The colours in the marked-up manuscripts respect the colours of the answers to each Reviewer.

Reviewer #1

The authors present their findings on the impact of aerosol optical properties on the UV Index.

a) Main comment:

The title promises results in respect to the UV Index. Therefore it would be valuable to find the most important findings in respect to the UV Index already in the abstract. (PS: it is not really interesting where the Brewer is mounted.)

The abstract has been focused more on the findings about aerosol effect on UVI: "The aerosol optical characteristics in the urban area of Rome were retrieved over a period of 7 years from March to September 2010-2016. The impact of aerosol single scattering albedo (SSA), optical depth (AOD), estimated at 400 nm, and Ångström exponent on the ultraviolet (UV) index has been analyzed. Aerosol optical properties are provided by a PREDE-POM sun-sky radiometer of the ESR/SKYNET network and the UV index values were retrieved by a Brewer spectrophotometer both located in Rome. Chemical characterization of urban PM10 (particulate matter 10 micrometers or less in diameter) samples, collected during the URBan Sustainability Related to Observed and Monitored Aerosol (URBS ROMA) intensive filed campaign held in summer 2011 in the same site, was performed. PM macro-components were grouped in order to evaluate the contribution of the main macro-sources (SOIL, SEA, SECONDARY INORGANIC, ORGANICS and TRAFFIC). Their contributions were assumed not substantially changed in the other years under study, due to the general stable conditions during summer seasons in Rome, as reported by the literature. The modulation of their concentration, according to theoretical calculations, is expected to strongly affect the absorption capability of the atmosphere over Rome. The surface forcing efficiency, provided by the decreasing trend of UV index with AOD, which is the primary parameter affecting the surface irradiance during clear sky conditions in Rome, was found very significant, probably masking the dependence of UV index on SSA and Ångström exponents. Moreover it was found greater for larger particles and with a more pronounced slope at the smaller solar zenith angle. In Rome large particles are generally less absorbing since related to the presence of SOIL and SEA components in the atmosphere. The former contribution was found much higher in summer months because of the numerous episodes of Saharan dust transport".

Also the "conclusions" should focus on influence of aerosols on UV Index.

The conclusion were corrected removing the details about the average values of the aerosol optical properties and the percentage of PM10 components retrieved during URBS ROMA, both already described in the result section.

b) Minor comments:

l.16-17: 2010-2016 is it 6 or 7 years?

7, corrected

l.20: Optical data (abstract and introduction): please provide details;

Replaced with aerosol optical properties

l.22: delete

Deleted.

l.23: PM10 (abstract and elsewhere): provide definition

Done

l.30: SOIL and SEA type aerosols?

They are clusters in which the mixture of PM macro-components was divided to estimate the contribution of the main macro-sources. Their each composition is explained at the end of section 3. It has been now explained in the abstract.

l.82+l.122: … and at several scattering angles in the almucantar geometry: please clarify, what is the difference/meaning, otherwise delete

Corrected

l.123: … official code ... is it computer code?

Corrected

l.147: Cost-713 not found in references

It already is in the list of references, after C.I.E. A separating line was missed. It as been added

l.163: … ozone air mass….. Please explain. I think that this a technical term is known in the Brewer/Dobson community but rather unknown for other readers.

Explained

Figures: please check labelling of all figures: e.g. Fig.1 axis (second last panel),

Corrected

labelling of color scales,….

Checked

Reviewer #2

A) General comments.

The manuscript is a description of the analysis of atmospheric Aerosols and solar UV measurement in Rome, Italy. The targets are scientists interested in the both, the relation between aerosols and UV radiation and the measurement of the aerosols in the city of Rome itself. Next to the detailed explanation of the measurements, the data of the years 2010-2016 have been analyzed. Altogether this results in a high-quality analysis and a nice study of the relationship of aerosols and UV radiation in a city with significant pollution (aerosols) and high level of UV (Italy). The work is well presented and in good quality both in writing and presenting. However, the author tends to very long sentences which makes the reading and understanding more difficult.

B) Specific scientific comments.

All technical parts of the measurements and analysis are well described. The following additionally point should be discussed to enhance the quality of the manuscript:

1. Line 55: In the Introduction it was explicitly mentioned that “especially in Winter” a good relation between aerosols and UV was found. However, in this study only Spring and Summer month were used. The author should at least discuss why their data with SZA>40 is not usable.

For SZA>40, as in winter time, the uncertainty on the irradiances measured by the Brewer increase due to effects as straight light interference (Bais and Zerefos, 1996) and angular response error (Antòn et al., 2008). Therefore an enhancement of the estimated error of UV index, which is about 4-5%, (Schmalwieser et al., 2017) is also expected. This could affect the identification of its variation caused by aerosol effect, because the UV index is low at SZA>40 and shows a little range of variability during the day. We added this sentence in the introduction.

2. Line 97: The Uncertainty of the total ozone measurements is given with 1%. However, no estimate is given for the actual UV measurements. Especially a discussion of the uncertainty of the extrapolation in the UVA range (325nm-400nm) is missing (measurement only up to 325nm!).

The SHICrivm algorithm, used to obtain the biologically effective UV irradiance, as explained in the section 3, compensates for the missing contribution of wavelengths longer than 325 nm. Based on considerations for similar corrections in the Brewer operating software (Fioletov et al., 2004), we estimate an uncertainty <2% in the UV index value for solar zenith angles <70° due to this extrapolation. This sentence has been added in section 2.

3. Line 101: “In addition several tests are performed”. Well, the IOS intercomparison is mainly used for the Brewer Ozone measurements. The traceability of the UV measurements is either calibrated using irradiance standards or obtained through intercomparisons to reference spectroradiometers. If any of those action are available for Brewer #067 it should be mentioned and referenced in the paper.

The performance of the Brewer instrument for UV measurements was controlled every two years until 2014 through intercomparisons to the traveling reference QASUME UV spectroradiometer operated by Physykalish Meteorologisches Observatorium Davos/ World Radiation Centre. The mean ratio of Brewer integrated solar UV irradiances to QASUME is within +3% (see https://www.pmodwrc.ch/en/world-radiation-center-2/wcc-uv/). After that, the UV calibration has been carried out by IOS using 1000W lamps, which are traceable to the QASUME reference spectroradiometer. Siani, A.M., Modesti, S., Casale, G.R., Diemoz, H., Colosimo, A. Biologically effective surface UV climatology at Rome and Aosta, Italy (2013) AIP Conference Proceedings, 1531, 903-906, DOI: 10.1063/1.4804917. Both this sentence and the Reference have been added in section2.

4. Line 218: “AERONET inversion” should be explained.

The following sentence has been added in section 4: The AERONET inversion, performed according to Dubovik and King (2000), is able to retrieve aerosol optical properties from Sun and sky radiance measurements. In this study, we used level 1.5 data and Version 3 inversion algorithm (Giles et al., 2019).

5. Line 241: “In these days a substantial decrease. . .” –Figure 3 shows sometimes a small decrease but also an increase of sea and soil (1 to 2 July). In the third event the soil components increased from 12 to 25 %!

We stated that during dust episodes “a substantial decrease of the contribution of SEA and an increase of SOIL components were observed, whereas the others remain quite stable”. This happens if we perform an average over all the days recognized as affected (or not) by dust following Lidar profiles. It must be considered that in the days flagged as “dusty”, dust can remain at a higher level and not measurable at ground (this is the case of 3 and 18 July). Conversely, sometimes a lot of aerosol is

visible at ground level but it was not possible discriminating the presence of desert dust from the local SOIL component (this is the case of July 2 and 17).

Therefore is order to avoid misunderstanding in the interpretation, we deleted the sentence, but we added the above considerations in section 4.

6. Figure 1: Errors bars indicate only the measurements uncertainty (?) but not the total expanded uncertainty of the measurements. See also comment 2.

Error bars in Figure 1 are the standard deviation related to the monthly average that are larger than the instrumental uncertainties. We improved in the caption the definition of the error bars

7. Normalization of UVI: RAF is according to the referenced paper (Di Sarra 2002) of high uncertainty (between 0.8 and 1.44. Taking 1.25 should be justified in more detail and added to the (missing) uncertainty budget.

di Sarra et al. 2002 (Figure 8) retrieved values of RAF after correcting for the influence of co-varying aerosol optical depth. They retrieved values between 1.0 and 1.2 at 30° and 40° solar zenith angle when considering all aerosol conditions. As discussed in the paper, these values are affected by different processes (the wavelength dependence of the aerosol sensitivity, the interdependence between ozone and aerosol, possibly through increased ozone absorption following enhanced scattering by aerosols, ozone and aerosol vertical distributions). The values of 1.25 was derived from UVSPEC radiative transfer model calculations where the aerosol amount was kept fixed. This value is also in agreement with various other determinations of the ozone RAF (e.g., De Luisi and Harris, 1983; McKenzie et al., 1991; Kerr and McElroy, 1993). However a sensitivity study of UVI* on RAF variation from 1 to 1.25 has been performed over all the dataset showing an average decreasing of UVI* of about 1.4% that is within the declared uncertainty of 4-5%, (Schmalwieser et al., 2017).

This has been added in the text

C.) Presentation

The manuscript is clearly structured. Minor modifications are recommended to improve the quality of the paper:

Line 166: Subscript 0 of Theta_0 is irritating. Removed the subscript

Line 194: “PM” -> probably “PM10” is correct at this position. Corrected

Line 226 – 228: Good example of a very confusing long sentence. The sentence has been changed in “ Scatter plots of monthly average AOD_{400}, SSA_{400}, Ang, and UVI versus monthly precipitation (Figure. 2) were performed in order to check if precipitation can affect on average the optical parameters”.

Figure 5: The two different bar-plots for SZA=30 deg and SZA=40 deg cannot be distinguished. The plots were separated

Line 409: “direct solar radiation”or “direct and diffuse solar radiation”? corrected

Figure 1 is overloaded. “n points” should be part of the uncertainty budget, “precipitation and pressure” is not used and these C2 graphs don’t add relevant information. We prefer n points visible inside the plot. We deleted pressure and precipitation

Units in the figure axis labels should be labeled as “/unit” to have a dimensionless number and not “(unit)”. We changed O3(DU) in O3/DU, since it is the only quantity having a unit

Figure is using a different labelling (% CONTRIBUTION"). We think the reviewer refers to Figure 3 where the concentration are plotted and not the contribution. We corrected the sentence in the test from "During June-July 2011 the chemical analysis of the collected PM10 (Figure 3) **showed** an average contribution" to " During June-July 2011 the chemical analysis of the collected PM10 (Figure 3) **measured** an average contribution"

Typos: line 336: "whit" -> "with" corrected

line 348: (Table II): Theta=40 deg -> 40 in bold corrected

Anonymous Referee #3

General comments:
The manuscript describes the aerosol optical characteristics in the urban area of Rome for the time period 2010-2016. The impact of aerosol single scattering albedo, aerosol optical depth and Ångström component on the UV index are analyzed. Chemical characterization of urban PM10 samples from a field campaign was performed, and the contribution of main macro-sources was evaluated. The data set is analyzed for the first time and this kind of analyses of aerosol optical characteristics in the urban area of Rome is novel.

Specific comments:

Major comments: You write in the abstract that "PM macro-components were grouped in order to evaluate the contribution of the main macro-sources (SOIL, SEA, SECONDARY INORGANIC, ORGANICS and TRAFFIC) and the analysis of the modulation of their concentration was found to strongly affects the absorption capability of the atmosphere over Rome." However, I don't find clearly explained in the Results Chapter of the manuscript the statement "the analysis of the modulation of their concentration was found to strongly affects the absorption capability of the atmosphere over Rome." Please explain more clearly the connection between the PM macro-components and the absorption capability of the atmosphere over Rome. From the Results I mostly understand from page 10, lines 285-286, that "Scatter plot of SSA400 versus the SOIL component (Figure4) shows a slight negative correlation (R= -0.54), whereas no other correlation is visible for the other components and other optical and physical parameters. " In case it is related to the theoretical calculations of page 8, lines 260-265, please explain in more details in Methodology how you have used the model.

The sentence in the abstract about the impact of the main macro-sources concentration on the absorption capability of the atmosphere over Rome was inferred from the theoretical calculations performed by the Rstar model, and not from the results related to the analysis of the ground based measurements. This is because, as also written in the text, columnar absorption properties and in situ measurements may not provide correlated information. Therefore, we agree with the observation of the Reviewer and we changed the sentence in the abstract as: "The modulation of their concentration, according to theoretical calculations, is expected to strongly affect the absorption capability of the atmosphere over Rome".
We also added the following paragrapgh in the Methodology section explain how the model has been qualitatively used: " Finally to help the understanding of the possible different effects of PM10 macro-components concentration on the atmosphere over Rome, the imaginary parts of refractive index of each fundamental materials in the Rstar model, were taken as reference. Rstar is a radiative transfer model (Nakajima and Tanaka 1986) able to simulate the radiation fields in the atmosphere-land-ocean system at the wavelength range 0.17 – 1000 □m. Eight fundamental materials (water, dust-like, sea salt, volcanic ash, yellow sans, ice, water-soluble, soot and 75%H2SO4) are considered to assemble a three component internal mixture for each of the ten particles model types (Water, dust-like, volcanic-ash, rural, urban, yellow sand, ice, soot, 75%H2SO4, sea spray, tropo). In this study the refractive indexes for sea salt, soot and dust-like fundamental materials were taken as reference".

Add to the methodology the use of Lidar for detection of Sahara dust events.
The following sentence has been added in the Metodology section: ”During the same campaign, the presence of Saharan dust over Rome was detected by manually inspecting the Lidar Backscatter ratio at 532 nm. Days showing aerosol above the Boundary layer, and the simultaneously check of the Hysplit (Draxler et al., 1998) back-trajectories (bringing airmass from Saharah reagions), were classified as “dusty””.

Minor comments:
Abstract Page 1 L28: “...is the primary parameter affecting the surface irradiance. . ..”. Please specify that it is for clear sky at Rome. In some other sites, total ozone can the the primary parameter for clear skies. And if not clear skies, then cloudiness has an important role.
DONE
Page 1, line 38. Can you specify why the aerosol influence on UV is still uncertain.
The following sentence has been added “because in this wavelength region the columnar absorbing and scattering properties of suspended particles are not deeply inspected as in the visible spectral range”.

Page 4, lines 108-111, Why is this mentioned here, if UVI used in the study is calculated using SHICRIVM? I suggest to remove those line.

The sentence has been reformulated as follow: “The SHICrivm algorithm, used to obtain the biologically effective UV irradiance, as explained in the section 3, compensates for the missing contribution of wavelengths longer than 325 nm. Based on considerations for similar corrections in the Brewer operating software (Fioletov et al., 2004), we estimate an uncertainty <2% in the UV index value for solar zenith angles <70° due to this extrapolation.”

Page 5, lines 142-148, for people who are not familiar with cloud screening of aerosol measurements, the explanation is not clear. Please reformulate the reason for rejecting SSAs lower than 0.70.

The sentence has been reformulated as follow: “The simulation of an atmosphere contaminated by both dust-like and water insoluble aerosols brought to SSA400 values of about 0.70. Simultaneously values varying between 0.71-0.75 were retrieved testing a cirrus contamination case by enhancing the coarse mode for simulating the presence of ice particle types (cirrus particles model of the World Climate Programme report, Deepak and Gerber, 1983). Following these results, SSA400 values lower than 0.70 were rejected in this study because considered unrealistic, but it should be taken into account that values between 0.71 and 0.75 could contain information on both dust presence and cirrus-cloud contamination.”

Page 5, line 158, Which are the highest UVI values (give some numbers).
The following sentence has been added “(a peak of 12.3 at Plateau Rosà, 3500 m a.s.l., in Valle d'Aosta Region, Italy)”

Page 5, line 159, How the clear sky spectra were selected?
They are selected according to : Alexandrov, M. D., A. Marshak, B. Cairns, A. A.Lacis, and B. E. Carlson (2004), Automated cloud screeningalgorithm for MFRSR data, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L04118,doi:10.1029/2003GL019105
The reference has been added in the text

Page 7, lines 224-225. It would be good to show the AERONET data also for the other years. Then it would be easier to the agreement/disagreement between the two instruments.
The comparison for the entire period has been shown, Figure 1 updated, and a Reference (Di Ianni et al., 2018) has been added.

Page 7, lines 225-226, Please move the AERONET explanation into the Section Methodology. Including the use of different wavelengths than for POM.
We retain that the use of AERONET retrievals in a different location than the one under study, is not part of the methodologies used in this study. They are merely used to show that the less famous SKYNET products are in good agreement with the AERONET ones therefore, we prefer leave the very short description of AERONET inversion and difference in wavelengths, in the results section.

Page 7, line 230, How did you defined that agreement is significant?
The following sentence has been written: "the agreement between the AERONET and SKYNET properties is mostly within the SKYNET standard deviations".

Page 7, line 235, Monthly averages of the total ozone content values and . . ..
The highest Ozone monthly measured values has been added in the text

Page 8, line 236, Please explain which kind of seasonal variability (higher in spring. . .) and give the highest values.
The following sentence has been written: "The seasonal ozone behavior is typical of mid-latitude sites, with highest values measured in spring and particularly in April 2010 (385 D.U.) and March 2016 (374 D.U.).".

Page 8, line 238, I don't see the cumulated precipitation and pressure in the Figure.
Following the suggestion of another reviewer, they have been deleted but we forgot to delete the sentence too. Thanks.

Page 8, line 239, only the SSA400 and UVI is plotted in Figure 2. Not the AOD or Ang.
Corrected

Page 8, line 245, I don't understand the explanation, as aren't the UVI measurements performed under clear skies?
As said in the Methodology : "clear sky conditions (no clouds over the sun) were used to retrieve UV index values". This doesn't exclude the presence of scattered clouds in the sky, but can alter the UVI value. In particular, we may expect that a higher occurrence of scattered clouds conditions, corresponding to lower UVI values passing the cloud screening procedure (no cloud over the sun) , may be associated with periods with high precipitation during short-lived weather spring-summer disturbances.
We slightly changed in this sense the explanation.

Page 9, Figure 1 caption, Explain the red point also in the Figure caption. Add "monthly means" and for UVI at local noon.
Red points explained. "Monthly averages" is used in the text instead of "mean" to avoid the repetition, few words later, with "Annual means". For homogeneity is better leaving "averages" also in the caption. Added at LOCAL NOON for UVI

Page 10, Figure 2, local noon UVI under clear sky?
"Local noon" has been added. Clear sky has not been added, because it is explained in the methodology that only cloud screened values are used (no clouds over the sun)

Page 12, lines 314 and 315: Why not to try to analyse the aerosol absorption optical depth AAOD (1-SSA)*AOD?
As shown in Fig 3, a clear dependence of AOD on UVI is visible, but not on SSA. Therefore, the dependence of AAOD on UVI is expected to be mostly caused by the AOD dependence rather than the SSA one. Nevertheless, we tried analyzing the Absorption Angstrom exponent (AAE), whose information would have been very interesting. Unfortunately the Skyrad 4.2 pack, used in this study, has no smoothness spectral constraints on refractive indexes, as conversely AERONET and Skyrad-A have (Kudo et al., 2016

doi:10.5194/amt-9-3223-2016). This means that SSA values at 400 nm could be good (as demonstrated by the comparison with AERONET in Figure 1), but the SSA spectral dependence, at the basis of the AAE calculation is not.

Page 12, lines 316-319: What was the criteria for the chosen values to be used to make the division into subgroups?
The values separating the different groups were determined according to the frequency distributions of the two variables for the entire investigation period, shown in Figure 7. This is already stated in the text.

Page 12, line 337: How did you calculate the corresponding change of UVI* to be about 2?
It is from Figure 6, plot of UVI* vs Ang400-500.It has been added in the text

Page 13, line 345: Can you give any reasons why your results differ from those of Anton et al. 2011?
An explanation has been given at the end of section 4.

Page 13, line 354: There is a missing verb in the sentence + add at 30 degree, if so.
corrected

Figures 8 and 9, Move the heading of the color panels on the top of the color panel.
We are sorry but this is not possible because the headings are long and the center of the titles of each plot will be compromised.

Page 16, line 398, Where did you show results of analysis using AOD at 500 nm?
AODs at 400 and 500 nm were used to calculate Ang400-500. It has been explained in the text.

Page 16, line 401-403, Here again, why not to study the AAOD?
Please see the answer to your question above

Page 16, lines 413-415. What supports your assumption that the five macro – sources have not changed in the last years?
Generally, stable conditions exist during summer seasons in Rome characterized by a constant contribution of sea breeze during daytime. The SOIL source represents the most consistent contribution to the PM mass because the more aridity of soil during summer period lead to a higher resuspension of crustal-origin components operated by wind and vehicular traffic (Perrino et al., 2016, INDOOR AIR doi:10.1111/ina.12235). The stability of this situation supports the assumption we did. Many studies have been performed on the chemical analysis of the PM composition, but always related to short periods. In fact, it is impossible studying the PM chemical composition for a period long as the one considered in this study.

And as I wrote in the General Comment, I don't understand what supports the sentence "the variations in the absorption capability of the atmosphere over Rome were attributed to the different absorption characteristics of the macro-components and their modulation of concentration in the atmospheric mixture."
Please see the answer to your question at the beginning of the file.

Technical corrections:
In general the text contains long sentences, which are difficult to follow. Paragraph breaks are missing, e.g. in the section Results.
Paper has been re-read and corrected for long sentences.

Page 5, New paragraph cut between lines 152 and 153.
Done
Same for line 158 before Spectral UV...

Done

Aerosol optical characteristics in the urban area of Rome, Italy, and their impact on the UV index.

M.Campanelli[1], A.M. Siani[2], A. di Sarra[3], A.M. Iannarelli[4], P. Sanò[1], H. Diémoz[5], G.P. Casasanta[1], M. Cacciani[2], Luca Tofful[6], S. Dietrich[2]

[1] Institute of Atmospheric Sciences and Climate, National Research Council, Rome, Italy

[2] Sapienza University of Rome, Department of Physics, Rome, Italy

[3] Dipartimento Ambiente, Cambiamenti Globali e Sviluppo Sostenibile, Ente per le Nuove Tecnologie, l'Energia e l'Ambiente, Rome, Italy

[4] SERCO, Italy

[5] Agenzia Regionale Protezione Ambientale-Valle d'Aosta, ARPA-VDA, Italy

[6] Institute of Atmospheric pollution, National Research Council, Italy

Abstract

The aerosol optical characteristics in the urban area of Rome were retrieved over a period of 7 years from March to September 2010-2016. The impact of aerosol single scattering albedo (SSA), optical depth (AOD), estimated at 400 nm, and Ångström exponent on the ultraviolet (UV) index has been analyzed. Aerosol optical properties are provided by a PREDE-POM sun-sky radiometer of the ESR/SKYNET network and the UV index values were retrieved by a Brewer spectrophotometer both located in Rome. Chemical characterization of urban PM_{10} (particulate matter 10 micrometers or less in diameter) samples, collected during the URBan Sustainability Related to Observed and Monitored Aerosol (URBS ROMA) intensive filed campaign held in summer 2011 in the same site, was performed. PM macro-components were grouped in order to evaluate the contribution of the main macro-sources (SOIL, SEA, SECONDARY INORGANIC, ORGANICS and TRAFFIC). Their contributions were assumed not substantially changed in the other years under study, due to the general stable conditions during summer seasons in Rome, as reported by the literature. The modulation of their concentration, according to theoretical calculations, is expected to strongly affect the absorption capability of the atmosphere over Rome. The surface forcing efficiency, provided by the decreasing trend of UV index with AOD, which is the primary parameter affecting the surface irradiance during clear sky conditions in Rome, was found very significant, probably masking the dependence of UV index on SSA and Ångström exponents. Moreover it was found greater for larger

particles and with a more pronounced slope at the smaller solar zenith angle. In Rome large particles are generally less absorbing since related to the presence of SOIL and SEA components in the atmosphere. The former contribution was found much higher in summer months because of the numerous episodes of Saharan dust transport

1. Introduction

The aerosol influence on the incoming and outgoing solar radiation is a widely studied topic because of its relation with the Earth's radiative balance and climate. The aerosol influence on ultraviolet **(**UV) solar irradiance is also very important, particularly in urban areas, nevertheless still uncertain because in this wavelength region the columnar absorbing and scattering properties of suspended particles are not deeply inspected as in the visible spectral range. The aerosol capability of absorbing UV radiation has important implications for tropospheric photochemistry, human health, and agricultural productivity (Dickerson et al., 1997; He and Carmichael, 1999; Castro et al., 2001; Casasanta et al., 2011; Mok et al. 2018).

The aerosol single scattering albedo (SSA), that is the ratio of the aerosol scattering to extinction coefficient, representing an index of the aerosol absorption capability, and the optical depth (AOD), are important radiative parameters to determine the aerosol effect on the UV irradiance at the surface. Reuder and Schwander (1999) demonstrated that more than 80% of the aerosol effect on surface UV radiation due to increasing turbidity of the atmosphere can be estimated through aerosol optical depth and single scattering albedo.

UV absorption by aerosol, characterized by low SSA values at wavelengths shorter than 400 nm, is commonly attributed to organic aerosols that absorb predominantly in the UV region and show a stronger wavelength dependence than a purely black carbon absorption (Kirchstetter et al., 2004). Also mineral components shows a significant absorption in the UV region, as highlighted by Meloni et al. (2006).

Martins et al. (2009) indicated that the absorption efficiency of urban aerosol is considerably larger in the UV than in the visible and is probably linked to the absorption by organic aerosol. Similarly, an enhancement of aerosol absorption at UV wavelengths was observed in urban cities such as Rome, Italy (Ialongo et al., 2010) and Athens, Greece (Kazadzis et al., 2016), especially in winter.

di Sarra et al. (2002), Panicker et al. (2009), and Antón et al. (2011), among others, have shown that an increase of AOD induces a reduction of the UV index (UVI), an effective parameter to quantify the potentially harmful effects of UV radiation. These studies suggested that a unit increase in aerosol optical depth at about 400 nm may produce a significant decrease of UVI which depends on the solar zenith angle and aerosol properties, and may exceed 50%.

This work is aimed at determining for the first time the effect of aerosol optical properties retrieved in Rome on UV radiation, evaluating the role of SSA, AOD and Ångström exponent. The dataset covers the period from March to September of 7 years, from 2010 to 2016. Only Spring and Summer periods were selected, when solar zenith angles (SZA) smaller than 40° and then higher values of UVI can be analyzed. For SZA>40, as in winter time, the uncertainty on the irradiances measured by the Brewer increase due to effects as straight light interference (Bais and Zerefos, 1996) and angular response error (Antòn et al., 2008). Therefore an enhancement of the estimated error of UV index, which is about 4-5%, (Schmalwieser et al., 2017) is also expected. This could affect the identification of its variation caused by aerosol effect, because the UV index is low at SZA>40 and shows a little range of variability during the day. Aerosol optical properties were provided by a PREDE-POM sun-sky radiometer of the ESR/SKYNET (www.euroskyrad.net) network, and the UV index values were measured by a Brewer spectrophotometer.

2. The site and Instruments

Rome is a large urban site, with about 3 million inhabitants, located 25 km east of the Tyrrhenian Sea, in the middle of an undulating plain. The atmosphere is affected by urban emissions as well as by semi-rural particulates and, especially during the summer season, by sea breeze and long-range desert dust advection from the Saharan region (e.g., Ciardini et al., 2012).

Long term measurements of aerosol physical and optical properties, columnar ozone content and UV irradiance (290 -325 nm) are carried out in Rome, on the roof of the Physics Department of Sapienza University (41.9°N, 12.5°E; altitude 60 m) at the Laboratory of Geophysics. This site is located in the central sector of the city.

Aerosol properties are retrieved by the observations taken in clear sky conditions by the sun-sky radiometer PREDE/POM model 01, (hereafter called POM). It is a narrow band filter photometer able to perform measurements of direct solar and diffuse sky irradiances at selected wavelengths (315, 400, 500, 675, 870, 940 and 1020 nm) and at 24 scattering angles, in the range [0 –180°] in the almucantar geometry. The 315 and 940 nm channels are used to retrieve ozone and water vapour columnar content, whereas the other ones provide information on aerosols. The time resolution is 1 minute for direct irradiance and 10 minutes for diffuse irradiances. This instrument is part of the European Skynet Radiometer network (ESR, Campanelli et al., 2012; www.euroskyrad.net) that is a regional subnetwork of SKYNET (Takamura et al:, 2004); it has been operating in Rome since 2010 up to present. Calibration is performed monthly by the Improved Langley method (Campanelli et al., 2007), a well-tested “on-site” procedure that allows to frequently check the instrument status.

UV irradiance and total ozone content have been measured since 1992 at Rome by the Brewer Mk IV spectrophotometer No.067. This instrument is also operating by the Physics Department of Sapienza University at the Laboratory of Geophysics in Rome and is part of a European Brewer Network (EUBREWNET). The Brewer Mk IV is a single monochromator spectrophotometer specifically designed to retrieve through a well-defined data processing (Siani et al., 2018) the total column ozone by measuring solar direct irradiances at selected UV wavelengths in the ozone absorption spectrum (Kerr et al., 1981). The accuracy of direct-sun measurements of total ozone taken with a well-maintained Brewer spectrophotometer is 1% (Vanicek, 2006). The performance of the Brewer instrument for UV measurements was controlled every two years till 2014 through intercomparisons to the traveling reference QASUME UV spectroradiometer operated by Physykalish Meteorologisches Observatorium
Davos/ World Radiation Centre. The mean ratio of Brewer integrated solar UV irradiances to QASUME is within +3% (see https://www.pmodwrc.ch/en/world-radiation-center-2/wcc-uv/). After that the UV calibration has been carried out by IOS using 1000w lamps which are traceable to the QASUME reference spectroradiometer (Siani, et al., 2013). The Brewer also measures global spectral irradiances from 290 nm to 325 nm with a spectral resolution of about 0.5 nm at 0.5 nm steps. UV spectral scans are performed at Rome every 30 min throughout the day. The SHICrivm algorithm, used to obtain the biologically effective UV irradiance as explained in the section 3, compensates for the missing contribution of wavelengths longer than 325 nm. Based on considerations for similar corrections in the Brewer operating software (Fioletov et al., 2004), we estimate an uncertainty <2% in the UV index value for solar zenith angles <70° due to this extrapolation.

To complete the characterization of aerosol properties at Rome during summer, results from an intensive field campaign (URBan Sustainability Related to Observed and Monitored Aerosol – URBS ROMA, Campanelli et al., 2012) conducted in the period June – July 2011 in the same location and aimed to determine the aerosol direct radiative effect at the surface, were used. Particulate matter 10 micrometers or less in diameter (PM_{10}) mass concentrations were collected by using a dual channel sampler (HYDRA Dual Sampler, FAI Instruments, Fonte Nuova, Rome, IT) equipped with Teflon membrane filters and quartz fiber filters on the two channels. PM_10 mass concentration was measured on Teflon filters by gravimetry using an automated microbalance.
The elastic Lidar of the Sapienza University was also operative simultaneously with the other instruments and, in this study, it was used to discriminate days affected by desert dust.

Finally, during the period under analysis, the cumulated precipitation measured at the station Roma Macao of the Ufficio Idrografico e Mareografico of Rome, less than 1 km far from the Department of Physics of Sapienza University, was also used.

3. Methodology

The POM normalized radiance (that is the ratio between the solar diffuse radiance and direct solar irradiance) is inverted using the Skyrad4.2 pack (Nakajima et al., 1996), which is an official computer code of the SKYNET network. Signals from the channels centered at the wavelengths of 400, 500, 675, 870, and 1020 nm are analyzed in order to determine AOD, SSA, and Ångström exponent (Ang), the latter obtained by using all the wavelengths. In addition, the Ångström exponent is also calculated from the AOD at 400 and 500 nm ($Ang_{400\text{-}500}$) to infer the AOD wavelength dependence in the spectral range closest to the UV region. Cloud screening and quality check of the retrieved inversions are also performed. The cloud screening is based on the direct solar irradiance variability in 3 minute time interval, as explained in Estelles et al. (2012). The quality check of SSA and AOD at 400 nm (SSA_{400}, and AOD_{400}, respectively), that is the POM shortest wavelength used in this analysis, is based on the results from the most recent literature on Skyrad pack. Hashimoto et al. (2012) performed numerical tests on the SSA_{400} retrievals using the Rstar-6b radiative transfer code (Nakajima and Tanaka, 1986) and Skyrad pack (versions 4.2 and 5.0) inversions. The simulation of an atmosphere contaminated by both dust-like and water insoluble aerosols brought to SSA_{400} values of about 0.70. Simultaneously values varying between 0.71-0.75 were retrieved testing a cirrus contamination case by enhancing the coarse mode for simulating the presence of ice particle types (cirrus particles model of the World Climate Programme report, Deepak and Gerber, 1983). Following these results, SSA_{400} values lower than 0.70 were rejected in this study because considered unrealistic, but it should be taken into account that values between 0.71 and 0.75 could contain information on both dust presence and cirrus-cloud contamination. Hashimoto et al. (2012) also demonstrated that the SSA retrieval by Skyrad4.2 pack is problematic, since sometimes SSA tends to be unnaturally close to unity, irrespectively of the AOD. Therefore, inversions where SSA_{400} assumed values ≥ 0.99 were also rejected. In this work we used only SSA at 400 nm as absorption estimation parameter, because the comparison against retrievals from other versions of the Skyrad code showed good agreement at this wavelength and discrepancies at the others.

The UVI was introduced in Canada in 1992 (Fioletov, 2010) to represent the potentially harmful effects of UV radiation in a simple form. UVI is a unit-less quantity determined by multiplying the

erythemally weighted UV irradiances (in W m^{-2}) over the range 280-400 nm by 40 m^2W^{-1} (Cost - 713, 2000). UVI values are grouped into exposure category expressing the risk for unprotected skin to Sun exposure. Typically at mid-latitudes, UVI values at noon vary from 0 to 10, but highest UVI values (a peak of 12.3 at Plateau Rosà, 3500 m a.s.l., in Valle d'Aosta Region, Italy) were experienced at high altitude (e.g., Casale et al., 2015) and lower latitude sites.

Spectral UV irradiances, measured by the Brewer spectrophotometer in clear sky conditions (no clouds over the sun) selected according to Alexandrov et al. (2004) methodology, were used to retrieve UV index values. The spectral irradiances were processed using the SHICrivm software (version 3_075) to obtain the biologically effective UV irradiance by weighting the solar irradiances with a function (action spectrum) representing the effectiveness of UV radiation to produce the erythemal response in the skin (C.I.E., 1998). The SHICrivm software was also applied to check for any spectral wavelength shift and spectral anomalies (Slaper et al, 1995) in the UV data. In addition, since the Brewer MKIV spectrophotometer measures spectral irradiances up to 325 nm, the non-measured part of the UVA spectrum needed for the calculation of UVI was also extrapolated by the same software.

Total ozone values (O_3) from direct-sun measurements were generated by using Brewer Processing Software, applying the rejection criteria on ozone values less than 100 DU and greater than 500 DU (Siani et al., 2018). Yet, individual total ozone values were discarded when standard deviation is above 2.5 DU and ozone air mass is above 3.5 (The Ozone air mass is defined as the ratio of the actual ozone path length taken by the direct solar beam to the analogous vertical ozone path when the Sun is overhead from the surface to the top of the atmosphere).

To discern the dependence of UVI only on aerosol characteristics, the UVI dependence on the solar zenith angle (θ), ozone content, and orbital parameters (varying Earth-Sun distance) must be taken into account. Therefore, firstly the UVI was corrected for the variation of the Earth-Sun distance and values were reduced to the mean Sun-Earth distance (Madronich, 1993). Secondly, only data at two values of θ, 30° and 40°, were selected. This criterion excludes winter data, when the solar zenith angle is always higher than 40° in Rome. Thirdly, the UVI dependence on total O_3 has been removed. This correction has been implemented using the Radiation Amplification Factor (RAF) and scaling the UVI to the total diurnal ozone average value measured during the day with the lowest AOD_{400} recorded in the entire dataset (303 DU on September 2, 2014). Infact the effect of ozone on the erythemal UV irradiance may be described as suggested by Madronich (1993) and Booth and Madronich (1994):

$$\frac{E^*}{E} = \left(\frac{O_3}{O_3^*}\right)^{RAF}, \quad (1)$$

where E and E* are two UV irradiances observations, and O_3 and O_3* their corresponding total ozone amounts.

Similarly, it is possible to apply the above relationship to UVI:

$$UVI^* = UVI\left(\frac{<O_3>}{O_3^*}\right)^{RAF}, \quad (2)$$

where $<O_3>$ is the diurnal ozone average value, O_3* is the diurnal ozone average value during the day with the minimum average AOD_{400}, and RAF is assumed to be equal to 1.25, according to di Sarra et al. (2002). di Sarra et al. 2002 (Figure 8) retrieved values of RAF after correcting for the influence of co-varying aerosol optical depth. They retrieved values between 1.0 and 1.2 at 30° and 40° solar zenith angle when considering all aerosol conditions. As discussed in the paper, these values are affected by different processes (the wavelength dependence of the aerosol sensitivity, the interdependence between ozone and aerosol, possibly through increased ozone absorption following enhanced scattering by aerosols, ozone and aerosol vertical distributions). The values of 1.25 was derived from UVSPEC radiative transfer model calculations where the aerosol amount was kept fixed. This value is also in agreement with various other determinations of the ozone RAF (e.g., De Luisi and Harris, 1983; McKenzie et al., 1991; Kerr and McElroy, 1993). However a sensitivity study of UVI* on RAF variation from 1 to 1.25 has been performed over all the dataset showing an average decreasing of UVI* of about 1.4% that is within the declared uncertainty of 4-5%, (Schmalwieser et al., 2017).

To point out the possible effect of aerosol optical characteristics measured at 400 nm on UVI^*, AOD_{400}, SSA_{400}, Ang and $Ang_{400\text{-}500}$ were analyzed as function of UVI^* at the two fixed solar zenith angles, taking estimations of aerosol parameters and UVI* within ±5 minutes.

Chemical characterization of the collected PM_{10} dust, during the URBS campaign, was carried out according to the method reported in Perrino et al. (2009). Briefly, elements were determined on Teflon filters by X-ray fluorescence (XRF); then the filters were water-extracted and analyzed for their ionic content by ion chromatography (IC); elemental and organic carbon (EC and OC) were detected on quartz filters by thermo-optical analysis (NIOSH-QUARTZ temperature protocol). This overall analytical procedure allows the determination of each individual component typically accounting for more than 1% of the PM_{10} mass (macro-components: Si, Al, Fe, Na, K, Mg, Ca, chloride, nitrate, sulfate, ammonium, elemental carbon, organic carbon) and to obtain the mass closure.

PM_{10} macro-components can be grouped into five clusters to estimate the contribution of the main macro-sources: SOIL, SEA, SECONDARY INORGANICS, ORGANICS, and TRAFFIC. Details about the algorithms are reported in Perrino et al. (2014). The contribution of SOIL was calculated by adding the concentration of elements (as metal oxides) generally associated with mineral dust: Al,

Si, Fe, the insoluble fractions of K, Mg, and Ca (calculated as the difference between XRF and IC determinations), calcium and magnesium carbonate (calculated as the sum of soluble calcium multiplied by 1.5 and soluble magnesium multiplied by 2.5); SEA was estimated from the sum of Na^+ and Cl^-, multiplied by 1.176 in order to take into account minor sea-water components; SECONDARY INORGANICS were calculated as the sum of non-sea-salt sulphate, nitrate, and ammonium; the contribution of road TRAFFIC was estimated by adding elemental carbon to an equivalent amount multiplied by 1.1 in order to consider the contribution of primary organic matter that can be adsorbed on particles surface; the remaining organic carbon, multiplied by 1.6 to take into account non-C atoms, constituted the ORGANICS and included both secondary organic species and primary components.

During the same campaign, the presence of Saharan dust over Rome was detected by manually inspecting the Lidar Backscatter ratio at 532 nm. Days showing aerosol above the Boundary layer, and the simultaneously check of the Hysplit (Draxler et al., 1998) back-trajectories (bringing airmass from Saharah reagions), were classified as "dusty".

Finally to help the understanding of the possible different effects of PM_{10} macro-components concentration on the atmosphere over Rome, the imaginary parts of refractive index of each fundamental materials in the Rstar model, were taken as reference. Rstar is a radiative transfer model (Nakajima and Tanaka 1986) able to simulate the radiation fields in the atmosphere-land-ocean system at the wavelength range 0.17 – 1000 μm. Eight fundamental materials (water, dust-like, sea salt, volcanic ash, yellow sans, ice, water-soluble, soot and 75%H_2SO_4) are considered to assemble a three component internal mixture for each of the ten particles model types (Water, dust-like, volcanic-ash, rural, urban, yellow sand, ice, soot, 75%H_2SO_4, sea spray, tropo). In this study the refractive indexes for sea salt, soot and dust-like fundamental materials were taken as reference.

4. Results

The analyzed dataset covers the period March – September from 2010 to 2016 (for the last year the series end in August). Figure 1 shows monthly averages of SSA_{400}, AOD_{400}, and Ångström exponent for the period under examination. Annual means (calculated over the 7 months under study) of SSA_{400} vary between a minimum value of 0.84±0.08 (observed in 2016) and a maximum of 0.97±0.03 (observed in 2015). A comparison against AERONET retrievals obtained from measurements taken in TorVergata, a semirural area 14 km south east of the town, is also shown in Figure 1 (red points). The AERONET inversion, performed according to Dubovik and King (2000), is able to retrieve aerosol optical properties from Sun and sky radiance measurements. In this study we used level 1.5

data and Version 3 inversion algorithm (Giles et al., 2019). Although the two sites are slightly different in terms of atmospheric particles optical properties and the wavelength used for AOD, SSA and Ångström differs (380, 440 and 440-870 nm, respectively), the agreement between the AERONET and SKYNET properties is mostly within the SKYNET standard deviations. This is true also in the 3 common months of 2016 when a large decreasing trend in SSA is visible in both the sites. The decrease is even stronger from March to May, however, we are not able to identify the reason for this enhanced aerosol absorption. Differently in summer 2014 a stronger absorption in TorVergata is observed respect to Rome. Di Ianni et al. (2018), in a long term analysis of AERONET TorVergata data from 2001 to 2017, showed this period starting in Autumn 2013 and ending in Autumn 2014 as an anomalous one in terms of absorption and turbidity of the atmosphere over the site. AOD_{400} annual mean values range between a minimum of 0.14±0.06 (in 2014) and a maximum of 0.36±0.10 (in 2015; values higher than 0.3 are measured only in this year for the period under study). The Ångström exponent varies between 0.56±0.29 (in 2012) and 1.49±0.21 (in 2011). The total ozone content values and UVI at local noon are also plotted in Figure.1. The seasonal ozone behavior is typical of mid-latitude sites, with highest values measured in spring and particularly in April 2010 (385 D.U.) and March 2016 (374 D.U.). As expected, UVI has a bell-shape behavior generally peaked in July.

Scatter plots of monthly average SSA_{400} and UVI versus monthly precipitation (Figure. 2) were performed in order to check if precipitation can affect on average the optical parameters.

The only two parameters showing a slight correlation are SSA_{400} (R=0.30) and UVI (R= -0.60), highlighting that higher precipitation is associated with higher values of SSA (therefore less absorbent particulate) and with lower UVI values. These correlations among monthly mean values may be incidental, or due to the combination of different processes. In particular, we may expect that a higher occurrence of scattered clouds conditions, corresponding to lower UVI values passing the cloud screening procedure (no cloud over the sun), may be associated with periods with high precipitation during short-lived weather spring-summer disturbances. Possible effects on SSA_{400} may be linked to the possible influence of high humidity conditions, leading to a larger water content in soluble particles. This is however speculative, and a detailed analysis goes beyond the scope of this paper.

During June-July 2011 the chemical analysis of the collected PM_{10} (Figure 3) measured an average contribution over the entire mass of about 29% of SOIL, 6% of SEA, 23% of SECONDARY INORGANIC, 28% of ORGANICS and 9% of TRAFFIC components. During the URBS- ROMA campaign, the elastic Lidar showed the presence of significant events of desert dust transport, the strongest observed during the days highlighted in orange in Figure 3. It must be considered that in the days flagged as “dusty”, dust can remain at a higher level and not measurable at ground (this is the

case of 3 and 18 July). Conversely, sometimes a lot of aerosol is visible at ground level but it was not possible discriminating the presence of desert dust from the local SOIL component (this is the case of July 2 and 17). The atmosphere over Rome, during summer, can be characterized by a contribution of SEA comparable with TRAFFIC, or even greater during days with no desert dust advection. The absorption capability of these two components is very different: in the Rstar radiative transfer model at 413 nm the imaginary part of marine aerosol refractive index (sea salt) is $2.42x*10^{-8}$, whereas for soot, that is the fundamental material characterizing the TRAFFIC component, is $4.57x10^{-1}$. The mineral component (dust-like) has a refractive index of $7.95x10^{-3}$ at the same wavelength. It is therefore expected that the modulation of the concentration of the three co-existent materials, can strongly affect the absorption capability of the atmosphere over Rome.



Figure 1: Monthly averages of SSA_{400}, AOD_{400}, Ångström exponent, cumulated precipitation, total O_3 and UVI al local noon for each year from 2010 to 2106. The number of points refers to the data used to retrieve the aerosol parameters. Error bars are the standard deviation. Red points are AERONET retrievals at 440 nm.





Figure 2: monthly average of SSA_{400} (left) and UVI (right) at local noon versus monthly precipitation



Figure 3: Concentration of the components of PM10 collected in Rome from 21 June to 27 July 2011 as derived from chemical analyses. Orange columns represent days affected by the passage of desert dust, as measured by Lidar.

A statistical analysis of daily means of SSA_{400}, AOD_{400} and Ångström exponent with the percentage contribution of each chemical component, has been performed in order to connect optical properties and chemical analysis. In fact, assuming that the in situ measurements are representative of the entire column, their variation affects particles refractive index and particles dimensions, and consequently their absorption capability and Ångström exponent. Scatter plot of SSA_{400} versus the SOIL component (Figure 4) shows a slight negative correlation (R= -0.54), whereas no other correlation is visible for the other components and other optical and physical parameters. This result underlines that in situ measurements may not provide information correlated with the columnar properties, because optical and physical properties at the ground may differ from those of the entire column. Therefore, both information must be used complementarily for understanding the radiative effects of such a mixture of different components.



Figure 4. Behaviour of SSA_{400} versus the percentage contribution of SOIL component as retrieved during the URBS campaign.

Assuming that relations between aerosol composition and their optical properties, measured during summer 2011, are comparable in the last years, they can be considered as representative of the summer period 2010-2016 studied in this paper. This assumption is supported by the general presence of stable conditions during summer seasons in Rome, characterized by both SOIL source as the most consistent contribution to the PM_{10} mass and a constant contribution of sea breeze (Perrino et al., 2015).

In order to point out the possible effect of aerosol optical characteristics measured at 400 nm on UVI^*, the AOD_{400}, SSA_{400}, Ang, and $Ang_{400\text{-}500}$, were analyzed as function of UVI^*, at the two selected values of solar zenith angle. Figure 5 shows the frequency distributions of the number of

measurements for each of the two angles. θ=30° is more representative of the warmest months, whereas 40° covers a wider period.



Figure 5: Number of measurements available for each zenith angle.

The dependency of UVI^* on AOD_{400}, SSA_{400}, Ang and Ang_{400_500} for 30° and 40° solar zenith angles are shown in Figure 6, colored for different values of SSA_{400} or AOD_{400}. A clear linear decreasing trend of UVI^* when increasing AOD_{400} is evident. The slope in these graphs corresponds to the UVI* radiative forcing efficiency, i.e., the change in UVI* produced by a unit change in AOD. The slope is more pronounced at the smaller solar zenith angle, as already found by previous studies (di Sarra et al., 2008; Antón et al., 2011). No clear dependence of UVI^* on SSA_{400} or on Ångström exponents can be noticed. If existent, it is expected to be masked by the dependency on AOD, which is the primary parameter affecting the surface irradiance.

To investigate in more detail, the entire dataset was divided in three groups of Ang_{400_500}, below 0.8, between 0.8 and 1.7, and above 1.7, and in two groups of SSA_{400}, smaller and larger than 0.85, respectively. The values separating the different groups were determined according to the frequency distributions of the two variables for the entire investigation period, shown in Figure 7. Scatter plots and linear fits of UVI* versus the two variables, for each group, were performed and points with a distance greater than 2σ from the regression line (nout), with σ the standard deviation of the residuals, were rejected.

The dependence of UVI^* on AOD for the three classes of $Ang_{400\text{-}500}$ is shown in Figure 8, colored for different values of SSA_{400}, and in Table I. The slope is generally larger for smaller values of $Ang_{400\text{-}500}$, similarly to what found by Antón et al. (2011). At 30° the other two classes of $Ang_{400\text{-}500}$ have a very similar slope, differing of 0.15 that is below its uncertainty estimation from the fit. Conversely at 40° an intermediate value of the slope is found for $Ang_{400\text{-}500} \geq 1.7$; this value appears essentially driven, for both the zenith angles, by cases with low SSA and low AOD, which might be attributed

to a possible influence from combustion particles characterized by small size and high absorption (see, e.g., Pace et al., 2005). A similar dependency on the Ångström exponent was found by di Sarra et al. (2008) when considering the forcing efficiency over the whole shortwave spectral range. The smallest slope is associated to the $0.8<Ang_{400-500}\leq 1.7$, range which is characterized by a larger mixture of absorption capabilities.

The Ångström exponent in Rome varies between about 0.5 and 1.8 (Figure 7), with a typical range of variability of 1.3. The estimated effect of the Ang variability can be determined by considering the slope difference among the different values of Ang, which is of the order of 1.5 at 30° solar zenith angle (from Table I). The corresponding change of UVI* is about 2 (from Figure 6, plot of UVI* vs $Ang_{400-500}$).

Figure 9 shows the scatter plots of UVI^* vs AOD_{400} for SSA_{400} <0.85 (left side) and $SSA_{400} \geq 0.85$ (right side), with a colour scale for different values of the Ångström exponent at the two zenith angles. For solar zenith angles 30° (Table II) the slope of UVI^* versus AOD_{400} is larger for $SSA_{400}\geq 0.85$, increasing of about 67% going from -1.77 to -2.96. This increase is significant, since it is greater than the uncertainty of the estimated slope. For solar zenith angles 40° the increase is about 9%, going from -1.42 to -1.55, but in this case it is comparable with the estimated uncertainties of the slope, varying from 15% for SSA_{400} <0.85, to 7% for $SSA_{400} \geq 0.85$. This result is opposite to what Antòn et al. (2011) found in Granada, Spain, where, as expected, stronger aerosol absorption leads to a large surface forcing efficiency.

Looking at the UVI* versus AOD_{400} or the UVI* versus SSA_{400} scatter plots in Figure 6 it is evident that for both solar zenith angles (but mostly at the smaller one) less absorbing particles (higher SSA_{400}) correspond to higher AOD_{400}. This is also confirmed by the mean and median AOD_{400} values calculated over all the years in the months analyzed in Rome (Table III) with the additional information that higher AOD_{400} are also characterized by greater particles ($Ang_{400-500} < 0.8$). This is probably due to the presence of SOIL and SEA salt in the atmosphere, as highlighted during URBS.

As shown in Figure 7, SSA varies between about 0.75 and 1.0, for a variability range of 0.25. The slope difference among the different values of SSA is about 1, and a rough estimate of the corresponding change of UVI* is of about 0.25. This value is much smaller than the expected effect produced by Ang that is a change of about 2. Thus, it is very likely that the effect of variations of single scattering albedo may be masked by concomitant changes of Ang. This could also explain the different result respect to Antòn et al., 2001. In fact in Rome a greater surface forcing efficiency was found for larger particles that generally are less absorbing since related to the presence of SOIL and SEA components in the atmosphere. Those components are probably less influential in Granada, Spain, where the Antòn et al., 2001 analysis was performed.

θ=**30°**	Slope (m)	Intercept (q)	R	θ=**40°**	Slope (m)	Intercept (q)	R
$Ang_{400-500} < 0.8$	-3.73±0.31	8.04	-0.96	$Ang_{400-500} < 0.8$	-2.46±0.34	6.00	-0.87
$0.8 \leq Ang_{400-500} < 1.7$	-2.28±0.24	7.82	-0.77	$0.8 \leq Ang_{400-500} < 1.7$	-1.38±0.11	5.68	-0.78
$Ang_{400-500} \geq 1.7$	-2.13±0.37	7.76	-0.78	$Ang_{400-500} \geq 1.7$	-1.62±0.24	5.62	-0.83

Table I: The slope, intercept and correlation coefficients for the linear fit of UVI* vs AOD_{400}, in three cases: data selected for $Ang_{400-500} < 0.8$; $0.8 \leq Ang_{400-500} < 1.7$; $Ang_{400-500} \geq 1.7$, for the two zenith angles

θ=**30°**	Slope (m)	Intercept (q)	R	θ=**40°**	Slope (m)	Intercept (q)	R
All data	-1.97±0.21	7.80	-0.65	All data	-1.36±0.14	5.68	-0.60
$SSA_{400}<0.85$	-1.77±0.21	7.71	-0.77	$SSA_{400}<0.85$	-1.42±0.22	5.61	-0.73
$SSA_{400}\geq0.85$	-2.96±0.21	8.17	-0.89	$SSA_{400}>0.85$	-1.55±0.11	5.76	-0.82

Table II: slope, intercept and correlation coefficients for the linear fit of UVI* vs AOD_{400}, in three cases: all the dataset, data selected for $SSA_{400}<0.85$ and $SSA_{400}\geq0.85$ for the two zenith angles.

	AOD_{400} at θ=**30°**		AOD_{400} at θ=**40°**	
	Mean ± std	median	Mean ± std	median
$SSA_{400}<0.85$	0.186±0.099	0.185	0.200±0.095	0.187
$SSA_{400}\geq0.85$	0.296±0.118	0.274	0.262±0.135	0.249
Ang400_500<0.8	0.345±0.134	0.330	0.218±0.129	0.174
Ang400_500>=1.7	0.117±0.066	0.105	0.155±0.088	0.124

Table III: mean and median AOD_{400} values calculated over all the years in the months analyzed in Rome, separately for different classes of SSA and Ang.



Figure 6. Scatter plot of UVI^{*} vs AOD_{400} (top), SSA_{400} (middle), and Ang and $Ang_{400\text{-}500}$(bottom) for the solar zenith angles of 30° (left) and of 40° (right). The colors represent the values of SSA_{400} (first, third and fourth rows) and AOD_{400} (second row).



Figure 7. Frequency distributions of SSA_{400} (left) and $Ang_{400\text{-}500}$ (right) for the entire investigation period. The threshold values separating the different classes are highlighted with vertical black lines.



Figure 8: scatter plot of UVI^* vs AOD_{400} for three groups of $Ang_{400\text{-}500}$ (left, middle, right) and two solar zenith angles (top, bottom). The colors represent the values of SSA_{400}. nout is the number of rejected outliers.



Figure 9: scatter plot of UVI* vs AOD400 for two groups of SSA400 (right and left) and two solar zenith angles (top and bottom). The colour scale refers to the values of Ang. nout is the number of rejected outliers.

5. Conclusions

The aerosol optical characteristics in the urban area of Rome were retrieved for a period of 7 years, in the months from March to September 2010-2016. The impact of SSA, AOD at 400 nm, and Ångström exponent on the UV index has been analyzed. The evolution of UVI*, which is the measured UV index corrected for total ozone changes and scaled at the mean Sun-Earth distance, was studied with respect to AOD_{400}, SSA_{400}, and Ångström exponent calculated using all the wavelengths (Ang) and only 400 and 500 nm. Data at two fixed values of the solar zenith angle were selected in order to point out the possible effect of aerosol optical characteristics measured at 400 nm on UVI^*. A clear linear decreasing trend of UVI* when increasing AOD_{400} was found, with a more pronounced slope at the smaller solar zenith angle, as already shown by previous studies. The dependence of UVI^* on SSA_{400} and Ångström exponents is probably masked by the dependency on AOD, which is the primary parameter affecting the surface irradiance. The entire dataset was also analyzed separately for different absorption properties (by fixing a threshold value for SSA_{400}) and for different aerosols dimensions (by fixing threshold values for $Ang_{400\text{-}500}$). The surface forcing efficiency, provided by

the decreasing trend of UVI* with AOD_{400}, was found greater for larger particles. In Rome these particles, having small Ångström exponent values, are generally less absorbing since related to the presence of SOIL and SEA components in the atmosphere. Moreover the former contribution is much higher in summer months (as highlighted from the chemical characterization of suspended particulate matter over Rome during the URBS ROMA intensive field campaign held in 2011) because of the numerous episodes of Saharan dust transport. The result is that the effect of the Angstrom exponent on the incoming UV radiation could mask the dependence on the SSA.

The general behavior observed for the five macro-sources (SOIL, SEA, SECONDARY INORGANIC, ORGANICS and TRAFFIC) during summer 2011 has been assumed not substantially changed in the last years, and the variations in the absorption capability of the atmosphere over Rome were attributed to the different absorption characteristics of the macro-components and their modulation of concentration in the atmospheric mixture. The assumption that the five macro–sources have not changed in the last years is supported by the general presence of stable conditions during summer seasons in Rome, characterized by a constant contribution of sea breeze during daytime. The SOIL source represents the most consistent contribution to the PM mass because the more aridity of soil during summer period lead to a higher resuspension of crustal-origin components operated by wind and vehicular traffic (Perrino et al., 2015). The stability of this situation supports the assumption we did. Many studies have been performed on the chemical analysis of the PM components, but always related to short periods. In fact, it is impossible studying the PM chemical composition for a very long period as the one considered in this study.

A better understanding of the impact of aerosol optical properties in Rome on UVI* can be done in the next future using measurements of direct and diffuses solar radiation at 340 nm, instead of 400, available at the ESR Rome site from 2018. Also the use of different versions of the Skyrad code (as version 5.0 or A) can improve the retrieval of the SSA wavelength dependence, making possible the calculation of the Absorption Ångström Exponent for a better characterization of the absorption properties.

6. Acknowledgements:

We thank Gian Paolo Gobbi and collaborators for establishing and maintaining the Rome–Tor Vergata AERONET site used in this investigation. We also thanks ARPA-LAZIO for providing meteorological data over Rome.

7. References

Alexandrov, M. D., A. Marshak, B. Cairns, A. A.Lacis, and B. E. Carlson Automated cloud screeningalgorithm for MFRSR data, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L04118, doi:10.1029/2003GL019105, 2004

Antón, M., A. Serrano, M.L. Cancillo, J. Vilaplana, V.E. Cachorro, and J. Gröbner, 2008: Correction of Angular Response Error in Brewer UV Irradiance Measurements. J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 25, 2018–2027, https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JTECHA1040.1

Antón, M., J. E. Gil, J. Fernández-Gálvez, H. Lyamani, A. Valenzuela, I. Foyo-Moreno, F. J. Olmo, and L. Alados-Arboledas, Evaluation of the aerosol forcing efficiency in the UV erythemal range at Granada, Spain, J. Geophys. Res. 116, D20214, doi:10.1029/2011JD016112, 2011

Bais A. F. and C. S. Zerefos: Solar UVB measurements with the double- and single- monochromator Brewer Ozone Spectrophotomete, Geophysical Research Letters, vol. 23, no. 8, pages 833-836, april 15, 1996

Campanelli, M., Estellés, V., Smyth, T., Tomasi, C., Martínez-Lozano, M. P., Claxton, B., Muller, P., Pappalardo, G.,Pietruczuk, A., Shanklin, J., Colwell, S., Wrench, C., Lupi, A.,Mazzola, M., Lanconelli, C., Vitale, V., Congeduti, F., Dionisi,D., and Cacciani, M.: Monitoring of Eyjafjallajoekull volcanic aerosol by the new European SkyRad users (ESR) sun–sky radiometernetwork, Atmos. Environ., 48, 33–45, 2012.

Campanelli M., C. Bassani, M. Cacciani, A.M. Siani , C. Perrino , S. Canepari , A. Di Sarra, R. Salzano, G.P. Casasanta , C. Tirelli , and V. Estelles: Direct effect of aerosol on incident solar radiation at the surface as a function of aerosol mixtures measured in the center of Rome, Geophysical Research Abstracts, Vol. 14, EGU2012-4820, 2012, EGU General Assembly.

Campanelli, M., Estellés, V., Tomasi, C., Nakajima, T., Malvestuto, V., and Martiínez-Lozano, J. A.: Application of the SKYRAD Improved Langley plot method for the in situ calibration of CIMEL Sun–sky photometers, Appl. Optics, 46, 2688–2702, 2007.

Casale, G.R., Siani, A.M., Diémoz, H., Agnesod, G., Parisi, A.V., Colosimo, A. Extreme UV index and solar exposures at Plateau Rosà (3500ma.s.l.) in Valle d'Aosta Region, Italy, Science of the Total Environment, 512-513, 622-630, 2015.

Casasanta, G., A. di Sarra, D. Meloni, F. Monteleone, G. Pace, S. Piacentino, and D. Sferlazzo, Large aerosol effects on ozone photolysis in the Mediterranean, *Atmos. Environ.*, **45**, 3937-3943, 2011.

Castro, T., Madronich, S., Rivale, S., Muhlia, A., and Mar, B.: The influence of aerosols on photochemical smog in Mexico City, Atmos.Environ., 35, 1765–1772, 2001

Ciardini, V., Di Iorio T., Di Liberto L.,, Tirelli C., Casasanta G., di Sarra A., Fiocco G., Fuà D., Cacciani M. : Seasonal variability of tropospheric aerosols in Rome, Atmospheric Research, Vol 118, 15 Nov. 2012, Pages 205-214 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.06.026

C.I.E. (Commission Internationale de l'Eclairage). Erythema reference action spectrum and standard erythema dose. CIE S007E-1998. 1998. CIE Central Bureau, Vienna, Austria

COST-713 Action. UV Index for the Public. European, Communities. Brussels, 27, 2000.

Deepak, A. and Gerber, H. E. (Eds.): Report of the Experts Meeting on Aerosols and Their Climatic Effects, Williamsburg, Virginia,28–30 March 1983: WMO-ICSU WCP-55, World Meteorology, Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 107 pp., 1983.

DeLuisi, J. J., and J. M. Harris, A determination of the absolute radiant energy of a Robertson-Berger meter sunburn unit, Atmos. Environ., 17, 751–758, 1983.

Dickerson, R. R., Kondragunta, S., Stenchikov, G., Civerolo, K. L.,Doddridge, B. G., and Holben, B. N.: The impact of aerosolson solar ultraviolet radiation and photochemical smog, Science,278, 827–830, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.278.5339.827,1997

Di Ianni, A.; Costabile, F.; Barnaba, F.; Di Liberto, L.;
Weinhold, K.; Wiedensohler, A.; Struckmeier, C.; Drewnick, F.; Gobbi, G.P. Black Carbon Aerosol in Rome (Italy): Inference of a Long-Term (2001–2017) Record and Related Trends from AERONET Sun-Photometry Data. Atmosphere 2018, 9, 81.

Draxler, R. R., and G. D. Hess, 1998: An overview of the HYSPLIT_4 modeling system for trajectories, dispersion, and deposition. Aust. Meteor. Mag., 47, 295–308

Dubovik, O. and M. D. King, 2000: A flexible inversion algorithm for retrieval of aerosol optical properties from Sun and sky radiance measurements," J. Geophys. Res., 105, 20 673-20 696.

di Sarra A., M. Cacciani, P. Chamard, C. Cornwall, J. J. DeLuisi, T. Di Iorio, P. Disterhoft, G. Fiocco, D. Fua`, and F. Monteleone Effects of desert dust and ozone on the ultraviolet irradiance at the Mediterranean island of Lampedusa during PAUR II Journal of Geophysical Research, Vol. 107, No. D18, 8135, doi:10.1029/2000JD000139, 2002

di Sarra, A., G. Pace, D. Meloni, L. De Silvestri, S. Piacentino, and F. Monteleone, Surface shortwave radiative forcing of different aerosol types in the Mediterranean, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L02714, doi:10.1029/2007GL032395, 2008.

Estellés V., M. Campanelli, T.J. Smyth, M.P. Utrillas and J.A. Martinez-Lozano, AERONET and ESR sun direct products comparison performed on Cimel CE318 and Prede POM01 solar radiometers, *ACP 2012 12*, 11619–11630, 2012.

Fioletov, V. E., Kimlin, M. G., Krotkov, N., McArthur, L. J. B., Kerr, J. B., Wardle, D. I., Herman, J. R., Meltzer, R., Mathews, T. W., and Kaurola, J. (2004), UV index climatology over the United States and Canada from ground-based and satellite estimates, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D22308, doi:10.1029/2004JD004820.

Fioletov, V., J. B. Kerr, A. Fergusson, The UV Index: Definition, Distribution and Factors Affecting It. Can. J. Public Health 101(4):I5-I9,2010.

Frederick, J. E., E. K. Koob, A. D. Alberts, and E. C. Weatherhead, Empirical studies of tropospheric transmission in the ultraviolet: Broadband measurements, J. Appl. Meteorol., 32, 1883– 1892, 1993. Reuder, J., and H. Schwander, Aerosol effects on UV radiation in nonurban regions, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 4065–4077, 1999

Giles, D. M. and Sinyuk, A. and Sorokin, M. G. and Schafer, J. S. and Smirnov, A. and Slutsker, I. and Eck, T. F. and Holben, B. N. and Lewis, J. R. and Campbell, J. R. and Welton, E. J. and Korkin,

S. V. and Lyapustin, A. I., Advancements in the Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) Version~3 database -- automated near-real-time quality control algorithm with improved cloud screening for Sun photometer aerosol optical depth (AOD) measurements,Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 12, 2019, 1, 169-209, https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/12/169/2019/, DOI 10.5194/amt-12-169-2019.

Hashimoto, M., T. Nakajima, O. Dubovik, M. Campanelli, H. Che, P. Khatri, T. Takamura, and G. Pandithurai: Development of a new data-processing method for SKYNET sky radiometer observations, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5, 2723–2737, 2012, www.atmos-meas-tech.net/5/2723/2012/, doi:10.5194/amt-5-2723-2012

He, S. and Carmichael, G. R.: Sensitivity of photolysis rates and ozone production in the troposphere to aerosol properties, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 26307–26324,https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900789, 1999

Ialongo, I., Buchard, V., Brogniez, C., Casale, G. R., and Siani, A.M.: Aerosol Single Scattering Albedo retrieval in the UV range:an application to OMI satellite validation, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,10, 331–340, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-331-2010, 2010.

International Ozone Service (IOS): International Ozone Service Inc., http://www.io3.ca/ (last access: 1 September 2018) September 2018

Kazadzis, S., Raptis, P., Kouremeti, N., Amiridis, V., Arola, A.,Gerasopoulos, E., and Schuster, G. L.: Aerosol absorption retrieval at ultraviolet wavelengths in a complex environment, Atmos.Meas. Tech., 9, 5997–6011, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-5997-2016, 2016.

Kerr, J. B., C. T. McElroy, and R. A. Olafson, Measurements of ozone with the Brewer spectrophotometer, in Proceedings of the Quadrennial International Ozone Symposium, edited by J. London, pp. 74–79, Natl. Cent. for Atmos. Res., Boulder, Colo, 1981.

Kerr, J. B., and C. T. McElroy, Evidence for large upward trends of ultraviolet-B radiation linked to ozone depletion, Science, 262, 1032–1034, 1993.

Kinney, J. P., C. S. Long, and A. C. Geller, The Ultraviolet Index:A useful tool, Dermatol. Online J., 6(1), 2, 1981.

Kirchstetter, T. W., Novakov, T., and Hobbs, P. V.: Evidence that the spectral dependence of light absorption by aerosols is affected by organic carbon, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D21208, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD004999, 2004.

Liu, C., Chung, C. E., Yin, Y., and Schnaiter, M.: The absorption Ångström exponent of black carbon: from numerical aspects, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 6259-6273, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-6259-2018.

Madronich, S., The Atmosphere and UV-B Radiation at Ground Level. In: Young A.R., Moan J., Björn L.O., Nultsch W. (eds) Environmental UV Photobiology. Springer, Boston, MA, 1993

Madronich, S., 1993: UV radiation in the natural and perturbed atmosphere. Environmental Effects of Ultraviolet Radiation, Lewis, Boca Raton,Florida. 17-69, Booth, C & Madronich, Sasha. Radiation amplification factors: Improved formulation accounts for large increases in ultraviolet radiation associated with Antarctic ozone depletion. Antarctic Research Series. 62. 39-42. 10.1029/AR062p0039, 1994.

Martins, J. V., Artaxo, P., Kaufman, Y. J., Castanho, A. D., and Remer, L. A.: Spectral absorption properties of aerosol particles from 350–2500 nm, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L13810, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL037435, 2009.

McKenzie, R. L., W. A. Matthews, and P. V. Johnston, The relationship between erythemal UV and ozone, derived from spectral irradiance measurements, Geophys. Res. Lett., 18, 2269–2272, 1991.

Meloni, D., A. di Sarra, G. Pace, and F. Monteleone, Optical properties of aerosols over the central Mediterranean. 2. Determination of single scattering albedo at two wavelengths for different aerosol types, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **6**, 715–727, 2006.

Mok, J., Krotkov, N. A., Torres, O., Jethva, H., Li, Z., Kim, J., Koo, J.-H., Go, S., Irie, H., Labow, G., Eck, T. F., Holben, B. N., Herman, J., Loughman, R. P., Spinei, E., Lee, S. S., Khatri, P., and Campanelli, M.: Comparisons of spectral aerosol single scattering albedo in Seoul, South Korea, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 2295-2311, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-2295-2018, 2018.

Nakajima, T. and M. Tanaka, Matrix formulation for the transfer of solar radiation in a plane-parallel scattering atmosphere. J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 35, 13-2, 1986.

Nakajima, T., Tonna, G., Rao, R., Boi, P., Kaufman, Y., and Holben, B., Use of sky brightness measurements from ground for remote sensing of particulate polydispersions. Applied Optics 35, 2672-2686, 1996.

Pace, G., D. Meloni, and A. di Sarra, Forest fire aerosol over the Mediterranean basin during summer 2003, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D21202, doi:10.1029/2005JD005986, 2005.

Panicker A. S.,G. Pandithurai, T. Takamura,and R. T. Pinker Aerosol effects in the UV-B spectral region over Pune, an urban site in India Geophysical Research Letters, Vol. 36, L10802, doi:10.1029/2009GL037632, 2009.

Perrino C., S. Canepari, M. Catrambone, S. Dalla Torre, E. Rantica, T. Sargolini: "Influence of natural events on the concentration and composition of atmospheric particulate matter" Atmospheric Environment, 43, 4766-4779, 2009.

Perrino C., M. Catrambone, S. Dalla Torre, E. Rantica, T. Sargolini, S. Canepari: "Seasonal variations in the chemical composition of particulate matter: a case study in the Po Valley. Part I: macro-components and mass closure" Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 21, 3999-4009, 2014.

Perrino, C., Tofful, L. and Canepari, S. (2016), Chemical characterization of indoor and outdoor fine particulate matter in an occupied apartment in Rome, Italy. Indoor Air, 26: 558-570. doi:10.1111/ina.12235

Reuder J., H. Schwander Aerosol effects on UV radiation in nonurban regions First published: 01 February 1999 https://doi.org/10.1029/1998JD200072 Journal of Geophysical Research, Vol. 104, No. D4, Pages 4065-4077, February 27, 1999.

Schmalwieser, A.W., Gröbner, J., Blumthaler, M., Klotz, B., De Backer, H., Bolsée, D., Werner, R.,Tomsic, D., Metelka, L., Eriksen, P., Jepsen, N., Aun, M., Heikkilä, A., Duprat, T., Sandmann, H., Weiss, T., Bais, A., Toth, Z., Siani, A.M., Vaccaro, L., Diémoz, H., Grifoni, D., Zipoli, G., Lorenzetto, G., Petkov, B.H., Di Sarra, A.G., Massen, F., Yousif, C., Aculinin, A.A., Den Outer, P., Svendby, T., Dahlback, A., Johnsen, B., Biszczuk-Jakubowska, J., Krzyscin, J., Henriques, D., Chubarova, N., Kolarž, P., Mijatovic, Z., Groselj, D., Pribullova, A., Gonzales, J.R.M., Bilbao, J.,

Guerrero, J.M.V.,Serrano, A., Andersson, S., Vuilleumier, L., Webb, A., O'Hagan, J. UV Index monitoring in Europe (2017) Photochemical and Photobiological Sciences, 16 (9), 1349-1370

Siani, A.M., Modesti, S., Casale, G.R., Diemoz, H., Colosimo, A. Biologically effective surface UV climatology at Rome and Aosta, Italy (2013) AIP Conference Proceedings, 1531, 903-906, DOI: 10.1063/1.4804917

Siani, A.M., F. Frasca, F. Scarlatti, A. Religi, H. Diémoz, G. R. Casale, M. Pedone, V. Savastiouk Examination on total ozone column retrievals by Brewer spectrophotometry using different processing software, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 5105–5123, 2018.

Slaper, H., Reinen, A. J. M., Blumthaler, M., Huber, M., and Kuik, F. Comparing ground-level spectrally resolved solar UV measurements using various instruments: a technique resolving effects of wavelength shift and slit width, Geophys. Res. Lett., 22, 2721–2724, 1995.

Vanicek, K. Differences between ground Dobson, Brewer and satellite TOMS-8, GOME-WFDOAS total ozone observations at Hradec Kralove, Czech. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 5163–5171, 2006.

Takamura, T., and T. Nakajima, Overview of SKYNET and its activities, Opt. Pura Apl. 37, 3303-3308, 2004.