

Interactive comment on “Effect of Polyoxymethylene (POM-H Delrin) offgassing within Pandora head sensor on direct sun and multi-axis formaldehyde column measurements in 2016–2019” by Elena Spinei et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 1 October 2020

The paper presents a Pandora HCHO history and analysis of an internal HCHO creation (up to 1DU, i.e. 2.69×10^{16} molec/cm² in worst cases) due to a head-sensor constituent (the POM-H Delrin) present before summer 2019. The study is based on results of several Pandora instruments, deployed in different locations and periods, and on lab measurements. Both direct-sun and MAX-DOAS measurement modes are analysed and discussed. The paper is well written and the topic is within AMT scope and of interest for the community. I recommend publication after some minor additions/revisions below.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



General comments

I would recommend adding one or two a tables summarizing 1) which instruments have been used when/for which part of this work, to help the reader following which instruments have been participating to several steps; and 2) the different steps of the Pandora HCHO “history” with some links to published HCHO Pandora papers: - pre-2016: no HCHO possible;

- spring 2016: window replacement and KORUS-AQ and CINDI-2 but issue of coherence between MAX-DOAS and direct-sun results;
- april 2016: added temperature sensors for a few instruments and highlight of the potential link of HCHO creation with temperature;
- lab measurements to confirm the findings;
- summer 2019: new head sensor without POM-H Delrin to solve the problem;
- measurements campaigns illustrating the findings.

In the light of the “pre-2016” first point, there should also be some comments of Pandora HCHO measurements performed before 2016 (e.g., in Pinardi et al. 2013, for measurements in 2009 – is there any other ?). I would also include some more references in the introduction on satellite HCHO (only one is mentioned, while at least 8 are known - and given below) and on satellite validation. In the abstract and/or conclusions, it would also be nice to also convert the findings from DU to molec/cm², as this unit is usually used for HCHO retrievals.

Specific comments and technical corrections

- P2, line 29-33: give some references for the other satellites, as done for SCIAMACHY. Several are suggested below.
- P2, line 37: give more references for other validation studies. Several are suggested below.

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

- P2, last line: Lamsal et al. 2014 and Kollonige et al. 2017 are not given in the reference list. Consider adding other recent works with several Pandora NO₂ measurements used for validation (Herman et al., 2019; Pinardi et al., 2020; Verhoelst et al., 2020). Please check completeness of the reference list in the whole manuscript (e.g., Reed et al., 2015, Gronoff et al., 2019 are also missing in the references).
- P3, line 65 to 71: it would be nice to link the different steps, with the manuscript sections. Or at least add the link with the sections in the proposed additional table n° 2.
- P3, line 74: “Retrieval of weak absorbers such as HCHO was not possible from the pre-2016 Pandora direct sun measurements due to the telescope assembly front window etaloning”: comment Pinardi et al., 2013 measurements of 2009.
- P4, figure 1: please revisit the legend. What are the light blue points?
- P4, line 95: ...the reference spectrum is *often* taken within... (not for the CINDI-2, as mentioned later in the paper)
- P5, line 108: “A baffle holding tube, two filter wheels, and a dark filter” → The baffle holding tube, the two filter wheels, and the dark filter. . .
- P7, line 149: remove parenthesis in “Figure (4)...”
- P7, line 153: consider changing “follow-up work” to the relevant section of the manuscript.
- P7, line 160: add a comma before 118
- P7, lines 147 to 148 and lines 160 to 161: as proposed in the general comments, consider adding a table with the Pandora numbers, their construction year, and in what part of this work they appear.
- P8, line 161: “Three other Pandora” → The other three Pandora

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

- P8, line 169: consider adding here the information on the 1000 W FED lamp given in line 186 and the LED lamp (cf line 2018 and 2016), or removing this information from line 169.
- P8, line 170: what is the meaning/purpose of the “The head sensor was not disturbed during the measurements” ?
- P8, line 175 to 179: these DOAS settings seems the generic ones used in the laboratory study (332-360nm), but actually in P10 line 250, these are different (300-350nm) (and also different than the in-field settings, P13 line 396, 332-359nm), so this is a bit perturbing. Is there any reason why changing wavelength ranges, polynomial and offset order? For the inclusion of trace gases, this is clear/mentioned when relevant.
- P9, line 193: “using the pre-installed Bosch BME280 digital humidity, pressure and temperature sensor on Spark-Fun Atmospheric Sensor Breakout Board” -> this is a detail of the new head sensor. It would be maybe better to introduce it in Sect 2.3 when introducing the Pandora internal head sensor temperature?
- P9, lines 208 to 210: the Pandora 118 was also tested with a LED lamp in addition to the FEL lamp. Is this shown somewhere? Why is this done? What was expected (differently) from this additional test?
- P10 and P11 (figure 6): POM-free and Delrin-free. These are used as synonyms? In P13, line 281, also POM-H is used. Use one name everywhere.
- P12, line 268 and elsewhere in the manuscript: replace Kreher et al., 2019 by Kreher et al., 2020.
- P12, line 269: there are two point at the end of the line.
- P14, line 314: “contribution” seems to be in bold
- P17, line 348: add reference to Fig 5 for the “small generation rates inside Pandora 148 head sensor ($a = 0.0041$ DU)“ ?

- P17, lines 53: give an estimation and a reference (Pinardi et al., 2013 ?) of the “fitting noise for most DOAS instruments” (usually in molec/cm²!).
- P17, line 357: add “Sept. 2016” after the coordinates and update Kreher reference.
- P18, line 378: if I followed well all the Pandora numbers, 32 was involved in the GSFC field campaign, and 31 and 46 in the lab measurements wrt temperature. A table would definitely help follow which instrument has been used when!
- P20: suggestion to add in each bullet conclusions the Pandora numbers relevant to each bullet (or not if it is already clear in an additional table), and the relevant Figure supporting each conclusion (e.g., Fig 5 for the 1st point). Also add molec/cm² estimation in addition to DU values.
- P20, point 5: maybe cite publications that were made with Pandora direct sun HCHO data between 2016 and 2019, and that should not be considered “valid”?

Suggested References:

Verhoelst, T., Compernelle, S., Pinardi, G., Lambert, J.-C., Eskes, H. J., Eichmann, K.-U., Fjærraa, A. M., Granville, J., Niemeijer, S., Cede, A., Tiefengraber, M., Hendrick, F., Pazmiño, A., Bais, A., Bazureau, A., Boersma, K. F., Bogner, K., Dehn, A., Donner, S., Elokhov, A., Gebetsberger, M., Goutail, F., Grutter de la Mora, M., Gruzdev, A., Gratsea, M., Hansen, G. H., Irie, H., Jepsen, N., Kanaya, Y., Karagkiozidis, D., Kivi, R., Kreher, K., Levelt, P. F., Liu, C., Müller, M., Navarro Comas, M., Piters, A. J. M., Pommereau, J.-P., Portafaix, T., Puentedura, O., Querel, R., Remmers, J., Richter, A., Rimmer, J., Rivera Cárdenas, C., Saavedra de Miguel, L., Sinyakov, V. P., Strong, K., Van Roozendaal, M., Veefkind, J. P., Wagner, T., Wittrock, F., Yela González, M., and Zehner, C.: Ground-based validation of the Copernicus Sentinel-5p TROPOMI NO₂ measurements with the NDACC ZSL-DOAS, MAX-DOAS and Pandora global networks, Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2020-119>, in review, 2020.

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

Herman, J., Abuhassan, N., Kim, J., Kim, J., Dubey, M., Raponi, M., and Tzortziou, M.: Underestimation of Column NO₂ Amounts from the OMI Satellite Compared to Diurnally Varying Ground-Based Retrievals from Multiple Pandora Spectrometer Instruments, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 12, 5593–5612, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-5593-2019>, 2019

Pinardi, G., Van Roozendaal, M., Hendrick, F., Theys, N., Abuhassan, N., Bais, A., Boersma, F., Cede, A., Chong, J., Donner, S., Drosoglou, T., Frieß, U., Granville, J., Herman, J. R., Eskes, H., Holla, R., Hovila, J., Irie, H., Kanaya, Y., Karagkiozidis, D., Kouremeti, N., Lambert, J.-C., Ma, J., Peters, E., Piters, A., Postlyakov, O., Richter, A., Remmers, J., Takashima, H., Tiefengraber, M., Valks, P., Vlemmix, T., Wagner, T., and Wittrock, F.: Validation of tropospheric NO₂ column measurements of GOME-2A and OMI using MAX-DOAS and direct sun network observations, *Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss.*, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2020-76>, in review, 2020.

Pinardi, G., Van Roozendaal, M., Abuhassan, N., Adams, C., Cede, A., Clémer, K., Fayt, C., Frieß, U., Gil, M., Herman, J., Hermans, C., Hendrick, F., Irie, H., Merlaud, A., Navarro Comas, M., Peters, E., Piters, A. J. M., Puentedura, O., Richter, A., Schönhardt, A., Shaiganfar, R., Spinei, E., Strong, K., Takashima, H., Vrekoussis, M., Wagner, T., Wittrock, F., and Yilmaz, S.: MAX-DOAS formaldehyde slant column measurements during CINDI: intercomparison and analysis improvement, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 6, 167–185, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-167-2013>, 2013.

De Smedt, I., Theys, N., Yu, H., Danckaert, T., Lerot, C., Compernelle, S., Van Roozendaal, M., Richter, A., Hilboll, A., Peters, E., Pedernana, M., Loyola, D., Beirle, S., Wagner, T., Eskes, H., van Geffen, J., Boersma, K. F., and Veefkind, P.: Algorithm theoretical baseline for formaldehyde retrievals from S5P TROPOMI and from the QA4ECV project, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 11, 2395–2426, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-2395-2018>, 2018.

De Smedt, I., Stavrakou, T., Hendrick, F., Danckaert, T., Vlemmix, T., Pinardi, G.,

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

Theys, N., Lerot, C., Gielen, C., Vigouroux, C., Hermans, C., Fayt, C., Veefkind, P., Müller, J.-F., and Van Roozendael, M.: Diurnal, seasonal and long-term variations of global formaldehyde columns inferred from combined OMI and GOME-2 observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 12519–12545, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-12519-2015>, 2015.

De Smedt, I., Van Roozendael, M., Stavroukou, T., Müller, J.-F., Lerot, C., Theys, N., Valks, P., Hao, N., and van der A, R.: Improved retrieval of global tropospheric formaldehyde columns from GOME-2/MetOp-A addressing noise reduction and instrumental degradation issues, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 5, 2933–2949, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-5-2933-2012>, 2012.

De Smedt, I., Stavroukou, T., Müller, J.-F., van der A, R. J., and Van Roozendael, M. (2010), Trend detection in satellite observations of formaldehyde tropospheric columns, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37, L18808, doi:10.1029/2010GL044245.

De Smedt, I., Müller, J.-F., Stavroukou, T., van der A, R., Eskes, H., and Van Roozendael, M.: Twelve years of global observations of formaldehyde in the troposphere using GOME and SCIAMACHY sensors, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 4947–4963, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-4947-2008>, 2008.

Hewson, W., Bösch, H., Barkley, M. P., and De Smedt, I.: Characterisation of GOME-2 formaldehyde retrieval sensitivity, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 6, 371–386, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-371-2013>, 2013.

Vigouroux, C., Langerock, B., Bauer Aquino, C. A., Blumenstock, T., Cheng, Z., De Mazière, M., De Smedt, I., Grutter, M., Hannigan, J. W., Jones, N., Kivi, R., Loyola, D., Lutsch, E., Mahieu, E., Makarova, M., Metzger, J.-M., Morino, I., Murata, I., Nagahama, T., Notholt, J., Ortega, I., Palm, M., Pinardi, G., Röhling, A., Smale, D., Stremme, W., Strong, K., Sussmann, R., Té, Y., van Roozendael, M., Wang, P., and Winkler, H.: TROPOMI–Sentinel-5 Precursor formaldehyde validation using an extensive network of ground-based Fourier-transform infrared stations, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 13, 3751–

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



3767, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-3751-2020>, 2020.

Vigouroux, C., Bauer Aquino, C. A., Bauwens, M., Becker, C., Blumenstock, T., De Mazière, M., García, O., Grutter, M., Guarin, C., Hannigan, J., Hase, F., Jones, N., Kivi, R., Koshelev, D., Langerock, B., Lutsch, E., Makarova, M., Metzger, J.-M., Müller, J.-F., Notholt, J., Ortega, I., Palm, M., Paton-Walsh, C., Poberovskii, A., Rettinger, M., Robinson, J., Smale, D., Stavrou, T., Stremme, W., Strong, K., Sussmann, R., Té, Y., and Toon, G.: NDACC harmonized formaldehyde time series from 21 FTIR stations covering a wide range of column abundances, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 11, 5049–5073, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-5049-2018>, 2018.

Zhu, L., Jacob, D. J., Kim, P. S., Fisher, J. A., Yu, K., Travis, K. R., Mickley, L. J., Yantosca, R. M., Sulprizio, M. P., De Smedt, I., González Abad, G., Chance, K., Li, C., Ferrare, R., Fried, A., Hair, J. W., Hanisco, T. F., Richter, D., Jo Scarino, A., Walega, J., Weibring, P., and Wolfe, G. M.: Observing atmospheric formaldehyde (HCHO) from space: validation and intercomparison of six retrievals from four satellites (OMI, GOME2A, GOME2B, OMPS) with SEAC4RS aircraft observations over the southeast US, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 13477–13490, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-13477-2016>, 2016.

Kwon, H.-A., Park, R. J., González Abad, G., Chance, K., Kurosu, T. P., Kim, J., De Smedt, I., Van Roozendaal, M., Peters, E., and Burrows, J.: Description of a formaldehyde retrieval algorithm for the Geostationary Environment Monitoring Spectrometer (GEMS), *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 12, 3551–3571, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-3551-2019>, 2019.

Wang, Y., Beirle, S., Lampel, J., Koukouli, M., De Smedt, I., Theys, N., Li, A., Wu, D., Xie, P., Liu, C., Van Roozendaal, M., Stavrou, T., Müller, J.-F., and Wagner, T.: Validation of OMI, GOME-2A and GOME-2B tropospheric NO₂, SO₂ and HCHO products using MAX-DOAS observations from 2011 to 2014 in Wuxi, China: investigation of the effects of priori profiles and aerosols on the satellite products, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*,

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



17, 5007–5033, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-5007-2017>, 2017.

Vlemmix, T., Hendrick, F., Pinardi, G., De Smedt, I., Fayt, C., Hermans, C., Pitters, A., Wang, P., Levelt, P., and Van Roozendaal, M.: MAX-DOAS observations of aerosols, formaldehyde and nitrogen dioxide in the Beijing area: comparison of two profile retrieval approaches, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 941–963, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-941-2015>, 2015.

Interactive comment on *Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss.*, doi:10.5194/amt-2020-158, 2020.

AMTD

[Interactive
comment](#)

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

