



Tracking down global NH_3 point sources with wind-adjusted superresolution

Lieven Clarisse¹, Martin Van Damme¹, Cathy Clerbaux^{2,1}, and Pierre-François Coheur¹

¹Université libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Atmospheric Spectroscopy, Service de Chimie Quantique et Photophysique, Brussels, Belgium

²LATMOS/IPSL, Sorbonne Université, UVSQ, CNRS, Paris, France

Correspondence: Lieven Clarisse (lclariss@ulb.ac.be)

Abstract. As a precursor of atmospheric aerosols, ammonia (NH_3) is one the primary gaseous air pollutants. Given its short atmospheric lifetime, ambient NH_3 concentrations are dominated by local sources. In a recent study, Van Damme et al. (2018) have highlighted the importance of NH_3 point sources, especially those associated with feedlots and industrial ammonia production. Their emissions were shown to be largely underestimated in bottom-up emission inventories. The discovery was made

- 5 possible thanks to the use of oversampling techniques applied on 9 years of global daily IASI NH₃ satellite measurements. Oversampling allows to increase the spatial resolution of averaged satellite data, beyond what the satellites natively offer. Here, we apply for the first time the so-called superresolution techniques, which are commonplace in many fields that rely on imaging, to measurements of an atmospheric sounder, whose images consist of just single pixels. We demonstrate the principle on synthetic data and on IASI measurements of a surface parameter. Superresolution is a priori less suitable to be applied on
- 10 measurements of variable atmospheric constituents, in particular those affected by transport. However, by first applying the so-called wind-rotation technique, which was introduced in the study of other primary pollutants, superresolution becomes highly effective to map NH_3 at very high spatial resolution. We show in particular that it allows revealing plume transport in much greater detail than what was previously thought to be possible. Next, using this wind-adjusted superresolution technique, we introduce a new type of NH_3 map that allows to track down point sources much more easily than the regular oversampled
- 15 average. On a subset of known emitters, it allows to locate the source within a median distance of 1.5 km. We subsequently present a new global point source catalog consisting of more than 500 localized and categorized point sources. Compared to our previous catalog, the number of identified sources more than doubled. In addition, we refined the classification of industries into five categories: fertilizer, coking, soda ash, geothermal and explosive industry; and introduced a new urban category for isolated NH₃ hotspots over cities. The latter mainly consists of African megacities, as clear isolation of such urban hotspots is
- almost never possible elsewhere due to the presence of a larger diffuse background. The techniques presented in this paper can most likely be exploited in the study of point sources of other short-lived atmospheric pollutants such as SO_2 and NO_2 .





1 Introduction

As one of the primary forms of reactive nitrogen, NH_3 is essential in many of the Earth's biogeochemical processes. It is naturally present along with the nitrogen oxides in the global nitrogen cycle (Canfield et al., 2010; Fowler et al., 2013). However, the discovery of ammonia synthesis through the Haber-Bosch process in the early 1900s has made this vital compound

- 5 available in almost unlimited quantities, supporting the explosive population growth in the last century (Erisman et al., 2008). As a result, the nitrogen cycle is currently perturbed beyond the safe operating space for humanity, which has led to a host of environmental and societal problems (Steffen et al., 2015). The most obvious direct impact of excess NH₃ is that on air quality, as atmospheric NH₃ is one of the main precursors of secondary particulate matter, which has important adverse health impacts (Lelieveld et al., 2015; Bauer et al., 2016). Emissions of the two other important precursors (SO₂ and NO_x) have thanks to
- 10 effective legislation drastically decreased in the past twenty years in Europe and North America, and have started to level off in East Asia (Aas et al., 2019; Georgoulias et al., 2018). In contrast, no such decreases are observed or expected in the near future for NH₃ (e.g. Warner et al. (2017); Sutton et al. (2013)). Unlike the other precursors, NH₃ emissions are not well regulated, and in fact, the focus on decreasing NO_x and SO₂ has already shown adverse effects on NH₃ emissions (Chang et al., 2016) and concentrations (Lachatre et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2018).
- The lack of a global regulative framework stems in part from the historical relative difficulty in measuring NH_3 concentrations. Satellite-based measurements of NH_3 , which were discovered about a decade ago, offer an attractive complementary means of monitoring NH_3 . Satellite datasets have now reached sufficient maturity to be directly exploitable, even when the individual measurements come with large and variable uncertainties. Using satellite observations we have recently shown the importance of ammonia points sources on regional scales (Van Damme et al., 2018). In total over 240 of the world's strongest
- 20 point sources were identified, categorized and quantified. Somewhat expectedly, many of these point sources (or clusters thereof) were found to be associated with so-called 'concentrated animal feeding operations' (CAFOs) (Zhu et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2017). However, much more surprisingly was the number of industrial emitters that was found, and in particular those associated with ammonia and urea-based fertilizer production. An evaluation of the EDGAR inventory in addition showed that emission inventories vastly underestimate the majority of all point source emissions, even when a conservative average NH₃
- 25 lifetime is assumed in the calculation of the satellite derived fluxes. Industrial processes could therefore be extremely important, especially on a regional scale. Altogether, these findings were made possible due to the availability of the large multiyear NH₃ dataset (Whitburn et al., 2016; Van Damme et al., 2017) derived from measurements of the IASI spaceborne instrument (Clerbaux et al., 2009), and the oversampling technique that was applied to sufficiently resolve localised emitters.

Oversampling techniques applied on measurements of satellite sounders allow to obtain average distributions of atmospheric

30 constituents at a higher spatial resolution than the original measurements (Sun et al., 2018). They exploit the fact that the footprint on ground of satellite measurements varies in location, size and shape each time the satellite samples an area. When pixels partially overlap, some information becomes available on their (sub-pixel) intersection. A high resolution mapping can however only be obtained by combining typically many hundreds of measurements. A crucial condition on which oversampling relies, is that the pixel centre and ground instantaneous field of view (GIFOV) of satellite measurements is known with a high





accuracy (typically <1 km, as opposed to the coarse spatial resolution of the extent of the satellite pixel which is typically >10 km). Practical implementation of oversampling is relatively straightforward once the footprint is known: a fine subgrid is constructed where the value of each cell of the grid is obtained as the average value of all overlapping GIFOVs. Optionally, the averaging can be weighted, to take into account measurement error, total pixel surface area and spatial response function. We refer to Sun et al. (2018) and Van Damme et al. (2018) for comprehensive reference material on averaging and oversampling,

5

25

detailed algorithmic descriptions and practical considerations for their implementation.

Oversampling has gradually become commonplace in the field of atmospheric remote sensing, especially in the study of short-lived pollutants such as NO_2 (Wenig et al., 2008), SO_2 (Fioletov et al., 2011, 2013), HCHO (Zhu et al., 2014) and NH_3 (Van Damme et al., 2014, 2018). The increased spatial resolution allows in first instance a much better identification of

- 10 emission (point) sources, quantification of their emissions (Streets et al., 2013) and study of transport and plume chemistry (de Foy et al., 2009). Oversampling applied to the study of point sources becomes even more useful when wind fields are taken into account. Beirle et al. (2011) showed that binned averaging per wind direction allows simultaneous estimates of both emission strengths and atmospheric residence times. Valin et al. (2013) and Pommier et al. (2013) introduced the wind-rotation technique, whereby each observation is rotated around the presumed point source according to the horizontal wind direction,
- 15 effectively yielding a distribution where the winds blow in the same direction. As we will also demonstrate, this reduces the overall spread of the transported pollutants and reduces contributions of nearby sources. Combining plume rotation with oversampling has proven to be a very successful technique for the study of NO₂ and SO₂ point sources, leading to massively improved inventories and emission estimates, and better constraints on the atmospheric lifetime of these pollutants (Fioletov et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015; de Foy et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016; McLinden et al., 2016; Fioletov et al., 2016, 20 2017).

However, as pointed out in Sun et al. (2018), while oversampling offers an increased resolution, it still yields a smoothed representation of the true distributions. There exists a large field of research, collectively referred to as superresolution (Milanfar, 2010) that attempts to construct high resolution images from several, possibly moving or distorted, low resolution representations of the same reality. Oversampling is in essence the simplest way of performing superresolution, but in a way that does not fully exploit the spatial information of the measurements. Superresolution has been applied before in the field of remote sensing of land(cover) (e.g. Boucher et al. (2008); Xu et al. (2017)), but even though theoretically possible, it has not been applied to atmospheric sounding measurements. In this case, the 'images' as taken by sounders, are of the lowest resolution,

i.e. they correspond to single, uniformly colored pixels. Perhaps the main reason why superresolution has not been attempted before on atmospheric sounders, is that these rely on the fact that the low resolution samples should be derived from a constant

30 underlying distribution (de Foy et al., 2009). When this is not the case, the smoothing introduced by oversampling is actually desirable. With the arrival of the wind-rotation technique, most of the variability observed for point source emitters can be corrected for, and therefore superresolution becomes viable for short-lived species as NH₃.

In Sec. 2 we introduce superresolution and demonstrate its effectiveness on measurements of the IASI sounder for a parameter related to (a constant) surface emissivity. Next we illustrate the application of what we coin 'wind-adjusted supersampling' on an industrial point source of NH₃. In Sec. 3 we use ideas from McLinden et al. (2016) to provide a new type of NH₃ map,







Figure 1. Illustration of the supersampling technique on synthetic data. The left panel depicts an imaginary ground truth, made up of twodimensional Gaussian distributions, each with a different spread (0.5 to 40 pixels). The rectangles indicate the assumed footprint size of the measurements, varying between 7 and 13 pixels. Panel b shows the results of the common oversampling approach applied to 100000 measured scattered randomly over the area. Panels c and d provide the results of the supersampling technique after respectively 3 and 50 iterations.

one that is supersampled and wind-corrected at the same time. This map allows the identification of many new point sources in addition to the ones reported in Van Damme et al. (2018). We performed a detailed global analysis of this new map, which led to the identification and categorization of more than 500 point sources and which we present in Sec. 4.

2 Superresolved oversampling

- 5 The general superresolution problem does not have a unique solution, as the available low resolution measurements typically do not hold all the required information content (i.e. the problem is underdetermined). It is usually also overdetermined, because of measurement noise and, for our use case especially, because of temporal variability. As a consequence, there is no unique best algorithm, and a myriad of alternatives exist. For this study, we chose the Iterative Back-Projection algorithm (IBP, Irani and Peleg (1993)) as it takes a particular intuitive and simple form for single-pixel satellite observations, and allows addressing
- 10 the ill-determined nature of the problem. It proceeds as follows. Suppose we have a set of single pixel measurements M_0 of a spatially variable quantity. For the first iteration, the solution of the algorithm corresponds to the regular oversampling, which we will write as $SS_1 = OS_1 = OS(M_0)$ (SS_i stands for the solution of the supersampling obtained in iteration *i* and **OS** stands for the oversampling operator). From this oversampled average, we then calculate simulated observations for each of the original individual observations, corresponding to what the instrument would see if the ground truth was SS_1 . The entire set
- 15 of these simulated measurements will be denoted by $M_1 = \mathbf{M}(SS_1)$ (with \mathbf{M} the operator that simulates the measurements). If the oversampled average $OS_1 = SS_1$ would correspond to the ground truth, then M_1 would clearly coincide with M_0 . However, as oversampling typically smooths out the observations, this is generally not the case. An improved estimate of the average (SS_2) , can be obtained by adding $\mathbf{OS}(M_0 - M_1)$ to the oversampled average, therefore correcting (partially) the





observed differences. This process then is repeated to obtain increasingly better estimates. The entire algorithm thus reads:

 $SS_1 = \mathbf{OS}(M_0) = OS_1 \qquad \qquad \rightarrow M_1 = \mathbf{M}(SS_1) \tag{1}$

$$SS_2 = SS_1 + \mathbf{OS}(M_0 - M_1) = SS_1 + OS_1 - OS_2 \qquad \to M_2 = \mathbf{M}(SS_2) \tag{2}$$

:

5
$$SS_k = SS_{k-1} + \mathbf{OS}(M_0 - M_{k-1}) = SS_{k-1} + OS_1 - OS_k \rightarrow M_k = \mathbf{M}(SS_k)$$
 (3)

The solution converges to an average that is maximally consistent with the observations, i.e. $M_0 \approx M_k$ for sufficiently large k (as shown in Elad and Feuer (1997), IBP converges to the maximum likelihood estimate whereby $M_0 - M_k$ is minimized). Figure 1 illustrates the algorithm on synthetic data with an idealized ground truth made up of 9 point sources (panel a), with a Gaussian spread between 0.5 and 40 pixels. The measurement footprint was assumed to be variable between 7 and 13 pixels.

- 10 The SS_1 (panel b), SS_3 (panel c) and SS_{50} (panel d) averages illustrate well the convergence and strengths of the algorithm, which reproduces most of the point sources near-perfectly, and even partly resolves the smallest feature (compare also with Sun et al. (2018), Figure 8). Some small ringing effects are noticeable though after 50 iterations (best visible on a screen), which are the result of the undetermined nature of the problem (Dai et al., 2007).
- An example on real data is shown in Figure 2, which shows part of the Sahara Desert and Mediterranean Sea. The quantity on which the oversampling is applied, is the Brightness Temperature Difference (BTD) between the IASI channels at 1157 and 1168 cm⁻¹. This BTD, located in the atmospheric window, is sensitive to the sharp change in surface emissivity due to the presence of quartz (see Takashima and Masuda (1987), who also illustrate that the relevant feature is not seen in airborne dust). Being related to the surface, it can be assumed to be reasonably constant for each overpass of IASI (note that it is not entirely the case: sand dunes do undergo changes over time and surface emissivities can depend on the viewing angle and
- 20 can be affected by changes in moist content). Comparison with visible imagery (panel a) shows, as expected, that the largest BTD values (> 4 K) are associated with the most sandy areas. The other desert areas exhibit widely varying values, oceans are slightly negative. The oversampled average (panel b) captures most large features, down to about 5 km in size. Recalling that the footprint of IASI is a 12 km diameter circle at nadir, and elongates to an ellipse of up to 20 by 39 km at off-nadir angles, this example illustrates well why oversampling is such a powerful technique. However, the additional resolution brought by
- 25 the supersampling is clear, even after 3 iterations. The smallest features that can be distinguished are about 3–4 km (after 3 iterations, panel c) and 2–3 km (after 30 iterations, panel d) in diameter. That said, with increasing iterations, artifacts starts to appear due to enhancements of noise and the specific sampling of IASI (in particular, stripes parallel to the orbit track become apparent). Such overfitting to the data and a sensitivity to outliers, is often seen in maximum likelihood optimizations (Milanfar, 2010). It can therefore be advantageous to stop the algorithm after a few iterations (which can also be required for
- 30 computational reasons).







Figure 2. Illustration of the IBP superresolution technique on IASI observations of a BTD sensitive to surface quartz. All cloud-free observations of IASI for the period 2007-2018 were used for the averages. Panel a shows the corresponding visible imagery from Google Maps.

3 Wind-adjusted supersampling

5

In this section we illustrate the previously introduced supersampling on a wind-rotated NH_3 average centered around a point source. The ammonia plant at Horlivka (Gorlovka), Ukraine was chosen as a test case. This plant made the news in 2013 because of the major NH_3 leak that occurred on 6 August, killing five people and injuring many more. We refer to the Wikipedia article for a detailed description of the event, and a list of related newspaper articles (Wikipedia, 2019). The accident itself was not detected by IASI, but an abrupt drop in the average concentrations after the incident is seen in the satellite observations. In fact, after 2013 NH_3 enhancements are no longer detected at or near the plant. Fig. 3 illustrates the processes of oversampling, supersampling and wind-rotation on IASI data from 2007 to 2013. Each subpanel depicts the 120 km × 60 km area centered around the plant, from top to bottom:

10 a. Gridded average In the regular gridded average, each grid cell is assigned the arithmetic average of all observations whose center falls into the grid cell. This method only gives a faithful representation for larger grid cell sizes, whereas smaller grid sizes provide a higher resolution at the cost of larger noise. Here a grid size of $0.15^{\circ} \times 0.15^{\circ}$ was chosen. NH₃ enhancements are seen in wide area around the plant, with a maximum (north)east of the plant of $1 \cdot 10^{16}$ molec·cm⁻².







Figure 3. Averaging techniques illustrated on the ammonia plant at Horlivka on IASI NH_3 data between 2007 and 2013. From top to bottom: a. gridded average, b. oversampled average, c. wind-rotated oversampling, with the rotation center located at the maximum of (b), d. wind-rotated supersampling, with the rotation center located at the maximum of (b), e. wind-adjusted supersampling around the assumed source (center of the plant). f. Zoom in (factor 20) over the ammonia plant (data: Google Maps).

b. Oversampled average Oversampling the daily maps before averaging increases the resolution and reveals the point source nature of the emission, with a maximum close to the plant (around $1.6 \cdot 10^{16}$ molec·cm⁻²).





c. Wind-rotated oversampling The wind-rotation technique (Fioletov et al., 2015) consists of rotating the map of daily observations around a presumed point source, and along the direction of the wind direction at that point. The rotation was applied here to align the winds in the *x*-direction. Daily horizontal wind fields were taken from the ERA5 reanalysis (ERA5, 2019), and interpolated at an altitude equal to half of the boundary layer height. The NH₃ average shown in this panel was obtained via oversampling applied to all the daily wind-rotated maps. It is important to note that such a distribution can no longer be interpreted as a geographical map, since each pixel is an average of measurements taken at different places. The only map element that is preserved is the distance to the point source. However, looking at the resulting distribution, the advantages brought by wind-rotation are obvious. Whereas in the normal oversampled average the NH₃ enhancements are scattered across, aligning the winds significantly enhances both the source and transport (with a maximum of $2 \cdot 10^{16}$ molec·cm⁻²).

```
10
```

15

20

5

- **d. Wind-adjusted supersampling (i)** The figure in this panel was obtained from wind-rotated daily maps, as in the previous panel, but this time the average was calculated with 3 iterations of the IBP supersampling algorithm. As explained above, supersampling offers most benefits when the underlying distribution can be assumed reasonably constant, which is in part achieved by aligning the winds. The resolution is further increased, and as the plume is much less smoothed out, maximum observed columns are also much higher $(3.3 \cdot 10^{16} \text{ molec} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2})$.
- e. Wind-adjusted supersampling (ii) In panels c and d, the point source location was taken from Van Damme et al. (2018), where the locations were determined based on the location of the maximums in the oversampled averages. In this last panel, the rotation was applied around the center of the presumed source (the chemical plant). The performance of the wind-rotation is further enhanced, yielding a distribution fully consistent with that of a single emitting point source whose emissions undergo transport in a fixed direction. The part of the plume located furthest from the source is a bit off-axis, which is probably caused by inhomogeneities in the wind fields across the entire scene. This panel also illustrates the sensitivity of the rotation method to small shifts in the location of the center, a fact that we will exploit in the next section.

4 An NH₃ point source map

- Having demonstrated the effectiveness of both the wind-rotation and supersampling approaches in revealing point sources, we are now in a position to introduce a new type of NH_3 map, specifically designed to track down point sources. It is based on a similar map presented in McLinden et al. (2016) for SO₂, but some important differences were introduced here to make it work for NH_3 . The main idea of McLinden et al. (2016) is to treat each location on Earth as a potential point source and to assign it a value proportional to the downwind (the source) minus upwind (the background) column. In particular, for a given location,
- 30 a wind-rotated average is constructed first, similar to Fig. 3c. Representative average columns are then obtained downwind and upwind from the potential source (e.g. in boxes of 10×10 km²). Finally, the difference of the up and downwind average is calculated, and this value is then used to represent the point source column at that specific location. While the method works







Figure 4. NH_3 point sources over Canada (top) and the US (bottom). The left panels show maps produced with a regular oversampled average, the right panels depict the corresponding NH_3 point source maps. The black circles indicate the identified point sources.





nicely for SO_2 , this method proved to be only moderately successful when we applied it to the IASI NH_3 data. In particular, for those places where area sources dominate or where point sources are clustered over a too large area, local variation in the columns produce a noisy map, with many fictitious point sources.

- We found that instead of the differences, the downwind average alone produced a more representative point source map. In addition, applying the method not on the oversampled average, but on the supersampled one, allows to increase the resolution. There are two key advantages offered by a point source map constructed in this way as opposed to a regular oversampled average: brighter point sources and smoother (lower) values over the background. The fact that point sources appear brighter is a direct consequence of the plume concentration achieved with wind-rotated supersampling, as shown in the previous section. Smoothing of the background is accomplished by the process of averaging the area downwind. However, by applying the
- 10 method not on an oversampled average, but on a supersampled one, this smoothing is partially offset for point sources. The resulting point source map has a similar horizontal resolution as the oversampled map, but with increased averaged columns at the point sources and a smoother background distribution.

Examples over two selected regions in North America are shown in the right panels of Fig. 4. In these examples, the downwind averages were calculated in boxes extending from -5 to 5 km in the y-direction and 0 to 20 km in the x-direction.

- 15 The left panels of the figure correspond to the regular oversampled averages. In panel a, which shows the oversampled average of the southern part of the Saskatchewan province of Canada, no point sources are apparent in the patchy NH_3 distribution. The corresponding point source map, shown in panel b, is smoother over areas dominated by the diffuse sources, where column variations are close to the measurement uncertainty. In addition, two bright spots are evident, which upon investigation coincide with the location of an ammonia plant (Belle Plaine) and a very large feedlot (> 2 km in length) near the town Lanigan. Looking
- 20 back at the oversampled average, even with the advantage of hindsight, these sources can hardly be singled out. Panels c and d show the (south)western part of Kansas, US. It is an area well known for its cattle (Harrington and Lu, 2002). In Van Damme et al. (2018) several point sources associated with feedlots were isolated in Kansas and the rest of the High Plains region, but most of the area was found to be too diffuse to allow identification of individual point sources. The new NH₃ map facilitates greatly the attribution of these. This is due to the reduction in noise and the fact that the main point sources contrast much more
- 25 with the background. An added benefit of this is that location of the maximums in the map is in general closer to the actual emission source than is the case in the oversampled map, making it easier to track down the suspected source with visible imagery, and therefore also to assign and identify the point source.

Displaced maximums that are seen in regular averages (wind-adjusted or not) can also be the result of transport, as noted by Van Damme et al. (2018), who found that especially for coastal sites, the shift can be as much as 20 km. The suspected reason

- 30 is vertical uplift during transport, which makes NH_3 easier to detect and to measure (as can be seen in Fig. 3c) downwind of the source. The way the point source map is setup, corrects for the effects of transport as the columns are partially re-allocated back to their source by assigning the average downwind column to the point source. We have quantified the ability to locate sources on a careful selection of 36 industrial emitters. These were all chosen to be relatively isolated, with no nearby other industries or other sources, so that the actual emitting source is known with with confidence. In addition, only small to medium sized
- 35 plants were chosen (< 1 km across), so that the precise location of the emission is known within a distance of about 500 m.





For the regular oversampled map, the sources were found within a median distance of 3.9 km and a mean of 5.4 ± 3.7 km. The furthest distance was 15.2 km. For the point source map, all but five sites were located within 3 km (with a median of 1.5 km, a mean of 2.1 ± 1.7 km and a maximum of 7.3 km), which confirms its improved performance.

- A final advantage of the point source map is its performance in areas mildly affected by fires (e.g. in South East Asia,
 Mexico, parts of South America). Certain hotspots due to fires, with a plume center of around 25 km, can look just like actual point sources. In the point source map, these often appear less bright and are blurred out over a wider area, with lower columns as compared to the oversampled average. On the other hand, as before, actual point sources appear brighter, and can emerge from the patchy NH₃ distribution that is characteristic for areas affected by fires. For that reason, comparing the oversampled and the point source map was found to be very useful for singling out point sources, especially in those areas with larger
 background values. Example point sources are the ammonia plant in Campana (Argentina) and Bastos (Brazil), an important
- center of egg production. These were previously difficult to detect but are now easily identified.

5 Updated point source catalog

Using the methodology presented in the previous section, NH_3 point source maps of the world were constructed at a resolution of $0.01^{\circ} \times 0.01^{\circ}$ (land only). A few such maps were constructed varying the size of the averaging box, and the applied wind

- speeds (either in the middle of the boundary layer or at 100 meter). While oversampling and backprojection are computationally not that demanding, we recall that the construction is based on the treating each gridcell of the $0.01^{\circ} \times 0.01^{\circ}$ map as potential point source, and therefore relies on the construction of wind-adjusted supersampled maps like Fig. 3e for each grid cell. Therefore, producing a worldmap at that resolution entails the generation of over 100,000,000 maps similar to Fig. 3e, each at a resolution of 1 km and each using more than 250,000 IASI measurements. A single point source map therefore takes more
- than a month of computation. We decided to use all the available 2007–2017 NH_3 data both from IASI/Metop A (2007–2017) and IASI/Metop B (2013–2017), which helps to reduce the noise, even though it creates averages which are biased towards the last five years. The maps were then analyzed to provide an update of the point source catalog presented in Van Damme et al. (2018). We refer to it for a detailed description of the methodology for the identification and categorization of the point sources, as we used the same here. In brief, first, the global map is analyzed region per region, in search for NH_3 hotspots that are no
- 25 larger than 50 km across and that exhibit localized and concentrated enhancements compatible with a point source or dense cluster of point sources. Areas dominated by fires are excluded from the analysis. Analysis of areas with many sources or large ambient background concentrations, such as the Indo-Gangetic plain, is severely hampered, and reveals only the very large point sources. Isolated point sources in remote areas on the other hand can easily be picked up. The presence of a point source in the catalog should therefore not be seen as as a quantitative indicator of its emission strength. Note that in this study we did
- 30 not attempt to quantify the emission strengths of each. The categorization of the suspected point sources is performed using Google Earth imagery and third party information (mainly inventories of fertilizer plants and online resources). The original categories were: 'Agriculture', 'Fertilizer industry', 'Other industry', 'Natural' and 'Non-Determined'. Here we expanded the







Figure 5. Global distribution of NH₃ point sources and there categorization. The total number per cateogry is: Agriculture (215), Coking Industry (9), Explosive Industry (1), Fertilizer Industry (217), Geothermal Industry (3), Non-Determined Industry (21), Nickle Industry (4), Soda ash Industry (11), Natural (1), Non-Determined (15), Urban (13)





5

number of categories considerably and in particular introduced an urban category and subdivided 'other industry' in five new categories as detailed below.

The new point source catalog is listed in Table A1 and illustrated on a world map in Fig. 5. Agricultural point sources were found to be invariably associated with CAFOs. Their number more than doubled, from 83 to 216, largely due to the increased attribution in areas of densely located point sources. For many of the previously tagged 'source regions', it was possible to resolve large individual emitters. This was the case in the US (particularly in the geographical region that corresponds to the

- High Plains Acquifer), Mexico and along the coast of Peru. Also notable are several newly exposed large feedlots in Canada and in eastern Australia. For the first time, agricultural point sources were also identified in China and Russia. Industrial point sources, as before, are mainly associated with ammonia or urea-based fertilizer production (216, coming
- from 132) in Europe, North Africa and Asia. Industrial hotspots were categorized as fertilizer industry as soon as evidence was 10 found of fertilizer production, even when there are clearly other industries present that may contribute. Separate categories were introduced for the previously identified soda ash, geothermal, nickle mining and coking industries, as additional examples were found for each. One ammonia plant in the US, associated with the manufacturing of explosives, was also assigned a separate category. Emission over unidentified industries were labeled 'Non-determined industry'.
- 15 An important new category is the 'Urban' one. Previously, localized emissions near Mexico City, Bamako (Mali) and Niamey (Niger) were noted. While these hotspots represent diffuse sources, they have been included in the catalog as the extent of the emissions in the relevant cities is sufficiently local, and sufficiently in excess of background values. Thanks to the improved source representation, clear enhancements were found in Kabul (Afghanistan) and 12 African urban agglomerations: Ouagadougou (Burkina Faso), Bamako (Mali), Kano (Nigeria), Niamey (Niger), Maiduguri (Nigeria), Khartoum-Omdurman (Su-
- dan), Luanda (Angola), Kinshasa (Congo), Nairobi (Kenya), Addis Ababa (Ethiopia), Bamako (Mali) and Kampala (Uganda). 20 Especially in Asia, atmospheric NH₃ is found in excess over most megacities, and with much larger columns than found in these African megacities. However, because of the much larger background columns, and much denser clusters of cities, these could not be singled out as was the case in Africa. Apart from industry, known urban sources of NH₃ include emissions from vehicles, human waste (waste treatment, sewers), biological waste (garbage containers) and domestic fires (including waste
- incineration) (Adon et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2017; Reche et al., 2015). At least the hotspot at Bamako is consistent with in situ 25 measurements (Adon et al., 2016), that report year-round very high concentrations, between 28-73 ppb on a monthly averaged basis. Local conditions surely are key to explain why some cities in Africa exhibit much larger concentrations than others. Johannesburg (South Africa) for instance blends in completely in the background, with ambient values almost not larger than in the rest of South Africa. While outside of the scope of this paper, there is no doubt that the IASI NH_3 data could be further exploited to understand better the driving factors of urban emission on a global scale.
- 30

Other than at Lake Natron (Clarisse et al., 2019), no other natural NH_3 hotspots have been identified. For a number of presumed point sources no likely source could be attributed; however given their location (central US, Middle East, East Asia), these are most likely anthropogenic.





6 Conclusions

Oversampling is a technique now commonplace in the field of atmospheric sounding for achieving hyperresolved spatial averages, far beyond what the satellites natively offer. There is a class of algorithms referred to as superresolution that goes beyond oversampling, but these have until now only been applied to measurements of satellite imagers for surface parameters.

5 Here, we have shown that it is a viable method that can also be applied to the single pixel images taken by atmospheric sounders for short lived gases. We demonstrated this with measurements of a quartz emissivity feature over the Sahara Desert, for which a spatial resolution down to 2–3 km could be achieved.

Superresolution is a priori less suitable for measurements of atmospheric gases because of variations in their distribution, related to variations in transport. However, by aligning the winds around point source emitters, much of this variability can be

10 removed. In Sec. 3, we have shown the advantage of applying IBP superresolution on such wind-corrected data. The resulting averaged plumes originating from point sources not only reveal much more detail, maximum concentrations and gradients are also much larger, and presumably more realistic. Studies of atmospheric lifetime (e.g. Fioletov et al. (2015)), which rely on the precise shape of the dispersion, could potential benefit from this increase in accuracy.

- Wind-adjusted superresolution images around point sources form the basis of the NH₃ point source map, which is an NH₃
 average that simultaneously corrects for wind transport, accentuates point sources and smooths area sources. It was inspired by the SO₂ 'difference' map presented in McLinden et al. (2016), but as we do not look at differences, the NH₃ map still looks like an NH₃ total column distribution. However, other than for the identification of point sources, such a map is not easily exploitable, as it is a distorted representation of the reality that favors point sources. In depth analysis allowed us to perform a major update of the global catalog of point sources presented in Van Damme et al. (2018), with more than 500 point sources
- 20 identified and categorized. As a whole, this study further highlights the importance of point sources on local scales. The world map shows distinct patterns, with agricultural point sources completely dominant in America, in contrast to Europe and Asia where industrial point sources are prevalent. In Africa, NH₃ hotspots are mainly found near urban agglomerations.

While the point source catalog was established with a great deal of care, given its size, mistakes will inevitably be present, both in the localization of the point sources (due to e.g. noise in the data or NH_3 in transport) and in the categorization.

²⁵ Improvements can probably best be achieved with feedback from the international community, with complementary knowledge on regional sources. For this reason, and to keep track of emerging emission sources, we have setup an interactive website, with the catalog and that allows to visualize the distribution and type of the point sources (http://www.ulb.ac.be/cpm/NH3-IASI. html). With the help of the community, we hope it can evolve as a go-to resource for information on global NH₃ point sources.

Data availability. The IASI NH₃ product is available from the Aeris data infrastructure (http://iasi.aeris-data.fr). It is also planned to be
 operationally distributed by EUMETCast, under the auspices of the Eumetsat Atmospheric Monitoring Satellite Application Facility (AC-SAF; http://ac-saf.eumetsat.int).





Appendix A: Point Source Catalog

Table A1. Updated point source catalog. The categories are abbreviated as: A = Agriculture, CI = Coking Industry, EI = Explosive Industry,FI = Fertilizer Industry, GI = Geothermal Industry, NDI = Non-Determined Industry, NI = Nickle Industry, SI = Soda ash Industry, N = Natural, ND = Non-Determined, U = Urban.

Country	Lat	Lon	Name	Туре	Country	Lat	Lon	Name	Туре
Australia	-35.92	146.37	Redlands	А	Australia	-34.66	146.49	Merungle Hill	A
Australia	-34.46	147.77	Springdale	Α	Australia	-29.52	151.72	Emmaville	А
Australia	-28.74	151.04	Beebo	Α	Australia	-27.46	151.13	Grassdale	А
Australia	-27.15	151.54	Moola	Α	Australia	-26.90	149.84	Morabi	Α
Australia	-26.82	150.40	Greenswamp	А	Belarus	52.28	23.52	Malvia Zvody	А
Belgium	51.06	3.25	Wingene	А	Bolivia	-17.34	-66.28	Cochabamba	А
Brazil	-21.93	-50.77	Bastos	A	Brazil	-19.79	-44.69	Carioca	A
Brazil	-4 98	-42.76	Teresina	A	Canada	49.03	-122.28	Abbotsford	A
Canada	49.86	-112.87	Picture Butte	Δ	Canada	50.59	-111.96	Brooks	Δ
Canada	50.00	113.37	Stangmuir	^	Canada	51.85	104.85	Lanigan	A .
Canada	52.56	110.88	Hughenden Czar	^	Canada	53.65	111 07	Norma	A .
Chilo	24.26	71.60	Los Choores	A	Chilo	22.05	-111.97	La Manga	A
China	-34.20	-/1.00	Endea Canal Zhanahai (UE)	A	China	-55.95	115.92	Vingtoi Vang, Cuvuan (UE)	A
China	41.42	114.61	Lionhuozhan (III)	A	Dominicon	41.64	70.54	Mass. Temberil	A
China	25.20	112.07	Liannuazhen (HI)	А	Dominican	19.45	-70.54	Moca - Tamborn	A
Enterter	24.41	55 7 A	Maaalaan		Republic	25.21	<i>EE E</i> 2	Dubai	
Emirates	24.41	55.74	Masaken	A	Emirates	25.21	55.53	Dubai	A
India	11.29	78.14	Namakkal	A	India	16.75	81.65	Tanaku	A
India	17.39	78.61	Hyderabad	A	Indonesia	-8.04	112.07	Blitar City	Α
Italy	41.08	14.04	Cancelo ed Arnone	A	Jordania	32.13	36.27	Dhlail Sub-District	Α
Kazakhstan	43.47	76.78	North of Almaty	A	Malaysia	5.21	100.48	Sungai Jawi	A
Marocco	33.87	-6.88	Temara	A	Mexico	18.45	-97.31	Tehuacan	Α
Mexico	18.84	-97.80	Tochtepec	А	Mexico	20.25	-102.47	Vista Hermosa de Negrete	Α
Mexico	20.68	-99.93	Ezequiel Montes	A	Mexico	20.75	-102.88	Acatic	А
Mexico	21.08	-100.49	San Antonio - La Canlea	A	Mexico	21.21	-102.41	San Juan de Los Lagos	А
Mexico	21.89	-98.73	Tampaon	А	Mexico	22.03	-102.30	Aguascalientes	А
Mexico	22.10	-98.62	Loma Alta	А	Mexico	22.18	-100.90	San Luis Potosi	А
Mexico	24.82	-107.61	Culiacancito	А	Mexico	25.69	-103.48	Torreon	А
Mexico	27.15	-104.94	Jiminez	А	Mexico	27.39	-109.89	Obregon	А
Mexico	28.20	-105 43	Delicias	A	Mexico	32.46	-116.80	La Presa	A
Mexico	32 51	-115 22	Puebla	A	Mexico	32.10	-115.63	Santa Isabel	A
Peru	-16 54	-71.89	Vitor District	Δ	Peru	-16.42	-72.28	Majes	Δ
Peru	-13.46	-76.09	Alto Laran District	Δ	Peru	-12.97	-76.43	Quilmana District	Δ
Doru	12.28	76.83	Punto Harmono	^	Dorn	11.04	77.07	Carabaylla Disatriat	A .
Poru	-12.20	-70.83	Funda Hermosa Huoral District	A	Dorn	-11.94	-77.07	Irrigacion Sonta Poso	A
Dom	-11.55	-11.23	Tirolog	A	Dom	-11.50	-77.42	Traviille	A
Peru	-11.03	-77.30	Chieviter	A	Peru	-6.15	-76.97	Createlana	A
Peru	-7.99	-79.20	Chiquitoy	A	Peru	-7.25	-79.48	Guadalupe	A
Poland	52.97	19.89	Biezun	A	Russia	50.78	35.87	Rakitnoye	A
Russia	51.12	41.51	Novokhopyorsk	A	Russia	54.67	61.35	Klyuchi	A
Saudi Arabia	24.10	48.92	Haradh	A	Saudi Arabia	24.19	47.45	Al Qitar	A
Saudi Arabia	24.22	47.93	At Tawdihiyah	A	Saudi Arabia	25.50	49.61	Al Hofuf	A
South Africa	-26.62	28.28	Ratanda	A	South Korea	37.12	127.44	Anseong - Icheon	Α
Spain	37.56	-1.66	Lorca - Puerto Lumbreras	A	Spain	37.73	-1.24	Canovas	A
Spain	38.40	-4.87	El Viso - Pozoblanco	A	Spain	39.65	-4.27	Menasalbas	А
Spain	41.12	-4.21	Mozoncillo	A	Spain	41.95	2.21	Vic - Manlleu	А
Spain	40.87	-0.03	La Portellada	A	Spain	41.93	-1.21	Tauste	Α
Taiwan	22.69	120.52	Pingtung	A	Thailand	13.30	101.26	Nong Irun	А
Thailand	13.46	99.70	Thung Luang - Chom Bueng	A	Turkey	37.26	33.29	Alacati	Α
Turkey	37.57	34.02	Eregli	Α	Turkey	37.78	32.53	Konya	Α
Turkey	37.90	29.99	Basmakci	А	Turkey	38.73	30.57	Afyonkarahisar	А
USA	34.36	-86.07	Hopewell (AL)	А	USA	32.68	-114.08	Wellton (AZ)	А
USA	32.88	-112.02	Stanfield (AZ)	А	USA	32.94	-112.87	Gila Bend (AZ)	А
USA	33.33	-111.70	Higley (AZ)	А	USA	33.37	-112.70	Palo Verde (AZ)	А
USA	33 39	-112.23	Avondale (AZ)	A	USA	33.17	-115 59	Calipatria (CA)	A
USA	33 79	-117.09	San Jacinto (CA)	A	USA	33.96	-117.60	Chino (CA)	A
USA	35.23	-119.09	Bakersfield (CA)	A	USA	36.08	-119.43	Tulare (CA)	A
USA	36.20	-120.28	Coalinga - Huron (CA)	Δ	USA	37.00	-120.44	Chowchilla (CA)	Δ
USA	37 /1	-120.20	Hilmar (CA)	^ <u>^</u>	USA	38 74	-120.44	Petaluma (CA)	Δ
USA	38.05	-102 36	Granada (CO)	A 1	USA	38.07	-103 76	Rocky Ford (CO)	Δ
USA	38.11	102.50	Lamar (CO)	<u>^</u>	USA	38.07	103.70	Ordway (CO)	л л
USA	20.27	-102.72	Burlington (CO)	A	USA	30.23 40.12	-103.72	Ealday Vyma (CO)	A
USA	39.27	-102.27	Fort Moreon (CO)	A	USA	40.13	-102.57	Eckley - Yuma (CO)	A
USA	40.21	-105.78	Fort Morgan (CO)	A	USA	40.22	-103.90	wiggins (CO)	A
USA	40.36	-104.53	Greeley (CO)	A	USA	40.55	-103.30	Atwood (CO)	A





$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Country	Lat	Lon	Name	Type	Country	Lat	Lon	Name	Type
	USA	40.78	-102.94	Iliff-Crook (CO)	A	USA	32.34	-83.94	Montezuma (GA)	A
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	USA	34.27	-83.03	Royston (GA)	A	USA	43.13	-96.29	Sioux county (IA)	A
	USA	42.26	-113.36	Malta (ID)	A	USA	42.33	-114.05	Oakley (ID)	A
USA 63.43 -11.14 Mellar (D) A USA 83.64 -11.21 Robert (D) A USA 43.83 -11.04 Parra (D) A USA 37.08 -10.33 Liper (D) A USA 41.04 -72.38 Fair Oak (D) A USA 37.04 -10.04 Calacter (S) A USA 37.04 -10.04 Reader (S) A USA 38.29 -90.07 Calacter (S) A USA 38.30 -10.08 Socie courty (SS) A USA 38.29 -90.07 Calacter (SS) A USA 38.25 -10.18 Welther county (SS) A USA 39.89 -90.75 Socie courty (SS) A USA 40.07 -90.75 Cover(NF) A USA 40.28 -90.90 Reader (MS) A USA 40.07 -90.75 Cover(NF) A USA 40.24 -90.90 Reader (NF) A USA <td< td=""><td>USA</td><td>42.75</td><td>-114.65</td><td>Jerome - Wendell (ID)</td><td>А</td><td>USA</td><td>43.05</td><td>-116.07</td><td>Grand View (ID)</td><td>А</td></td<>	USA	42.75	-114.65	Jerome - Wendell (ID)	А	USA	43.05	-116.07	Grand View (ID)	А
USA 43.89 -116.00 Pain (Di) A USA 35.09 -65.88 Segre (Di) A USA 37.24 -100.11 Several county (KS) A USA 37.34 -101.32 Upsec (KS) A USA 37.24 -100.49 Several county (KS) A USA 37.34 -101.32 Upsec (KS) A USA 33.30 -100.89 Sect county (KS) A USA 33.69 -100.37 Stated (KS) A USA 33.33 -100.34 Otable (KS) A USA 33.64 -100.37 Stated (KS) A USA 40.32 Jost (KS) A USA 40.53 -40.55 A Holds A USA 40.62 -10.60 Newark (NE) A USA 40.67 -40.53 Holds A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	USA	43.43	-116.48	Melba (ID)	А	USA	43.66	-112.11	Roberts (ID)	А
USA 41.04 **7.26 Fair Oast (IK) A USA 37.81 -100.87 Linear (IK) A USA 37.44 -100.94 Hadel County (KS) A USA 37.84 -100.87 Cale County (KS) A USA 37.84 -100.87 Cale County (KS) A USA 38.35 -100.87 Cale County (KS) A USA 38.36 -100.47 Shiele (KS) A USA 39.76 -00.34 Weink county (KS) A USA 39.64 -100.47 Shiele (KS) A USA 39.76 -97.76 Seanda (KS) A USA 39.84 -100.47 Hole (KS) A USA 40.62 -99.90 Newark (NE) A USA 40.77 -171.15 Second county (NE) A USA 40.68 -100.74 Weiller (NE) A USA 40.77 -171.15 Second county (NE) A USA 40.87 -00.18 Robine (NE) A <td< td=""><td>USA</td><td>43.83</td><td>-116.90</td><td>Parma (ID)</td><td>А</td><td>USA</td><td>38.49</td><td>-86.88</td><td>Jasper (IN)</td><td>А</td></td<>	USA	43.83	-116.90	Parma (ID)	А	USA	38.49	-86.88	Jasper (IN)	А
USA 37.24 -100.91 Sevant county (KS) A USA 37.44 -101.32 Upsace (KS) A USA 37.91 -100.40 Cimarron (KS) A USA 38.12 -90.07 Garden Ciry (KS) A USA 38.3 101.35 Weinin county (KS) A USA 38.12 -90.07 Garden Ciry (KS) A USA 39.07 -100.34 Oakley (KS) A USA 39.41 -100.52 Weinin (KS) A USA 39.07 -97.75 Scandin (KS) A USA 40.15 -98.52 Weinin (KS) A USA 40.49 -93.39 Lacerne (MO) A USA 40.57 -99.52 Weinin (KN) A USA 40.57 -99.52 Weinin (KN) A USA 40.57 -99.63 Micare (NF) A USA 40.59 -90.53 Weinin (KN) A USA 40.98 -90.53 Weinin (KN) A USA 40.99	USA	41.04	-87.26	Fair Oaks (IN)	А	USA	37.03	-100.87	Liberal (KS)	А
USA 37.60 -01034 Hadde Loomy (KS) A USA 37.81 -01037 Gamen (KS) A USA 38.30 -01039 Scatt county (KS) A USA 38.31 -0163 Katta KA KA USA 38.40 -01637 Stated (KS) A USA 38.31 -0158 Kintha conny (KS) A USA 38.60 -00647 Stated (KS) A USA 40.48 -97.76 Scanda (KS) A USA 40.15 -98.20 Corde (KS) A USA 40.62 -99.00 Newark (NE) A USA 40.76 -97.11 Scanda conny (NE) A USA 40.76 -99.72 Latigon (NE) A USA 40.76 -97.11 Scanda conny (NE) A USA 41.78 +0163 None conny (NE) A USA 41.54 +0163 Conne conny (NE) A USA 42.75 +01624 None conny (NE) A	USA	37.24	-100.91	Seward county (KS)	А	USA	37.44	-101.32	Ulysses (KS)	А
USA 37.91 -100.40 Clining control (KS) A USA 38.12 -99.07 Lamed (KS) A USA 38.38 -101.80 Wichin contrig (KS) A USA 38.36 -101.47 Site (KS) A USA 38.36 -101.47 Site (KS) A USA 40.48 -93.59 Locence (MD) A USA 40.15 -98.50 Context (KE) A USA 40.62 -99.50 Newark (NE) A USA 40.75 -99.52 Wermark (NE) A USA 40.62 -99.50 Newark (NE) A USA 40.79 -99.52 Wermark (NE) A USA 41.35 -98.50 Newark (NE) A USA 40.79 -99.63 Bindane Bow (NE) A USA 40.79 -99.63 Bindane Bow (NE) A USA 41.99 66.39 Wiener (NE) A USA 42.09 -101.37 Mintane Bow (NE) A <td< td=""><td>USA</td><td>37.60</td><td>-100.94</td><td>Haskell county (KS)</td><td>А</td><td>USA</td><td>37.85</td><td>-100.87</td><td>Garden City (KS)</td><td>А</td></td<>	USA	37.60	-100.94	Haskell county (KS)	А	USA	37.85	-100.87	Garden City (KS)	А
USA 38.30 -100.80 Sout county (KS) A USA 38.37 -98.80 -010.47 Sincida (KS) A USA 38.87 -100.34 Outlow (KS) A USA 38.41 -100.52 Bindia (KS) A USA 40.43 -100.53 Moreau (KS) A USA 39.41 -100.52 Bindia (KS) A USA 40.22 -100.54 McCone (KF) A USA 40.57 -99.52 Vestmate (NF) A USA 40.62 -99.72 Lexington (NF) A USA 40.57 -99.63 Newate (NF) A USA 40.63 -90.72 Meintegen (NF) A USA 40.59 -90.10 Godepent (NF) A USA 42.39 -96.68 Bine (NF) A USA 42.14 90.10 Ado (NA) A USA 42.31 -96.68 Hale (NF) A USA 32.10 -106.63 Moreanoon N A </td <td>USA</td> <td>37.91</td> <td>-100.40</td> <td>Cimarron (KS)</td> <td>А</td> <td>USA</td> <td>38.12</td> <td>-99.07</td> <td>Larned (KS)</td> <td>А</td>	USA	37.91	-100.40	Cimarron (KS)	А	USA	38.12	-99.07	Larned (KS)	А
USA 38.58 -100.47 Shelds (KS) A USA 38.60 -100.47 Bholic (KS) A USA 39.76 -97.75 Scandiu (KS) A USA 39.81 -98.35 Convis (KS) A USA 40.81 -97.75 Scandiu (KS) A USA 40.17 -98.50 Convis (KS) A USA 40.02 -99.90 Newark (NE) A USA 40.07 -101.65 Convis (NE) A USA 40.07 -99.27 Lexington (NF) A USA 40.98 -100.19 Sevard county (NE) A USA 41.35 -99.63 Broken Bew (NF) A USA 41.99 -96.35 Broken Bew (NF) A USA 43.33 101.44 Dettert (NE) A USA 43.99 -96.15 Bigin (NI) A USA 32.33 101.44 Dettert (NS) A USA 39.41 -116.75 Vegintar (Nh) A	USA	38.30	-100.89	Scott county (KS)	А	USA	38.39	-98.80	Great Bend (KS)	А
	USA	38.58	-101.36	Wichita county (KS)	А	USA	38.60	-100.47	Shields (KS)	А
USA 39.76 Scandia (KS) A USA 39.85 -98.28 Derroba (KS) A USA 40.22 -100.54 McCook (NF) A USA 40.57 -99.52 Covies (NF) A USA 40.57 -99.72 Lexingion (NE) A USA 40.57 -99.52 Covies (NF) A USA 40.57 -99.72 Lexingion (NE) A USA 40.79 -97.11 Berdgeror(NE) A USA 41.35 -99.65 Broken Bow (NE) A USA 41.99 -99.93 Berdgeror(NE) A USA 42.33 -90.86 Allen (NE) A USA 42.19 -90.81 Elgion (NA) A USA 42.33 -90.80 Allen (NE) A USA 32.10 -10.63 Lowington (NA) A USA 42.37 -10.72 Hach (NA) A USA 33.41 -10.53 Lowington (NA) A USA <td< td=""><td>USA</td><td>39.07</td><td>-100.84</td><td>Oakley (KS)</td><td>А</td><td>USA</td><td>39.41</td><td>-100.52</td><td>Hoxie (KS)</td><td>А</td></td<>	USA	39.07	-100.84	Oakley (KS)	А	USA	39.41	-100.52	Hoxie (KS)	А
USA 40.48 -93.59 Locene (MO) A USA 40.15 -98.50 Westmail (NE) A USA 40.02 -100.54 MacCook (NE) A USA 40.67 -101.63 Disac county (NE) A USA 40.75 -98.50 Newark (NE) A USA 40.67 -101.63 Disac county (NE) A USA 41.35 -99.63 Bicken how (NE) A USA 41.34 -102.59 Bickgeper (NE) A USA 41.28 -90.63 Bicken how (NE) A USA 42.01 -98.15 Bickgeper (NE) A USA 42.03 -107.27 Hach (ND) A USA 32.02 -106.53 Vegalia (NM) A USA 32.33 -101.44 Destor A USA 35.56 -102.20 Gringer (NA) A USA 30.64 -101.30 Gring (NC) A USA 36.56 -102.20 Bickginger (TX) A	USA	39.76	-97.76	Scandia (KS)	Α	USA	39.85	-98.32	Burr Oak (KS)	А
USA 40.22 -100.54 McCook (NF) A USA 40.75 -09.75 Westmark (NE) A USA 40.76 -99.72 Lexington (NF) A USA 40.75 -101.63 Classe county (NF) A USA 40.75 -90.73 Bridgeport (NE) A USA 40.79 -90.13 Bridgeport (NE) A USA 42.09 -90.33 Michen Ibor (NE) A USA 42.09 -80.35 Bridgeport (NE) A USA 42.04 -90.36 Allen (NE) A USA 42.01 -80.35 Value (NE) A USA 32.57 -107.27 Hach (NM) A USA 32.01 -106.37 Value (NM) A USA 32.68 -102.27 Hach (NM) A USA 36.56 -102.33 Lowing (NM) A USA 40.56 -40.13 Convent (NH) A USA 35.61 -101.60 Adams (N) A <tr< td=""><td>USA</td><td>40.48</td><td>-93.39</td><td>Lucerne (MO)</td><td>А</td><td>USA</td><td>40.15</td><td>-98.50</td><td>Cowles (NE)</td><td>А</td></tr<>	USA	40.48	-93.39	Lucerne (MO)	А	USA	40.15	-98.50	Cowles (NE)	А
USA 40.62 -98.90 Newark (NE) A USA 40.77 -10.13 Character county (NE) A USA 40.75 -10.074 Wellfleet (NE) A USA 40.98 -10.019 Gritenbarg (NE) A USA 41.25 -99.63 Broken box (NE) A USA 41.94 -10.05 Broken box (NE) A USA 41.24 -10.05 Broken box (NE) A USA 41.91 -10.63 Water (NE) A USA 42.43 -60.65 Aller (ND) A USA 32.01 -106.63 Valor (ND) A USA 32.8 -10.44 Destre Roswell (NM) A USA 35.6 -10.20 Gritegie (ND) A USA 36.64 -10.13 Colvacent (OH) A USA 36.6 -10.20 Gritegie (NK) A USA 36.67 -10.13 Soutenbary (NK) A USA 36.5 -10.20 Gritegie (NK) A	USA	40.22	-100.54	McCook (NE)	А	USA	40.57	-99.52	Westmark (NE)	А
USA 40.76 -99.72 Lexington (NE) A USA 40.79 -97.11 Secard county (NE) A USA 41.35 -99.43 Brecken Bow (NE) A USA 41.45 -10.24 Breidgeport (NE) A USA 41.26 -10.31 Minchell (NE) A USA 41.20 -98.13 Biggeport (NE) A USA 42.00 -10.31 Minchell (NE) A USA 42.01 -98.13 Eigen (NE) A USA 32.32 -10.44 Decire - Roswell (NM) A USA 32.51 Wapmit (NM) A USA 40.64 -84.73 Coldwarer (OH) A USA 36.56 -10.23 Gregge (OK) A USA 40.64 -10.13 Optima (OK) A USA 36.56 -10.23 Hough (OK) A USA 40.41 -10.23 Advector (CN) A USA 30.49 -10.23 Hough (OK) A USA <	USA	40.62	-98.90	Newark (NE)	А	USA	40.67	-101.63	Chase county (NE)	А
USA 40.87 -100.74 Wellfert (NE) A USA 40.98 -100.19 Gothenburg (NE) A USA 41.35 -90.83 Broken Boov (NE) A USA 41.49 -90.59 Winser (NE) A USA 41.20 -00.51 Minchall (NF) A USA 41.29 -90.51 Biogenet (NE) A USA 43.25 -107.27 Hatch (NM) A USA 32.02 -103.31 Longingen (ND) A USA 32.32 -107.47 Colvarouty (NV) A USA 34.11 -106.78 Vegin (NM) A USA 30.61 -101.36 Guymon (OK) A USA 36.61 -101.08 Magin (NK) A USA 36.67 -101.33 Boundman (OR) A USA 36.68 -101.69 Hogkin (OK) A USA 34.19 -101.44 Castor (TX) A USA 34.41 -93.35 Hogkin scounty (TX) A <	USA	40.76	-99.72	Lexington (NE)	А	USA	40.79	-97.11	Seward county (NE)	Α
USA 41.55 -096.63 Broken Bow (NE) A USA 41.54 -102.69 Bridgeport (NE) A USA 42.00 -003.71 Minchell (NE) A USA 42.01 -96.93 Wisner (NE) A USA 42.43 -96.68 Allen (NE) A USA 42.01 -96.93 Wisner (NE) A USA 32.57 -107.27 Hach (NM) A USA 32.94 -103.53 Lovington (NM) A USA 40.36 -84.77 Caldwater (OH) A USA 35.65 -101.68 Adams (OK) A USA 35.64 -101.30 Optima (OK) A USA 35.49 -101.68 Adams (OK) A USA 34.01 -102.37 Anheers (TX) A USA 31.44 -91.20 Hadams (OK) A USA 34.01 -102.37 Anheers (TX) A USA 31.44 -91.20 Hadams (OK) A	USA	40.87	-100.74	Wellfleet (NE)	Α	USA	40.98	-100.19	Gothenburg (NE)	А
	USA	41.35	-99.63	Broken Bow (NE)	А	USA	41.54	-102.96	Bridgeport (NE)	Α
USA 42.00 -105.71 Mitchell (NE) A USA 42.01 -98.15 Eign (NE) A USA 32.57 -107.27 Hatch (NM) A USA 32.92 -106.63 Yado (NM) A USA 33.28 -104.44 Dexter - Rosswell (NM) A USA 35.45 1-106.78 Yeguia (NM) A USA 35.04 -101.26 Ginymon (OK) A USA 35.64 -101.80 Adams (OK) A USA 35.64 -101.26 Ginymon (OK) A USA 35.65 -101.80 Adams (OK) A USA 35.64 -101.45 Lockney (TX) A USA 35.64 -101.80 Farvell (TX) A USA 34.09 -102.00 History A USA 35.02 -102.00 History A USA 35.01 -102.45 Herdrod (TX) A USA 35.02 -102.00 History A <td< td=""><td>USA</td><td>41.78</td><td>-103.43</td><td>Minatare (NE)</td><td>А</td><td>USA</td><td>41.99</td><td>-96.93</td><td>Wisner (NE)</td><td>Α</td></td<>	USA	41.78	-103.43	Minatare (NE)	А	USA	41.99	-96.93	Wisner (NE)	Α
	USA	42.00	-103.71	Mitchell (NE)	Α	USA	42.01	-98.15	Elgin (NE)	А
	USA	42.43	-96.86	Allen (NE)	Α	USA	32.10	-106.63	Vado (NM)	А
	USA	32.57	-107.27	Hatch (NM)	А	USA	32.92	-103.23	Lovington (NM)	Α
USA 39.08 -119.26 Lyon county (NV) A USA 39.41 -118.77 Fallon (NV) A USA 36.64 -101.36 Guymon (OK) A USA 35.65 -102.20 Griggs (OK) A USA 36.76 -101.30 Optima (OK) A USA 35.65 -102.20 Hoghs (OK) A USA 45.72 -119.83 Boardman (OR) A USA 29.67 Gorazles (TX) A USA 34.01 -102.37 Amherst (TX) A USA 34.42 -102.08 Hare (TX) A USA 34.19 -101.45 Lockney (TX) A USA 34.60 -102.00 Balart (TX) A USA 35.05 -102.46 Hereford (TX) A USA 35.02 -102.35 Dalhart (TX) A USA 36.03 -102.08 Dalhart (TX) A USA 36.01 -102.20 Dalhart (TX) A USA	USA	33.28	-104.44	Dexter - Rosswell (NM)	Α	USA	34.51	-106.78	Veguita (NM)	А
USA 40.36 -84.73 Coldwater (0H) A USA 36.56 -102.20 Griggs (0K) A USA 36.64 -101.36 Gymon (OK) A USA 36.67 -101.08 Adams (OK) A USA 32.67 -101.38 Boardman (OR) A USA 36.88 -101.60 Hough (OK) A USA 32.07 -98.39 Dublin (TX) A USA 34.14 -95.35 Hopkins county (TX) A USA 34.19 -101.45 Lackney (TX) A USA 34.49 -102.00 Hale Center (TX) A USA 34.50 -101.24 Castro (TX) A USA 34.63 -101.68 Harey (TX) A USA 35.07 -102.46 Harely (TX) A USA 36.05 -102.20 Dubart (TX) A USA 36.03 -102.46 Harely (TX) A USA 36.05 -101.60 Molero (TX) A USA 36.30 -102.48 Harely (TX) A USA 36.25	USA	39.08	-119.26	Lyon county (NV)	Α	USA	39.41	-118.77	Fallon (NV)	А
	USA	40.36	-84.73	Coldwater (OH)	А	USA	36.56	-102.20	Griggs (OK)	А
	USA	36.64	-101.36	Guymon (OK)	А	USA	36.70	-101.08	Adams (OK)	А
USA 45.72 -119.83 Boardman (OR) A USA 29.65 -97.37 Gonzales (TX) A USA 34.01 -102.37 Amberst (TX) A USA 33.14 -97.35 Hojknis county (TX) A USA 34.19 -101.45 Lockney (TX) A USA 34.49 -102.08 Farewell (TX) A USA 34.50 -102.46 Heeford (TX) A USA 35.62 -102.36 Def Smith (TX) A USA 35.07 -102.46 Bushland (TX) A USA 35.05 -100.56 Def Smith (TX) A USA 36.01 -102.46 Bushland (TX) A USA 36.01 -102.60 Dalhart (TX) A USA 36.16 -101.60 Moore (TX) A USA 36.02 -102.60 Dalhart (TX) A USA 36.30 -102.60 Bridgewater (VA) A USA 36.28 -100.66 Ochtime (TX) A USA 36.30 -102.60 Bridgewater (VA) A USA <td>USA</td> <td>36.76</td> <td>-101.30</td> <td>Optima (OK)</td> <td>А</td> <td>USA</td> <td>36.88</td> <td>-101.60</td> <td>Hough (OK)</td> <td>А</td>	USA	36.76	-101.30	Optima (OK)	А	USA	36.88	-101.60	Hough (OK)	А
	USA	45.72	-119.83	Boardman (OR)	А	USA	29.65	-97.37	Gonzales (TX)	А
USA 34.10 -102.37 Amberst (TX) A USA 34.49 -102.00 Hale Center (TX) A USA 34.50 -101.45 Lockney (TX) A USA 34.63 -101.86 Happy Tulia (TX) A USA 34.50 -102.46 Hereford (TX) A USA 35.65 -100.75 Pampa (TX) A USA 35.07 -102.46 Bushland (TX) A USA 35.05 -102.75 Pampa (TX) A USA 35.05 -102.46 Bushland (TX) A USA 36.05 -102.28 Dalhart (TX) A USA 36.16 -101.60 Morse (TX) A USA 36.05 -102.28 Dalhart (TX) A USA 36.16 -101.60 Morse (TX) A USA 36.05 -110.97 Trenton (UT) A USA 36.30 -102.60 Bridgewater (VA) A USA 46.35 -111.97 Trenton (UT) A </td <td>USA</td> <td>32.07</td> <td>-98.39</td> <td>Dublin (TX)</td> <td>A</td> <td>USA</td> <td>33.14</td> <td>-95.35</td> <td>Hopkins county (TX)</td> <td>Α</td>	USA	32.07	-98.39	Dublin (TX)	A	USA	33.14	-95.35	Hopkins county (TX)	Α
	USA	34.01	-102.37	Amherst (TX)	A	USA	34.09	-102.00	Hale Center (TX)	A
USA 34.63 -102.46 Hereford (TX) A USA 33.63 -101.86 Happy Tubia (TX) A USA 35.07 -102.46 Bushland (TX) A USA 35.55 -100.75 Pampa (TX) A USA 35.65 -101.266 Dalhart (TX) A USA 36.06 -102.80 Dalhart (TX) A USA 36.01 -101.60 Morse (TX) A USA 36.05 -102.80 Dalhart (TX) A USA 36.16 -101.60 Morse (TX) A USA 36.05 -102.80 Dalhart (TX) A USA 36.30 -101.60 Defta (UT) A USA 46.37 -110.90 Brafford (TX) A USA 46.52 -118.94 Mesa (WA) A USA 47.01 -110.90 Brafford (TX) A USA 42.04 -104.14 Torington (WT) A Vietnam 10.62 Tan An A Vietnam 110	USA	34.19	-101.45	Lockney (TX)	A	USA	34.42	-103.08	Farwell (TX)	Α
USA 34.75 -102.46 Hereford (TX) A USA 35.02 -102.36 Deaf Smith (TX) A USA 35.05 -102.44 Bushand (TX) A USA 36.01 -102.60 Dathart (east) (TX) A USA 36.03 -102.86 Cacus (TX) A USA 36.05 -102.28 Dathart (east) (TX) A USA 36.16 -101.60 Morse (TX) A USA 36.28 -100.68 Ochliftere (TX) A USA 36.31 -102.03 Strafford (TX) A USA 46.37 -170.00 To A USA 46.37 -120.07 Yakima valieySunnyside (WA) A USA 46.25 -118.94 Mess (WA) A Vietnam 10.46 106.42 Tan An A Vietnam 11.02 File (WA) A China 45.77 130.91 Bien floa A A Vietnam 20.46 106.42 Tan An A	USA	34.50	-102.41	Castro (TX)	A	USA	34.63	-101.86	Happy - Tulia (TX)	Α
USA 35.07 -102.04 Bushland (TX) A USA 35.55 -100.75 Pampa (TX) A USA 36.05 -102.45 Cartus (TX) A USA 36.05 -102.28 Dalhart (east) (TX) A USA 36.16 -101.60 Morse (TX) A USA 36.25 -101.60 Morse (TX) A USA 36.30 -102.03 Stratford (TX) A USA 38.19 -113.26 Milford (UT) A USA 39.38 -112.60 Defla (UT) A USA 46.35 -119.00 Etropia (WA) A USA 46.37 -120.07 Yakima Valley - Sunnyside (WA) A USA 46.52 -118.94 Mesa (WA) A Vietnam 10.05 -66.80 Tocuyito - Barrerita A Vietnam 110.2 16.944 Bien Hoa A Vietnam 10.05 -68.09 Tocuyito - Barrerita A Clina 39.11 110.71 $Xinnyito, KN) CI China 39.18 110.7$	USA	34.75	-102.46	Hereford (TX)	A	USA	35.02	-102.36	Deaf Smith (TX)	Α
USA 35.85 -102.45 Hartely (TX) A USA 36.01 -102.60 Dalhart (TX) A USA 36.16 -101.60 Morse (TX) A USA 36.28 -100.68 Ochiltree (TX) A USA 36.16 -101.60 Morse (TX) A USA 36.28 -100.68 Ochiltree (TX) A USA 36.36 -102.00 Branford (TX) A USA 45.28 Ohiltroe (TX) A USA 38.45 -79.00 Bridgewater (VA) A USA 46.52 -119.00 Eltopia (WA) A USA 46.57 -120.07 Yakima Valley - Sunnyside (WA) A USA 46.52 -118.94 Mesa (WA) A USA 47.01 -119.09 Warden (WA) A USA 46.57 -104.41 Torington (WY) A Vietnam 10.46 106.42 Tan An A Vietnam 11.02 106.94 Bien Hoa A Qianita (SA) CI China 39.72 110.17 Jinjiezhen (SN) <td< td=""><td>USA</td><td>35.07</td><td>-102.04</td><td>Bushland (TX)</td><td>A</td><td>USA</td><td>35.55</td><td>-100.75</td><td>Pampa (TX)</td><td>Α</td></td<>	USA	35.07	-102.04	Bushland (TX)	A	USA	35.55	-100.75	Pampa (TX)	Α
USA 36.03 -102.08 Cactus (1X) A USA 36.05 -102.28 Dalhart (east) (TX) A USA 36.16 -101.60 Morse (TX) A USA 36.28 -100.68 Ochilter (TX) A USA 39.38 -112.00 Defta (UT) A USA 38.19 -113.26 Milford (UT) A USA 38.45 -79.00 Bridgewater (VA) A USA 46.55 -119.00 Ettopia (WA) A USA 46.37 -120.07 Yakima Valley - Sunnyside (WA) A USA 46.52 -118.94 Mesa (WA) A Vietnam 10.05 -68.09 Tocuyito - Barrerita A Venzuela 10.41 Toringtor (WY) A Vietnam 10.05 -68.09 Tocuyito - Barrerita A Venzuela 10.41 Toringtor (WY) A Vietnam 10.05 Koai Chau A China 39.11 110.7 Xinapia (SX) CI <t< td=""><td>USA</td><td>35.85</td><td>-102.45</td><td>Hartely (TX)</td><td>A</td><td>USA</td><td>36.01</td><td>-102.60</td><td>Dalhart (TX)</td><td>A</td></t<>	USA	35.85	-102.45	Hartely (TX)	A	USA	36.01	-102.60	Dalhart (TX)	A
USA 36.16 -101.60 Morse (TX) A USA 36.28 -100.68 Odellitre (TX) A USA 39.38 -112.60 Detla (UT) A USA 48.19 -113.26 Milford (UT) A USA 38.45 -79.00 Bridgewater (VA) A USA 46.35 -119.00 Eltopia (WA) A USA 46.37 -120.07 Yakima Valley - Sunnyside (WA) A USA 46.35 -119.00 Eltopia (WA) A Venczuela 10.05 -66.09 Tocuyito - Barrerita A Venczuela 10.41 -71.79 La Concepcion A Vietnam 10.46 106.42 Tan An A Vietnam 11.02 106.94 Mininzhen, Fugu (SN) CI China 38.72 110.17 Jinjiezhen (SN) CI China 39.27 111.07 Shishanzecun, Fugu (SN) CI China 35.90 111.44 Xiangfer (SX) CI Rusia 54.30 86.15	USA	36.03	-102.08	Cactus (TX)	A	USA	36.05	-102.28	Dalhart (east) (TX)	A
USA 36.30 -102.03 Strattord (1X) A USA 38.19 -113.26 Multord (U1) A USA 38.45 -79.00 Bridgewater (VA) A USA 46.37 -119.00 Eltopia (WA) A USA 46.37 -120.07 Yakima Valley-Sunyside (WA) A USA 46.52 -118.94 Mesa (WA) A USA 47.01 -119.09 Warden (WA) A USA 46.52 -118.94 Mesa (WA) A Victuam 10.05 -66.09 Tocuyito - Barrerita A Victuam 10.2 106.94 Bien Hoa A Victuam 20.76 105.95 Khoai Chau A China 39.11 110.74 Ximinzhen, Fugu (SN) CI China 39.18 110.31 Sunjachazhen, Shemmu (SN) CI China 39.11 110.74 Ximinzhen, Fugu (SN) CI China 39.18 110.31 Sunjachazhen, Shemmu (SN) CI China 37.08	USA	36.16	-101.60	Morse (TX)	A	USA	36.28	-100.68	Ochiltree (TX)	A
USA 39.38 -11.20 Defta (U1) A USA 4.195 -111.97 Trenton (U1) A USA 38.45 -70.00 Bridgewater (VA) A USA 46.35 -119.00 Ettopia (WA) A USA 46.37 -120.07 Yakima Valley-Sumyside (WA) A USA 46.52 -118.94 Mesa (WA) A USA 47.01 -119.09 Warden (WA) A USA 42.04 -104.14 Torington (WY) A Venezuela 10.04 106.42 Tan An A Vietnam 11.02 106.94 Bien Hoa A Vietnam 20.76 105.95 Khoai Chau A China 39.11 110.74 Ximmizhen, Fugu (SN) CI China 39.18 110.31 Sunjachazhen, Shenmu (SN) CI China 37.08 111.47 Xiamizhen, Fugu (SN) CI China 35.02 11.44 Xiangfen (SX) CI China 37.08 81.17.9 <td< td=""><td>USA</td><td>36.30</td><td>-102.03</td><td>Stratford (TX)</td><td>A</td><td>USA</td><td>38.19</td><td>-113.26</td><td>Milford (UT)</td><td>A</td></td<>	USA	36.30	-102.03	Stratford (TX)	A	USA	38.19	-113.26	Milford (UT)	A
USA 38.45 -7.9.00 Bradgewater (VA) A USA 46.55 -119.00 Eltopia (WA) A USA 46.57 -120.07 Yakima Xalkey - Sunnyside (WA) A USA 46.52 -118.94 Mesa (WA) A USA 47.01 -119.09 Warden (WA) A USA 42.04 -104.14 Torrigton (WY) A Venezuela 10.05 -68.09 Tocuyito - Barrerita A Venezuela 10.41 -71.79 La Concepcion A Vietnam 20.76 105.95 Khoai Chau A China 39.17 110.77 Shishanzecun, Fugu (SN) CI China 39.18 110.31 Sunjiachazhen, Shenmu (SN) CI China 39.27 111.07 Shishanzecun, Fugu (SN) CI China 59.09 111.44 Xiangfen (SX) CI Russia 54.30 86.15 Bachatsky CI USA 41.08 -104.90 Cheroneorsky CI Russia 54.30	USA	39.38	-112.60	Delta (UT)	A	USA	41.95	-111.97	Trenton (UT)	A
USA 46.57 -12.00/ Yakima Valley - Summyside (WA) A USA 46.52 -118.94 Mesa (WA) A USA 47.01 -119.09 Warden (WA) A USA 42.04 -104.14 Torrington (WY) A Venczuela 10.05 -68.09 Tocuyito - Barrerita A Vietnam 11.02 106.94 Bien Hoa A Vietnam 20.76 105.95 Khoai Chau A China 45.77 130.91 Qitaihe (HL) CI China 39.12 110.17 Jinjiezhen (SN) CI China 39.11 110.74 Xinmirzhen, Fugu (SN) CI China 35.90 111.44 Xiangfen (SX) CI China 37.08 111.79 Xiaoyi (SX) CI Russia 53.72 91.01 Cherongorsky CI Russia 54.30 86.15 Bachatsky CI USA 41.08 -104.90 Cheyenen (WY) EI Algeria 35.38 -0.32	USA	38.45	-79.00	Bridgewater (VA)	A	USA	46.35	-119.00	Eltopia (WA)	A
USA4/.01-119.09Warden (WA)AUSA42.04-104.14Torrington (WY)AVenezuela10.05-68.09Tocuyito : BarreritaAVenezuela10.41-71.79La ConcepcionAVietnam10.46106.42Tan AnAVietnam11.02106.94Bien HoaAVietnam20.76105.95Khoai ChauAClinia39.11110.74Xinmizchen, Fugu (SN)CIChina39.18110.31Sunjiachazhen, Shenmu (SN)CIChina39.27111.07Shishanzecun, Fugu (SN)CIChina35.7291.01ChernogorskyCIRussia54.3086.15BachatskyCIRussia53.7291.01ChernogorskyCIRussia54.3086.15BachatskyCIUSA41.08-104.90Cheyenne (WY)EIAlgeria35.83-0.32ArzewFIBangladesh22.2791.83ChitagongFIBangladesh24.0190.97AshuganjFIBangladesh22.2791.83ChitagongFIBulgaria43.2127.63DevnyaFIBangladesh24.6889.85TarakandiFIBulgaria43.2127.63DevnyaFICanada50.07-110.68Medicine HatFICanada50.6723.91GrodonFICanada50.07-110.68Medicine HatFICanada50.65 <t< td=""><td>USA</td><td>46.37</td><td>-120.07</td><td>Yakima Valley - Sunnyside (WA)</td><td>A</td><td>USA</td><td>46.52</td><td>-118.94</td><td>Mesa (WA)</td><td>A</td></t<>	USA	46.37	-120.07	Yakima Valley - Sunnyside (WA)	A	USA	46.52	-118.94	Mesa (WA)	A
Venczucia10.05- -80.9 Tocuyuto - BarrentiaAVenczucia10.41-71.79La ConcepcionAVietnam20.76105.95Khoai ChauAChina45.77130.91Qitaihe (HL)CIChina38.72110.17Jinjiezhen (SN)CIChina39.11110.74Xinminzhen, Fugu (SN)CIChina39.18110.31Sunjiachazhen, Shenmu (SN)CIChina39.27111.07Shishanzecun, Fugu (SN)CIChina35.90111.44Xiangfen (SX)CIChina37.08111.79Xiaoyi (SX)CIRussia53.7291.01ChernogorskyCIRussia54.3086.15BachatskyCIAlgeria36.90-7.72AnnabaFIArgentinia-34.19-59.03CampanaFIBangladesh22.2791.83ChittagongFIBangladesh24.0190.97AshuganjFIBangladesh22.66DimtrovdgradFIBlugaria43.2127.63DevnyaFIBulgaria42.0225.66DimtrovdgradFIBlugaria43.2127.63DevnyaFICanada50.07-110.68Medicine HatFIChina30.05116.52Bele PlaineFICanada50.07-110.68Medicine HatFIChina30.65116.52Belle PlaineFICanada50.07-110.68Medicine HatFIChina <td>USA</td> <td>47.01</td> <td>-119.09</td> <td>Warden (WA)</td> <td>A</td> <td>USA</td> <td>42.04</td> <td>-104.14</td> <td>Torrington (WY)</td> <td>A</td>	USA	47.01	-119.09	Warden (WA)	A	USA	42.04	-104.14	Torrington (WY)	A
Vietnam10.46100.42Ia AnAVietnam11.02100.54Bien HoaAVietnam20.76105.95Khoai ChauAChina45.7713.09Qitaihe (HL)CIChina38.72110.17Jinjiezhen (SN)CIChina39.11110.74Xinninzhen, Fugu (SN)CIChina35.90111.14Xiangien (SX)CIChina37.08111.79Xiaoyi (SX)CIRussia53.7291.01ChernogorskyCIRussia54.3086.15BachatskyCIUSA41.08-104.90Cheyenne (WY)EIAlgeria35.83-0.32ArzewFIBangladesh22.2791.83ChittagongFIBangladesh24.0190.97AshuganjFIBangladesh24.6689.85TarakandiFIBelarus53.6723.91GrodnoFIBarzil-25.53-49.40CuritibaFIBrazil-10.79-37.18LaranjeirasFIBulgaria42.0225.66DimtrovdgradFICanada49.82-99.29BrandonFICanada50.07-110.68Medicine HatFICanada50.44-105.22Belle PlaineFICanada50.117.02Anging (AH)FIChina30.06116.83Xiangyuzhen (AH)FIChina32.43118.44La'an (AH)FIChina30.66116.30Linquan (AH)	Venezuela	10.05	-68.09	Tocuyito - Barrerita	A	Venezuela	10.41	-71.79	La Concepcion	A
Vietnam20.76105.95Khoa ChauAChina49.77130.91Qitaihe (HL)CIChina39.18110.31Sunjiachazhen (SN)CIChina39.11110.74Xiaminzhen, Fugu (SN)CIChina35.90111.44Xiangfen (SX)CIChina39.27111.07Shishanzecun, Fugu (SN)CIChina35.90111.44Xiangfen (SX)CIRussia54.3086.15BachatskyCIUSA41.08-104.90Cheyenne (WY)EIAlgeria35.83-0.32ArzewFIBangladesh22.7591.83ChitagongFIBangladesh24.0190.97AshuganjFIBangladesh24.6889.85TarakandiFIBelarus53.6723.91GrodnoFIBangladesh24.6889.85TarakandiFIBlazia-10.79-37.18LaranjeirasFIBulgaria42.0225.66DintrovdgradFIBulgaria43.2127.63DevnyaFICanada50.07-110.68Medicine HatFICanada50.44-105.22Belle PlaineFICanada50.07-110.68Medicine HatFIChina30.05116.83Xiangvuzhen (AH)FIChina30.50117.02Anqing (AH)FIChina30.65116.83Xiangvuzhen (AH)FIChina32.43118.44Lai'an (AH)FIChina33.66<	Vietnam	10.46	106.42	lan An	A	Vietnam	11.02	106.94	Bien Hoa	A
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Vietnam	20.76	105.95	Khoai Chau	A	China	45.77	130.91	Qitaihe (HL)	CI
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	China	38.72	110.17	Jinjieznen (SN)	CI	China	39.11	110.74	Xinminzhen, Fugu (SN)	CI
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	China	39.18	110.31	Sunjiachazhen, Shenmu (SN)	CI	China	39.27	111.07	Shishanzecun, Fugu (SN)	CI
Russia 53.72 91.01 ChernogolskyClRussia 54.30 80.13 BachalskyClUSA 41.08 -104.90 Cheyenne (WY)EIAlgeria 35.83 -0.32 ArzewFIAlgeria 36.90 7.72 AnnabaFIArgentinia -34.19 -59.03 CampanaFIBangladesh 22.27 91.83 ChittagongFIBangladesh 24.01 90.97 AshuganjFIBangladesh 22.67 91.83 ChittagongFIBelarus 53.67 23.91 GrodnoFIBulgaria 42.02 25.66 DimtrovdgradFIBrazil -10.79 -37.18 LaranjeirasFIBulgaria 42.02 25.66 DimtrovdgradFIBulgaria 43.21 27.63 DevnyaFICanada 50.07 -110.68 Medicine HatFICanada 50.44 -105.22 Belle PlaineFICanada 53.73 -113.17 Fort SaskatchewanFIChina 30.05 116.83 Xiangyuzhen (AH)FIChina 30.50 117.02 Anqing (AH)FIChina 30.63 116.97 Huainan (AH)FIChina 32.43 118.44 Lai'an (AH)FIChina 33.66 115.30 Linquan (AH)FIChina 32.93 115.84 Fuguar (GZ)FIChina 36.06 103.59 Xigu - Lanzhou (GS)FIChina 25.18	China	55.90 52.70	01.01	Champaganalay	CI	Dussia	57.08	06 15	Alaoyi (SA)	CI
OSA41.08-104.90Cheyenne (W Y)EIAlgeria53.83-0.52AlzewFIBangladesh22.2791.83ChittagongFIBangladesh24.0190.97AshuganjFIBangladesh24.6889.85TarakandiFIBelarus53.6723.91GrodnoFIBrazil-25.53-49.40CuritibaFIBelarus53.6723.91GrodnoFIBulgaria42.0225.66DimtrovdgradFIBulgaria43.2127.63DevnyaFICanada42.76-82.41CourtightFICanada49.82-99.92BrandonFICanada50.07-110.68Medicine HatFICanada50.44-105.22Belle PlaineFICanada53.73-113.17Fort SaskatchewanFIChina30.05116.83Xiangyuzhen (AH)FIChina30.50117.02Anqing (AH)FIChina30.06115.30Linquan (AH)FIChina32.43118.44Lai'an (AH)FIChina33.06115.30Linquan (AH)FIChina32.97115.84Fuyang (AGS)FIChina24.34109.35Liuzhou (GS)FIChina25.18104.84Xingyi - Qianxinan (GZ)FIChina24.34109.35Liuzhou (GZ)FIChina25.18104.84Xingi - Qianxinan (GZ)FIChina34.79Huaxia	KUSSIA	35.72	91.01	Chemony (WW)		Aleccie	34.50	0.13	A	
Algeria 30.90 7.72 AnnabaFIArgentinia -39.15 CampaniaFIBangladesh 22.27 91.83 ChittagongFIBangladesh 24.01 90.97 AshuganjFIBangladesh 24.68 89.85 TarakandiFIBelarus 53.67 23.91 GrodnoFIBrazil -25.53 -49.40 CuritibaFIBelarus 53.67 23.91 GrodnoFIBulgaria 42.02 25.66 DimtrovdgradFIBulgaria 43.21 27.63 DevnyaFICanada 42.76 -82.41 CourtrightFICanada 49.82 -99.92 BrandonFICanada 50.73 -110.68 Medicine HatFICanada 50.44 -105.22 Belle PlaineFICanada 53.73 -113.17 Fort SaskatchewanFIChina 30.05 116.83 Xiangyuzhen (AH)FIChina 30.50 117.02 Anqing (AH)FIChina 30.06 115.30 Linquan (AH)FIChina 32.43 118.44 Lai'an (AH)FIChina 33.06 115.30 Linquan (AH)FIChina 32.93 115.84 Fuyang (AH)FIChina 36.06 103.59 Xigu - Lanzhou (GS)FIChina 24.54 117.64 Longwen (FJ)FIChina 24.34 109.35 Liuzhou (GX)FIChina 25.18 104.84	USA	41.08	-104.90	Cheyenne (WY)	EI	Algeria	35.85	-0.32	Arzew	FI
Bangladesh22.2791.83ClintagongFIBangladesh24.0190.97AsinganjFIBangladesh24.6889.85TarakandiFIBelarus53.6723.91GrodnoFIBrazil-25.53-49.40CuritibaFIBrazil-10.79-37.18LaranjeirasFIBulgaria42.0225.66DimtrovdgradFIBulgaria43.2127.63DevnyaFICanada42.76-82.41CourtrightFICanada49.82-99.92BrandonFICanada50.07-110.68Medicine HatFICanada50.44-105.22Belle PlaineFICanada53.73-113.17Fort SaskatchewanFIChina30.05116.83Xiangyuzhen (AH)FIChina30.50117.02Anqing (AH)FIChina30.05116.83Liangua (AH)FIChina32.43118.44La'ai (AH)FIChina33.06115.30Linquan (AH)FIChina32.93115.84Fuyang (AH)FIChina33.06103.59Xigu - Lanzhou (GS)FIChina38.38102.07Jinchang (GS)FIChina24.34109.35Liuzhou (GX)FIChina25.18104.84Xingyi - Qianxinan (GZ)FIChina24.34109.35Liuzhou (GZ)FIChina25.25113.74Xinxiang (HA)FIChina30.43<	Pangladach	30.90	01.82	Chittagong		Papaladash	-34.19	-39.03	Ashugani	FI
Brazil-24.0669.63HatakuluFIBelatus53.07 25.91 ChoundFIFIBrazil-25.53-49.40CuritibaFIBrazil -10.79 -37.18 LaranjeirasFIBulgaria42.0225.66DimtrovdgradFIBulgaria43.21 27.63 DevnyaFICanada42.76-82.41CourtrightFICanada49.82 -99.92 BrandonFICanada50.07-110.68Medicine HatFICanada50.44 -105.22 Belle PlaineFICanada53.73-113.17Fort SaskatchewanFIChina30.05116.83Xiangyuzhen (AH)FIChina30.50117.02Anqing (AH)FIChina30.88117.74Tongling (AH)FIChina32.43118.44Lai'an (AH)FIChina33.06115.30Linquan (AH)FIChina32.93115.84Fuyang (AH)FIChina33.06115.30Linquan (AH)FIChina38.38102.07Jinchang (GS)FIChina24.34109.35Liuzhou (GX)FIChina25.18104.84Xingyi - Qianxinan (GZ)FIChina24.34109.35Liuzhou (GZ)FIChina27.17106.74Xiaozhaibazhen (GZ)FIChina34.79114.42Kaifeng (HA)FIChina30.45111.49Xiaozhaibazhen (GZ)FI	Bangladesh	22.27	91.65	Tarakandi		Palarna	24.01 52.67	22.01	Gradna	FI
Bilginia-22.53-49.40CultubaFIBilginia-10.79-57.16LatanjeriasFIBulgaria42.0225.66DimtrovdgradFIBulgaria43.2127.63DevnyaFICanada42.76-82.41CourtrightFICanada49.82-99.92BrandonFICanada50.07-110.68Medicine HatFICanada50.44-105.22Belle PlaineFICanada53.73-113.17Fort SaskatchewanFIChina30.05116.83Xiangyuzhen (AH)FIChina30.50117.02Anqing (AH)FIChina30.88117.74Tongling (AH)FIChina32.43118.44Lai'an (AH)FIChina33.06115.30Linquan (AH)FIChina32.93115.84Fuyang (AH)FIChina33.06115.30Linquan (AH)FIChina24.54117.64Longwen (FJ)FIChina36.06103.59Xigu - Lanzhou (GS)FIChina25.18104.84Xingyi - Qianxinan (GZ)FIChina24.34109.35Liuzhou (GX)FIChina25.18104.84Xingyi - Qianxinan (GZ)FIChina24.54107.48Fuquan (GZ)FIChina25.25113.74Xiaozhaibazhen (GZ)FIChina34.79114.42Kaifeng (HA)FIChina30.45111.49Xiaozhaibazhen (GZ)FI	Daligiadesii	24.08	69.63	Curitika		Detarus Desail	10.70	25.91	Loronioinoo	
Burgaria 42.02 25.06 DimitorogradFiBurgaria 43.21 27.03 DeviyaFiCanada 42.76 -82.41 CourtrightFICanada 49.82 -99.92 BrandonFICanada 50.07 -110.68 Medicine HatFICanada 50.44 -105.22 Belle PlaineFICanada 53.73 -113.17 Fort SaskatchewanFIChina 30.05 116.83 Xiangyuzhen (AH)FIChina 30.50 117.02 Anqing (AH)FIChina 30.88 117.74 Tongling (AH)FIChina 32.43 118.44 Lai'an (AH)FIChina 32.63 116.97 Huaian (AH)FIChina 32.93 115.84 Fuyang (AH)FIChina 33.06 115.30 Linquan (AH)FIChina 24.54 117.64 Longwen (FJ)FIChina 36.06 103.59 Xigu - Lanzhou (GS)FIChina 25.18 104.84 Xingyi - Qianxinan (GZ)FIChina 24.34 109.35 Liuzhou (GX)FIChina 25.17 106.74 Xiaozhaibazhen (GZ)FIChina 27.52 105.34 Yachizhen (GZ)FIChina 32.97 114.45 Zhumadian (HA)FIChina 34.79 114.42 Kaifeng (HA)FIChina 30.34 111.64 Zhujang (HB)FIChina 30.43 115.25 Xishui (HB)FI	Diazii	-23.33	-49.40	Dimtroydgrad		Diazii	-10.79	-57.16	Doumuo	
Canada50.7-10.68Medicine HatFICanada50.74-10.61FICanada50.7-110.68Medicine HatFICanada50.44-105.22Belle PlaineFICanada30.50117.02Anqing (AH)FIChina30.05116.83Xiangyuzhen (AH)FIChina32.43118.44Lai'an (AH)FIChina32.63116.97Huainan (AH)FIChina32.93115.84Fuyang (AH)FIChina33.06115.30Linquan (AH)FIChina24.54117.64Longwen (FJ)FIChina33.06103.59Xigu - Lanzhou (GS)FIChina25.18104.84Xingyi - Qianxinan (GZ)FIChina26.61107.48Fuquan (GZ)FIChina25.18104.84Xingyi - Qianxinan (GZ)FIChina27.29105.34Yachizhen (GZ)FIChina32.97114.05Zhumadian (HA)FIChina34.79114.42Kaifeng (HA)FIChina30.34111.64Zhujag (HB)FIChina30.43115.25Xishui (HB)FIChina30.34111.49Xiaoting (HB)FIChina30.43115.25Xishui (HB)FIChina30.45111.49Xiaoting (HB)FIChina30.43115.25Xishui (HB)FIChina30.45111.49Xiaoting (HB)FIChina30.4311	Canada	42.02	82.41	Courtright	FI	Canada	40.82	27.03	Brandon	FI
Canada53.07-110.08Medicine fra11Canada50.74-105.22Deficit frame11Canada53.73-113.17Fort SaskatchewanFIChina30.05116.83Xiangyuzhen (AH)FIChina30.50117.02Anqing (AH)FIChina30.88117.74Tongling (AH)FIChina32.43118.44Lai'an (AH)FIChina32.63116.97Huainan (AH)FIChina32.93115.84Fuyang (AH)FIChina33.06115.30Linquan (AH)FIChina24.54117.64Longwen (FJ)FIChina36.06103.59Xigu - Lanzhou (GS)FIChina25.18104.84Xingyi - Qianxinan (GZ)FIChina24.64109.35Liuzhou (GX)FIChina27.17106.74Xiaozhaibazhen (GZ)FIChina27.29105.34Yachizhen (GZ)FIChina32.97114.05Zhumadian (HA)FIChina34.79114.42Kaifeng (HA)FIChina30.34111.64Zhijang (HB)FIChina30.43115.25Xishui (HB)FIChina30.45111.49Xiaoting (HB)FIChina30.43115.25Xishui (HB)FIChina30.78111.82Dangyang (HB)FIChina30.94113.66Yingchene - Yunmeng (HB)FI	Canada	42.70 50.07	110.68	Medicine Hat	FI	Canada	49.82 50.44	105.22	Balla Plaine	FI
China 30.53 -115.17 FOIT SAKACHEWAITFIChina 30.05 110.63 Analyguzitet (AH)FIChina 30.50 117.02 Anqing (AH)FIChina 30.63 116.74 Tongling (AH)FIChina 32.43 118.44 Lai'an (AH)FIChina 32.63 116.97 Huainan (AH)FIChina 32.93 115.84 Fuyang (AH)FIChina 33.06 115.30 Linquan (AH)FIChina 24.54 117.64 Longwen (FJ)FIChina 36.06 103.59 Xigu - Lanzhou (GS)FIChina 38.38 102.07 Jinchang (GS)FIChina 24.34 109.35 Liuzhou (GX)FIChina 25.18 104.84 Xingyi - Qianxinan (GZ)FIChina 26.61 107.48 Fuquan (GZ)FIChina 27.17 106.74 Xiaozhaibazhen (GZ)FIChina 27.29 105.34 Yachizhen (GZ)FIChina 32.97 114.05 Zhumadian (HA)FIChina 34.79 114.42 Kaifeng (HA)FIChina 30.45 111.49 Xiaoting (HB)FIChina 30.43 115.25 Xishui (HB)FIChina 30.45 111.49 Xiaoting (HB)FIChina 30.43 115.26 Yingheng (HB)FIChina 30.78 111.82 Dangyang (HB)FIChina 30.94 113.66 Yingheng (HB)FI </td <td>Canada</td> <td>52.72</td> <td>-110.08</td> <td>Fort Sackatahawan</td> <td></td> <td>Chino</td> <td>20.05</td> <td>-105.22</td> <td>Vionguuzhon (AH)</td> <td>FI</td>	Canada	52.72	-110.08	Fort Sackatahawan		Chino	20.05	-105.22	Vionguuzhon (AH)	FI
China 30.30 117.62 $Anqing (AII)$ H H China 30.68 117.74 $Iniging (AII)$ H China 32.43 118.44 $Lai'an (AH)$ FI China 32.63 116.97 Huainan (AH) FI China 32.93 115.84 Fuyang (AH) FI China 33.06 115.30 Linquan (AH) FI China 24.54 117.64 Longwen (FJ) FI China 36.06 103.59 Xigu - Lanzhou (GS) FI China 38.38 102.07 Jinchang (GS) FI China 24.34 109.35 Liuzhou (GX) FI China 25.18 104.84 Xingyi - Qianxinan (GZ) FI China 26.61 107.48 Fuquan (GZ) FI China 27.17 106.74 Xiaozhaibazhen (GZ) FI China 27.29 105.34 Yachizhen (GZ) FI China 32.97 114.05 Zhumadian (HA) FI China 34.79 114.42 Kaifeng (HA) FI China 30.25 113.74 Xinxiang (HA) FI China 30.43 115.25 Xishui (HB) FI China 30.45 111.49 Xiaoting (HB) FI China 30.50 112.88 Qianjiang (HB) FI China 30.78 111.82 Dangvang (HB) FI China 30.94 113.66 Yingcheng - Yunmeng (HB) FI	China	30.50	-113.17	Apging (AH)	FI	China	30.05	117.74	Tongling (AH)	FI
China 32.43 116.44 Lat al (AII)FIChina 32.03 116.97 Huanan (AII)FIChina 32.93 115.84 Fuyang (AH)FIChina 33.06 115.37 Linguan (AH)FIChina 24.54 117.64 Longwen (FJ)FIChina 36.06 103.59 Xigu - Lanzhou (GS)FIChina 38.38 102.07 Jinchang (GS)FIChina 24.34 109.35 Liuzhou (GX)FIChina 25.18 104.84 Xingyi - Qianxinan (GZ)FIChina 26.61 107.48 Fuquan (GZ)FIChina 27.17 106.74 Xiaozhaibazhen (GZ)FIChina 27.29 105.34 Yachizhen (GZ)FIChina 32.97 114.05 Zhumadian (HA)FIChina 34.79 114.42 Kaifeng (HA)FIChina 35.25 113.74 Xinxiang (HA)FIChina 30.43 115.25 Xishui (HB)FIChina 30.44 111.49 Xiaoting (HB)FIChina 30.50 112.88 Qianjiang (HB)FIChina 30.78 111.82 Dangyang (HB)FIChina 30.94 113.66 Yingcheng - Yunmeng (HB)FI	China	32.43	118.44	Lai'an (AH)	FI	China	32.63	116.07	Huging (AH)	FI
China24.54117.64Longwen (FI)FIChina35.06103.59Xinguan (HI)FIChina38.38102.07Jinchang (GS)FIChina36.06103.59Xingu - Lanzhou (GS)FIChina25.18104.84Xingyi - Qianxinan (GZ)FIChina24.34109.35Liuzhou (GX)FIChina25.18104.84Xingyi - Qianxinan (GZ)FIChina26.61107.48Fuquan (GZ)FIChina27.17106.74Xiaozhaibazhen (GZ)FIChina27.29105.34Yachizhen (GZ)FIChina32.97114.05Zhumadian (HA)FIChina34.79114.42Kaifeng (HA)FIChina30.34111.64Zhijiang (HB)FIChina30.43115.25Xishui (HB)FIChina30.45111.49Xiaoting (HB)FIChina30.50112.88Qianjiang (HB)FIChina30.78111.82Dangyang (HB)FIChina30.94113.66Yingcheng - Yunmeng (HB)FI	China	32.43	115.84	Euvang (AH)	FI	China	33.06	115.30	Linguan (AH)	FI
China 24.34 117.64 Eding (GS) FI China 50.00 105.35 Argu = Laizhou (GS) FI China 38.38 102.07 Jinchang (GS) FI China 24.34 109.35 Liuzhou (GS) FI China 25.18 104.84 Xingyi - Qianxinan (GZ) FI China 26.61 107.48 Fuquen (GZ) FI China 27.17 106.74 Xiaozhaibazhen (GZ) FI China 27.29 105.34 Yachizhen (GZ) FI China 32.97 114.05 Zhumadian (HA) FI China 34.79 114.42 Kaifeng (HA) FI China 35.25 113.74 Xinxiang (HA) FI China 35.55 114.59 Huaxian (HA) FI China 30.34 111.64 Zhijiang (HB) FI China 30.43 115.25 Xishui (HB) FI China 30.45 111.49 Xiaoting (HB) FI China 30.50 112.88	China	24.54	117.64	Longwen (EI)	FI	China	36.06	103.50	Yigu Lanzhou (GS)	FI
China 25.18 102.87 Jinchang (GS) FI China 24.84 105.55 Endand (GA) FI China 25.18 104.84 Xingyi - Qianxinan (GZ) FI China 26.61 107.48 Fuquan (GZ) FI China 27.17 106.74 Xiaozhaibazhen (GZ) FI China 27.29 105.34 Yachizhen (GZ) FI China 32.97 114.05 Zhumadian (HA) FI China 34.79 114.42 Kaifeng (HA) FI China 35.25 113.74 Xinxiang (HA) FI China 35.55 114.59 Huaxian (HA) FI China 30.34 111.64 Zhijiang (HB) FI China 30.43 115.25 Xishui (HB) FI China 30.45 111.49 Xiaoting (HB) FI China 30.50 112.88 Qianjiang (HB) FI China 30.78 111.82 Dangyang (HB) FI China 30.94 113.66	China	38 38	102.07	Linchang (GS)	FI	China	24 34	109.35	Liuzhou (GX)	FI
China 27.17 106.74 Xiaozhaibazhen (GZ) FI China 27.07 107.75 Fuquar (GZ) FI China 32.97 114.05 Zhumadian (HA) FI China 34.79 114.42 Kaifeng (HA) FI China 35.25 113.74 Xinxiang (HA) FI China 35.55 114.59 Huaxian (HA) FI China 30.34 111.64 Zhijiang (HB) FI China 30.43 115.25 Xisui (HB) FI China 30.45 111.49 Xiaoting (HB) FI China 30.50 112.88 Qianjiang (HB) FI China 30.78 111.82 Dangyang (HB) FI China 30.94 113.66 Yingcheng - Yunmeng (HB) FI	China	25.18	102.07	Xinovi - Qianxinan (GZ)	FI	China	24.54	109.55	Fuquan (GZ)	FI
China 32.97 114.05 Zhunadian (HA) FI China 34.79 114.42 Kaifeng (HA) FI China 35.25 113.74 Xinxiang (HA) FI China 34.79 114.42 Kaifeng (HA) FI China 35.25 113.74 Xinxiang (HA) FI China 35.55 114.59 Huaxian (HA) FI China 30.34 111.64 Zhijiang (HB) FI China 30.43 115.25 Xishui (HB) FI China 30.45 111.49 Xiaoting (HB) FI China 30.50 112.88 Qianjiang (HB) FI China 30.78 111.82 Dangyang (HB) FI China 30.94 113.66 Yingeheng - Yunmeng (HB) FI	China	25.10	106.74	Xiaozhaihazhen (GZ)	FI	China	27.20	105.34	Yachizhen (GZ)	FI
China 35.25 113.74 Xinxiang (HA) FI China 35.55 114.59 Huaxian (HA) FI China 30.34 111.64 Zhijiang (HB) FI China 30.43 115.25 Xishui (HB) FI China 30.45 111.49 Xiaoting (HB) FI China 30.50 112.88 Qianjiang (HB) FI China 30.78 111.82 Dangyang (HB) FI China 30.94 113.66 Yingcheng - Yunneng (HB) FI	China	32.97	114.05	Zhumadian (HA)	FI	China	34 79	114 42	Kaifeng (HA)	FI
China 30.34 111.64 Zhijiang (HB) FI China 30.43 115.25 Xishui (HB) FI China 30.45 111.49 Xiaoting (HB) FI China 30.43 115.25 Xishui (HB) FI China 30.45 111.49 Xiaoting (HB) FI China 30.50 112.88 Qianjiang (HB) FI China 30.78 111.82 Dangyang (HB) FI China 30.94 113.66 Yingcheng - Yunmeng (HB) FI	China	35.25	113 74	Xinxiang (HA)	FI	China	35 55	114.42	Huaxian (HA)	FI
China30.45111.49Ziapting (HB)FIChina30.50112.28Qianjiang (HB)FIChina30.78111.82Dangyang (HB)FIChina30.94113.66Yinceheng - Yunmeng (HB)FI	China	30.34	111.64	Zhijiang (HB)	FI	China	30.43	115.25	Xishui (HB)	FI
China 30.78 11.82 Dangyang (HB) FI China 30.94 113.66 Yingcheng (HD) FI	China	30.45	111.04	Xiaoting (HB)	FI	China	30.50	112.25	Oianijang (HB)	FI
	China	30.78	111.82	Dangvang (HB)	FI	China	30.94	113.66	Yingcheng - Yunmeng (HB)	FI





Country	Lat	Lon	Name	Type	Country	Lat	Lon	Name	Type
China	31.22	112.29	Shiqiqovizhen (HB)	FI	China	37.87	116.55	Dongguang (HE)	FI
China	38.13	112.29	Shijiazhuang - Gaocheng (HE)	FI	China	46.46	125.20	Xinghuacun (Longfen) (HL)	FI
China	46 75	120.54	Hapiangha (HL)	FI	China	40.40	123.20	Hulan Ergi (HI.)	FI
China	40.75	129.34	Hadinanghe (HL)		China	47.17	1125.05	Vienviena (UN)	ITI ITI
China	27.59	111.45	Heqing (HN)	FI	China	27.71	112.54	Alanxiang (HN)	FI
China	29.40	113.11	rueyang (HN)	FI	China	35.70	114.90	Puyang (HN)	FI
China	44.01	126.56	Jilin (JL)	FI	China	45.31	124.47	Changshan (JL)	FI
China	31.32	121.01	Kunshan (JS)	FI	China	31.43	119.83	Yixing (JS)	FI
China	31.98	120.51	Zhangjiagang, Suzhou Shi, (JS)	FI	China	32.22	118.77	Dachang - Nanjing (JS)	FI
China	34.36	118.31	Xinyi (JS)	FI	China	34.60	119.13	Lianyungang (JS)	FI
China	34.75	116.63	Fengxian (JS)	FI	China	40.76	120.83	Huludao (Liaoning)	FI
China	41.20	121.98	Shuangtaizi, Panjin (LS)	FI	China	38.07	108.98	Nalin river (NM)	FI
China	39.08	109.47	Tuke Sumu (NM)	FI	China	39.43	106.70	Wuda - Hainan - Huinong (NM)	FI
China	40.04	111.28	Lamawanzhen (NM)	FI	China	40.69	108.70	Wulashan (NM)	FI
China	40.70	111.50	Hohhot (NM)	FI	China	43.45	122.25	Mulituzhen (NM)	FI
China	47.94	122.83	Zalatun (NM)	FI	China	49.36	119.67	Hulun Buir (NM)	FI
China	38 46	106.07	Yinchuan (NX)	FI	China	38.89	106.42	Shizuishan (NX)	FI
China	36.48	101 49	Huangzhong (OH)	FI	China	36.75	95.25	Chaerhan Salt Lake (OH)	FI
China	28.75	105.38	Navi (SC)	FI	China	30.00	103.83	Dongno (SC)	FI
China	30.84	105.35	Shehong (SC)	FI	China	30.90	104.25	Devang - Guanghan - Xindu (SC)	FI
China	24.01	119.49	Linvi (SD)	FI	China	35.00	117.24	Mushizhan Tangzhau (SD)	
China	34.91	110.40	LillyI (SD)		China	35.00	117.24	Denomina (CD)	
China	26.20	117.50	Venelister (SD)		China	33.67	110.45	Lingham (SD)	
China	36.30	117.52	Yanglizhen (SD)	FI	China	36.35	110.15	Liaocheng (SD)	FI
China	36.90	117.43	Shuizhaizhen (SD)	FI	China	36.95	118.77	Shouguang (SD)	FI
China	37.09	119.03	Houzhen (Shouguang) (SD)	FI	China	37.16	116.38	Pingyuan (SD)	FI
China	37.46	116.22	Decheng (SD)	FI	China	34.28	108.53	Xingping (SN)	FI
China	34.41	109.77	Guapozhen (SN)	FI	China	35.10	110.72	Xian de Linyi (SX)	FI
China	35.45	112.60	Beiliuzhen (SX)	FI	China	35.66	112.84	Zezhou - Gaoping (SX)	FI
China	36.35	113.31	Lucheng (SX)	FI	China	36.37	112.87	Tunliu (SX)	FI
China	36.60	111.70	Huozhou (SX)	FI	China	37.27	113.62	Pingsongxiang (SX)	FI
China	37.55	112.18	Jiaocheng (SX)	FI	China	38.33	112.11	Jingle (SX)	FI
China	41.72	83.03	Kuga (XJ)	FI	China	43.99	87.64	Midong - Fukang (XJ)	FI
China	44 40	84 95	Kuytun (XI)	FI	China	44 88	89.21	Wucaiwan (XI)	FI
China	23 73	103 21	Kaiyuan (YN)	FI	China	24.97	103.13	Viliang (YN)	FI
China	25.76	103.86	Huashan (VN)	FI	China	30.23	120.64	Xiaoshan Hangzhou (ZI)	FI
China	38.26	114.40	Lingshou (HE)	FI	China	10.08	108.67	Dongfong (HI)	FI
Calambia	10.20	75.40	Cortogono Momonol	FI FI	Creatia	15.00	16.07	Kuting	EI
Colombia	10.30	-75.49	Cartagena - Mamonal	FI	Croana	45.48	10.82	Kutina T-11-1-	FI
Egypt	29.66	32.32	Ain Sukhna	FI	Egypt	31.07	31.40	Taikha	FI
Egypt	31.26	30.09	Abu Qir	FI	Emirates	24.18	52.73	Ruwais	FI
Georgia	41.54	45.08	Rustavi	FI	Germany	51.86	12.64	Piesteritz	FI
India	8.72	78.14	Tuticorin	FI	India	12.92	74.84	Mangalore	FI
India	13.13	80.25	Manali - Chennai	FI	India	15.34	73.85	Zuarinigar	FI
India	16.96	82.00	Bikkavolu - Balabhadhrapuram	FI	India	18.71	72.86	Thal	FI
India	19.03	72.88	Trombai - Mumbai	FI	India	20.32	86.64	Paradip - Batighara	FI
India	21.17	72.71	Hazira - Surat	FI	India	21.59	73.00	Ankleshwar	FI
India	22.39	73.10	Vadodara	FI	India	24.51	77.14	Vijaipur	FI
India	25.19	76.17	Gadepan	FI	India	25.56	82.05	Phulphur	FI
India	26.46	80.21	Kanpur	FI	India	27.23	95.33	Namrup	FI
India	27.84	79.91	Shahiahannur	FI	India	28.24	79.21	Aonla	FI
Indonesia	-7.16	112.64	Gresik	FI	Indonesia	-6.39	107.43	Derwolong - Cikampek	FI
Indonesia	2.07	104 70	Palembang	FI	Indonesia	0.18	117.49	Bontang City	FI
Indonesia	-2.97	07.05	I hoksoumowo		Indonesia	27.56	52 55	Asaluwah	
Indonesia	3.25	97.03	Lilokseumawe		iran T	27.30	32.33		
Iran	29.86	52.72	Marvdasht	FI	Iran	30.40	49.11	Bandar Imam Khomeini	FI
Iran	37.54	57.49	Bojnourd	FI	Iraq	30.18	47.84	Khor Al Zubair	FI
Kazakhstan	43.66	51.21	Aktau	FI	Lituania	55.08	24.34	Jonava	FI
Lybia	30.42	19.61	Marsa el Brega	FI	Mexico	17.99	-94.54	Cosolaecaque	FI
Mexico	20.52	-101.14	Salamanca - Villagran	FI	Morocco	33.10	-8.61	Jorf Lasfar	FI
Myanmar	16.90	94.76	Kangyidaunt	FI	Myanmar	17.15	95.98	Hmawbi	FI
Nigeria	4.73	7.11	Port Harcourt	FI	North Korea	39.63	125.64	Anju	FI
Oman	22.64	59.41	Sur Industrial Estate	FI	Pakistan	24.81	67.24	Bin Qasim	FI
Pakistan	28.07	69.69	Daharki	FI	Pakistan	28.27	70.07	Sadiqabad	FI
Poland	50.30	18.23	Kedzierzyn - Kozle	FI	Poland	51.47	21.96	Pulawy	FI
Poland	53 58	14 55	Police	FI	Oatar	24 91	51 58	Mesaieed	FI
Romania	43 70	24.80	Turnu Magurele	FI	Romania	44 53	27 37	Slobozia - Dragalina	FI
Romania	46.52	24.09	Tarou Mures	FI	Romania	46.52	26.04	Bacan	FI
Domonio	46.94	24.49	Laigu Muitos Covinanti Doznavi Clahazi-	11 EI	Puccio	40.52	20.94 41.01	Navinnomvask	L I
Romania	40.84	20.51	Savinesu - Koznov - Slodozia	Г1 Г7	Russia	44.07	41.91	INCVIIIIOIIIYSSK Dalakava	FI FI
Russia	50.14	39.68	KUSSOSI	FI FZ	Russia	51.93	47.89	DalaKOVO	FI FI
Kussia	53.40	55.87	Salavat	FI	Russia	53.54	49.61	logiatti	FI
Russia	54.08	38.18	Novomoskovsk	FÍ	Russia	54.96	33.33	Dorogobuzh	FI
Russia	55.36	85.96	Kemerovo	FI	Russia	57.88	56.17	Perm	FI
Russia	58.53	49.95	Kirovo-Chepetsk	FI	Russia	58.61	31.24	Novgorod	FI
Russia	59.15	37.80	Cherepovets	FI	Russia	59.40	56.73	Berezniki	FI
Saudi Arabia	27.08	49.57	Al Jubayl	FI	Saudi Arabia	29.32	35.00	Haql	FI





Country	Lat	Lon	Name	Type	Country	Lat	Lon	Name	Type
Serbia	44.87	20.60	Pancevo	FI	Slovakia	48.16	17.96	Sala	FI
South Africa	-26.85	27.82	Sasolburg	FI	South Africa	-26.57	29.16	Secunda	FI
Spain	37.19	-6.91	Huelva	FI	Spain	38.67	-4.06	Puertollano	FI
Syria	34.67	36.68	Homs	FI	Trinidad and	10.40	-61.48	Point Lisas	FI
5					Tobago				
Tunisia	33.91	10.10	Gabes	FI	Tunisia	34.76	10.79	Sfax	FI
Turkmenistan	37.37	60.47	Tejen	FI	Turkmenistan	37.50	61.84	Mary	FI
Ukraine	46.62	31.00	Odessa-Yuzhne	FI	Ukraine	48.31	38.11	Gorlovka	FI
Ukraine	48.50	34.66	Kamianske	FI	Ukraine	48.94	38.47	Severodonetsk	FI
Ukraine	49.37	32.05	Cherkasy	FI	Ukraine	50.70	26.20	Rivne	FI
USA	34.82	-87.95	Cherokee (AL)	FI	USA	42.41	-90.57	Massey (IO)	FI
USA	36.37	-97.79	Etna (KS)	FI	USA	30.09	-90.96	Donaldsonville (LA)	FI
USA	47.35	-101.83	Beulah (ND)	FI	USA	33.44	-81.94	Beech Island (SC)	FI
Uzbekistan	40.10	65.30	Navoi	FI	Uzbekistan	40.46	71.83	Ferghana	FI
Uzbekistan	41.44	69.51	Chirchik	FI	Venezuela	10.07	-64.86	El Jose	FI
Venezuela	10.50	-68.20	Moron	FI	Venezuela	10.74	-71.57	Maracaibo	FI
Vietnam	10.62	107.02	Phu My	FI	Vietnam	20.24	106.07	Ninh Binh	FI
Italy	42.87	11.62	Mt. Amiata	GI	Italy	43.22	10.91	Larderello	GI
USA	38.77	-122.80	The Geysers (CA)	GI	Tanzania	-2.49	36.06	Lake Natron	Ν
China	31.83	117.43	Feidong (AH)	ND	China	32.11	117.38	Jianbei (AH)	ND
China	32.39	117.61	Gaotangxiang (AH)	ND	China	29.91	115.34	Fuchizhen (HB)	ND
China	31.73	120.22	Yuqizhen (JS)	ND	China	37.53	105.71	Zhongning (NX)	ND
China	35.47	115.53	Juancheng (SD)	ND	China	33.00	106.97	Hanzhong (SN)	ND
China	34.88	111.17	Pinglu (SX)	ND	China	24.16	102.77	Tonghai (YN)	ND
Spain	41.63	-4.71	Valladolid	ND	Syria	33.51	36.40	East of Damascus	ND
Taiwan	23.93	120.35	Fangyuan	ND	USA	37.19	-86.73	Morgantown (WV)	ND
Vietnam	10.74	106.59	Ho Chi Minh	ND	China	36.00	103.28	Yongjing (GS)	NDI
China	26.55	104.88	Zhongshan (GZ)	NDI	China	33.42	113.62	Wuyang (HA)	NDI
China	46.19	129.36	Dalianhezhen (HL)	NDI	China	46.57	124.83	Cheng'ercun, Ranghulu (HL)	NDI
China	39.40	121.73	Xiaochentun, Wafangdia (LN)	NDI	China	41.83	123.93	Fushun (LN)	NDI
China	39.87	106.81	Huanghecun (NM)	NDI	China	40.64	109.69	Baotou (NM)	NDI
China	42.31	119.24	Yuanbaoshanzhen (NM)	NDI	China	37.88	106.15	Wuzhong (NX)	NDI
China	38.23	106.54	Ningdongzhen (NX)	NDI	China	35.64	110.95	Hejin - Jishan - Xinjiang (SX)	NDI
China	36.31	111.74	Hongtong (SX)	NDI	Egypt	29.94	32.47	Al-Adabiya	NDI
India	23.77	86.40	Jharia	NDI	Iran	35.40	53.16	Nezami	NDI
Mauritania	18.05	-15.98	Nouakchott	NDI	Mexico	26.89	-101.42	Monclova	NDI
Russia	51.44	45.90	Saratov	NDI	South Africa	-26.05	29.36	Springbok	NDI
Australia	-19.20	146.61	Yabulu	NI	Brazil	-14.35	-48.45	Niquelandia	NI
Cuba	20.64	-74.89	Moa	NI	Cuba	20.67	-75.57	Nicaro	NI
China	39.22	118.13	Douyangu (HE)	SI	China	37.32	97.33	Delingha (QH)	SI
China	29.46	103.84	Wutongqiao (SC)	SI	Mexico	25.78	-100.56	Garcia	SI
Poland	52.75	18.17	Janikowo	SI	Poland	52.75	18.15	Inowcroclaw	SI
Romania	44.99	24.28	Stuparei	SI	Russia	53.66	55.99	Sterlitamak	SI
Turkey	36.79	34.67	Mersin	SI	Ukraine	45.97	33.85	Krasnoperekopsk	SI
USA	35.67	-117.35	Searles Valley (CA)	SI	Afghanistan	34.51	69.17	Kabul	U
Angola	-8.82	13.32	Luanda	U	Burkina Faso	12.35	-1.58	Ouagadougou	U
Congo	-4.39	15.32	Kinshasa	U	Ethiopia	9.02	38.71	Addis Ababa	U
Kenya	-1.27	36.87	Nairobi	U	Mali	12.59	-7.99	Bamako	U
Mexico	19.45	-99.07	Mexico City	U	Niger	13.55	2.12	Niamey	U
Nigeria	11.88	13.17	Maiduguri	U	Nigeria	12.03	8.50	Kano	U
Sudan	15.65	32.55	Omdurman - Khartoum	U	Uganda	0.30	32.55	Kampala	U

Author contributions. L.C. conceptualized the study, wrote the code, prepared the figures and drafted the manuscript. L.C. and M.V.D. updated the point source catalog. All authors contributed to the text and interpretation of the results.

Competing interests. No competing interests are present.





5

Acknowledgements. IASI is a joint mission of EUMETSAT and the Centre National d'Études spatiales (CNES, France). It is flown on board the Metop satellites as part of the EUMETSAT Polar System. The IASI L1c and L2 data are received through the EUMETCast near-real-time data distribution service. L.C. is a research associate supported by the Belgian F.R.S-FNRS. The research was also funded by the Belgian State Federal Office for Scientific, Technical and Cultural Affairs (Prodex arrangement IASI.FLOW). The IASI NH₃ product is available from the Aeris data infrastructure (http://iasi.aeris-data.fr).





References

- Aas, W., Mortier, A., Bowersox, V., Cherian, R., Faluvegi, G., Fagerli, H., Hand, J., Klimont, Z., Galy-Lacaux, C., Lehmann, C. M. B., Myhre, C. L., Myhre, G., Olivié, D., Sato, K., Quaas, J., Rao, P. S. P., Schulz, M., Shindell, D., Skeie, R. B., Stein, A., Takemura, T., Tsyro, S., Vet, R., and Xu, X.: Global and regional trends of atmospheric sulfur, Sci. Rep., 9, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-37304-0, 2019.
- 5
 - Adon, M., Yoboué, V., Galy-Lacaux, C., Liousse, C., Diop, B., Doumbia, E. H. T., Gardrat, E., Ndiaye, S. A., and Jarnot,
 C.: Measurements of NO₂, SO₂, NH₃, HNO₃ and O₃ in West African urban environments, Atmos. Environ., 135, 31–40, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.03.050, 2016.

Bauer, S. E., Tsigaridis, K., and Miller, R.: Significant atmospheric aerosol pollution caused by world food cultivation, Geophys. Res. Lett.,

- 10 43, 5394–5400, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016gl068354, 2016.
 - Beirle, S., Boersma, K. F., Platt, U., Lawrence, M. G., and Wagner, T.: Megacity Emissions and Lifetimes of Nitrogen Oxides Probed from Space, Science, 333, 1737–1739, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1207824, 2011.

- 15 Canfield, D. E., Glazer, A. N., and Falkowski, P. G.: The Evolution and Future of Earth's Nitrogen Cycle, Science, 330, 192–196, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1186120, 2010.
 - Chang, Y., Zou, Z., Deng, C., Huang, K., Collett, J. L., Lin, J., and Zhuang, G.: The importance of vehicle emissions as a source of atmospheric ammonia in the megacity of Shanghai, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 3577–3594, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-3577-2016, 2016.
- Clarisse, L., Van Damme, M., Gardner, W., Coheur, P.-F., Clerbaux, C., Whitburn, S., Hadji-Lazaro, J., and Hurtmans, D.: Atmospheric
 ammonia (NH₃) emanations from Lake Natron's saline mudflats, Sci. Rep., https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-39935-3, 2019.
 - Clerbaux, C., Boynard, A., Clarisse, L., George, M., Hadji-Lazaro, J., Herbin, H., Hurtmans, D., Pommier, M., Razavi, A., Turquety, S., Wespes, C., and Coheur, P.-F.: Monitoring of atmospheric composition using the thermal infrared IASI/MetOp sounder, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 6041–6054, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-6041-2009, 2009.

Dai, S., Han, M., Wu, Y., and Gong, Y.: Bilateral Back-Projection for Single Image Super Resolution, in: Multimedia and Expo, 2007 IEEE

- 25 International Conference on, IEEE, https://doi.org/10.1109/icme.2007.4284831, 2007.
- de Foy, B., Krotkov, N. A., Bei, N., Herndon, S. C., Huey, L. G., Martínez, A.-P., Ruiz-Suárez, L. G., Wood, E. C., Zavala, M., and Molina, L. T.: Hit from both sides: tracking industrial and volcanic plumes in Mexico City with surface measurements and OMI SO₂ retrievals during the MILAGRO field campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 9599–9617, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-9599-2009, 2009.

de Foy, B., Lu, Z., Streets, D. G., Lamsal, L. N., and Duncan, B. N.: Estimates of power plant NOx emissions and lifetimes from OMI NO₂
30 satellite retrievals, Atmos. Environ., 116, 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.05.056, 2015.

- Elad, M. and Feuer, A.: Restoration of a single superresolution image from several blurred, noisy, and undersampled measured images, IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 6, 1646–1658, https://doi.org/10.1109/83.650118, 1997.
 - ERA5: Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) : ERA5: Fifth generation of ECMWF atmospheric reanalyses of the global climate . Copernicus Climate Change Service Climate Data Store (CDS), https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/home, 2019.
- 35 Erisman, J. W., Sutton, M. A., Galloway, J., Klimont, Z., and Winiwarter, W.: How a century of ammonia synthesis changed the world, Nature Geosci., 1, 636–639, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo325, 2008.

Boucher, A., Kyriakidis, P. C., and Cronkite-Ratcliff, C.: Geostatistical Solutions for Super-Resolution Land Cover Mapping, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 46, 272–283, https://doi.org/10.1109/tgrs.2007.907102, 2008.





5

- Fioletov, V., McLinden, C. A., Kharol, S. K., Krotkov, N. A., Li, C., Joiner, J., Moran, M. D., Vet, R., Visschedijk, A. J. H., and Denier van der Gon, H. A. C.: Multi-source SO₂ emission retrievals and consistency of satellite and surface measurements with reported emissions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 12597–12616, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-12597-2017, 2017.
- Fioletov, V. E., McLinden, C. A., Krotkov, N., Moran, M. D., and Yang, K.: Estimation of SO₂ emissions using OMI retrievals, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L21811, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL049402, 2011.
- Fioletov, V. E., McLinden, C. A., Krotkov, N., Yang, K., Loyola, D. G., Valks, P., Theys, N., Van Roozendael, M., Nowlan, C. R., Chance, K., Liu, X., Lee, C., and Martin, R. V.: Application of OMI, SCIAMACHY, and GOME-2 satellite SO₂ retrievals for detection of large emission sources, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 11,399–11,418, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50826, 2013.
- Fioletov, V. E., McLinden, C. A., Krotkov, N., and Li, C.: Lifetimes and emissions of SO₂ from point sources estimated from OMI, Geophys.
 Res. Lett., 42, 1969–1976, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015gl063148, 2015.
- Fioletov, V. E., McLinden, C. A., Krotkov, N., Li, C., Joiner, J., Theys, N., Carn, S., and Moran, M. D.: A global catalogue of large SO2 sources and emissions derived from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 11497–11519, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-11497-2016, 2016.

- 15 P., Leach, A., Bouwman, A. F., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Dentener, F., Stevenson, D., Amann, M., and Voss, M.: The global nitrogen cycle in the twenty-first century, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B, 368, 20130 164, https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0164, 2013.
 - Georgoulias, A. K., van der A, R. J., Stammes, P., Boersma, K. F., and Eskes, H. J.: Trends and trend reversal detection in two decades of tropospheric NO₂ satellite observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., pp. 1–38, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-988, 2018.

Harrington, L. M. and Lu, M.: Beef feedlots in southwestern Kansas: local change, perceptions, and the global change context, Global
Environ. Change, 12, 273–282, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0959-3780(02)00041-9, 2002.

- Irani, M. and Peleg, S.: Motion Analysis for Image Enhancement: Resolution, Occlusion, and Transparency, J. Visual Commun. Image Represent., 4, 324–335, https://doi.org/10.1006/jvci.1993.1030, 1993.
 - Lachatre, M., Fortems-Cheiney, A., Foret, G., Siour, G., Dufour, G., Clarisse, L., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P.-F., Van Damme, M., and Beekmann, M.: The unintended consequence of SO₂ and NO₂ regulations over China: increase of ammonia levels and impact on PM concentrations,
- 25 Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., pp. 1–25, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-1092, 2018.
 - Lelieveld, J., Evans, J. S., Fnais, M., Giannadaki, D., and Pozzer, A.: The contribution of outdoor air pollution sources to premature mortality on a global scale, Nature, 525, 367–371, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15371, 2015.
 - Liu, F., Beirle, S., Zhang, Q., Dörner, S., He, K., and Wagner, T.: NO_x lifetimes and emissions of cities and power plants in polluted background estimated by satellite observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 5283–5298, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-5283-2016, 2016.
- 30 Liu, M., Huang, X., Song, Y., Xu, T., Wang, S., Wu, Z., Hu, M., Zhang, L., Zhang, Q., Pan, Y., Liu, X., and Zhu, T.: Rapid SO₂ emission reductions significantly increase tropospheric ammonia concentrations over the North China Plain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 17933– 17943, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-17933-2018, 2018.

Lu, Z., Streets, D. G., de Foy, B., Lamsal, L. N., Duncan, B. N., and Xing, J.: Emissions of nitrogen oxides from US urban areas: estimation from Ozone Monitoring Instrument retrievals for 2005–2014, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 10367–10383, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-

- **35** 10367-2015, 2015.
 - McLinden, C. A., Fioletov, V., Shephard, M. W., Krotkov, N., Li, C., Martin, R. V., Moran, M. D., and Joiner, J.: Space-based detection of missing sulfur dioxide sources of global air pollution, Nat. Geosci., 9, 496–500, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2724, 2016.
 - Milanfar, P.: Super-Resolution Imaging, CRC Press, https://doi.org/10.1201/9781439819319, 2010.

Fowler, D., Coyle, M., Skiba, U., Sutton, M. A., Cape, J. N., Reis, S., Sheppard, L. J., Jenkins, A., Grizzetti, B., Galloway, J. N., Vitousek,





- Pommier, M., McLinden, C. A., and Deeter, M.: Relative changes in CO emissions over megacities based on observations from space, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 3766–3771, https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50704, 2013.
- Reche, C., Viana, M., Karanasiou, A., Cusack, M., Alastuey, A., Artiñano, B., Revuelta, M. A., López-Mahía, P., Blanco-Heras, G., Rodríguez, S., de la Campa, A. M. S., Fernández-Camacho, R., González-Castanedo, Y., Mantilla, E., Tang, Y. S., and Querol, X.: Urban
- 5 NH₃ levels and sources in six major Spanish cities, Chemosphere, 119, 769–777, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.07.097, 2015.
 - Steffen, W., Richardson, K., Rockstrom, J., Cornell, S. E., Fetzer, I., Bennett, E. M., Biggs, R., Carpenter, S. R., de Vries, W., de Wit, C. A., Folke, C., Gerten, D., Heinke, J., Mace, G. M., Persson, L. M., Ramanathan, V., Reyers, B., and Sorlin, S.: Planetary boundaries: Guiding human development on a changing planet, Science, 347, 1259 855–1259 855, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1259855, 2015.
- 10 Streets, D. G., Canty, T., Carmichael, G. R., de Foy, B., Dickerson, R. R., Duncan, B. N., Edwards, D. P., Haynes, J. A., Henze, D. K., Houvoux, M. R., Jacob, D. J., Krotkov, N. A., Lamsal, L. N., Liu, Y., Lu, Z., Martin, R. V., Pfister, G. G., Pinder, R. W., Salawitch, R. J., and Wecht, K. J.: Emissions estimation from satellite retrievals: A review of current capability, Atmos. Environ., 77, 1011–1042, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.05.051, 2013.

Sun, K., Tao, L., Miller, D. J., Pan, D., Golston, L. M., Zondlo, M. A., Griffin, R. J., Wallace, H. W., Leong, Y. J., Yang, M. M., Zhang, Y.,

- 15 Mauzerall, D. L., and Zhu, T.: Vehicle Emissions as an Important Urban Ammonia Source in the United States and China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 2472-2481, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b02805, 2017.
 - Sun, K., Zhu, L., Cady-Pereira, K., Miller, C. C., Chance, K., Clarisse, L., Coheur, P.-F., Abad, G. G., Huang, G., Liu, X., Van Damme, M., Yang, K., and Zondlo, M.: A physics-based approach to oversample multi-satellite, multispecies observations to a common grid, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 6679-6701, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-6679-2018, 2018.
- Sutton, M. A., Reis, S., Riddick, S. N., Dragosits, U., Nemitz, E., Theobald, M. R., Tang, Y. S., Braban, C. F., Vieno, M., Dore, A. J., Mitchell, 20 R. F., Wanless, S., Daunt, F., Fowler, D., Blackall, T. D., Milford, C., Flechard, C. R., Loubet, B., Massad, R., Cellier, P., Personne, E., Coheur, P. F., Clarisse, L., Van Damme, M., Ngadi, Y., Clerbaux, C., Skjøth, C. A., Geels, C., Hertel, O., Wichink Kruit, R. J., Pinder, R. W., Bash, J. O., Walker, J. T., Simpson, D., Horváth, L., Misselbrook, T. H., Bleeker, A., Dentener, F., and de Vries, W.: Towards a climatedependent paradigm of ammonia emission and deposition, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B, 368, 20130 166, https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0166, 2013.
- 25
 - Takashima, T. and Masuda, K.: Emissivities of quartz and Sahara dust powders in the infrared region (7-17 µm), Rem. Sens. Env., 23, 51–63, https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(87)90070-8, 1987.
 - Valin, L. C., Russell, A. R., and Cohen, R. C.: Variations of OH radical in an urban plume inferred from NO₂ column measurements, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 1856-1860, https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50267, 2013.
- Van Damme, M., Clarisse, L., Heald, C., Hurtmans, D., Ngadi, Y., Clerbaux, C., Dolman, A., Erisman, J., and Coheur, P.: Global distributions, 30 time series and error characterization of atmospheric ammonia (NH₃) from IASI satellite observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 2905-2922, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-2905-2014, 2014.
 - Van Damme, M., Whitburn, S., Clarisse, L., Clerbaux, C., Hurtmans, D., and Coheur, P.-F.: Version 2 of the IASI NH₃ neural network retrieval algorithm: near-real-time and reanalysed datasets, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10, 4905–4914, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-4905-2017, 2017.
- 35 Van Damme, M., Clarisse, L., Whitburn, S., Hadji-Lazaro, J., Hurtmans, D., Clerbaux, C., and Coheur, P.-F.: Industrial and Agricultural Ammonia Point Sources Exposed, Nature, 564, 99-103, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0747-1, 2018.





5

15

Wang, S., Zhang, Q., Martin, R. V., Philip, S., Liu, F., Li, M., Jiang, X., and He, K.: Satellite measurements oversee China's sulfur dioxide emission reductions from coal-fired power plants, Environ. Res. Lett., 10, 114015, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/10/11/114015, 2015.

Warner, J. X., Dickerson, R. R., Wei, Z., Strow, L. L., Wang, Y., and Liang, Q.: Increased atmospheric ammonia over the world's major agricultural areas detected from space, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 2875–2884, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016gl072305, 2017.

Wenig, M. O., Cede, A. M., Bucsela, E. J., Celarier, E. A., Boersma, K. F., Veefkind, J. P., Brinksma, E. J., Gleason, J. F., and Herman, J. R.: Validation of OMI tropospheric NO₂ column densities using direct-Sun mode Brewer measurements at NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, J. Geophys. Res., 113, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007jd008988, 2008.

Whitburn, S., Van Damme, M., Clarisse, L., Bauduin, S., Heald, C. L., Hadji-Lazaro, J., Hurtmans, D., Zondlo, M. A., Clerbaux,

10 C., and Coheur, P.-F.: A flexible and robust neural network IASI-NH₃ retrieval algorithm, J. Geophys. Res., 121, 6581–6599, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016jd024828, 2016.

Wikipedia: 2013 Chemical accident in Horlivka, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2013_Chemical_accident_in_Horlivka, last accessed on 27 February 2019, 2019.

Xu, J., Liang, Y., Liu, J., and Huang, Z.: Multi-Frame Super-Resolution of Gaofen-4 Remote Sensing Images, Sensors, 17, 2142, https://doi.org/10.3390/s17092142, 2017.

Yuan, B., Coggon, M. M., Koss, A. R., Warneke, C., Eilerman, S., Peischl, J., Aikin, K. C., Ryerson, T. B., and de Gouw, J. A.: Emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFOs): chemical compositions and separation of sources, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 4945–4956, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-4945-2017, 2017.

Zhu, L., Jacob, D. J., Mickley, L. J., Marais, E. A., Cohan, D. S., Yoshida, Y., Duncan, B. N., Abad, G. G., and Chance, K. V.: Anthropogenic

- 20 emissions of highly reactive volatile organic compounds in eastern Texas inferred from oversampling of satellite (OMI) measurements of HCHO columns, Environ. Res. Lett., 9, 114 004, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/9/11/114004, 2014.
 - Zhu, L., Henze, D. K., Bash, J. O., Cady-Pereira, K. E., Shephard, M. W., Luo, M., and Capps, S. L.: Sources and Impacts of Atmospheric NH₃: Current Understanding and Frontiers for Modeling, Measurements, and Remote Sensing in North America, Current Pollution Reports, 1, 95–116, https://doi.org/10.1007/s40726-015-0010-4, 2015.