We are grateful to the referees for their insightful comments. We provide below point-by-point responses to the referee's comments. We also have made most of the changes suggested by the referees in the revised manuscript.

Anonymous Referee #1

15

20

35

40

45

Line 81. The use of quartz filters is needed for easy thermal desorption analysis; however, there are many artifacts associated 5 with these filters. The adsorption of vapors is well known, and this can affect measurements. What is the estimated contribution of this artifacts to the measurements? A set of samples with a backup filter would have provide information regarding this problem and if it contributed to differences in methods.

Response: We agree with the reviewer that adsorption of vapors might lead to sampling artifacts. We actually have investigated the potential artifacts by analyzing one backup filter for every five ambient samples and the results show < 5% contribution.

10 In the revised manuscript Sect. 2.4, Page 4, Lines 23-24, we have now added:

"...and for every 5 samples, one backup filter was analyzed to check any potential contamination and the results show < 5% contribution."

Line 87. There is no information regarding how the authors came up with the extraction volume, solvent mix, and sonication time. If this is a previously verified method, there should add a reference. If is was selected after conducting preliminary extraction studies, it should be mentioned.

Response: We have now added the above information and the literature in the revised manuscript. In Sect. 2.2, Page 2, Lines 39-40 to Page 3, Lines 1-2, it now reads:

"A portion (4.34 cm²) of the sample or blank filter was cut with a clean stainless-steel punch, then extracted with a mixture (15 mL) of dichloromethane (DCM) (99.9%, LC grade, Mallinckrodt Laboratory Chemicals, Phillipsburg, NJ, USA) and methanol (99.9%, LC grade, Mallinckrodt Laboratory Chemicals, Phillipsburg, NJ, USA) (3:1, v/v) under ultra-sonication for 15 min and filtered through quartz wool packed in a Pasteur pipette (Fujii et al., 2016; Mohseni et al., 2017)."

Line 90. There are several solvent extraction steps, and it is not clear if the suspended particles in solution were extracted with the solvent before adding new one. If this is the case considerable amounts of organics may have been removed with the particulates. This is important to consider when correcting for losses and differences in method. If particles were removed with the solvent between extraction steps, the difference between the two methods could be even greater.

25

Response: The extraction procedure used in our study has been widely used in many previous studies (e.g., Simoneit, 1999; Fujii et al., 2016; Mohseni Bandpi et al., 2017; Ahn et al., 2018). We used a mixture of dichloromethane and methanol (3:1, v/v) to cover a large range of polarities and to efficiently dissolve n-alkane, PAHs, and hopanes measured here. The mixtures of samples and solvents went through 15 min of ultrasonication in each extraction step. Therefore, even though the suspended

30 particles (if any) were removed during the filtration between extraction steps, these targeted organics in the suspended particles should have been effectively extracted into the solvents, as confirmed by the good recoveries of the SE-method (89.4–98.6%).

In the revised manuscript in Sect. 2.2, Page 3, Lines 6-13, we have now added the following discussion:

"Note that the suspended particles (if any) were removed during the filtration between extraction steps which, however, has little influence on the extraction efficiencies of the measured organics because the extraction solvents cover a large range of polarities and can efficiently dissolve n-alkane, PAHs and hopanes measured here as demonstrated in previous studies (Fujii et al., 2016). The high extraction efficiencies are further supported by the good recoveries (89.4–98.6%) which are considered

Line 163. These differences indicate that the method results are dependent on the chemical properties of the sample and the nalkanes loading, and it will need optimization for every set of samples collected in areas with different particle source profiles. Have authors test this method with samples of different nature than the ones collected in the China area? It will be important to evaluate feasibility of the analytical method for widespread use.

Response: We agree with the reviewer that the chemical properties have an influence on the TD results, as shown in Fig. 1. To evaluate the feasibility of the TD method, we therefore measured samples from 4 Chinese megacities including Beijing, Shanghai, Chengdu, and Guangzhou, representing North, East, West, and South of China where the sources of these organics are different. Moreover, we measured the samples of low-to-high mass loadings to show that the TD method can be used to

measure samples of different pollution levels and different emission sources.

to be very satisfactory given additional sources of error (e.g., from GC-MS detection)."

In the revised manuscript in Sect. 1, Page 2, Lines 27-31, we have added:

"...in ambient aerosol samples collected in four Chinese megacities including Beijing, Shanghai, Chengdu, and Guangzhou, representing North, East, West, and South of China where the sources of these organics are different. The mass loadings of

PM in those four cities were also quite different. The main objective was to show that the TD/GC-MS method can be used to 50 measure samples of different concentrations and different emission sources."

Line 199. The authors indicate there is a good correlation between methods once correction for the lower TD quantification. Is this realistic? A considerable analysis needs to be done to measure the efficiency of the TD method. Also, the solvent extraction method could be different, which leads to considerable number of variables that can change results. Either you always use one method, and compare samples always determined with the same issues, or evaluate differences before analysis and quantification of samples from different sources.

Response: For the TD method, we established the standard calibration curves for individual compounds by spiking standards
of targeted compounds onto pre-cleaned filters which were then measured following the same procedure as for ambient samples. In this case, we do not correct for recoveries for TD method. For the SE method, however, because the standard calibration curves were established by direct liquid injection of the standards, we corrected for the potential loss during the sample pre-treatment.

In the revised manuscript, we have now clarified these points in Sect. 2.4, Page 4, Lines 10-12:

10 "Direct injection of a mixture of liquid standards with different concentrations into the SE/GC-MS system was utilized to establish a calibration curve, while a mixture of standards with different concentrations were spiked onto the pre-cleaned filters and then used to establish the calibration curves for the TD/GC-MS system."

Anonymous Referee #2

- 15 This manuscript presents results from an intercomparison of two analysis methods for organic aerosol, which mainly differ in the sample preparation procedure, i.e., thermal desorption (TD) and conventional solvent extraction (SE). Both methods were applied to a set of ambient aerosol samples from 4 different cities in China. The resulting chemical speciation data are interesting, but need further evaluation and discussion. As the main focus of the paper is on the comparison of the analysis methods, the conclusion of the study that the TD approach produces comparable results to the traditional SE method is a good finding, especially in light of the fact that the TD method is a "greener" alternative. Nevertheless, various conceptual and
- 20 finding, especially in light of the fact that the TD method is a "greener" alternative. Nevertheless, various conceptual and technical issues need to be addressed by the authors prior to publication of this manuscript, as detailed below.

Response: We are grateful to the referee for the positive comments on our manuscript. We have now included further evaluation and discussion on the chemical speciation and addressed the conceptual and technical issues when necessary.

Specific comments:

40

45

Page 2, Lines 22-22: The authors state that comparison studies between TD and SE methods are still needed, but some have been reported in the literature.

Response: We agree with the referee that a few studies have reported the comparison between TD and SE methods. For example, Ho and Yu (2004) compared these two methods for n-alkanes and PAHs with 16 ambient filter samples from Hong Kong. Ho et al. (2008) compared these two methods for alkanes, PAHs, cyclohexanes, steranes, phthalates, and hopanes with

- 30 14 ambient samples from Hong Kong and for PAHs with 19 ambient samples from Tongliang. In our study, however, we extend the comparison of these two methods to ambient samples of low-to-high concentrations from Beijing, Shanghai, Chengdu, and Guangzhou, representing North, East, West, and South of China where the sources of these organics are different. Our results show that the TD method can be used to measure samples of different pollution levels and different emission sources.
- 35 In the revised manuscript in Sect. 1, Page 2, Lines 15-20, we have now added the following discussion:

"...Yang et al., 2017). Also, Ho and Yu (2004) compared the TD and SE methods for n-alkanes and PAHs with 16 ambient filter samples from Hong Kong; and Ho et al. (2008) compared these two methods for alkanes, PAHs, cyclohexanes, steranes, phthalates, and hopanes with 14 ambient samples from Hong Kong and for PAHs with 19 ambient samples from Tongliang. However, the evaluation and comparison of TD/GC-MS with the well-established SE/GC-MS are still needed to test its capability of measuring ambient samples of low-to-high concentrations and different emission sources."

In Sect. 1, Page 2, Lines 27-31, it now reads:

"...in ambient aerosol samples collected in four Chinese megacities including Beijing, Shanghai, Chengdu, and Guangzhou, representing North, East, West, and South of China where the sources of these organics are different. The mass loadings of PM in those four cities were also quite different. The main objective was to show that the TD/GC-MS method can be used to measure samples of different concentrations and different emission sources."

2. Page 3, Lines 13-14: Did the internal standard function as both recovery and co-injection standard?

Response: Yes, these two internal standards functioned as recovery and co-injection standards.

We have clarified it in the revised manuscript in Sect. 2.2, Page 3, Lines 5-6:

"...were added as co-injection internal standards to correct for the recovery during GC-MS measurements."

 Page 3, Lines 14-16; Page 5, Lines 3-4: Why did the authors not use direct liquid injection for the analysis of the standards? It makes sense to have the same matrix as for actual samples analyses, but for the determination of e.g. the extraction efficiency it might have been better to directly inject the standard solutions into the GC-MS.

Response: We indeed used direct liquid injections to establish the standard calibration curves for the SE method. In Sect. 2.4,

Page 4, Lines 10-16, we were trying to say that we spiked a mixture of standards onto the pre-cleaned filters for determining the recovery of the SE method. For the TD method, we established the standard calibration curves for individual compounds by spiking standards of targeted compounds onto pre-cleaned filters which were then measured following the same procedure as for ambient samples.

5 In the revised manuscript, it now reads:

25

45

In Sect. 2.4, Page 4, Lines 10-16: "...for two methods. Direct injection of a mixture of liquid standards with different concentrations into the SE/GC-MS system was utilized to establish a calibration curve, while a mixture of standards with different concentrations were spiked onto the pre-cleaned filters and then used to establish the calibration curves for the TD/GC-MS system. Table S2 shows the slopes and the correlation coefficients (R^2) for linear regressions of the calibration

- 10 curves which were mostly > 0.99 (Table S2). To determine the recovery of the SE method, a mixture of standards was spiked onto the pre-cleaned blank filters which were then measured following the same procedure as for ambient samples. The recovery of the TD method was calculated based on the response signal of liquid standards and its known amount spiked onto the blank filter."
- In Sect. 2.3, Page 3, Lines 35-37: "...to the next analysis. The standard calibration curves were established for individual compounds by spiking standards of targeted compounds onto pre-cleaned filters which were then measured following the same procedure as for ambient samples."
 - 4. Page 3, Lines 27-28: Was such long baking time really needed for the TD tubes? And at what temperature was the glass wool baked?
- Response: Baking the TD tubes at 550 °C for at least 10 h is a standard procedure and has been used in previous studies (Ho and Yu, 2004; Ho et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015). The glass wool was baked at 550 °C for at least 5 h.

We have now added the above information and the literature in the revised manuscript:

"The TD tube is a Pyrex glass tube and is cleaned following a standard procedure: it was cleaned with methanol under ultrasonication for more than 30 min, then baked at 550 °C for at least 10 hours before use (Ho and Yu, 2004; Ho et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015). A small amount of pre-baked glass wool (baked at 550 °C for at least 5 h) was used to make two plugs for holding the filter pieces in the middle of the tube."

5. Page 4, Lines 27-28: What type of blank was analyzed - filter, trip, field, etc. blank?

Response: For every 5 samples, we measured one backup filter to check any potential contamination from laboratory analysis.

We have clarified this point in our revised manuscript in Sect. 2.4, Page 4, Lines 23-24:

"...and for every 5 samples, one backup filter was analyzed to check for any potential contamination and the results show < 30 5% contribution."

- 6. Page 5, Lines 3-4: Did the authors consider other factors, aside from vapor pressure, which might have influenced the temperature effect? Using n-alkanes to investigate this effect may not adequately represent the temp. effect on other compounds.
- Response: Although other factors such as the affinity of the analytes to other components in the particulate matter (sample matrix) or the filter material (filter matrix) might contribute to some extent to the retention of target analytes during thermal desorption, we believe that vapor pressure of the target analytes is still the dominating factor. As such, thermal desorption is a temperature-manifested physical process in which desorption is determined by the vapor pressure of a compound. This method has been used to determine the volatility of organics in e.g. thermodenuder and Figaero inlet of chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS). Therefore, the vapor pressure is the determining factor of the temperature effect. For the selection of n-alkanes to investigate the temperature effect, the reason is that the vapor pressure range of the studied n-alkanes covers the vapor pressures of those studied PAHs and honanes. Therefore, we believe it is appropriate by using n-alkanes to investigate
- vapor pressures of those studied PAHs and hopanes. Therefore, we believe it is appropriate by using n-alkanes to investigate the temperature effect.
 - 7. Page 5, Lines 15-19: The pyrolysis effect mentioned here may not apply to PAHs. In fact, such relatively low TD temperature may not be sufficient to recover higher molecular-weight PAHs. The authors may want to comment on how the temperature effect may be different for different compound classes.

Response: We agree that different compound classes (e.g., PAHs and n-alkanes) may undergo different levels of pyrolysis at the same temperature as pointed out by the referee. In the revised text, we have added such comments, it now reads "It is noted that analytes with a different molecular structure such as PAHs and hopanes may undergo different levels of pyrolysis at 280 °C when compared to n-alkanes, and may require different temperatures to get the optimized thermal desorption efficiency.

- 50 However, the optimized TD temperature (i.e., 280 °C) based on the n-alkanes test was considered to be sufficient to analyze PAHs and hopanes and a similar temperature (275 °C) was used in previous studies (Ho and Yu, 2004; Ho et al., 2008).
 - 8. Page 6, Lines 11-13: It would be helpful for the reader to see the individual values, which could be placed in the supplementary materials.

Response: We agree with the reviewer and have added Table S3 in the supplementary to show the individual values.

9. Page 7, Lines 1-6: This data interpretation is too crude! Why don't the authors report CPI values, as well as Cmax?

Response: We have added CPI values together with C_{max} and relevant discussion in Sect. 3.4, Page 6, Lines 13-28:

- "…in Guangzhou, indicating the major contribution of anthropogenic emissions to n-alkanes in these four cities in winter. The relatively lower contribution of short-chain n-alkanes in Guangzhou is likely due to more biogenic emissions of long-chain n-alkanes in south China than in north China during winter. The large anthropogenic contribution is supported by C_{max} of n-alkane (i.e., the carbon number with maximum concentration), an indicator often used to distinguish anthropogenic from biogenic sources. N-alkanes with C_{max} ≤ C₂₆ are mainly from anthropogenic sources while those with C_{max} > C₂₆ are typically from biogenic sources (Xu et al., 2013). In this study, C₂₅ exhibits the highest concentration (66.1 ng m⁻³) in Beijing while C₂₄
 (48.0 ng m⁻³) is the highest in Chengdu, C₂₂ (19.8 ng m⁻³) in Shanghai, and C₂₆ (19.3 ng m⁻³) in Guangzhou. We have also
- 10 (48.0 ng m⁻³) is the highest in Chengdu, C₂₂ (19.8 ng m⁻³) in Shanghai, and C₂₆ (19.3 ng m⁻³) in Guangzhou. We have als investigated the carbon preference index (CPI) of n-alkanes, which was calculated following the equation:

$$CPI = \frac{\sum C_{15} \text{ to } C_{37}}{\sum C_{14} \text{ to } C_{36}}$$
(1)

The values of CPI ≤ 1 (or ~ 1) indicate that n-alkanes are from anthropogenic sources while values of CPI >1 indicate biogenic emissions (Mancilla et al., 2016a). The CPI values of these four cities are all close to 1 (Beijing 0.9, Chengdu 0.9, Shanghai

15 0.8, Guangzhou 1.0), indicating that n-alkanes are mostly from anthropogenic sources (Alves et al., 2001; Mancilla et al., 2016a). It should be noted that the above discussion of anthropogenic versus biogenic sources is empirical evidence and may be subjected to relatively large uncertainties. For example, recent studies show that vehicular emissions also contain n-alkanes > C_{26} (Worton et al., 2014)."

10. Page 7, Lines 19-20: Likewise, the conclusions from merely comparing ambient levels of these PAHs are too speculative.

- 20 Response: In the current study, the major objective was to show that the SE/GC-MS method and the TD/GC-MS method can be used to measure samples of different concentrations and different sources. We did not provide quantitative results from indepth source apportionment in this study to investigate on the sources of PAHs, or other species that we measured, in these different cities in China. Instead, we simply comment on, based on concentrations of PAHs measured, the potentials sources of PAHs in different cities.
- 25 11. Page 7, Lines 25-28: Why do the authors attribute these findings solely to coal combustion? How about combustion of oil? And do the authors have emission factors for coal combustion?

Response: Indeed, hopanes can be emitted from coal combustion and oil combustion. The vehicular fleets in 2014 are 5.4 million in Beijing, about 1.6–2.0 times higher than those in other three cities (i.e., 3.4 million in Chengdu, 2.7 million in Shanghai, and 2.7 million in Guangzhou). However, the concentration of the most abundant hopane species (C30 $\alpha\beta$ H) is > 3 times higher in Beijing than those in the other three cities. Such a large difference could be attributed to additional emission source other than vehicles, that is, emissions from coal combustion for wintertime residential heating in Beijing, which is unique among the four cities we studied.

In the revised manuscript, we have rephrased the discussion. In Sect. 3.4, Page 7, Lines 5-13, it now reads:

- "Hopanes are pentacyclic hydrocarbons with triterpene group and can be from both coal combustion and traffic emissions
 (Oros and Simoneit, 2000; Schauer et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2008). As shown in Fig. 6, Beijing exhibits the highest concentrations of hopanes among the four cities studied. C30αβH is the most abundant hopane species in the four cities, with the highest concentration in Beijing (2.0 ng m⁻³) and similar concentrations in Chengdu, Shanghai, and Guangzhou (~0.6 ng m⁻³). Note that the vehicular fleets in 2014 are 5.4 million in Beijing, about 1.6–2.0 times higher than those in the other three cities (i.e., 3.4 million in Chengdu, 2.7 million in Shanghai, and 2.7 million in Guangzhou; Chinese Statistical Yearbook 2015), while the concentration of C30αβH is > 3 times higher in Beijing than in the other three cities. Such a large difference could be attributed to additional emission sources besides vehicles, that is, emissions from coal combustion for wintertime residential heating in Beijing, which is unique among the four cities we studied (Huang et al., 2014; Elser et al., 2016)."
 - 12. Page 8, Lines 12-13: Those high observed levels in Beijing can't be due just to coal combustion, and certainly have a significant contribution from vehicular traffic, as the city has a large vehicle fleet which is rapidly increasing.
- 45 Response: Agree. We meant that coal combustion could be an additional source, on top of traffic emission, of hopane in Beijing. We have rephrased this sentence. It now reads "Such a large difference could be attributed to additional emission sources besides vehicles, that is, emissions from coal combustion for wintertime residential heating in Beijing which is unique among the four cities we studied (Huang et al., 2014; Elser et al., 2016)."

Technical corrections:

30

50 1. Page 1, Line 32: Change "efficient" to "coefficient".

Response: We have changed that to "coefficient".

2. Page 7, Lines 12, 14: Say "PAH" rather than "PAHs".

Response: We have changed those to "PAH".

3. Page 7, Line 32: Please, state whether these concentration numbers are for individual species.

Response: These concentration numbers are the average concentration of total PAHs.

- 4. Page 16, caption for Figure 2: Change "which" to "with".
- 5 Response: We have changed that to "with".

Anonymous Referee #3

10

The study presented in the manuscript described the comparison of two analytical method for the quantification of n-alkanes, PAHs and hopanes in atmospheric aerosol. The authors evaluated compared TD-GC/MS method with SE-GC/MS method in terms of their analytical performance and discussed their advantages/disadvantages.

- The manuscript is well written but the scientific interest in comparing these two analytical methods is limited. Indeed, the study does not provide any new analytical technique and finally only the measured concentrations of particle organic compounds for samples collected in China, provide an interest to the organic aerosol community.
- It would be necessary to improve the quality of the manuscript more discuss about the difference in aerosol sources and processes in four megacities, increase the number of sample (compare different season), interpret the data according to the meteorological parameters to the sample sites, ... The solvent extract method (SE) is not enough developing to make a comparison with the thermal desorption method. For example, other extraction method could have been tested: Soxhlet extraction or and ASE method; different solvent mixture
 - example, other extraction method could have been tested: Soxhlet extraction or/and ASE method; different solvent mixture (acetonitrile,...)...
- 20 Response: Thanks for your positive comment. We agree that the TD/GC-MS and SE/GC-MS methods utilized in this study are not new techniques. However, it is still of significance to evaluate/optimize the TD/GC-MS method for particulate organics and compare with the well-established SE-GC/MS method, which was the main objective of this study. Therefore, we evaluated and compared these two methods in terms of their analytical performance and discussed their advantages/disadvantages. In particular, we demonstrated that the TD/GC-MS method can be used to determine alkanes, PAHs,
- 25 and hopanes in ambient aerosol samples of low-to-high concentrations and different emission sources. As we considered this manuscript is more about method comparison, we only qualitatively discuss the difference in sources of organics in these four megacities. The seasonal difference in their sources and atmospheric processes of organics in these four megacities will be discussed in a separate paper.
- For the SE method, the solvent mixture (dichloromethane and methanol, 3:1, v/v) and the extraction procedure have been widely used in many previous studies (e.g., Simoneit, 1999; Fujii et al., 2016; Mohseni Bandpi et al., 2017; Ahn et al., 2018). A mixture of dichloromethane and methanol (3:1, v/v) can cover a large range of polarities and therefore effectively extract nalkane, PAHs, and hopanes with recoveries ranging from 89.4% to 98.6%.

Anonymous Referee #4

- 35 The authors present a comparison between analysis of organic particle components using solvent extraction versus thermal desorption. In general, I think it is important to do careful methodological analyses, as is the goal of this work. The overall upshot conclusion is that TD is as good a method as SE, which is a valuable conclusion. However, if the focus is on details of the comparison, there are several major shortcomings of the manuscript as it is currently presented. These are described in general comments below. If the focus is on the scientific comparison between the cities, substantial additional conclusions and
- 40 discussion would be warranted, as well as better justification for some of the current conclusions of the work (i.e. n-alkanes are not a very compelling way to distinguish biogenic an anthropogenic emissions). My overall impression of this work is that it provides good supporting information that their extraction techniques work, but falls short of providing either a comprehensive analysis of their techniques, or a compelling scientific analysis of their data. With this in mind, it is more suited for the supplementary material of a separate manuscript that does the latter.
- 45 Response: Thanks for pointing out the shortcomings of our work presented in this manuscript. We have improved the discussion on methodology accordingly. The main objective was to show that the TD/GC-MS method can be used to measure samples of different concentrations and different sources, which is of particularly significance for analysing organics in polluted air, for example, in China. Therefore, we analysed samples from 4 Chinese megacities including Beijing, Shanghai, Chengdu, and Guangzhou, representing North, East, West, and South of China where the sources and concentrations of these organics are different.

General comments:

Gaps in methodological details: The efficiency and quality of thermal desorption is expected to be strongly dependent on the flow rates and paths of the desorbed analytes. If this is a commercially available system (e.g. Gerstel TDU, or Markes TD-100), the authors should provide that information and do not need to describe flow paths in detail. If it is custom built, some

55 detailed description is necessary.

Response: For the TD unit, we replaced the commercialized GC-MS injector tube with home-made TD tube. The TD tube was a Pyrex glass tube that was home-fabricated to be 78 mm in length, 4 mm i.d., and 6 mm o.d. The length and the outside diameter were identical to those of the GC injector liner. After the loaded TD tube was placed in the injector port, the septum cap was closed and the injector-port temperature was raised from 50 °C to 280 °C for desorption (about 8-9 min). The TD system used the ultra-high purity helium (99.9999%) as the carrier gas which was held at a constant pressure of 7.7 psi and flow of 1.0 mL min⁻¹. The injector was set in the splitless mode for the first 2 min after the GC oven temperature program

started, then switched to the split mode, and returned to the splitless mode at the end of the GC run. We have added the above

5

Was there cryogenic trapping of the desorbed analytes for focusing? Many TD systems (e.g. Gerstel and Markes) desorb at high flows to a low-volume cryo trap, backflush that trap. No mention of that approach is made here. Later data (e.g. Figure 1) indicates possible loss of higher-volatility analytes, which might be improved with a cryo trap. If one is not used in this case, I question the application of this intercomparison to many currently available TD systems.

Response: We did not use a cryogenic trapping unit for concentrating the analytes because the concentrations of organics analysed in this study are very high (up to 110 ng m⁻³). For the TD method, the calibration curves for individual analytes were established by spiking a mixture of standards with different concentrations and internal standards onto pre-baked filters which were then analyzed directly by TD/GC-MS. Therefore, even though the loss is a bit high for some highly volatile analytes (e.g., short-chain n-alkanes), it could be still acceptable for quantitative analysis.

What volume of solvent extract was actually injected? (I assume 1 uL)

information in the revised manuscript in Sect. 2.3, Page 3, Lines 27-29.

Response: Indeed, 1 µL of the extracts were injected into the GC-MS system. We have added this in the revised manuscript.

- 20 Concerns in intercomparisons: How is "recovery" calculated? Recovery of C36 for SE is 100%, yet its peak is much lower. Given that response of an MS is non-universal and not directly related to mass from first principles, what is the SE C36 signal being compared to in order to determine it is 100%? Or is the decrease in peak height just due to peak broadening and the integrated area is the same for all alkanes? If that is the case, my suggestion for re-doing Figure 1 would make it much more clear.
- Response: The recoveries of individual analytes were calculated based on the response signal of a compound and its known amount spiked onto the filter. We have double-checked and found that the recovery of C₃₆ is 98.6%. We have re-checked the peak width for C₃₀ (0.126 min), C₃₁ (0.121 min), C₃₂ (0.116 min), C₃₃ (0.110 min), C₃₄ (0.116 min), C₃₅ (0.121 min), C₃₆ (0.121 min), and C₃₇ (0.121 min). They all show similar widths and thus the peaks were not broadened during the measurements. Actually, we found that the peak height decreased gradually from C₃₁ to C₃₇, likely due to decreasing mass spectrometric response to long-chain alkanes.
 - Regarding LODs, the comparison between TD and SE is self-evident. TD analysis sends all introduced sample to the GC/MS, while SE dilutes sample to _1 mL, of which <1 uL (I'm assuming based on typical methods, it is never actually stated) is injected to the GC/MS. For the same amount of sample, TD should be _1000x more sensitive, which is what the authors find on Page 6.
- 35 Response: We agree with the reviewer that the TD method has much lower LODs because all introduced samples are measured by GC-MS. The reason we did the comparison of LODs between these two methods is to calculate the filter punch area required for the TD and SE analysis (as done in Page 6), which is useful particularly when designing sampling time/flow for samples from pristine regions, or for samples from highly polluted regions to avoid mass overloading.
- Issues with scientific conclusions:
- 40 Particle emissions from vehicles also contain n-alkanes larger than C26, so it is not necessarily true those are indicative of biogenics. In fact, looking at Figure 4, all 4 cities exhibit n-alkane patterns centered around C24-C26, as would be expected for organic particles emitted from motor vehicles. Evidence for biogenic influence may be present based on odd-even ratios at Shanghai, but in general drying any anthro vs bio conclusions from the n-alkanes is questionable. Differences in n-alkane distributions may be due to differences in fuels or emissions. (Why not use pinic acid, or small acids, or other analytes, as
- evidence of biogenic influence?) (Worton, D. R.; Isaacman, G.; Gentner, D. R.; Dallmann, T. R.; Chan, A. W. H.; Ruehl, C.; Kirchstetter, T. W.; Wilson, K. R.; Harley, R. A.; Goldstein, A. H. Lubricating oil dominates primary organic aerosol emissions from motor vehicles. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2014, 48 (7), 3698–3706.)
 A lot of discussion in the paper is of specific differences in concentrations between the cities, but there is not much context or

discussion of the importance or reasons for these differences. When reasons are discussed, they are broad claims based on little data (such as the anthro v. bio discussion commented on above, or the general comments around residential fuel use in Beijing)

Response: It would certainly be helpful to measure more organic markers to differentiate anthropogenic sources from biogenic sources. However, our TD system does not include an online derivatization unit and therefore is not capable of measuring polar compounds.

Following the suggestion of the reviewer, we have now added discussions about the CPIs of n-alkanes, in addition to the discussion of short-/long-chain n-alkanes and C_{max}. In the revised text, it now reads: "...in Guangzhou, indicating the major contribution of anthropogenic emissions to n-alkanes in these four cities in winter. The relatively lower contribution of short-chain n-alkanes in Guangzhou is likely due to more biogenic emissions of long-chain n-alkanes in south China than in north

China during winter. The large anthropogenic contribution is supported by C_{max} of n-alkane (i.e., the carbon number with maximum concentration), an indicator often used to distinguish anthropogenic from biogenic sources. N-alkanes with $C_{max} \leq C_{26}$ are mainly from anthropogenic sources while those with $C_{max} > C_{26}$ are typically from biogenic sources (Xu et al., 2013). In this study, C_{25} exhibits the highest concentration (66.1 ng m⁻³) in Beijing while C_{24} (48.0 ng m⁻³) is the highest in Chengdu, C_{22} (19.8 ng m⁻³) in Shanghai, and C_{26} (19.3 ng m⁻³) in Guangzhou. We have also investigated the carbon preference index

(CPI) of n-alkanes, which was calculated following the equation:

$$CPI = \frac{\sum C_{15} \text{ to } C_{37}}{\sum C_{14} \text{ to } C_{36}}$$
(1)

The values of CPI \leq 1 (or ~1) indicate that n-alkanes are from anthropogenic sources while values of CPI >1 indicate biogenic emissions (Mancilla et al., 2016a). The CPI values of these four cities are all close to 1 (Beijing 0.9, Chengdu 0.9, Shanghai 0.8, Guangzhou 1.0), indicating that n-alkanes are mostly from anthropogenic sources (Alves et al., 2001; Mancilla et al., 2016a). It should be noted that the above discussion of anthropogenic versus biogenic sources is empirical evidence and may be subjected to relatively large uncertainties. For example, recent studies show that vehicular emissions also contain n-alkanes > C₂₆ (Worton et al., 2014)."

- We have added discussions about the possible reasons for the different concentrations observed in different cities. Beijing was more polluted than the other three cities mainly due to the higher vehicular fleet and the usage of coal combustion for heating while the biogenic emissions could be still importance in Guangzhou due to higher vegetation coverage and higher temperature in south China even in winter.
- In Sect. 3.4, Page 7, Lines 28-34, it now reads "the short-chain n-alkanes concentrations are 1.1–2.6 times higher in Beijing than in the other 3 cities, further supporting the higher anthropogenic emissions in Beijing. This is consistent with the higher traffic fleets and larger coal usage in Beijing than in the other 3 cities studied here (Huang et al., 2014). In fact, Beijing is the only city that has centralized residential heating in the four cities studied here. In contrast, higher contribution from the biogenic source in Guangzhou is likely associated with the higher temperature and vegetation coverage in southern China, resulting in relatively low concentrations and fractions of short-chain alkanes compared to the rest three cities (Fig. 4). This is consistent with the higher vegetation coverage and temperature even during winter (Xu et al., 2013)."
- 25 We have also discussed the possible reason for the higher concentration of hopane in Beijing. Now it reads, "As shown in Fig. 6, Beijing exhibits the highest concentrations of hopanes among the four cities studied. C30αβH is the most abundant hopane species in the four cities, with the highest concentration in Beijing (2.0 ng m⁻³) and similar concentrations in Chengdu, Shanghai, and Guangzhou (~0.6 ng m⁻³). Note that the vehicular fleets in 2014 are 5.4 million in Beijing, about 1.6–2.0 times higher than those in the other three cities (i.e., 3.4 million in Chengdu, 2.7 million in Shanghai, and 2.7 million in Guangzhou;
- 30 Chinese Statistical Yearbook 2015), while the concentration of C30 $\alpha\beta$ H is >3 times higher in Beijing than in the other three cities. Such a large difference could be attributed to additional emission sources besides vehicles, that is, emissions from coal combustion for wintertime residential heating in Beijing which is unique among the four cities we studied (Huang et al., 2014; Elser et al., 2016)."

Technical comments:

5

10

35 Page 2 line 5 - Wording is a little odd. What exactly is "much less constrained"? The composition?

Response: The organic composition is much more complicated than the inorganic species. We have changed "much less constrained" to "much more complicated".

Page 2 lines 9-10 - The fraction of particulate matter identified depends strongly on composition and sources. This is probably a reasonable statement in general, but it is a little narrow, non specific, and perhaps a bit out of date. As examples of cases 40 where this statement might not be true: - in the Amazon nearly 30% is just from 2- methyltetrols and C5-alkene triols, which are identified as specific compounds (see Hu, W. W.; Campuzano-Jost, P.; Palm, B. B.; Day, D. A.; Ortega, A. M.; Hayes, P. L.; Krechmer, J. E.; Chen, Q.; Kuwata, M.; Liu, Y. J.; et al. Characterization of a realtime tracer for isoprene epoxydiolsderived secondary organic aerosol (IEPOX-SOA) from aerosol mass spectrometer measurements. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2015, 15 (20), 11807–11833.) - in the central valley of California most of the signal is a complex mixture of hydrocarbons that have been characterized in detail (see Chan, A. W. H.; Isaacman, G.; Wilson, K. R.; Worton, D. R.; Ruehl, C. R.; Nah, T.; Gentner, 45 D. R.; Dallmann, T. R.; Kirchstetter, T. W.; Harley, R. A.; et al. Detailed chemical characterization of unresolved complex mixtures in atmospheric organics: Insights into emission sources, atmospheric processing, and secondary organic aerosol formation. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 2013, 118 (12), 6783-6796.) - In the southeastern US, ~50% of signal can be accounted for by individual molecules that are oxidation products of individual precursors, and anoth ~25% characterized by molecular 50 formula. While this falls short of being "identified as specific compounds", it is much closer to complete characterization than implied by this sentence (see Zhang, H.; Yee, L. D.; Lee, B. H.; Curtis, M. P.; Worton, D. R.; Isaacman-VanWertz, G.; Offenberg, J. H.; Lewandowski, M.; Kleindienst, T. E.; Beaver, M. R.; et al. Monoterpenes are the largest source of summertime organic aerosol in the southeastern United States. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2018, 115 (9), 2038–2043.) I recommend tring to be a little more specific or add caveats to this claim (e.g. "In many environments..." or "using traditional techniques")

55 Response: We agree with the reviewer that more specific statement of what is the fraction in particulate organic matter we can characterize in detail depends strongly on where we make the measurements. We have revised the sentence with respect to the studies in different environments as suggested by the referee. We have also added "in many environments" in the revised manuscript to be more specific about the claim. "Various efforts have been committed to study the composition of organic

aerosol in different environments including urban areas (Chan et al., 2013) and forested areas, such as Amazon (Hu et al., 2015) and the southeastern United States (Zhang et al., 2018). However, in many environments, only 10–30% of the particulate organic matter has been identified as specific compounds despite years of effort with the most sophisticated techniques available (Hoffmann et al., 2011)."

5 Page 2 lines 21-22 - many of the citations you reference above actually are comparisons between SE and TD. How does this work specifically advance the knowledge?

Response: As replied to referee #2 above, we agree with the referee that a few studies have reported the comparison between TD and SE methods. For example, Ho and Yu (2004) compared these two methods for n-alkanes and PAHs with 16 ambient filter samples from Hong Kong. Ho et al. (2008) compared these two methods for alkanes, PAHs, cyclohexanes, steranes, netholates and henenes with 14 ambient samples from Hong Kong. and for PAHs with 10 ambient samples from Tongling.

- 10 phthalates, and hopanes with 14 ambient samples from Hong Kong and for PAHs with 19 ambient samples from Tongliang. In our study, however, we extend the comparison of these two methods to ambient samples of low-to-high concentrations from Beijing, Shanghai, Chengdu, and Guangzhou, representing North, East, West, and South of China where the sources of these organics are different. Our results show that the TD method can be used to measure samples of different pollution levels and different emission sources.
- 15 In the revised manuscript in Sect. 1, Page 2, Lines 15-20, we have now added the following discussion:

"...Yang et al., 2017). Also, Ho and Yu (2004) compared the TD and SE methods for n-alkanes and PAHs with 16 ambient filter samples from Hong Kong; and Ho et al. (2008) compared these two methods for alkanes, PAHs, cyclohexanes, steranes, phthalates, and hopanes with 14 ambient samples from Hong Kong and for PAHs with 19 ambient samples from Tongliang. However, the evaluation and comparison of TD/GC-MS with the well-established SE/GC-MS are still needed to test its capability of measuring ambient samples of low-to-high concentrations and of different emission sources."

In Sect. 1, Page 2, Lines 27-31, it now reads:

20

25

"...in ambient aerosol samples collected in four Chinese megacities including Beijing, Shanghai, Chengdu, and Guangzhou, representing North, East, West, and South of China where the sources of these organics are different. The mass loadings of PM in those four cities were also quite different. The main objective was to show that the TD/GC-MS method can be used to measure samples of different concentrations and different emission sources."

Page 4 line 25 - What volume of these standards?

Response: The volume was 25 μL for the SE method and 1 μL for TD methods.

Figure 1 - The chromatograms are interesting, but it would be much easier to intercom- pare if they were all on the same plots and axes. Why not overlay lines representing the integrated peak area in each case, something more like Figure 2a? Then we could intercompare and better understand differences.

Response: We have updated the Figure 1 (see below).



Abundance

Reference

Alves, C., Pio, C., and Duarte, A.: Composition of extractable organic matter of air particles from rural and urban Portuguese areas, Atmos. Environ., 35, 5485-5496, 2001.

Chan, A. W. H., Isaacman, G., Wilson, K. R., Worton, D. R., Ruehl, C. R., Nah, T., Gentner, D. R., Dallmann, T. R., Kirchstetter, T. W., Harley, R. A., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., de Gouw, J. A., Offenberg, J. H., Kleindienst, T. E., Lin, Y. H., Rubitschun,

- C. L., Surratt, J. D., Hayes, P. L., Jimenez, J. L., and Goldstein, A. H.: Detailed chemical characterization of unresolved complex mixtures in atmospheric organics: Insights into emission sources, atmospheric processing, and secondary organic aerosol formation, 118, 6783-6796, 10.1002/jgrd.50533, 2013.
 Elser, M., Huang, R. J., Wolf, R., Slowik, J. G., Wang, Q., Canonaco, F., Li, G., Bozzetti, C., Daellenbach, K. R., Huang, Y.,
- Elser, M., Huang, R. J., Wolf, R., Slowik, J. G., Wang, Q., Canonaco, F., Li, G., Bozzetti, C., Daellenbach, K. R., Huang, Y., Zhang, R., Li, Z., Cao, J., Baltensperger, U., El-Haddad, I., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: New insights into PM2.5 chemical composition and sources in two major cities in China during extreme haze events using aerosol mass spectrometry, 16, 3207-3225, 10.5194/acp-16-3207-2016, 2016.
- Fujii, Y., Mahmud, M., Oda, M., Tohno, S., Matsumoto, J., and Mizohata, A.: A Key Indicator of Transboundary Particulate Matter Pollution Derived from Indonesian Peatland Fires in Malaysia, 16, 69-78, 10.4209/aaqr.2015.04.0215, 2016.
- Ho, S. S. H., and Yu, J. Z.: In-injection port thermal desorption and subsequent gas chromatography–mass spectrometric analysis of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and n-alkanes in atmospheric aerosol samples, J. Chromatogr. A, 1059, 121-129, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2004.10.013, 2004.
- Ho, S. S. H., Yu, J. Z., Chow, J. C., Zielinska, B., Watson, J. G., Sit, E. H. L., and Schauer, J. J.: Evaluation of an in-injection port thermal desorption-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry method for analysis of non-polar organic compounds in ambient aerosol samples, J. Chromatogr. A, 1200, 217-227, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2008.05.056</u>, 2008.
- 20 Hoffmann, T., Huang, R.-J., and Kalberer, M.: Atmospheric Analytical Chemistry, 83, 4649-4664, 10.1021/ac2010718, 2011. Hu, W. W., Campuzano-Jost, P., Palm, B. B., Day, D. A., Ortega, A. M., Hayes, P. L., Krechmer, J. E., Chen, Q., Kuwata, M., Liu, Y. J., de Sá, S. S., McKinney, K., Martin, S. T., Hu, M., Budisulistiorini, S. H., Riva, M., Surratt, J. D., St. Clair, J. M., Isaacman-Van Wertz, G., Yee, L. D., Goldstein, A. H., Carbone, S., Brito, J., Artaxo, P., de Gouw, J. A., Koss, A., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Karl, T., Kaser, L., Jud, W., Hansel, A., Docherty, K. S., Alexander, M. L., Robinson, N. H., Coe, H., Allan,
- J. D., Canagaratna, M. R., Paulot, F., and Jimenez, J. L.: Characterization of a real-time tracer for isoprene epoxydiols-derived secondary organic aerosol (IEPOX-SOA) from aerosol mass spectrometer measurements, 15, 11807-11833, 10.5194/acp-15-11807-2015, 2015.

Huang, R. J., Zhang, Y., Bozzetti, C., Ho, K. F., Cao, J. J., Han, Y., Daellenbach, K. R., Slowik, J. G., Platt, S. M., Canonaco, F., Zotter, P., Wolf, R., Pieber, S. M., Bruns, E. A., Crippa, M., Ciarelli, G., Piazzalunga, A., Schwikowski, M., Abbaszade, G.,

30 Schnelle-Kreis, J., Zimmermann, R., An, Z., Szidat, S., Baltensperger, U., Haddad, I. E., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: High secondary aerosol contribution to particulate pollution during haze events in China, Nature, 514, 218, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13774</u>, 2014.

Mancilla, Y., Mendoza, A., Fraser, M., and Herckes, P.: Organic composition and source apportionment of fine aerosol at Monterrey, Mexico, based on organic markers, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 953-970, 2016.

35 Mohseni Bandpi, A., Eslami, A., Shahsavani, A., Khodagholi, F., Aliaghaei, A., and Alinejad, A.: Water-soluble and organic extracts of ambient PM2.5 in Tehran air: assessment of genotoxic effects on human lung epithelial cells (A549) by the Comet assay, 36, 116-124, 10.1080/15569543.2016.1259634, 2017. Oros, D. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Identification and emission rates of molecular tracers in coal smoke particulate matter,

Fuel, 79, 515-536, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-2361(99)00153-2</u>, 2000.
 Schauer, J. J., Kleeman, M. J., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Measurement of Emissions from Air Pollution Sources. 5.

40 Schauer, J. J., Kreeman, M. J., Cass, G. K., and Simoner, B. K. L. Measurement of Emissions from Air Fondulon Sources. J. C₁-C₃₂ Organic Compounds from Gasoline-Powered Motor Vehicles, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 1169-1180, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es0108077</u>, 2002.

Wang, J., Ho, S. S. H., Cao, J., Huang, R., Zhou, J., Zhao, Y., Xu, H., Liu, S., Wang, G., and Shen, Z.: Characteristics and major sources of carbonaceous aerosols in PM_{2.5} from Sanya, China, Sci. Total Environ., 530, 110-119, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.05.005, 2015.

- Worton, D. R., Isaacman, G., Gentner, D. R., Dallmann, T. R., Chan, A. W. H., Ruehl, C., Kirchstetter, T. W., Wilson, K. R., Harley, R. A., and Goldstein, A. H.: Lubricating Oil Dominates Primary Organic Aerosol Emissions from Motor Vehicles, 48, 3698-3706, 10.1021/es405375j, 2014.
- Xu, H. M., Tao, J., Ho, S. S. H., Ho, K. F., Cao, J. J., Li, N., Chow, J. C., Wang, G. H., Han, Y. M., Zhang, R. J., Watson, J. G., and Zhang, J. Q.: Characteristics of fine particulate non-polar organic compounds in Guangzhou during the 16th Asian Games: Effectiveness of air pollution controls, Atmos. Environ., 76, 94-101, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.12.037</u>, 2013. Zhang, H., Yee, L. D., Lee, B. H., Curtis, M. P., Worton, D. R., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Offenberg, J. H., Lewandowski, M., Kleindienst, T. E., Beaver, M. R., Holder, A. L., Lonneman, W. A., Docherty, K. S., Jaoui, M., Pye, H. O. T., Hu, W., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Jimenez, J. L., Guo, H., Weber, R. J., de Gouw, J., Koss, A. R., Edgerton, E. S., Brune, W., Mohr, C.,
- Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Lutz, A., Kreisberg, N. M., Spielman, S. R., Hering, S. V., Wilson, K. R., Thornton, J. A., and Goldstein, A. H.: Monoterpenes are the largest source of summertime organic aerosol in the southeastern United States, 115, 2038-2043, 10.1073/pnas.1717513115, 2018.
 Zhang, Y., Schauer, J. J., Zhang, Y., Zeng, L., Wei, Y., Liu, Y., and Shao, M.: Characteristics of Particulate Carbon Emissions

Zhang, Y., Schauer, J. J., Zhang, Y., Zeng, L., Wei, Y., Liu, Y., and Shao, M.: Characteristics of Particulate Carbon Emissions from Real-World Chinese Coal Combustion, 42, 5068-5073, 10.1021/es7022576, 2008.

60

Determination of n-alkanes, PAHs and hopanes in atmospheric aerosol: evaluation and comparison of thermal desorption GC-MS and solvent extraction GC-MS approaches

Meng Wang^{1, 2, 3}, Ru-Jin Huang^{1, 2, 4}, Junji Cao^{1, 2, 4}, Wenting Dai^{1, 2}, Jiamao Zhou^{1, 2}, Chunshui Lin^{1, 2}, Haiyan, Ni^{1, 2}, Jing Duan^{1, 2}, Ting Wang^{1, 2}, Yang Chen⁵, Yongjie Li⁶, Qi Chen⁷, Imad El Haddad⁸ and Thorsten Hoffmann⁹

¹State Key Laboratory of Loess and Quaternary Geology (SKLLQG), Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xi'an 710061, China

²Key Laboratory of Aerosol Chemistry & Physics (KLACP), Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
 Xi'an 710061, China

³ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

⁴ CAS Center for Excellence in Quaternary Science and Global Change, Xi'an, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 710061, China ⁵Key Laboratory of Reservoir Aquatic Environment of CAS, Chongqing Institute of Green and Intelligent Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chongqing 400714, China

⁶Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau, Taipa, Macau, China

⁷State Key Joint Laboratory of Environmental Simulation and Pollution Control, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

⁸Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institute, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

20 ⁹Institute of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry, Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz, Duesbergweg 10–14, 55128 Mainz, Germany

Correspondence to: Ru-Jin Huang (rujin.huang@ieecas.cn)

and/or coal combustion for wintertime heating in Beijing.

Abstract. Organic aerosol (OA) constitutes a large fraction of fine particulate matter (PM) in the urban air. However, the chemical nature and sources of OA are not well constrained. Quantitative analysis of OA is essential for understanding the sources and atmospheric evolution of fine PM, which requires accurate quantification of some organic compounds (e.g., markers). In this study, two analytical approaches, i.e., thermal desorption (TD) gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and solvent extract (SE) GC-MS were evaluated for the determination of n-alkanes, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and hopanes in ambient aerosol. For the SE approach, the recovery obtained is 89.3–101.5%, the limits of detection (LOD) are 0.05–1.1 ng (1.5–33.9 ng m⁻³), repeatability is 3.5–14.5% and reproducibility is 1.2–10.9%. For the TD approach, the recovery is 57.2–109.8%, the LODs are 0.1–1.9 ng (0.04–0.9 ng m⁻³), repeatability is 2.1–19.4% and reproducibility is 1.1–12.9%. Ambient aerosol samples were collected from Beijing, Chengdu, Shanghai, and Guangzhou, during the winter of 2013 and were analyzed by the two methods. After considering the recoveries, the two methods show a good agreement with a high correlation <u>co</u>efficient (R² > 0.98) and a slope close to unity. The concentrations of n-alkanes, PAHs, and hopanes are found to be much higher in Beijing than those in Chengdu, Shanghai, and Guangzhou, most likely due to emissions from traffic

35

40

5

1 Introduction

Fine particulate matter (with aerodynamic diameters $\leq 2.5 \ \mu$ m, PM_{2.5}) has significant impacts on human health (Cao et al., 2012; Lelieveld et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2015; Cohen et al., 2017), visibility (Lin et al., 2014; Shen et al., 2015) and climate (Kanakidou et al., 2005; Gustafson et al., 2011; IPCC, 2014). It is composed of a large variety of inorganic and organic compounds, in which the organic <u>composition fraction</u> is much <u>more complicated less constrained</u> because of a very large chemical space with respect to molecular weight, functional groups and polarity (Zhang et al., 2007; Jimenez et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2018). Molecular-level characterization of the organic compounds in PM_{2.5} is therefore essential for better understanding of the chemical nature, sources, atmospheric processes and impacts of PM_{2.5} (Surratt et al., 2008; Chan et al., 2011; Fu et al., 2016). Various efforts have been committed to study the composition of organic aerosol in

different environments including the urban areas (Chan et al., 2013) and forested areas such Amazon (Hu et al., 2015) and the southeastern United States (Zhang et al., 2018). However, in many environments, only 10–30% of the particulate organic matter has been identified as specific compounds despite years of effort and the use of the most sophisticated techniques available (Hoffmann et al., 2011).

- 5 The conventional approach for molecular analysis of organic compounds often requires the extraction of aerosol collected on filter into solvents followed by evaporation, separation, and detection by e.g. gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) (Kourtchev et al., 2009; Nozière et al., 2015; Maenhaut et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2017). However, solvent extraction (SE) method is prone to contamination and/or analyte lost during sample pre-treatment and is environmentally unfriendly because of the use of a large amount of solvent (Samy et al., 2010; Giri et al., 2013; Urban et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2017). In addition,
- 10 the SE method is often time-consuming. Thermal desorption (TD) is an alternative approach which uses an elevated temperature to evaporate organic analytes from the filter for subsequent GC-MS detection (Ho and Yu, 2004; Chow et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2013). Compared to SE, the TD method is convenient and solvent free (Graham et al., 2010; Lambe et al., 2010; Ramírez et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2016). The application of TD/GC-MS on the qualitative and quantitative analysis of ambient organic compounds such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in PM_{2.5} samples has demonstrated its
- 15 analytical potential (Orecchio, 2011; Cheruiyot et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2017). Also, Ho and Yu (2004) compared the TD and SE methods for n-alkanes and PAHs with 16 ambient filter samples from Hong Kong; and Ho et al. (2008) compared these two methods for alkanes, PAHs, cyclohexanes, steranes, phthalates, and hopanes with 14 ambient samples from Hong Kong and for PAHs with 19 ambient samples from Tongliang. However, the evaluation and comparison of TD/GC-MS with the well-established SE/GC-MS are still needed to test its capability of measuring ambient samples of
- 20 <u>low-to-high concentrations and different emission sources.</u> However, the evaluation and comparison of TD/GC MS with the well established SE/GC MS are still needed.

Particulate air pollution has become a serious environmental problem in China over the past decades (Chan and Yao, 2008; Zhao et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012; Jiang et al., 2015). Understanding the chemical composition and sources of fine particles is therefore essential for mitigating particulate air pollution. This is particularly true for organic aerosol (OA) which often constitutes more than 50% of fine PM mass in urban areas during haze pollution events (Takegawa et al., 2006; Srivastava et al., 2018) and is much less constrained compared to the inorganic fraction. In this study, we evaluated and compared the TD/GC-MS and SE/GC-MS methods in terms of their analytical performance including sensitivity, recovery, limit of detection (LOD), repeatability, and reproducibility. Then the two methods were applied for the determination of alkanes, PAHs, and

30

(LOD), repeatability, and reproducibility. Then the two methods were applied for the determination of alkanes, PAHs, and hopanes in ambient aerosol samples collected in four Chinese megacities including Beijing, Shanghai, <u>Chengdu, Guangzhou</u>, and <u>Chengdu</u> <u>Guangzhou</u>, representing North, East, West, and South of China where the sources of these organics are different. <u>The mass loadings of PM in those four cities were also quite different. The main objective was to show that the TD/GC-MS</u> <u>method can be used to measure samples of different concentrations and different emission sources.</u>

2 Experimental

2.1 Sampling

35 Twenty-four-hour integrated PM_{2.5} samples were collected on pre-backed (780 °C, 3 h) quartz fiber filter (8 inch×10 inch) using a high-volume sampler at a flow rate of 1.05 m³ min⁻¹ from December 2013 to January 2014 in the four megacities in China (Table S1). Eleven to twelve filters were collected from each sampling site, including 2 field blanks. The filters were wrapped in aluminum foils and stored at -20 °C until laboratory analysis.

2.2 SE/GC-MS analysis

40 A portion (4.34 cm²) of the sample or blank filter was cut with a clean stainless-steel punch, then extracted with a mixture (15

mL) of dichloromethane (DCM) (99.9%, LC grade, Mallinckrodt Laboratory Chemicals, Phillipsburg, NJ, USA) and methanol (99.9%, LC grade, Mallinckrodt Laboratory Chemicals, Phillipsburg, NJ, USA) (3:1, v/v) under ultra-sonication for 15 min and filtered through quartz wool packed in a Pasteur pipette_(Fujii et al., 2016; Mohseni et al., 2017). The extraction procedure was repeated for 3 times. Then, the extracts were concentrated using a rotary evaporator under a vacuum condition and blown

- 5 to < 0.5 mL with a gentle stream of pure nitrogen. The remaining solution was transferred to a 1.5 mL brown vial. Finally, 25 μ L n-C₂₄D₅₀ (20 μ g mL⁻¹) and 25 μ L fluoranthene-d₁₀ (20 μ g mL⁻¹) were added as <u>internal standards co-injection internal</u> standards to correct for the recovery during GC-MS measurements. Note that the suspended particles (if any) were removed during the filtration between extraction steps which, however, has little influence on the extraction efficiencies of the measured organics because the extraction solvents cover a large range of polarities and can efficiently dissolve n-alkane, PAHs and
- 10 hopanes measured here as demonstrated in previous studies (Fujii et al., 2016). The high extraction efficiencies are further supported by the good recoveries (89.4–98.6%) which are considered to be very satisfactory given additional sources of error (e.g., from GC-MS detection). The final volume of the solution was fixed at 1.0 mL. For the analysis of standards, a mixture of standards was spiked onto the pre-cleaned blank filters and then followed the same procedure as for ambient samples. The extracts were kept in a refrigerator until GC-MS analysis and 1 µL was injected into the GC-MS system.
- 15 The GC-MS analysis was performed using an Agilent 7890 GC coupled with an Agilent 5975C mass spectrometer detector (MSD). The GC oven program was set at an initial value of 50 °C, held at this temperature for 1 min, programmed at a rate of 25 °C min⁻¹ to 140 °C and 10 °C min⁻¹ to 300 °C, and then held at the final temperature of 300 °C for 5 min. The column was an HP-5MS (5% diphenyl/95% dimethylsiloxane, 30 m×0.25 mm×0.25 µm). The carrier gas was helium which was held at a constant pressure of 7.7 psi. The MSD was operated at 280 °C and 70 eV for electron ionization. The scan range of mass-to-20 charge ratio (m/z) was from 50 to 650 atomic mass unit (amu).

2.3 TD/GC-MS analysis

The filter punch of 0.53 cm² was cut with the stainless-steel punch. Two internal standards 25 μ L n-C₂₄D₅₀ (20 μ g mL⁻¹) and $25 \,\mu\text{L}$ fluoranthene-d₁₀ ($20 \,\mu\text{g}\,\text{mL}^{-1}$) with dichloromethane were spiked onto the filter punch. After air-drying for a few seconds, the punch was divided into four roughly equal portions with a razor blade, then loaded into the TD tube. The TD tube is a 25 Pyrex glass tube and is cleaned following a standard procedure: it was cleaned with methanol under ultra-sonication for more than 30 min, then baked at 550 °C for at least 10 hours before use (Ho and Yu, 2004; Ho et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015). A small amount of pre-baked glass wool (baked at 550 °C for at least 5 h) was used to make two plugs for holding the filter pieces in the middle of the tube. After the loaded TD tube was placed in the injector port, the septum cap was closed and the injector-port temperature was raised from 50 °C to 280 °C for desorption (about 8–9 min). During this period, the GC oven temperature was initially at 30 °C, held at this temperature for 2 min, programmed at a rate of 20 °C min⁻¹ to 120 °C and 30 10 °C min⁻¹ to 300 °C, and then held at the final temperature of 300 °C for 10 min. The analysis time of each sample was about 52 minutes. The injector-port temperature was optimized by testing a set of temperature programs (see below). The injector was set in the splitless mode for the first 2 min in the GC temperature program, then switched to the split mode, and returned to the splitless mode at the end of the GC run. When the analysis was finished, the cooling program automatically 35 started which took about 35 minutes. When the injection temperature was down to 50 °C, the next sample was put into the injector. A new TD tube was used for each analysis to avoid any potential contamination carry-over to the next analysis. The standard calibration curves were established for individual compounds by spiking standards of targeted compounds onto precleaned filters which were then measured following the same procedure as for ambient samples. The GC column was an HP-5MS (5% diphenyl/95% dimethylsiloxane, 30 m×0.25 mm×0.25 µm). The carrier gas was ultra-high purity helium (99.9999%) 40 which was held at a constant pressure of 7.7 psi and flow of 1.0 mL min⁻¹ (Ho and Yu, 2004; Ho et al., 2008). The MSD was operated at 280 °C and 70 eV for electron ionization. The mass scan range (m/z) was the same with the SE method (50-650 amu).

2.4 Quality assurance and control (QA/QC)

Twenty-four n-alkanes (C₁₄–C₃₇) (99%, Aldrich, Milwaukee, WI, USA), twelve PAHs (99%, Aldrich, Milwaukee, WI, USA (Acenaphthene (Ace), Fluorene (Fl), Phenanthrene (Phe), Anthracene (Ant), Fluoranthene (Fla), Pyrene (Pyr), Benz(a)anthracene (BaA), Chrysene (Chry), Benzo(b)fluoranthene (BbF), Benzo(k)fluoranthene (BkF), Benzo(a)pyrene (BaP), Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (IcdP), Dibenz(a,h)anthracene (DahA), Benzo(g,h,i)perylene (BghiP)) and eight hopanes (99%, Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA) (22,29,30-Trisnorphopane (TH), C29αβ-hopane (C29αβH), C29αα-+βα-hopane (C29αα-+βαH), C30αβ-hopane (C30αβH), C30αα-hopane (C30ααH), C30βα-hopane (C30βαH), C31αβS-hopane (C31αβSH), C31αβR-hopane (C31αβRH)) were quantified using both the TD and SE methods. Internal standards (n-C₂₄D₅₀ (98%, Aldrich, Milwaukee, WI, USA)) were added during the pre-treatment

- 10 process for two methods. Direct injection of a mixture of liquid standards with different concentrations into the SE/GC-MS system was utilized to establish a calibration curve, while a mixture of standards with different concentrations were spiked onto the pre-cleaned filters and then used to establish the calibration curves for the TD/GC-MS system. The calibration curves were established by the standard solution of different concentrations for individual target compounds, and Table S2 shows slope and the correlation coefficients (R²) for linear regressions of the calibration curves which were mostly > 0.99 (Table S2).
- 15 To determine the recovery of the SE method, a mixture of standards was spiked onto the pre-cleaned blank filters which were then measured following the same procedure as for ambient samples. The recovery of the TD method was calculated based on the response signal of liquid standards and its known amount spiked onto the blank filter. Repeatability and reproducibility were also investigated for both methods. Repeatability refers to the variation in repeat measurements made under identical conditions, e.g., by the same method and the same individual while reproducibility refers to the variation in measurements.
- 20 made by same method but by different individuals (Bartlett and Frost, 2008). In this study, seven repeat measurements were made on n-alkanes (1000 ng mL⁻¹), PAHs (1000 ng mL⁻¹), and hopanes (1000 ng mL⁻¹) standards spiked on <u>pre-baked quartz-fiber filter</u> blank-filters for both repeatability and reproducibility studies. The volume of these mix standards was 1 μ L for the TD method and 25 μ L in SE method.

25

5

For every ten samples, one replicate analysis was performed; and for every 5 samples, one <u>backup filter blank</u>-was analyzed to check any potential contamination and the results show < 5% contribution. In addition, ambient samples spiked with known amounts of internal and external standards were analyzed to check the potential interference. All data reported here were corrected for the blanks.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Temperature effect on thermal desorption

- The effect of temperature in the injector port on thermal desorption is investigated by analyzing a mixture of n-alkane standards (C₁₄-C₃₇) with TD/GC-MS and compared with SE/GC-MS. The vapor pressure of these n-alkanes (molecular weight, MW, 198–520) at 275 °C ranges from 0.5 kPa to177.6 kPa, covering the vapor pressure of PAHs (0.7–97.3 kPa, MW 154–278) and hopanes (0.2–2.4 kPa, MW 370–426) investigated here. Therefore, only n-alkanes were tested to investigate the temperature effect. A range of temperature in the injector port (from 255 °C to 300 °C) were studied and the results are compared with
- 35 those from the SE method (see Fig. 1). It can be seen from the figure that at lower TD temperatures (255 °C and 260 °C, see Fig. 1b and c), lighter n-alkanes have higher signal abundances than heavier ones, with the abundance of C₁₆ being the highest. However, the abundances of individual n-alkanes measured at these lower TD temperatures are only approximately 20% of those from the SE method, likely due to incomplete thermal desorption (Ho and Yu, 2004). With increased TD temperature (275 °C, Fig.1d), the signal abundances for all n-alkanes species increase by 2–5 times, indicating a better thermal desorption
- 40 efficiency. When the TD temperature increases further to 280 °C, the signal abundances increase slightly, particularly for those lighter n-alkanes (C_{14} – C_{19}). It should be noted that the signal abundance patterns change with the TD temperature (Fig. 1b–e),

with those mid-chain n-alkanes being more abundant at 275 °C and 280 °C. However, as the TD temperature further increased to 290 °C and 300 °C (Fig. 1f and g), the signal abundances show a decreasing trend, for example, > 30% decrease in abundance for mid-chain n-alkanes at 300 °C was observed. The decrease in signal abundances at higher temperatures (e.g., 290 °C and 300 °C) is likely associated with pyrolysis. Therefore, 280 °C was selected as the optimized TD temperature to compromise

- 5 for the thermal desorption efficiency and pyrolysis effect. It is noted that analytes with different molecular structure such as PAHs and hopanes may undergo different levels of pyrolysis at 280 °C when compared to n-alkanes, and may require higher/lower temperatures to get the optimized thermal desorption efficiency. However, the optimized TD temperature (i.e., 280 °C) based on the n-alkanes test was supposed to be sufficient to analyze PAHs and hopanes because similar temperature (275 °C) was used in the studies by Ho et al., (Ho and Yu, 2004; Ho et al., 2008). Also noted that Note that even at the
- 10

optimized TD temperature, the abundances of individual n-alkanes (Fig. 1e) show some differences from the SE method. In particular, the abundances of lighter n-alkanes (C_{14} – C_{19}) from TD method are about 50% lower than those from SE method, and for heavier n-alkanes (C_{31} – C_{37}) the decreases are more than 50%. However, the abundances for mid-chain n-alkanes are very similar between TD and SE methods.

3.2 Comparison of the analytical performance

- 15 Table 1 shows the comparison of repeatability, reproducibility, recovery, and LODs of SE/GC-MS and TD/GC-MS for n-alkanes, PAHs, and hopanes. Repeatability and reproducibility are two measures of the precision of the analytical methods. Repeatability is determined by the relative standard deviation (RSD) through measuring the identical samples (n = 7) with the same procedure while reproducibility represents the consistency between the repeated measurements by different individuals. The repeatability of SE/GC-MS is 3.5–14.5%, 4.4–10.6%, and 3.5–7.7% for n-alkanes, PAHs, and hopanes, respectively,
- 20 which are similar to those obtained from TD/GC-MS (3.2–19.3%, 2.7–9.5%, and 2.1–8.4%, correspondingly). The reproducibility is also consistent for these two methods (1.2–10.9% for SE versus 1.1–12.9% for TD). In general, the precision of both SE/GC-MS and TD/GC-MS methods are satisfactory (RSD < 20%) considering the multiple sources of the errors (e.g., the error of sample pre-treatment and error from GC-MS detection).</p>
- The recoveries for the two methods were determined by analyzing backup filters spiked with known amounts of n-alkanes (1000 ng mL⁻¹), PAHs (1000 ng mL⁻¹), and hopanes (1000 ng mL⁻¹) standards, respectively. The recoveries of SE/GC-MS are in the range of 89.4–98.6% for n-alkanes (Table 1) which are better than those obtained from TD/GC-MS (57.2–109.8%). The good recoveries provided by SE/GC-MS indicate a good extraction efficiency and low sample matrix effect. For the TD/GC-MS method, only lighter n-alkanes (C₁₄–C₁₈) and heavier n-alkanes (C₃₂–C₃₇) show relatively lower recoveries (57.2–80.6%) (see Fig. 2), likely due to insufficient thermal desorption efficiency and/or pyrolysis effect as discussed above. The recoveries
- of PAHs and hopanes in TD/GC-MS method are 89.4–106.1% and 90.4–99.8%, respectively, which are as good as those obtained from SE/GC-MS method (89.7–101.5% and 90.3–97.2%, respectively).
 The LODs (the concentration with a signal to noise ratio of three) of the SE method are 0.05–0.9 ng for n-alkanes, 0.3–1.1 ng for PAHs, and 0.3–1.0 ng for hopanes (Table S3). These LODs are very similar to those from the TD method, i.e., 0.09–0.8

35 **3.3** Comparison based on ambient aerosol samples

ng for n-alkanes, 0.1–1.9 ng for PAHs, and 0.2–0.7 ng for hopanes.

The comparison between TD and SE methods discussed above are made based on standard compounds. When analyzing ambient samples, however, the analytical performance may be affected by e.g., matrix effect. We evaluate these potential effects by analyzing 45 ambient $PM_{2.5}$ samples collected in Beijing, Chengdu, Shanghai, and Guangzhou. Fig. 3 shows the correlation of quantitative results between SE and TD methods for n-alkanes (Fig. 3a), PAHs (Fig. 3b), and hopanes (Fig. 3c).

40 Note that the data shown in Fig.3 are corrected for individual recoveries. The good correlations between these two methods

for n-alkanes ($R^2 = 0.99$), PAHs ($R^2 = 0.98$), and hopanes ($R^2 = 0.98$) with the slopes close to unity (0.94–0.98) suggest that both TD and SE methods can be used for quantitative measurements of ambient aerosol samples.

The LODs of a method are very important for ambient measurements, particularly in regions with low concentrations or sampling with low-volume samplers. Our results show that, for example, for samples collected with a high-volume sampler at

5 1.1 m³ min⁻¹ for 24 h on 8" ×10" filters, 0.53 cm² filter punch (TD method) is required to reach LODs of 0.04–0.4 ng m⁻³ for n-alkanes, 0.06–0.9 ng m⁻³ for PAHs, and 0.09–0.4 ng m⁻³ for hopanes; and 4.3 cm² filter punch (SE method) is required to reach LODs of 1.5–27.4 ng m⁻³ for n-alkanes, 9.8–33.9 ng m⁻³ for PAHs, and 8.1–29.8 ng m⁻³ for hopanes. In this regard, the TD method is superior to the SE method because the LODs of the TD method are about two orders of magnitude lower than the SE method and meanwhile it requires about 10 times lower sample material.

10 3.4 n-alkanes, PAHs, and hopanes in wintertime PM_{2.5} in Chinese megacities

Figure 4 shows the average concentrations of individual n-alkanes species ($C_{14}-C_{37}$) during the winter time in Beijing, Chengdu, Shanghai, and Guangzhou. Short chain n-alkanes ($C_n \le C_{26}$) are mainly derived from anthropogenic emissions while long chain n-alkanes ($C_n > C_{26}$) are typical of the biogenic source (Xu et al., 2013). <u>Overall, the short chain n-alkanes accounts</u> for 72.2% (262.0 ng m⁻³) of total n-alkanes in Beijing, 68.4% (246.7 ng m⁻³) in Chengdu, 64.6% (111.6 ng m⁻³) in Shanghai,

and 55.8% (102.6 ng m⁻³) in Guangzhou, indicating the major contribution of anthropogenic emissions to n-alkanes in these four cities in winter. The relatively lower contribution of short-chain n-alkanes in Guangzhou is likely due to more biogenic emissions of long-chain n-alkanes in south China than in north China during winter. The large anthropogenic contribution is supported by Cmax of n-alkane (i.e., the carbon number with maximum concentration), an indicator often used to distinguish anthropogenic from biogenic sources. N-alkanes with C_{max} ≤ C₂₆ are mainly from anthropogenic sources while those with C_{max}
 20 ≥ C₂₆ are typically from biogenic sources (Xu et al., 2013). In this study, C₂₅ exhibits the highest concentration (66.1 ng m⁻³) in Beijing while C₂₄ (48.0 ng m⁻³) is the highest in Chengdu, C₂₂ (19.8 ng m⁻³) in Shanghai, and C₂₆ (19.3 ng m⁻³) in Guangzhou.

$$CPI = \frac{\sum C_{15} \text{ to } C_{37}}{\sum C_{14} \text{ to } C_{36}}$$
(1)

We have also investigated the carbon preference index (CPI) of n-alkanes, which was calculated following the equation:

- The values of CPI ≤ 1 (or ~1) indicate that n-alkanes are from anthropogenic sources while values of CPI > 1 indicate biogenic
 emissions (Mancilla et al., 2016b). The CPI values of these four cities are all close to 1 (Beijing 0.9, Chengdu 0.9, Shanghai 0.8, Guangzhou 1.0), indicating that n-alkanes are mostly from anthropogenic sources (Alves et al., 2001; Mancilla et al., 2016a). It should be noted that the above discussion of anthropogenic versus biogenic sources is empirical evidence and may be subjected to relatively large uncertainties. For example, recent studies show that vehicular emissions also contain n-alkanes > C₂₆ (Worton et al., 2014). Moreover, the short chain n-alkanes concentrations are 1.1-2.6 times higher in Beijing than in the other 3 cities, further supporting the higher anthropogenic emissions in Beijing. This is consistent with the higher traffic fleets and larger coal usage in Beijing than in the other 3 cities studied here. In contrast, higher contribution from the biogenic source in Guangzhou is likely associated with the higher temperature and vegetation coverage in southern China.However, biogenic sources are also important especially in southern city Guangzhou as indicated by the relatively low short chain concentration
- 35 and fraction compared to the rest three cities (Fig. 4). This is consistent with the higher vegetation coverage and temperature even during winter time (Xu et al., 2013).

The average concentrations of individual PAHs species in the four cities are shown in Fig. 5. The contributions of PAHs are site dependent, indicating different sources in different cities (Okuda et al., 2006; Dockery and Stone, 2007). For example, BbF is the most abundant PAHs in Beijing with an average concentration of 7.3 ng m⁻³ while Phe (6.9 ng m⁻³) exhibits the highest concentration in Chengdu, BbF (3.5 ng m⁻³) is the highest in Shanghai and BaA (3.0 ng m⁻³) in Guangzhou. BbF is mainly emitted from coal combustion with high emission factor while BghiP is mainly derived from traffic emission

40

(Katsoyiannis et al., 2011; Tobiszewski and Namieśnik, 2012). The BbF concentration (7.3 ng m⁻³) in Beijing is 2–3 times as much as that in the other three cities (Chengdu 3.6 ng m⁻³, Shanghai 3.5 ng m⁻³, and Guangzhou 2.2 ng m⁻³), supporting its large emissions from residential coal combustion in Beijing. The BghiP concentrations Beijing and Chengdu (3-4 ng m⁻³) are rather similar, indicating similar levels of traffic emission in the two cities. In addition, the BaP concentrations are similar in

- 5 Beijing and Chengdu (3–4 ng m⁻³) and similar in Shanghai and Guangzhou (2.0–2.5 ng m⁻³). They all exceed the guideline from the World Health Organization (WHO) by a factor of 2-4, indicating potential health risk as BaP is a carcinogenic indicator.
- Hopanes are pentacyclic hydrocarbons with triterpene group and can be from both coal and traffic emissionsare abundant in erude oils and lubricant oils (Oros and Simoneit, 2000; Schauer et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2008). As shown in Fig. 6, Beijing 10 exhibits the highest concentrations of hopanes among the four cities studied. $C30\alpha\beta$ H is the most abundant hopane species in
- the four cities, with the highest concentration in Beijing (2.0 ng m⁻³) and similar concentrations in Chengdu, Shanghai, and Guangzhou (~0.6 ng m⁻³). Note that the vehicular fleets in 2014 are 5.4 million in Beijing, about 1.6–2.0 times higher than those in the other three cities (i.e., 3.4 million in Chengdu, 2.7 million in Shanghai, and 2.7 million in Guangzhou; Chinese Statistical Yearbook 2015), while the concentration of C30 $\alpha\beta$ H is > 3 times higher in Beijing than in the other three cities. 15 Such a large difference could be attributed to additional emission sources besides vehicles, that is, emissions from coal
- combustion for wintertime residential heating in Beijing, which is unique among the four cities we studied (Huang et al., 2014; Elser et al., 2016). Such a large difference could be attributed to coal combustion emissions in Beijing
- Generally, the total n-alkane concentrations rank in the order Shanghai < Guangzhou < Chengdu < Beijing, but PAHs and hopanes concentrations are in the order of Guangzhou < Shanghai < Chengdu < Beijing (Fig. 7). The average n-alkanes 20 concentration in Beijing and Chengdu (~400 ng m⁻³) are 2 times that of Guangzhou and Shanghai (~200 ng m⁻³). The average concentrations of PAHs (the total of 14 PAHs) in Beijing are 46.4–47.1 ng m⁻³, which are nearly two times higher than those in Guangzhou (20.8–21.3 ng m⁻³), 1.7 times higher than those in Shanghai (26.2–26.7 ng m⁻³), and 1.3 times higher than those in Chengdu (35.0-35.6 ng m⁻³). For hopanes, the concentrations in Beijing (~6 ng m⁻³) are 3 times higher than those in Chengdu, around 4 times higher than those in Shanghai and Guangzhou. As discussed above, the reasons for the higher concentrations 25 of n-alkanes, PAHs, and hopanes observed in Beijing were attributed to emissions from traffic and/or coal combustion for wintertime heating.

4 Conclusion

30

- This study evaluated and compared the analytical performances of SE/GC-MS and TD/GC-MS for the determination of nalkanes, PAHs and hopanes by analyzing both standards and ambient PM2.5 samples from four Chinese megacities. For the SE method, the recovery obtained is 89.4-101.5%, the limits of detection (LOD) are 0.05-1.1 ng (1.5-33.9 ng m⁻³), repeatability is 3.5–14.5% and reproducibility is 1.2–10.9%, while for the TD approach, the recovery is 57.2–109.8%, the limits of detection are 0.09–1.9 ng (0.04–0.9 ng m⁻³), repeatability is 2.1–19.4% and reproducibility is 1.1–12.9%. Ambient aerosol samples were simultaneously collected from Beijing, Chengdu, Shanghai, and Guangzhou during the winter of 2013 and were analyzed by the two methods. Although TD/GC-MS exhibit relatively lower recoveries for lighter n-alkanes and heavier n-alkanes, after 35 correction the two methods show a good agreement with a high correlation efficient ($R^2 > 0.98$) and a slope close to unity. Moreover, n-alkanes, PAHs, and hopanes concentrations in wintertime are found to be much higher in Beijing than those in
- 40 Data availability. Raw data used in this study are archived at the Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, and are available on request by contacting the corresponding author.

Chengdu, Shanghai, and Guangzhou, likely due to the vehicular emission and/or coal combustion for heating in Beijing.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under grant No.
91644219, No. 41877408 and 4171101096), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2017YFC0212701), State Key Laboratory of Loess and Quaternary Geology, Institute of Earth Environment, CAS (SKLLQG1531).

Reference

35

Alves, C., Pio, C., and Duarte, A.: Composition of extractable organic matter of air particles from rural and urban Portuguese areas, Atmos. Environ., 35, 5485-5496, 2001.

- Bartlett, J. W., and Frost, C.: Reliability, repeatability and reproducibility: analysis of measurement errors in continuous variables, 31, 466-475, <u>http://doi:10.1002/uog.5256</u>, 2008.
- Cao, J., Xu, H., Xu, Q., Chen, B., and Kan, H.: Fine particulate matter constituents and cardiopulmonary mortality in a heavily polluted Chinese city, Environ. Health Perspect., 120, 373-378, <u>https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1103671</u>, 2012. Chan, A. W. H., Isaacman, G., Wilson, K. R., Worton, D. R., Ruehl, C. R., Nah, T., Gentner, D. R., Dallmann, T. R., Kirchstetter,
- T. W., Harley, R. A., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., de Gouw, J. A., Offenberg, J. H., Kleindienst, T. E., Lin, Y. H., Rubitschun,
 C. L., Surratt, J. D., Hayes, P. L., Jimenez, J. L., and Goldstein, A. H.: Detailed chemical characterization of unresolved complex mixtures in atmospheric organics: Insights into emission sources, atmospheric processing, and secondary organic aerosol formation, 118, 6783-6796, 10.1002/jgrd.50533, 2013.
- Chan, C. K., and Yao, X.: Air pollution in mega cities in China, Atmos. Environ., 42, 1-42, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.09.003, 2008.
- 15 Chan, M. N., Surratt, J. D., Chan, A. W. H., Schilling, K., Offenberg, J. H., Lewandowski, M., Edney, E. O., Kleindienst, T. E., Jaoui, M., Edgerton, E. S., Tanner, R. L., Shaw, S. L., Zheng, M., Knipping, E. M., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Influence of aerosol acidity on the chemical composition of secondary organic aerosol from β-caryophyllene, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 1735-1751, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-1735-2011</u>, 2011.
- Cheruiyot, N. K., Lee, W. J., Mwangi, J. K., Wang, L. C., Lin, N. H., Lin, Y. C., Cao, J., Zhang, R., and Guo-Ping, C. C.: An
 Overview: Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Emissions from the Stationary and Mobile Sources and in the Ambient Air, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 15, 2730-2762, <u>https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2015.11.0627</u>, 2015.
- Chow, J. C., Yu, J. Z., Watson, J. G., Hang Ho, S. S., Bohannan, T. L., Hays, M. D., and Fung, K. K.: The application of thermal methods for determining chemical composition of carbonaceous aerosols: A review, J. Environ. Sci. Health, Part A, 42, 1521-1541, <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10934520701513365</u>, 2007.
- Cohen, A. J., Brauer, M., Burnett, R., Anderson, H. R., Frostad, J., Estep, K., Balakrishnan, K., Brunekreef, B., Dandona, L., Dandona, R., Feigin, V., Freedman, G., Hubbell, B., Jobling, A., Kan, H., Knibbs, L., Liu, Y., Martin, R., Morawska, L., Pope, C. A., Shin, H., Straif, K., Shaddick, G., Thomas, M., van Dingenen, R., van Donkelaar, A., Vos, T., Murray, C. J. L., and Forouzanfar, M. H.: Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of disease attributable to ambient air pollution: an analysis of data from the Global Burden of Diseases Study 2015, Lancet, 389, 1907-1918, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30505-6, 2017.
- Dockery, D. W., and Stone, P. H.: Cardiovascular Risks from Fine Particulate Air Pollution, N. Engl. J. Med., 356, 511-513, <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMe068274</u>, 2007.

Elser, M., Huang, R. J., Wolf, R., Slowik, J. G., Wang, Q., Canonaco, F., Li, G., Bozzetti, C., Daellenbach, K. R., Huang, Y., Zhang, R., Li, Z., Cao, J., Baltensperger, U., El-Haddad, I., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: New insights into PM2.5 chemical composition and sources in two major cities in China during extreme haze events using aerosol mass spectrometry, 16, 3207-

3225, 10.5194/acp-16-3207-2016, 2016.
Fu, P., Aggarwal, S. G., Chen, J., Li, J., Sun, Y., Wang, Z., Chen, H., Liao, H., Ding, A., Umarji, G. S., Patil, R. S., Chen, Q., and Kawamura, K.: Molecular Markers of Secondary Organic Aerosol in Mumbai, India, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 4659-4667, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00372, 2016.

- 40 Fujii, Y., Mahmud, M., Oda, M., Tohno, S., Matsumoto, J., and Mizohata, A.: A Key Indicator of Transboundary Particulate Matter Pollution Derived from Indonesian Peatland Fires in Malaysia, 16, 69-78, 10.4209/aaqr.2015.04.0215, 2016. Giri, B., Patel, K. S., Jaiswal, N. K., Sharma, S., Ambade, B., Wang, W., Simonich, S. L. M., and Simoneit, B. R.: Composition and sources of organic tracers in aerosol particles of industrial central India, Atmos. Res., 120, 312-324, 2013.
- Graham, L. A., Tong, A., Poole, G., Ding, L., Ke, F., Wang, D., Caravaggio, G., Charland, J.-P., MacDonald, P., and Hall, A.:
 A comparison of direct thermal desorption with solvent extraction for gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis of semivolatile organic compounds in diesel particulate matter, International Journal of Environmental and Analytical Chemistry, 90, 511-534, <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/03067310903108352</u>, 2010.
- Gustafson, W. I., Qian, Y., and Fast, J. D.: Downscaling aerosols and the impact of neglected subgrid processes on direct aerosol radiative forcing for a representative global climate model grid spacing, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 116, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD015480 2011.

Ho, S. S. H., and Yu, J. Z.: In-injection port thermal desorption and subsequent gas chromatography-mass spectrometric analysis of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and n-alkanes in atmospheric aerosol samples, J. Chromatogr. A, 1059, 121-129, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2004.10.013</u>, 2004.

- Ho, S. S. H., Yu, J. Z., Chow, J. C., Zielinska, B., Watson, J. G., Sit, E. H. L., and Schauer, J. J.: Evaluation of an in-injection port thermal desorption-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry method for analysis of non-polar organic compounds in ambient aerosol samples, J. Chromatogr. A, 1200, 217-227, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2008.05.056</u>, 2008.
 Hoffmann, T., Huang, P. L. and Kalbarer, M.: Atmocraheric Analytical Chemistry Anal. Chem. 83, 4649, 4664.
 - Hoffmann, T., Huang, R.-J., and Kalberer, M.: Atmospheric Analytical Chemistry, Anal. Chem., 83, 4649-4664, https://doi.org/10.1021/ac2010718, 2011.
- Hu, W. W., Campuzano-Jost, P., Palm, B. B., Day, D. A., Ortega, A. M., Hayes, P. L., Krechmer, J. E., Chen, Q., Kuwata, M.,
 Liu, Y. J., de Sá, S. S., McKinney, K., Martin, S. T., Hu, M., Budisulistiorini, S. H., Riva, M., Surratt, J. D., St. Clair, J. M.,
 Isaacman-Van Wertz, G., Yee, L. D., Goldstein, A. H., Carbone, S., Brito, J., Artaxo, P., de Gouw, J. A., Koss, A., Wisthaler,

A., Mikoviny, T., Karl, T., Kaser, L., Jud, W., Hansel, A., Docherty, K. S., Alexander, M. L., Robinson, N. H., Coe, H., Allan, J. D., Canagaratna, M. R., Paulot, F., and Jimenez, J. L.: Characterization of a real-time tracer for isoprene epoxydiols-derived secondary organic aerosol (IEPOX-SOA) from aerosol mass spectrometer measurements, 15, 11807-11833, 10.5194/acp-15-11807-2015, 2015.

- 5 Huang, R. J., Zhang, Y., Bozzetti, C., Ho, K. F., Cao, J. J., Han, Y., Daellenbach, K. R., Slowik, J. G., Platt, S. M., Canonaco, F., Zotter, P., Wolf, R., Pieber, S. M., Bruns, E. A., Crippa, M., Ciarelli, G., Piazzalunga, A., Schwikowski, M., Abbaszade, G., Schnelle-Kreis, J., Zimmermann, R., An, Z., Szidat, S., Baltensperger, U., Haddad, I. E., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: High secondary aerosol contribution to particulate pollution during haze events in China, Nature, 514, 218, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13774</u>, 2014.
- 10 IPCC: Climate change 2013: the physical science basis: Working Group I contribution to the Fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, 2014. Jiang, Q., Sun, Y. L., Wang, Z., and Yin, Y.: Aerosol composition and sources during the Chinese Spring Festival: fireworks, secondary aerosol, and holiday effects, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 6023-6034, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-6023-2015</u>, 2015. Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Donahue, N. M., Prevot, A. S. H., Zhang, Q., Kroll, J. H., DeCarlo, P. F., Allan, J. D., Coe,
- 15 H., Ng, N. L., Aiken, A. C., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M., Grieshop, A. P., Robinson, A. L., Duplissy, J., Smith, J. D., Wilson, K. R., Lanz, V. A., Hueglin, C., Sun, Y. L., Tian, J., Laaksonen, A., Raatikainen, T., Rautiainen, J., Vaattovaara, P., Ehn, M., Kulmala, M., Tomlinson, J. M., Collins, D. R., Cubison, M. J., Dunlea, J., Huffman, J. A., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Williams, P. I., Bower, K., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Salcedo, D., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R., Takami, A., Miyoshi, T., Hatakeyama, S., Shimono, A., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., Dzepina, K., Kimmel,
- J. R., Sueper, D., Jayne, J. T., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, A. M., Williams, L. R., Wood, E. C., Middlebrook, A. M., Kolb, C. E., Baltensperger, U., and Worsnop, D. R.: Evolution of Organic Aerosols in the Atmosphere, Science, 326, 1525-1529, <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1180353</u>, 2009. Kanakidou, M., Seinfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N., Barnes, I., Dentener, F. J., Facchini, M. C., Van Dingenen, R., Ervens, B., Nenes,

A., Nielsen, C. J., Swietlicki, E., Putaud, J. P., Balkanski, Y., Fuzzi, S., Horth, J., Moortgat, G. K., Winterhalter, R., Myhre, C.
 E. L., Tsigaridis, K., Vignati, E., Stephanou, E. G., and Wilson, J.: Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: a review,

- Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1053-1123, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-1053-2005</u>, 2005. Katsoyiannis, A., Sweetman, A. J., and Jones, K. C.: PAH Molecular Diagnostic Ratios Applied to Atmospheric Sources: A Critical Evaluation Using Two Decades of Source Inventory and Air Concentration Data from the UK, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 8897-8906, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es202277u</u>, 2011.
- 30 Kim, J. W., Kim, Y.-M., Moon, H. M., Hosaka, A., Watanabe, C., Teramae, N., Choe, E. K., and Myung, S.-W.: Comparative study of thermal desorption and solvent extraction-gas chromatography-mass spectrometric analysis for the quantification of phthalates in polymers, J. Chromatogr. A, 1451, 33-40, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2016.05.014</u>, 2016. Kourtchev, I., Copolovici, L., Claeys, M., and Maenhaut, W.: Characterization of Atmospheric Aerosols at a Forested Site in Central Europe, 43, 4665-4671, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es803055w</u>, 2009.
- 35 Lambe, A. T., Chacon-Madrid, H. J., Nguyen, N. T., Weitkamp, E. A., Kreisberg, N. M., Hering, S. V., Goldstein, A. H., Donahue, N. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Organic aerosol speciation: Intercomparison of thermal desorption aerosol GC/MS (TAG) and filter-based techniques, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 44, 141-151, <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/02786820903447206</u>, 2010. Lelieveld, J., Evans, J. S., Fnais, M., Giannadaki, D., and Pozzer, A.: The contribution of outdoor air pollution sources to premature mortality on a global scale, 525, 367, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15371</u>, 2015.
- 40 Lin, Y., Huang, K., Zhuang, G., Fu, J. S., Wang, Q., Liu, T., Deng, C., and Fu, Q.: A multi-year evolution of aerosol chemistry impacting visibility and haze formation over an Eastern Asia megacity, Shanghai, Atmos. Environ., 92, 76-86, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.04.007, 2014.

Maenhaut, W., Chi, X., Wang, W., Cafmeyer, J., Yasmeen, F., Vermeylen, R., Szmigielska, K., Janssens, I. A., and Claeys, M.: Contribution from Selected Organic Species to PM_{2.5} Aerosol during a Summer Field Campaign at K-Puszta, Hungary, Atmosphere, 8, 221, <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos8110221</u>, 2017.

Mancilla, Y., Mendoza, A., Fraser, M., and Herckes, P.: Organic composition and source apportionment of fine aerosol at Monterrey, Mexico, based on organic markers, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 953-970, 2016a. Mancilla, Y., Mendoza, A., Fraser, M. P., and Herckes, P.: Organic composition and source apportionment of fine aerosol at

- Mancilla, Y., Mendoza, A., Fraser, M. P., and Herckes, P.: Organic composition and source apportionment of fine aerosol at Monterrey, Mexico, based on organic markers, 16, 953-970, 10.5194/acp-16-953-2016, 2016b.
- 50 Mohseni, B., A., Eslami, A., Shahsavani, A., Khodagholi, F., Aliaghaei, A., and Alinejad, A.: Water-soluble and organic extracts of ambient PM2.5 in Tehran air: assessment of genotoxic effects on human lung epithelial cells (A549) by the Comet assay, 36, 116-124, 10.1080/15569543.2016.1259634, 2017.

Nozière, B., Kalberer, M., Claeys, M., Allan, J., D'Anna, B., Decesari, S., Finessi, E., Glasius, M., Grgić, I., Hamilton, J. F., Hoffmann, T., Iinuma, Y., Jaoui, M., Kahnt, A., Kampf, C. J., Kourtchev, I., Maenhaut, W., Marsden, N., Saarikoski, S., Schnelle-Kreis, J., Surratt, J. D., Szidat, S., Szmigielski, R., and Wisthaler, A.: The Molecular Identification of Organic

Compounds in the Atmosphere: State of the Art and Challenges, Chem. Rev., 115, 3919-3983, 10.1021/cr5003485, 2015.
 Okuda, T., Naoi, D., Tenmoku, M., Tanaka, S., He, K., Ma, Y., Yang, F., Lei, Y., Jia, Y., and Zhang, D.: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in the aerosol in Beijing, China, measured by aminopropylsilane chemically-bonded stationary-phase column chromatography and HPLC/fluorescence detection, Chemosphere, 65, 427-435, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.01.064, 2006.

Orecchio, S.: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in indoor emission from decorative candles, Atmos. Environ., 45, 1888-1895, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.12.024</u>, 2011.

Oros, D. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Identification and emission rates of molecular tracers in coal smoke particulate matter, Fuel, 79, 515-536, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-2361(99)00153-2</u>, 2000.

Ramírez, N., Cuadras, A., Rovira, E., Borrull, F., and Marcé, R. M.: Comparative study of solvent extraction and thermal desorption methods for determining a wide range of volatile organic compounds in ambient air, Talanta, 82, 719-727, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2010.05.038</u>, 2010.

- Samy, S., Mazzoleni, L. R., Mishra, S., Zielinska, B., and Hallar, A. G.: Water-soluble organic compounds at a mountain-top site in Colorado, USA, Atmos. Environ., 44, 1663-1671, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.01.033</u>, 2010.
- Schauer, J. J., Kleeman, M. J., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Measurement of Emissions from Air Pollution Sources. 5. C₁-C₃₂ Organic Compounds from Gasoline-Powered Motor Vehicles, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 1169-1180, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es0108077</u>, 2002.
- Shen, X. J., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, X. Y., Zhang, Y. M., Zhang, L., Che, H. C., Ma, Q. L., Yu, X. M., Yue, Y., and Zhang, Y. W.:
 Characterization of submicron aerosols and effect on visibility during a severe haze-fog episode in Yangtze River Delta, China, Atmos. Environ., 120, 307-316, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.09.011, 2015.
- Srivastava, D., Favez, O., Perraudin, E., Villenave, E., and Albinet, A.: Comparison of measurement-based methodologies to apportion secondary organic carbon (SOC) in PM2. 5: a review of recent studies, Atmosphere, 9, 452, 2018.
- Surratt, J. D., Gómez-González, Y., Chan, A. W. H., Vermeylen, R., Shahgholi, M., Kleindienst, T. E., Edney, E. O., Offenberg,
 J. H., Lewandowski, M., Jaoui, M., Maenhaut, W., Claeys, M., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Organosulfate Formation in Biogenic Secondary Organic Aerosol, J. Phys. Chem. A, 112, 8345-8378, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp802310p, 2008.
- Takegawa, N., Miyakawa, T., Kondo, Y., Jimenez, J., Zhang, Q., Worsnop, D., and Fukuda, M.: Seasonal and diurnal variations of submicron organic aerosol in Tokyo observed using the Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 111, 2006.
- Tobiszewski, M., and Namieśnik, J.: PAH diagnostic ratios for the identification of pollution emission sources, Environ. Pollut., 162, 110-119, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.10.025</u>, 2012.
 Urban, R., Alves, C., Allen, A. G., Cardoso, A. A., Queiroz, M., and Campos, M.: Sugar markers in aerosol particles from an agro-industrial region in Brazil, Atmos. Environ., 90, 106-112, 2014.
- Wang, J., Ho, S. S. H., Cao, J., Huang, R., Zhou, J., Zhao, Y., Xu, H., Liu, S., Wang, G., and Shen, Z.: Characteristics and major sources of carbonaceous aerosols in PM_{2.5} from Sanya, China, Sci. Total Environ., 530, 110-119, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.05.005, 2015.
- Wang, K., Zhang, Y., Huang, R.-J., Cao, J., and Hoffmann, T.: UHPLC-Orbitrap mass spectrometric characterization of organic aerosol from a central European city (Mainz, Germany) and a Chinese megacity (Beijing), 189, 22-29, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.06.036, 2018.
- 30 Worton, D. R., Isaacman, G., Gentner, D. R., Dallmann, T. R., Chan, A. W. H., Ruehl, C., Kirchstetter, T. W., Wilson, K. R., Harley, R. A., and Goldstein, A. H.: Lubricating Oil Dominates Primary Organic Aerosol Emissions from Motor Vehicles, 48, 3698-3706, 10.1021/es405375j, 2014.

Xu, H. M., Tao, J., Ho, S. S. H., Ho, K. F., Cao, J. J., Li, N., Chow, J. C., Wang, G. H., Han, Y. M., Zhang, R. J., Watson, J. G., and Zhang, J. Q.: Characteristics of fine particulate non-polar organic compounds in Guangzhou during the 16th Asian Games:
Effectiveness of air pollution controls, Atmos. Environ., 76, 94-101, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.12.037</u>, 2013.

- 35 Effectiveness of air pollution controls, Atmos. Environ., 76, 94-101, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.12.037</u>, 2013. Yang, T.-T., Hsu, C.-Y., Chen, Y.-C., Young, L.-H., Huang, C.-H., and Ku, C.-H.: Characteristics, sources, and health risks of atmospheric PM_{2. 5}-bound polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Hsinchu, Taiwan, Aerosol Air Qual. Res, 17, 563-573, <u>https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2016.06.0283</u>, 2017.
- Yu, R., Duan, L., Jiang, J., and Hao, J.: An optimized two-step derivatization method for analyzing diethylene glycol ozonation
 products using gas chromatography and mass spectrometry, J. Environ. Sci., 53, 313-321, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2016.02.021, 2017.
- Zhang, H., Yee, L. D., Lee, B. H., Curtis, M. P., Worton, D. R., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Offenberg, J. H., Lewandowski, M., Kleindienst, T. E., Beaver, M. R., Holder, A. L., Lonneman, W. A., Docherty, K. S., Jaoui, M., Pye, H. O. T., Hu, W., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Jimenez, J. L., Guo, H., Weber, R. J., de Gouw, J., Koss, A. R., Edgerton, E. S., Brune, W., Mohr, C.,
- 45 Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Lutz, A., Kreisberg, N. M., Spielman, S. R., Hering, S. V., Wilson, K. R., Thornton, J. A., and Goldstein, A. H.: Monoterpenes are the largest source of summertime organic aerosol in the southeastern United States, 115, 2038-2043, 10.1073/pnas.1717513115, 2018.

Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M., Allan, J., Coe, H., Ulbrich, I., Alfarra, M., Takami, A., Middlebrook, A., and Sun, Y.: Ubiquity and dominance of oxygenated species in organic aerosols in anthropogenically-influenced Northern Hemisphere midlatitudes, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, 2007.

Zhang, Q., He, K., and Huo, H.: Cleaning China's air, 484, 161, https://doi.org/10.1038/484161a, 2012.

Zhang, Y., Schauer, J. J., Zhang, Y., Zeng, L., Wei, Y., Liu, Y., and Shao, M.: Characteristics of Particulate Carbon Emissions from Real-World Chinese Coal Combustion, 42, 5068-5073, 10.1021/es7022576, 2008.

Zhao, X., Zhang, X., Xu, X., Xu, J., Meng, W., and Pu, W.: Seasonal and diurnal variations of ambient PM_{2.5} concentration in urban and rural environments in Beijing, Atmos. Environ., 43, 2893-2900, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.03.009</u>, 2009.

Zheng, S., Pozzer, A., Cao, C. X., and Lelieveld, J.: Long-term (2001–2012) concentrations of fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) and the impact on human health in Beijing, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 5715-5725, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-5715-2015</u>, 2015.

60

50

Table 1. Analytical performance of SE and TD/GC-MS methods for determination of n-alkanes, PAHs, and hopanes.

	SE method					TD method				
	Repeatability	Reproducibilit	Recovery	LOD	LOD*	Repeatability	Reproducibility	Recovery	LOD	LOD*
	(%)	y (%)	(%)	(ng)	(ng m ⁻³)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(ng)	(ng m ⁻³)
n-Alkanes	3.5–14.5	1.2–10.9	89.4–98.6	0.05–0.9	1.5-27.4	3.2–19.3	3.3–12.9	57.2-109.8	0.09–0.8	0.04–0.4
PAHs	4.4-10.6	1.6-6.5	89.7–101.5	0.3–1.1	9.8-33.9	2.7–9.5	4.7–8.4	89.4-106.1	0.1 - 1.9	0.06–0.9
Hopanes	3.5–7.7	1.2–5.8	90.3–97.2	0.3–1.0	8.1–29.8	2.1-8.4	1.1–4.6	90.4–99.8	0.2–0.7	0.09–0.4

*For analyzing a 0.526 cm² (TD) and 4.34 cm² (SE) punch of filters collected with high-volume samplers (sampling at 1.1 m³ min⁻¹ or 24 h on 8" ×10" filters).



Figure 1. Comparison of GC-MS chromatograms from solvent extraction (SE, a) and thermal desorption (TD) at different injectorport temperatures of 255 °C (b), 265 °C (c), 275 °C (d), 280 °C (e), 290 °C (f), and 300 °C (g).



Figure 2. The recoveries of n-alkanes (a) (C_n is the straight-chain n-alkanes <u>which-with</u> carbon number from 14–37), PAHs (b) and hopanes (c) by SE and TD/GC-MS. The full names of individual abbreviations are shown in SI.



Figure 3. Comparisons of n-alkanes (a), PAHs (b), and hopanes (c) determined by SE/GC-MS and TD/GC-MS methods for the ambient PM_{2.5} samples collected simultaneously from Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou, and Chengdu during winter of 2013.



Figure 4. Average concentration of n-alkanes (n-C₁₄–n-C₃₇) determined by SE/GC-MS and TD/GC-MS methods during wintertime from December 2013 to January 2014 in Beijing (a), Chengdu (b), Shanghai (c), and Guangzhou (d). Error bar is one standard deviation of individual n-alkanes.



Figure 5. Average concentration of individual PAHs determined by SE/GC-MS and TD/GC-MS methods during wintertime from December 2013 to January 2014 in Beijing (a), Chengdu (b), Shanghai (c), and Guangzhou (d). Error bar is one standard deviation of individual PAHs.



Figure 6. Average concentration of individual hopanes determined by SE/GC-MS and TD/GC-MS methods during wintertime from December 2013 to January 2014 in Beijing (a), Chengdu (b), Shanghai (c), and Guangzhou (d). Error bar is one standard deviation of individual hopanes.



Figure 7. Box plots of n-alkanes (a), PAHs (b), and hopanes (c) concentrations (ng m⁻³) in Beijing, Guangzhou, Shanghai, and Chengdu during winter, 2013. The boxes represent the 25th percentile (lower edge), median (solid line), mean (cube mark), and 75th percentile (higher edge). The whiskers represent the minimum and maximum. The star mark represented one outlier for the samples collected in Guangzhou.