Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2019-372-RC3, 2020 © Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



AMTD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Estimates of Lightning NO_x Production based on High Resolution OMI NO_2 Retrievals over the Continental US" by Xin Zhang et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 22 January 2020

Zhang et al. present estimates of lightning NOx production from satellite observations of NO2. They provide a formalism which considers both lightning and background NOx and discuss the impact of the latter. The study derives LNOx estimates plus uncertainties for the continental US. The paper is generally well structured and comprehensible. It matches the scope of AMT and should be published after dealing with the issues raised below.

The authors derive equations for LNOx by treating clouds as reflecting surfaces. This simplification is required for many radiative transfer models which can not handle multiple scattering in 3d clouds. Thus, several previous studies follow this approach. How-

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



ever, the authors should still be aware of this simplification and state this clearly in the manuscript. Formulations like "NO2 above/below the cloud" are misleading, as for thick thunderstorm clouds, most of the LNOx is WITHIN the cloud, with a high sensitivity from OMI at the cloud top, gradually decreasing towards the cloud bottom. In this context, the authors should discuss what the "cloud top" derived from OMI O2-O2 measurements acutally means for a thunderstorm cloud.

There is one crucial omission which might require major revisions: The derived AM-FLNOx will strongly depend on pcloud (e.g. eq. 2). But this key input parameter is missing in the error budget in table 3. This has to be revised and the uncertainties caused by pcloud have to be discussed accordingly.

Minor corrections:

5: Skip "program of"

130: must be square km

146: The concept of defining an "AMF" for converting SNO2 into LNOx was also used in Beirle et al., AMT 9, 1077-1094, 2009, (see eq. 9 therein).

268: I recommend to extend "Production" to "Production estimates"

395: "we find that the regionally dependent effect" - unclear, please revise.

Fig. 1: Please make the legends clearer and remove cryptic labels ("crf90_entin_tl")

Figs. 2 and 9: Please use less, but larger labels on x-axis.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2019-372, 2019.

AMTD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

