

Interactive comment on “Validation of SOFIE nitric oxide measurements” by Mark E. Hervig et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 8 April 2019

The paper describes the validation of the NO density retrieved from SOFIE against that retrieved from the MIPAS and ACE instruments. Since the SOFIE NO data has been used in a number of studies on the effect of particle precipitation on the atmosphere, this validation is both timely and important to the community. It should be published after minor revisions.

There are some general comments that the authors should address, as well as some minor corrections.

General comments:

1) The SOFIE NO density is validated against that measured by the MIPAS and ACE instruments. It is mentioned that the NO retrieved from the SCIAMACHY instrument were validated against MIPAS and the Odin Submillimetre Radiometer (SMR). However, it is not clear why these two data sets, SCIAMACHY and SMR, were not used in

C1

this validation. The authors should mention why these data sets were excluded from the SOFIE validation.

2) It is mentioned in the text that the SOFIE NO density, and not the volume mixing ratio (vmr) should be used due to the use of MSIS temperatures above 100 km to convert to vmr. The reference given for the retrievals, Gordley et al, 2009, is focused on the PMC extinction, but does refer to the SOFIE Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document. In that document it is stated that: "Simulated signals are compared to the measurement, and the target gas mixing ratio, Q , is adjusted based on the derivative $d\tau/dQ$, which considers the previous attempt to match the measurement." This would indicate that it is the vmr that is the primary quantity being derived from the SOFIE measurement, and that is being converted to density using the measured/modelled temperatures. This should be clarified. One notes that these documents pre-date the NO retrievals, and reference should be made to any updated documentation of the NO retrieval process.

3) Related to comment 2, the MIPAS data use a logarithmic retrieval of vmr that will exclude negative values. This causes a net positive bias, particularly where the retrieved vmr values are low. Does SOFIE use a similar retrieval mechanism, and if not, would this explain some of the bias between the observations?

Minor corrections: Line 221. The word "determine" should be "determined".

Lines 231-237. It is stated that due to interfering absorption or signal corrections, some of the SOFIE NO data is not reliable. Are these unreliable data flagged in the data base? If not, then the authors should highlight this section as a major caution to users.

Lines 237-239. It says that instances where extreme contamination due to the presence of PMC are filtered in the latest SOFIE V1.3 NO online product. However, on line 124 it is stated that these instances are not filtered in V1.3 but will be in V1.4. This discrepancy should be rectified.

C2

