

Interactive comment on “The influence of the baseline drift on the resulting extinction values of a CAPS PMex” by Sascha Pfeifer et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 3 February 2020

Review of “The influence of the baseline drift on the resulting extinction values of a CAPS PMex” by Pfeifer et al.

The manuscript describes ambient measurements of aerosol extinction (450, 530, and 630 nm wavelengths), black carbon mass, and NO₂ mixing ratio that were conducted in an urban environment over a two week period. As would be expected, the blue and green extinction measurements were most susceptible to variability in the NO₂ mixing ratios, while the red extinction measurement showed little sensitivity. It is well known that absorbing gases can change the CAPS measurement, which is why the instrument employs a simple background loss correction scheme. The authors find that this simple step-wise background correction does not keep up with the observed gas-phase variability, and so they employ a smooth spline to represent the background

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



Interactive
comment

loss over time. They report that this method reduces transients and artifacts in the extinction time series. The paper is very short, and the main finding seems to be that a cubic fit captures the timeseries variability of the CAPS background loss better than a 5-minute stepwise function. This is obvious and the sort of thing that I would expect to see as a 1-2 sentence statement in the Methods section of a journal paper, but not as a standalone paper (even one described as a technical note).

I do not think that the manuscript meets the journal's requirement for scientific significance – “Does the manuscript represent a substantial contribution to scientific progress within the scope of this journal (substantial new concepts, ideas, methods, or data)?” Consequently, I recommend that the paper be rejected.

Interactive comment on *Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss.*, doi:10.5194/amt-2019-331, 2019.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

