Review1

 General comments

The article presents the study and comparison of some methods for the analysis of metal elements in ambient particulate matter and clouds by total reflection X-ray fluorescence (TXRF). It is well written even if some sections (like Abstract and Materials and Methods) needs some clarifications and precision. All the values given in the text (and tables) need some checking. The results are very interesting and can help for various applications in atmospheric pollution science.

We thank the reviewer for his comments and recommendations, which has enable us to improve on the manuscript. We have responded to the comments and concerns in a point-by-point basis below.

Specific comments

P1. The abstract. It needs to be improved. The introduction of the abstract is too long. The methods used are presented but with some confusion. There is no result of the methods.

The abstract has been significantly improved. The introduction has been shortened to 2 sentences.

P1 L13-16 now reads "Trace metals in ambient particulate matter and cloud are considered key elements of atmospheric processes as they affect air quality, environmental ecosystems and cloud formation. However, they are often available at trace concentrations in these media such that their analysis requires high precision and sensitive techniques. "

The methods have been explained concisely and have been described in L18-24

"These methods considered the measurement of filter samples directly without and with chemical pretreatment. Direct measurements involved the analysis of PM samples collected on polycarbonate filters and cloud water samples after they are brought onto TXRF carrier substrates. The chemical treatment method involved the assessment of different acid digestion procedures on PM sampled on Quartz filters. The solutions applied were reverse aqua regia, nitric acid and a combination of nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide. The effect of cold-plasma treatment of samples on polycarbonate filters prior to TXRF measurements was also investigated."

The results of the methods and the study are now included in lines. L26-30.

"Digestion with the reverse aqua regia solution provided lower blanks and higher recovery in comparison to other tested procedures. The detection limits of the elements ranged from 0.3 to 44 ng/cm². Ca, K, Zn, and Fe showed the highest detection limits of 44, 35, 6 and 1 ng/cm² while As and Se had the lowest of 0.3 and 0.8 ng/cm², respectively. The method showed higher recovery for most trace metals when applied to commercially available reference materials and field samples"

Lines P1 L 31-34

"Cold plasma treatment did not significantly lead to an increase in the detected concentration and the results were element-specific. Baking of the quartz filters prior to sampling showed a reduction of more than 20% of the filter blanks for elements such as V, Sr, Mn, Zn, Sb"

Lines 36-39

"The obtained concentrations were within the range reported using different techniques from similar remote and background regions elsewhere, especially for elements of anthropogenic origins such as V, Pb, and Zn with concentrations of up to 10, 19 and 28 ng/m³, respectively."

P2. L70. The Energy Dispersion X-ray fluorescence is also used for the analysis of PM (e.g. Kchih et al. (2015) Aerosol and Air Quality Research, 15, 454-464). In order to be exhaustive, you can add this method in your list.

The similarities and differences with the Energy dispersion X-ray fluorescence has been highlighted and discussed. Line P2. L72 now reads "*The TXRF is a highly sensitive surface technique, which is a variation of the energy-dispersive X-ray fluorescence (XRF) Kchih et al 2015), designed such that the X-ray beam is incident at a glancing angle on a polished substrate to enable a total internal reflection of the incident beam at its surface*"

P3.L80. You did not present the Ion Chromatography system, only the TXRF spectrometer is presented here. You are comparing the results of both methods p9 so both instruments should be presented here.

The Ion Chromatography system has been introduced amongst the instruments and P3 L81-83 now reads "*As with other established methods for cloud water analysis such as ICP-MS, ICP-OES as well as AAS (Xu et al., 2018) and also ion chromatographic techniques for cations and transition metal ions (Fomba et al. 2015), sample preparation steps are few and fast.*"

P4.L138. Please mention that you freeze the cloud samples. The freezing sometimes have an impact on the results as it is the case for Ca: its freezing induces the formation of insoluble $CaCO_3$ (Cherif et al. Environmental Pollution 103 (1998) 301-308). It is important when you use ionic chromatography (IC) and analyze the soluble phase, the concentrations will therefore be less than expected. As you compared here analysis with IC and TXRF, this point could be important.

We thank the reviewer for this important hint about the effect of freezing. The aspect of freezing has been added to the manuscript. P5 L 159 now reads "*The cloud water volume ranged between 30 and 150 ml and the samples were frozen immediately after sampling.*" *Although freezing may induce the formation of insoluble carbonates e.g. $CaCO_3$ in the sample as indicated by Cherif et al., (1998) the freezing help prevent microbial decomposition of organics and subsequent chemical reactions in the samples*.

P5.L154-155. "For these measurements, quartz sample carriers were used due to the high abundance of silicon on the filters." I cannot understand what do you mean with this sentence, as you already mentioned that the filters where siliconized (p3 L105-107: "Sample carriers were, thereafter, siliconized by 5 µl of a silicon solution in isopropanol (Merck, Germany) to avoid the spreading of the samples on the surface of the carriers."). Please add more information if you meant something additional regarding this sentence.

This was to indicate that the TXRF carrier used for the sample preparation and measurement was of quartz, which is the same material as the filters. However, we realized the sentence was not clear. The sentence has now been restructured to highlight this point.

P5 L195-196 now reads " *For these measurements, quartz TXRF sample carriers were used and their surfaces siliconized as previously described*".

P5. L157-159. " In a clean bench, the polycarbonate membranes from the five-stage ˇ cascade impactor were cut according to the number of impaction spots on the filters. For St. 1 to St. 5, filter pieces with

six, three, two, one, and one, spots were cut-out, respectively. " Please rewrite this sentence, it is not understandable.

The sentence has been rewritten. P6 L 197-199 now reads
"In a clean bench, a defined area of the polycarbonate filters collected with the five-stage cascade impactor were cut out. The cut-out filter area contained six, three, two, one, and one, impaction spots corresponding to the filters of St. 1 to St. 5, respectively."

P7. L250-251. " Figure 4 shows a TXRF spectrum of a bulk PM10 aerosol sample indicating some elements that were identified in the sample with the PICOFOX C2 instrument." Please specify the origin of the sample that is described in this figure. A suggestion: "Particulate matter PM10 samples collected at the AM5 station and measured with TXRF with the Mo-excitation anode are analyzed for their metal elements (Figure 4).

The origin of the sample has been specified. The sentence P10 L350-351 now reads "*Particulate matter PM10 samples collected at the AM5 station and measured with TXRF with the Mo-excitation anode are analyzed for their metal elements (Figure 5)*

P7. L253. Did you adjust the values obtained for the real samples with the percentage of recovery from the standards? Would it be justified and more accurate?

The real sample data were not adjusted with the recovery values since the samples were collected under different conditions as the spiked sample. However, this could be done to show the highest possible concentration that could be obtained without recovery lost. Nevertheless, this will not change the abundance or trends as already described.

An additional note has been made on L275-278: *"For the field samples, the values of Al was not adjusted with the percentage of recovery as the sample composition and adhesion of the particles on the filters are different, which could lead to an over estimation of the values. "*

L8. L265-271. & P9. L310-311. Why don't you also compare to closer and similar regions? There is a study in Northern Africa on the composition of PM10 and PM2.5 for elements, ions and source apportionment that points out the influence of Sahara dust on PM composition (Kchih et al. 2015: Investigation of desert dust contribution to source apportionment of PM10 and PM2.5 from a southern Mediterranean coast. Aerosol and Air Quality Research, 15, 454-464.).

Comparison with a study close to the sample region such as that of Kchih et al., has been done.

Lines 382-385 now reads: "*In comparison to concentrations reported for different locations in Tunis, Tunisia (Kchih et al., 2015), the values in this study were similar for elements such as K, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, V and Zn. Lower values for Ca (<10µg/m³), and Pb (< 2µg/m³) as well as higher values for Fe (<0.7 µg/m3), Al (<0.6 µg/m³), and Ti (0.08µg/m³) were observed.*"

L8.L272-273. "The particulate matter mass concentration during the sampling period was up to 145 µg/m3, indicating a strong influence of Saharan dust." Could you explain how do you conclude to a strong influence of Sahara dust according only to the mass concentration value?

The influence of Sahara dust was deduced from the color of the filter samples, which were reddish-brown, compared to the other days, Southwest winds and the air mass trajectories that indicated that the air mass that arrived at the site during this period crossed over the Saharan desert.

Lines P10 L 399-400 now read: "*Based on the reddish-brown color of the filters, the predominant southwest winds and back trajectory information, this high concentrations were indicative of a strong influence of Saharan dust*"

P8. L279-282. "For Cu and Sb, the 12.08.2017 and 26.08.2017 were the days with the highest and lowest concentrations, respectively. Air mass trajectory investigations indicated that during these days, air mass from the Saharan desert passing through urban cities arrived at the AM5 site, while on the 26.08.2017 and 21.08.2017 the air masses mainly originated from the cities with little influence of Saharan dust." There is a confusion here. First, you talk about 26.08.2017, and mention that the air mass arrived from Sahara desert, and the next sentence, for the same date, the air mass originates from cities with no Sahara influence. Please chack and correct.

The sentence structure was misleading and the has now been restructured and complemented.
L 384 now reads *"… For other elements such as Cu, Zn, and Sb, the 12.08.2017 and 13.08.2017 were the day with the highest concentrations while the 21.06.2017 and 26.08.2017 were the day with the lowest concentrations. Air mass trajectory investigations indicated that on the 12.08.2017 and 13.08.2017, air mass from the Saharan desert passing through urban cities arrived at the AM5 site, while on the 21.08.2017 and 26.08.2017 the air masses mainly crossed over the cities without passing over the Sahara."*

P8. L283-284. "Elements such as Ca, Al, Ti, Fe showed similar temporal variation of their concentrations similar to Cu, Sb, and Zn." This sentence is not clear. In any case, Fig6 does not show any similar temporal variation of Ca, Al, Ti and Fe. Cu, Sb and Zn C3 are not on the figure at all. Please check the conclusion for the elements shown in Fig 6. And add the other elements if necessary.

The misrepresentation of the figure has been identified and clarified. Indeed, the figure does not show the time series of Cu, Sb, Zn or Pb for the sake of simplicity, since these concentrations are far lower than those of Ca, Al, or Ti. Hence, the sentence relating to their similar temporal variation has been edited the conclusion rephrased.

L413-415 now read: "*Elements such as Al, Ti, Fe, K showed a similar temporal variation of their concentrations especially during the periods of intense dust influence while Ca variation was different after the dust event indicating other source influence on its concentration. These similarities amongst the other elements are indicative of their similar origins either relating to their emission sources or their air mass inflow characteristics.*"

P8. L287. "Typically, Ca, Al, Ti, and Fe are elements with high crustal abundance". How can an element be with crustal abundance ? Are you talking about correlation ? Or crustal composition ? Perhaps you mean "Typically, Ca, Al, Ti, and Fe are elements associated with high crustal abundance" ? Please specify.

The sentence has been changed and now reads "*Typically, Ca, Al, Ti, and Fe are elements associated with high crustal abundance*"

Additionnaly, is really Ti associated with crustal matter?

Ti is also associated with crustal matter and it is often used as a maker for crustal matter in particulate matter just as Al (Buck et al, 2019). An additional sentence has been included on line 508-510

"Ti was used as the reference element for crustal matter as it had a higher recovery to Al and has been used in many studies as its anthropogenic sources are few (Shelley et al., 2015;Buck et al., 2019)."

Technical comments

General : in the text, sometimes, when you cite a figure, you write Figure and some- ˘ times Fig. Please homogenize.

According to AMT template, "The abbreviation "Fig." should be used when it appears in running text and should be followed by a number unless it comes at the beginning of a sentence, e.g.: "The results are depicted in Fig. 5. Figure 9 reveals that...".

The manuscript is now homogenized following this procedure.

P1. L23. L24. " This method takes into account... ˘ a. It involves" You talk about all ˘ the methods you present in the article so we suggest to write : " ˘ aThese methods ˘ take... . They involve...". L27 you already come back with the plural.

This line has been edited and L18 now reads"

"These methods considered the measurement of filter samples directly without and with chemical pretreatment.

P1. L24-26. " It involves trace metal analysis of particulate matter collected on poly- ˘ carbonate and quartz fiber filters, as well as cloud water, analyzed through direct pipetting on TXRF carrier substrates as well as analysis of aliquots of acid digested particulate matter filters" Here, you talk first about PM than about cloud water than again ˘ ab out PM. Something is not clear. Please check, because the end of the sentence in not understandable ("as well as analysis of aliquots of acid digested particulate ˘ matter filters.) ˘

This line has been edited and L19-20 now reads"

"Direct measurements involved analysis of as collected polycarbonate and cloud water samples after they are brought onto TXRF carrier substrates.""

P2. L59-60. as well as As, V, Ni for coal and fuel combustion, respectively". ˘ Here, you itemize three metals but too origins, respectively. It is not possible with this sentence to attribute the metals to their origin in this way. Please check this sentence.

This line has been removed.

P2.L65-66. Understanding trace metal composition and their effects in these media, ˘ require sensitive techniques that can quantify the typically low concentration levels of metals, in these media over a wide range of particle sizes. The places of the ˘ C4 commas are not adequate. I suggest: "

Understanding trace metal composition and ˇ their effects in these media require sensitive techniques that can quantify the typically low concentration levels of metals in these media, over a wide range of particle sizes. ˇ

Correction has been done. Thanks. L63-64 now reads as suggested.
"Evaluating the trace metal composition and their effects in these media require sensitive techniques that can quantify the typically low concentration levels of metals in these media, over a wide range of particle sizes."
P2.L65-70. " Studies have shown that although ICP-MS (Inductively Coupled Plasma ˇ Mass Spectrometry), ICP-OES (Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy), AAS (Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy), are sensitive techniques, most often, a larger amount of sample substance is necessary for adequate sample preparation and handling. ". The places of the commas are not adequate, in place of ˇ of the third comma put " and ˇ a". Add a space after " Inductively ˇ a". I suggest:
ˇ "Studies have shown that although ICP-MS (Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry), ICP-OES (Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy) and AAS (Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy) are sensitive techniques, most often a larger amount of sample substance is necessary for adequate sample preparation and handling. ˇ Everywhere: Please choose one sole abbreviation for Liter, L or l, for the sake of uniformity (text, tables and figures).

Correction has been done. L64-66 now reads as suggested. *"Studies have shown that although ICP-MS (Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry), ICP-OES (Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy) and AAS (Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy), are sensitive techniques, most often a larger amount of sample substance is necessary for adequate sample preparation and handling"*

P4. L147. "The main objective of digestion was to be wash-off the particles at the surface..." would better be "The main objective of the digestion was to wash-off the particles from the surface..."

Correction has been done. L 198 now reads as suggested. Thank you. *"The main objective of the digestion was to wash-off the particles from the surface"*

P5. L170. "Similar methods were applied to the standard reference material as was used on field samples." would better be "Similar methods to those used on field samples were applied to the standard reference material."

Correction done. P5 L 223 . now reads as suggested. *"Similar methods to those used on field samples were applied to the standard reference material."* Thank you.

P6. L199. "...obtained with the LPA multi-element standard solution..." Do you mean "obtained with the CPA multi-element standard solution" ?

Yes, this was a typo error. LPA has been replaced with CPA on P7 L251 on the revised manuscript.

P6.L193. "The reference materials were measured using the.." In order to avoid confusion, it would be better to specify "The reference materials NIST(SRM) were measured C5 using the..."

The correction has been done and P7 L248 now reads *"The reference materials NIST (SRM) were measured using the S2-PICOFOX and the values obtained were compared with the certified values provided by NIST."*

P6.L216-217. "the lower recoveries were observed for As, Ti, Sb, and Se". There lower recoveries also for Al and Ag. Please add them to the list.

P7 L258 now reads "lower recoveries were observed for As, Ti, Sb, Ag, and Se"

P7.L244-245. "Likewise, the method MDL with the digestion method was higher than that observed for the polycarbonate membranes." You probably mean: "Likewise, the limit MDL with the digestion method was higher than that observed for the polycarbonate membranes."

This sentence has been corrected. L459 now reads *"… the limit MDL with the digestion method is low for most elements in the blank filters except for Ca and Zn in the polycarbonate membrane, and Ca, Zn, and K for the quartz fiber filters."*

P7.L248 to 326. This section is presented as a description of the figures. As the figure is first cited at the beginning of each paragraph than described. The references to the figures would better be in a text (as Figure X) describing the results. (e.g. "3.2.1. Bulk aerosol samples Figure 4 shows a TXRF spectrum of a bulk PM10 aerosol sample indicating some elements that were identified in the sample with the PICOFOX instrument. Figure 5 shows box plots of the identified particulate matter trace metal concentrations at the AM5 station during August and early September 2017. . ..")

P10 L 367 now reads "*Particulate matter PM10 samples collected at the AM5 station and measured with TXRF with the Mo-excitation anode were analyzed for their metal elements (Figure 5).*"

P10 L 369now reads "*Figure 6 shows box plots of the identified particulate matter trace metal concentrations at the AM5 station during August and early September 2017*"

P8. L296. "The difference between the least and the abundant elements..." I think there is a 'most' missing. So the sentence would be : "The difference between the least and the most abundant elements..."

The word most has been added to the sentence as suggested on L 396 "*The difference between the least and the most abundant elements...*"

P8. L293-296. & P10. L341-343. Please check the values that you give. They do not correspond to the values in Table 3 and Table 4, respectively.

The values in the text have been corrected to match with the values in the table.

P10. L375. *"...with very negligible particulate matter rest on the filter"* would better be: "with very negligible particulate matter remaining on the filter".

The word "rest" has been replaced by "remaining" as suggested on P12 L 454

P11.L379. "...elements to improve on their quantification in the given..." would better be: "...elements to improve their quantification in the given..."

The word "on" has been deleted as suggested on P12 L 458 now reads "*…typical recoveries of the required elements to improve their quantification in the given matrix*"

P15.L521. Table1. In the first line of the table, "Certified" should be aligned with the second "Mean" in order to avoid confusion. C6 P17.
The word "Certified " is now aligned with the word "Mean"

Table 3. Why do you specify the cut off only for the first stage ? It would be better to delete it.

The cut off on stage 1 has been deleted

References:

Buck, C. S., Aguilar-Islas, A., Marsay, C., Kadko, D., and Landing, W. M.: Trace element concentrations, elemental ratios, and enrichment factors observed in aerosol samples collected during the US GEOTRACES eastern Pacific Ocean transect (GP16), Chem Geol, 511, 212-224, 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2019.01.002, 2019.

Cherif, S., Millet, M., Sanusi, A., Herckes, P., and Wortham, H.: Protocol for analysis of trace metals and other ions in filtered and unfiltered fogwater, Environ Pollut, 103, 301-308, Doi 10.1016/S0269-7491(98)00108-0, 1998.

Kchih, H., Perrino, C., and Cherif, S.: Investigation of Desert Dust Contribution to Source Apportionment of PM10 and PM2.5 from a Southern Mediterranean Coast, Aerosol Air Qual Res, 15, 454-464, 10.4209/aaqr.2014.10.0255, 2015.

Shelley, R. U., Morton, P. L., and Landing, W. M.: Elemental ratios and enrichment factors in aerosols from the US-GEOTRMES North Atlantic transects, Deep-Sea Res Pt Ii, 116, 262-272, 10.1016/j.dsr2.2014.12.005, 2015.

Xu, X. M., Chen, J. M., Zhu, C., Li, J. R., Sui, X., Liu, L., and Sun, J. F.: Fog composition along the Yangtze River basin: Detecting emission sources of pollutants in fog water, J Environ Sci-China, 71, 2-12, 10.1016/j.jes.2017.09.018, 2018.

Anonymous Referee #3

Recommendation: Major revision

General Comments:

Manuscript entitled "Application of TXRF in monitoring trace metals in particulate matter and cloud water" measured the concentrations of trace metals in the particulate matter and cloud water using a highly sensitive surface technique TXRF. The authors compared the influence of different ˇ factors such as digestion procedure, filter baking, and plasma ashing on the measurement of trace metals. This is a useful fundamental work to improve the application of TXRF. However, I have several major concerns about the manuscript, besides the writing of this manuscript. Therefore, I think this manuscript needs substantial revisions.

We thank the reviewer for the comments that have enable us to improve on the manuscript. In the following, we have addressed these concerns in a point-by-point format.

 Specific Comments:

1. If the quartz fiber filters also need digestion procedure to conduct TXRF analysis, what is the advantages of TXRF compared with ICP-MS? We know the digestion procedures waste the most time, if the digestion procedure id required, why don't I choose the ICP-MS. I think the precision of ICP-MS is much higher than TXRF. If there is a possibility that the TXRF can analyze the quartz fiber filters directly without digestion procedure? I think this may be the advantage of TXRF.

The presently available quartz fiber filters are unfortunately too thick (> 10 μm) to be analyzed directly by TXRF, as the thickness of the filter prevents the total internal reflection of the X-ray on TXRF carrier surface, thereby increasing the attenuation of the signal and can furthermore destroy the detector. If thinner quartz fiber filters are available, they can be measured directly with the TXRF without digestion, as it is the case with the Teflon or polycarbonate filters. As demonstrated in the manuscript, PM collected on polycarbonate foils do not require any digestion procedure as presented for the SRM2783standard reference material and for size-resolved aerosol samples. Using the ICP-MS, these filters would have to be digested, which presents the advantage of the TXRF over the ICPMS, as despite its higher precision to TXRF, ICP-MS always requires digestion of the filters since it is adapted for analyzing mainly liquid samples. Using thin filter material, TXRF provides a digestion free, high precision alternative to ICP-MS, especially for samples with very little PM mass concentrations such as size-resolved PM samples.

A corresponding sentence has been added on L100-102 "*In addition, the thickness of the quartz filters increases the attenuation of the X-ray signals when measured directly* (Klockenkamper and von Bohlen, 2014) *requiring thinner filters as those currently commercially available.*"

2. The figures in the manuscript need to take more time to make them more beautiful.

The figures in the manuscript have been improved. Figures 3 and 4 were modified. The regression lines in figure 3 were replaced with a 1:1 line. Figure 4 was modified to have the same format as the other figures with the correct legends.

Figure 3   Figure 4

Line13: I think it is better to modify the sentence "Ambient particulate matter and cloud trace metals are considered key elements" to "Trace metals in ambient particulate matter and cloud are considered key elements" to avoid the ambiguity.

The correction has been done and the sentence now reads as suggested. "Trace metals in ambient particulate matter and cloud are considered key elements"."

4. Line31: "Backing" should be "Baking". This mistake occurs at many places in the whole manuscript, please pay attention.

This spelling errors have been corrected through out the manuscript

5. Line48: Please modify the sentence "Aerosols and cloud water trace metals" to avoid ambiguity.

Line 48 has been modified to read *"Trace metals in aerosols and cloud water play an important role in defining aerosol and cloud physicochemical properties as they control key reactions within these media."*

6. Line 124-125: The symbol Ga and Y should provided in a parentheses following Gallium and Yttrium.

The chemical symbols of Ga and Y have been added beside their names. Lines 126 now reads *"Internal standards consisting of Gallium (Ga) inductively coupled plasma (ICP) standard solution with the concentration of 1000 mg/l (Merck, Germany) and Yttrium (Y)…"*

7. Line 173: if the diameter of the spot is 8 mm, the area should be 0.5 cm2, please to check.

The correct area of 0.5 cm² has been included. Thank you

8. Line 206: Please use the right symbol but not the letter x in "2 x 25"

"2 x 25 " has been replaced by *"… and 25 ml of the sample pipetted twice onto polished… "*

9. Line 209: how the authors get the filed blanks of cloud water samples? Should clarify in the method section.

The blank collection procedure has been added on L 159-161 and now reads:

"For the collection of the cloud water blanks, the CASCC2 strands were cleaned with deionized water and ethanol, and deionized water was thereafter sprayed onto the cleaned strands and the resulting droplets were collected and used as blanks".

10. Line 210- 215: the authors add 5 µl of concentrated nitric acid in the size-resolved samples, but why not for the SRM standard?

The same procedure was performed on the SRM. A corresponding sentence has been added on line 218-219

"…subsequent spiking with an internal standard, and 5µl of concentrated nitric acid similar to the procedure used for the size-resolved samples."

11. Line 222-223: "The maximum values of the measurements were then used for further analysis" if I have not left it out, I did not see the further analysis the whole manuscript.

This sentence has been changed as it seems misleading. Line 222-223 now reads,

" The maximum values of the measurements were then used for subsequent analysis"

12. 13. Line 28: what is the "as a total number" meaning? I can understand what the authors want to express, but the expression is not appropriate.

Unfortunately, we could not identify the phrase "as a total number" on line 28 in the manuscript.

14. Line 109: what is the spin-up period meaning?.

Unfortunately, we could not identify the phrase "spin-up period" on line 109 of the manuscript.

15. Line 120-122: According to the example in the parentheses, the word "attributed" should be "convert"? But the authors should indicate why conduct this process? And "In the second simulation, the module marked chemical production regions of SIA", According to what, the authors can judge "the chemical production regions of SIA".

Unfortunately, we could not identify this phrase "In the second simulation, the module marked chemical production regions of SIA" in any section of the manuscript and, simulations were not performed in this study.

16. Line 226: why only 18 elements were analyzed, as you know, the standard has 28 elements.

The standard had 28 elements but the PICOFOX instrument can detect only 23 elements in this standard. The other 5 elements of the standard including Li, B, Be,Na, Mg cannot be determined by TXRF. A corresponding sentence has been added.

Lines 142-143 now reads *"…with a concentration of 100 mg/l for 28 elements (23 detectable with TXRF, excluding lighter elements such as Li, B, Be, Na. Mg) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Germany and C.P.A. Ltd, Bulgaria, respectively."*

The 18 elements that were analyzed where those that were identified in the samples. Elements such as Co, Cd, Ag, Bi, As, Se, were not often observed in all the samples, leading to mainly.

L 226 now reads *"Typically about 18 elements or more were analyzed based on their abundance in the PM and cloud water field samples."*

17. Line 229: Please make the equation more beautiful. For Nnet and Nback, I do not understand what is the count rate meaning?

N_{net} and N_{back} are the x-ray signal counts of the sample and the background signals, respectively. These values are used in determining the signal to noise ratio of an element and in assessing its limit of quantification.

A corresponding sentence has been included in Line 238 to read: *"…N_back, background count rate of the fluorescent X-ray signal".*

3. σblank, please write the equation correctly.

The equation is now written in Line 239 as: *"3σ_blank"*

18. Line 251-252: "In the absence of certified metal concentrations on quartz fiber filters" if it is the blank filters used?

Yes, it was a blank filter that was used. A corresponding line has been added to the manuscript.

Line 260 now reads *"In the absence of certified metal concentrations on quartz fiber filters, an 8 mm diameter area of a bank filter …"*

19. In my opinion, Figure 3 is not the proper type to present the data. It is not proper to do the linear regression analysis for different elements. It is suitable for different concentrations with the same element.

The dotted line is not a regression line. It was plotted to indicate the 1:1 line between the measured and certified values. The lines have been removed and only one plotted to indicate this as shown in the new figure 3 below.

20. Line 355: the authors mentioned the results of nighttime and daytime samples, I think the related figures or tables should be provided.

The nighttime and daytime concentrations have been added as a new table 6. And a corresponding line has been included in the text. Line 375-381 now reads: *"Indeed, the variation of the elemental concentrations between daytime and nighttime samples was often less than 5% as illustrated in Table 6. Elements such as Pb, V, Ni, and Cu, showed an increase during the nighttime measurements in comparison to the daytime measurements. This indicates that these elements could have had a small nighttime source from the nearby cities and the changing air mass inflow to the mountains at nighttime. Ca, Al, Ti, K, and Fe were higher during the daytime which was coincidental as most dust events were stronger during daytime than nighttime."*

21. Line 358 and 371: "long-range transport from nearby cities" I think it is contradictory. When the authors discussing the source of the pollutant, I think it is better to air mass backward trajectories.

This statement has been improved. The expression of Long-range transport has been removed as it is misleading.

Line 378 now reads: *"This indicates that these elements could have had a small nighttime source from the nearby cities due to the changing air mass inflow to the mountains at nighttime.*

Line 395-396 now reads; *"This could be partly due to interference of Sb signal with the high Ca signal in the samples as well as the different sources in the respective regions."*

22. I am very confusing about Table 2. The content in the manuscript and in the Table were not consistent. Besides, for element Co, Cd, and Al, though their concentration below the LLD, their LLD must be exist.

The inconsistency between the table values and those in the manuscript has been resolved. The correct values are now included in the text.
The reviewer is correct that the LLD of Co, Cd and Al were missing. These elements were not detected in the blank filters and, therefore, their LLD were not determined and provided by the instrument. The bdl was in this case misleading and has been removed from the table.
It id funny that the authors compared their data with Upadhyay et al. 2009, how can the authors know it is because of the application of HF lead to the increase of the blank for elements such as Ca, Ti, Fe, but not the different blank filters?

Our comparison with Upadhyay et al, is because they also analyzed blanks of different quartz filter batches for their trace metal concentrations. Since similar quartz filters were used, we assume that the differences in our values are related to the different digestion procedures used as it is known that different digestion procedures may lead to different elemental concentrations (Cullen et al.1999, Buck et al. 2012). We have added a line to indicate that the differences may also arise from the different filter batches used in the studies.
Line 300-301 now reads *"The differences between these studies may also be due to the use of the different filter material used the various studies. However, the use of HF has often been associated with high blank values for quartz filters (Buck and Paytan, 2012;Cullen and Sherrell, 1999)."*

23. Line 455: the authors only compared their results with some European regions, I think more comparison should be made with the heavily polluted region, such as China and India.

Reference:

Li, W.; Wang, Y.; Collett, J. L.; Chen, J.; Zhang, X.; Wang, Z.; Wang, W. Microscopic evaluation of trace metals in cloud droplets in an acid precipitation region. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2013, 47, (9), 4172-4180.
Liu, X.; Wai, K.; Wang, Y.; Zhou, J.; Li, P.; Guo, J.; Xu, P.; Wang, W. Evaluation of trace elements contamination in cloud/fog water at an elevated mountain site in Northern China. Chemosphere. 2012, 88, (5), 531-541.

Liu, L.; Zhang, J.; Xu, L.; Yuan, Q.; Huang, D.; Chen, J.; Shi, Z.; Sun, Y.; Fu, P.; Wang, Z.; Zhang, D.; Li, W. Cloud scavenging of anthropogenic refractory particles at a mountain site in North China. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2018, 18, (19), 14681-14693.

Table 7 (Now Table 8) has been extended to contain the concentrations of other mountain regions in China and the United States to provide a broader comparison of the observed concentrations of this study as recommended. A corresponding description has been included in the text.

Lines 488-497 now reads "*Similarly the concentrations were lower than those observed at Mt. Elden in Arizona (Hutchings et al., 2009). Despite the differences in the mean concentrations, the reported concentrations of this study are within the range of concentrations observed in other regions in Europe and the USA. The differences in the absolute values are related to the different sample collection locations. In comparison to measurements performed in regions in China, the trace metal concentrations in this study was significantly lower. Liu et al., (2012), reported concentrations of Zn (249.1 µg/l), Mn (42.84 µg/l), Fe (108.8 µg/l), Pb (46.2 µg/l) at Mt. Tai which are*

more than an order of magnitude higher than those at the Atlas mountain. Similar high concentrations were also reported at Mt. Heng in china (Li et al., 2017), Zn (224.6 µg/l), Pb (100.5 µl), As (19.9 µg/l) indicating a strong contrast in the trace metal levels in cloud water in Northern Africa mountain regions as compared to more polluted regions in China."

24. Line 474: why the author choose Ti as a reference element to calculate the EF? More explanation should be provided here.

Ti is amongst the abundant elements in the earth's crust and does not have many anthropogenic sources as iron or Aluminum making it a good proxy for crustal matter (Lawson et al. 1967). Hence, Ti has been used as a reference element for crustal matter in other studies (Shelley et al. 2015, Buck et al. 2019), indicating its acceptance in the community as a proxy. A corresponding sentence has been included in the text line 474 now reads

"Ti was used as the reference element for crustal matter as it had a higher recovery to Al and has been used in many studies as its anthropogenic sources are few (Shelley et al. 2015, Buck et al. 2019)".

References:

Buck, C. S., and Paytan, A.: Evaluation of commonly used filter substrates for the measurement of aerosol trace element solubility, Limnol Oceanogr-Meth, 10, 790-806, 10.4319/lom.2012.10.790, 2012.

Buck, C. S., Aguilar-Islas, A., Marsay, C., Kadko, D., and Landing, W. M.: Trace element concentrations, elemental ratios, and enrichment factors observed in aerosol samples collected during the US GEOTRACES eastern Pacific Ocean transect (GP16), Chem Geol, 511, 212-224, 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2019.01.002, 2019.

Cullen, J. T., and Sherrell, R. M.: Techniques for determination of trace metals in small samples of size-fractionated particulate matter: phytoplankton metals off central California, Mar Chem, 67, 233-247, Doi 10.1016/S0304-4203(99)00060-2, 1999.

Hutchings, J. W., Robinson, M. S., McIlwraith, H., Triplett Kingston, J., and Herckes, P.: The Chemistry of Intercepted Clouds in Northern Arizona during the North American Monsoon Season, Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 199, 191-202, 10.1007/s11270-008-9871-0, 2009.
Shelley, R. U., Morton, P. L., and Landing, W. M.: Elemental ratios and enrichment factors in aerosols from the US-GEOTRMES North Atlantic transects, Deep-Sea Res Pt Ii, 116, 262-272, 10.1016/j.dsr2.2014.12.005, 2015.

Li, T., Wang, Y., Zhou, J., Wang, T., Ding, A. J., Nie, W., Xue, L. K., Wang, X. F., and Wang, W. X.: Evolution of trace elements in the planetary boundary layer in southern China: Effects of dust storms and aerosol-cloud interactions, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 122, 3492-3506, 10.1002/2016jd025541, 2017.

Liu, X. H., Wai, K. M., Wang, Y., Zhou, J., Li, P. H., Guo, J., Xu, P. J., and Wang, W. X.: Evaluation of trace elements contamination in cloud/fog water at an elevated mountain site in Northern China, Chemosphere, 88, 531-541, 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.02.015, 2012.

Application of TXRF in monitoring trace metals in particulate matter and cloud water

Khanneh Wadinga Fomba[1], Nabil Deabji[1,2], Sayf El Islam Barcha[2], Ibrahim Ouchen[2], El Mehdi Elbaramoussi[2], Rajaa Cherkaoui El Moursli[2], Mimoun Harnafi[3], Souad El Hajjaji[2], Abdelwahid Mellouki[4], and Hartmut Herrmann [1]

[1]Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research (TROPOS), Atmospheric Chemistry Department (ACD), Permoserstraße 15, 04318, Leipzig, Germany
[2]Faculty of Science, Mohammed V University in Rabat, 4 Avenue Ibn Battouta, B.P. 1040,10100 Rabat, Morocco
[3]Scientific Institute, Mohammed V University in Raba, Av. Ibn Batouta, B.P 703, 10106 Rabat - Morocco
[4]Institut de Combustion Aérothermique Réactivité et Environnement/OSUC-CNRS, 1C Avenue de la Recherche Scientifique, 45071 Orléans Cedex 2, France

Correspondence to: Hartmut Herrmann (herrmann@tropos.de)

Abstract. Trace metals in Aambient particulate matter and cloud trace metals are considered key elements of atmospheric processes as they affect air quality, environmental ecosystems and play an important role in cloud formation. However, they are often available at trace concentrations in these media such that their analysis requires high precision and sensitive techniques. In this study, different analytical methods were applied to quantify trace metals in particulate matter(PM) samples collected on quartz and polycarbonate filters as well as cloud water, using the Total reflection X-Ray Fluorescence (TXRF) technique. These methods considered the direct measurement of filter samples directly without and with and without chemical pretreatment. Direct measurements involved the analysis of as PM samples collected on polycarbonate filters and cloud water samples after they are brought onto TXRF carrier substrates. For tThe chemical treatment method involved the assessment of, different acid digestion procedures on for PM sampled on Quartz filters. The solutions applied were assessed including reverse aqua regia, nitric acid and a combination of nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide solution. The effect of cold-plasma treatment of samples on polycarbonate filters prior to TXRF measurements was also investigated.

Digestion with the reverse aqua regia solution provided lower blanks and higher recovery in comparison to other tested procedures. The detection limits of the elements ranged from 0.3 to 44 ng/cm². Ca, K, Zn, and Fe showed the highest detection limits of 44, 35, 6 and 1 ng/cm² while As and Se had the lowest of 0.3 and 0.8 ng/cm², respectively. The method showed higher recovery for most trace metals when applied to commercially available reference materials and field samples. TXRF measurements showed good agreement with results obtained from ion chromatography measurements for elements such as Ca and K. Cold plasma treatment did not significantly lead to an increase in the detected concentration and the results were element-specific. BackingBaking of the quartz filters prior to sampling showed a reduction of more than 20% of the filter blanks for elements such as V, Sr, Mn, Zn, Sb.

The methods were applied successfully on ambient particulate matter and cloud water samples collected from the Atlas Mohammed V station in Morocco and the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory. The obtained concentrations were within the range reported using different techniques from similar remote and background regions elsewhere, especially for elements of anthropogenic origins such as V, Pb, and Zn with concentrations of up to 10, 19 and 28 ng/m³, respectively. Enrichment factor analysis indicated that crustal matter dominated the abundance of most of the elements while anthropogenic activities also contributed to the abundance of elements

40   such as Sb, Se, and Pb. The results confirm that TXRF is a useful complementary sensitive technique for trace metal analysis of particulate matter in the microgram range as well as in cloud water droplets.

1   Introduction

45   Aerosols play an important role in atmospheric processes as they control the atmospheric composition. Aerosol particles (particulate matter) provide surfaces for the adsorption of gases and atmospheric species, thereby, serving as a catalyst for heterogeneous chemical reactions. Particulate matter is the main source of condensation nuclei on which cloud droplets are formed. Clouds affect the climate, weather and are the source of precipitation and, hence, water in arid ecosystems. However, these impacts are closely related to their chemical compositions, amongst which is their elemental composition. Trace metals in A~~a~~erosols and cloud water ~~trace metals~~ play an important

50   role in defining ~~their~~ aerosol and cloud physicochemical properties as they control key reactions within these media. Aerosol trace metals control atmospheric oxidation capacity and serve as a catalyst for chemical reactions that influence radical budgets and atmospheric chemical reaction rates (Losno, 1999;Herrmann et al., 2015). They provide important hints to the sources of particulate matter emission and their fate. Studies have shown that aerosol emission sources have specific profiles with certain characteristic trace metals, making elemental analysis an

55   important tool for particulate matter source apportionment studies (VanCuren et al., 2012;Zhang et al., 2014;Miller et al., 2019), and in the quantification of PM source contributions (Harrison et al., 2012;van Pinxteren et al., 2016;Pacyna et al., 2007). Moreover, elemental analysis in cloud water provide information on cloud condensation nuclei types (Bianco et al., 2017;Ma et al., 2004), possible in-cloud heterogeneous reactions (Passananti et al., 2016;Ervens, 2015), such as the oxidation of S(IV) to sulfate (Harris et al., 2013), or trace metal influence on the

60   HOx budget due to the Fenton reaction (Deguillaume et al., 2005). However, an assessment of their levels in mountain regions in the Saharan region where a combination of different natural and anthropogenic sources may control their abundance is lacking.

Evaluating the trace metal composition and their effects in these media require~~s~~ sensitive techniques that can quantify the typically low concentration levels of metals~~,~~ in these media~~.~~ over a wide range of particle sizes. Studies

65   have shown that although ICP-MS (Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry), ICP-OES (Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy)~~,~~ and AAS (Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy), are ~~suitable~~ sensitive techniques, most often~~,~~ a larger amount of sample substance is necessary for adequate sample preparation and handling (Bennun and Sanhueza, 2010). This makes the application of alternative techniques such as the Total Reflection X-Ray Fluorescence spectrometry (TXRF) attractive in the analysis of particulate matter with very little

70   mass, (typically in the microgram range) in comparison to the other techniques (Bulska and Ruszczyńska, 2017). The TXRF is a highly sensitive surface technique, which is a variation of the energy-dispersive X-ray fluorescence (XRF) (Kchih et al., 2015), designed such that the X-ray beam is incident at a glancing angle on a polished substrate to enable a total internal reflection of the incident beam at its surface. This setup improves on the spectral background, increases the excitation frequency of the elements, and enhances the element sensitivity compared to

75   conventional XRF methods (Klockenkamper and von Bohlen, 2014). However, to obtain the advantages offered by the TXRF, only a very thin film of the analyte is required on a polished surface of which quartz and sapphire substrates are often used. TXRF has been shown to be applicable to soil, biological, water and sediment samples (Towett et al., 2013;Bilo et al., 2014;Stosnach, 2005). Due to its enhanced sensitivity, TXRF can easily be applied

to cloud samples, as these matrices provide optimum conditions for the realization of a thin film on the carrier surface. As with other established methods for cloud water analysis such as ICP-MS, ICP-OES as well as AAS (Xu et al., 2018) and also ion chromatographic techniques for cations and transition metal ions (Fomba et al. 2015), sample preparation steps are few and fast.

As particulate matter is typically sampled on filter material, the application of this technique on aerosol samples requires different sampling and sample preparation methods to obtain the requisite geometry of the sample on the polished substrates to make use of the expected enhanced sensitivity. Recently, Prost et al. (2017) showed that by direct sampling of aerosol particles on polished quartz substrates greased with a jelly organic paste, and subsequent cold-plasma oxidation of the paste, it is possible to perform TXRF analysis directly on such substrates and obtained measurable concentrations in the pg/m³ range. However, the limitation of this method lies in the difficulty in controlling the thickness of the particles on the film especially in highly polluted regions where PM loading is high, which could lead to multiple layers of particulate matter on the carrier. Studies have also shown the applicability of TXRF on particulate matter sampled on polycarbonate filters (Wagner and Mages, 2010;Bilo et al., 2018;Motellier et al., 2011) which for some cases were subsequently combusted via cold-plasma ashing and not combusted in others, before measurement. Despite the advantage of the cold plasma ashing step in reducing the thickness of the sample layer and attenuation of the signal, the risk of contamination, as well as variable recovery have been reported (Woelfl et al., 2003), making its applicability for aerosol samples unclear. Different sample preparation methods have been evaluated on PTFE membranes and polycarbonate filters, which are commonly used for aerosol sampling (De La Calle et al., 2013). However, the applicability of TXRF on aerosol particles collected on quartz fibers is not well investigated (Okuda et al., 2013). This is mainly because of the high metal blank concentrations often obtain in this material making it less attractive to other materials such as Teflon or polycarbonate (Upadhyay et al., 2009;Buck and Paytan, 2012). In addition, the thickness of the quartz filters increases the attenuation of the X-ray signals when measured directly (Klockenkamper and von Bohlen, 2014), requiring thinner filters as those currently commercially available. However, in comparison to other standard techniques such as ICP-MS, ICP-AES, digestion methods of quartz filters suitable for TXRF analysis are not known, especially as particles must not be fully digested in acids to obtain the required sensitivity. As many air quality-monitoring networks sample aerosol particles on quartz filters due to the feasibility of using the same filter sample for many analyses including elemental and organic carbon and ionic contents of the particulate matter, the development, and applicability of analytical methods with quartz filters need further examination. This reduces energy consumption through the deployment of fewer monitoring devices, as well as the cost of acquisition of many collectors, which is a challenge for monitoring networks in developing countries

In the present study, different digestion procedures have been examined on different batches of quartz fiber filters to assess the most preferred protocol that offers less matrix effect for quantification of trace metals using TXRF. In addition, the role of the backingbaking of filters on their blank concentration has been evaluated. Furthermore, the use of plasma ashing of polycarbonate filters has been reassessed to evaluate if this procedure could be redundant for samples with high particulate matter loading. The methods were applied to aerosol samples collected at the Atlas Mohammed V (AM5) observatory and the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory (CVAO) during intensive field studies lasting 2 to 3 weeks. The technique was also applied to quantify elemental concentrations in cloud water samples from the AM5 site. The results provide the first assessment of trace metal levels at the high remote Atlas mountain regions in northern Africa and activities that contribute to their abundance in the region.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Instrument

Trace metals were quantified using a benchtop S2-PICOFOX TXRF-spectrometer (Bruker AXS Microanalysis GmbH, Germany). The instrument is equipped with a Mo anode metal-ceramic X-Ray tube having a multilayer monochromator and an XFlash® Si drift detector, which has an area of 30 mm² with energy resolution < 160 eV for the Mn K_α-line. The Mo- K_α excitation was 17.5 keV and the instrument was operated at 750 µA and 50 kV. The typical measurement time was 500 s and the treatment of the X-ray spectra, analysis of elemental concentration and the deconvolution of spectra fluorescence peaks were performed using the SPECTRA 6.2 software.

2.2 Reagents and materials

Internal standards consisting of Gallium (Ga) inductively coupled plasma (ICP) standard solution with the concentration of 1000 mg/l (Merck, Germany) and Yttrium (Y) ICP standard solution with the concentration of 1000 mg/l (Roth, Germany) mixed in the ratio 1:1 was used. High purity water deionized with Mill-Q water purification system (Millipore) was used for the dilution of standards. Hydrogen peroxide 30% (Thgeyer, Germany) solution was used for filter digestion procedures. Quartz glass discs with a 30 mm diameter and a thickness of 3 mm±0.1 mm was applied as TXRF sample carriers. They were cleaned using different cleaning solutions in three subsequent steps, involving boiling in 10% conc. HCl (Supra-Quality, ROTIPURAN, Roth Germany) and 15% conc. HNO_3 (Supra-Quality, ROTIPURAN, Roth Germany) and in a detergent solution (RBS 50, 4% concentrated). Between each solution, the carriers were rinsed with ultrapure water and treated in an ultrasonic bath for 30 mins. After cleaning, they were dried in an oven for about 3 h. Sample carriers were, thereafter, siliconized by 5 µl of a silicon solution in isopropanol (Merck, Germany) to avoid the spreading of the samples on the surface of the carriers.

2.3 Reference material

To compare the measured values with those observed with other techniques, calibrate the instrument, and validate sample preparation methods, various commercial standard reference materials were used. The NIST 2783 Standard Reference Material (SRM) air particulate matter sample and a multi-element standard solution (Lot N15521,) with a concentration of 100 mg/l for 28 elements (23 detectable with the PICOFOX, excluding lighter elements such as Li, B, Be, Na. Mg) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Germany and C.P.A. Ltd, Bulgaria, respectively. The NIST 2783 is an air particulate matter sample that simulates particles with an aerodynamic equivalent diameter of 2.5 µm (PM2.5) deposited on polycarbonate filter membranes.

2.4 Particulate matter and cloud water sample collection

Particulate matter and cloud water samples were collected at the AM5 observatory in Michlifen, Morocco and at the CVAO in São Vicente, Cape Verde.
The AM5 site is located in the middle of the Atlas Mountains, 2000 m a.s.l. in a predominantly hilly site (33°24'22.2"N 5°06'12.0"W) that experiences frequent changes in the wind direction. It lies in a remote region about 20 km away from the next urban cities. The aerosol particles were collected using a high-volume sampler

(DIGITEL, DHA80, Switzerland) with a flow rate of 500 l/min equipped with a PM10 inlet. The collector was mounted on the roof of a container with an inlet height of about 5 meters above the ground. The aerosol particles were collected on Quartz fiber filters (Munktell, MK 360) in a 12 h day-night sampling routine. The sampling routine was set to identify differences between the transport of day and night emissions to this remote site. Sampling was done for 3 weeks between August and September 2017. Cloud water was sampled using a Caltech Active Strand Cloud water Collector (CASCC2)(Demoz et al., 1996) on the roof of the container. This was done whenever the mountain was covered with clouds. The sampling time varied depending on the duration of the cloud coverage and one sample was collected per cloud event. The cloud water volume ranged between 30 and 150 ml and the samples were frozen immediately after sampling. Although freezing may induce the formation of insoluble carbonates e.g. CaCO3 in the sample as indicated by Cherif et al., (1998) the freezing help prevent microbial decomposition of organics and subsequent chemical reactions in the samples. For the collection of the cloud water blanks, the CASCC2 strands were cleaned with deionized water and ethanol, and deionized water was thereafter sprayed onto the cleaned strands and the resulting droplets were collected and used as blanks.

The CVAO is located 30 m offshore the east coastline of the Sao Vicente island of the Cape Verde Island. It experiences predominantly northeast winds, providing an ideal location for monitoring long-range transport of particulate matter from Africa and Europe. At the CVAO, a five-state Berner impactor with a sampling rate of 75 l/min also equipped with a PM10 inlet was deployed for the collection of the aerosol particles. With the impactor system, the air is pumped through nozzles of defined diameter and particulate matter is deposited onto the impaction surface due to their inertia. The deployed Berner impactor has 5 stages with decreasing nozzle diameter stacked on top of each other enabling the collection of particles of different sizes on the impaction plates. The cut-off of the various stages (St.) was 50 - 140 nm, 140 - 420 nm, 420 - 1200 nm, 1200 - 3500 nm, 3.5 – 10 µm, corresponding to St. 1 to St. 5, respectively. The collector was mounted at the top of a 30 m tall tower and particles were collected on polycarbonate membranes placed beneath the nozzles of the respective impaction plates in a 24 h sampling routine for a period of 2 weeks in January 2017. During the sampling blank filters were collected by exposing the sampler to the ambient with no air sucked through the filters. This field blank sample collection procedure was done for both the Berner impactor and the DIGITEL sample collection.

2.5   Sample preparation

2.5.1   Bulk aerosol samples:

The quartz fiber filters from the DIGITEL were weighed before and after sampling to determine the aerosol particulate mass collected on the filters. After weighing the filters, three spots of 8 mm in diameter (ca. 2.00.5 cm² each) were punched out of the filters using a ceramic puncher. The punched-out filters were further cut into small pieces using ceramic scissors and placed into a 10 ml acid-cleaned Teflon bomb. A total of 1.5 ml of concentrated acids of HCl and HNO$_3$ in the ratio 1:3 was added into the digestion bomb which was then treated in a microwave for 2 h. The digestion was done with a high-pressure digestion device with 10 ml bombs (MARS 6, CEM GmbH, Kamp-Lintfort, Germany). The main objective of digestion was to be wash-off the particles at thefrom surface of the filters and have them in a homogenous solution which is representative of the sample that can be easily quantified. This is because typical filters are too thick to be directly analyzed by TXRF. After cooling, the solution was stirred and aliquots (50 µl) of the digested solution taken and pipetted onto polished TXRF quartz carrier (3 cm diameter and 3 mm thickness). The aliquots were pipetted in series of 25 µl each on a siliconized quartz glass

sample carrier to ensure confinement of the samples within a diameter of 1 cm for an effective excitation of the
195 sample. Ga + Y was added to the solution as internal standards for the quantification of the elements. The solution
was allowed to evaporate on a heating plate for 10 min at 80°C. For these measurements, quartz TXRF sample
carriers were used and their surfaces siliconized as previously described.~~due to the high abundance of silicon on
the filters~~. Blank samples were also analyzed with a similar procedure and the field samples were subsequently
blank corrected.

The elemental concentrations of blank filters from different batches (MK 360 Lot #, 3194, 3169, 3236, 910952,
200 2749219) were investigated using different digestion procedure. Three digestion procedures were tested including
nitric acid, reverse aqua regia ($3HNO_3$:1HCL) and $3HNO_3$:$1H_2O_2$ solution. They were applied to field samples to
evaluate the method with a higher recovery. In addition, the effect of pre-firing of the filters on their blank values
was performed.

2.5.2 Size-resolved samples

205 In a clean bench, a defined area (Ca. 0.5 cm²) of the polycarbonate ~~membranes~~ filters ~~from~~ collected with the five-
stage cascade impactor were cut out. The ~~cut-out filter area contained ~~according to the number of impaction spots
on the filters. For St. 1 to St. 5, filter pieces with~~ six, three, two, one, and one, impaction spots corresponding to
filters of St. 1 to St. 5~~were cut-out~~, respectively. The cut-off filter pieces were placed on polished Quartz carrier
substrates and spiked with 5 µl of concentrated nitric acid, to enable a planner film on the carrier surface. In
210 addition, Ga+Y was added as an internal standard onto the sample. However, quantification was done with Ga
while Y was used as a reference. The solution was allowed to evaporate on a heating plate for 10 min at 80°C and
after cooling, the sample was measured. A similar procedure was performed for field blank filters. To investigate
the effect of cold plasma treatment on the signal recovery, the prepared samples were measured before and after
cold plasma treatment. The samples were treated in a cold plasma asher (Technics Plasma GmbH, Kirchheim
215 Germany) operated at an oxygen pressure of 1 mbar using high purity oxygen (99.99%), at a microwave power of
up to 300 W for 4 h.

2.5.3 Cloud water samples

Cloud water samples were defrosted, and ~~2 x~~ 25 µl of the sample pipetted twice onto polished siliconized quartz
carriers. The samples were further spiked with the Ga+Y internal standard solution and allowed to evaporate on a
220 hot plate as explained above for the other samples. The dry carrier was, thereafter, transferred to the instrument
for measurements. The same procedure was carried out for field blanks.

2.5.4 Standards

Similar methods to those used on field samples were applied to the standard reference material~~ as was used on
field samples~~. The NIST SRM 8785 sample was prepared by microwave digestion with a similar procedure as the
225 bulk aerosol samples. The NIST 2783 SRM was prepared using the direct measurement procedure by punching-
out 0.5 cm² area of the filter and placing it on a quartz carrier and subsequent spiking with an internal standard,
and 5µl of concentrated nitric acid similar to the procedure used for the size-resolved samples. The multi-element
standards were directly pipetted onto the carriers and allowed to evaporate as was done with the cloud water

samples. The recoveries of the elements from the sample preparation methods were evaluated by comparing the obtained values with the reference certified values.

2.6 Measurements

All samples were measured thrice using a measurement time of 500 s, after which, it was rotated at an angle of 90° and re-measured. The measurements at the different angles were to ensure that in the cases where the sample diameter is bigger than the recommended 10 mm, the rotation will enable the sample out of the center to be measured and as such improve the probability of analyzing the entire sample effectively. The maximum values of the measurements were then used for ~~further~~ subsequent analysis. Typically, for samples whose diameter are < 10 mm, there is a high reproducibility of the measurements and a coefficient of variability of less than 5% within a 95% confidence interval. However, for samples with larger areas on the carriers the variation can attain 20%. ~~In total,~~Typically about 18 elements or more were analyzed based on their abundance in the PM and cloud water field samples. Si was not considered in these measurements due to the utilization of quartz filters and sample carriers. The lower limit of detection for the given media was estimated from the reference samples according to Klockenkämper (Reinhold Klockenkämper, 2014), LLD = 3 C x $\sqrt{2N_{back}}/N_{net}$, where C, is the concentration of element in the blank sample, N_{net}, and, N_{back}, are the net and background count rate of the flourescent X-ray signal, respectively. The method detection limit (MDL) for the given matrices was estimated as 3·σ_{blank}, where σ_{blank} is the standard deviation of the element concentrations in the blank filter.

3 Results and discussions

3.1 Reference material

The ~~R~~reference materials NIST (SRM) were measured using the S2-PICOFOX and the values obtained were compared with the certified values provided by NIST. Figure 1 shows the plot of the determined trace metal concentrations in the standards and the certified values. The determined concentrations showed good agreement with the certified values within an 8% error margin indicating that measurements with the direct filter sample preparation method without microwave digestion is a reliable method for analyzing atmospheric particulate matter collected on thin polycarbonate filter membranes.

Similar results were obtained with the ~~CL~~PA multi-element standard solution revealing a good agreement between the measured and the certified values. The standard was prepared by direct pipetting of the solution on the quartz carrier surface. For elements such as Al, As, Ti, Cr, Cu, Mn, and Sb the certified concentrations were slightly higher than the measured values while for elements such as Ba, Ca, Co, K, Ni, Pb, Sr, and Zn the measured values were slightly higher than the certified values. As observed in Fig. 2, despite the variation obtained between the individual runs of the measurements, the measured mean values were often within 1% of the certified values. This result further confirms the strength of TXRF in quantifying trace elements in thin membranes and liquid samples, without further sample preparation also reported for other matrices (von Bohlen and Fernández-Ruiz, 2020).

Quartz filter was used as reference material for the microwave digestion method as described above. In principle, for analysis with TXRF, complete digestion of the filters is not essential. However, the resulting particles have to be fine and less than 10µm to avoid adsorption effects and ensure reliable measurements. Hence, for particulate matter collected on thick filter substrates dissolution of the particles is necessary prior to TXRF measurements. In

the absence of certified metal concentrations on quartz fiber filters, an 8 mm diameter area of a blank filter was punched out and spiked with 100 µl of the CPA multi-element standard. After allowing them to dry for 30 min at 70°C on a hot plate, they were transferred into PTFE digestion bombs and treated with reverse aqua regia solution in a microwave. The recoveries of the high-pressure digestion treatment are presented in Table 1. The recoveries ranged from 72 to 118% except for Al where the recovery was 55 %. The high recoveries were obtained for Ba, Pb, Mo and Ca while the lower recoveries were observed for As, Ti, Sb, Ag, and Se. This is most likely because signals of these elements overlapped with other elements of the standard solution leading to high uncertainties in their quantification. This was the case with Mo and S, Ca and Sb, Ti, and Ba as well as Pb and As. The low recovery of Al from the filter indicates that the digestion process could not completely extract the Al from the quartz filter. For the field samples, the values of Al was not adjusted with the percentage of recovery as the sample composition and adhesion of the particles on the filters are different, which could lead to an over estimation of the values. Contrary to other studies whereby Mo could not be determined with a Mo-anode TXRF instrument (Stosnach, 2005), the result from this CPA standard illustrates that by means of the L lines, Mo can be quantified within a given uncertainty with the S2-PICOFOX instrument. The recoveries of the other elements were above 90%. This indicates that the dissolution method can provide reliable results especially if all the particles are effectively dissolved or miscible in the solvent.

To compare the direct analysis method and the microwave digestion method, the SRM 2783 was prepared using both methods and measured using the S2 PICOFOX. As shown in Fig. 3, good agreement in the determined elements was observed between the samples prepared by both methods. For elements such as Ca, Fe and Al, the microwave digestion method revealed a higher recovery in comparison to the direct analytical method without digestion with a difference of about 8%. The differences between the two methods were much lower for the other elements ranging from 2 to 5%. Comparatively, the microwave digestion method, in general, revealed a higher recovery than the direct measurement method for particles on polycarbonate membranes with difference varying between 2 to 8% depending on the element. It is worth noting that, the SRM 2783 is an urban particulate matter reference containing a different mineralogical property to soil samples or sediments. Hence, the observed difference in some elements may vary when other particulate matter types are measured, and this should be taken note of when comparing the results of this study with other studies.

3.1.1 Filter blanks and method recovery

The blank values of both the polycarbonate membranes and the quartz fiber filters were determined and the lower limit of detection of the elements on these filters evaluated. Table 2 summarizes the absolute elemental blank concentrations of the filters as well as the lower limits of dection and the method detection limits. The blank concentrations of the elements observed in the filters ranged from 80 60 pg to 55 ng (ca. 0.1 to 111 26 ng/cm²) for the polycarbonate filter membranes and from 270 300 pg to 566 ng (ca. 0.5 3 to 441133 ng/cm²) for the quartz fiber filter. This value varied between the delivery batches. Despite the variation of the concentrations per delivery batch, the range of the concentrations was typically less than a factor of two for most of the elements. The method detection limit for the quartz filters was higher than that observed for the polycarbonate membranes. In comparison to detection limits and blank concentrations reported from other digestion procedures using combinations of HNO$_3$/HF for ICP-MS measurements (Upadhyay et al., 2009), and XRF (Okuda et al., 2013;Steinhoff et al.,

305 2000;Itoh et al., 2018) analysis, the results from this method show lower detection limits for elements such as Ca, Zn, Fe, Ti, but higher limits for Sr. For Al and Co, the blank concentrations using the methods of this study were below instrument detection limit. Comparatively to digestion procedures without HF, the application of HF (especially for analysis with ICP-MS technique) leads to an increase of the blank for elements such as Ca, Ti, Fe, which are partly embedded into the filter matrix and readily dissolved by HF, yielding to higher blanks for such

310 elements as ~~reported~~ observed elsewhere (Upadhyay et al., 2009).

The differences between these studies may also be due to the use of the different filter material used the various studies. However, the use of HF has often been associated with high blank values for quartz filters- (Buck and Paytan, 2012;Cullen and Sherrell, 1999). This observation shows the advantages of applying TXRF for samples with low elemental concentrations using quartz filters especially as complete filter digestion is not required.

315 However, for both this study and other reported studies, Ca, K and Zn showed relatively higher blanks for quartz filters in comparison to the other elements. This suggests that quartz filters can be used in situations where higher concentrations of these elements especially, Fe, K, Zn and Ca are expected.

The effect of the ~~backing~~baking of filters at higher temperatures revealed a decrease in the blank values. Three batches of filters were backed at 100 °C for 24 h, digested in reverse agua regia solution and 10 µl aliquots analyzed

320 for their blanks. As shown in Table 3, the blank values showed a decrease in concentrations for elements such as Sb, Ca, V, Sr, Mn, Zn and Ti of more than 20%. Elements such as K, Pb, Ni and Ba, showed the lowest decrease of less than 5% while Fe, Cr, As, and Cu showed no decrease while Se showed an increase. This signifies that high-temperature ~~backing~~baking is a useful step in reducing also the background concentrations of some elements in quartz fiber filters especially when used in remote regions. Despite the lower blanks due to ~~backing~~baking, the

325 blank values of most of the elements were on average still higher than those in the polycarbonate filters, thereby, confirming the advantages of polycarbonate filters over quartz.

Table 4 summarizes the comparison between different acid digestion procedures on filter blank concentrations and the recovery of the elements on 3 field samples from the AM5 site. Three digestion methods; A (3:1 HNO_3: H_2O_2), B (HNO_3) and C(1:3, HCl: HNO_3) were applied to these filters. Averagely, lower blank concentrations were

330 observed using method B, followed by C and A. Using method C, a higher recovery in comparison to the other methods (A and B) was observed. For most elements, the difference ranged between 5% to 60%. This difference is likely related to the efficiency of the solution to clean the filters surface effectively and get a homogeneous mixture of the particles in the solution. Similar to other studies (Zhao et al., 2015;Dehghani et al., 2018) we observe that although the inverse aqua regia solution does not provide the lowest blank values for the majority of the

335 elements as nitric acid digestion, the recovery of the elements under the digestion protocol is significantly higher. This indicates that reverse aqua regia solution is more suitable for the dissolution of quartz filters for TXRF measurements.

3.1.2   Comparison of TXRF and ion chromatography data

Ca and K were analyzed with both the TXRF and the IC. Particulate matter on quartz fiber filters was dissolved in

340 high purity deionized water through sonification and subsequent shaking for 1 h and the solution filtered through a 0.45 µm syringe filter to get rid of colloidal particles that could eventually block the chromatographic columns. Aliquots of the filtrates were analyzed for the soluble Ca and K content using both techniques. Figure 4 shows the comparison between the obtained values from both instruments. Within a 95 % confidence interval, a good

agreement was observed between the values from these instruments with an r² > 0.86. For both elements, slightly
higher concentrations were observed with the IC with an average bias of about 7 % in comparison to the TXRF.
As these are different measurement techniques with differences in detection and quantification procedures, one
reason for this discrepancy could lie in the quantification uncertainties relating to the separation of neighboring
peaks such as Ca (K-line: 3.692 keV), and Sb (L-line: 3.604 keV) and in the evaluation of the background signals
of both instruments. Differences of up to 8 % were observed between IC and TXRF for Cl in nuclear fuel samples
(Dhara et al. (2012). Despite such matrix specific differences, the regressions indicate satisfactory linearity
between the two techniques and high compatibility of the TXRF with other techniques when quantifying
particulate matter elemental composition.

3.1.3   Effect of plasma ashing

Table 5 summarizes the effect of cold plasma ashing of polycarbonate samples before and after treatment. For Ca,
Sr, Cr, V, Ni, Pb, Mn, and Al no significant difference was observed while for Fe, K, Ti, Cu, Rb, Mo differences
of less than 5% were observed. As, Se, Ba, Zn, and Co showed differences between 7 and 13% while Sb difference
was about 30%. In general, the effect of plasma treatment was not significant for most of the elements for the
samples collected at the CVAO. Hence, subsequent sample preparation of polycarbonate samples of size-resolved
particulate matter samples was carried out without plasma treatment. This reduces analytical time and saves costs.
It is, however, worth to note that although this procedure did not significantly increase trace metal concentrations
for all the elements, for samples with high organic matter content this procedure can be useful to decrease
background noise and improve the signal to noise ratio and analytical sensitivity. This has been shown to be
effective on biological samples (Woelfl et al. 2003) or when aerosol particles are directly collected on organic
pastes (Prost et al. 2017).

3.2   Field samples

3.2.1   Bulk aerosol samples

Particulate matter PM10 samples collected at the AM5 station and measured with TXRF with the Mo-excitation
anode were analyzed for their metal elements (Figure 5)TXRF spectrum of a bulk PM10 aerosol sample indicating
some elements that were identified in the sample with the PICOFOX instrument. Figure 6 shows Bbox plots of the
identified particulate matter trace metal concentrations at the AM5 station during August and early September
2017 are shown in figure 6. The axis separates the elements according to their abundance with elements of lower
concentrations on the left in ng/m³ and those with higher values on the right in µg/m³. In detail, Ca, was the most
abundant element with a median concentration of 2.3 µg/m³ followed by Al (1.1 µg/m³), Fe (1.0 µg/m³), and K
(0.5 µg/m³). The least abundant elements were Co (1.5 ng/m³), Cu (2.4 ng/m³), Ni (3.2 ng/m³), and Pb (4.4 ng/m³).
These values did not vary significantly between daytime and nighttime measurements (Table 6). Indeed, the
variation of the trace metalselemental concentrations between daytime and nighttime sampling samples was often
less than 5% as illustrated in Table 6. Elements such as Pb, V, Ni, and Cu, showed an increase during the nighttime
measurements in comparison to the daytime measurements. This indicates that these elements could have had a
small nighttime source due to long-range transport from the nearby cities and due to the changing air mass inflow
to the mountains at nighttime. Ca, Al, Ti, K, and Fe were higher during the daytime which was coincidental as

most dust events were stronger during daytime than nighttime. The elements showed in general strong variation during the investigated sampling period with a wide range of concentrations observed as revealed by their broad concentration ranges indicated by the edges of the whisker. In comparison to concentrations reported for different locations in Tunis, Tunisia (Kchih et al., 2015), the values in this study were similar for elements such as K, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, V and Zn. They observed higher values for Ca (<10µg/m³), and Pb (< 2µg/m³) and lower values for Fe (<0.7 µg/m3), Al (<0.6 µg/m³), and Ti (0.08µg/m³). The observed AM5 concentrations of most elements were higher than those observed at other regional background regions in Southern Africa and Europe. For elements such as Ca, Al, Fe, and Zn the concentrations in this study were higher than those reported for similar background regions in Welgegung, South Africa (Venter et al., 2017) and in Puy de Dôme, France (Vlastelic et al., 2014). Venter et al. (2017) observed mean concentrations of 1.1 µg/m³ Ca, 0.17 µg/m³ Al, 1.2 µg/m³ Fe, and 0.05 µg/m³ for Zn while (Vlastelic et al., 2014) observed at the Puy de Dôme mountain site, mean concentrations of 115 ng/m^3 Al, 62 ng/m^3 Fe, and 9.4 ng/m^3 Zn. For elements such as Ni, Cu, lower concentrations were observed at AM5 (4.4, 3.0 ng/m³), respectively, in comparison to Welgegung (7.9, 6.9 ng/m³) and Puy de Dôme (1.9, 5.8 ng/m³). Sb concentration in this study were higher than at Welgegung and Puy de Dôme sites but lower than those observed at other urban sites (Cheng et al., 2000). This could be partly due to interference of Sb signal with the high Ca signal in the samples as well as the different sources in the respective regions effect of long range transport from nearby cities. The higher values observed in this study are mainly due to the influence of Saharan dust during the sampling period. The particulate matter mass concentration during the sampling period was up to 145 µg/m³.,. Based on the reddish-brown color of the filters, the predominant south-west winds, and back trajectory information, this high concentrations were indicative of indicating a strong influence of Saharan dust. However, the values of this study are within reported range for mineral dust dominated particulate matter (Cardoso et al., 2018;Patey et al., 2015) .

The temporal variation of some elements during the investigated period are depicted in Fig. 7. Strong concentration fluctuations were observed for the elements especially as shown in Fig.7 for Ca, Al, Fe, K and Ti. The highest concentration of Ca, Al Fe, were observed on the 12.08.2017 and 13.08.2017 while the lowest concentrations were observed on 17.08.2017 and 21.08.2017. For other elements such as Cu, Zn, and Sb, the 12.08.2017 and 13.08.2017 were the day with the highest concentrations while and the 21.06.2017 and 26.08.2017 were the days with the highest and lowest concentrations, respectively. Air mass trajectory investigations indicated that on the 12.08.2017 and 13.08.2017 during these days, air mass from the Saharan desert passing through urban cities arrived at the AM5 site, while on the 21.08.2017 and 26.08.2017 the air masses mainly originated from crossed over the cities without passing over the Sahara little influence of Saharan dust. These differences indicated the strong variation observed in the air mass inflow at the remote mountainous site. Elements such as Ca, Al, Ti, Fe showed a similar temporal variation of their concentrations likewise Cu, and Zn especially during the period of intense dust influence while Ca variation was different after the dust event, indicating other source influence on its concentration. The similarity amongst the other elements are indicative of their similar origins either relating to their emission sources or their air mass inflow characteristics.

Typically, Ca, Al, Ti, and Fe are elements associated with high crustal abundance, which will indicate a strong crustal matter influence at the AM5 site during the investigated period. Cu, Zn, and Sb are elements typical of traffic, industrial emissions as well as waste incineration (Zhu et al., 2019).

3.2.2 Size resolved aerosol measurements

Table 6 7 shows the size-resolved elemental concentrations of aerosol particles observed at the CVAO during 2 weeks of measurements in January 2017. The concentration of each size fraction including the minimum, maximum, mean and standard deviation of the elements as well as their total PM10 concentrations are presented. Al was the most abundant element in the PM10 particles with a median mean concentration of 0.55 94 µg/m³ followed by Fe (0.36 82 µg/m³), and Ca (0.40µg 60µg/m³). Cr (1.4 ng/m³), Co (0.8 ng/m³), Cu (0.6 ng/m³), and Se (0.6 ng/m³) were the least abundant elements. The difference between the least and the most abundant elements was over 3 orders of magnitude indicating a strong variation in source regions and inter-daily meteorological influence on the ambient aerosol particle load at the CVAO during the investigated period.

The observed concentrations were found to be within the range of concentrations previously observed in this region, especially for PM10 elemental concentrations. Cardoso et al. (2018) observed yearly average Fe and Ca concentrations of 1.8 µg/m³ and 1.4 µg/m³ in Praia, Carbo Verde while Patey et al. (Patey et al., 2015) observed yearly mean Al concentrations of 0.76 µg/m³ at the CVAO. The differences observed between the present study and the literature values are based on the different measurement periods, yearly in comparison to the 2 weeks measurement and the different seasons of measurement, especially as seasonal trends can markedly affect particulate matter concentrations. However, for the least abundant elements, their concentration ranges were higher than those reported for remote marine conditions at the CVAO. This indicates that, during the investigated period, long-range transported air masses significantly influenced the particulate matter elemental composition. In comparison to other continental measurements, the values were similar to those observed in Wien (Austria) for Ca (<231 ng/m³), Cu (< 3.7 ng/m³), Ni < (1.6 ng/m³) (Prost et al., 2017).

Generally, AM5 elemental concentrations were higher than those observed at the CVA despite the indications that long-range transport of continental air masses influenced the ambient aerosol composition during the period of investigation at the CVAO. The differences observed at these stations are related to the differences in their location and hence, their different exposure to air masses of varying origins. While the CVAO is a coastal remote site, constantly influenced by oceanic and ship emissions, the AM5 is a mountainous site, influenced strongly by crustal matter resuspension from the neighboring agricultural fields and the Saharan desert. As such, the variability in the elemental concentrations in these regions depends on the prevailing winds at the period of sampling as well as the local meteorological conditions. This could explain the differences in the concentrations observed at these two sites despite the influence of mineral dust in their elemental compositions.

Figure 8 shows an overview of the size distribution of given elements observed at the CVAO during the sampling period. Ca, Fe, Al, Si, Ti, Mn, Rb, Sr, were more abundant in the coarse mode particles (i.e. St. 3 to St. 5), while elements such as Ni, Pb, V, Pb, Zn were more abundant in the fine mode particles (i.e. St. 1 to St. 3). These differences in the size distribution indicate their different emission sources and likely different transport patterns.

3.2.3 Method evaluation and limitations

The presented methods for extracting PM from polycarbonate and quartz fiber filters show satisfactory reproducibility on reference materials, however, for quartz fiber filters it is difficult to establish the recovery of the particles on every aerosol type. Our experience shows that using the reverse aqua regia solution for digestion and washing-off of urban particulate matter from the quartz surface, a clean filter is observed with very negligible particulate matter rest remaining on the filter. Similar observations were made also on filters that were dominated

by mineral dust particulate matter mixed with soot particles. However, for field samples that have different properties from the SRM samples, the recovery of the elements via this method is difficult to estimate as the adhesion of the particles to the surface may differ. We, however, recommend that for such samples, a series of tests be done to establish typical recoveries of the required elements to improve ~~on~~ their quantification in the given matrix. As observed in Table 2, ~~using the digestion method,~~ the limit MDL with the digestion method is low for most elements in the blank filters except for Ca and Zn in the polycarbonate membrane, and Ca, Zn, and K for the quartz fiber filters. Thus, if this method has to be applied to particulate matter elemental analysis, the expected concentrations should be higher for the given elements. Typically, polycarbonate and Teflon filters are more suitable for trace metal analysis as they could be digested without risk of high blank values. Nevertheless, as mentioned above, the utilization of quartz fiber filters has its merits as it reduces sampling cost and monitoring cost especially if the metals of interest are within the concentration ranges that are far higher than the filter blanks. A general limitation of the PICOFOX instrument with the single Mo-anode is that heavy metals with (Z>43) can only be determined through their L-lines, which leads to overlapping of their signals with the K-lines of the lighter elements. This leads to higher uncertainties in the quantification of such elements especially when the element overlaps with other main matrix elements with high concentrations and broad peaks such as Cl, Ca, K, Ti, and S, in mineral dust samples. This is the case for elements such as Ru, Sb, Sn, Ba, and Mo, respectively, as observed with the recoveries of the CPA standards after filter digestion. When analyzing particulate matter samples from marine origin with high Cl and Ca concentrations, care must be taken in the quantification of Ru and Sb. It is worth diluting the samples to evaluate the presence of these elements, especially as the deconvolution procedure may falsely attribute residual Cl or Ca signals to these elements due to their closeness. If the dilution does not lead to a proportionate signal, it is an indication of quantification error from the signal processing software. Despite these limitations, for investigations with limited sample material as it is the case with particulate matter samples, TXRF is quite sensitive and suitable for such analysis. As shown with the elemental content of size-resolved aerosol samples with particle sizes < 140 nm, as well as those between 140 - 420 nm, the analytical errors that can be encountered using filter digestion methods for such few microgram sample mass, are typically too high in comparison to those obtained with TXRF direct measurement method. This indeed illustrates the merit of this technique for ambient elemental particulate matter analysis.

3.2.4   Cloud water samples

The results of the determined elemental concentrations in 5 cloud water samples collected at the AM5 station during discrete cloud events are summarized in Table ~~7~~8. Similar to the aerosol particle concentrations observed above, Ca (~~378~~ 379 µg/l), and K (~~330~~ 331 µg/l) were the most abundant elements followed by ~~P (136 µg/l),~~ Zn (17 µl/l), Ba (15 µg/l) and Fe (~~17.9~~5.1 µg/l). Rb (0.4~~1~~ µg/l), Cr (0.~~25~~3 µg/l), Se (0.2 µg/l) and Pb (0.~~09~~1 µg/l) were the least abundant elements. The observed concentrations and ranges of these elements are comparable to those reported ~~elemental concentrations in~~ for cloud water elsewhere. Bianco et al. (2017) reported similar concentration ranges for Zn (3.32-130 µg/l), Ti (<12.89 µg/l), Fe(<54.9 µg/l), As (<0.42 µg/l), Sb (0.02 – 0.42 µg/l), Cu (<55 µg/l) in cloud water samples collected over a contracted time period over two years at the Puy-de-Dome in France. Despite the higher number of samples that encompassed different cloud origin and formation processes in their study in comparison to the samples in this study, the similarity in the concentration range is significant. In comparison to cloud water concentrations observed in the Thuringia forest at Mount (Mt.)

Schmücke in Germany, the values of this study were generally lower, except for V whose concentration was higher (mean ~~1.~~5 0.8 µg/l, Thuringia forest 0.7~~1~~ µg/l) in comparison to values observed at the Thuringia forest (Fomba et al., 2015). Similarly the concentrations were lower than those observed at Mt. Elden in Arizona (Hutchings et al., 2009). Despite the differences in the ~~median and~~ mean concentrations, ~~observed between this study and other reported results elsewhere,~~ the reported concentrations of this study are within the range of concentrations observed in other regions in Europe and the USA. The differences in the absolute values are related to the different sample collection locations. In comparison to measurements performed in regions in China, the trace metal concentrations in this study was significantly lower. Liu et al., (2012), reported concentrations of Zn (249.1 µg/l), Mn (42.84 µg/l), Fe (108.8 µg/l), Pb (46.2 µg/l) at Mt. Tai which are more than an order of magnitude higher than those at the Atlas mountain. Similar high concentrations were also reported at Mt. Heng in china (Li et al., 2017), Zn (224.6 µg/l), Pb (100.5 µl), As (19.9 µg/l) indicating a strong contrast in the trace metal levels in cloud water in Northern Africa mountain regions as compared to more polluted regions in China.

3.3 Crustal matter contribution to element abundance

Enrichment factor (EF) analysis was performed to evaluate the contribution of crustal matter to the trace metal levels. Ti was used as the reference element for crustal matter as it had a higher recovery to Al and has been used in many studies as its anthropogenic sources are few (Shelley et al., 2015;Buck et al., 2019). The EF for an element Z, is defined as (Z/Ti) aerosol/ (Z/Ti) soil. For the soil reference concentrations, the average upper continental crust values (Wedepohl, 1995) were used.

Figure 9 shows the average enrichment factors for the elements at the AM5 and the CVAO sites. From both stations, Al, Fe, Mn, Ca, K, Sr, Ba, Rb, were dominated from crustal sources. Their EF were within those of the reference upper continental crust values. Sb, As, Mo Se, showed high enrichment especially at the CVAO site in both the fine and coarse mode fraction. This indicates their non-crustal and probable anthropogenic sources. Mo and Se are emitted from oceanic emissions as well as coal combustion (Cho and Wu, 2004;Weller et al., 2008), while Sb is often used as a brake lubricant and hence often emitted from traffic activities (Pant and Harrison, 2013;He et al., 2012). These elements are also sourced from coal combustion (Pan et al., 2013;Tian et al., 2014). The other elements showed low enrichment indicating a combination of crustal and anthropogenic sources contributing to their emissions. These elements included Ni, V, Co, Cr, Cu, Zn, Pb.

Using Pearson's correlation analysis groups of elements could be identified. Fe, Mn, Ti, K, Al, showed a good correlation amongst each other with r > 0.91 within a 95% confidence interval, confirming that these elements were mostly of crustal origin. Ca, Cu, Cr, Ni, V, Pb also revealed satisfactory correlation amongst themselves although weaker in comparison to the crustal metal groups with r > 0.7) within a 90% confidence interval. This group of elements is indicative of the presence of road and urban dust, originating from resuspension of nearby roadside particles containing non-exhaust and exhaust cars emissions (Milando et al., 2016) or dust from construction sites which are common in the nearby cities close to the AM5 sites. Pb, V, Ni are often emitted from fuel and oil combustion (Pacyna et al., 2007) while Zn, Cr, Cu are emitted from traffic activities relating to tire and brake wear, as well as metallurgical industries and waste incineration (Font et al., 2015;Harrison et al., 2012). As these sites are located in remote regions, far away from local contamination, trace metals at these sites are as a result of long-range transport from the continent over the oceans to the CVAO or from neighboring cities to the Atlas mountain.

4   Conclusion

TXRF has been investigated for its appropriateness in determining trace metal concentrations in particulate matter sampled on quartz and polycarbonate substrates as well as on cloud water samples. Methods for determining the
540   elemental concentrations in these matrices were developed and tested on commercial standard reference material. The test results showed good agreement between determined values and commercially certified values obtained from different techniques. Extraction procedures for the preparation of particulate matter collected on membranes, quartz fiber filters using microwave digestion were assessed, and the evaluated concentrations from this method were compared with those obtained from direct measurements without sample digestion procedure. The results
545   showed good agreement between the results obtained from both methods. It was observed that the pre-firing of quartz filters reduces the blank concentrations of some elements and that reverse aqua regia digestion provided comparatively lower blanks but higher recovery when applied to field samples. This indicated its effectiveness in cleaning aerosol particles from quartz filter surfaces. Despite its high sensitivity, care should be taken when performing aerosol multielement analysis with TXRF as overlapping of signals between K lines of light elements
550   and L lines of heavier elements may lead to faulty conclusions. The methods were applied successfully to field samples of particulate matter and cloud water collected at different regions in Morocco and Cape Verde. The determined elemental concentrations obtained from these samples (both size-resolved and bulk particulate matter) and cloud water samples were similar to those reported elsewhere. The determined trace metals such as Fe, Ca, Al, Ti, Mn showed strong correlation amongst themselves indicating their common crustal origin. The results
555   indicate that TXRF is a useful and complementary tool for determining elemental concentrations in the fine and coarse particulate matter as well as in cloud water.

Data availability. All data will be made available upon request by the authors.

560   *Author Contributions.* AM, HH, RCM, MH, and SH, designed the experiment at the AM5 station while, IO, EE collected the samples at the AM5 site. SIB, performed the TXRF measurements for the particles while ND, carried out the cloud water and bulk aerosol sampling at the AM5 site, performed the TXRF investigations and analysis, and assembled the data. HH and KWF designed the experiment at the CVAO. KWF compiled the analysis and prepared the manuscript. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript and contributed to the discussion.

565   *Competing interests*. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Luis Neves for assistance in sample collection at the CVAO. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 690958 and the German Research Foundation (DFG) project PHOSDMAP (GZ: FO1106/2-1) for
570   financial assistance. The authors would also acknowledge the support of Mrs. Julia Wilk and Cornelia Pielok for their support with the trace metal analysis.

References

Bennun, L., and Sanhueza, V.: A Procedure for the Improvement in the Determination of a TXRF Spectrometer Sensitivity Curve, Anal Sci, 26, 331-335, DOI 10.2116/analsci.26.331, 2010.

Bianco, A., Vaitilingom, M., Bridoux, M., Chaumerliac, N., Pichon, J. M., Piro, J. L., and Deguillaume, L.: Trace Metals in Cloud Water Sampled at the Puy De Dome Station, Atmosphere-Basel, 8, ARTN 225 10.3390/atmos8110225, 2017.

Bilo, F., Borgese, L., Cazzago, D., Zacco, A., Bontempi, E., Guarneri, R., Bernardello, M., Attuati, S., Lazo, P., and Depero, L. E.: TXRF analysis of soils and sediments to assess environmental contamination, Environmental science and pollution research international, 21, 13208-13214, 10.1007/s11356-013-2203-y, 2014.

Bilo, F., Borgese, L., Wambui, A., Assi, A., Zacco, A., Federici, S., Eichert, D. M., Tsuji, K., Lucchini, R. G., Placidi, D., Bontempi, E., and Depero, L. E.: Comparison of multiple X-ray fluorescence techniques for elemental analysis of particulate matter collected on air filters, J Aerosol Sci, 122, 1-10, 10.1016/j.jaerosci.2018.05.003, 2018.

Buck, C. S., and Paytan, A.: Evaluation of commonly used filter substrates for the measurement of aerosol trace element solubility, Limnol Oceanogr-Meth, 10, 790-806, 10.4319/lom.2012.10.790, 2012.

Buck, C. S., Aguilar-Islas, A., Marsay, C., Kadko, D., and Landing, W. M.: Trace element concentrations, elemental ratios, and enrichment factors observed in aerosol samples collected during the US GEOTRACES eastern Pacific Ocean transect (GP16), Chem Geol, 511, 212-224, 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2019.01.002, 2019.

Cardoso, J., Almeida, S. M., Nunes, T., Almeida-Silva, M., Cerqueira, M., Alves, C., Rocha, F., Chaves, P., Reis, M., Salvador, P., Artinano, B., and Pio, C.: Source apportionment of atmospheric aerosol in a marine dusty environment by ionic/composition mass balance (IMB), Atmos Chem Phys, 18, 13215-13230, 10.5194/acp-18-13215-2018, 2018.

Cheng, Z. L., Lam, K. S., Chan, L. Y., Wang, T., and Cheng, K. K.: Chemical characteristics of aerosols at coastal station in Hong Kong. I. Seasonal variation of major ions, halogens and mineral dusts between 1995 and 1996, Atmos Environ, 34, 2771-2783, Doi 10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00343-X, 2000.

Cherif, S., Millet, M., Sanusi, A., Herckes, P., and Wortham, H.: Protocol for analysis of trace metals and other ions in filtered and unfiltered fogwater, Environ Pollut, 103, 301-308, Doi 10.1016/S0269-7491(98)00108-0, 1998.

Cho, K., and Wu, C. Y.: Control of molybdenum emission by sorbents: Equilibrium analysis, J Environ Eng, 130, 201-204, 10.1061/(Asce)0733-9372(2004)130:2(201), 2004.

Cullen, J. T., and Sherrell, R. M.: Techniques for determination of trace metals in small samples of size-fractionated particulate matter: phytoplankton metals off central California, Mar Chem, 67, 233-247, Doi 10.1016/S0304-4203(99)00060-2, 1999.

De La Calle, I., Cabaleiro, N., Romero, V., Lavilla, I., and Bendicho, C.: Sample pretreatment strategies for total reflection X-ray fluorescence analysis: A tutorial review, Spectrochim Acta B, 90, 23-54, 10.1016/j.sab.2013.10.001, 2013.

Deguillaume, L., Leriche, M., Desboeufs, K., Mailhot, G., George, C., and Chaumerliac, N.: Transition metals in atmospheric liquid phases: Sources, reactivity, and sensitive parameters, Chem Rev, 105, 3388-3431, Doi 10.1021/Cr040649c, 2005.

Dehghani, S., Moore, F., Vasiluk, L., and Hale, B. A.: The geochemical fingerprinting of geogenic particles in road deposited dust from Tehran metropolis, Iran: Implications for provenance tracking, J Geochem Explor, 190, 411-423, 10.1016/j.gexplo.2018.04.011, 2018.

Demoz, B. B., Collett, J. L., and Daube, B. C.: On the Caltech Active Strand Cloudwater Collectors, Atmos Res, 41, 47-62, Doi 10.1016/0169-8095(95)00044-5, 1996.

Dhara, S., Misra, N. L., Thakur, U. K., Shah, D., Sawant, R. M., Ramakumar, K. L., and Aggarwal, S. K.: A total reflection X-ray fluorescence method for the determination of chlorine at trace levels in nuclear materials without sample dissolution, X-Ray Spectrom, 41, 316-320, 10.1002/xrs.2400, 2012.

Ervens, B.: Modeling the Processing of Aerosol and Trace Gases in Clouds and Fogs, Chem Rev, 115, 4157-4198, 10.1021/cr5005887, 2015.

Fomba, K. W., van Pinxteren, D., Muller, K., Iinuma, Y., Lee, T., Collett, J. L., and Herrmann, H.: Trace metal characterization of aerosol particles and cloud water during HCCT 2010, Atmos Chem Phys, 15, 8751-8765, 10.5194/acp-15-8751-2015, 2015.

Font, A., de Hoogh, K., Leal-Sanchez, M., Ashworth, D. C., Brown, R. J. C., Hansell, A. L., and Fuller, G. W.: Using metal ratios to detect emissions from municipal waste incinerators in ambient air pollution data, Atmos Environ, 113, 177-186, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.05.002, 2015.

Harris, E., Sinha, B., van Pinxteren, D., Tilgner, A., Fomba, K. W., Schneider, J., Roth, A., Gnauk, T., Fahlbusch, B., Mertes, S., Lee, T., Collett, J., Foley, S., Borrmann, S., Hoppe, P., and Herrmann, H.: Enhanced Role of Transition Metal Ion Catalysis During In-Cloud Oxidation of SO2, Science, 340, 727-730, DOI 10.1126/science.1230911, 2013.

Harrison, R. M., Jones, A. M., Gietl, J., Yin, J. X., and Green, D. C.: Estimation of the Contributions of Brake Dust, Tire Wear, and Resuspension to Nonexhaust Traffic Particles Derived from Atmospheric Measurements, Environ Sci Technol, 46, 6523-6529, 10.1021/es300894r, 2012.

635   He, M. C., Wang, X. Q., Wu, F. C., and Fu, Z. Y.: Antimony pollution in China, Sci Total Environ, 421, 41-50, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.06.009, 2012.

Herrmann, H., Schaefer, T., Tilgner, A., Styler, S. A., Weller, C., Teich, M., and Otto, T.: Tropospheric Aqueous-Phase Chemistry: Kinetics, Mechanisms, and Its Coupling to a Changing Gas Phase, Chem Rev, 115, 4259-4334, 10.1021/cr500447k, 2015.

640   Hutchings, J. W., Robinson, M. S., McIlwraith, H., Triplett Kingston, J., and Herckes, P.: The Chemistry of Intercepted Clouds in Northern Arizona during the North American Monsoon Season, Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 199, 191-202, 10.1007/s11270-008-9871-0, 2009.

Itoh, A., Yoshito Oshiro, Sotaro Azechi, Yuka Somada, Daishi Handa, Yoichi Miyagi, Kazuhiko Nakano, Akira Tanahara, and Arakaki, T.: Long-term Monitoring of Metal Elements in Total Suspended Particle Aerosols

645   Simultaneously Collected at Three Islands in Okinawa, Japan, Asian Journal of Atmospheric Environment, 12, 326-337, https://doi.org/10.5572/ajae.2018.12.4.326, 2018.

Kchih, H., Perrino, C., and Cherif, S.: Investigation of Desert Dust Contribution to Source Apportionment of PM10 and PM2.5 from a Southern Mediterranean Coast, Aerosol Air Qual Res, 15, 454-464, 10.4209/aaqr.2014.10.0255, 2015.

650   Klockenkamper, R., and von Bohlen, A.: Worldwide distribution of Total Reflection X-ray Fluorescence instrumentation and its different fields of application: A survey, Spectrochim Acta B, 99, 133-137, 10.1016/j.sab.2014.06.010, 2014.

Li, T., Wang, Y., Zhou, J., Wang, T., Ding, A. J., Nie, W., Xue, L. K., Wang, X. F., and Wang, W. X.: Evolution of trace elements in the planetary boundary layer in southern China: Effects of dust storms and aerosol-cloud

655   interactions, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 122, 3492-3506, 10.1002/2016jd025541, 2017.

Liu, X. H., Wai, K. M., Wang, Y., Zhou, J., Li, P. H., Guo, J., Xu, P. J., and Wang, W. X.: Evaluation of trace elements contamination in cloud/fog water at an elevated mountain site in Northern China, Chemosphere, 88, 531-541, 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.02.015, 2012.

Losno, R.: Trace metals acting as catalysts in a marine cloud: a box model study, Phys Chem Earth Pt B, 24,

660   281-286, Doi 10.1016/S1464-1909(98)00051-3, 1999.

Ma, C. J., Tohno, S., Kasahara, M., and Hayakawa, S.: Determination of the chemical properties of residues retained in individual cloud droplets by XRF microprobe at SPring-8, Nucl Instrum Meth B, 217, 657-665, 10.1016/j.nimb.2003.12.042, 2004.

Milando, C., Huang, L., and Batterman, S.: Trends in PM2.5 emissions, concentrations and apportionments in

665   Detroit and Chicago, Atmos Environ, 129, 197-209, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.01.012, 2016.

Miller, A. J., Raduma, D. M., George, L. A., and Fry, J. L.: Source apportionment of trace elements and black carbon in an urban industrial area (Portland, Oregon), Atmos Pollut Res, 10, 784-794, 10.1016/j.apr.2018.12.006, 2019.

Motellier, S., Lhaute, K., Guiot, A., Golanski, L., Geoffroy, C., and Tardif, F.: Direct quantification of airborne

670   nanoparticles composition by TXRF after collection on filters, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 304, 012009, 10.1088/1742-6596/304/1/012009, 2011.

Okuda, T., Fujimori, E., Hatoya, K., Takada, H., Kumata, H., Nakajima, F., Hatakeyama, S., Uchida, M., Tanaka, S., He, K. B., Ma, Y. L., and Haraguchi, H.: Rapid and Simple Determination of Multi-Elements in Aerosol Samples Collected on Quartz Fiber Filters by Using EDXRF Coupled with Fundamental Parameter

675   Quantification Technique, Aerosol Air Qual Res, 13, 1864-1876, 10.4209/aaqr.2012.11.0308, 2013.

Pacyna, E. G., Pacyna, J. M., Fudala, J., Strzelecka-Jastrzab, E., Hlawiczka, S., Panasiuk, D., Nitter, S., Pregger, T., Pfeiffer, H., and Friedrich, R.: Current and future emissions of selected heavy metals to the atmosphere from anthropogenic sources in Europe, Atmos Environ, 41, 8557-8566, DOI 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.07.040, 2007.

Pan, Y. P., Wang, Y. S., Sun, Y., Tian, S. L., and Cheng, M. T.: Size-resolved aerosol trace elements at a rural

680   mountainous site in Northern China: Importance of regional transport, Sci Total Environ, 461, 761-771, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.04.065, 2013.

Pant, P., and Harrison, R. M.: Estimation of the contribution of road traffic emissions to particulate matter concentrations from field measurements: A review, Atmos Environ, 77, 78-97, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.04.028, 2013.

685   Passananti, M., Vinatier, V., Delort, A. M., Mailhot, G., and Brigante, M.: Siderophores in Cloud Waters and Potential Impact on Atmospheric Chemistry: Photoreactivity of Iron Complexes under Sun-Simulated Conditions, Environ Sci Technol, 50, 9324-9332, 10.1021/acs.est.6b02338, 2016.

Patey, M. D., Achterberg, E. P., Rijkenberg, M. J., and Pearce, R.: Aerosol time-series measurements over the tropical Northeast Atlantic Ocean: Dust sources, elemental composition and mineralogy, Mar Chem, 174, 103-

690   119, 10.1016/j.marchem.2015.06.004, 2015.

Prost, J., Wobrauschek, P., and Streli, C.: Quantitative total reflection X-ray fluorescence analysis of directly collected aerosol samples, X-Ray Spectrum, 46, 454-460, 10.1002/xrs.2752, 2017.

Reinhold Klockenkämper, A. v. B.: Principles of Total Reflection XRF, in: Total-Reflection X-Ray Fluorescence Analysis and Related Methods, John Wiley & Sons, 79-125, 2014.

695   Shelley, R. U., Morton, P. L., and Landing, W. M.: Elemental ratios and enrichment factors in aerosols from the US-GEOTRMES North Atlantic transects, Deep-Sea Res Pt Ii, 116, 262-272, 10.1016/j.dsr2.2014.12.005, 2015.
Steinhoff, G., Haupt, O., and Dannecker, W.: Fast determination of trace elements on aerosol-loaded filters by X-ray fluorescence analysis considering the inhomogeneous elemental distribution, Fresen J Anal Chem, 366, 174-177, DOI 10.1007/s002160050034, 2000.

700   Stosnach, H.: Environmental trace-element analysis using a benchtop total reflection X-ray, fluorescence spectrometer, Anal Sci, 21, 873-876, DOI 10.2116/analsci.21.873, 2005.
Tian, H. Z., Zhou, J. R., Zhu, C. Y., Zhao, D., Gao, J. J., Hao, J. M., He, M. C., Liu, K. Y., Wang, K., and Hua, S. B.: A Comprehensive Global Inventory of Atmospheric Antimony Emissions from Anthropogenic Activities, 1995-2010, Environ Sci Technol, 48, 10235-10241, 10.1021/es405817u, 2014.

705   Towett, E. K., Shepherd, K. D., and Cadisch, G.: Quantification of total element concentrations in soils using total X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (TXRF), Sci Total Environ, 463, 374-388, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.05.068, 2013.
Upadhyay, N., Majestic, B. J., Prapaipong, P., and Herckes, P.: Evaluation of polyurethane foam, polypropylene, quartz fiber, and cellulose substrates for multi-element analysis of atmospheric particulate matter by ICP-MS,

710   Anal Bioanal Chem, 394, 255-266, 10.1007/s00216-009-2671-6, 2009.
van Pinxteren, D., Fomba, K. W., Spindler, G., Muller, K., Poulain, L., Iinuma, Y., Loschau, G., Hausmann, A., and Herrmann, H.: Regional air quality in Leipzig, Germany: detailed source apportionment of size-resolved aerosol particles and comparison with the year 2000, Faraday Discuss, 189, 291-315, 10.1039/c5fd00228a, 2016.
VanCuren, R. A., Cahill, T., Burkhart, J., Barnes, D., Zhao, Y. J., Perry, K., Cliff, S., and McConnell, J.:

715   Aerosols and their sources at Summit Greenland - First results of continuous size- and time-resolved sampling, Atmos Environ, 52, 82-97, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.10.047, 2012.
Venter, A. D., van Zyl, P. G., Beukes, J. P., Josipovic, M., Hendriks, J., Vakkari, V., and Laakso, L.: Atmospheric trace metals measured at a regional background site (Welgegund) in South Africa, Atmos Chem Phys, 17, 4251-4263, 10.5194/acp-17-4251-2017, 2017.

720   Vlastelic, I., Suchorski, K., Sellegri, K., Colomb, A., Nauret, F., Bouvier, L., and Piro, J. L.: The trace metal signature of atmospheric aerosols sampled at a European regional background site (puy de Dme, France), J Atmos Chem, 71, 195-212, 10.1007/s10874-014-9290-0, 2014.
von Bohlen, A., and Fernández-Ruiz, R.: Experimental evidence of matrix effects in total-reflection X-ray fluorescence analysis: Coke case, Talanta, 209, 120562, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2019.120562, 2020.

725   Wagner, A., and Mages, M.: Total-Reflection X-ray fluorescence analysis of elements in size-fractionated particulate matter sampled on polycarbonate filters - Composition and sources of aerosol particles in Goteborg, Sweden, Spectrochim Acta B, 65, 471-477, 10.1016/j.sab.2010.02.007, 2010.
Wedepohl, K. H.: The Composition of the Continental-Crust, Geochim Cosmochim Ac, 59, 1217-1232, 1995.
Weller, R., Woltjen, J., Piel, C., Resenberg, R., Wagenbach, D., Konig-Langlo, G., and Kriews, M.: Seasonal

730   variability of crustal and marine trace elements in the aerosol at Neumayer station, Antarctica, Tellus B, 60, 742-752, 10.1111/j.1600-0889.2008.00372.x, 2008.
Woelfl, S., Mages, M., and Encina, F.: Cold plasma ashing improves the trace element detection of single Daphnia specimens by total reflection X-ray fluorescence spectrometry, Spectrochim Acta B, 58, 2157-2168, 10.1016/S0584-8547(03)00196-4, 2003.

735   Xu, X. M., Chen, J. M., Zhu, C., Li, J. R., Sui, X., Liu, L., and Sun, J. F.: Fog composition along the Yangtze River basin: Detecting emission sources of pollutants in fog water, J Environ Sci-China, 71, 2-12, 10.1016/j.jes.2017.09.018, 2018.
Zhang, R., Cao, J. J., Tang, Y. R., Arimoto, R., Shen, Z. X., Wu, F., Han, Y. M., Wang, G. H., Zhang, J. Q., and Li, G. H.: Elemental profiles and signatures of fugitive dusts from Chinese deserts, Sci Total Environ, 472,

740   1121-1129, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.11.011, 2014.
Zhao, J. H., Yan, X., Zhou, T. Y., Wang, J., Li, H. Y., Zhang, P., Ding, H., and Ding, L.: Multi-throughput dynamic microwave-assisted leaching coupled with inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry for heavy metal analysis in soil, J Anal Atom Spectrom, 30, 1920-1926, 10.1039/c5ja00233h, 2015.
Zhu, C., Tian, H., and Hao, J.: Global anthropogenic atmospheric emission inventory of twelve typical

745   hazardous trace elements, 1995–2012, Atmos Environ, 117061, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.117061, 2019.

750   **Table 1:** Recoveries of the tested digestion procedures for quartz filters spiked with 10 µg CPA multi-element solution (n=3)

	Measured (µg)		Certified		Recovery (%)
	Mean	St.D	Mean	St.D	
Al	5.47	0.94	10.00	0.28	54.7 ± 17.2
K	10.01	0.21	10.02	0.53	99.9 ± 2.1
Ca	11.16	0.24	10.00	0.29	111.6 ± 2.2
Ti	7.97	0.16	10.04	0.35	79.5 ± 2
V	9.43	0.17	10.01	0.38	94.2 ± 1.8
Cr	9.61	0.11	10.00	0.30	96.1 ± 1.1
Mn	9.71	0.16	10.00	0.28	97.1 ± 1.7
Fe	9.19	0.15	10.00	0.29	91.9 ± 1.6
Co	9.88	0.16	10.03	0.38	98.5 ± 1.6
Ni	10.57	0.14	10.00	0.41	105.7 ± 1.3
Cu	9.23	0.21	10.00	0.28	92.3 ± 2.3
Zn	9.98	0.14	10.00	0.41	99.8 ± 1.4
As	7.23	0.15	10.03	0.28	72.1 ± 2.1
Se	7.81	0.17	10.02	0.62	78 ± 2.2
Sr	13.66	1.68	10.03	0.41	136.2 ± 12.3
Mo	12.83	1.49	10.01	0.54	128.1 ± 11.6
Ag	7.44	0.76	10.01	0.29	74.3 ± 10.2
Cd	11.27	0.82	10.01	0.41	112.6 ± 7.3
Sb	8.88	0.28	9.99	0.32	88.9 ± 3.2
Ba	12.00	0.98	10.04	0.35	119.6 ± 8.2
Tl	9.65	0.16	10.00	0.28	96.5 ± 1.6
Pb	11.84	0.53	10.02	0.53	118.1 ± 4.5
Bi	10.97	0.15	9.99	0.29	109.8 ± 1.4

755

760

765

Table 2. The average blank filter concentrations, method detection limit (MDL) of elements in both quartz (QF) and polycarbonate (PC) filter material (Ø: 8 mm, n= 3)

Quartz	Conc./ng	LLD/ng	MDL (ng/cm²)			Conc. (ng/g)[c.]	PC	Conc./ng	LLD/ng	MDL(ng/cm²)
			This study	*a.*	*b.*					
Ca	566	3.4	44.2	144	193	2,243,664	Ca	55.5	0.7	26.4
Zn	172	0.3	6.3	24	16.2	1,935	Zn	5.3	0.1	4.1
K	132	3.4	35.1	40	82.2	297,324	Fe	2.5	0.2	1.4
Fe	25	0.4	1.3	80	67	52,679	Ba	1.9	0.9	1.9
Sb	5.0	3.6	1.8	102		301	Cr	0.5	0.3	0.8
Cr	4.9	0.7	1.2	68	13	2,498	Sr	0.4	0.1	1.0
Ba	4.3	0.7	10.7				K	0.4	0.1	0.5
Ti	3.0	0.5	4.5	56	28.7	6,169	Ti	0.3	0.1	0.7
Cu	1.6	0.3	1.1	25	25.9	496	V	0.3	0.3	0.6
Pb	1.5	0.2	2.4	48	23.1	924	Ni	0.3	0.1	0.4
Ni	1.4	0.4	1.0	28	6.9	1,371	Pb	0.2	0.1	0.4
V	0.9	0.1	1.1	40	9.1	67	Cu	0.1	0.1	0.3
Sr	0.7	0.2	1.1			1,619	Rb	0.1	0.1	0.2
As	0.7	0.1	0.8	24		70	As	0.1	0.1	0.2
Se	0.3	0.1	0.3	18	39.7	25	Se	0.1	0.04	0.1
Mn	0.9	0.2	1.1	40	7.2	1,674				

a. Steinhoff et al. 2000, b. Okunda et al. 2013, c. Upadhyay et al. 2009

Table 3: Effect of temperature treatment on blank concentrations showing mean values (ng), standard deviation as well as the ratio of the concentrations before and after pre-firing of filters (n=3).

Elements	Before		After		Ratio
	Mean	St.D	Mean	St.D	Before/after
Ca	148	94	108	45	1.37
Zn	13	6.1	10	4.3	1.29
K	5.7	0.8	5.4	0.8	1.05
Fe	3.5	3	3.5	1.2	1.01
Ni	1.4	1.8	1.3	0.1	1.02
Sb	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.6	1.52
Ba	0.9	0.7	0.9	0.2	1.02
Cr	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	1
Ti	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	1.21
Sr	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.36
Cu	0.13	0.05	0.13	0.09	0.98
Pb	0.07	0.01	0.06	0.01	1.04
V	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	1.37
As	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.01	1
Se	0.01	0	0.01	0	0.81
Mn	0.02	0.03	0.01	0	1.43

Table 4: Elemental concentrations (ng) of different digestion procedure on blank quartz filter and field samples from the AM5 site.

Elements	Blank(n=3)						Field Samples (n=3)					
	Method A		Method B		Method C		Method A		Method B		Method C	
	Mean	St.D	Mean	St.D	Mean	St.D	Mean	St.D	Mean	St.D	Mean	St.D
Al	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	183	45	117	25	273	78
K	4.7	0.3	4.3	0.2	4.1	0.4	52	15	35	7	66	17
Ca	32	3.3	31	2.3	28.3	2.7	146	34	138	29	159	56
Ti	0.1	0.03	0.09	0.02	0.10	0.02	11	2.5	5.4	1.1	14.2	3.2
V	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.03	0.4	0.1
Cr	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.05	0.7	0.3	0.6	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.5	0.1
Mn	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.03	2.2	0.2	1.9	0.1	2.8	0.3
Fe	1.5	0.5	0.5	0.25	3.1	1.5	108	35	68	17	155	43
Ni	0.2	0.09	0.04	0.01	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.10	0.1	0.05	0.2	0.1
Cu	0.03	0.01	0.08	0.03	0.08	0.04	0.2	0.03	0.2	0.03	0.2	0.03
Zn	2.4	0.2	2.5	0.2	2.0	0.12	2.2	0.3	2.4	0.2	2.6	0.3
As	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.01
Se	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Rb	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1
Sr	0.06	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.05	0.01	1.2	0.1	1.0	0.1	1.4	0.3
Sb	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.3	2.8	0.4	2.4	0.1	2.5	0.3
Ba	bdl	bdl	0.08	0.02	0.04	0.01	2.0	0.3	1.8	0.1	2.3	0.3
Pb	0.09	0.02	0.80	0.20	0.04	0.01	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.6	0.2

Table 5: Effect of cold plasma treatment on samples collected on polycarbonate filters. The mean values and the standard deviation from the mean are shown. Values are given in ng, (n=5).

Elements	Before		After		Ratio
	Mean	St.D	Mean	St.D	After/Before
Al	4671	475	4733	581	1.01
As	0.7	0.3	0.8	0.3	1.06
Ba	57	7.5	61	3.9	1.07
Ca	5325	250	5340	273	1.00
Co	1.01	1.6	1.14	1.3	1.13
Cr	15	0.9	15	1.5	1.00
Cu	4.7	0.1	4.9	0.6	1.04
Fe	3460	275	3544	374	1.02
K	2013	164	2058	202	1.02
Mn	65	2.5	64	2.8	0.98
Mo	25	7.9	26	14	1.04
Ni	6.6	0.5	6.6	0.5	1.00
Pb	3.6	0.4	3.6	0.7	1.00
Rb	7.7	0.2	7.9	1.1	1.03
Sb	35	28	47	5.7	1.34
Se	0.6	0.04	0.7	0.05	1.07
Sr	59	3	59	2.6	1.00
Ti	308	27	315	41	1.02
V	8.5	0.8	8.5	1	1.00
Zn	7.4	0.6	8.2	0.8	1.11

Table 6: Daytime and nightime elemental concentrations at the AM5 station

	Day		Night	
	Mean	St.D	Mean	St.D
Ca	2301.0	1028.2	2201.0	928.2
Al	1663.0	1449.0	1563.0	1349.0
Fe	1539.0	1256.0	1439.0	1156.0
K	655.0	437.4	555.0	337.4
Ti	110.4	116.0	90.4	16.0
Mn	25.2	18.8	25.1	18.7
Zn	15.1	5.4	15.0	5.3
Sr	10.6	3.9	10.5	3.8
Ba	10.4	5.1	10.3	5.0
Sb	9.2	5.5	9.1	5.4
Ru	8.8	4.0	8.7	3.9
Cr	6.9	4.0	6.8	3.9
Pb	5.9	3.7	6.1	3.6
V	5.2	2.7	6.1	2.6
Ni	4.3	3.0	4.5	2.9
Rb	3.2	2.3	3.1	2.2
Cu	2.8	2.1	3.2	2.0
Br	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.5
Co	1.7	1.0	1.6	0.9

790

795
800
805
810
815
820
825

Table 7: Ambient size-resolved elemental concentrations (ng/m³) observed at the CVAO during the investigated period.

	St.1 Min	St.1 Max	St.1 Mean	St.1 St.D	St.2 Min	St.2 Max	St.2 Mean	St.2 St.D	St.3 Min	St.3 Max	St.3 Mean	St.3 St.D	St.4 Min	St.4 Max	St.4 Mean	St.4 St.D	St.5 Min	St.5 Max	St.5 Mean	St.5 St.D	PM10 Min	PM10 Max	PM10 Mean
Al	19	69	45	24	0.4	20	8.4	7.5	61	355	179	156	28	2498	711	835	19	411	182	149	49	2786	937
K	0.4	39	10	15	0.2	8.0	2.9	3.0	1.4	69	19	24	12	935	237	306	5.8	131	64	54	39	1109	334
Ca	0.4	103	27	41	0.0	5.0	1.6	2.1	1.5	175	36	63	20	1422	426	503	8.1	333	126	117	47	1747	607
Ti	0.04	6.4	1.8	2.7	0.0	1.0	0.3	0.3	0.02	8.2	2.1	3.0	0.2	204	40	69	0.1	24	8.3	10	0.7	234	52
V	0.01	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.7	0.4	0.6	0.1	1.4	0.6	0.5	0.2	15.0	3.5	5.7	0.1	1.0	0.4	0.4	0.1	17.8	3.8
Cr	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl		bdl	bdl	bdl		0.2	3.8	1.6	1.6	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.2	3.8	1.4
Mn	0.02	1.5	0.5	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.02	4.3	1.0	1.6	0.1	93	17	32	0.01	10	3.2	3.9	0.3	106	22
Fe	0.2	75	17	29	0.3	10	3.1	4.0	0.2	131	32	49	2.2	3579	649	1211	0.3	404	121	148	4.3	4070	822
Co	0.01	0.1	0.1	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03		0.01	0.1	0.0	0.03	0.04	1.8	0.6	0.7	0.01	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.01	2.5	0.8
Ni	0.03	0.1	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.8	0.2	0.3	0.03	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.1	7.6	1.4	2.5	0.02	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.3	9.2	2.1
Cu	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.1	0.04	0.03	0.03	2.0	0.5	0.6	0.01	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	2.3	0.6
Zn	0.01	0.2	0.05	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.03	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.3	16	3.2	5.3	0.06	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.5	17	3.9
As	0.01	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.0	2.7	1.7	1.5	0.03	2.5	1.6	1.4	0.1	27	11	14	0.6	10	5.3	5.2	0.01	42	13
Se	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.1	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.8	0.5	0.6	0.01	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	1.9	0.6
Rb	0.01	0.1	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03		0.03	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.01	5.3	1.4	2.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.01	5.5	1.1
Sr	0.01	1.4	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.05	0.04	0.01	2.6	0.5	0.9	0.5	30	7.6	9.9	0.2	4.5	1.8	1.5	1.0	34	10
Mo	0.4	0.9	0.7	0.4	1.4	6.5	3.4	1.8	1.1	5.7	2.3	1.7	2.1	52	17	20	0.5	2.2	1.3	0.7	4.4	60	19
Sb	0.2	1.8	1.0	0.8	bdl	bdl	bdl		0.9	0.9	0.9		1.4	14	6.0	5.0	0.05	5.0	2.2	1.8	0.2	19.8	7.8
Ba	0.1	1.2	0.7	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.1	2.6	0.8	1.1	0.3	47	13	18	0.5	5.5	2.9	2.1	0.5	54	16
Pb	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.1	0.1	0.05	0.02	0.8	0.2	0.2	0.03	13	2.7	4.8	0.04	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	14	3.0

Table 78: Trace metal concentrations (µg/l) in cloud water samples in the Atlas mountain region collected at the AM5 station and those observed in other regions in Asia and Europe.

µg/l	Atlas Mt.[a] Range	Mean	Mt. Heng[b] Range	Mean	Mt. Tai[c] Range	Mean	Mt. Puy de Dôme[d] Range	Mean	Mt. Schmücke[e] Range	Mean	Mt. Elden[f] Mean
Ca	<682	379									
K	144 - 645	331									
Zn	<42	17	0.1 - 3257	224.6	8.6-2775	249.1	3.3 - 130.4	57.5			
Ba	8 - 25	15	0.01 - 138	12.7							15.2
Fe	<22	5.1	12.3 - 876	155.2	<1369	105.8	<54.93	4.5			5.6
Ni	1.9 - 13	6.3	0.46 - 81.7	7.2	<142.2	9.3					5.7
Mn	2.2 - 8.9	4.4	0.49 - 694	34.0	0.6 - 1645	42.8	<9.1	1.1	0.1 – 30.1	5.59	34
Sr	0.1 - 5.8	1.9					0.3 - 6.2	1.6	0.2 – 13.5	2.45	
Cu	0.8 - 5.6	2.5	0.01 - 424	11.7	<113.8	9.2	<55.7	10.2			25
Sb	<4.8	1	0.01 - 31.8	2.6			<0.42	0.1			
Ti	<4.6	1.5					<12.9	2.9	0.1 – 79.1	9.18	
V	<2.1	0.8	0.16 - 29.2	2.3			0.04 - 3.9	0.8	0.1 - 2.5	0.71	3.2
Cr	<0.8	0.3	0.01- 14.3	1.6	<11.53	0.9			0.3 – 52	5.54	1.7
Rb	<0.5	0.4					<1.1	0.4	0.1 – 1.7	0.57	
Pb	<0.3	0.1	0.01 - 1421	100.5	<619.5	46.2	<2.6	0.3	0.3 – 10.5	1.4	0.4
Se	<0.3	0.2	0.56 - 58.3	5.7					0.1 – 4.9	1.38	2

 [a] This study, [b](Li et al., 2017), [c](Liu et al., 2012), [d](Bianco et al., 2017), [e](Fomba et al., 2015), [f](Hutchings et al., 2009)

Figure 1: Plot of measured metal concentrations of NIST SRM 2783 sample using direct sample preparation method without microwave digestion.

Figure 2: Good agreement observed between measured and certified values of metals in the multi-element standard solution.

Figure 3: Comparison of microwave digestion and direct analysis method using SRM 2783 samples on polycarbonate membranes.

855

860

Figure 4: Comparison of Ca and K values of aerosol extracts in water measured by TXRF and ion Chromatography ICS 9000.

865

Figure 5: TXRF spectra of particulate matter PM10 samples collected at the AM5 station and measured with the Mo-excitation anode for their metal element.

Figure 6: Box plots of ambient aerosol trace metal concentrations observed at the AM5 station during August and early September 2017

Figure 7: Temporal variation of elements observed at the AM5 during the measurement period

880

885 **Figure 8: Size distribution of given elements with Fe, Ca, K, Al found in larger particles while V, Pb, Zn are found in smaller particles**

890

895

Figure 9: Crustal enrichment factors of samples collected at the AM5 and the CVAO sorted in ascending order of the enrichment of the observed elements

900

905