Response to reviews

Reviewer comments are in **bold**. Author responses are in plain text labeled with [R]. Line numbers in the responses correspond to those in the discussing paper. Modifications to the manuscript are in *italics*.

Reviewer #1

5 **This is a nice paper which performed a comprehensive evaluation of the new CV-TOF-ACSM in urban environment, and provided important information on source spectra of primary emissions, mass quantifications of non-refractory species, and the uncertainties in PMF analysis of CV-ToF-ACSM. This paper is well written and I recommend it for publication after minor revisions.**

[R0] We thank the reviewer for the valuable feedback and constructive suggestions. Detailed responses are given below.

10 **Comments on content:**

1. Can the authors give more information on the source experiments? For example, the relative number fractions of diesel trucks, heavy duty vehicles or gasoline vehicles during the tunnel sampling? For the coal and biomass burning experiments, what are the burning conditions, flaming or smoldering? The mass spectra can be quite different if the burning conditions are different.

15 [R1] We have provided additional descriptions in the Sect. 2.1 as follows.

Line 84-85: "*a) the vehicle exhaust in the De Sheng Kou tunnel located on the G7 highway (40.289º N, 116.185º E) in Beijing*".

Line 86: "*c) the flaming-phase exhaust from a common residential stove when burning three types of coals*".

Line 88-90: *The on-road fleet measurements for vehicle exhaust were conducted during 11 PM to 12 AM when over 90% of the traffic fleet consisted of heavy-duty vehicles that were mainly diesel-fueled in the tunnel.*

20 Line 100: "*quartz filter samples were collected … in a combustion chamber during flaming state*".

2. Any reason to exclude *m/z* 12–20 for PMF analysis? Some important spectral information can be missed, e.g., *m/z* 15 (CH_3^+) for biomass burning OA. Did the elemental analysis in Figure 4 include *m/z* 12–20?

[R2] Although the mass spectra in Fig. 4 were shown for *m/z* above 20 because of the space limit, ions with *m/z* 12–20 were indeed included in all PMF analysis as well as in the elemental analysis. We have corrected the *m/z* range in Sect. 2.2 and have

25 revised the captions of Figures 1, 2, 4 for clarification.

3. The results showed considerable differences in apportionment of POA and SOA between CV-TOF-ACSM and HR-AMS. Do the authors have recommendations on how to report POA and SOA from CV-TOF-ACSM measurements in the future? This is critically important for modelers since CV-TOF-ACSM appears to report much higher SOA than traditional HR-AMS in polluted environment.

30   [R3] We thank the reviewer for the suggestion. In Line 371-373, we have added our recommendation "*We recommend to add "CV-" as the prefix of the PMF factor names for future CV-based AMS, ACSM, or TOF-ACSM studies to differentiate them from the published results from traditional SV-based instruments.*"

Reviewer #2

35 **This manuscript reports a thorough study on the characterization of different organic aerosol types using a ToF-ACSM equipped with a capture vaporizer. An important finding from this work is that the POA marker ions identified previously by the standard vaporizer (SV) instruments are valid for the CV instruments and that aerosol mass spectrometers equipped with CV may provide improved mass quantification as well as robust aerosol source apportionment capability in urban areas. This work is of high quality and the paper is well written. I recommend**

40 **acceptance for publication on AMT after minor revisions.**

[R0] We thank reviewer #2 for the constructive suggestions. Detailed responses are given below.

1. Line 92, assigning *m/z* 55 to cooking seems questionable as *m/z* 55 has significant contributions from different types of OA, including combustion POAs and SOA.

[R1] We agree with the reviewer and have revised the statement as follows in Line 94-95: "*Marker ions that are widely used*

45 *to indicate different types of OA in the analysis of SV spectra have much greater signals in the exhaust spectra than in the spectra of background air. For example, high abundance of serial alkyl fragments (e.g., m/z 57) for vehicle, high f_{55}/f_{57} ratio for cooking, and high peaks at m/z 115, 128, 152, 165, 178, and 189 (i.e., fragmented polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) ions) for coal combustion, are strongly present, which is in line with previous studies.*"

2. Line 140, were the UMR and HR data from LTOF-AMS used together or separately for PMF analysis? What's the

50 **reason for not using organic ions between 12-19 in the PMF analysis?**

[R2] The UMR and HR data were used separately for the PMF analysis. We have clarified in Line 144-145 as "*For the LTOF-AMS, the UMR and high-resolution (HR, a mass resolution of >5000) data were used separately in the PMF analysis.*"

As explained in the response [R1] to Reviewer#1, we did include *m/z* 12-19 in the PMF analysis. The mass spectra were shown for *m/z* above 20 because of the space limit. The manuscript has been revised to clarify the range.

55 **3. The paragraph starting at Line 228, how likely was the discrepancy between the ACSM and AMS chloride due to the low mass resolution of ACSM? Was C_3^+ contribution at *m/z* 36 properly subtracted from the ACSM Chl quantification? How was chloride size distribution shown in Fig. S8 determined? If it was based on the ptof data of *m/z* 36, one needs to be aware of that *m/z* 36 may have significant contribution from C_3^+.**

[R3] We did not subtract C_3^+ from the ACSM Chloride quantification as well as the PTOF chloride size distribution in Fig. S8.

60 As shown in Figure 1 below, the LTOF-AMS data indicates that C_3^+ was a small peak and its intensity was about 0.1% of the

intensity of HCl⁺ at *m/z* 36 during the measurement period. Therefore, the C_3^+ subtraction is negligible and would not affect the current analysis. We have added the following statement in Line 234-235 for clarification.

"*The signal intensity of C_3^+ was about 0.1% of the intensity of HCl⁺ at m/z 36 during the measurement period, which should not affect the chloride quantification in the CV UMR analysis.*"

Figure 1. Signal comparisons between C_3^+ and HCl at *m/z* 36

4. Line 304, it may be helpful that that authors explain the meaning of "related to the mass resolution".

[R4] We have revised the statement in Line 308-310 as "*The slopes between UMR and HR PMF results of the SV data range from 0.66 to 1.16, suggesting that the separate PMF analysis on the same data with different mass resolution may lead to a loading uncertainty of about 20% for primary OA factors and about 40% for OOAs*".

5. Line 304-306, the CV and SV instruments are collocated, thus sampled the same air mass, did not they? Then why is the lower f_{60} and f_{73} in the CV BBOA MS due to aging?

[R5] Yes, the CV-ACSM and SV-AMS sampled the same air mass. However, the CV spectra have much lower f_{60} and f_{73} than the SV spectra due to extensive thermal decomposition. When aging leads to further reduction of f_{60} and f_{73} in both CV and SV spectra, f_{60} and f_{73} become much noisier in the CV than in the SV data and affect the CV PMF analysis. We have revised the manuscript in Line 310-314 to clarify this.

6. Line 316, cite references for the standard PM₁ lens transmission efficiency.

[R6] The reference from Liu et al. (2007) is added.

80 **References**

Liu, P. S. K., Deng, R., Smith, K. A., Williams, L. R., Jayne, J. T., Canagaratna, M. R., Moore, K., Onasch, T. B., Worsnop, D. R., and Deshler, T.: Transmission efficiency of an aerodynamic focusing lens system: Comparison of model calculations and laboratory measurements for the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 41, 721-733, 10.1080/02786820701422278, 2007.

85

Characterization of Anthropogenic Organic Aerosols by TOF-ACSM with the New Capture Vaporizer

Yan Zheng[1], Xi Cheng[1], Keren Liao[1], Yaowei Li[1,#], Yong Jie Li[2], Ru-Jin Huang[3], Weiwei Hu[4], Ying Liu[1], Tong Zhu[1], Shiyi Chen[1], Limin Zeng[1], Douglas R. Worsnop[5], Qi Chen[1,*]

[1]State Key Joint Laboratory of Environmental Simulation and Pollution Control, Beijing Innovation Center for Engineering Science and Advanced Technology, College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China

[2]Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau, Taipa, Macau, China

[3]State Key Laboratory of Loess and Quaternary Geology, Center for Excellence in Quaternary Science and Global Change, and Key Laboratory of Aerosol Chemistry & Physics, Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xi'an 710061, China

[4]State Key Laboratory of Organic Geochemistry, Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510640, China

[5]Aerodyne Research, Inc., Billerica, Massachusetts 01821, United States

#now at School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA

*Correspondence to: Qi Chen (qichenpku@pku.edu.cn)

Abstract. A new capture vaporizer (CV) has been developed and used recently in the Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometers (AMS) and aerosol chemical speciation monitors (ACSM) instead of the standard vaporizer (SV) to reduce the particle bounce. It is important to characterize the CV performance in different environments. In this study, we characterized specific organic aerosols (OA) from vehicle, cooking, biomass burning, and coal burning emissions by a Time-of-Flight ACSM (TOF-ACSM) with the CV. Their corresponding marker ions that have been defined in the previous SV-based analysis are still valid in the CV mass spectra. Spectra of OA from cooking and vehicle exhaust show similarities in distinct alkyl fragments but different ratios of m/z 55 and 57. Ions related to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons are present in the OA spectra obtained from burning lignite and bituminous coal, but not in the spectra obtained from burning anthracite. Although the relative intensities of m/z 60 and 73 are much lower in the CV spectra than in the SV spectra for biomass burning OA, they are still relatively greater compared with the spectra for other sources. Our data suggest an atmospheric background of f_{60} of below 0.03% for CV. Moreover, we deployed the CV TOF-ACSM along with a SV AMS in urban Beijing during the winter of 2017 to characterize ambient OA with strong anthropogenic influences. The CV TOF-ACSM shows a collection efficiency (CE) of about unity. The CV and SV data show consistent mass concentrations of sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and OA. Six OA factors are identified by the positive matrix factorization (PMF) analysis for both the CV and the SV data. The SV and CV PMF factors show good correlations in mass concentrations. The SV and CV factors related to coal combustion and cooking differ significantly in loadings, explained by the PMF uncertainty and the lack of understanding of the relative ionization efficiency (RIE) and CE for primary OA. The CV factors related to secondary sources show greater loadings than the SV factors, which may be associated with the changes of signal-to-noise ratios of various ions in the PMF analysis. Our results support improved mass quantification and useful source identification by the CV for ambient particles in the polluted urban environment. The

difference in factor loadings between SV and CV should be considered when interpreting or comparing the PMF results among studies.

1 Introduction

Aerodyne AMS and ACSM have been widely used for online quantification of non-refractory (NR) aerosol components in the troposphere (Jimenez et al., 2009). These components, e.g., sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, chloride, and OA, are flash vaporized under 600 °C, ionized by electron impact, and then quantified by mass spectrometers having various mass resolution (Jimenez et al., 2003;Decarlo et al., 2006;Ng et al., 2011;Fröhlich et al., 2013). The 2-dimensional mass spectra can be further used in factor analysis to identify OA sources (Zhang et al., 2005;Lanz et al., 2007;Ulbrich et al., 2009). The commonly identified factors include hydrocarbon-like OA (HOA), cooking OA (COA), biomass burning OA (BBOA), coal combustion OA (CCOA), isoprene epoxydiols-derived secondary organic aerosol (IEPOX SOA), nitrogen-enriched OA (NOA), and various types of oxidized OA (OOA) (Jimenez et al., 2009;Zhang et al., 2011;Sun et al., 2013;Chen et al., 2015;Hu et al., 2015;Elser et al., 2016).

The majority of AMS and ACSM uses the SV that has a shape of inverted cone covered by porous tungsten. Particles may bounce on the SV, and hence the AMS and ACSM data are often corrected by CE. The CE depends on the particle composition and the sampling-line relative humidity (RH), and affects the accuracy of the mass quantification of AMS and ACSM (Crosier et al., 2007;Matthew et al., 2008). A composition-based calculation has been widely used to derive CE for the SV instruments (Middlebrook et al., 2012). In recent years, the CV with inner cavity has been developed to reduce the particle bounce (Xu et al., 2017). The CE values of ammonium nitrate (NH_4NO_3), ammonium sulfate ((NH_4)$_2SO_4$), sodium nitrate ($NaNO_3$), and ammonium chloride (NH_4Cl) for the CV are much greater than those for the SV. For example, the CE for (NH_4)$_2SO_4$ is 0.8-0.9 for the CV compared with ~0.4 for the SV (Hu et al., 2017a;Xu et al., 2017). Hu et al. (2017b) showed that ambient particles in forest and suburban regions have a CE of about unity for the CV AMS and quadrupole ACSM, which is twice of the typical CE of 0.5 for the SV instruments (Hu et al., 2017b).

Although the CV improves the mass quantification, the cavity of CV increases the residence time of particles in the vaporizer and leads to prolonged thermal decomposition. The fragmentation of aerosol species in the CV becomes different from that in the SV. For instance, the NO_2^+/NO^+ ratio of NH_4NO_3 particles is one order of magnitude lower for CV (i.e., 0.04-0.07) than that for SV (i.e., 0.3-0.7) (Fry et al., 2009;Bruns et al., 2010;Farmer et al., 2010;Xu et al., 2017;Hu et al., 2017a). Similarly, the ratios of SO_3^+, HSO_3^+, and $H_2SO_4^+$ to SO^+ of (NH_4)$_2SO_4$ particles are much lower for CV (i.e., <0.05) than those for SV (i.e., 0.11-0.38) (Hu et al., 2017a). For OA, the CV-based mass spectra show enhanced fragmentation to small ions. Such enhancement is less pronounced for hydrocarbon-like fragments ($C_xH_y^+$) than for oxygen-containing fragments ($C_xH_yO_{z\geq1}^+$), and seems stronger for laboratory-generated biogenic SOA than for ambient OA (Hu et al., 2018a). Good correlations of the relative intensities of important tracer fragments (e.g., f_{43}, f_{44}, f_{82}, and f_{91}) between the SV and the CV spectra are observed,

while poor correlations are shown for fragments having low signal-to-noise ratios in the CV (e.g., f_{60}). Besides, prominently elevated CO^+ signals were observed for squalene OA in the CV spectra but not in the SV spectra, possibly caused by unknown interactions between the species and the surface with molybdenum oxides (Hu et al., 2018a).

Because of the differences in the fragmentation patterns, it is important to know how well the CV instruments characterize various types of OA in different ambient environments. Hu et al. (2018b) has tested the CV performance in the biogenic dominant and downwind regions. The results suggest reliable mass quantification and sufficient OA source apportionments for HOA, IEPOX-SOA, and OOAs. There is still lack of measurements by the CV instruments in polluted urban areas where anthropogenic OA sources such as CCOA, BBOA, COA, and NOA may contribute substantially. In this study, we investigated the CV mass spectra for specific types of anthropogenic OA produced by vehicle emissions, cooking emissions, biomass burning, coal burning and aromatic photo-oxidation. We deployed both of the CV ToF-ACSM and SV L-ToF-AMS along with other instruments to measure ambient particles in urban Beijing during the winter of 2017. Detailed comparisons for the mass quantification and the source apportionments were made between the CV and the SV data sets.

2 Experimental methods

2.1 Measurements of specific types of OA

The CV-based mass spectra of typical primary OA were obtained by using the TOF-ACSM to sample the air from the exhaust or the atomized air from water solutions of particle filter samples. For the former, the TOF-ACSM was installed in a mobile lab powered by Lithium iron phosphate battery to sample a) the vehicle exhaust in a highwaythe De Sheng Kou tunnel located on the (G7 highway, (40.28999° N, 116.18593° E) in Beijing, b) the cooking exhaust near a kitchen ventilator of a large campus cafeteria, and c) the flaming-phase exhaust from a common residential stove during the fierce-combustion stage when burning three types of coals (i.e., anthracite, lignite, and bituminous coal). These measurements were performed during clean days (i.e., $PM_{2.5} < 35$ µg m^{-3}) to minimize the influence of the background air on the particle composition of the exhaust. The on-road fleet measurements for vehicle exhaust were conducted during 11 PM to 12 AM when over 90% of the traffic fleet consisted of heavy-duty vehicles that were mainly diesel-fueled in the tunnel. As shown in Fig. S1 in the Supplement, the OA mass spectra of the three types of exhaust significantly differ from the mass spectra of background air. We did not subtract the spectra of background air from the exhaust spectra because of the lack of information about dilution ratios. Marker ions that are widely used to indicate different types of OA in the analysis of SV spectra have much greater signals in the exhaust spectra than in the spectra of background air. For example, high abundance of serial alkyl fragments (e.g., m/z 57) for vehicle, high f_{55}/f_{57} ratio for cooking, and high peaks ation peaks at m/z 57 for vehicle, m/z 55 for cooking, and m/z 115, 128, 152, 165, 178, and 189 (i.e., fragmented polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) ions) for coal combustion, are strongly present, which is in line with previous studies (Canagaratna et al., 2004;Schneider et al., 2006;Mohr et al., 2009;He et al., 2010;Bruns et al., 2015).

For offline measurements, quartz filter samples were collected while burning wheat, rice, and corn straws as well as bituminous

185 coal and anthracite in a combustion chamber during flaming state (Tian et al., 2015;Tian et al., 2018). A portion of these samples (i.e., about 0.526 cm^2 in each filter) were sonicated in 10 mL ultrapure water (Milli-Q, 18.2 MΩ cm) for 20 min at 30 °C, filtered through 0.45 μm nylon membrane, nebulized by an Atomizer (TSI, 3076), and dried through a Nafion dryer (Perma Pure, MD-700) before being sampled by the TOF-ACSM. The resulting mass spectra represent the water-soluble portion of BBOA (i.e., >65% of the mass) and CCOA (i.e., <20 % the mass). Moreover, anthropogenic SOA was produced in

190 Aerodyne potential aerosol mass (PAM) oxidation flow reactor by the photo-oxidation of toluene and benzene and were sampled by the CV TOF-ACSM. The initial precursor concentrations were about 40 ppbv for toluene and 100 ppbv for benzene. The SOA mass loadings were about 1.9 to 3.3 μg m^{-3}. The OH exposure was about 2.69×10^{10} and 1.20×10^{10} molecules cm^{-3} s and the NO:HO$_2$ ratio was about 1.4 and 1.9 for toluene and benzene, respectively, which are estimated by the calculations of PAMchem model on the basis of the calibration on SO$_2$ (Lambe et al., 2017). Details are provided in Sect. A of the

195 Supplement.

2.2 Ambient measurements and data processing

Ambient measurements were carried out at Peking University Urban-Atmosphere Environment Monitoring Station (PKUERS) from 14 December 2017 to 21 January 2018. This station consists of three air-conditioned roof sites (one 8-floor and two 6-floor) in a campus building located between the fourth and the fifth north ring roads in Beijing, representing a typical urban

200 environment influenced by anthropogenic emissions (Hu et al., 2016). Particles were detected by the CV TOF-ACSM, the SV AMS, and the scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS, TSI, 3080), which were placed in the eight-floor site (39.99° N, 116.32° E, 80 m above sea level, and 30 m above the ground). At the same site, the concentrations of volatile organic compounds were measured by an IONICON proton transfer reaction quadrupole interface time-of-flight mass spectrometer (PTR-QiTOF). Detailed descriptions about the PTR-QiTOF measurements are provided elsewhere (Huang et al., 2019). The meteorological

205 parameters including temperature, RH, barometric pressure, wind speed, and wind direction were acquired by a weather station at the same site (Met One Instruments Inc., 083E, 092, 010C, and 020C). Gas pollutants were measured at one of the six-floor site by the Thermo Scientific analyzers including CO (48i-TL), NO-NO$_2$-NO$_x$ (42i-TL), SO$_2$ (43i-TL), and O$_3$ (49i-TL). All data refers to the local time (UTC+8).

The SV AMS is LTOF-AMS equipped with regular aerodynamic lens for submicron particles (PM$_1$). We installed a cyclone

210 (URG, 2000-30ED) in front of the SV AMS that had a sampling flow rate of 3 L min^{-1} to remove coarse particles (i.e., size-cut at ~2.5 μm). The CV TOF-ACSM is equipped with an aerodynamic lens for measuring particles having aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 μm (PM$_{2.5}$) (Xu et al., 2017). The TOF-ACSM has a different sampling line. For the purpose of comparisons, a PM$_1$ cyclone (URG, 2000-30EHB) was installed in front of the TOF-ACSM. The sampling flow rate was 16.7 L min^{-1} in the first half of every hour when the TOF-ACSM samples PM$_1$, and then 4.8 L min^{-1} for the other half of every hour

215 to sample PM$_{2.5}$. For both instruments, ambient aerosols were sampled through electrolytic polished stainless steel tubes (0.95

cm outer diameter) with the residence time of less than 5 s. The inlet RH is about 12-45%, with only 15% of the values higher than 40%.

The CV TOF-ACSM data have 2-min time resolution and were processed in Tofware (Tofwerk version 2.5.13). A CE of 1 was applied. The SV AMS data have 1-min time resolution and were processed in Igor (WaveMetrics version 6.37) toolkits,
220   Squirrel (version 1.60B) and PIKA (version 1.20B). Composition-dependent CE values for the SV AMS are calculated by the methods introduced by Middlebrook et al. (2012), showing an average CE value of 0.50 ± 0.01 (1σ) and occasionally greater values up to 0.6 (Fig. S2 in the Supplement). We therefore applied a single CE value of 0.5 to the AMS data herein, which is sufficient to achieve reasonable agreements between the AMS and the SMPS data (Fig. S3 in the Supplement). For both instruments, calibrations of ionization efficiency (IE) and relative IE (RIE) followed the standard procedures described in
225   previous studies by using 300-350 nm pure NH_4NO_3 and $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ (Canagaratna et al., 2007;Fröhlich et al., 2013). The temperature and pressure during calibration were 293.7 K and 101.82 KPa, which represent the reference conditions of mass concentrations reported herein. Moreover, the PMF analysis was conducted on the organic mass spectra by using the Igor PMF evaluation tool (PET, version 3.00B) (Paatero and Tapper, 1994;Ulbrich et al., 2009). For the TOF-ACSM, the unit-mass-resolution (UMR) data between m/z 12~~20~~ and 200 were~~are~~ used in the PMF analysis. For the LTOF-AMS, ~~both~~the UMR and
230   high-resolution (HR, a mass resolution of >5000) data were~~are~~ used separately in the PMF analysis. The UMR PMF used the spectra between m/z 12~~20~~ and 200, while the HR PMF analysis used the spectra between m/z 12~~20~~ and 130, respectively. Details of the PMF analysis are provided in Sect. B of the Supplement.

Tables S1 and S2 in the Supplement list the instrument specifications (e.g., IE, RIE, and CE) and the detection limits of each species respectively. Although our TOF-ACSM is less sensitive than the instrument deployed by Fröhlich et al. (2013) perhaps
235   due to different detectors, the detection is sufficient given the high concentrations of fine particles in Beijing. The LTOF-AMS shows lower detection limits for ammonium and chloride and somewhat greater detection limits for sulfate, nitrate, and OA compared with the V-mode HR-TOF-AMS (Decarlo et al., 2006). Moreover, the sulfate fragmentation for the CV TOF-ACSM is modified based on the measurements of pure $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ particles (Table S3 and Fig. S4 in the Supplement). The differences of sulfate fragmentation among studies suggest instrument dependency. Each CV instrument needs its own modifications. We
240   applied the RIE values of 1.3 and 1.4 for chloride and OA respectively, both of which are the same as the default values used for the SV instruments (Hu et al., 2017b;Xu et al., 2018). The RIE for nitrate is set to be 1.05, which is slightly lower than the value of 1.1 for the SV instruments (Xu et al., 2018). For sulfate, we applied the RIE value of 1.6 on the basis of the calibration with pure $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ particles. Previous studies reported different sulfate RIEs for the CV instruments, for example, 1.5 ± 0.3 for a quadrupole-AMS (Xu et al., 2017), 1.7 to 2.4 for three TOF-AMS, and ~1 for a quadrupole-ACSM (Hu et al., 2017b).
245   Such different RIEs suggest that the sulfate detection is sensitive to the vaporizer and the instrument itself. Similarly, different RIE values for sulfate have been reported for the SV instruments (Budisulistiorini et al., 2014). Moreover, the calibration of pure $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ and NH_4NO_3 particles suggest the RIE of 3.7 for ammonium for the CV TOF-ACSM herein, which is lower than the previous finding of 5.2 ± 0.6 (Xu et al., 2017). By contrast, the RIE values for ammonium for the SV instruments are

generally 3.5~6.0 (typically an RIE of 4.0 is applied) (Canagaratna et al., 2007). In this study, the good agreement on the ammonium concentrations between the SV AMS and the CV TOF-ACSM indicate that the RIE values of ammonium are reliable.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Mass spectra of anthropogenic OA

Figure 1 shows the mass spectra of five types of anthropogenic OA for CV and SV. The CV mass spectra have much higher signals at m/z 44 and lower relative intensities of many large m/z than the SV spectra have (Fig. S5 in the Supplement), which is consistent with previous findings for pure oxygenated organic compounds, chamber biogenic SOA, and ambient OA in southeast US (Hu et al., 2018a;Hu et al., 2018b). Enhanced thermal decomposition and hot surface collisions in the CV may explain such changes. The marker ions defined in the previous SV-based analysis are still distinct from their adjacent peaks in the CV spectra, suggesting that the CV instruments may work for characterizing anthropogenic OA. For example, the alkyl fragments (i.e., typically $C_nH_{2n+1}^+$ and $C_nH_{2n-1}^+$ series at m/z 29, 41, 43, 55, 57, 67, 69, 71 etc.) are still prominent in the CV spectra for vehicle exhaust (Fig. 1a), although their relative intensities are about half of their relative intensities in the SV spectra (Figs. 1b and S5a) (Canagaratna et al., 2004;Mohr et al., 2009). The high signal at m/z 44 is probably due to the influence of background air as well as the enhanced thermal decomposition (Fig. S1a).

High signal at m/z 55 has been used as a marker for COA (Mohr et al., 2009;He et al., 2010). As shown in Fig. 1c and Table S4, the f_{55}/f_{57} ratio of 4.05 is much greater than that for other types of anthropogenic OA (0.86~3.24) and is close to the ratio of 4.76 reported for the CV spectra of oleic acid, a common component of cooking oils (Hu et al., 2018a;Orsavova et al., 2015). The OA spectra of cooking and vehicle exhaust show similarities in distinct alkyl fragments. Meanwhile, the OA spectra for cooking exhaust shows some PAH fragments (Fig. S1b), similar to the spectra for coal burning. This is probably because that the cafeteria often cook meat at high-temperature and lead to the production of PAHs (Phillips, 1999). The f_{55}/f_{57} ratio in the CV spectra is much greater than the ratios of 2.34 ± 0.59 in the SV spectra (Figs. 1d and S5b), showing a stronger difference between COA and other primary OA in the CV spectra than in the SV spectra.

For coal combustion, three types of coal, including lignite, bituminous coal, and anthracite, were burned in this study (Fig. 1e and Fig. S1c). Alkyl fragments in the spectra for coal burning are less prominent than for vehicle and cooking exhaust. The PAH-related ions clearly present in the spectra for lignite and bituminous coal, which is consistent with the SV spectra for smoky coal burning (Lin et al., 2017). The relative intensities of these ions in the CV spectra are several times lower than those in the SV spectra (Figs. 1f and S5c). Anthracite has the least amounts of impurities. As expected, the signals of PAH-related fragments are insignificant in the spectrum for anthracite (Fig. 2a), which may cause difficulties in separating this source from vehicle exhaust by PMF analysis. PAH characteristics are also absent in the CV spectra for the water-soluble portion of coal-burning OA along with much weaker signals of alkyl fragments compared with the CV spectra for coal-burning exhaust (Fig.

2*b*). The insoluble portion of the coal-burning OA is perhaps the main contributor of the particle-phase PAH and the alkyl fragments. The CV spectra for the water-soluble portion of the three types of coal-burning OA are similar to each other.

The spectra for BBOA are typically characterized by high signal intensities of ion peaks at *m/z* 60 and 73, which are tracer ions for levoglucosan (Weimer et al., 2008;Schneider et al., 2006;He et al., 2010). Figure 1*g* shows the CV spectra for the water-soluble BBOA which consists of over 65% of the BBOA mass. The relative intensities of the two tracer ions (f_{60} and f_{73}) in the CV spectra are significantly lower than those in the SV spectra (Figs. 1*h* and S5*d*). Similar reduction was observed for the CV spectra of laboratory-generated levoglucosan, explained by the enhanced thermal decomposition (Hu et al., 2018a). The values of f_{60} and f_{73} depend on the straw type (Figs. 1*g* and 2*c-d*). The CV spectrum for rice straw has the greatest f_{60} (0.48%) and f_{73} (0.48%), followed by the spectra for corn (f_{60}=0.23%, f_{73}=0.46%) and wheat (f_{60}=0.19%, f_{73}=0.41%). The CV-based f_{60} values for the other four types of anthropogenic OA are listed in Table S4 in the Supplement. Most of these OAs have lower f_{60} than BBOA except for benzene SOA. The f_{60} values of several chamber biogenic SOA are also low (0.01-0.025%) in the CV spectra (Hu et al., 2017b). Therefore, f_{60} and f_{73} may be still used as the markers for BBOA in the CV spectra. However, f_{60} and f_{73} may decrease because of aging. Difficulties in separating BBOA from SOA may occur for aged air masses. The atmospheric background of f_{60} in the SV spectra is about 0.3% (Docherty et al., 2008;Cubison et al., 2011). We expect a much lower threshold in the CV spectra. Another feature in the BBOA spectra is the high f_{29} values for both CV and SV, which may originate from abundant carbohydrates in biomass (Schneider et al., 2011).

The CV and the SV spectra for aromatic SOA are dominated by the signals at *m/z* 29, 43, and 44 (Figs. 1*i-j* and 2*e*). The total relative intensities of ions at *m/z* > 50 for aromatic SOA are 3-7 times lower than those for primary OA, making aromatic SOA quite distinguishable. The SV spectra for various types of SOA produced by the ozonolysis or photooxidation of biogenic or anthropogenic precursors are similar in terms of the three major peaks and the relative contributions of ions at *m/z* > 50 (Kroll et al., 2009;Chhabra et al., 2010;Chen et al., 2015;Robinson et al., 2011;Li et al., 2017). Some SOA has unique features, for example, a distinct peak at *m/z* 82 ($C_5H_6O^+$) for IEPOX SOA (Hu et al., 2015). Studies that characterize the laboratory-generated SOA by the CV instruments are however limited. Hu et al. (2018a) reported the CV spectra of the SOA from the oxidation of α-pinene and Δ-carene by nitrate radicals and the ozonolysis of α-pinene. Our study shows the CV mass spectra of two anthropogenic SOA produced by the photooxidation of benzene and toluene. In comparison with the SV spectra, the CV spectra show much greater signal at *m/z* 44, lower signal at *m/z* 43, and slightly greater signal at *m/z* 29 (Fig. S6). Some ions at *m/z* > 50 have greater relative intensities in the CV spectra than in the SV spectra, but more ions have lower relative intensities which may be explained by the enhanced thermal decomposition and hot surface collisions.

3.2 Mass quantification in the polluted urban environment

Figure 3 shows the time series of the mass concentrations of NR-PM$_1$ and its components from the ambient measurements in Beijing. The campaign-average concentrations of OA, sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and chloride measured by the CV TOF-ACSM are 11.3±11.3, 2.2±3.6, 4.1±5.9, 2.2±3.0, and 0.56±0.71 µg m^{-3} (average ± one standard deviation), respectively. The

concentrations of these species agree well with the SV AMS results except for chloride. Because chloride only contributes to about 7% of the NR-PM$_1$ mass, the difference in chloride concentrations would not affect the comparison of the NR-PM$_1$ mass between the CV and the SV measurements significantly. As shown in Figs. 2f and S2, the NR-PM$_1$ mass concentrations measured by the CV and SV instruments are consistent with each other and also agree well with the SMPS data with assumptions of spherical particles and an effective density of 1350 kg m^{-3}. The results support the CE of unity and good mass quantifications of ambient aerosols under highly polluted urban conditions by the CV TOF-ACSM.

The regression slope for chloride between the CV and the SV measurements is 0.47, while the R value is 0.98. Hu et al. (2017b) showed similar results (i.e., a slope of 0.52) in Boulder. The signal intensity of C_3^+ was about 0.1% of the intensity of HCl$^+$ at m/z 36 during the measurement period, which should not affect the chloride quantification in the CV UMR analysis. Artifact chloride signal may present when the SV and CV instruments sample high concentrations of nitrate, explained by the possible reactions between the gaseous nitric acid evaporated from ammonium nitrate and the residual chloride salts on the vaporizer (Hu et al., 2017b;Drewnick et al., 2015). During the measurement period, such chloride artifact should be less than 0.16 μg m^{-3} based on the empirical estimation (i.e., 0.2-0.5% of the nitrate mass) (Hu et al., 2017b). The TOF-ACSM and the AMS herein are relatively new instruments that contains less residuals (e.g., chloride salts). Our data suggest even smaller chloride artifacts (i.e., 0.13 and 0.2% of the nitrate mass for the CV TOF-ACSM and the SV AMS, respectively) (Fig. S7). Besides, the mass quantification can be affected by the product of RIE and CE. Hu et al. (2017a) showed that the CE values of ammonium chloride are 0.25 and 0.35 for the SV and CV AMS, respectively. If the chloride particles are externally mixed as indicated by the different modes in the mass size distributions of species during the non-haze periods of the measurements (Fig. S8), the lower chloride CE for the SV than for the CV instruments suggests a greater correction for the CV than for the SV chloride concentrations. Such correction may lead to a better agreement for chloride. However, information about the mixing state, the exact formula, and the RIE of chloride particles are limited to understand further.

3.3 OA source apportionment in the polluted urban environment

We conducted the PMF analysis on the OA spectra from the UMR and HR SV AMS data and the UMR mass spectra from the CV TOF-ACSM data. Six statistical factors were identified and labeled as CCOA, BBOA, COA, HOA, less oxidized- (LO-) OOA, and more oxidized- (MO-) OOA (Fig. 4). The mass spectra and the elemental ratios of the SV PMF factors are similar to the previous findings in winter Beijing (Fig. 4a-f and Table S5). The spectra of HOA, COA, and CCOA factors are dominated by the signals of $C_xH_y^+$ ions and hence show low atomic oxygen-to-carbon ratios (O/C). The CCOA factor is characterized by the rich contribution of alkyl ions and distinctive PAHs fragments (Fig. 4a,g). The BBOA factor shows greater relative intensities of m/z 60 (i.e., mostly $C_2H_4O_2^+$) and 73 (i.e., mostly $C_3H_5O_2^+$) compared with other primary factors (Fig. 4b,h). The O/C ratio of the BBOA factor (0.33) is greater than the ratios of freshly emitted BBOA (0.18-0.26) but lower than the ratios of two OOA factors (He et al., 2010), indicating some degree of aging during transport. The atomic nitrogen-to-carbon ratio (N/C) for the BBOA factor is also greater than for other OA factors. Similar high N/C ratios for BBOA have been

reported in Beijing and Pearl River Delta regions (Sun et al., 2016;Huang et al., 2011). The COA factor is characterized by the high f_{55}/f_{57} ratio among factors (Fig. 4*c,i*). The f_{55}/f_{57} ratio of 6.99 in the CV COA factor is greater than the ratio for the cafeteria cooking exhaust (Table S4). The HOA factor is characterized by the predominant contribution of alkyl family (i.e., typically $C_nH_{2n+1}^+$ and $C_nH_{2n-1}^+$) (Fig. 4*d,j*). In general, the CV PMF factors show similar spectral features to the corresponding the anthropogenic primary OA (Figs. S10 and S11). The average values of f_{60} and f_{73} in the CV BBOA factor is 0.084% and 0.079%, respectively, which are 2-6 times lower than in the CV spectra of water-soluble BBOA from crop burning (Figs. 1 and 2). The reduced f_{60} and f_{73} are consistent with the greater O/C ratios of the BBOA factor. Because of the reduction of tracer ion signals, the PMF identification of BBOA from the CV data may be more uncertain than from the SV data.

The spectra of LO-OOA and MO-OOA factors show more abundant oxygenated ions and thus greater O/C ratios. The mass spectra of the two OOA factors are dominated by the $C_xH_yO^+$ and $C_xH_yO_{z>1}^+$ families (Fig. 4*e-f*). MO-OOA has greater O/C ratios and higher oxidation state than LO-OOA does. The ratios of f_{44} (CO_2^+) to f_{43} ($C_2H_3O^+$) are however similar for the two OOA factors in both of the SV and CV data sets, suggesting that pathways other than atmospheric aging perhaps contribute to the higher oxidation state of MO-OOA (Kroll et al., 2011). The greater O/C ratios are mainly contributed by greater f_{29} (CHO^+), f_{30} (CH_2O^+), and f_{31} (CH_3O^+) (Fig. S10). The $CH_{1-3}O^+$ fragments may come from various molecules, e.g., the SOA produced by the reactive uptake of glyoxal (Ge et al., 2012;Chhabra et al., 2010). Sun et al. (2016) showed that a similar OOA factor from the PMF analysis correlated well with CH_2O^+ and RH and suggest this factor may be related to aqueous processing. Further investigations are needed to understand the origin of this factor. The relative intensities of f_{29}, f_{30}, and f_{31} are greater in the CV MO-OOA spectra than in the CV LO-OOA spectra (Fig. 4*k-l*), and the difference of f_{29}, f_{30}, and f_{31} between the two OOA factors are much smaller in the CV PMF results than in the SV PMF results (Fig. S9).

The diurnal patterns of the PMF factors for CV and SV are similar to each other (Fig. S12). The patterns are also consistent with the previous findings in Beijing (Hu et al., 2016;Sun et al., 2013). For example, the CCOA loading shows the strongest nighttime enhancement at 2-4 AM, which is consistent with residential coal burning in the suburban area of Beijing. The COA loading shows peak concentrations at noon and in the evening. The diurnal patterns of HOA are consistent with the morning and evening rush-hour enhancements. Another peak in the earlier morning occurs later than the CCOA nighttime enhancement (4 AM), which may be caused by emissions of heavy-duty diesel trucks that are only allowed to enter the city after 12 AM. Regional transport perhaps predominantly contributes to the BBOA in Beijing and hence the BBOA diurnal patterns show some similarity to CCOA. The diurnal variations of the OOA loadings are different from the primary OA factors. LO-OOA shows elevated mass concentrations in the afternoon (Fig. S13), indicating that the formation of LO-OOA may be photo-chemically driven (Hu et al., 2016;Sun et al., 2013;Sun et al., 2016). MO-OOA has a relatively flat diurnal pattern (Fig. S12).

Figure 5*a-f* shows the time series of the mass concentrations of each statistical PMF factor. Figure 6*a-f* shows the correlation coefficients of the time series of the factor loadings with those of potential tracers. The temporal variation in the CCOA loadings tracked that of the particle-phase PAH concentrations detected by the SV AMS (Figs. 5*a* and 6*a*) (Dzepina et al.,

2007). The time series of BBOA tracked that of acetonitrile (R_{SV}=0.73) (Figs. 5*b* and 6*b*). The O/C ratio of BBOA suggests some degree of aging which may affect the correlation with acetonitrile. Consistently the scatter plot of f_{44} versus f_{60} shows that f_{60} decreases as f_{44} increases (Fig. S13). Our data suggests that the atmospheric background of f_{60} in the CV spectra should be <0.03%. The COA loadings correlate well with the signals of the marker ions of $C_5H_8O^+$ and $C_6H_{10}O^+$ proposed by Sun et
380   al. (2011) (Figs. 5*c* and 6*c*). The time series of HOA tracked the NO concentrations. Although both BBOA and HOA show good correlations with many tracers, the difference of the two factors in time series is quite clear (e.g., on December 19, 25, 27 and so on). As for OOAs, the LO-OOA loadings only show moderate correlations with the tracers in Fig. 6*e*, and MO-OOA shows large mass enhancements during the haze episodes (Figs. 5*f*). The MO-OOA loading correlates well with secondary species like sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium as well as RH (Fig. 6*f*). As described previously, some studies suggest this factor
385   may be related to aqueous processing but the information is limited for further analysis.

The time series of the mass concentrations of the CV and SV PMF factors tracked each other, although the loadings of some factors differ. As shown in Fig. 5*g-l*, the correlation coefficients are 0.9 or greater except for BBOA ($R = 0.59$). Additionally, each of the CV PMF factors shows the best correlation with the corresponding SV factors except for BBOA (Fig. 6*g-l*). The difference in mass resolution between the UMR and the HR PMF analysis may affect the PMF results. Figures S12 and S14
390   show the comparison of the PMF factors derived from the UMR and HR SV data. The differences in the diurnal variations of the loadings of the UMR-SV vs. HR-SV PMF factors are small (Fig. S12). Good correlations with R values of 0.85 to 0.98 in Fig. S14 indicate that mass resolution is perhaps not the main reason for the difference between CV BBOA and SV BBOA in Fig. 5*h*. The slopes between UMR and HR PMF results of the SV data range from 0.66 to 1.16, suggesting that the separate PMF analysis on the same data with different mass resolution may lead to ~~an~~ loading uncertainty of about 20% for primary
395   OA factors and about 40% for OOAs ~~related to the mass resolution~~. A plausible explanation for the low correlation coefficient for BBOA in Fig. 5*h* is that the low signal-to-noise ratios of the tracer ions lead to insufficient separation of BBOA from other factors in the PMF analysis of the CV data. As described previously, the ~~average values of~~ f_{60} and f_{73} values in the CV spectra~~BBOA factor~~ are ~~quite~~much lower than in the SV spectra because of prolonged thermal decomposition~~because of aging~~. Aging further reduced f_{60} and f_{73}, resulting in noisier f_{60} and f_{73} in the CV data than in the SV ~~The time series of f_{60} and f_{73} in~~
400   ~~the CV spectra are much noisier than in the SV spectra~~ (Fig. S15). ~~The low signal-to-noise ratio of the tracer ions may lead to insufficient separation of BBOA from other factors in the PMF analysis of the CV data. Indeed~~For the same reason, the CV BBOA factor has weaker temporal correlation with acetonitrile than the SV BBOA factor does ($R_{CV} = 0.48$ vs. $R_{SV} = 0.73$).

The signal-to-noise ratios of the tracer ions for other primary OA factors and the OOA factors are much greater than those of the BBOA factor. Although the correlations are generally good, the regression slopes for the CV vs. SV loadings are about 1.0
405   for HOA, 0.4 for CCOA, 0.3 for COA, 1.15 for LO-OOA, and 1.42 for MO-OOA (Fig. 5*g-l*). Some difference in particle transmission efficiency exist between the two instruments, which may contribute to the loading discrepancies between the CV and SV PMF factors. Specially, the CV TOF-ACSM uses the PM_1 cyclone in line with $PM_{2.5}$ lens, and the SV AMS has standard PM_1 lens. The $PM_{2.5}$ lens have 10-20% lower transmission efficiency for 100-200 nm (vacuum aerodynamic diameter)

particles (Xu et al., 2017). The PM$_1$ cyclone has 50% transmission efficiency at 1.0 µm (aerodynamic diameter), whereas the

410   standard PM$_1$ lens have 35-40% transmission efficiency for particles having vacuum aerodynamic diameter of 0.8-1.0 µm (Liu et al., 2007). These differences are however small compared to the instrument and PMF uncertainties, which is consistent with the good comparisons of species loadings discussed in Sect. 3.2. Moreover, CE and RIE affect the calculation of the mass loadings. The RIE for COA (SV) may be 1.56 to 3.06, which is greater than the default value of 1.4 (Reyes-Villegas et al., 2018). Another study showed that the CV and SV instruments may have different RIE for OA having low carbon oxidation

415   state (e.g., less than −1.0) (Xu et al., 2018). There is lack of information about the RIE for CCOA, but the carbon oxidation state of CCOA and COA are both low (−1.26 and −1.56, respectively). A combination of externally mixing, large CE for SV (>0.5), and greater RIE in SV than CV may explain the low slopes for CCOA and COA in Fig. 5g,i. Yet related information is limited for further analysis. Another contributor is the PMF uncertainty. Enhanced thermal decomposition alters the mass spectra (Sect. 3.1). The CV spectra have much more abundant f_{44} and its related ions. The changes in the mass spectra lead to

420   different weighting of the ions related to various factors in the PMF analysis and hence perhaps affect the PMF analysis of factor loadings. Hu et al. (2018b) also show that the slopes for MO-OOA and LO-OOA (CV vs. SV) are 1.46 and 0.59 respectively for the aircraft study in Korea, 1.20 and 0.67 respectively for the study in Southeast US. The slopes for MO-OOA and LO-OOA in our study are both greater than 1.0 (Fig. 5k-l). The greater OOA concentrations are balanced by the lower loadings of CCOA and COA, and therefore the total OA loadings are consistent with each other between the CV and SV data

425   sets (Fig. 3a).

Figure 7 shows the fractional contribution of the campaign-average concentrations of each statistical PMF factor to the OA mass. The SV PMF results from HR (and UMR) data suggests 12% (11%) of BBOA, 12% (15%) of CCOA, 23% (27%) of COA, 13% (13%) of HOA, 15% (13%) of MO-OOA, and 25% (21%) of LO-OOA, meaning about 60% of primary OA and 40% of OOAs. The results are similar to the previous findings in winter of Beijing except for the low fraction of CCOA.

430   Previous studies indicate 20-30% of CCOA during 2010 to 2013 (Hu et al., 2016;Sun et al., 2013;Sun et al., 2014;Sun et al., 2016). The decrease of the CCOA contribution is consistent with the stringent emission control of residential coal burning during 2016 to 2017. If the RIE of COA is underestimated, the COA loading might be overestimated and the mass fraction of COA should be lower. The CV PMF results suggest 8% of BBOA, 5% of CCOA, 10% of COA, 16% of HOA, 28% of MO-OOA, and 32% of LO-OOA, which means about 40% of primary OA factors and 60% of OOAs. BBOA is not well identified

435   by the CV PMF analysis because of the low signal-to-noise ratio of tracer ions. The reasons for different factor loading levels between CV and SV is unclear. Changes in the mass spectra may contribute to the PMF uncertainties. Figure 7 shows that the bootstrap uncertainties of PMF are lower in the UMR-CV than in the HR-SV analysis. Hu et al. (2018b) showed lower CV bootstrap uncertainty for the study in Southeast US and greater uncertainty in the CV for the Korea aircraft and Billerica studies.

4 Conclusions

In this study, we showed the CV mass spectra of typical anthropogenic OA, including vehicle exhaust, cooking exhaust, coal combustion emission, water soluble OA from crop burning, and aromatic SOA. The marker ions for various sources defined in the previous SV-based analysis are still distinct from adjacent peaks in the CV mass spectra. Cooking and vehicle exhaust show similarities in distinct alkyl fragments but very different f_{55}/f_{57} ratios in the OA spectra. The PAH-related ions are clearly present in the spectra for lignite and bituminous coal but not for anthracite. Although f_{60} and f_{73} are significantly lower in the CV spectra for water soluble BBOA, they are still greater than most of other OA and work as BBOA tracers. We also examined the performance of CV for mass quantification of non-refractory chemical species and source apportionment of OA in Beijing. The concentrations and temporal variations of OA, sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium derived from the CV data agree well with the SV results. Our results support the CE of about unity and good mass quantifications of ambient particles by the CV TOF-ACSM. Moreover, six statistical factors are identified, including CCOA, BBOA, COA, HOA, and two OOAs (LO-OOA and MO-OOA) by the PMF analysis on both CV and SV data. Our data suggest an atmospheric background of f_{60} of below 0.03% for CV. Despite the loading difference for some PMF factors, the good correlations of the mass concentrations of the CV and SV factors as well as the similarity between the mass spectra of ambient OA factors and the spectra of specific anthropogenic OA indicate effective PMF analysis with the CV in polluted urban environments like Beijing. Our CV-based PMF results show less fractional contribution of primary OA factors and greater contributions of OOAs than the SV. Such difference may affect the interpretation of results and the comparisons among studies. We recommend to add "CV-" as the prefix of the PMF factor names for future CV-based AMS, ACSM, or TOF-ACSM studies to differentiate them from the published results from traditional SV-based instruments. Further information about the particle mixing state, CE (SV) and RIE of primary OA may help understanding the loading difference of the CV and SV PMF factors.

Data availability. Data presented in this manuscript are available upon request to the corresponding author.

Author contribution. QC, TZ, and YZ designed the study. YZ, XC, KRL, and YWL conducted the measurements. YL, RJH, SYC, and LMZ provided data or samples. QC and YZ analyzed the data. QC and YZ prepared the manuscript with contributions from all authors.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (91544107, 41875165, and 51861135102) and the State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution Control (15Y02ESPCP and 16Y01ESPCP). The authors gratefully acknowledge Mikinori Kuwata and Manjula Canagaratna for helpful discussion.

References

Bruns, E. A., Perraud, V., Zelenyuk, A., Ezell, M. J., Johnson, S. N., Yu, Y., Imre, D., Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., and Alexander, M. L.: Comparison of FTIR and particle mass spectrometry for the measurement of particulate organic nitrates, Environmental Science & Technology, 44, 1056-1061, 10.1021/es9029864, 2010.

Bruns, E. A., Krapf, M., Orasche, J., Huang, Y., Zimmermann, R., Drinovec, L., Mocnik, G., El-Haddad, I., Slowik, J. G., Dommen, J., Baltensperger, U., and Prevot, A. S. H.: Characterization of primary and secondary wood combustion products generated under different burner loads, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 2825-2841, 10.5194/acp-15-2825-2015, 2015.

475 Budisulistiorini, S. H., Canagaratna, M. R., Croteau, P. L., Baumann, K., Edgerton, E. S., Kollman, M. S., Ng, N. L., Verma, V., Shaw, S. L., Knipping, E. M., Worsnop, D. R., Jayne, J. T., Weber, R. J., and Surratt, J. D.: Intercomparison of an aerosol chemical speciation monitor (ACSM) with ambient fine aerosol measurements in downtown Atlanta, Georgia, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 7, 1929-1941, 10.5194/amt-7-1929-2014, 2014.

Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Ghertner, D. A., Herndon, S., Shi, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Silva, P. J., Williams, P., Lanni, T., Drewnick, F.,
480 Demerjian, K. L., Kolb, C. E., and Worsnop, D. R.: Chase studies of particulate emissions from in-use New York City vehicles, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 38, 555-573, 10.1080/02786820490465504, 2004.

Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Jimenez, J. L., Allan, J. D., Alfarra, M. R., Zhang, Q., Onasch, T. B., Drewnick, F., Coe, H., and Middlebrook, A.: Chemical and microphysical characterization of ambient aerosols with the aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer, Mass Spectrometry Reviews, 26, 185-222, 2007.

485 Chen, Q., Farmer, D. K., Rizzo, L. V., Pauliquevis, T., Kuwata, M., Karl, T. G., Guenther, A., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Andreae, M. O., Poschl, U., Jimenez, J. L., Artaxo, P., and Martin, S. T.: Submicron particle mass concentrations and sources in the Amazonian wet season (AMAZE-08), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 3687-3701, 10.5194/acp-15-3687-2015, 2015.

Chhabra, P. S., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Elemental analysis of chamber organic aerosol using an aerodyne high-resolution aerosol mass spectrometer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 4111-4131, 10.5194/acp-10-4111-2010, 2010.

490 Crosier, J., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Bower, K. N., Formenti, P., and Williams, P. I.: Chemical composition of summertime aerosol in the Po Valley (Italy), northern Adriatic and Black Sea, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 133, 61-75, 10.1002/qj.88, 2007.

Cubison, M. J., Ortega, A. M., Hayes, P. L., and Farmer, D. K.: Effects of aging on organic aerosol from open biomass burning smoke in aircraft and laboratory studies, Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics, 11, 12049-12064, 2011.

Decarlo, P. F., Kimmel, J. R., Trimborn, A., Northway, M. J., Jayne, J. T., Aiken, A. C., Gonin, M., Fuhrer, K., Horvath, T., and Docherty,
495 K. S.: Field-deployable, high-resolution, time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer, Analytical Chemistry, 78, 8281-8289, 2006.

Docherty, K. S., Stone, E. A., Ulbrich, I. M., DeCarlo, P. F., Snyder, D. C., Schauer, J. J., Peltier, R. E., Weber, R. J., Murphy, S. M., Seinfeld, J. H., Grover, B. D., Eatough, D. J., and Jimenez, J. L.: Apportionment of primary and secondary organic aerosols in southern california during the 2005 study of organic aerosols in Riverside (SOAR-1), Environmental Science & Technology, 42, 7655-7662, 10.1021/es8008166, 2008.

500 Drewnick, F., Diesch, J. M., Faber, P., and Borrmann, S.: Aerosol mass spectrometry: particle-vaporizer interactions and their consequences for the measurements, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques Discussions, 8, 3525-3570, 2015.

Dzepina, K., Arey, J., Marr, L. C., Worsnop, D. R., Salcedo, D., Zhang, Q., Onasch, T. B., Molina, L. T., Molina, M. J., and Jimenez, J. L.: Detection of particle-phase polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Mexico City using an aerosol mass spectrometer, Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 263, 152-170, 10.1016/j.ijms.2007.01.010, 2007.

505 Elser, M., Huang, R. J., Wolf, R., Slowik, J. G., Wang, Q. Y., Canonaco, F., Li, G. H., Bozzetti, C., Daellenbach, K. R., Huang, Y., Zhang, R. J., Li, Z. Q., Cao, J. J., Baltensperger, U., El-Haddad, I., and Prevot, A. S. H.: New insights into PM2.5 chemical composition and sources in two major cities in China during extreme haze events using aerosol mass spectrometry, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 3207-3225, 10.5194/acp-16-3207-2016, 2016.

Farmer, D. K., Matsunaga, A., Docherty, K. S., Surratt, J. D., Seinfeld, J. H., Ziemann, P. J., and Jimenez, J. L.: Response of an aerosol mass spectrometer to organonitrates and organosulfates and implications for atmospheric chemistry, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 107, 6670-6675, 10.1073/pnas.0912340107, 2010.

Fröhlich, R., Cubison, M. J., Slowik, J. G., Bukowiecki, N., Prévôt, A. S. H., Baltensperger, U., Schneider, J., Kimmel, J. R., Gonin, M., and Rohner, U.: The ToF-ACSM: a portable aerosol chemical speciation monitor with TOFMS detection, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 6, 3225-3241, 2013.

Fry, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Rollins, A. W., Wooldridge, P. J., Brown, S. S., Fuchs, H., Dube, W., Mensah, A., dal Maso, M., Tillmann, R., Dorn, H. P., Brauers, T., and Cohen, R. C.: Organic nitrate and secondary organic aerosol yield from NO3 oxidation of beta-pinene evaluated using a gas-phase kinetics/aerosol partitioning model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1431-1449, 2009.

Ge, X. L., Zhang, Q., Sun, Y. L., Ruehl, C. R., and Setyan, A.: Effect of aqueous-phase processing on aerosol chemistry and size distributions in Fresno, California, during wintertime, Environ. Chem., 9, 221-235, 10.1071/en11168, 2012.

He, L. Y., Lin, Y., Huang, X. F., Guo, S., Xue, L., Su, Q., Hu, M., Luan, S. J., and Zhang, Y. H.: Characterization of high-resolution aerosol mass spectra of primary organic aerosol emissions from Chinese cooking and biomass burning, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 11535-11543, 10.5194/acp-10-11535-2010, 2010.

Hu, W. W., Campuzano-Jost, P., Palm, B. B., Day, D. A., Ortega, A. M., Hayes, P. L., Krechmer, J. E., Chen, Q., Kuwata, M., Liu, Y. J., de Sa, S. S., McKinney, K., Martin, S. T., Hu, M., Budisulistiorini, S. H., Riva, M., Surratt, J. D., St Clair, J. M., Isaacman-Van Wertz, G., Yee, L. D., Goldstein, A. H., Carbone, S., Brito, J., Artaxo, P., de Gouw, J. A., Koss, A., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Karl, T., Kaser, L., Jud, W., Hansel, A., Docherty, K. S., Alexander, M. L., Robinson, N. H., Coe, H., Allan, J. D., Canagaratna, M. R., Paulot, F., and Jimenez, J. L.: Characterization of a real-time tracer for isoprene epoxydiols-derived secondary organic aerosol (IEPOX-SOA) from aerosol mass spectrometer measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 11807-11833, 10.5194/acp-15-11807-2015, 2015.

Hu, W. W., Hu, M., Hu, W., Jimenez, J. L., Yuan, B., Chen, W. T., Wang, M., Wu, Y. S., Chen, C., Wang, Z. B., Peng, J. F., Zeng, L. M., and Shao, M.: Chemical composition, sources, and aging process of submicron aerosols in Beijing: Contrast between summer and winter, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 121, 1955-1977, 10.1002/2015jd024020, 2016.

Hu, W. W., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Croteau, P., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Evaluation of the new capture vapourizer for aerosol mass spectrometers (AMS) through laboratory studies of inorganic species, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10, 2897-2921, 10.5194/amt-10-2897-2017, 2017a.

Hu, W. W., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Croteau, P., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Evaluation of the new capture vaporizer for aerosol mass spectrometers (AMS) through field studies of inorganic species, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 51, 735-754, 10.1080/02786826.2017.1296104, 2017b.

Hu, W. W., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Nault, B. A., Park, T., Lee, T., Croteau, P., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Evaluation of the new capture vaporizer for aerosol mass spectrometers: Characterization of organic aerosol mass spectra, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 52, 725-739, 10.1080/02786826.2018.1454584, 2018a.

Hu, W. W., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Nault, B. A., Park, T., Lee, T., Croteau, P. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Evaluation of the new capture vaporizer for aerosol mass spectrometers (AMS): Elemental composition and source apportionment of organic aerosols (OA), ACS Earth and Space Chemistry, 10.1021/acsearthspacechem.8b00002, 2018b.

Huang, G. C., Liu, Y., Shao, M., Li, Y., Chen, Q., Zheng, Y., Wu, Z. J., Liu, Y. C., Wu, Y. S., Hu, M., Li, X., Lu, S. H., Wang, C. J., Liu, J. Y., Zheng, M., and Zhu, T.: Potentially important contribution of gas-phase oxidation of naphthalene and methylnaphthalene to secondary organic aerosol during haze events in Beijing, Environmental Science & Technology, 53, 1235-1244, 10.1021/acs.est.8b04523, 2019.

Huang, X. F., He, L. Y., Hu, M., Canagaratna, M. R., Kroll, J. H., Ng, N. L., Zhang, Y. H., Lin, Y., Xue, L., Sun, T. L., Liu, X. G., Shao, M., Jayne, J. T., and Worsnop, D. R.: Characterization of submicron aerosols at a rural site in Pearl River Delta of China using an Aerodyne High-Resolution Aerosol Mass Spectrometer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 1865-1877, 10.5194/acp-11-1865-2011, 2011.

550 Jimenez, J. L., Jayne, J. T., Shi, Q., Kolb, C. E., Worsnop, D. R., Yourshaw, I., Seinfeld, J. H., Flagan, R. C., Zhang, X., and Smith, K. A.: Ambient aerosol sampling using the Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer, Journal of Geophysical Research, 108, 447-457, 2003.

Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Donahue, N. M., Prevot, A. S. H., Zhang, Q., Kroll, J. H., DeCarlo, P. F., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ng, N. L., Aiken, A. C., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M., Grieshop, A. P., Robinson, A. L., Duplissy, J., Smith, J. D., Wilson, K. R., Lanz, V. A., Hueglin, C., Sun, Y. L., Tian, J., Laaksonen, A., Raatikainen, T., Rautiainen, J., Vaattovaara, P., Ehn, M., Kulmala, M., Tomlinson, J. M., 555 Collins, D. R., Cubison, M. J., Dunlea, E. J., Huffman, J. A., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Williams, P. I., Bower, K., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Salcedo, D., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R., Takami, A., Miyoshi, T., Hatakeyama, S., Shimono, A., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., Dzepina, K., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Jayne, J. T., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, A. M., Williams, L. R., Wood, E. C., Middlebrook, A. M., Kolb, C. E., Baltensperger, U., and Worsnop, D. R.: Evolution of organic aerosols in the atmosphere, Science, 326, 1525-1529, 10.1126/science.1180353, 2009.

560 Kroll, J. H., Smith, J. D., Che, D. L., Kessler, S. H., Worsnop, D. R., and Wilson, K. R.: Measurement of fragmentation and functionalization pathways in the heterogeneous oxidation of oxidized organic aerosol, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 11, 8005-8014, 10.1039/b905289e, 2009.

Kroll, J. H., Donahue, N. M., Jimenez, J. L., Kessler, S. H., Canagaratna, M. R., Wilson, K. R., Altieri, K. E., Mazzoleni, L. R., Wozniak, A. S., Bluhm, H., Mysak, E. R., Smith, J. D., Kolb, C. E., and Worsnop, D. R.: Carbon oxidation state as a metric for describing the chemistry of atmospheric organic aerosol, Nat. Chem., 3, 133-139, 10.1038/nchem.948, 2011.

565 Lambe, A., Massoli, P., Zhang, X., Canagaratna, M., Nowak, J., Daube, C., Yan, C., Nie, W., Onasch, T., Jayne, J., Kolb, C., Davidovits, P., Worsnop, D., and Brune, W.: Controlled nitric oxide production via O(D-1) + N2O reactions for use in oxidation flow reactor studies, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 10, 2283-2298, 10.5194/amt-10-2283-2017, 2017.

Lanz, V. A., Alfarra, M. R., Baltensperger, U., Buchmann, B., Hueglin, C., and Prevot, A. S. H.: Source apportionment of submicron organic aerosols at an urban site by factor analytical modelling of aerosol mass spectra, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 1503-1522, 10.5194/acp-7-1503-570 2007, 2007.

Li, L. J., Qi, L., and Cocker, D. R.: Contribution of methyl group to secondary organic aerosol formation from aromatic hydrocarbon photooxidation, Atmos. Environ., 151, 133-139, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.11.064, 2017.

Lin, C. S., Ceburnis, D., Hellebust, S., Buckley, P., Wenger, J., Canonaco, F., Prevot, A. S. H., Huang, R. J., O'Dowd, C., and Ovadnevaite, J.: Characterization of primary organic aerosol from domestic wood, peat, and coal burning in Ireland, Environmental Science & Technology, 575 51, 10624-10632, 10.1021/acs.est.7b01926, 2017.

Liu, P. S. K., Deng, R., Smith, K. A., Williams, L. R., Jayne, J. T., Canagaratna, M. R., Moore, K., Onasch, T. B., Worsnop, D. R., and Deshler, T.: Transmission efficiency of an aerodynamic focusing lens system: Comparison of model calculations and laboratory measurements for the Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 41, 721-733, 10.1080/02786820701422278, 2007.

Matthew, B. M., Middlebrook, A. M., and Onasch, T. B.: Collection efficiencies in an Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer as a function of 580 particle phase for laboratory generated aerosols, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 42, 884-898, 10.1080/02786820802356797, 2008.

Middlebrook, A. M., Bahreini, R., Jimenez, J. L., and Canagaratna, M. R.: Evaluation of composition-dependent collection efficiencies for the Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer using field data, Aerosol Science & Technology, 46, 258-271, 2012.

Mohr, C., Huffman, J. A., Cubison, M. J., Aiken, A. C., Docherty, K. S., Kimmel, J. R., Ulbrich, I. M., Hannigan, M., and Jimenez, J. L.: Characterization of primary organic aerosol emissions from meat cooking, trash burning, and motor vehicles with high-resolution aerosol

585   mass spectrometry and comparison with ambient and chamber observations, Environmental Science & Technology, 43, 2443-2449, 10.1021/es8011518, 2009.

Ng, N. L., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, A., Canagaratna, M. R., Croteau, P., Onasch, T. B., Sueper, D., Worsnop, D. R., and Jayne, J. T.: An aerosol chemical speciation monitor (ACSM) for routine monitoring of the composition and mass concentrations of ambient aerosol, 2011, 780-794,

590   Orsavova, J., Misurcova, L., Ambrozova, J., Vicha, R., and Mlcek, J.: Fatty acids composition of vegetable oils and its contribution to dietary energy intake and dependence of cardiovascular mortality on dietary intake of fatty acids, Int. J. Mol. Sci., 16, 12871-12890, 10.3390/ijms160612871, 2015.

Paatero, P., and Tapper, U.: Positive matrix factorization - a nonnegative factor model with optimal utilization of error-estimates of data values, Environmetrics, 5, 111-126, 10.1002/env.3170050203, 1994.

595   Phillips, D. H.: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the diet, Mutat. Res. Genet. Toxicol. Environ. Mutagen., 443, 139-147, 10.1016/s1383-5742(99)00016-2, 1999.

Reyes-Villegas, E., Bannan, T., Le Breton, M., Mehra, A., Priestley, M., Percival, C., Coe, H., and Allan, J. D.: Online chemical characterization of food-cooking organic aerosols: implications for source apportionment, Environmental Science & Technology, 52, 5308-5318, 10.1021/acs.est.7b06278, 2018.

600   Robinson, N. H., Hamilton, J. F., Allan, J. D., Langford, B., Oram, D. E., Chen, Q., Docherty, K., Farmer, D. K., Jimenez, J. L., Ward, M. W., Hewitt, C. N., Barley, M. H., Jenkin, M. E., Rickard, A. R., Martin, S. T., McFiggans, G., and Coe, H.: Evidence for a significant proportion of secondary organic aerosol from isoprene above a maritime tropical forest, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 1039-1050, 10.5194/acp-11-1039-2011, 2011.

Schneider, J., Weimer, S., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Helas, G., Gwaze, P., Schmid, O., Andreae, M. O., and Kirchner, U.: Mass
605   spectrometric analysis and aerodynamic properties of various types of combustion-related aerosol particles, Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 258, 37-49, 10.1016/j.ijms.2006.07.008, 2006.

Schneider, J., Freutel, F., Zorn, S. R., Chen, Q., Farmer, D. K., Jimenez, J. L., Martin, S. T., Artaxo, P., Wiedensohler, A., and Borrmann, S.: Mass- spectrometric identification of primary biological particle markers and application to pristine submicron aerosol measurements in Amazonia, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 11415-11429, 10.5194/acp-11-11415-2011, 2011.

610   Sun, Y. L., Zhang, Q., Schwab, J. J., Demerjian, K. L., Chen, W. N., Bae, M. S., Hung, H. M., Hogrefe, O., Frank, B., Rattigan, O. V., and Lin, Y. C.: Characterization of the sources and processes of organic and inorganic aerosols in New York city with a high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass apectrometer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 1581-1602, 10.5194/acp-11-1581-2011, 2011.

Sun, Y. L., Wang, Z. F., Fu, P. Q., Yang, T., Jiang, Q., Dong, H. B., Li, J., and Jia, J. J.: Aerosol composition, sources and processes during wintertime in Beijing, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 4577-4592, 10.5194/acp-13-4577-2013, 2013.

615   Sun, Y. L., Jiang, Q., Wang, Z. F., Fu, P. Q., Li, J., Yang, T., and Yin, Y.: Investigation of the sources and evolution processes of severe haze pollution in Beijing in January 2013, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 119, 4380-4398, 10.1002/2014jd021641, 2014.

Sun, Y. L., Du, W., Fu, P. Q., Wang, Q. Q., Li, J., Ge, X. L., Zhang, Q., Zhu, C. M., Ren, L. J., Xu, W. Q., Zhao, J., Han, T. T., Worsnop, D. R., and Wang, Z. F.: Primary and secondary aerosols in Beijing in winter: sources, variations and processes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 8309-8329, 10.5194/acp-16-8309-2016, 2016.

620   Tian, J., Chow, J. C., Cao, J. J., Han, Y., Ni, H. Y., Chen, L. W. A., Wang, X. L., Huang, R. J., Moosmuller, H., and Watson, J. G.: A biomass combustion chamber: design, evaluation, and a case study of wheat straw combustion emission tests, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 15, 2104-2114, 10.4209/aaqr.2015.03.0167, 2015.

Tian, J., Ni, H. Y., Han, Y. M., Shen, Z. X., Wang, Q. Y., Long, X., Zhang, Y., and Cao, J. J.: Primary PM2.5 and trace gas emissions from residential coal combustion: assessing semi-coke briquette for emission reduction in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region, China, Atmos. Environ., 191, 378-386, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.07.031, 2018.

Ulbrich, I. M., Canagaratna, M. R., Zhang, Q., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Interpretation of organic components from positive matrix factorization of aerosol mass spectrometric data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 2891-2918, 10.5194/acp-9-2891-2009, 2009.

Weimer, S., Alfarra, M. R., Schreiber, D., Mohr, M., Prevot, A. S. H., and Baltensperger, U.: Organic aerosol mass spectral signatures from wood-burning emissions: influence of burning conditions and wood type, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 113, 10, 10.1029/2007jd009309, 2008.

Xu, W., Croteau, P., Williams, L., Canagaratna, M., Onasch, T., Cross, E., Zhang, X., Robinson, W., Worsnop, D., and Jayne, J.: Laboratory characterization of an aerosol chemical speciation monitor with PM2.5 measurement capability, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 51, 69-83, 10.1080/02786826.2016.1241859, 2017.

Xu, W., Lambe, A., Silva, P., Hu, W. W., Onasch, T., Williams, L., Croteau, P., Zhang, X., Renbaum-Wolff, L., Fortner, E., Jimenez, J. L., Jayne, J., Worsnop, D., and Canagaratna, M.: Laboratory evaluation of species-dependent relative ionization efficiencies in the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 52, 626-641, 10.1080/02786826.2018.1439570, 2018.

Zhang, Q., Alfarra, M. R., Worsnop, D. R., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Canagaratna, M. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Deconvolution and quantification of hydrocarbon-like and oxygenated organic aerosols based on aerosol mass spectrometry, Environmental Science & Technology, 39, 4938-4952, 2005.

Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Ulbrich, I. M., Ng, N. L., Worsnop, D. R., and Sun, Y.: Understanding atmospheric organic aerosols via factor analysis of aerosol mass spectrometry: a review, Analytical & Bioanalytical Chemistry, 401, 3045-3067, 2011.

Figure 1. Mass spectra of OA produced from various anthropogenic sources. The CV spectra are obtained by the TOF-ACSM in this study. The SV spectra are taken from literature and the AMS spectral database (Ulbrich, I.M., Handschy, A., Lechner, M., and Jimenez, J.L., URL: http://cires.colorado.edu/jimenez-group/AMSsd/"). The intensity of *m/z* 28 and 18 are calculated as 100% and 22.5% of the intensity of *m/z* 44 for all the spectra (Aiken et al., 2008). In panels *c*, *g*, and *i*, the relative intensity of *m/z* 28 and 44 are truncated into half of the original intensities. The error bars in panels *d* and *h* show one standard deviation of the relative intensities reported in the reference spectra and only the upper sides of the bars are shown. Mass spectra are shown for the *m/z* range of 20 to 120. The inserts in panels *e-f* show extended *m/z* ranges for the corresponding spectra.

Figure 2. The CV mass spectra of anthropogenic OA that are not included in Fig. 1. The intensity of m/z 28 and 18 are calculated as 100% and 22.5% of the intensity of m/z 44 for all the spectra (Aiken et al., 2008). The relative intensity of m/z 28 and 44 in all panels are truncated into half of the original intensities. Mass spectra are shown for the _m/z_ range of 20 to 120. The inserts in panels a-b show extended _m/z_ ranges for the corresponding spectra.

Figure 3. Time series (a-f) and scatter plots (g-l) of the mass concentrations of OA, nitrate, sulfate, ammonium, chloride, and the sum of them (i.e., total NR-PM1) measured by the CV TOF-ACSM and the SV AMS. Panel f also shows the mass concentrations of PM1 derived from the SMPS measurements for an assumption of spherical particles with an effective density of 1350 kg m-3. The grey lines in the scatter plots represent the orthogonal distance regressions with intercepts. The slopes and the correlation coefficients (Pearson's R) are shown in the legend.

Figure 4. Mass spectra of the statistical OA factors, CCOA, BBOA, COA, HOA, LO-OOA, and MO-OOA that are identified by the PMF analysis. The high-resolution intensity bars in panels a-f are color-coded by the contribution of various ion families (i.e., fragments containing C, H, O, or N for subscripts of x, y, and z), as determined from the analysis of the SV AMS data. The elemental ratios of atomic hydrogen-to-carbon (H/C), oxygen-to-carbon (O/C), and nitrogen-to-carbon (N/C) ratios and the organic-mass-to-organic-carbon ratios (OM/OC) of each factor are calculated from the SV AMS data on the basis of the "Improved-Ambient" method (Canagaratna et al., 2015). The UMR bars in panels g-l are calculated from the CV TOF-ACSM data. The _m/z_ range of 12 to 20 was included in the PMF analysis although not shown.

Figure 5. Time series (a-f) and scatter plots (g-l) of the mass concentrations of the six OA factors identified by the PMF analysis on the UMR-CV and HR-SV data sets as well as the time series of the potential tracers. The grey lines in the scatter plots represent the orthogonal distance regressions with intercepts. The slopes and the Pearson's R values are shown in the legend. PAH refers to the particle-phase PAH concentrations detected by the SV AMS.

Figure 6. Pearson's R values for (a-f) the correlations between the time series of the concentrations of the six OA factors that are identified by the PMF analysis on the CV and SV data sets and potential tracers and (g-l) the correlations between the time series of the concentrations of each OA factor from the CV data and the six OA factors from the SV data. PAH refers to the particle-phase PAH concentrations detected by the SV AMS.

Figure 7. The average fractional contribution to the OA mass by each of the six statistical PMF factors. The uncertainty bars are calculated from the bootstrapping analysis (Table S12).