



Two-wavelength thermo-optical determination of Light Absorbing Carbon in 1 2 atmospheric aerosols 3 Dario Massabò^{1,*}, Alessandro Altomari², Virginia Vernocchi¹, Paolo Prati¹ 4 5 1: Dept. of Physics, University of Genoa & INFN, Via Dodecaneso 33, 16146, Genova, Italy 6 7 2: Dept. of Physics, University of Genoa, Via Dodecaneso 33, 16146, Genova, Italy 8 Abstract 9 10 Thermo-optical analysis is widely adopted for the quantitative determination of Total, TC, 11 12 Organic, OC, and Elemental, EC, Carbon in atmospheric aerosol sampled by suitable filters. Nevertheless, the methodology suffers of several uncertainties and artefacts as the well-known 13 14 issue of charring affecting the OC-EC separation. In the standard approach, the effect of the possible presence of Brown Carbon, BrC, in the sample is neglected. BrC is a fraction of OC, 15 16 usually produced by biomass burning with a thermic behaviour intermediate between OC and EC. BrC is optically active: it shows an increasing absorbance when the wavelength moves to 17 the blue/UV region of the electromagnetic spectrum. Definitively, the thermo-optical 18 characterization of carbonaceous aerosol should be reconsidered to address the possible BrC 19 20 content in the sample under analysis. We introduce here a modified Sunset Lab Inc. EC/OC Analyzer. Starting from a standard 21 commercial set-up, the unit has been modified at the Physics Department of the University of 22 Genoa (IT), making possible the alternative use of the standard laser diode at $\lambda = 635$ nm and 23 of a new laser diode at $\lambda = 405$ nm. In this way, the optical transmittance through the sample 24 25 can be monitored at both the wavelengths. Since at shorter wavelengths the BrC absorbance is higher, a better sensitivity to this species is gained. The modified set-up also gives the 26 27 possibility to quantify the BrC concentration in the sample at both the wavelengths. The new unit has been thoroughly tested, with both artificial and real-world samples: the first 28 experiment, in conjunction with the Multi Wavelength Absorbance Analyzer (MWAA, 29 Massabò et al., 2013 and 2015), resulted in the first direct determination of the BrC Mass 30 Absorption Coefficient (MAC) at $\lambda = 405$ nm: MAC = 23 ± 1 m² g⁻¹. 31 32 Keywords: carbonaceous aerosol, brown carbon, thermo-optical analysis, mass absorption 33

34 coefficient

35 36

^{*} Corresponding Author: massabo@ge.infn.it





1. Introduction

38

Light absorbing carbon (LAC) is the fraction of carbonaceous aerosol, which can absorb 39 40 electromagnetic radiation in the visible or near-visible range (Pöschl, 2003; Bond and 41 Bergstrom, 2006; Moosmüller et al., 2009; Ferrero et al., 2018). A wide literature investigates and characterizes the optical properties of the inorganic-refractory LAC fraction, usually 42 referred as Black Carbon, BC, (e.g. Bond et al., 2013; and reference therein) which is strongly 43 absorbing from UV to IR, with a weak dependence on wavelength (Bond and Bergstrom, 2006; 44 Moosmüller et al., 2009). Much less studied and understood is the organic LAC, often labelled 45 as Brown Carbon, which appears to be optically active at wavelengths shorter than 650 nm and 46 with an increasing absorbance moving to the blue and ultraviolet (UV) range (Pöschl, 2003; 47 Andreae and Gelencsér, 2006; Moosmüller et al., 2011; Laskin et al., 2015; Olson et al., 2015). 48 BrC can therefore be considered as the "optically active" part of the OC dispersed in the 49 atmosphere. When considered from a thermo-chemical point of view, BrC also shows a 50 refractory behaviour since, in an inert atmosphere, it volatizes at temperatures greater than 400 51 52 °C only (Chow et al., 2015). A discussion on the sources of atmospheric LAC is outside the scope of the present work; we simply remind that it is produced mainly by biomass burning, 53 even if in some cases also incomplete combustion of fossil fuels used in transport activities 54 (i.e. terrestrial vehicles, ships and aircrafts) can generate this kind of compounds (Corbin et al, 55 56 2018). It is also worth to underline that carbonaceous aerosols impact on human health (Pope and Dockery, 2006; Chow et al., 2006; Mauderly and Chow, 2008), as well as on climate and 57 58 environment (Bond and Sun, 2005; Highwood and Kinnersley, 2006; Chow et. al., 2010).

In the wider landscape of atmospheric carbonaceous aerosol, despite a worldwide diffused 59 effort, the situation is not satisfactory and a standarized and conclusive approach is still 60 missing. The quantitative determination of TC, OC and EC is often performed by a thermo-61 optical analysis (Birch and Cary, 1996; Watson et al., 2005; Hitzenberger et al., 2006) of 62 aerosol samples collected on quartz fibre filters. However, thermo-optical analyses are affected 63 by several issues and artefacts (Yang and Yu, 2002; Chow et al., 2004) and different 64 laboratories/agencies adopt protocols which systematically result in discrepancies, particularly 65 large in the EC quantification (Birch and Cary, 1996; Chow et al., 2007; Cavalli et al., 2010). 66 A further issue arises when the effects of the possible presence of BrC in the sample are taken 67 into account. So far, the monitoring of the sample transmittance during the thermal cycle, has 68 69 been introduced to correct for the well know charring effect and the formation of pyrolytic carbon (Birch and Cary, 1996). This implies that BC is the sole absorbing compound at the 70





wavelength implemented in the thermo-optical analyser (for instance at $\lambda = 635$ nm, the 71 wavelength of the laser diode mounted in the extremely diffused Sunset Lab. Inc. EC/OC 72 analyzer). Basically, with a sizeable concentration of BrC in the sample, one of the key 73 assumptions of the thermo-optical methods fails and the EC/OC separation is even more 74 unstable (to not say that, by design, the BrC quantification is not possible). This issue was 75 preliminarily addressed by (Chen et al., 2015) by a multi-wavelength TOT/TOR instrument 76 (Thermal Spectral Analysis – TSA) and further investigated in (Massabò et al., 2016). In the 77 latter work, a method to correct the results of a standard Sunset analyzer and to retrieve the 78 BrC concentration in the sample was introduced. The achievement was possible thanks to a 79 synergy with the information provided by the Multi Wavelength Absorbance Analyzer, 80 81 MWAA, (Massabò et al., 2015) developed in the same laboratory. A further step towards BrC quantification through the utilization of TSA was discussed in (Chow et al., 2018), where it 82 was proved that the use of 7-wavelengths in thermal/optical carbon analysis allows 83 contributions from biomass burning and secondary organic aerosols to be estimated. It is 84 85 worthy to note that the biomass burning contribution to PM concentration can be also estimated by other methods such as Aerosol Mass Spectrometry, AMS (Daellenbach et al., 2016). 86

The MWAA approach allows the determination of the spectral dependence of the aerosol 87 absorption coefficient (babs) which can be generally described by the power-law relationship 88 $b_{abs}(\lambda) \sim \lambda^{-AAE}$, where the AAE is the Ångström Absorption Exponent. Several works reported 89 AAE values which depend on the aerosol chemical composition (Kirchstetter et al., 2004; Utry 90 et al., 2013) as well as its size and morphology (Lewis et al., 2008; Lack et al., 2012; Lack and 91 Langridge, 2013; Filep et al., 2013; Utry et al., 2014). Furthermore, the spectral dependence of 92 the aerosol has been exploited to identify different sources of carbonaceous aerosol (e.g. 93 94 Sandradewi et al., 2008; Favez et al., 2010; Lack and Langridge, 2013; Massabò et al., 2013 and 2015). In general, AAE values close to 1.0 have been found to be related to urban PM 95 where fossil fuels combustion is dominant, while higher AAE values, up to 2.5, have been 96 linked to carbonaceous aerosols produced by wood burning (Harrison et al., 2013; and 97 references therein) and therefore to the presence of BrC. 98

In the previous work by (Massabò et al., 2016) the effect of the BrC possibly contained in the sample on the thermo-optical analysis was quantified and exploited to retrieve the BrC concentration from the raw data provided by a standard Sunset Lab. Analyzer. This first step, suggested to modify/upgrade a Sunset unit adding the possibility to use a second laser diode in the blue range. This improves the sensitivity to the BrC and allows to check whether the BrC





quantification depends on the adopted wavelength. We finally followed this route and we here
introduce our modified Sunset Analyzer unit, the validation tests and the results of the first
campaign in which the new unit was deployed.

107 108

2. Materials and Methods

109

110 2.1 The 2-lambda SUNSET analyzer

We have modified a commercial Thermal Optical Transmittance (TOT) instrument (Sunset 111 Lab Inc.). This equipment had been originally designed (Birch and Cary, 1996) with a red laser 112 diode ($\lambda = 635$ nm) to have the possibility to monitor and correct the well know problem of 113 114 the formation of pyrolytic carbon by charring (Birch and Cary, 1996; Bond and Bergstrom, 2006; Chow et al., 2007; Cavalli et al., 2010). The hypothesis under such choice was that the 115 OC is optically inactive at wavelengths greater than 600 nm and therefore the laser beam 116 attenuation is only due to the EC originally present or formed by charring in the sample under 117 118 analysis. Actually, even at this wavelength, BrC can affect the reliability of the OC/EC separation and the standard methodology can be modified to quantify the BrC concentration 119 (Massabò et al., 2016). Nevertheless, at $\lambda = 635$ nm the BrC Mass Absorption Coefficient, 120 MAC(BrC), remains much smaller of the corresponding MAC(BC) and the modified 121 122 procedure could/should be implemented at shorter wavelengths to gain in sensitivity.

We have modified our SUNSET unit making possible the alternative use of the standard 123 laser diode at $\lambda = 635$ nm or of a World Star Technologies, 100 mW, laser diode at $\lambda = 405$ 124 nm. This second laser diode can be mounted on the top of the SUNSET furnace by a homemade 125 adapter (see Figure 1) and easily exchanged with the native red diode. With the new laser 126 diode, the light detector placed at the bottom of the SUNSET furnace has to be changed too 127 128 and we selected a photodiode (PD) THORLABS FDS1010 coupled with a bandpass filter THORLABS FBH405-10. The responsivity of the PD FDS1010 around $\lambda = 400$ nm is quite 129 low (about 50 mA W⁻¹) but the high power delivered by the laser diode results in signals with 130 an amplitude comparable to the values measured with the original SUNSET set-up. 131 Furthermore, the FBH405-10 filter cuts all the light background produced by the high 132 temperature of the SUNSET furnace, thus preserving the signal-to-noise ratio. Both laser and 133 PD can be exchanged in about 10 min and no particular attention is requested but the proper 134 alignment to maximize the PD output signal (i.e. the *transmittance* value displayed by the 135 SUNSET control software). We have to note that the original configuration of the SUNSET 136





instrument adopts a lock-in amplifier to improve the signal-to-noise ratio of the PD: we did not
have the possibility to manipulate the parameters of the lock-in amplifier and to tune it to the
new configuration.

140

141 2.2 Test of the new configuration

The new set-up of the Sunset Analyzer was tested using both synthetic and real samples, 142 143 collected on quartz fibre filters. Synthetic samples were prepared starting with a 5% (volume) solution of Aquadag, then nebulised by a Blaustein Atomizer (BLAM) and collected on quartz 144 145 fibre filters. Aquadag is the trade name of a water-based colloidal graphite coating (particle diameters between 50 and 100 nm): these samples can therefore be considered to be composed 146 by EC/BC only. The samples were first sent to an optical characterization by the MWAA 147 148 instrument (Multi Wavelength Absorbance Analyzer, Massabò et al., 2015) which demonstrated that the optical absorption of Aquadag is independent on the wavelength. 149 Actually, Aquadag particles tend to form conglomerates on the filters surface, with dimension 150 about double of the longer wavelength implemented in the MWAA (i.e. the 850 nm of the 151 infrared laser diode; Massabò et al., 2015). So, the comparison between the two Sunset set-ups 152 was made with samples having the same absorption properties. EC and TC quantifications 153 obtained at $\lambda = 635$ nm and $\lambda = 405$ nm resulted compatible adopting both the NIOSH5040 and 154 EUSAAR_2 protocol (Cavalli et al., 2010), as shown in Figure 2 for the whole set of synthetic 155 156 samples.

A second set of synthetic samples was prepared to mimic the behaviour of real-world aerosol 157 158 samples: a 3% (weight) solution of ammonium sulphate (NH₄)₂SO₄ in Aquadag was prepared and nebulized with the BLAM. This way, a scattering compound is mixed to the absorbing 159 Aquadag spherules. The optical absorption measured with MWAA resulted independent on 160 wavelength with this second set of samples too. The results of the Sunset analysis with both 161 the red and blue laser set-up are shown in Figure 3. This second set of samples was analysed 162 163 through the EUSAAR_2 protocol only. A strong correlation between the TC and EC values measured in red and blue light was obtained again with a slope close to unit. 164

A third and final test was performed using a set of daily PM10 samples collected by a lowvolume sampler (TCR - Tecora, Italy) on quartz fibre filters (Pall-2500 QAO-UP, 47 mm diameter) in spring 2016 in the urban area of the city of Genoa (IT). A previous and long set of similar campaigns addressed to PM10 characterization (e.g. Bove et al., 2014 and references therein) in the same urban area could not identify sizeable contributes of biomass burning to PM composition, in particular during spring and summer. Such situation was confirmed by the





determination of the Ångström exponent in the present samples by the MWAA. Actually, in 171 the set of twenty PM10 samples, the values of the Ångström exponent ranged between 0.9 and 172 1.2, this confirming that Black Carbon is the sole or totally dominant light absorbing 173 component in the local PM10 (Sandradewi et al., 2008; Harrison et al., 2013). Half of the 174 175 samples was then sent to the Sunset analysis by the NIOSH5040 protocol while the EUSAAR_2 protocol was adopted for the remaining subset. The results are shown in Figure 4. 176 The EC concentration values measured with the standard and modified Sunset analyzer are 177 fully compatible when the NIOSH5040 protocol is adopted (basically, the split point position 178 in the Sunset thermogram does not change with the two laser diodes). Instead, EC values 179 determined by the EUSAAR 2 protocol resulted lower by about 30% when the blue laser diode 180 was mounted. This corresponds to a shift of the split point position, which moves right and thus 181 182 increases the amount of carbonaceous aerosol counted in the OC fraction. This effect is linked to the well-known issue of the formation of pyrolytic carbon during the thermal cycle in the 183 inert atmosphere (i.e. in He). Several literature studies (e.g.: Cavalli et al., 2010; Panteliadis et 184 al., 2015) indicated that the charring is smaller at the higher temperatures reached during the 185 NIOSH thermal protocol. On the other way, standard thermo-optical analyses of urban PM 186 samples often give higher EC values (up to 50%) when performed following the EUSAAR_2 187 instead of higher-temperature protocols (Subramanian et al., 2006; Zhi et al., 2008; Piazzalunga 188 et al., 2011; Karanasiou et al., 2015; Panteliadis et al., 2015). Furthermore, as by-product of 189 190 previous PM10 studies in the urban area of Genoa by a standard Sunset unit, we could observe a systematic and very reproducible 40% discrepancy between EC values determined in the 191 same samples by EUSAAR_2 and NIOSH5040 protocols (with EC:EUSAAR > EC:NIOSH). 192 Therefore, the thermo-optical analysis in blue light seems to be more sensitive to the charring 193 formation during the EUSAAR_2 protocol and thus possibly more reliable in the EC/OC 194 separation. 195

196 197

3. First field campaign and results

198

The modified Sunset set-up was used for the first time, in conjunction with the MWAA instrument and apportionment methodology (Massabò et al., 2015), to retrieve the MAC (Mass Absorption Coefficient) of Brown Carbon at the two wavelengths of $\lambda = 635$ nm and $\lambda = 405$ nm, in a set of samples collected wintertime in a mountain site.

- 203
- 204 3.1 Samples collection





Aerosol samples were collected in a small village (Propata, 44°33'52.93''N, 9°11'05.57''E, 205 970 m a.s.l.) situated in the Ligurian Apennines, Italy. Three different sets of PM10 aerosol 206 samples were collected by a low-volume sampler (38.3 l min⁻¹ by TCR Tecora): the first and 207 the third sets had filter change set every 24h while the second set was sampled on a 48h-basis. 208 209 In total, 41 (14+13+14) PM10 samples were collected on quartz-fibre filters (Pall, 2500QAO-UP, 47 mm diameter), between February 2nd and April 19th, 2018. Before the sampling, the 210 211 filters were baked at $T = 700^{\circ}C$ for 2 hours to remove possible internal contamination. Field 212 blank filters were used to monitor possible contaminations during the sampling phase. Wood 213 burning is one of the PM sources around the sampling site, especially during the cold season, as it is used for both domestic heating and cooking purposes. 214

215

216 3.2 Laboratory analyses

All the samples were weighed before and after sampling in an air-conditioned room (T = 20217 \pm 1 °C; R.H. = 50 % \pm 5%), after 48h conditioning. The gravimetric determination of the PM 218 219 mass was performed using an analytical microbalance (precision: 1 µg) which was operated inside the conditioned room; electrostatic effects were avoided by the use of a de-ionizing gun. 220 After weighing, samples were first optically analyzed by MWAA to retrieve the absorption 221 coefficient (babs) of PM at five different wavelengths. The EC and OC determination was 222 performed adopting the EUSAAR_2 protocol (Cavalli et al., 2010) with both laser diodes at λ 223 = 635 nm and at λ = 405 nm (two different punches were extracted from each filter sample). 224

Finally, the remaining portion of the same quartz-fibre filters underwent a chemical determination of the Levoglucosan (1,6-Anhydro-beta-glucopyranose) concentration by High Performance Anion Exchange Chromatography coupled with Pulsed Amperometric Detection (Piazzalunga et al., 2010). As well known in literature, this sugar is one of the typical marker of biomass burning (Vassura et al., 2014).

230

231 3.3 Optical apportionment

The MWAA analysis provided the raw data to measure the spectral dependence of the aerosol absorption coefficient (b_{abs}) which can be generally described by the power-law relationship b_{abs} (λ) ~ λ^{-AAE} where AAE is the Ångström Absorption Exponent.

The time series of the resulting AAE values is shown in Figure 5: they range between 1.05 and 1.96 with a mean value of 1.55 ± 0.21 . This figure indicates a substantial presence of wood burning in the sampling area. In (Massabò et al., 2015 and Bernardoni et al, 2017), an





optical apportionment model (the "MWAA model") based on the measurement of babs at five 238 wavelengths had been introduced to obtain directly the BrC AAE (α_{BrC}) and the BrC absorption 239 coefficient (b_{abs}^{BrC}) at each measured wavelength. It is worthy to note that, at the basis of the 240 MWAA model, there is the assumption that BrC is produced by wood combustion only (see 241 242 §4 in Massabò et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2013). In Figure 5, we report the optical apportionment at $\lambda = 635$ nm and at $\lambda = 405$ nm i.e. at the wavelength of the two laser diodes used in our 243 modified Sunset instrument. At $\lambda = 635$ nm, light absorption resulted mainly due to BC from 244 both fossil fuel (FF) and biomass burning (WB) and the babs BrC average value is 15% of total 245 b_{abs} , with the notable exception of some days in which it reached values of ~ 30%, in 246 247 correspondence of AAE > 1.9. Instead, at $\lambda = 405$ nm, the BrC contribute to light absorption rises up to 33% (average percentage of total babs), with a maximum value of 51%, again when 248 $AAE_{exp} > 1.9$. The time series of b_{abs}^{BrC} values at both the wavelengths turned out to be well 249 correlated ($R^2 = 0.71$) with the Levoglucosan (*Levo*, in the following) concentration values, as 250 reported in Figure 6. The slope of the correlation curve increases by a factor 5.8 when moving 251 from the red to the blue light. 252

The average α_{BrC} value turned out to be $\alpha_{BrC} = 3.9 \pm 0.1$, in very good agreement with a previous value ($\alpha_{BrC} = 3.8 \pm 0.2$) obtained in the same site and with the same approach (Massabò et al., 2016). The result is also in agreement with other literature works (Yang et al., 2009; Massabò et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2015).

257

258 3.4 Brown Carbon MAC

The methodology to extract the MAC value for BrC by the coupled used of MWAA and Thermo-Optical Analysis has been introduced in a previous work (Massabò et al., 2016). In that case, a standard (i.e.: with a red laser diode only) Sunset unit was used. The entire procedure is described in details in (Massabò et al., 2016), here we briefly summarize the main steps:

- a) The fraction of light attenuation due to the BrC is first calculated in each sample with
 the MWAA raw data.
- b) The empirical relationship between the light attenuation through the sample, observed
 in the MWAA and Sunset set-ups is then determined. We remind that in the Sunset setup, the light attenuation is continuously recorded during the analysis; the value
 characteristic of each blank filter can be retrieved when all the light absorbing PM has
 been volatized (i.e. at the end of the thermal protocol).





271	c)	The fraction of light attenuation due to the BrC in the sample is therefore calculated for
272		the Sunset set-up and the initial transmittance value is corrected to estimate the
273		attenuation value that it would have been found if BrC were not present in the filter
274		sample.
275	d)	A new split-point position is then determined taking into account the corrected value of
276		the initial transmittance.
277	e)	The OC and EC values determined with the standard and corrected split-point positions
278		are then compared and the difference (OC_{cor} - OC_{std} = EC_{std} – EC_{cor}) is operatively
279		assumed to be equal to the BrC in the sample. The corresponding BrC atmospheric
280		concentration is finally calculated.
281	f)	The correlation between the values of $b_{abs}{}^{BrC}\!,$ provided by the MWAA analysis (see
282		section 3.3) and BrC concentration, is studied to determine the MAC value.
283		
284	In	the present experiment, the procedure was adopted to analyse the thermograms produced
285	with	both the red and the blue laser diode mounted in the Sunset unit: the results are
286	summ	narized in Figure 7. Despite a rather high noise in the data, the MAC(BrC) value at the
287	two w	vavelengths can be determined and it turns out to be MAC(BrC) = $9.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and 23
288	±1 m	2 g ⁻¹ , respectively at $\lambda = 635$ and 405 nm. This result deserve some comments:
289	•	The MAC value at $\lambda = 635$ nm differs for less than 3σ from the result reported in
290		(Massabò et al., 2016) and obtained in the same site and in a similar season (i.e.
291		November 2015 to January 2016; MAC = $7.0 \pm 0.4 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$). Since differences in the
292		type of wood burnt in the past and present campaign cannot be excluded, the two values
293		can be considered to be in fair agreement.
294	•	No comparison with previous or other literature values is possible for the MAC value
295		at λ = 405 nm, given the substantial differences in adopted definitions and
296		methodologies (Yang et al., 2009; Feng et al., 2013; Chen and Bond, 2010). However,
297		the increase by a factor 2.3 with respect to the MAC at $\lambda = 635$ nm follows the expected
298		behaviour.
299	•	Under the assumption that the sole source of BrC is biomass burning, the MAC values
300		can be referred to the total concentration of organic carbon (i.e. including the part not
301		optically active) produced by biomass burning. Adopting with the present data set the
302		optical OC apportionment methodology reported in (Massabò et al., 2015), the BrC
303		values determined at $\lambda = 635$ nm turn out to be about 4% of the OC produced by wood





304	combustion, OC _{WB} , and consequently MAC(OC _{WB} , @635nm) = 0.39 \pm 0.06 m ² g ⁻¹ .
305	When the analysis is performed at $\lambda = 405$ nm, BrC results to be about 10% of OC _{WB}
306	and MAC(OC _{WB} , @405nm) = $2.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$. Previous literature works (Feng et al.,
307	2013; Laskin et al., 2015; and references therein) report MAC values of BrC and/or
308	related OC ranging in a quite large interval.

The ratio between BrC and Levo concentration values results to be BrC:Levo = $0.19 \pm$ 309 0.02 and 0.42 ± 0.06 , respectively when considering the BrC concentration determined 310 by MWAA+Sunset at $\lambda = 635$ and 405 nm. In other words, the operative procedure, 311 introduced in (Massabò et al., 2016), results in different BrC concentration values 312 313 according to the considered/used wavelength. This fact can be interpreted in different ways: while the analytical sensitivity is higher at $\lambda = 405$ nm and the corresponding 314 BrC values could be considered to be more firm, the category of compounds collected 315 under the label "Brow Carbon" could be itself "wavelength dependent". The latter 316 317 would imply that the BrC concentration cannot be defined separately from the wavelength and that its meaning is even more "operative" of the more widespread OC 318 and EC fractions. As a matter of fact, while the babs^{BrC} values discussed in section 3.3, 319 increase by a factor 5.8 moving from $\lambda = 635$ nm to $\lambda = 405$ nm, the corresponding 320 variation of the MAC(BrC) values is by a factor 2.3 only. This is because the BrC 321 concentration determined at $\lambda = 405$ nm doubles the value measured at $\lambda = 635$ nm. 322 323 The purposes and the limits of the present study prevent any firm conclusion on the alternative explanation: BrC definition is wavelength dependent or the analysis in red 324 light is not sensitive enough. 325

- 326
- 327 328

• When considering the OC_{WB}:Levo concentration ratio, the MWAA analysis at $\lambda = 635$ and $\lambda = 405$ nm give well compatible results, with a mean value of OC_{WB}:Levo = 4.5 ± 0.5 .

329

4. Conclusions

331

We introduced a modified version of a commercial Sunset Lab. Inc. OC/EC Analyzer. We upgraded the set-up of a standard unit making possible the alternative use of a red ($\lambda = 635$ nm) or blue ($\lambda = 405$ nm) laser diode to monitor the light transmittance through the sample during the thermal cycle. The analytical performance of the new set-up has been tested both with artificial and real-world samples.





337	The new Sunset unit was used for the first time to analyze a set of samples collected mostly
338	wintertime in a mountain site of the Italian Apennines. Exploiting the synergic information
339	provided by the Multi Wavelength Absorbance Analyzer, MWAA (Massabò et al., 2015) and
340	adopting the procedure to retrieve the Brown Carbon concentration directly from the Sunset
341	thermograms (Massabò et al., 2016), we could measure the MAC(BrC) at the two wavelengths.
342	The result at $\lambda = 635$ nm (MAC = 9.8 ± 0.4 m ² g ⁻¹) is in fair agreement with a previous study
343	performed in the same site in winter 2015-2016. At our knowledge, the result at $\lambda = 405$ nm,
344	MAC = $23 \pm 1 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ is the sole direct observation at this wavelength.
345	In our analysis, the ratio between the BrC and the Levo concentration values depends on the
346	wavelength adopted during the thermo-optical analysis. This behaviour could be due to a better
347	accuracy of the results in blue-light, more sensitive at the BrC, or because the definition of BrC
348	itself has to be considered wavelength-dependent. The present results do not allow any
349	conclusive statement on this issue: actually, the label "Brown Carbon" as well as the widely
350	used "Organic and Elemental Carbon" comes from an operative definition not without
351	ambiguity.
352	
353	6. Acknowledgements
354	
355	This work has been partially financed by the National Institute of Nuclear Physics
356	(INFN) in the frame of the TRACCIA experiments.
357	
358	
359	
360	References
361	
362	Andreae, M. O. and Gelencsér, A.: Black Carbon or Brown Carbon? The nature of light-
363	absorbing carbonaceous aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3131-3148, 2006.
364	Bernardoni, V., Pileci, R. E., Caponi, L., and Massabò, D.: The Multi-Wavelength Absorption
365	Analyzer (MWAA) model as a tool for source and component apportionment based on
366	aerosol absorption properties: application to samples collected in different environments,
367	Atmosphere, 8, 218, 2017.
368	Birch, M. E. and Cary, R. A.: Elemental carbon-based method for occupational monitoring of
369	particulate diesel exhaust: methodology and exposure issues, Analyst, 121, 1183-1190,
270	1996





- 371 Bond, T. C. and Bergstrom, R. W.: Light absorption by carbonaceous particles: an investigative
- 372 review, Aerosol Sci. Tech., 40, 27-67, 2006.
- Bond, T. C. and Sun, H. L.: Can reducing black carbon emissions counteract global warming?,
- 374 Environ. Sci. Technol., 39, 5921–5926, 2005.
- 375 Bond, T. C., Doherty, S. J., Fahey, D. W., Forster, P. M., Berntsen, T., De Angelo, B. J.,
- 376 Flanner, M. G., Ghan, S., Kärcher, B., Koch, D., Kinne, S., Kondo, Y., Quinn, P. K., Sarofim,
- 377 M. C., Schultz, M. G., Schulz, M., Venkataraman, C., Zhang, H., Zhang, S., Bellouin, N.,
- 378 Guttikunda, S. K., Hopke, P. K., Jacobson, M. Z., Kaiser, J. W., Klimont, Z., Lohmann, U.,
- 379 Schwarz, J. P., Shindell, D., Storelvmo, T., Warren, S. G., and Zender, C. S.: Bounding the
- role of black carbon in the climate system: a scientific assessment, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.,
- 381 118, 5380-5552, 2013.
- Bove, M. C., Brotto, P., Cassola, F., Cuccia, E., Massabò, D., Mazzino, A., Piazzalunga, A.,
 and Prati, P.: An integrated PM2.5 source apportionment study: positive matrix factorisation
 vs. the chemical transport model CAMx, Atmos. Environ., 94, 274-286, 2014.
- Cavalli, F., Putaud, J. P., Viana, M., Yttri, K. E., and Gemberg, J.: Toward a standardized
 thermal-optical protocol for measuring atmospheric Organic and Elemental Carbon: the
 EUSAAR protocol, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 79-89, 2010.
- Chen, Y. and Bond, T. C.: Light absorption by organic carbon from wood combustion, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 10, 1773–1787, 2010.
- Chen, L. W. A., Chow, J. C., Wang, X. L., Robles, J. A., Sumlin, B. J., Lowenthal, D. H.,
 Zimmermann, R., and Watson, J. G.: Multi-wavelength optical measurement to enhance
 thermal/optical analysis for carbonaceous aerosol, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 451-461, 2015.
- Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Chen, L. W. A., Arnott, W. P., Moosmüller, H., and Fung, K. K.:
- 394 Equivalence of elemental carbon by thermal/optical reflectance and transmittance with
- different temperature protocols, Environ. Sci. Technol., 38, 4414-4422, 2004.
- 396 Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Mauderly, J. L., Costa, D. L., Wyzga, R. E., Vedal, S., Hidy, G.
- 397 M., Altshuler, S. L., Marrack, D., Heuss, J. M., Wolff, G. T., Pope, C. A. III, and Dockery,
- 398 D. W.: Health effects of fine particulate air pollution: lines that connect, J. Air Waste Manag.
- 399 Assoc., 56, 1368–1380, 2006.
- 400 Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Chen, L. W. A., Chang, M. C. O., Robinson, N. F., Trimble, D. L.,
- 401 and Kohl, S. D.: The IMPROVE_A temperature protocol for thermal/optical carbon analysis:
- 402 maintaining consistency with a long-term database, J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc., 57, 1014-
- 403 1023, 2007.





- Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Lowenthal, D. H., Chen, L. W. A., and Motallebi, N.: Black and
 organic carbon emission inventories: review and application to California, J. Air Waste
- 406 Manag. Assoc., 60, 497–507, 2010.
- 407 Chow, J. C., Wang, X., Sumlin, B. J., Gronstal, S. B., Chen, L. W. A., Trimble, D. L., Kohl, S.
- 408 D., Mayorga, S. R., Riggio, G., Hurbain, P. R., Johnson, M., Zimmermann, R., and Watson,
- J. G.: Optical calibration and equivalence of a multiwavelength thermal/optical carbon
 analyzer, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 15, 1145-1159, 2015.
- 411 Chow, J.C, Watson, J. G., Green, M. C., Wang, X., Chen, L. W. A., Trimble, D. L., Cropper,
- 412 P. M., Kohl S. D., and Gronstal, S. B.: Separation of brown carbon from black carbon for
- 413 IMPROVE and Chemical Speciation Network PM2.5 samples, J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc.,
 414 68:5, 494-510, 2018.
- Corbin J. C., Pieber S. M., Czech H., Zanatta M., Jakobi G., Massabò D., Orasche J., El
 Haddad I., Mensah A. A., Stengel B., Drinovec L., Mocnik G., Zimmermann R., Prévôt
 A. S. H., and M. Gysel: Brown and Black Carbon emitted by a marine engine operated on
 heavy fuel oil and distillate fuels: optical properties, size distributions, and emission factors,
- 419 J. Geophys. Res-Atmos, 123, 6175–6195, 2018.
- Daellenbach, K.R., Bozzetti, C., Křepelová, A., Canonaco, F., Wolf, R., Zotter, P., Fermo, P.,
 Crippa, M., Slowik, J.G., Sosedova, Y., Zhang, Y., Huang, R. J., Poulain, L., Szidat, S.,
 Baltensperger, U., El Haddad, I., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Characterization and source
 apportionment of organic aerosol using offline aerosol mass spectrometry, Atmos. Meas.
 Tech., 9 (1), 23-39, 2016.
- Favez, O., El Haddad, I., Piot, C., Boreave, A., Abidi, E., Marchand, N., Jaffrezo, J. L.,
 Besombes, J. L., Personnaz, M. B., Sciare, J., Wortham, H., Geroge, C., and D'Anna, B.:
 Inter-comparison of source apportionment models for the estimation of wood burning
 aerosols during wintertime in an Alpine city (Grenoble, France), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10,
 5295-5314, 2010.
- Feng, Y., Ramanathan, V., and Kotamarthi, V. R.: Brown carbon: a significant atmospheric
 absorber of solar radiation?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 8607-8621, 2013.
- 432 Ferrero, L., Močnik, G., Cogliati, S., Gregorič, A., Colombo, R., and Bolzacchini, E.: Heating
- rate of light absorbing aerosols: time-resolved measurements, the role of clouds, and source
 identification, Environ. Sci. Tech., 52 (6), 3546-3555, 2018.
- 435 Filep, Á., Ajtai, T., Utry, N., Pintér, M. D., Nyilas, T., Takács, S., Máté, Z., Gelencsér, A.,
- 436 Hoffer, A., Schnaiter, M., Bozóki, Z., and Szabó, G.: Absorption spectrum of ambient





- 437 aerosol and its correlation with size distribution in specific atmospheric conditions after a
- red mud accident, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 13, 49-59, 2013.
- 439 Harrison, R. M., Beddows, D. C. S., Jones A. M., Calvo A., Alves C., and Pio C.: An evaluation
- 440 of some issues regarding the use of aethalometers to measure woodsmoke concentrations,
- 441 Atmos. Environ., 80, 540-548, 2013.
- Highwood, E. J. and Kinnersley, R. P.: When smoke gets in our eyes: the multiple impacts of
 atmospheric black carbon on climate, air quality and health, Environ. Int., 32, 560–566,
 2006.
- Hitzenberger, R., Petzold, A., Bauer, H., Ctyroky, P., Pouresmaeil, P., Laskus, L., and
 Puxbaum, H.: Intercomparison of thermal and optical measurement methods for elemental
 carbon and black carbon at an urban location, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40 (20), 6377-6383,
- 448 2006.
- Karanasiou, A., Minguillon, M. C., Viana, M., Alaustey, A., Puaud, J. P., Maenhaut, W.,
 Panteliadis, P., Močnik, G., Favez, O., and Kuhlbusch, T. A.: Thermal-optical analysis for
- the measurement of elemental carbon (EC) and organic carbon (OC) in ambient air, a
 literature review, Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 8, 9649-9712, 2015.
- Kirchstetter, T. W., Novakok, T., and Hobbs, P. V.: Evidence that the spectral dependence of
 light absorption by aerosols is affected by organic carbon, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D21208,
 2004.
- Lack, D. A., Langridge, J. M., Bahreini, R., Cappa, C. D., Middlebrook, A. M., and Schwarz,
 J. P.: Brown carbon and internal mixing in biomass burning particles, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.,
- 458 109, 14802-14807, 2012.
- Lack, D. A. and Langridge, J. M.: On the attribution of black and brown carbon light absorption
 using the Ångström exponent, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 10535-10543, 2013.
- Laskin, A., Laskin, J., and Nizkorodov S. A.: Chemistry of atmospheric brown carbon, Chem.
 Rev., 115 (10), 4335–4382, 2015.
- Lewis, K., Arnott, W. P., Moosmüller, H., and Wold, C. E.: Strong spectral variation of
 biomass smoke light absorption and single scattering albedo observed with a novel dualwavelength photoacoustic instrument, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D16203, 2008.
- 466 Massabò, D., Bernardoni, V., Bove, M. C., Brunengo, A., Cuccia, E., Piazzalunga, A., Prati,
- P., Valli, G., and Vecchi, R.: A multi-wavelength optical set-up for the characterization of
 carbonaceous particulate matter, J. Aerosol Sci., 60, 34-46, 2013.
- 469 Massabò, D., Caponi, L., Bernardoni, V., Bove, M. C., Brotto, P., Calzolai, G., Cassola, F.,
- 470 Chiari, M., Fedi, M. E., Fermo, P., Giannoni, M., Lucarelli, F., Nava, S., Piazzalunga, A.,





- 471 Valli, G., Vecchi, R., and Prati, P.: Multi-wavelength optical determination of black and
- brown carbon in atmospheric aerosols, Atmos. Environ., 108, 1-12, 2015.
- 473 Massabò, D., Caponi, L., Bove, M. C., and Prati, P.: Brown carbon and thermal-optical
- analysis: a correction based on optical multi-wavelength apportionment of atmospheric
 aerosols, Atmos. Environ., 125, 119-125, 2016.
- 476 Mauderly, J. L. and Chow, J. C.: Health effects of organic aerosols, Inhal. Toxicol., 20, 257–
 477 288, 2008.
- Moosmüller, H., Chakrabarty, R. K., and Arnott, W. P.: Aerosol light absorption and its
 measurement: a review, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 110, 844-878, 2009.
- Moosmüller, H., Chakrabarty, R. K., Ehlers, K. M., and Arnott, W. P.: Absorption Ångström
 coefficient, brown carbon, and aerosols: basic concepts, bulk matter, and spherical particles,
- 482 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 1217-1225, 2011.
- Olson, M. R., Garcia, M. V., Robinson, M. A., Van Rooy, P., Dietenberger, M. A., Bergin, M.,
 and Schauer, J. J.: Investigation of black and brown carbon multiple-wavelength-dependent
 light absorption from biomass and fossil fuel combustion source emissions, J. Geophys. Res.
 Atmos., 120, 2015.
- Panteliadis, P., Hafkenscheid, T., Cary, B., Diapouli, E., Fischer, A., Favez, O., Quincey, P.,
 Viana, M., Hitzenberger, R., Vecchi, R., Saraga, D., Sciare, J., Jaffrezo, J. L., John, A.,
 Schwarz, J., Giannoni, M., Novak, J., Karanasiou, A., Fermo, P., and Maenhaut, W.: ECOC
 comparison exercise with identical thermal protocols after temperature offset correction –
 instrument diagnostics by in-depth evaluation of operational parameters, Atmos. Meas.
- 492 Tech., 8, 779–792, 2015.
- 493 Piazzalunga, A., Fermo, P., Bernardoni, V., Vecchi, R., Valli, G., and De Gregorio M. A.: A
 494 simplified method for levoglucosan quantification in wintertime atmospheric particulate
 495 matter by high performance anion-exchange chromatography coupled with pulsed
 496 amperometric detection, Int. J. Environ. An. Ch., 90, 934-947, 2010.
- 497 Piazzalunga, P., Bernardoni, V., Fermo, P., Valli, G., and Vecchi, R.: On the effect of water498 soluble compounds removal on EC quantification by TOT analysis in urban aerosol samples,
- 499 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 10193-10203, 2011.
- Pope, C. A. III and Dockery, D. W.: Health effects of fine particulate air pollution: lines that
 connect, J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc., 56, 709–742, 2006.
- Pöschl, U.: Aerosol particle analysis: challenges and progress, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 375,
 3032, 2003.





- 504 Sandradewi, J., Prevot, A. H., Szidat, S., Perron, N., Rami Alfarra, M., Lanz, V., Weingartner,
- 505 E., and Baltensperger, U.: Using aerosol light absorption measurements for the quantitative
- 506 determination of wood burning and traffic emission contributions to particulate matter,
- 507 Environ. Sci. Technol., 3316-3323, 2008.
- Subramanian, R., Khlystov, A. Y., and Robinson, A. L.: Effect of peak inert-mode temperature
 on elemental carbon measured using thermal-optical analysis, Aerosol Sci. Tech., 40, 763–
 780, 2006.
- 511 Utry, N., Ajtai, T., Filep, Á., Dániel P. M., Hoffer, A., Bozoki, Z., and Szabó, G.: Mass specific
 512 optical absorption coefficient of HULIS aerosol measured by a four-wavelength
 513 photoacoustic spectrometer at NIR, VIS and UV wavelengths, Atmos. Environ., 69, 321514 324, 2013.
- 515 Utry, N., Ajtai, T., Filep, Á., Pintér, M., Török, Zs., Bozóki, Z., and Szabó, G.: Correlations
 516 between absorption Angström exponent (AAE) of wintertime ambient urban aerosol and its
 517 physical and chemical properties, Atmos. Environ., 91, 52-59, 2014.
- Vassura, I., Venturini, E., Marchetti, S., Piazzalunga, A., Bernardi, E., Fermo, P., and Passarini,
 F.: Markers and influence of open biomass burning on atmospheric particulate size and
- 520 composition during a major bonfire event, Atmos. Environ., 82, pp. 218-225, 2014.
- Watson, J. G., Chow, J. C., and Chen, L. W. A.: Summary of organic and elemental
 carbon/black carbon analysis methods and intercomparisons, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 5, 65 102, 2005.
- Yang, H. and Yu, J. Z.: Uncertainties in charring correction in the analysis of elemental and
 organic carbon in atmospheric particles by thermal/optical methods, Environ. Sci. Technol.,
 36, 5199-5204, 2002.
- Yang, M., Howell, S. G., Zhuang, J., and Huebert, B. J.: Attribution of aerosol light absorption
 to black carbon, brown carbon, and dust in China interpretations of atmospheric
 measurements during EAST-AIRE, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 2035-2050, 2009.
- Zheng, G., He, K., Duan, F., Cheng, Y., and Ma, Y.: Measurement of humic-like substances in
 aerosols: A review, Environ. Pollut., 181, 301-314, 2013.
- 532 Zhi, G., Chen, Y., Sheng, G., and Fu, J.: Effects of temperature parameters on thermal-optical
- analysis of organic and elemental carbon in aerosol, Environ. Monit. Assess., 154, 253–
 261, 2008.





535 FIGURE CAPTIONS 536

Figure 1: The new $\lambda = 405$ nm laser diode mounted by a steel adapter on the SUNSET furnace 537 538 (top) and the comparison with the standard $\lambda = 635$ nm laser diode implemented by the manufacturer (bottom). 539 540 Figure 2: Quantification of TC (primary axis) and EC (secondary axis) at $\lambda = 635$ nm (red) and 541 $\lambda = 405$ nm (blue) for the set of synthetic Aquadag samples. Top: NIOSH5040 protocol, 542 bottom: EUSAAR_2 protocol. 543 544 Figure 3: Quantification of TC (primary axis) and EC (secondary axis) at $\lambda = 635$ nm (red) and 545 $\lambda = 405$ nm (blue) for the set of synthetic Aquadag + Ammonium Sulphate samples by the 546 547 EUSAAR_2 protocol. Figure 4: EC concentration measured in two sub-sets of PM10 samples collected in 548 consecutive days in the urban area of Genoa in late spring 2016. Values determined with the 549 Sunset analyzer equipped with blue and red laser diodes, are compared. 550 551 552 **Figure 5**: Primary axis: Optical apportionment of the aerosol absorption coefficient (b_{abs}) @ λ = 635 nm (top) and λ = 405 nm (bottom). Secondary axis: experimental AAE values obtained 553 by fitting the measured b_{abs} values with a power-law relationship b_{abs} (λ) ~ λ^{-AAE} . WW and FF 554 stand for Fossil Fuel and Wood Burning, respectively. 555 556 Figure 6: Aerosol absorption coefficient apportioned to Brown Carbon (b^{BrC}_{abs}) @635 nm (top) 557 558 and @405 nm (bottom) vs. levoglucosan concentration. 559 560 Figure 7: Comparison between the aerosol absorption coefficient apportioned to Brown Carbon vs. the resulting operative BrC concentration values @635 nm (top) and @405 nm 561 562 (bottom). 563 564 565







- 567
- 568 Figure 1
- 569
- 570
- 571

























586 Figure 6





