were used. The instrument was deployed inside a horticultural glasshouse containing a range of aromatic plants to evaluate its functionality under quasiambient conditions.

The TORS instrument was able to measure O<sub>3</sub> reactivities with BVOCs (R<sub>O3</sub>) of 4.5 – 9.0 × 10<sup>-5</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> or more – with a residence time of 140 seconds, an averaging time of 5 minutes, and an estimated total uncertainty of ~32%. These values correspond to 20-40 ppb of α-pinene, 150-300 ppb of isoprene or 160-320 ppt of β-caryophyllene. These mixing ratios are larger than typical ambient levels, but can be observed in BVOCs-rich forested environments and in enclosure studies (Duhl et al., 2008; Bouvier-Brown et al., 2009; Kammer et al., 2018), and can easily be reproduced in laboratory and environmental chamber experiments. An OH scrubber (cyclohexane) was used to remove the OH radicals formed by the ozonolysis of BVOCs; however, simulations of the chemistry inside the TORS reactor using a Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM v3.3.1)
box-model found that the formation of OH from BVOC + O<sub>3</sub> reactions affected the measurements of R<sub>O3</sub> by <6%, under the conditions used during the experiments.</li>

Further work will aim to improve the stability of the signal, and to reduce the signal noise and the detection limit. This may require using ozone monitors with higher precision and/or a more stable  $O_3$  generator, as well as a detailed exploration of the various parameters affecting the TORS technique: gas flows, residence time, relative humidity, OH scrubber levels, ozone

490 concentrations. Moreover, our experimental data indicate that accurate measurements of  $NO_x$  are always required to be able to

interpret the TORS observations. With these improvements and proper supporting measurements, the detection limit and the uncertainty of TORS can be improved and the technique will be able to make measurements under a wider range of conditions, to improve understanding of the role of natural emissions on the ozone budget and the oxidative capacity of the atmosphere.

Data availability. The original data are available on request from the authors.

495 *Competing interests.* The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgements. Thanks to D. Blenkhorn, D. Komalasari, V. Matthaios, D. Rooney, K. Vohra for their help with some of the experiments and to the University of Birmingham Biosciences Workshop for their continuous assistance. Special thanks to the staff of the Winterbourne House and Garden for their support and to Prof. Jun Matsumoto for his advice and kind hospitality. Funding provided by the Natural Environment Research Council (NE/P003524/1) and the UoB-Waseda Research Collaboration Fund.

- Alam, M. S., Camredon, M., Rickard, A. R., Carr, T., Wyche, K. P., Hornsby, K. E., Monks, P. S., and Bloss, W. J.: Total radical yields from tropospheric ethene ozonolysis, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 13, 11002–11015, https://doi.org/10.1039/c0cp02342f, 2011.
- Alam, M. S., Stark, C., and Harrison, R. M.: Using variable ionization energy time-of-flight mass spectrometry with comprehensive GCxGC to identify isomeric species, Anal. Chem., 88, 4211–4220, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b03122, 2016.
- 505 Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Rossi, M. J., and Troe, J.: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: Volume I – gas phase reactions of Ox, HOx, NOx and SOx species, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 1461–1738, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-4-1461-2004, https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/4/1461/2004/, 2004.
  - Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Rossi, M. J., and Troe, J.: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: Volume II gas phase reactions of organic species, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6,
- 510 3625–4055, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-3625-2006, https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/3625/2006/, 2006.
  - Bell, N., Heard, D. E., Pilling, M. J., and Tomlin, A. S.: Atmospheric lifetime as a probe of radical chemistry in the boundary layer, Atmos. Environ., 37, 2193–2205, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(03)00157-2, 2003.
    - Bouvier-Brown, N. C., Holzinger, R., Palitzsch, K., and Goldstein, A. H.: Large emissions of sesquiterpenes and methyl chavicol quantified from branch enclosure measurements, Atmos. Environ., 43, 389–401, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.08.039, 2009.
- 515 Cazorla, M. and Brune, W. H.: Measurement of ozone production sensor, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 545–555, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-3-545-2010, https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/3/545/2010/, 2010.
  - de Gouw, J. and Warneke, C.: Measurements of volatile organic compounds in the Earth's atmosphere using proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry, Mass Spectrom. Rev., 26, 223–257, https://doi.org/10.1002/mas.20119, 2007.
  - Di Carlo, P., Brune, W. H., Martinez, M., Harder, H., Lesher, R., Ren, X., Thornberry, T., Carroll, M. A., Young, V., Shepson, P. B., Riemer,
- 520 D., Apel, E., and Campbell, C.: Missing OH reactivity in a forest: evidence for unknown reactive biogenic VOCs, Science, 304, 722–725, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1094392, 2004.
  - Dubé, W. P., Brown, S. S., Osthoff, H. D., Nunley, M. R., Ciciora, S. J., Paris, M. W., McLaughlin, R. J., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Aircraft instrument for simultaneous, in situ measurement of NO3 and N2O5 via pulsed cavity ring-down spectroscopy, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 77, 034 101, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2176058, 2006.
- 525 Duhl, T. R., Helmig, D., and Guenther, A.: Sesquiterpene emissions from vegetation: a review, Biogeosciences, 5, 761–777, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-5-761-2008, https://www.biogeosciences.net/5/761/2008/, 2008.
  - Edwards, S. J., Lewis, A. C., Andrews, S. J., Lidster, R. T., Hamilton, J. F., and Rhodes, C. N.: A compact comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography (GCxGC) approach for the analysis of biogenic VOCs, Anal. Methods, 5, 141–150, https://doi.org/10.1039/c2ay25710f, 2013.
- 530 Fares, S., Gentner, D. R., Park, J.-H., Ormeno, E., Karlik, J., and Goldstein, A. H.: Biogenic emissions from citrus species in California, Atmos. Environ., 45, 4557–4568, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.05.066, 2011.
  - Fuchs, H., Novelli, A., Rolletter, M., Hofzumahaus, A., Pfannerstill, E. Y., Kessel, S., Edtbauer, A., Williams, J., Michoud, V., Dusanter, S., Locoge, N., Zannoni, N., Gros, V., Truong, F., Sarda-Esteve, R., Cryer, D. R., Brumby, C. A., Whalley, L. K., Stone, D., Seakins, P. W., Heard, D. E., Schoemaecker, C., Blocquet, M., Coudert, S., Batut, S., Fittschen, C., Thames, A. B., Brune, W. H., Ernest, C.,
- 535 Harder, H., Muller, J. B. A., Elste, T., Kubistin, D., Andres, S., Bohn, B., Hohaus, T., Holland, F., Li, X., Rohrer, F., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Tillmann, R., Wegener, R., Yu, Z., Zou, Q., and Wahner, A.: Comparison of OH reactivity measurements in the atmospheric simulation

chamber SAPHIR, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10, 4023-4053, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-4023-2017, https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/ 10/4023/2017/. 2017.

Glasius, M. and Goldstein, A. H.: Recent discoveries and future challenges in atmospheric organic chemistry, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50,

- 540 2754-2764, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b05105, 2016.
  - Goldstein, A. H. and Galbally, I. E.: Known and unexplored organic constituents in the Earth's atmosphere, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 1514-1521, https://doi.org/10.1021/es072476p, 2007.
    - Griffith, S. M., Hansen, R. F., Dusanter, S., Stevens, P. S., Alaghmand, M., Bertman, S. B., Carroll, M. A., Erickson, M., Galloway, M., Grossberg, N., Hottle, J., Hou, J., Jobson, B. T., Kammrath, A., Keutsch, F. N., Lefer, B. L., Mielke, L. H., O'Brien, A., Shepson,
- 545 P. B., Thurlow, M., Wallace, W., Zhang, N., and Zhou, X. L.: OH and HO2 radical chemistry during PROPHET 2008 and CABINEX 2009 - Part 1: measurements and model comparison, Atmos, Chem. Phys., 13, 5403–5423, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-5403-2013, https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/13/5403/2013/, 2013.
  - Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittava, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., and Wang, X.: The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions, Geosci. Model
- 550 Dev., 5, 1471–1492, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012, https://www.geosci-model-dev.net/5/1471/2012/, 2012. Hellén, H., Praplan, A. P., Tykkä, T., Ylivinkka, I., Vakkari, V., Bäck, J., Petäjä, T., Kulmala, M., and Hakola, H.: Long-term measurements of volatile organic compounds highlight the importance of sesquiterpenes for the atmospheric chemistry of a boreal forest, Atmos, Chem.
  - Phys., 18, 13 839–13 863, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-13839-2018, https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/18/13839/2018/, 2018.
- Holzinger, R., Acton, W. J. F., Bloss, W. J., Breitenlechner, M., Crilley, L. R., Dusanter, S., Gonin, M., Gros, V., Keutsch, F. N., Kiendler-555 Scharr, A., Kramer, L. J., Krechmer, J. E., Languille, B., Locoge, N., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Materić, D., Moreno, S., Nemitz, E., Quéléver, L. L. J., Sarda Esteve, R., Sauvage, S., Schallhart, S., Sommariva, R., Tillmann, R., Wedel, S., Worton, D. R., Xu, K., and Zaytsev, A.: Validity and limitations of simple reaction kinetics to calculate concentrations of organic compounds from ion counts in PTR-MS, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 6193-6208, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-6193-2019, 32, 2019.

Huang, H.: Development of an instrument for the in situ measurement of atmospheric ozone production rates, Ph.D. thesis, School of

560 Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, 2016.

Ingham, T., Goddard, A., Whalley, L. K., Furneaux, K. L., Edwards, P. M., Seal, C. P., Self, D. E., Johnson, G. P., Read, K. A., Lee, J. D., and Heard, D. E.: A flow-tube based laser-induced fluorescence instrument to measure OH reactivity in the troposphere, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 2, 465–477, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2-465-2009, https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/2/465/2009/, 2009.

Johnson, D. and Marston, G.: The gas-phase ozonolysis of unsaturated volatile organic compounds in the troposphere, Chem. Soc. Rev., 37,

565 699-716, https://doi.org/10.1039/b704260b, 2008.

- Kammer, J., Perraudin, E., Flaud, P.-M., Lamaud, E., Bonnefond, J. M., and Villenave, E.: Observation of nighttime new particle formation over the French Landes forest, Sci Total Environ, 621, 1084–1092, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.118, 2018.
  - Kim, S., Karl, T., Helmig, D., Daly, R., Rasmussen, R., and Guenther, A.: Measurement of atmospheric sesquiterpenes by proton transfer reaction-mass spectrometry (PTR-MS), Atmos. Meas. Tech., 2, 99-112, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2-99-2009, https://www. atmos-meas-tech.net/2/99/2009/. 2009.

570

Kim, S., Karl, T., Guenther, A., Tyndall, G., Orlando, J., Harley, P., Rasmussen, R., and Apel, E.: Emissions and ambient distributions of biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOC) in a ponderosa pine ecosystem: interpretation of PTR-MS mass spectra, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 1759–1771, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-1759-2010, https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/10/1759/2010/, 2010.

Kovacs, T. A. and Brune, W. H.: Total OH loss rate measurement, J. Atmos. Chem., 39, 105–122, https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1010614113786, 2001.

- Lewis, A. C., Carslaw, N., Marriott, P. J., Kinghorn, R. M., Morrison, P., Lee, A. L., Bartle, K. D., and Pilling, M. J.: A larger pool of ozone-forming carbon compounds in urban atmospheres. Nature, 405, 778–781, https://doi.org/10.1038/35015540, 2000.
- Liebmann, J. M., Muller, J. B. A., Kubistin, D., Claude, A., Holla, R., Plass-Dülmer, C., Lelieveld, J., and Crowley, J. N.: Direct measurements of NO3 reactivity in and above the boundary layer of a mountaintop site: identification of reactive trace gases and comparison with OH
- 580 reactivity, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 12045-12059, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-12045-2018, https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/18/ 12045/2018/. 2018.
  - Lou, S., Holland, F., Rohrer, F., Lu, K., Bohn, B., Brauers, T., Chang, C. C., Fuchs, H., Häseler, R., Kita, K., Kondo, Y., Li, X., Shao, M., Zeng, L., Wahner, A., Zhang, Y., Wang, W., and Hofzumahaus, A.: Atmospheric OH reactivities in the Pearl River Delta – China in summer 2006; measurement and model results, Atmos, Chem. Phys., 10, 11 243-11 260, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-11243-2010, https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/10/11243/2010/, 2010.
- 585

575

- Matsumoto, J.: Comprehensive analyzer for biogenic volatile organic compounds detected as total ozone reactivity, in: AGU 2011 Fall Meeting, 2011.
- Matsumoto, J.: Measuring biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) from vegetation in terms of ozone reactivity, Aerosol Air Oual. Res., 14, 197-206, https://doi.org/10.4209/aagr.2012.10.0275, 2014.
- 590 Matsumoto, J.: Temperature dependence of rate constant for the gas-phase reaction of ozone with linalool, Chem. Lett., 45, 1102–1104, https://doi.org/10.1246/cl.160500, 2016.
  - Miyazaki, K., Nakashima, Y., Schoemaecker, C., Fittschen, C., and Kajii, Y.: A laser-flash photolysis and laser-induced fluorescence detection technique for measuring total HO2 reactivity in ambient air, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 84, 076 106, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4812634, 2013.

Monks, P. S., Archibald, A. T., Colette, A., Cooper, O., Coyle, M., Derwent, R., Fowler, D., Granier, C., Law, K. S., Mills, G. E., Steven-

- 595 son, D. S., Tarasova, O., Thouret, V., von Schneidemesser, E., Sommariva, R., Wild, O., and Williams, M. L.: Tropospheric ozone and its precursors from the urban to the global scale from air quality to short-lived climate forcer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 8889–8973, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-8889-2015, https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/15/8889/2015/, 28, 2015.
  - Pankow, J. F., Luo, W., Melnychenko, A. N., Barsanti, K. C., Isabelle, L. M., Chen, C., Guenther, A. B., and Rosenstiel, T. N.: Volatilizable biogenic organic compounds (VBOCs) with two dimensional gas chromatography-time of flight mass spectrometry (GCxGC-TOFMS):
- 600 sampling methods, VBOC complexity, and chromatographic retention data, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5, 345-361, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-5-345-2012, https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/5/345/2012/, 2012.
  - Park, J., Guenther, A. B., and Helmig, D.: Ozone reactivity of biogenic volatile organic compound (BVOC) emissions during the Southeast Oxidant and Aerosol Study (SOAS), in: AGU 2013 Fall Meeting, 2013.
- Paulson, S. E., Chung, M. Y., and Hasson, A. S.: OH radical formation from the gas-phase reaction of ozone with terminal alkenes and the relationship between structure and mechanism, J. Phys. Chem. A, 103, 8125-8138, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp991995e, 1999. 605
  - Pollmann, J., Ortega, J., and Helmig, D.: Analysis of atmospheric sesquiterpenes: sampling losses and mitigation of ozone interferences, Environ. Sci. Technol., 39, 9620–9629, https://doi.org/10.1021/es050440w, 2005.
    - Rickard, A. R., Johnson, D., McGill, C. D., and Marston, G.: OH yields in the gas-phase reactions of ozone with alkenes, J. Phys. Chem. A, 103, 7656-7664, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp9916992, 1999.
- Sanchez, D., Jeong, D., Seco, R., Wrangham, I., Park, J.-H., Brune, W. H., Koss, A., Gilman, J., de Gouw, J., Misztal, P., Goldstein, A., 610 Baumann, K., Wennberg, P. O., Keutsch, F. N., Guenther, A., and Kim, S.: Intercomparison of OH and OH reactivity measurements

in a high isoprene and low NO environment during the Southern Oxidant and Aerosol Study (SOAS), Atmos. Environ., 174, 227–236, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.10.056, 2018.

- Saunders, S. M., Jenkin, M. E., Derwent, R. G., and Pilling, M. J.: Protocol for the development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part A): tropospheric degradation of non-aromatic volatile organic compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 161–180, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-3-161-2003, https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/3/161/2003/, 2003.
  - Simpson, W. R., Brown, S. S., Saiz-Lopez, A., Thornton, J. A., and von Glasow, R.: Tropospheric halogen chemistry: sources, cycling, and impacts, Chem. Rev., 115, 4035–4062, https://doi.org/10.1021/cr5006638, 2015.
  - Sinha, V., Williams, J., Lelieveld, J., Ruuskanen, T. M., Kajos, M. K., Patokoski, J., Hellen, H., Hakola, H., Mogensen, D., Boy, M., Rinne,
- J., and Kulmala, M.: OH reactivity measurements within a boreal forest: evidence for unknown reactive emissions, Environ. Sci. Technol.,
   44, 6614–6620, https://doi.org/10.1021/es101780b, 2010.
- Sobanski, N., Tang, M. J., Thieser, J., Schuster, G., Pöhler, D., Fischer, H., Song, W., Sauvage, C., Williams, J., Fachinger, J., Berkes, F., Hoor, P., Platt, U., Lelieveld, J., and Crowley, J. N.: Chemical and meteorological influences on the lifetime of NO3 at a semi-rural mountain site during PARADE, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 4867–4883, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-4867-2016, https://www.atmos-chem-phys. net/16/4867/2016/, 2016.
- Sommariva, R., Cox, S., Martin, C., Borońska, K., Young, J., Jimack, P. K., Pilling, M. J., Matthaios, V. N., Nelson, B. S., Newland, M. J., Panagi, M., Bloss, W. J., Monks, P. S., and Rickard, A. R.: AtChem (version 1), an open-source box model for the Master Chemical Mechanism, Geosci. Model Dev., 13, 169–183, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-169-2020, 33, 2020.
- Sulzer, P., Hartungen, E., Hanel, G., Feil, S., Winkler, K., Mutschlechner, P., Haidacher, S., Schottkowsky, R., Gunsch, D., Seehauser,
- 630 H., Striednig, M., Jürschik, S., Breiev, K., Lanza, M., Herbig, J., Märk, L., Märk, T. D., and Jordan, A.: A proton transfer reactionquadrupole interface time-of-flight mass spectrometer (PTR-QiTOF): high speed due to extreme sensitivity, Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 368, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2014.05.004, 2014.
  - Tani, A., Hayward, S., and Hewitt, C. N.: Measurement of monoterpenes and related compounds by proton transfer reaction-mass spectrometry (PTR-MS), Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 223-224, 561–578, https://doi.org/10.1016/s1387-3806(02)00880-1, 2003.
- 635 Whalley, L. K., Edwards, P. M., Furneaux, K. L., Goddard, A., Ingham, T., Evans, M. J., Stone, D., Hopkins, J. R., Jones, C. E., Karunaharan, A., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., Monks, P. S., Moller, S. J., and Heard, D. E.: Quantifying the magnitude of a missing hydroxyl radical source in a tropical rainforest, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 7223–7233, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-7223-2011, https://www.atmos-chem-phys. net/11/7223/2011/, 2011.
  - Whalley, L. K., Stone, D., Bandy, B., Dunmore, R., Hamilton, J. F., Hopkins, J., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., and Heard, D. E.: Atmospheric
- 640 OH reactivity in central London: observations, model predictions and estimates of in situ ozone production, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 2109–2122, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-2109-2016, https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/16/2109/2016/, 2016.

Williams, J.: Organic trace gases in the atmosphere: an overview, Environ. Chem., 1, 125, https://doi.org/10.1071/EN04057, 2004.



Figure 1. (a-b) Modelled removal of  $\alpha$ -pinene and O<sub>3</sub> (relative to their initial concentrations) as a function of cyclohexane level. (c) Average modelled concentrations of OH as a function of cyclohexane level. (d) Sensitivity of modelled  $R_{O3}$  to cyclohexane level as a function of  $\alpha$ -pinene mixing ratio.



Figure 2. Diagram of the TORS instrument with typical flow settings (Sect. 4.3).



**Figure 3.** Two acetone double injection experiments used to estimate the residence time in the PTFE reactor for a flow rate of 1600 sccm. The "maxima" calculation uses the differences in time of the peak acetone signals; the "means" method uses the differences between the mean elapsed time of the two acetone signals.



**Figure 4.** (a) NO reactivity experiments analyzed using the mean NO concentration inside the reactor. One experiment analyzed using the initial NO concentration is also shown, for reference. (b) Residence times as a function of reactor flow – determined by three different methods – and polynomial fit to the three methods (black dashed line). The results of the acetone injection method are taken from Fig. 3. The red star indicates the residence time used in this work (140 seconds for a reactor flow of 2470 sccm).



**Figure 5.** Mean and  $2\sigma$  standard deviation of the ozone wall loss ( $R_{wall}$ ) in the PTFE reactor as a function of humidity, temperature and time. The data are taken from 15 experiments, with multiple determinations of  $R_{wall}$  per experiment, over a nine month period.



Figure 6. (a) Measured and calculated mean ozone reactivities with  $\alpha$ -pinene. The blue dashed line indicates the linear regression of the measured  $R_{O3}$  values for  $\alpha$ -pinene mixing ratios >20 ppb. (b) Ozone reactivity with  $\alpha$ -pinene measured with and without cyclohexane as OH scrubber.



**Figure 7.** Measured ozone reactivity ( $R_{O3}$ ) from 3 plants of lemonthyme (*Thymus citriodorus*) in a Teflon bag, compared to the ozone reactivity calculated from BVOCs measurements by PTR-MS. The temperature (in °C) measured inside the bag is also shown. All data are averaged to 5 minutes.



**Figure 8.** Total ozone reactivity  $(R_{O3} + R_{NO})$  measured in the Winterbourne House and Garden glasshouse compared to the ozone reactivity calculated from estimated concentrations of BVOCs. The temperature (in °C) measured inside the glasshouse is also shown. All data are averaged to 5 minutes.

**Table 1.** Rate coefficients of the reactions with ozone  $(k_{O3}, \text{ in } \text{cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ , chemical lifetimes for  $[O_3] = 120 \text{ ppb} (\tau, \text{ in min})$ , absolute ozone reactivities  $(R_{O3}, \text{ in } \text{s}^{-1})$ , and relative ozone reactivities (with respect to  $\alpha$ -pinene) of selected BVOCs and inorganic compounds. The rate coefficients are from Atkinson et al. (2004, 2006) and calculated for standard conditions (298 K, 1 atm); the ozone reactivities are calculated for mixing ratios of 1 ppb of each species.

Class	Species	$k_{\mathrm{O3}}$	au	$R_{O3}$	relative $R_{O3}$
		$(\mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{molecule}^{-1}\mathrm{s}^{-1})$	(min)	$(s^{-1})$	
hemiterpenes	isoprene	$1.28 \times 10^{-17}$	441	$3.15\times10^{-7}$	0.13
monoterpenes	$\alpha$ -terpinene	$1.90 \times 10^{-14}$	0.30	$4.68\times10^{-4}$	198
	$\beta$ -ocimene	$5.10 \times 10^{-16}$	11.1	$1.25\times 10^{-5}$	5.31
	myrcene	$4.70 \times 10^{-16}$	12.0	$1.16\times 10^{-5}$	4.90
	limonene	$2.20\times10^{-16}$	25.7	$5.41\times10^{-6}$	2.29
	$\alpha$ -pinene	$9.60 \times 10^{-17}$	58.8	$2.36\times10^{-6}$	1.00
	sabinene	$8.30 \times 10^{-17}$	67.9	$2.04\times10^{-6}$	0.86
	3-carene	$4.90 \times 10^{-17}$	115	$1.21 \times 10^{-6}$	0.51
	$\beta$ -pinene	$1.89\times10^{-17}$	298	$4.67\times 10^{-7}$	0.20
	camphene	$5.02 \times 10^{-19}$	11229	$1.24\times 10^{-8}$	0.01
sesquiterpenes	$\beta$ -caryophyllene	$1.20 \times 10^{-14}$	0.50	$2.96\times10^{-4}$	125
	$\alpha$ -farnesene	$5.88 \times 10^{-16}$	9.60	$1.45\times10^{-5}$	6.13
	$\alpha$ -copaene	$1.50\times10^{-16}$	37.6	$3.69\times 10^{-6}$	1.56
	longifolene	$5.00 \times 10^{-19}$	11280	$1.23\times 10^{-8}$	0.01
inorganic	NO	$1.89 \times 10^{-14}$	0.30	$4.65\times10^{-4}$	197
	$HO_2$	$2.01\times10^{-15}$	2.80	$4.96\times10^{-5}$	21.0
	$NO_2$	$3.52 \times 10^{-17}$	160	$8.67\times 10^{-7}$	0.37