Atmospheric
Measurement
Techniques
Discussions

A new optical-based technique for real-time measurements of mineral dust concentration in PM10 using a virtual impactor

5 Authors: Luka Drinovec[1], Jean Sciare[2], Iasonas Stavroulas[2], Spiros Bezantakos[2], Michael Pikridas[2], Florin Unga[2], Chrysanthos Savvides[3], Bojana Višić[1,4], Maja Remškar[1], Griša Močnik [1,5]

[1]Jozef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia
[2]Climate and Atmosphere Research Center, The Cyprus Institute, Nicosia, Cyprus
10 [3]Ministry of Labour and Social Insurance, Department of Labour Inspection, Nicosia, Cyprus
[4]Institute of Physics Belgrade, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
[5]Center for Atmospheric Research, University of Nova Gorica, Ajdovščina, Slovenia

Keywords: mineral dust, aerosol absorption, virtual impactor, PM10
15
Correspondence to: luka.drinovec@ijs.si

20

Abstract. Atmospheric mineral dust influences Earth's radiative budget, cloud formation and lifetime, has adverse health effects, and affects the air quality through the increase of regulatory PM_{10} concentrations, 25 making strategic its real-time quantification in the atmosphere. Only few near-real-time techniques can discriminate dust aerosol in PM_{10} samples and they are based on the dust chemical composition. The on-line determination of mineral dust using aerosol absorption photometers offers an interesting and competitive alternative, but remains a difficult task to achieve. This is particularly challenging when dust is mixed with black carbon, which features a much higher mass absorption cross-section. We present here for the first time a 30 highly time resolved on-line detection technique of dust absorption by coupling a high flow virtual impactor (VI) sampler that concentrates coarse particles with an aerosol absorption photometer (Aethalometer, model AE33). The absorption of concentrated dust particles is obtained by subtracting the absorption of the submicron (PM_1) aerosol fraction from the absorption of the virtual impactor sample (VI-PM1 method). This real-time method for detecting desert dust was tested in the field for a period of two months (April and May 35 2016) at a regional background site of Cyprus, in the Eastern Mediterranean. Several intense desert mineral dust events were observed during the field campaign with dust concentration in PM_{10} up to 45 μg m^{-3}. Mineral dust was present most of the time during the campaign with an average PM_{10} of about 8 μg m^{-3}. Mineral dust absorption was most prominent at short wavelengths, yielding an average mass absorption cross-section (*MAC*) of 0.24 ± 0.01 m^2 g^{-1} at 370 nm and an absorption Ångström exponent of 1.41 ± 0.29. This *MAC* value can 40 be used as site specific parameter for on-line determination of mineral dust concentration. The uncertainty of the proposed method is discussed by comparing and validating it with different methods.

45

1. Introduction

Atmospheric dust often dominates PM_{10} aerosol mass concentrations in many regions of the world, and is the second most abundant aerosol source at a global scale just after sea spray. Its lifetime in the atmosphere is similar to carbonaceous aerosols (Boucher et al., 2013). Dust particles modify the Earth's radiation balance as they absorb and scatter light, affecting regional climate and precipitation regimes. The net radiative effect of atmospheric dust depends on the interplay between the heating of the atmosphere, due to the increased absorption of sunlight, and cooling due to scattering of sunlight back into space leading to a direct radiative forcing of dust estimated around -0.1 ± 0.2 W m^{-2} (Myhre et al., 2013). Dust deposits on snow and ice increase the ion content in snow and snow water (Greilinger et al., 2018) and they exert a warming influence after deposition (Di Mauro et al., 2015). Desert dust impacts industrial production to a degree that has been fictionalized (Herbert, 1965) and Saharan dust events have been shown to increase morbidity and have negative influence on health mainly through respiratory and cardiovascular effects (Middleton et al., 2008; Perez et al., 2012). The health effects of mineral dust are being considered in the context of regulation (WHO, 2018).

Dust particles are often transported from the Sahara over the Mediterranean and southern Europe and can contribute significantly to mass concentration of particles smaller than 10 μm in diameter – PM_{10} (Rodriguez et al., 2001; Vrekoussis et al., 2005). Mineral dust is considered as natural aerosol within the European Air Quality Directive (2008/50/EC) and, as such, can be subtracted from the daily (24h) PM_{10} reported by EU member states, potentially reducing the number of days with PM_{10} exceedances (European Commission, 2011).

Daily time resolution of the described method has been validated with the chemical composition and positive matrix factorization (Viana et al., 2010). Methods with higher temporal resolution have the potential to bring considerably more detail and information to the analysis of dust in PM_{10}. These methods capture the temporal variability dependent on the synoptic conditions more accurately; they allow the discrimination of long-range transported dust from locally resuspended one (by traffic as an important example); they provide considerably more detail to constrain chemistry-transport models; and can be used in real-time to inform the public and stakeholders and therefore improve adaptation measures. The higher time resolution requires use of novel and innovative approaches and instrumentation.

There are several sampling devices, which allow for hourly or sub-hourly sampling of ambient dust aerosols, such as Streaker sampler, the DRUM (Davis Rotating-drum Unit for Monitoring) sampler (Bukowiecki et al., 2005; Visser et al., 2015) and the SEAS (Semi-continuous Elements in Aerosol Sampler) (Chen et al., 2016). Mass loadings of trace metals collected by these samplers can be analyzed with high sensitive accelerator-based analytical techniques. However, a major drawback of these analyses is that they require a large commitment of analytical resources and time. Recent technical developments have been developed for more precise, accurate and frequent measurement of ambient metal species, such as XactTM 625 automated multi-metals analyzer (Fang et al., 2015; Jeong et al., 2016; Phillips-Smith et al., 2017; Cooper et al., 2010).

Dust scatters and absorbs light and its optical properties have been used in on-line measurements to derive the wavelength dependence of the single scattering albedo (*SSA*) (parameterized with the Ångström exponent) as the criterion to characterize Saharan dust events in the high Alpine region (Collaud Coen et al., 2004). The impact of Saharan dust events, showing increased absorption and scattering, was determined in the East Mediterranean (Vrekoussis et al., 2005) and the West Mediterranean (Pandolfi et al., 2011; Pandolfi et al., 2014; Ealo et al., 2016). These measurements with high time resolution have shown that the optical properties can be used to identify dust events but have not been able to determine the contribution of desert dust to PM_{10} concentrations in a quantitative manner based on the dust absorption.

Few studies have reported the potential of using dust aerosol absorption properties to infer their ambient concentrations in PM_{10}. These efforts started by using Aethalometers to determine the absorption coefficient attributed to iron compounds in dust, the determination of their mass absorption cross section, and the determination of black carbon and dust in the marine boundary layer (Fialho et al., 2005; Fialho et al., 2006; Fialho et al., 2014). The absorption of dust was due to iron compounds which were quantified using instrumental neutron activation analysis. Zhang et al. (2008) used thermal-optical reflection to measure the carbonaceous fraction and proton induced X-ray emission for elemental analysis, and again used iron as the dust tracer to separate the contributions of these two light-absorbing aerosol components. These publications

Atmospheric
Measurement
Techniques
Discussions

EGU

systematically biased the absorption coefficients too high due to the assumption that the attenuation of light in the filter is due to non-filter-enhanced absorption, neglecting the enhancement due to the scattering in the filter matrix. Using different influence of iron-containing mineral dust and black carbon on *SSA* at different wavelengths and contrasting fine and coarse fractions, Derimian et al. (2008) quantified the iron concentrations in mineral dust. Lately, more sophisticated techniques using filter photometers were employed to determine the mineral dust absorption coefficients, mass absorption cross-sections and dust *SSA*. Caponi et al. (2017) used the multi-wavelength absorbance analyzer to determine the absorption coefficients at multiple wavelengths and obtain the absorption Ångström exponents and mass absorption cross-sections in a chamber study. The chamber study was also used to determine the filter enhancement in Aethalometers challenged with dust (Di Biagio et al., 2017) and then use these parameters to determine the optical properties as a function of iron content for different dust samples from all over the world (Di Biagio et al., 2019).

Additionally, quantitative determination of ambient concentration of mineral dust has been performed in the mixture of Saharan dust and carbonaceous matter in a wildfire plume (Schauer et al., 2016). These two may be internally mixed (Hand et al., 2010). The relationship between the columnar optical properties and the in-situ ones during dusty and dust-free days due to the mixing of the dust with the dominant local air pollution is challenging to interpret (Valenzuela et al., 2015).

The determination of the optical absorption of pure mineral dust - when mixed with black carbon - is more difficult because black carbon features a much higher mass absorption cross-section, obscuring the smaller contribution of dust to absorption. Enriching the aerosol coarse fraction, and hence increasing the contribution of weakly absorbing dust, may represent an innovative alternative way to increase dust aerosol absorption relative to black carbon.

We present here an improvement in real-time detection of mineral dust in ambient *PM* by concentrating the coarse particle fraction with a high-volume virtual impactor system similar to the one reported by Sioutas et al. (1994), and coupled with an aerosol absorption monitor (Aethalometer model AE33). We demonstrate its performance at a regional background site, frequently impacted by Saharan dust.

First the enhanced absorption of coarse particles is determined from the difference of absorption measured by Aethalometers with the virtual impactor and PM_1 inlet, respectively. This parameter is divided by the enhancement factor calculated from the particle size distributions, yielding the absorption of coarse particles. The correlation between mineral dust absorption and reference mineral dust concentration provides us with the mass absorption cross-section of the mineral dust, which is then used to determine mineral dust concentration with high time resolution.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Field campaign site description

Field validation took place at the Cyprus Atmospheric Observatory (CAO) between 1 April 2016 and 31 May 2016. This field campaign was organized as part of the European projects ACTRIS (Aerosols, Clouds and Trace Gases Research Infrastructure) and BACCHUS (Impact of Biogenic versus Anthropogenic emissions on Clouds and Climate; towards a Holistic UnderStanding). CAO is situated at a regional background site on the foothills of mount Troodos (35.04N; 33.06E; 535 m a.s.l) in the centre of Cyprus, an island located in the Eastern Mediterranean - Levantine basin. Lying in close proximity to the Middle-East/North Africa (MENA) region, Cyprus is often influenced by air masses carrying mineral dust particles, originating from either the Saharan Desert or the Middle East (Mamouri et al., 2013; Pikridas et al., 2018). During this field campaign, a large suite of in-situ and remote sensing instrumentation was deployed at ground level and onboard Unmanned Aerial Vehicles in order to better characterize the influence of desert dust on Ice Nuclei (Schrod et al., 2017; Marinou et al., 2019), LIDAR retrieval of vertically-resolved *PM* (Mamali et al., 2018), and performance of miniaturized light absorption sensors (Pikridas et al., 2019). More information on the climatology of air masses origin and PM at the Cyprus Atmospheric Observatory can be found in Pikridas et al., 2018.

Atmospheric
Measurement
Techniques
Discussions

Open Access

EGU

2.2. Instrumentation

160 Real-time aerosol absorption of the dust-containing coarse fraction was determined by subtracting aerosol absorption of black carbon-containing submicron aerosols from the absorption of the concentrated coarse aerosols from the outlet of a virtual impactor (VI). A detailed description of this instrumental set-up as well as complementary aerosol instrument is provided in the following.

165 ### 2.2.1. Virtual Impactor design

Based on a design similar to the one reported by Sioutas et al. (1994), our virtual impactor is sampling ambient air (TSP) at a total flow rate of 100 l min^{-1}. The major flow (F_{in} = 98 l min^{-1}) is carried out of the VI by a large capacity pump while the coarse particles are inertially impacted (enriched) into the minor flow (F_{out}=2 l min^{-1})
170 of the VI connected to the absorption photometer. The enrichment of coarse particles in the minor flow is a function of the ratio of the two (major/minor) flows; its efficiency depends also on the design and manufacturing of the VI. For that reason, the VI was thoroughly tested and characterized in the lab in order to estimate the concentration enhancement (*CE*) factor of coarse particles as a function of particle size (using NIST polystyrene-latex (PSL) spheres with nominal sizes from 0.7 to 10 μm) and two different flow rate ratios (19
175 and 50, respectively). The laboratory characterisation of the VI is described in the Supplement S1 while the methodology used to reconstruct the size distribution of concentrated coarse particles (in the minor flow F_{out}) is presented in section 3.1.

180

185

190

Figure 1. Principle of the Virtual Impactor (VI) operation.

2.2.2. Aerosol absorption and light scattering coefficients

195 Three Aethalometers model AE33 (Magee Scientific, USA) were used during the field campaign with different inlet setups: one sampling through a PM1 sharp-cut cyclone (BGI Inc., Model SCC 1.197), one sampling through a custom-made total suspended particles (TSP) inlet and a third one sampling through the VI described above (Section 2.2.1). The first two instruments (with PM1 and TSP) were sampling at a 5 l min^{-1} flow rate, while the third was sampling through the VI at a 2 l min^{-1} flow rate. This flow rate of 2 l min^{-1} was selected so as to
200 increase concentration enhancement (CE) factor of the VI and consequently increase the absorption signal of dust aerosols.

The Aethalometer AE33 measures attenuation of light by two samples collected at different flow rates. This results in two sample spots that feature different attenuation values. The measurement of light transport
205 through the sample-laden filter is non-linear and the measurements using two sample spots allow the on-line compensation of the nonlinearity of the black carbon measurement (Drinovec et al., 2015). Given that the on-line filter loading compensation was not working efficiently for the AE33 coupled with the virtual impactor (see section 3.3, below), the obtained data was compensated using fixed k values as described in the Supplement S2.

210

Absorption coefficient (b_{abs}) was calculated from the attenuation coefficient (b_{atn}) using the value of the multiple scattering parameter C of 2.6:

215
$$b_{abs} = \frac{b_{atn}}{C} \qquad (1)$$

This value was derived from the value obtained for quartz filter: 3.5 (WMO, 2016) instead of 2.14 used previously (Weingartner et al., 2003) and adjusted for the AE33 filter (Drinovec et al., 2015) to 2.6 instead of 1.57. The σ_{air} value for black carbon was adjusted in the inverse manner to obtain the same BC values; new
220 mass absorption cross section for BC σ_{air} at 880 nm is now 4.74 m^2 g^{-1} instead of 7.77 m^2 g^{-1}:

$$BC = \frac{b_{abs}}{\sigma_{air}} = \frac{b_{atn}}{C \cdot \sigma_{air}}. \qquad (2)$$

The Aethalometers were intercompared in the laboratory before the campaign. The correlation slope for 1
225 minute resolution data differed less than 4% between the instruments with R^2 = 0.996. The analysis of the actual uncertainty of Aethalometer measurements during the campaign is presented in the Supplement S3.

Total scattering and back-scattering coefficients (b_{scat} & b_{bscat}) of the ambient (TSP) aerosol were monitored continuously using a three-wavelength (450, 550 and 700 nm) integrated nephelometer (TSI Inc., model 3563;
230 Anderson and Ogren, 1998). The nephelometer was sampling through a vertical, straight sampling line, coupled with a TSP inlet, a Nafion dryer, and measuring at 1-minute time resolution. Calibration was conducted using CO_2 as a high, and zero air as a low span gas prior to the field campaign. This nephelometer went through a successful inter-comparison exercise at the European Center for Aerosol Calibration (ECAC-report-IN-2015-1-5, 2016) ahead of the instrument's field deployment. Nevertheless, due to miscalibration of the green channel,
235 550 nm measurements were excluded from the analysis. Single scattering albedo (SSA) was calculated at 450 and 700 nm using the total scattering coefficient from nephelometer and absorption coefficient obtained from AE33 by linear interpolation of absorption coefficients from adjacent wavelength pairs. Single scattering albedo Ångström exponent (SSAAE) was calculated from SSA$_{450\,nm}$ and SSA$_{700\,nm}$.

240 **2.2.3. Other in-situ aerosol instrumentation**

Dried particle number size distributions (PNSDs) were measured using a TSI Inc. Aerodynamic Particle Sizer (APS, model 3321). The APS measures PNSDs in the 0.5 − 20 µm aerodynamic diameter size range at a 5-minute temporal resolution. The APS was sampling at a total flow rate of 5 l min^{-1} through a straight vertical sampling
245 line, a Nafion dryer, and a TSP inlet, identical to the nephelometer. Aerosol mass concentration for fine ($PM_{2.5}$) and coarse aerosols ($PM_{10-2.5}$) was measured using a Continuous Dichotomous Ambient Particulate Monitor (Thermo Scientific, 1405-DF TEOM-FDMS system) deployed at the Agia Marina Xyliatou EMEP station, collocated with CAO, at a 1-hour temporal resolution (see more at Pikridas et al., 2019).

250 **2.3. Filter sampling and analysis**

2.3.1 Filter sampling

Aerosol samples were collected during the field campaign at a flow rate of 2.3 m^3 h^{-1} on pre-weighed filters (Pall Tissuquartz 2500 QAT-UP) using two autonomous filter samplers (Leckel SEQ 47/50) for determination of mass concentration ($PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10}, respectively) with 24-h time resolution from midnight to midnight
255 according to local standard time. Particle mass concentration ($PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10}) on the filter substrates was determined gravimetrically before and after the sampling, under constant conditions dictated by protocol EN12341 with the use of a 6 digits precision analytical balance (Mettler Toledo, Model XP26C). According to that protocol filters were subjected to 45-50% relative humidity at 20 ± 1° C for 48 hours.

Atmospheric
Measurement
Techniques
Discussions

2.3.2 Aerosol chemical mass closure

Filter samples were subsequently analyzed for major ions by ion chromatography (Thermo, Model ICS5000) following the protocol reported in Sciare et al. (2011) and complying with the European committee for standardization for the measurement of anions and cations in PM2.5 (EN 16913:2017) and elemental carbon concentration (EC) and organic carbon concentration (OC) with a Sunset Lab Instrument, the EUSAAR_2 thermo-optical protocol (Cavalli et al., 2010) and complying with the European committee for standardization (EN 16909:2017). Quality of ion measurements is checked at the bi-annual intercomparison studies performed in the framework of the EMEP and WMO networks while quality of EC/OC measurements is confirmed on annual basis in the framework of ACTRIS network.

Estimation of dust in $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} was performed following the methodology proposed by Sciare et al. (2005) for a regional background (Crete Island) located in the Eastern Mediterranean assuming a constant Calcium-to-dust ratio of 0.12. Reconstruction of PM from chemical analyses versus PM from gravimetry is reported in Supplement S6 and shows very good correlation (R^2 = 0.99) and slope close to one, supporting the consistency and robustness of our calculation of mineral dust in PM.

2.3.3 Trace metal analysis using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS)

An acid microwave digestion procedure was applied to the PM_{10} filters followed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, Thermo Electron X Series) to measure metal concentrations of Al, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd, and Pb, following the procedure from Poulakis et al. (2015).

2.3.4 Scanning electron microscopy – energy dispersive X-ray (SEM-EDX) analysis

Scanning electron microscopy measurements were performed at Jožef Stefan Institute using SEM model Supra 35 VP (Carl Zeiss, Germany). Measurements were performed on punches of PM10 filters that were attached to the sample holder through a double-sided carbon tape. The filters were previously sputter-coated with a thin gold film (with Au nanoparticle approximate size of 10 nm) using an SCD 005 cool sputter coater (BAL-TEC GmbH, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). The microscope was equipped with the energy dispersive spectroscopy module (EDX, Oxford INCA 400, Oxford Instruments Analytical, UK), which was operated at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV.

2.4. Data coverage

The measurement campaign took place in April and May 2016. Due to technical reasons not all of the instruments were running throughout the campaign (Table 1). This limited some of the analysis to a shorter time periods with most of the data available between 14 April and 6 May.

Table 1. Data coverage for on-line instrumentation and filter sampling.

Instrument	Available data
AE33	4 Apr 2016 – 31 May2016
VI	14 Apr 2016 – 6 May 2016
TEOM-FDMS	1 Apr 2016 – 31 May 2016
Nephelometer	14 Apr 2016 – 31 May 2016
APS	1 Apr 2016 – 30 Apr 2016
24h filter samples	1 Apr 2016 – 31 May 2016

## 3. Results and discussion

The methodology to derive real-time concentration of dust in PM_{10} is presented as per the follow: the robustness of the system (VI+AE33) is tested first in the field for a period of 1 month in Section 3.1. The enhancement factor (EF) downstream of the virtual impactor is calculated in Section 3.2. Real-time absorption of ambient dust aerosols is calculated in section 3.3. It is corrected for 1) the influence of Black Carbon measured by a co-located Aethalometer AE33 equipped with PM_1 inlet, and 2) the Enhancement Factor of the VI. Real-time dust concentration of PM_{10} (Section 3.4) is then derived by dividing the absorption of dust aerosols calculated in section 3.3 with a Mass Absorption Cross-section (MAC) for dust calculated using filter-based chemical analyses.

3.1. Field campaign overview

Optical, physical, and size-resolved chemical properties of ambient aerosols at the Cyprus Atmospheric Observatory were characterised continuously in April and May 2016 using several online and offline methods as illustrated in Figure 2. During the campaign a total of four intense events (16 and 26 April, 1 and 15 May 2016) were detected with increased PM concentration of the coarse fraction concurrent with increase of the light scattering coefficient, but no correlation with black carbon concentration (Figure 2). By combining light absorption and scattering measurements it is possible to identify the mineral dust events as the periods during which the single scattering albedo Ångström exponent (SSAAE) becomes negative, indicating the presence of mineral dust (Collaud Cohen et al., 2004).

Figure 2. Time series of the mass concentration of coarse particles ($PM_{10-2.5}$) obtained by TEOM-FDMS, black carbon concentration (BC) for total suspended particles (TSP) obtained by Aethalometer AE33, the light scattering coefficient at 700 nm obtained by nephelometer and Single scattering albedo Ångström exponent (SSAAE). The four periods with negative SSAAE during the campaign are shadowed.

Figure 3 reports continuous measurements of aerosol absorption during the field campaign for three different aerosol size fractions that were achieved using different inlets for three Aethalometers AE33 running in parallel: 1) a PM$_1$; 2) a total suspended particle (TSP), and 3) a virtual impactor (VI). During the first days of the

330   campaign, the VI was operated manually for several periods of few hours in order to perform several tests related to the collection and light absorption detection of the coarse faction. The VI was set ON continuously from 14th April till 6th May. Given the strong sensitivity of dust aerosol absorption in the UV range compared to black carbon (see Section 3.3), the 370 nm channel was selected here to compare aerosol absorption measurements from the three Aethalometers.

335

As shown in Figure 3 the absorption in TSP is closely related to the one of PM$_1$. On contrary, the absorption measured when the virtual impactor was ON shows very high values and very poor correlation with the other absorption measurements. During the periods when the VI pump was not operating, the aerosol absorption agrees well with the one using the TSP showing that the enhancement of aerosol absorption is entirely related

340   to the enhancement of the coarse fraction

Figure 3. Time series of the aerosol absorption coefficient at 370 nm for three Aethalometers AE33 running in parallel and equipped with different inlets: PM$_1$, total suspended particle (TSP) and virtual impactor (VI). The periods when VI was operating are marked in green (VI=ON).

345

3.2. Experimental characterization of the enhancement factor of coarse particles using the virtual impactor

The performance of the virtual impactor was characterised during the laboratory campaign (Supplement S1). The maximum concentration efficiency was obtained for F_{in}/F_{out} ratio of 50 (Table S1). Therefore, a similar

350   F_{in}/F_{out} ratio of 50 was chosen during the field deployment with F_{in} of 100 l min^{-1} and F_{out} of 2 l min^{-1}, respectively. As shown in Table S1 for F_{in}/F_{out} ratio of 50, the concentration efficiency (CE) of aerosols with diameters of 1 μm and below is close to unity. This result is expected for a virtual impactor which principle is based on the concentration of large aerosols. Consequently, the black carbon fraction – mainly located in the submicron mode – is not enriched in the VI. On contrary, dust aerosols - mostly located in the coarse aerosol

355   fraction (above 1 μm diameter) are concentrated efficiently by the virtual impactor (Figure 4).

Figure 4. Average aerodynamic volume size distribution spectrum measured by APS between 1 April 2016 – 30 April 2016 (black line) and the predicted spectrum of aerosol concentrated using the virtual impactor (red line). Blue line represents the virtual impactor concentration efficiency measured in laboratory (Supplement S1). Shaded area denotes the particles larger than 10 µm.

The enhancement factor (*EF*) of the VI is defined as a multiplication factor that reflects the enrichment of the coarse fraction downstream of the VI. EF was determined experimentally during the field test using the Aerodynamic Particle Sizer (APS) to derive both the volume concentration of the unperturbed sample (*V*) and volume concentration enhanced using a virtual impactor (V_{VI}):

$$V = \int \left(\frac{dV}{dlogD} \right) * dlogD \qquad , \qquad (3)$$

$$V_{VI} = \int \left(\frac{dV}{dlogD} \right) * CE * dlogD \qquad , \qquad (4)$$

where *D* is the particle aerodynamic diameter and *CE* the collection efficiency of the VI as characterized in supplement S1. The enhancement factor is then calculated as:

$$EF = \frac{V_{VI}}{V} \qquad . \qquad (5)$$

The average aerosol volume concentration size spectrum obtained during the campaign by the APS is presented in Figure 4 (black line), along with the spectrum calculated for the virtual impactor (red line), using the concentration efficiency determined during the laboratory campaign (Table S1). For ambient aerosol we observe a mode around 3.5 µm. Because the virtual impactor is more efficient towards larger particles, the ambient volume size distribution is not reproduced downstream of the VI, which shows a maximum around 9 µm. The collection efficiency of particles larger than 10 µm in the Aethalometer AE33 downstream the VI is expected to be rather low due to losses in tubing and sample lines inside the Aethalometer. Overall, the uncertainty associated with the enhancement factor remains difficult to assess in the aerosol range close to 10 µm diameter which particles are usually difficult to collect in a quantitative way.

Atmospheric
Measurement
Techniques
Discussions

The enhancement factor of the VI defined in Eq. 5 depends on the ambient aerosol volume size distribution measured by the APS, which changes over time. Figure 5 reports the temporal variation of *EF* during the field campaign at 5 min time resolution. There are time intervals with stable *EF* of approximately 9 (11 April 2016 – 13 April 2016), also we can observe some peaks with *EF* as high as 16. For the PM_{10}-PM_1 particles we obtained a campaign average VI enhancement factor:

$$EF = 11 \pm 2.$$

a)   b)

Figure 5. Time series of the virtual impactor enhancement factor calculated from aerodynamic size distributions measured by APS (a) for the PM_{10} aerosol fraction. The enhancement factor frequency distribution is shown on the right (b).

3.3. Calculation of the absorption coefficient of coarse particles

The principle of the virtual impactor operation allows for concentration of the coarse particles while the fine particles remain present at the same amount as in the ambient air. To determine the absorption induced by ambient mineral dust, we need to subtract the fine particle absorption signal (dominated by black carbon) from the total virtual impactor absorption and normalize by the enhancement factor, following the equation:

$$b_{abs,mineral\ dust} = \frac{b_{abs,VI} - b_{abs,PM1}}{EF} \ , \tag{6}$$

where $b_{abs,mineral\ dust}$, $b_{abs,VI}$ and $b_{abs,PM1}$ represent absorption coefficients of dust in ambient conditions, aerosols downstream of the virtual impactor and submicron aerosols, respectively. Because absorption of PM_1 fraction is dominated by black carbon, it is essential to compensate absorption data for the filter loading effect (Drinovec et al., 2017). If the compensation parameter is wrong by 0.005 this can result in over- or under-estimation of b_{abs} by up to 60% at 370 nm and by 25% at 950 nm. For the Aethalometer with the PM_1 inlet, the absorption data is sufficiently compensated by the built-in dual-spot algorithm. For the Aethalometer connected to the virtual impactor, the method was not able to measure accurately the loading effect due to the presence of coarse particles (Supplement S2). The main reason for this behaviour lies in the fact that a single particle (deposited on one of the two spots) potentially causes significant absorption only in one of the two measurement spots. This requires an application of off-line compensation using fixed values of the compensation parameters (Supplement S2).

The absorption induced by dust (Eq. 6) during the field campaign was calculated for each of the 7 wavelengths of the Aethalometer AE33 and averaged, as shown in Figure 6. The spectral dependence of absorption by mineral dust shows an increase at shorter wavelengths, significantly deviating from the Ångström exponent of 1. The best discrimination between the mineral dust particles and black carbon is achieved at the lowest wavelength 370 nm, which is the wavelength that has been selected in our procedure to derive the absorption and the atmospheric concentration of mineral dust.

430

Figure 6. Averaged absorption coefficient during the campaign for ambient dust (as calculated in Eq. 6) in the coarse fraction of aerosols as calculated from the difference of the absorption coefficients measured with a virtual impactor and a PM$_1$ inlet, and divided by the average enhancement factor (black line). The dotted red line shows a theoretical curve with Ångström exponent of 1, extrapolated from measurements at 880 nm.

435

Campaign averages show the mean value for absorption at 370 nm being higher for TSP compared to PM$_1$ inlet (Table 2). The absorption signal reported in this table for the AE33 behind the VI is more than a factor of 4 higher compared to AE33 with the PM$_1$ inlet and is due to the concentrated mineral dust in the coarse fraction. The average absorption coefficient of ambient dust as calculated using Eq. 6 was 2.0 ± 2.1 Mm^{-1}. Similarly to

440 absorption, AAE shows higher value for TSP compared to PM$_1$ inlet, as the mineral dust in the coarse fraction increases absorption in UV & blue part of the spectrum. As expected there is high variability both for absorption and AAE during the campaign. The average difference between absorption for TSP and PM1 inlets is lower than expected, but within the measurement variation and uncertainty - the $b_{abs,370nm}$ measurements were affected by the uncertainty up to 18% during the campaign (as estimated comparing Aethalometers with different inlets, Supplement S3).

445

Table 2. Average absorption coefficient at 370 nm, the absorption Ångström exponent (AAE) and their variation between during the campaign (15 April 2016 and 6 May 2016). AAEs were calculated as averages of one hour values for the wavelength pair of 370 and 950 nm.

450

Sample	$b_{abs,370nm}$ (Mm^{-1})	AAE
PM1	5.6 ± 3.3	1.22 ± 0.16
TSP	6.1 ± 3.4	1.30 ± 0.18
VI	27.6 ± 20.1	1.38 ± 0.25
VI-PM1	22.0 ± 23.4	1.41 ± 0.29
(VI-PM1)/11	2.0 ± 2.1	1.41 ± 0.29

3.4. Determination of mineral dust mass absorption cross-section

455   For the determination of the mineral dust mass absorption cross-section, we need to establish the mineral dust concentration in our samples. For this purpose, we performed mass closure on 24-hour PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ filter samples (see Supplement S6). Mineral dust concentration was determined from calcium concentration, assuming 12% Ca in mineral dust. Since the virtual impactor concentrates larger particles with higher efficiency (this is where we expect to have the largest contribution of mineral dust), we used the coarse fraction $PM_{10-2.5}$

460   for the calibration (Figure 8).

a)   b)

Figure 8. The time-series (a) and the correlation (b) between the absorption coefficient of coarse particles obtained using
465   **VI-PM1 method versus mineral dust concentration in the coarse fraction.**

The correlation between mineral dust absorption and filter measurements is very good with R^2 of 0.92 showing good agreement between the methods. The mineral dust mass absorption cross-section was obtained from the regression between mineral dust mass and the coarse fraction absorption coefficient at 370 nm:

470

$$MAC_{mineral\ dust,370nm} = 0.24 \pm 0.01\ m^2\ g^{-1}.$$

This *MAC* value, obtained with 24-h time resolution, allows us to calculate mineral dust concentrations with the high time resolution of the absorption measurements:

475

$$Mineral\ dust_{PM_{10-2.5}} = \frac{(b_{abs,370nm,VI} - b_{abs,370nm,PM1})}{EF \cdot MAC_{mineral\ dust,370\ nm}} \qquad (7)$$

The concentration of coarse particles and its composition show a huge variability during the campaign (Figure 9a): the average mineral dust concentration was 8.1 μg m^{-3} with peaks up to 45 μg m^{-3}. On average, mineral
480   dust represented about one half of the coarse fraction. The average BC was much lower at 0.39 μg m^{-3}. Due to its much higher mass absorption cross-section ($MAC_{BC,370nm}$ = 11.2 m^2 g^{-1}; 47 times higher compared to mineral dust), the absorption of black carbon dominated the aerosol absorption in Cyprus during the campaign and mineral dust absorption could not be detected directly. Using the virtual impactor allows us to concentrate the dust and measure its absorption coefficient and determine its mass absorption cross-section with a low
485   uncertainty.

The Ångström exponent of the fine fraction oscillates between 1 and 1.5 (Figure 9b). The lower values correspond to *BC* peaks, originating from local traffic and other efficient combustion sources. The higher values are a mixture of mineral dust and local pollution. The Ångström exponent of the coarse fraction reaches value
490   of 2.1 during intense mineral dust periods. After these events the *AAE* value drops slowly and reaches a value of 1.2 during the period with low presence of mineral dust (around 27 April 2019 – 1 May 2019).

a)   b)

495

Figure 9. Time series of *BC* in fine fraction and mineral dust determined using VI-PM1 method (a) and Ångström exponent for fine and coarse fraction of the aerosol (b).

The knowledge of the virtual impactor enhancement factor allowed us to calculate the average *MAC* for mineral dust during the Cyprus campaign. Reportedly, its value depends mostly on the absorption of iron oxides (Sokolik and Toon, 1999, Alfaro et al., 2003; Fialho et al., 2005; Fialho et al., 2006; Fialho et al., 2014; Caponi et al., 2017; Di Biagio et al., 2019). During the campaign we obtained 1.9% iron in PM_{10}. If we take into account that mineral dust represented about one half of PM_{10} (Supplement S6), we get a good agreement with iron concentrations measured for mineral dust from Middle East of $3.15\% - 3.5\%$ (Linke et al., 2006), $3.8\% - 5\%$ (Caponi et al., 2017) and Sahara of $3.6\% - 6.6\%$ (Caponi at al., 2017). Surprisingly our $MAC_{Mineral\ dust,370nm} = 0.24$ $m^2\ g^{-1}$ is much larger compared to mineral dust from Saudi Arabia of $0.09\ m^2\ g^{-1}$, Libya of $0.089\ m^2\ g^{-1}$ and Algeria of $0.099\ m^2\ g^{-1}$ (Caponi et al., 2017) or North-Eastern Africa of $0.099\ m^2\ g^{-1}$ (Fialho et al., 2006). Higher *MAC* goes along with the low Ångström exponent value of 2.1 obtained for the fresh mineral dust reaching Cyprus. This value is lower than AAE of $2.8. - 4.1$ reported for Middle East $(2.8 - 4.1)$ and Sahara $(2.5 - 3.2)$ by Caponi et al. (2017) or 2.9-4 for North-Eastern Africa (Fialho et al., 2005; Fialho et al., 2006). Differences in *MAC* values and the Ångström exponent can be an indicator that the coarse fraction of mineral dust is contaminated with black carbon, with the mixing occurring in or close to the source regions much earlier than mineral dust reached Cyprus.

Atmospheric
Measurement
Techniques
Open Access

Discussions

EGU

515   a)   b)

Figure 10. Time-series (a) and correlation (b) of the single scattering albedo Ångström exponent (*SSAAE*) and mineral dust concentration.

520   The determination of the mineral dust concentration was tested using the Collaud Coen et al. (2004) method for the qualitative determination of Saharan dust events. As expected the peaks in mineral dust concentration correspond to the periods featuring negative values of single scattering albedo Ångström exponent. It is shown (Figure 10) that *SSAAE* becomes negative when the mineral concentration becomes larger than 15 µg m^{-3}. The correlation between the mineral dust (determined from the chemical composition, notably calcium ions) and

525   *SSAAE* is not perfect because of the contribution to scattering from other aerosol components (organics, sulphates …) which amount to about one half of the aerosol mass, and the absorption of organics. While the *SSAAE* method provides an identification of dust events, the VI-PM1 method allows for the quantitative determination of mineral dust - even at high black carbon concentrations in the fine fraction.

530   **3.7. Uncertainty of the VI-PM1 method for the determination of mineral dust concentrations in *PM$_{10}$***

The uncertainty in the determination of mineral dust concentration using VI-PM1 method arises from the measurement uncertainties, variability of optical and chemical properties of mineral dust and potential systematic biases of the method itself. Because VI-PM1 method is calibrated using mineral dust in the coarse

535   fraction only, 6% lower values compared to total mineral dust in *PM$_{10}$* are reported. This bias can be avoided by using a correction factor.

The uncertainty of 10% in the determination of the attenuation coefficient at 880 nm by the Aethalometer AE33 was reported (Drinovec et al., 2015). The performance of the Aethalometers during this campaign was

540   investigated by comparing signal from the instruments with TSP and PM$_1$ inlets. The variation not related to the presence of mineral dust was used to determine measurement uncertainty of 11% and 880 nm and 18% at 370 nm (Supplement S4). The value of the multiple scattering parameter *C* (Weingartner et al., 2003; Drinovec et al., 2015; WMO, 2016) does not add to the final uncertainty because the same value is used for the calibration and the determination of mineral dust concentration, cancelling out in the final calculation. However, the

545   selection of the parameter *C* influences the calculation of mineral dust absorption coefficient and *MAC*. Similar to parameter *C,* the selected value of *EF* influences determination of absorption coefficients and *MAC*, but not the calculation of mineral dust concentration. It is the variation of *EF*, caused by the changes of the particle size distribution (Figure 5a), which induces about 18% uncertainty.

550   The main uncertainty comes from the variability of the chemical composition, mainly from the variability of ratio of Fe/Ca. This ratio is important because *MAC* of mineral dust depends mostly on the iron content, whereas calcium was used as a reference method for determination of mineral dust concentration. The SEM-EDX analysis of single particle chemical composition show large particle-to-particle variation inside the 24 h filter sample (Supplement S8). As expected the day-to-day variability of chemical composition is much lower as
555   shown by ICP-MS analysis of trace metals (Supplement S7) - we obtained 40% variability of Fe/Ca ratio both for the campaign period as for the year-long dataset.

    The combined uncertainty in determination of mineral dust concentration during the Cyprus campaign assuming independent contributions is 44%. The main reason for this uncertainty is the variation of the
560   measured parameters used for the calibration of the method, essentially "assuming the worst-case scenario" of ever-changing aerosolized dust composition and resulting in an overestimation of the uncertainty. Alternatively, it is possible to derive the uncertainty from the measurement accuracy: to compare daily mineral dust concentrations obtained using VI-PM1 method with the reference values obtained using mass closure. This compares different methods measuring the same sample. Standard deviation of the ratio between
565   predicted and reference mineral dust concentration was 29%. This is a quantification of the scatter of the regression (R^2 = 0.92) between the mineral dust concentrations determined using the two methods, as seen in Figure 8.

570   **4. Conclusions**

- An on-line method (named VI-PM1) for the determination of mineral dust concentration in ambient air based on absorption of coarse particles was developed.
- The VI-PM1 method was calibrated using mass closure performed on 24h filter samples yielding the
575   uncertainty between 29% and 44%, using measurement accuracy and variation of the measured parameters, respectively.
- The VI-PM1 method allows for easy quantification of mineral dust in environments, where dust absorption is otherwise masked by absorption by black carbon in the fine aerosol fraction.
- During the campaign, we observed a continuous presence of mineral dust with an average of 8 µg/m3
580   and several intense events with concentrations up to 45 µg m^{-3}.
- An average $MAC_{mineral\_dust,370nm}$ of 0.24 ± 0.01 m^2 g^{-1} and Ångström exponent of 1.41 ± 0.29 were obtained for mineral dust measured at a background location in Cyprus. This seems to indicate that coarse fraction might be contaminated by black carbon.

585

595

Atmospheric
Measurement
Techniques
Discussions

References

Alfaro, S. C., Lafon, S., Rajot, J. L., Formenti, P., Gaudichet, A. and Maille´, M.: Iron oxides and light absorption by pure desert dust: An experimental study. J. Geophys. Res., 109, D08208, https://doi:10.1029/2003JD004374, 2004.

Anderson, T. L. and Ogren, J. A.: Determining Aerosol Radiative Properties Using the TSI 3563 Integrating Nephelometer, Aerosol Science and Technology, 29:1, 57-69, doi: 10.1080/02786829808965551, 1998.

Boucher, O., Randall, D., Artaxo, P., Bretherton, C., Feingold, G., Forster, P., Kerminen, V.-M., Kondo, Y., Liao, H., Lohmann, U., Rasch, P., Satheesh, S.K., Sherwood, S., Stevens, B. and Zhang, X.Y.: Clouds and Aerosols. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA. 2013.

Bukowiecki, N., Hill, M., Gehrig, R., Zwicky, C. N., Lienemann, P., Hegedüs, F., Falkenberg, G., Weingartner, E., and Baltensperger, U.: Trace metals in ambient air: Hourly size-segregated mass concentrations determined by synchrotron-XRF, Environ. Sci. Technol., 39, 5754–5762, https://doi.org/10.1021/es048089m, 2005.

Caponi, L., Formenti, P., Massabó, D., Di Biagio, C., Cazaunau, M., Pangui, E., Chevaillier, S., Landrot, G., Andreae, M. O., Kandler, K., Piketh, S., Saeed, T., Seibert, D., Williams, E., Balkanski, Y., Prati, P., and Doussin, J.-F.: Spectral- and size-resolved mass absorption efficiency of mineral dust aerosols in the shortwave spectrum: a simulation chamber study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 7175-7191, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-7175-2017, 2017.

Cavalli, F., Viana, M., Yttri, K. E., Genberg, J., and Putaud, J.-P.: Toward a standardised thermal-optical protocol for measuring atmospheric organic and elemental carbon: the EUSAAR protocol, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 79–89, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-3-79-2010, 2010.

Chen, B., Stein, A. F., Castell, N., Gonzalez-Castanedo, Y., Sanchez de la Campa, A. M., and de la Rosa, J. D.: Modeling and evaluation of urban pollution events of atmospheric heavy metals from a large Cu-smelter, Sci. Total Environ., 539, 17–25, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.08.117, 2016.

Collaud Coen, M., Weingartner, E., Schaub, D., Hueglin, C., Corrigan, C., Henning, S., Schwikowski, M., and Baltensperger, U.: Saharan dust events at the Jungfraujoch: detection by wavelength dependence of the single scattering albedo and first climatology analysis, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 2465-2480, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-4-2465-2004, 2004.

Cooper, J. A., Petterson, K., Geiger, A., Siemers, A., and Rupprecht, B.: Guide for developing a multi-metals, fenceline monitoring plan for fugitive emissions using X-ray based monitors, Cooper Environmental Services, Portland, Oregon, 1–42, , 2010.

Derimian, Y., Karnieli, A., Kaufman, Y. J., Andreae, M. O., Andreae, T. W., Dubovik, O., Maenhaut, W., and Koren, I.: The role of iron and black carbon in aerosol light absorption, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 3623–3637, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-3623-2008, 2008.

Di Biagio, C., Formenti, P., Balkanski, Y., Caponi, L., Cazaunau, M., Pangui, E., Journet, E., Nowak, S., Andreae, M. O., Kandler, K., Saeed, T., Piketh, S., Seibert, D., Williams, E., and Doussin, J.-F.: Complex refractive indices and single-scattering albedo of global dust aerosols in the shortwave spectrum and relationship to size and iron content, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 15503–15531, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-15503-2019, 2019.

Di Biagio, C., Formenti, P., Cazaunau, M., Pangui, E., Marchand, N., and Doussin, J.-F.: Aethalometer multiple scattering correction Cref for mineral dust aerosols, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10, 2923–2939, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-2923-2017, 2017.

Di Mauro, B., Fava, F., Ferrero, L., Garzonio, R., Baccolo, G., Delmonte, B. and Colombo, R.: Mineral dust impact on snow radiative properties in the European Alps combining ground, UAV, and satellite observations, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 120, 6080–6097, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023287, 2015.

Drinovec, L., Gregorič, A., Zotter, P., Wolf, R., Bruns, E. A., Prévôt, A. S. H., Petit, J.-E., Favez, O., Sciare, J., Arnold, I. J., Chakrabarty, R. K., Moosmüller, H., Filep, A., and Močnik, G.: The filter-loading effect by ambient aerosols in filter absorption photometers depends on the coating of the sampled particles, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10, 1043–1059, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-1043-2017, 2017.

Drinovec, L., Močnik, G., Zotter, P., Prévôt, A. S. H., Ruckstuhl, C., Coz, E., Rupakheti, M., Sciare, J., Müller, T., Wiedensohler, A., and Hansen, A. D. A.: The "dual-spot" Aethalometer: an improved measurement of aerosol black carbon with real-time loading compensation, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 1965-1979, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-1965-2015, 2015.

Ealo, M., Alastuey, A., Ripoll, A., Pérez, N., Minguillón, M. C., Querol, X., and Pandolfi, M.: Detection of Saharan dust and biomass burning events using near-real-time intensive aerosol optical properties in the north-western Mediterranean, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 12567-12586, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-12567-2016, 2016.

ECAC-report-IN-2015-1-5: https://www.actris-ecac.eu/files/ECAC-report-IN-2015-1-5_the-cyprus-institute_TSI3563-1082.pdf, last access: 17 June 2019,. 2016.

Engelbrecht, J. P., Moosmüller, H., Pincock, S., Jayanty, R. K. M., Lersch, T., and Casuccio, G.: Technical note: Mineralogical, chemical, morphological, and optical interrelationships of mineral dust re-suspensions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 10809-10830, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-10809-2016, 2016.

European Commission, Establishing guidelines for demonstration and subtraction of exceedances attributable to natural sources under the Directive 2008/50/EC on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe, SEC(2011) 208 final, Brussels, 15.02.2011, http://ec.europa.eu/environment/air/quality/legislation/pdf/sec_2011_0208.pdf , accessed 13 May 2019.

European Committee for Standardisation, EN 16909:2017 Ambient air - Measurement of elemental carbon (EC) and organic carbon (OC) collected on filters, CEN, Brussels, 2017.

European Committee for Standardisation, EN 16913:2017 Ambient Air—Standard Method for the Measurement of NO3−, SO42−, Cl−, NH4+, Na+, K+, Mg2+, Ca2+ in PM2.5 as deposited on filters, CEN, Brussels, 2017.

Fang, G.C., Kuo, Y.C. and Zhuang, Y.J.: Source Analysis of Trace Metal Pollution Received at Harbor, Airport and Farmland Locations in Central Taiwan, Aerosol Air Qual. Res, 15, 1774- 1786, https://doi:10.4209/aaqr.2014.12.0314, 2015.

Fialho, P., Cerqueira, M., Pio, C., Cardoso, J., Nunes, T., Custódio, D., Alves, C., Almeida, S. M., Almeida-Silva, M., Reis, M., Rocha, F.: The application of a multi-wavelength Aethalometer to estimate iron dust and black carbon concentrations in the marine boundary layer of Cape Verde, Atmos. Environ., 97, 136-143, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.08.008, 2014.

Fialho, P., Freitas, M.C., Barata, F., Vieira, B., Hansen, A.D.A., Honrath, R.E.: The Aethalometer calibration and determination of iron concentration in dust aerosols, J. Aerosol Sci., 37, 1497-1506. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2006.03.002, 2006.

Fialho, P., Hansen, A.D.A., Honrath, R.E.: Absorption coefficients by aerosols in remote areas: a new approach to decouple dust and black carbon absorption coefficients using seven-wavelength Aethalometer data, J. Aerosol Sci., 36, 267-282, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2004.09.004, 2005.

Formenti, P., Rajot, J. L., Desboeufs, K., Caquineau, S., Chevaillier, S., Nava, S., Gaudichet, A., Journet, E., Triquet, S., Alfaro, S., Chiari, M., Haywood, J., Coe, H. and Highwood, E.: Regional variability of the composition of mineral dust from western Africa: Results from the AMMA SOP0/DABEX and DODO field campaigns, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D00C13, doi:10.1029/2008JD009903, 2008.

Greilinger, M., Schauer, G., Baumann-Stanzer, K., Skomorowski, P., Schöner, W. and Kasper-Giebl, A.: Contribution of Saharan Dust to Ion Deposition Loads of High Alpine Snow Packs in Austria (1987–2017). Front. Earth Sci. 6:126. https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2018.00126, 2018.

Guieu, C., Lo¨ye-Pilot, M.-D., Ridame, C., and Thomas, C.: Chemical Characterization of the Saharan dust end-member: Some biogeochemical implications for the Western Mediterranean sea, J. Geophys. Res., 107, doi:10.1029/2001JD000582, 2002.

Hand, V. L., Capes, G., Vaughan, D. J., Formenti, P., Haywood, J. M. and Coe, H.: Evidence of internal mixing of African dust and biomass burning particles by individual particle analysis using electron beam techniques, J. Geophys. Res.,115, D13301, doi:10.1029/2009JD012938, 2010.

Herbert F., Dune, Chilton books, Philadelphia, 1965.

Jeong, C.-H., Wang, J. M., and Evans, G. J.: Source Apportionment of Urban Particulate Matter using Hourly Resolved Trace Metals, Organics, and Inorganic Aerosol Components, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2016-189, 2016.

Linke, C., Möhler, O., Veres, A., Mohácsi, Á., Bozóki, Z., Szabó, G., and Schnaiter, M.: Optical properties and mineralogical composition of different Saharan mineral dust samples: a laboratory study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3315–3323, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-3315-2006, 2006.

Mamali, D., Marinou, E., Sciare, J., Pikridas, M., Kokkalis, P., Kottas, M., Binietoglou, I., Tsekeri, A., Keleshis, C., Engelmann, R., Baars, H., Ansmann, A., Amiridis, V., Russchenberg, H., and Biskos, G.: Vertical profiles of aerosol mass concentration derived by unmanned airborne in situ and remote sensing instruments during dust events, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 2897–2910, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-2897-2018, 2018.

Mamouri, R. E., Ansmann, A., Nisantzi, A., Kokkalis, P., Schwarz, A., and Hadjimitsis, D.: Low Arabian extinctionto-backscatter ratio, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 4762–4766, doi:10.1002/grl.50898, 2013.

Atmospheric
Measurement
Techniques
Discussions
Open Access
EGU

Marinou, E., Tesche, M., Nenes, A., Ansmann, A., Schrod, J., Mamali, D., Tsekeri, A., Pikridas, M., Baars, H.,
710   Engelmann, R., Voudouri, K.-A., Solomos, S., Sciare, J., Groß, S., Ewald, F., and Amiridis, V.: Retrieval of ice-
        nucleating particle concentrations from lidar observations and comparison with UAV in situ measurements,
        Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 11315–11342, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-11315-2019, 2019.

Middleton, N., Yiallouros, P., Kleanthous, S., Kolokotroni, O., Schwartz, J., Dockery, D. W., Demokritou, P. and
        Koutrakis, P.: A 10-year time-series analysis of respiratory and cardiovascular morbidity in Nicosia, Cyprus:
715   the effect of short-term changes in air pollution and dust storms, Environ. Health, 7, 39,
        https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-7-39, 2008.

Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J.-F., Lee, D.,
        Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T. and Zhang, H.: Anthropogenic and
        Natural Radiative Forcing. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working
720   Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D.
        Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)].
        Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013.

Pandolfi, M., Cusack, M., Alastuey, A., and Querol, X.: Variability of aerosol optical properties in the Western
        Mediterranean Basin, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 8189-8203, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-8189-2011,
725   2011.

Pandolfi, M., Ripoll, A., Querol, X., and Alastuey, A.: Climatology of aerosol optical properties and black carbon
        mass absorption cross section at a remote high-altitude site in the western Mediterranean Basin, Atmos.
        Chem. Phys., 14, 6443-6460, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-6443-2014, 2014.

Park, S. S., Hansen, A. D. A., and Cho, Y.: Measurement of real time black carbon for investigating spot loading
730   effects of Aethalometer data, Atmos. Environ., 11, 1449–1455, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.01.025, 2010.

Perez, L., Tobías, A., Querol, X., Pey, J., Alastuey, A., Díaz, J., Sunyer, J.: Saharan dust, particulate matter and
        cause-specific mortality: A case–crossover study in Barcelona (Spain), Environ. International (48) 150-155,
        https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2012.07.001, 2012.

Phillips-Smith, C., Jeong, C.-H., Healy, R. M., Dabek-Zlotorzynska, E., Celo, V., Brook, J. R., and Evans, G.: Sources
735   of particulate matter components in the Athabasca oil sands region: investigation through a comparison of
        trace   element   measurement   methodologies,   Atmos.   Chem.   Phys.,   17,   9435–9449,
        https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-9435-2017, 2017.

Pikridas, M., Bezantakos, S., Močnik, G., Keleshis, C., Brechtel, F., Stavroulas, I., Demetriades, G., Antoniou, P.,
        Vouterakos, P., Argyrides, M., Liakakou, E., Drinovec, L., Marinou, E., Amiridis, V., Vrekoussis, M.,
740   Mihalopoulos, N., and Sciare, J.: On-flight intercomparison of three miniature aerosol absorption sensors
        using unmanned aerial systems (UASs), Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 6425–6447, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-
        12-6425-2019, 2019.

Pikridas, M., Vrekoussis, M., Sciare, J., Kleanthous, S., Vasiliadou, E., Kizas, C., Savvides, C., and Mihalopoulos,
        N.: Spatial and temporal (short and long-term) variability of submicron, fine and sub-10 μm particulate
745   matter   (PM1,   PM2.5,   PM10)   in   Cyprus,   Atmos.   Environ.,   191,   79–93,
        https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.07.048, 2018.

Poulakis, E., Theodosi, C., Bressi, M., Sciare, J., Ghersi, V., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Airborne mineral components
        and trace metals in Paris region: spatial and temporal variability. Environ Sci Pollut R, 22(19), 14663-14672,
        2015.

750   Rodríguez, S., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Kallos, G., Kakaliagou, O.: Saharan dust contributions to PM10 and TSP
        levels in Southern and Eastern Spain, Atmos. Environ. (35), 2433-2447, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-
        2310(00)00496-9, 2001.

Schauer, G., Kasper-Giebl, A., and Močnik, G. Increased PM concentrations during a combined wildfire and
        Saharan dust event observed at high-altitude sonnblick observatory, Austria. Aerosol Air Qual. Res. 16, 542–
755   554. doi: 10.4209/aaqr.2015.05.0337, 2016.

Schrod, J., Weber, D., Drücke, J., Keleshis, C., Pikridas, M., Ebert, M., Cvetković, B., Nickovic, S., Marinou, E.,
        Baars, H., Ansmann, A., Vrekoussis, M., Mihalopoulos, N., Sciare, J., Curtius, J., and Bingemer, H. G.: Ice
        nucleating particles over the Eastern Mediterranean measured by unmanned aircraft systems, Atmos.
        Chem. Phys., 17, 4817–4835, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-4817-2017, 2017.

760   Sciare, J., D'Argouges, O., Esteve, R. S., Gaimoz, C., Dolgorouky, ` C., Bonnaire, N., Favez, O., Bonsang, B., and
        Gros, V.: Large contribution of water insoluble secondary organic aerosols in the region of Paris (France)
        during wintertime, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D22203, doi:10.1029/2011JD015756, 2011.

Sciare, J., Oikonomou, K., Cachier, H., Mihalopoulos, N., Andreae, M. O., Maenhaut, W., and Sarda-Estève, R.:
        Aerosol mass closure and reconstruction of the light scattering coefficient over the Eastern Mediterranean

765   Sea during the MINOS campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 2253–2265, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-2253-2005, 2005.

Sioutas, C., Koutrakis, P., Burton, R.M.: Development of a low cutpoint slit virtual impactor for sampling ambient fine particles, Journal Aerosol Sci., 25, 1321-1330, https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8502(94)90128-7. 1994.

770   Sokolik, I. and Toon, O.: Incorporation of mineralogical composition into models of the radiative properties of mineral aerosol from UV to IR wavelengths, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 9423–9444, 1999.

Valenzuela, A., Olmo, F. J., Lyamani, H., Antón, M., Titos, G., Cazorla, A., and Alados-Arboledas, L.: Aerosol scattering and absorption Angström exponents as indicators of dust and dust-free days over Granada (Spain), Atmos. Res., 154, 1–13, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2014.10.015, 2015.

775   Viana, M., Salvador, P., Artíñano, B., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Pey, J., Latz, A. J., Cabañas, M., Moreno, T., Dos Santos, S. G., Herce, M. D., Hernández, P. D., García D. R., and Fernández-Patier, R.: Assessing the Performance of Methods to Detect and Quantify African Dust in Airborne Particulates, Environ. Sci. Tech. 44 , 8814-8820, https://doi.org/10.1021/es1022625, 2010.

Visser, S., Slowik, J. G., Furger, M., Zotter, P., Bukowiecki, N., Canonaco, F., Flechsig, U., Appel, K., Green, D. C.,
780   Tremper, A. H., Young, D. E., Williams, P. I., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Williams, L. R., Mohr, C., Xu, L., Ng, N. L., Nemitz, E., Barlow, J. F., Halios, C. H., Fleming, Z. L., Baltensperger, U., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Advanced source apportionment of size-resolved trace elements at multiple sites in London during winter, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 11291–11309, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-11291- 2015, 2015a.

Vrekoussis, M., Liakakou, E.,Koçak, M., Kubilay, N., Oikonomou, K., Sciare, J., Mihalopoulos, N.: Seasonal
785   variability of optical properties of aerosols in the Eastern Mediterranean, Atmo. Environ. 39, 7083-7094, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.08.011, 2005.

Weingartner, E., Saathoff, H., Schnaiter, M., Streit, N., Bitnar, B., and Baltensperger, U.: Absorption of light by soot particles: determination of the absorption coefficient by means of aethalometers, J. Aerosol Sci., 34, 1445–1463, doi:10.1016/S0021- 8502(03)00359-8, 2003.

790   WHO, Workshop: Evaluating the short-term health effects of desert and anthropogenic dust, 29 October 2018, Geneva, WHO.

WMO, Report No. 227: WMO/GAW Aerosol Measurement Procedures, Guidelines and Recommendations, 2nd Edition, 2016, Geneva, WMO.

Zhang, X. Y., Wang, Y. Q., Zhang, X. C., Guo, W., Niu, T., Gong, S. L., Yin, Y., Zhao, P., Jin, J. L., and Yu M.: Aerosol
795   monitoring at multiple locations in China: contributions of EC and dust to aerosol light absorption, Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology, 60:4, 647-656, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0889.2008.00359.x, 2008.