

Interactive comment on “Counting on Chemistry: Laboratory Evaluation of Seed Material-Dependent Detection Efficiencies of Ultrafine Condensation Particle Counters” by Peter Josef Wlasits et al.

Peter Josef Wlasits et al.

peter.wlasits@univie.ac.at

Received and published: 12 May 2020

Final Author’s Response to Referee #3

By P. J. Wlasits

peter.wlasits@univie.ac.at

We thank Referee #3 for the critical assessment of our work and the helpful comments. In the following we address the comments point by point:

General: Please use a different method to denote the tuned instrument rather than an asterisk, as this normally implies a footnote. Suggest superscript-‘T’

C1

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



The suggestion will be implemented as stated.

The following changes will be made:

5/4, 6/19, 7/6, 7/8, 8/5, 8/7, 8/18, 8/26, Fig. 4, Table 3, Table 4, Fig. 7 and Fig. S1: Asterisks replaced by T's.

Page 3, line 12: The purities and grades of all of the chemical stocks should be stated, including the solvent used for the BCY solution. Also state the concentration of the BCY solution.

Correction: The BCY was used as purchased and was not diluted. The purity of the used BCY will be added and the word 'BCY solution' will be replaced by 'BCY' in order to avoid confusion.

The following changes will be made:

3/11: The counting efficiencies of the CPCs were measured using four different types of seed particles, generated from sodium chloride (NaCl, pro analysi, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), silver (Ag, wool for elemental analysis, CAS No.: 7440-22-4, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), ammonium sulfate ((NH₄)₂SO₄, pro analysi, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and β -caryophyllene (C₁₅H₂₄, BCY, $\geq 80\%$, CAS No.: 87-44-5, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, USA).

4/18: The seeds were produced by evaporating BCY into a clean airstream and subsequently mixing it with ozone, allowing the ozonolysis reaction to take place inside the flow tube.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Fig.1 / Caption Fig.1: $C_{15}H_{24}O_x$ seeds were generated using BCY (BC), an ozone generator (OG) and a flow tube.

Page 4, line 18: State the method used to generate ozone and control the concentration

An UV lamp was used to generate ozone. The concentrations were not monitored due to previous experiments on the performance of the lamp.

The following sentence will be added:

4/19: Ozone was generated using a custom made UV-lamp with adjustable intensity. An intensity/ozone calibration was performed prior to the experiments with an ozone monitor (ThermoFischer Scientific Model i49), suggesting that the ozone concentrations were in the range of 100-500 ppb.

Page 5, line 24: Please do not use the word 'saturates', as this could cause confusion.

In order to avoid confusion, the word 'saturates' will be replaced by the word 'reaches'.

The following changes will be made:

5/29: On the contrary, the detection efficiency of the TSI 3789 reaches 1 at about 20 nm.

Page 6, line 5: Is this not related to the particle's solubility rather than polarity?

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



We suspect that especially in the sub-10 nm size range charge effects might play a crucial role during the activation of seed particles (s. Figure 7 and 8/32). In order to properly include that into our argumentation, we refrained from using the word 'solubility'. Nevertheless, the observed effects are very similar to dissolution processes.

Page 6, line 19: The word 'astoundingly' isn't particularly scientific. Please describe what aspect was unusual or unexpected.

Since the observation is discussed in the following lines, the word 'astoundingly' will be deleted.

The following changes will be made:

6/19: The TSI 3776^T shows barely no composition dependence of the 50% cut-off diameters, thereby confirming the results of Brilke et al. (2020) for Ag seeds.

Page 7, line 11: The phrase "The effect of just readjusting temperatures can be clearly seen too by. . ." is very clumsy. Please reword.

The following changes will be made:

7/11: Fig. 6a shows the effect of changing the temperature settings and the inlet flow of the TSI 3776. The effect of just changing the temperature settings is shown in Fig. 6b and Fig. 6c (TSI 3777 and TSI 3789).

Page 8, line 32: Remove brackets around the reported statistic

The brackets will be removed throughout the text.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



The following changes will be made:

5/17, 5/18, 5/19, 5/24, 6/4 and 8/32: Brackets around the statistics removed.

Page 10, line 6: The statement about the work being performed independently should really come under the competing interests statement. Could the same statement about TSI be extended to Airmodus?

The presented work has been performed without funding from any company. The statement is true for TSI Inc as well as Airmodus Ltd. The related statement will be moved into the "Competing Interest" - Section and Airmodus Inc. will be included.

The following corrections will be made:

10/1: Competing interest: All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. This study was independently performed and was not co-funded by TSI Inc and Airmodus Ltd.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

