Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2019-474-RC4, 2020 © Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



## **AMTD**

Interactive comment

## Interactive comment on "The AERONET Version 3 aerosol retrieval algorithm, associated uncertainties and comparisons to Version 2" by A. Sinyuk et al.

## **Anonymous Referee #5**

Received and published: 18 February 2020

The paper is, in the main, well written and a valuable resource for the wide Aeronet user community and the larger atmospheric aerosol community in general.

The other reviewers have done a thorough job reviewing the manuscript. I will only add a few points here.

1) As noted by reviewer #4 that the results need a deeper discussion, however, the paper is already long. Reviewer #4 suggests splitting the paper into two parts, the description on the algorithm and the evaluation of uncertainties.

In general, I see the merit in this suggestion. I would add that the authors should consider the use of appendices for more detailed discussions. Doing this could improve

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



the readability of the paper without compromising the level of detail for the 'expert' reader.

2) Reviewer 4 also makes points out the shortcomings in the scatter plots i.e. when so many points are plotted all it results in a 'blob' being produced and the information as to the number of observations represented is lost. I strongly agree with Reviewer 4's recommendation regarding this point.

Editorial issues not noted by other referees.

Line 40. Insert a comma after 'statistics'.

Line 340: 'the backscattering direction.'

Line 450: 'new' ==> 'newly'

Line 456: 'by varying the azimuth angle similar to that of an ALM scan except than the view angle is not equal to SZA.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2019-474, 2020.

## **AMTD**

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

