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Dear Editor:

Please find enclosed the revision of our submission “Wuhan MST radar: Technical features and Validation of wind observations” (ID: amt-2020-17).

We would like to thank you for handling the review process of our paper. We are also indebted to the reviewers for their helpful comments. In this revision, all of the comments raised have been addressed and marked in the revised manuscript. A detailed point-by-point response to the comments is given below.

We appreciate for Editors/Reviewers’ warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval. Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

Yours sincerely,
Lei Qiao

Note: To help legibility of the remainder of this response letter, all the reviewers’ comments and questions are written in black color. Our responses and remarks are written in blue color.
Response to RC1

General Comments:

Question (1)
I suggest that the authors also discuss the difference of turbulence scales in the lower and higher atmosphere, referring to Hocking (Radio Science, 20, p1410, 1985) or others.

Answer: Dear reviewer, radars with wide band are usually used to study the turbulence scales in the atmosphere. The Wuhan MST radar operates at fixed frequency, and it can provide only limited information about the turbulence scales. Therefore, we will not discuss the turbulence scales in the paper. I hope to get your understanding.

Question (2)
In discussion of Fig. 12, the stratospheric sudden warming (SSW) event has been considered to be significant factor of some discrepancies between radar wind and HWM-07 model wind. Since the radar system works in low to high modes for 5 min in sequence, is it possible to examine the occurrence and prevailing rate of SSW events with the data of the low and middle modes or other information? Could this evidence be included in this paper?

Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. Fig. 1(a) shows the time-altitude evolution of the daily mean zonal wind observed by the Wuhan MST radar from 66 to 86 km during 2016 SSW winter (Jan to Feb). The 2016 Feb SSW is a minor SSW, and the day of peak warming on Feb 5 is marked by the dotted vertical line. The wind weakening is observed around Feb 5. Note that the westward wind form 68 to 78 km during Jan 10 to Jan 14 is a reversal of the climatological mean zonal wind, which has nothing to do with the SSW. Fig. 1(b) shows the time-altitude evolution of the daily mean zonal wind observed by the Wuhan MST radar from 66 to 86 km during 2017 SSW winter (Jan to Feb). Two minor warming events happened during the winter of 2017, with two days of peak warming on Feb 2 and 26, marked by dotted vertical lines in the figure. The wind reversal is observed around Feb 2, and the wind weakening is observed around Feb 26 (not obvious). This is a preliminary analysis. Considering the discussion of SSW is not the gist of the paper, the figure will be used as a supplementary material. We added related explanation in Lines 369-371 in the
Figure 1 Time-altitude evolution of the daily mean zonal winds observed by the Wuhan MST radar from 66 to 86 km during Jan to Feb in 2016 (a) and 2017 (b). The dotted vertical lines indicate the days of peak warming.

Question (3)
HWM-14 module can be employed instead of HWM-07.
Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. We have employed HWM-14 instead of HWM-07. Actually, the predicted winds from HWM-14 is closer to the observations. See lines 360-383.

Other comments and suggestions:

Question (1)
Fig. 1 is the schematic block of the radar system, and several paragraphs are written for this part. I suggest that the text for Fig. 1 can be section 2.1 (with suitable title). Section 2.1 becomes section 2.2, and so on.
Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. We modified the section titles in the revised
Many MST radars are mentioned here, and some of them have been upgraded, for example, the MU radar and Chung-li radar. Therefore, it is better to update some references.

**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We updated the references in the revised paper. See lines 34-36.

Q: Do you mean “the southward jet occurs from April to October, and extends down to the low height in April and May.”?

**Answer:** Yes, that is what we mean. We modified the sentence in the revised paper. See line 329.

L364-365: northward jet occurred above ~75 km in the period from August to April…during the SSW events.

L378-379: …due to the influence of SSW events.

Q: In fact, there is no evidence of SSW event shown in this paper to support the conclusion. Could this evidence be included in this paper?

**Answer:** Dear reviewer, the evidence of SSW event is shown in figure 1 of the response, and related analysis have been made in the revised paper. See lines 369-371.

L380: …is an effective tool to measure the three-dimensional wind fields…

Q: The vertical wind is not shown in this paper. Do you also record the vertical wind velocity?

**Answer:** Dear reviewer, the main objective of the paper is validating its measurements. The vertical wind velocity is very small, and there is no appropriate data to verify its effectiveness. Therefore, we didn’t discuss the vertical wind in this paper. I hope to get your understanding.

**Other comments and suggestions:**
(1) L13: The radar system is …
**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 13.

(2) 192 kW or 172 kW?
**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 13.

(3) L18, L40, L46, L59, L60,…: …paper paper.
**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We modified them in the revised paper. See lines 18, 40, 46, 59, 61, 300, 385, 405, and 406.

(4) L44: we plan to write a new article…
**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 44.

(5) L48: The location is far away from… (Do you mean this?)
**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 48.

(6) L69: The shortest width of the subpulse width…
**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 69.

(7) L70: The radar system…
**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 70.

(8) L88: …consists of the DDS (Direct Digital Synthesizer) module.
**Answer:** We have explained the DDS in line 90.

(9) L96: wind field…
**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 101.

(10) L100: …wave radio ratio (VSWM)…
Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 108.

(11) L101: …Fig. 2 for e.g. S0104, there are …
Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 109.

(12) L114: …, which respects the first… Q: Is the word “respects” proper here?
Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 118.

(13) L117: By that analogy,…
Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 121.

(14) L211: orthogonal phase (Q)...I think the term “quadrature phase” is used commonly.
Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 216.

(15) L221: …i.e. e.g. the 10 m…
Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 227.

(16) L227: …Fig. 6(b), Aafter …
Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 233.

(17) L267: … 17%, which is much lower….middle modes.
Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 282.

(18) L288: but the measurement winds observed …
Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 303.

(19) L290: heights may could be attributed to…
Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 305.

(20) L300: …generation of European…
Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 315.

(21) L336: Two reasons might be resulting in the …
Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 350.

(22) Fig. 12, caption: MST radar during Jan 2016-Dec 2017 and …
Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 357.

(23) L353: …westward winds are happened after the…
Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 368.
Response to RC2

General Comments:

**Answer:** Dear reviewer, this paper mainly focus on the system description of the Wuhan MST radar. Because Chen et al. (2016) has introduced the antenna array of the Wuhan MST radar, we mainly introduce the technical features in this paper. It includes antenna field, timing signal, TR module, digital transceiver and clutter suppression. Then we briefly analyze some cases and long term comparisons. This part is the preliminary work of validation.

We would like to thank the reviewer for valuable and constructive comments and suggestions. We have revised the paper in line with the reviewer’s comments, thereby improving the technical quality and the clarity of the paper accordingly.

Specific Comments:

**Question (1)**
The MST radars from China were discussed at length in few system related papers (Chen et al. 2016). What is new in this paper? Is there any upgrade made after those papers? If the authors intention is to highlight the stable performance of the system, then it is better to do a detailed scientific evaluation.

**Answer:** Dear reviewer, the RF circuits of TR modules were optimized, and the detailed description is shown in the paper. Meanwhile, the inter connections of the shelter and the feeding network were modified. Monitoring information of the small TR modules are shown in Figure 1 in the response. The red square represents the damaged TR module, and the green square represents the good TR module. It is obvious that the damaged TR modules decrease significantly after the upgrade. Therefore, the Wuhan MST radar is in good running condition after the upgrade, and the overall operation conditions are shown in Figure 7 in the paper.
Figure 1. Monitoring information of the small TR modules

Question (2)
Lines 30-37: Several of these radars have been upgraded, like MU radar, Indian MST radar, NERC MST radar, etc. It is better to include recent references also to have updated knowledge on these radars.

Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. We updated the references in the revised paper. See lines 34-36.

Question (3)
The description of the system is not complete. Enough details were not provided on the antenna parameters, TR module specifications and RF performance. Also, it is better to include important specifications of the system in a table.

Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. We listed the important specifications of the system in table 1 in the revised paper, including the antenna parameters, TR module specifications, RF performance and so on. See line 75.

Question (4)
A separate sub-section exists on clutter suppression without describing how it is done! Is it simple removal of data at zero frequency and fill it with interpolated data from neighboring points? Or do you employ any filtering techniques (like wavelets)?

Answer: Dear reviewer, the wavelet method is usually used in time-domain, and the ground clutter suppression used here is based on frequency-domain. The effectiveness of the algorithm is satisfactory, and the results are shown in Figure 6.

Question (5)
In spite of having two years of observations, the authors restricted the analysis to one
profile comparison. Even that comparison shows a difference of 5-7 m s\(^{-1}\) in the mid- and upper-troposphere, too large to accept. The authors should do the validation using a large data set to have a statistically robust conclusion on the performance of the radar.

**Answer:** Dear reviewer, the radiosondes were launched by us on 22 May 2016, which are not from the standard observatory. Therefore, we don't have a large data set of the radiosondes, and it is difficult to do a long-term comparison between the Wuhan MST radar and the radiosonde. That’s why we compare the mean zonal and meridional winds from the Wuhan MST radar and the ERA-interim, and the results are in good agreement at heights of 3.5-25 km. The difference of the results between the Wuhan MST radar and the radiosonde is due to the different measurement principles. The radiosonde will not just pass the detection area of the MST radar, and there is a difference of over 100 kilometers. Meanwhile, the meridional winds change more than the zonal winds. So the comparison shows a difference of several meters, which is normal.

**Question (6)**
Line 289: Several reasons were quoted for the wind discrepancy, including aspect sensitivity, without dwelling on any of those issues. Mere quoting of some references (elsewhere) may not resolve the problems in your radar or analysis. If aspect sensitivity is the real reason, why is it occurring only at those heights and in meridional plane alone?

**Answer:** Dear reviewer, the radiosondes were launched by us on 22 May 2016, which are not from the standard observatory. Therefore, there is only one profile comparison. Therefore, we can only introduce many possible reasons. The concrete reason needs more profile comparisons to analyze, which is our next work. I hope to get your understanding.

**Question (7)**
Line 308: Even the average wind difference between the radar and ERA is too large (10 ms\(^{-1}\)). What could be the reason for this difference? Also, do some statistical analysis by providing RMSE and correlations with statistical significance tests.

**Answer:** The EAR radar is at the Indonesian equator (10.63°S), and the Wuhan MST radar is at latitude 29.5°N. The difference from the EAR radar observation is probably that the two radars are at different latitudes and in different atmospheric circulation. Considering the latitude difference, it is difficult to do the correlation analysis of the two radars. I hope to get your understanding.
Question (8)

Line 354: Same problem as above, the SSW events were cited as the potential reason for the wind discrepancy without verification. Instead of citing old references, why don’t you check whether or not any such events occurred during that period?

Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. Fig. 2(a) shows the time-altitude evolution of the daily mean zonal wind observed by the Wuhan MST radar from 66 to 86 km during 2016 SSW winter (Jan to Feb). The 2016 Feb SSW is a minor SSW, and the day of peak warming on Feb 5 is marked by the dotted vertical line. The wind weakening is observed around Feb 5. Note that the westward wind form 68 to 78 km during Jan 10 to Jan 14 is a reversal of the climatological mean zonal wind, which has nothing to do with the SSW. Fig. 2(b) shows the time-altitude evolution of the daily mean zonal wind observed by the Wuhan MST radar from 66 to 86 km during 2017 SSW winter (Jan to Feb). Two minor warming events happened during the winter of 2017, with two days of peak warming on Feb 2 and 26, marked by dotted vertical lines in the figure. The wind reversal is observed around Feb 2, and the wind weakening is observed around Feb 26 (not obvious). This is a preliminary analysis. Considering the discussion of SSW is not the gist of the paper, the figure will be used as a supplementary material. We added related explanation in Lines 369-371 in the revised paper.

Figure 2 Time-altitude evolution of the daily mean zonal winds observed by the Wuhan MST radar from 66 to 86 km during Jan to Feb in 2016 (a) and 2017 (b). The dotted vertical lines indicate the days of peak warming.

Question (9)
So many grammatical errors to list here (few of them are given below in minor comments). They should be corrected before the submission of the revised version.

**Answer:** Dear reviewer, we have modified the grammatical errors in the revised paper.

**Minor Comments:**

(1) Lines 13-14: Rewrite these sentences.

**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We rewrite the sentences in the revised paper. See lines 13-14.

(2) Line 26: Change to “The mesosphere-stratosphere-troposphere (MST) radars have been used for studying the......”

**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 25.

(3) Line 29: Replace ‘applied’ with ‘employed’ or some other suitable word. Same line, should be ‘turbulence’

**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We modified them in the revised paper. See line 28.

(4) Line 31: The sentence is abruptly ending. MST community plays a significant role in what?

**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We modified the sentence in the revised paper. See line 30.

(5) Lines 38-39: Rewrite these sentences.

**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We rewrite the sentences in the revised paper. See lines 38-39.

(6) Line 44: Should be ‘....to write a new article in response to the readers and users demand (or request)...’

**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We modified the sentence in the revised paper. See lines 44-45.

(7) Line 49: Remove ‘of radar echoes’
**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We modified the sentence in the revised paper. See line 49.

(8) Line 74: The signal is scattered by ‘refractive index irregularities’.
**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We modified the sentence in the revised paper. See line 79.

(9) Line 99: With 4 m antenna spacing, one can tilt the beam up to 24° from zenith without grating lobe!!.
**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We modified the angle in the revised paper. See line 107.

(10) Line 114: ‘respects’ is not the correct word there.
**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We modified the word in the revised paper. See line 118.

(11) Line 115: ...data pots of .... Correct it.
**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 119.

(12) Line 154: How about azimuth angles?
**Answer:** Dear reviewer, the oblique beams point to the north, south, west and east, and the azimuth angles corresponds to 90°, 180°, 270°, 360°.

(13) Line 174: The recovery time of T/R switch is somewhat on higher side, which restricts the minimum height coverage (if shorter pulses are available)
**Answer:** The minimum detecting height of the low mode is 3.5 km, and the propagation time (23 μs) is greater than the recovery time of T/R switch.

(14) Line 218: Replace ‘in sunny day’ with ‘during fair weather’
**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We modified it in the revised paper. See line 224.

(15) Line 210: Since the LNA bandwidth of small TR module is 1 MHz, FIR filter bandwidth of 1.5 MHz will not improve the performance. First of all, what is the logic in choosing 1 MHz bandwidth at LNA?
**Answer:** Dear reviewer, the shortest pulse is 1 μs, so the LNA bandwidth is 1 MHz.
We made a mistake, and the FIR filter bandwidth is 1 MHz. We modified it in the revised paper. See lines 210 and 215.

(16) Line225: Should be ‘Doppler spectra’. The sentences in this paragraph suffer with several grammatical errors. Correct them.
Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. We modified them in the revised paper. See lines 231, 232 and 236.

(17) Line 230: What do you mean by high-frequency interference?
Answer: Dear reviewer, the high frequency interferences refer to internal noise of the radar.

(18) Line 231: Bring more clarity in presentation. At present, description of different modes of operation exists under ‘Validation of wind observations’. Add one more subsection 3.1. Modes of operation and then change numbers of other subsections accordingly.
Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. We modified them in the revised paper. See line 240.

(19) Line 245: If the temporal resolution of the data is 30 min, then the number of data points in a day should be 48. Then how come different numbers for different modes?
Answer: Dear reviewer, the Wuhan MST radar is down for maintenance periodically, and the radar system sometimes runs only in the high mode. Therefore, there are different numbers for different modes.

(20) Line 280: The radiosonde generally take an hour to reach 18 km assuming an ascent rate of 5 m s-1. Is it a special sonde (or filled with more gas?) that reaches 25 km in 1 hour?
Answer: Dear reviewer, the radiosonde is the normal digital radiosonde filled with more gas.

(21) Line 300: Which one is latest? ERA-Interim or ERA5?
Answer: Dear reviewer, ERA-5 is latest, and we modified it in the revised paper. See line 315.

(22) Line 334-336: Rewrite the sentences. Also the data acquisition rate is high at 75
km not at 80 km.

**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We modified the sentences in the revised paper. See lines 349-351.

(23) Line 351-354: Rewrite the sentences.

**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We modified the sentences in the revised paper. See lines 366-369
Response to SC1

General Comments:

Answer: Dear reviewer, this paper mainly focus on the system description of the Wuhan MST radar. Because Chen et al. (2016) has introduce the antenna array of the Wuhan MST radar, we mainly introduce the technical features in this paper. The RF circuits of the TR modules and the feeding network were optimized in the upgrade. Then we analyze the mesospheric echoes in section 3.3, and this is the first time to show the mesospheric observation of the Wuhan MST radar.

We would like to thank the reviewer for valuable and constructive comments and suggestions. We have revised the paper in line with the reviewer’s comments, thereby improving the technical quality and the clarity of the paper accordingly.

Specific Comments:

Question (1)
Fig.1-5: I think Chen et al. (2016) have made it clear, and it is also simple and easy to understand. The authors just repeated it in disguise, adding photos of some modules. Regarding this comment, I look forward to the authors’ explanation.

Answer: Dear reviewer, Chen et al. (2016) briefly introduced the antenna array of the Beijing MST radar. In this paper, the RF circuits of the small TR modules and big TR modules are optimized, and the detailed description is shown in the paper. The inter connections of the shelter and the feeding network are modified. Meanwhile, this paper introduces related timing signals and digital transceiver. We modified some sentences in the introduction.

Question (2)
Fig.6-7: Too foundational.

Answer: Dear reviewer, figure 6 shows the processing procedure. Although it is very basic, it is the important part of signal processing. Figure 7 shows the monthly total number of the Wuhan MST radar data in three observation modes, which shows good running condition of the Wuhan MST radar. Therefore, the two figures are necessary.

Question (3)
Line 266-269, Fig.8: Now that the authors indicate that the winds in the mesosphere
are only available during the daytime, then why not separate the day- and night-time to get the data acquisition rate of the high mode. I strongly advised the authors to read more related literature about mesospheric echo.

**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We have read some related literature about mesospheric echo. The low data acquisition rate in the mesosphere also happens to other MST radars. We have separated the day- and night-time data acquisition rate of the high mode. Unfortunately, there is hardly any mesospheric echo during the nighttime. Therefore, we show the average data acquisition rate of the high mode throughout the day.

**Question (4)**

Line 270-271: The maximum data acquisition rate of only 10-17% (between 68-82km region) is not enough to drawing conclusions that the Wuhan MST radar can effectively receive mesospheric echoes.

**Answer:** Dear reviewer, we may not explain clearly. The data acquisition rate of 10-17% is on average throughout the day, and the maximum data acquisition during the daytime is more than 50%. Meanwhile, the daily mean zonal and meridional winds are in good agreement at the heights of 76 to 86 km with the Wuhan meteor radar. The monthly mean zonal and meridional winds are in agreement with the HWM in trend at the heights of 66 to 86 km. Therefore, it can prove that the Wuhan MST radar can effectively receive mesospheric echoes.

**Question (5)**

Fig.9: Why is the comparison result for only one case profile given? Only one profile comparison cannot even be expressed as short term comparison (Line 20). If the authors’ intention is to verify the radar observations, a long-term comparison is necessary (maybe two years like Fig.10).

**Answer:** Dear reviewer, we may not express accurately. One profile comparison can’t really be expressed as short term comparison. However, the radiosondes were launched by us on 22 May 2016, which are not from the standard observatory. Therefore, we don’t have a large data set of the radiosondes, and it is difficult to do a long-term comparison between the Wuhan MST radar and the radiosonde. That’s why we compare the mean zonal and meridional winds from the Wuhan MST radar and the ERA-interim, and the results are in good agreement at heights of 3.5-25 km. Therefore, the comparison is just one case, and the case can also indicate the Wuhan MST radar is an effective tool to measure wind fields. We modified the sentence in the revised paper.
**Question (6)**

Fig.11: Now that the authors used the meteor radar observation data for comparison, that is to say, the authors recognizes the reliability of the meteor radar data, so why not make a longer time comparison (like Figure 10 and Figure 12)? This is also necessary, both in terms of scientific rigor and the authors’ own research purpose.

**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We also want to make a longer time comparison, but it is hard to realize. We made the simultaneous observation from 3 January 2016 to 13 January 2016, and there are only 3 days valid data. Therefore, we can only do case study, which is common for the comparison between the MST radar and the meteor radar (Rao et al., 2014). The three cases also indicate that the zonal and meridional winds are of concordance in the aggregate.
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Abstract. The Wuhan MST radar is a 53.8 MHz monostatic Doppler radar, located in Chongyang, Hubei Province, China, which has the capability to observe the dynamics of the mesosphere-stratosphere-troposphere region in the subtropical latitudes. The radar system is composed of an antenna array composing of 576 Yagi antennas with square distribution, and the maximum peak power is 492–172 kW. The Wuhan MST radar is efficient and cheap, which applies simplifier and more flexible architecture. It includes 24 big TR modules, and the row/column data port of each big TR module connects 24 small TR modules via the corresponding row/column feeding network. Each antenna is driven by a small TR module with peak output power of 300 W. The arrangement of the antenna field, the functions of the timing signals, the structure of the TR modules, and the clutter suppression procedure are described in detail in this manuscript. We compared the MST radar observation results with other instruments and related models in the whole MST region for validation. Firstly, we made a comparison of the Wuhan MST radar observed horizontal winds in the troposphere and low stratosphere with the radiosonde on 22 May 2016 in the short term, as well as the ERA-interim data sets (2016 and 2017) in the long term. Then, we made a comparison of the observed horizontal winds in the mesosphere with the meteor radar and the HWM-07 model in the same way. In general, good agreements can be obtained, and it indicates that the Wuhan MST is an effective tool to measure the three-dimensional wind fields of the MST region in the short-term and long-term.

1 Introduction

The mesosphere-stratosphere-troposphere (MST) radars has been used for observing the dynamics of the lower and middle atmosphere up to 100 km altitude for several decades (Hocking et al., 2011), since Woodman and Guillem observed radar echoes from the stratospheric and mesospheric heights with the Jicamarca radar in 1970s (Woodman et al., 1974). In general, large antenna array is employed by these MST radars to measure the weak echoes scattered by the turbulences (Green et al., 1979). Many MST radars have been developed world-wide by different countries and groups, and the MST community
plays a significant role in technique sharing. According to the antenna array shape, the existing MST radar in the world can be divided into two types: the square array arranging the elements in a square grid and the circular array arranging the elements in a triangular grid. The MST radars using the square array mainly include the Jicamarca radar (Woodman et al., 1974), the Sousy radar (Schmidt et al., 1979), the Poker Flat radar (Balsley et al., 1980), the Esrange MST radar (Chilson et al., 1999), the Gadanki radar (Rao et al., 1995; Rao et al., 2019), the Chung-Li radar (Rottger et al., 1990; Chu et al., 2009) and the NERC MST radar (Vaughan et al., 2002; Hooper et al., 2013). The MST radars using the circular array include the MU radar (Fukao et al., 1985; Kawahigashi et al., 2017), the EAR radar (Fukao et al., 2003), the MAARSY radar (Latteck et al., 2012) and the PANSY radar (Sato et al., 2014).

Because of the expense, the MST radar has been developing slowly in the Chinese sector by reason of the high price. Until 2008, the Wuhan MST radar and Beijing MST radar began to construct with the support of Meridian Project of China (Wang, 2010). We have introduced the two MST radars of Chinese Meridian project in 2016 (Chen et al., 2016). This manuscript briefly introduced the antenna array of the Beijing and Wuhan MST radars and their preliminary observations. The two MST radars work more than 280 days every year and their data can be freely accessed in the data center for the Meridian Project (http://159.226.22.74/). Thus, the radar system and their data are gained extensive attention and we have received many letters inquiring about the details of the radio system, as well as the data format and reliability. Therefore, we plan to write a new article in response to the readers’ and users’ demand, who want to build a low-cost MST radar or apply the data of the MST radars of Chinese Meridian project. The manuscript presents more details of the Wuhan MST radar including the photos of some important optimized circuits, as well as its recorded data.

The Wuhan MST radar is located in Chongyang, Hubei Province, China (29.5°N, 114.1°E). The location is far away from the bustling city, so as to better avoid interference of radar echoes by radio noise. Considering this is China’s first attempt to develop its own MST radar, the radar station is not selected in some areas of great difficulty in construction, such as equatorial low latitudes, polar regions and plateaus. Chongyang is located in the central plain of China, which is an appropriate choice. As one of few MST radars in the midlatitudes, it can be one important member of the global MST radars. In addition to the scientific research goals, the Chongyang station also serves as a students’ training base for the practice of radar technologies and meteorological applications. The Wuhan MST radar was completed preliminarily in 2011. The system was upgraded in 2016, and the TR modules were updated for better stability and better detection capability. The facility costs only about $1,000,000.00, which is far lower than the high cost of other MST radars. However, it provides an average power aperture product (PAP) product of $3.2\times10^8$ Wm$^2$. Considering the balance of system performance and project implementation, simpler and more flexible architectures are applied in the system.

The first aim of the present manuscript is to introduce the technical features of the Wuhan MST radar. In particular, the antenna field, the timing signal, the TR module, the digital receiver and the clutter suppression will be discussed in detail. The second aim of this manuscript is to present the the recorded data and compared with the wind fields recorded by other instruments and related models for validation.
2 Technical features

2.1 General description

The Wuhan MST radar is arranged in a $24 \times 24$ matrix with a side length of 96 m, which consists of 576 Yagi antennas. Each antenna is driven by an individual small TR module (300 W). According to the antenna radiation pattern, the beam width is $3.2^\circ$. The shortest width of the subpulse is 1 $\mu$s to satisfy the requirement for a maximum range resolution of 150 m. The radar system allows very high flexibility of waveform parameter for different detection modes (low mode, middle mode, and high mode). The basic specifications of the Wuhan MST radar are list in Table 2. The hardware of the Wuhan MST radar consists mainly of five subsystems: the antenna array, the TR module, the radar controller, the digital transceiver, and the signal processor. Fig. 1 shows the schematic block diagram of the system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
<td>29.51°N, 114.13°E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating frequency</strong></td>
<td>53.8 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low mode (3.5-12 km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observation mode</strong></td>
<td>Middle mode (10-25 km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High mode (60-85 km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antenna</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna type</td>
<td>$24 \times 24$ Yagi antennas (VSWR ≤ 1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aperture</td>
<td>Square with a side of 96 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam width</td>
<td>$3.2^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>32.8 dBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam azimuth angle</td>
<td>$0^\circ$, $90^\circ$, $180^\circ$, $270^\circ$ (oblique): $0^\circ$ (vertical)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam zenith angle</td>
<td>$0-20^\circ$ with a step size of $1^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transmitter</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak power</td>
<td>$\sim 172$ kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of TR modules</td>
<td>576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single TR module’s power</td>
<td>300 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse width</td>
<td>1-512 $\mu$s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Receiver</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Direct digitization structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse compression</td>
<td>Complementary code (16 or 32 bits)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise figure</td>
<td>3.7 dB (small TR module)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic</td>
<td>65 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiver sensitivity</td>
<td>-110.3 dBm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Schematic block diagram of the Wuhan MST radar located in Wuhan, China. The small TR module controllers, the small TR modules, the feeding network and the antenna array are installed in the antenna field. Other modules are installed in the observation house.

The Wuhan MST radar is arranged in a $24 \times 24$ matrix with a side length of 96 m, which consists of 576 Yagi antennas. Each antenna is driven by an individual small TR module (300 W). According to the antenna radiation pattern, the beamwidth is $3.2^\circ$. The shortest width of the subpulse width is $1 \mu s$ to satisfy the requirement for a maximum range resolution of 150 m. The system allows very high flexibility of waveform parameter for different detection modes (low mode, middle mode, and high mode). The hardware of the Wuhan MST radar consists mainly of five subsystems: the antenna array, the TR module, the radar controller, the digital transceiver, and the signal processor. Fig. 1 shows the schematic block diagram of the system.
The signal scattered by the turbulence ‘refractive index irregularities’ is received by the antenna array, then sent to the TR module by the feeding network. The TR module includes 24 big TR modules installed in the observation house and 576 small TR modules installed in the shelters. The big TR controller receives the timing signal from the timing generator in the radar controller by parallel port. The big TR controller converts the timing signal into multiplex signals to control the 24 big TR modules. At the same time, the BIT-TR signal is sent to the main controller to monitor the condition of the big TR module. The big TR controller also handles communication with 36 small TR module controllers over twisted-pair, and each big TR module controller corresponds to 16 small TR modules. The row data port in the big TR module connects 24 small TR modules in a row of the antenna array via the row feeding network, while the column data port connects 24 small TR modules in a column via the column feeding network.

The radar controller consists of a master oscillator, a frequency synthesizer and a control timing generator and a main controller. The master oscillator generates the reference clock of 100 MHz. Then, the frequency synthesizer generates the sampling clock of 80 MHz, the direct digital synthesizer (DDS) clock of 240 MHz, and the control reference clock of 20 MHz. Combined with the reference clock of 20 MHz and the commands from the main controller, the control timing generator generates various timing signals for radar control.

The digital transceiver consists of the DDS module, and the 24-channel receiver. The DDS module is used to generate the binary-phase-coded continuous wave for transmitting and the test signal for channel calibration. The 24-channel receiver includes the amplitude limiter, the bandpass filter, the linear amplifier, the analog-to-digital converter (ADC) and the digital down converter (DDC). The amplitude and phase weight algorithm is realized in the digital beam forming (DBF) module.

The data processing implemented in the digital signal processing (DSP) chip involves pulse compression, coherent averaging, fast Fourier transform (FFT), and spectrum averaging. Then, the output of the DSP chip is transferred to the online data processor by a peripheral component interconnect (PCI) bus. The main functions of the online data processor are clutter suppression, parameter extraction, wind field retrieval and wind field displaying. Eventually, the product data of the wind field in the troposphere, lower stratosphere, and mesosphere is produced.

### 2.1 Antenna field

Fig. 2 shows the arrangement of the Wuhan MST radar antenna field. The Yagi antennas are arrayed on grids of squares about 4 m on a side, and this element spacing allows no grating lobe beam scanning up to an angle of about 20.4° from zenith. The voltage standing wave ratio (VSWR) of the antenna is less than 2.5.

As shown in the right part of Fig. 2, there are 144 shelters mounted at regular intervals, and each one consists of 4 small TR modules, a 4:1 row divider/combiner unit (DCU), a 4:1 column DCU, and a power supplier and a small TR controller. It should be pointed out that the 36 small TR controllers are located in the shelters of odd rows and columns. The eight yellow boxes, labeled as F1-F8, represent the row feeding boxes (F1-F4) and the column feeding boxes (F5-F8). Each feeding boxes contains six 6:1 DCUs, and each one feeds four 4:1 DCUs in the shelters. The DCUs in the row/column feeding boxes are all fed by the big TR modules in the observation house, and the row or column drive state is
switched by the control signal. The row/column data from the 24 big TR module feeding the 6:1 row/column DCUs is labeled as R1-R24/C1-C24.

![Diagram of Wuhan MST radar antenna field and inter connections of four surrounding shelters.](image)

**Figure 2.** Arrangement of the Wuhan MST radar antenna field and inter connections of four surrounding shelters.

As illustrated by the red box in the right part of Fig. 2, there are four shelters (S0101, S0102, S0201, S0202) and the surrounding antennas. The left part of Fig. 2 shows the inter connections of the shelters, and the lines of different shelters are red for easy review. In the shelter S0101, the row DCU is fed by R1-1, which represents the first divided signal of R1. The other 4 ports of the row DCU connect to the row data ports of small TR module 1 and 2 in S0101 and S0102 respectively. Similarly, the column DCU is fed by C1-1, and the other 4 ports connect to small TR module 1 and 3 in S0101 and S0201 respectively. By analogy, the row/column DCUs of the shelter S0102, S0201, and S0202 connect to proper data ports of the small TR modules. With this system configuration, the beam can be steered to north-south direction in the row drive state and east-west direction in the column direction. The antennas are aligned in the northwest-southeast direction for symmetrical radiation pattern. The beams are usually steered to five directions (vertical, north, south, east, west) with off-zenith angles of 15°.

The feeding network of the Wuhan MST radar uses feeding cables of equal length. In this situation, the feeding cables of different channels have stable characteristics, which need no compensation. The big TR modules, the 6:1 dividers and combiners, the 4:1 dividers and combiners, and the antennas are connected via coaxial cable (-3dB/100m). The feeding cables of above modules are 100 m, 50 m, 10 m, and 7 m respectively. Therefore, the feeding line loss from the TR module in the observation house to the end antenna of the array is about 5 dB.
2.2.3 Timing signal

Figure 3. Timing diagram of the signals for radar operation.

Table 1. Description of control signals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signal</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>Work/Test</td>
<td>0: Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1: Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOD</td>
<td>Mode control</td>
<td>000-111: Low mode 1, Low mode 2,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low mode 3, Middle mode 1, Middle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mode 2, High mode 1, High mode 2,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High mode 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC</td>
<td>Row/Column switch</td>
<td>0: Row</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1: Column</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>Test signal Doppler shift</td>
<td>000-111: 0, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPA</td>
<td>Test signal power attenuation</td>
<td>000-1111: 7, 15, 23, 31, 38, 46, 54, 62 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBF-A</td>
<td>DBF amplitude weighting coefficient</td>
<td>0000-1111: 32 sets of amplitude weighting coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBF-P</td>
<td>DBF phase weighting coefficient</td>
<td>00000-11000: 41 sets of DBF phase weighting coefficient(-20°~20°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDS-P</td>
<td>DDS phase weighting coefficient</td>
<td>000000-110000: 41 sets of DDS phase weighting coefficient(-20°~20°)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All timing signals for radar operation are generated by the timing generator in the radar controller. Fig. 3 presents the timing diagram of the signals at the radar controller. They are generated from the reference clock signal of CP-20M. The RESET
signal is used to activate the timing generator. The excitation (EX) signal is used to generate the pulse repetition period (PRP), and the value is different according to different detection modes. The EX signal is 200 μs later than the RESET signal. The sign τ is the delay of the TEST signal and the TR signal compared to the EX signal. The delay can be adjusted by software, and the range is from half period of clock ahead to half period of clock delay. The transmitted pulse width of the TR signal is from 11 μs to 650 μs, which is related to the compressed pulse width and the coding scheme. The beam switch (BS) signal controls the switching of the vertical beam and four oblique beams, and it is synchronised with the RESET signal.

Besides the transmitting and receiving timing signals, the radar controller also generates the control signals for system control, which are shown in the last two lines of Fig. 3. It should be pointed out that the control signals are valid 100 μs after the RESET signal rising edge. The different control signals are listed in Table 1. The work/test (WT) signal causes the system to operate in work mode or test mode. The test mode is applied for digital receive channels calibration. The mode control (MOD) signal is used to select the observation mode: low mode (troposphere), middle mode (stratosphere), and high mode (mesosphere). The low mode 1, middle mode 1, and high mode 1 are usually selected under normal operating conditions. The corresponding Doppler resolution and radar scanning time are 0.53 m/s and 5 min. The parameters of the other observation modes can be set flexibly for applications of high Doppler resolution. The row/column switch (RC) signal is used to control the R/C switch in the small TR modules and big TR modules. The test signal Doppler shift (TDS) signal sets the test signal to establish different value of Doppler shift, which serves the digital channel calibration. The test signal power attenuation (TPA) signal controls the attenuation coefficient of the test signal, so as to prevent damage to the digital receiver. The DBF amplitude weighting coefficient (DBF-A) signal and the DBF phase weighting coefficient (DBF-P) signal are used for beam forming in the DBF module. The DDS phase weighting coefficient (DDS-P) signal causes the DDS to generate the beams of different zenith angles with a step size of 1°, and the maximum angle is 20°.

### 2.3.4 TR Module

Block diagram and photograph of the big TR module is shown in Fig. 4. The big TR module amplifies the DDS output (53.8 MHz) supplied from the digital transceiver module, and feeds it to the feeding network. This module consists of a three-stage amplifier with a gain of 40.6 dB. The D2089UK and D1001UK are employed in the first and second power amplifier stage, whose drain-source voltage is 3.2 V and 2.5 V respectively. They are metal gate RF silicon field effect transistors (FET) with different power output. The DDS output (10 dBm) is amplified to 30 dBm in the first stage, while the first stage output is amplified to 40.8 dBm in the second stage. The push-pull power metal oxide semiconductor (MOS) transistor BLF278 is employed in the final stage with a gain of 9.8 dB, whose drain-source voltage is 24 V. Built-in test (BIT) technique is adopted to detect VSWR and power information of the amplifier output (50.6 dBm) via a directional coupler. The information is not only used to monitor status of the big TR module, but also avoid damage to the amplifier. The insertion loss of the T/R switch and R/C switch in the big TR module is about 0.3 dB. Therefore, the total output becomes 50 dBm.
The control signals transferred from the big TR controller are transformed over twisted-pair for better transmission ability. The TR signal (differential signal) is converted into a single ended signal by the differential converter, and then it controls the R/C switch to realize transformation of row/column. The differential receiver chip DS96F173 is used as the differential converter, which allows operating at high speed while minimizing power consumption. The TR signal controls the T/R switch to receive the signal from the row/column data port, or transmit the amplifier output to the row/column data port. The MOD signal is transferred to the digital module, so as to generate timing signals to control the T/R switch and R/C switch for different observation modes. The recovery time of the two switches is less than 5μs, which reaches the allowable level. The power supply provides -48 V for the two switches. It should point out that the differential converter is involved in the digital module, as shown in Fig. 4(b).

Block diagram and photograph of the small TR module are shown in Fig. 5. The small TR module consists of various submodules: an amplifier, a T/R switch, a R/C switch, a BIT module, and a differential receiver. Each row/column signal is divided equally into 24 signals in the feeding network. Considering the attenuation of the dividers and cables (100 m cable: -3 dB; 1:6 divider: -7.78 dB; 50m cable: -1.5 dB; 1:4 divilder: -6.02 dB), the transmitting signal from the big TR module is
reduced to 31.7 dBm. Then the signal is transmitted through a low power R/C switch with 0.3 dB insertion loss. A two-stage amplifier in the small TR module amplified the signal from 31.4 dBm up to 55.4 dBm, and the drain-source voltage of BLF278 is 40V with a gain of 13.2 dB. Then, a band pass filter centered on 53.8 MHz is provided for spurious emission suppression. The T/R switch in the small TR module has an insertion loss of about 0.5 dB and provides an isolation of 60 dB. Ultimately, the output of the small TR module is about 300 W, and the signal is fed to the Yagi antenna via a 7m coaxial cable. The low-noise amplifier (LNA) in the receiving channel is a 53.8 MHz tuned amplifier with a gain of 28 dB and a bandwidth of 1 MHz.

![Block diagram (a) and photograph (b) of the small TR module.](image)

The two switches embedded in the small TR module allow the controller to select proper signaling pathway, and they are both controlled by two control signals from the small TR radar controller. The small TR module is the easiest damaged part in the system. Therefore, it needs to be repaired every year. As shown in Fig. 5(b), the small TR module adopts the modular design, which is convenient for maintenance.

### 2.4.5 Digital Transceiver

The digital-up-converter (DUC) chip AD9957 is used in the DDS module, which has 1 Gsps internal clock speed with 18-bit IQ data path and 14-bit digital-to-analog converter (DAC). The 16-bit or 32-bit complementary code with different
pulsewidth (1μs, 4μs and 8μs) are generated by the DDS module, as well as the test signal. The passive calibration algorithm is used for amplitude and phase calibration of the 24 channels in the receiver. The test signal can be set with different value of Doppler shift, and is divided into 24 channel signal by the divider. The intrinsic amplitude and phase differences among channels can be extracted by comparing the output of each channel. Then, the amplitude and phase calibration factors are stored in the register. Through correction, the receiver has an amplitude consistency of -0.5-0.5 dB and a phase consistency of -2°-2° after calibration.

In the receiver, the signal firstly goes through the amplitude limiter for protection of the receiver, the linear amplifier with 50 dB gain, the bandpass with the center frequency of 53.8 MHz and bandwidth of ±51 MHz, respectively. Then, the signal is sampled by the LTC2208 with 80 Msps clock rate. It is an ADC with 16-bit resolution, maximum 130 Msps and 100 dB spurious free dynamic range (SFDR). By directly bandpass sampling, the received signal is aliased to 26.2 MHz. The DDC unit is integrated in the FPGA, which is made up of the numerically controlled oscillator (NCO), the cascade integrator comb (CIC) filter, and the finite impulse response (FIR) filter. In general, the frequency output of the NCO is 26.2 MHz, the bandwidth of the FIR filter is ±51 MHz, and the total decimation value is 80. Eventually, the in-phase (I) component and quadrature (Q) component with 1 MHz are transformed to the digital beam forming module for further processing.

2.5.6 Clutter suppression

![Figure 6. Comparison of the original (a), ground clutter suppressed (b), and filtered (c) Doppler spectrum.](image)

The clutter suppression is carried out in the online data processor. Kumar et al. (Kumar et al., 2019) identified the turbulence echo in the multi-peaked VHF radar spectra during the precipitation, and here we mainly aimed at ground clutter and high frequency interference during fair weather and sunny day. Ground clutters from surrounding mountains, trees, and buildings can severely degrade parameter estimates of the turbulence (Schmidt et al., 1979). It is because the weak echoes from the
clear air are easy to be contaminated for the larger amplitude of the ground clutters. From the perspective of radar infrastructure, the construction of fence is an effective method to isolate ground clutter, i.e., the 10 m height MU radar fence for ground clutter prevention (Rao et al., 2003). The fence is also constructed for the Wuhan MST radar, but there are still some ground clutters in the echoes. Therefore, ground clutter suppression is an essential step for signal processing. The ground clutter echoes have narrow central peak near zero frequency with small temporal changes, and are weakened with increasing altitude.

Fig. 6 shows the processing procedure of the Doppler spectra recorded by the east beam in the low mode. Note that the Doppler spectra at the range gates are normalized. As show in Fig. 6(a), the ground clutters severely bias the desired signals, and most turbulence echoes are submersed. As shown in Fig. 6(b), after the ground clutter suppression, the ground clutters near zero frequency are rejected effectively, and the weak signals appear at the heights of 5.4-7.05 km and 9.75-12 km. The median filter is applied to remove the high frequency interference at each range gate. As shown in Fig. 6(c), the high frequency interferences decrease, and the power spectra qualities are improved obviously.

3 Validation of wind observations

3.1 Modes of operation

![Figure 7](image.png)

**Figure 7.** The monthly total number of the Wuhan MST radar data in three observation modes during January 2016 to December 2017. Blue for low mode, red for middle mode, and orange for high mode.

The Wuhan MST radar is used for the standard observations of the troposphere, lower stratosphere, and mesosphere in three observation modes: low mode (about 3.5-12 km), middle mode (about 10-25 km) and high mode (about 60-85 km). Height resolution is 150 m for the low mode, 600 m for the middle mode, and 1200 m for the high mode. Under the normal operation, the system usually works in each mode for 5 min in sequence, then takes a break for 15 min. Hence, the wind data
for each mode has 30 min temporal resolution. The Wuhan MST radar is in good running condition during the full-time unattended operation from January 2016 to December 2017. Because of the system failures or external disturbances, severe interference may appear in some data (about 1%). After removing the data with severe interference, the valid data set is present here to demonstrate the performance of the radar. Fig. 7 shows the monthly total number of the Wuhan MST radar data in low, middle and high modes. According to Fig. 7, the number of the radar data in most months exceeds 3000, except March 2016, March 2017 and October 2017 during maintenance. On average, the number of daily-mean data is 41 in low mode, 41 in middle mode, and 44 in high mode. In addition, the Wuhan MST radar took more observations of the mesosphere in March 2016 and April 2017. Therefore, the data set of the two years provides comprehensive and effective coverage of the troposphere, stratosphere and mesosphere observations.

3.42 Data acquisition

Figure 8. The average data acquisition rate from the Wuhan MST radar in low, middle (a) and high (b) observation modes during January 2016 to December 2017.

Data acquisition rate is one of the most important index to describe the MST radar performance, which is calculated using the relation: 100 × number of samples with valid horizontal wind data / total number of samples (Kumar et al., 2007). The horizontal wind velocity is estimated by the radial velocity of the four inclined beams through the use of Doppler beam swinging (DBS) technique (Anandan et al., 2001). If any one of the inclined beams has serious interference or lower signal-to-noise (SNR), which led to failure of the horizontal wind velocity inversion, then the samples are judged to be invalid. Fig. 8(a) shows the profile of total data acquisition in the low and middle mode during January 2016 to December 2017. In the
low mode, the data acquisition rate remains >90% at heights of 3.5-10 km, and then decreases rapidly to 50% at height of 15 km. Note that the profile of low mode clearly shows a reversal at heights of 14-16 km corresponded to the tropopause (Chen et al., 2019). In the middle mode, the data acquisition rate remains >90% at heights of 10-20 km, and then decreases rapidly to 19% at height of 25 km. Therefore, the connection height of the low mode and middle mode is usually selected at height of 10 km for optimal data acquisition. In some situations which require high range resolution, the data acquisition rate (>50%) of the low mode is also available for the heights of 3.5-16 km.

As shown in Fig. 8(b), the data acquisition rate of the high mode is mainly concentrated at heights of 66-86 km with a maximum up to 17%, which is much lower than that of the low and middle modes. It is because the winds in the mesosphere are only available during the daytime (8 LT-16 LT) in the D region (due to insufficient D region ionization during nighttime) (Rao et al., 2014). Actually, if the time range is limited in the daytime, the maximum data acquisition of the high mode is more than 50%. The analysis of the data acquisition rate indicates that the Wuhan MST radar can receive the backscattered echoes from the troposphere, stratosphere and mesosphere effectively.

### 3.2.3 Tropospheric and low stratospheric observation

![Figure 9](image)

**Figure 9.** The zonal (u) and meridional (v) winds at the heights of 3.5-25 km observed by the Wuhan MST radar (red lines) and the radiosonde (blue lines) at 00 UT (a, b) and 12 UT (c, d) on 22 May 2016.

In order to verify the validity of the wind measurements in the height ranges of 3.5-25 km, simultaneous observations obtained from the radiosonde were compared with the Wuhan MST radar observations. The radiosonde launch site (30.6°N,
114.1°E) is about 120 km away from the Wuhan MST radar, and the radiosonde was launched at 00 UT and 12 UT on 22 May 2016. It took about an hour for the balloon to rise up to 25 km, while the repetition period of the Wuhan MST radar is 30 min. Therefore, after the balloon was launched, the following two periods of the Wuhan MST radar data were averaged to compare with the data from the radiosonde.

Note that since the balloon was detected at regular intervals by the tracking radar, the height resolution of the radiosonde is not uniform. Fig. 9 shows the comparison of the zonal and meridional winds obtained by the Wuhan MST radar and the radiosonde launched at 00 UT and 12 UT on 22 May 2016. In these figures and throughout the manuscript, the positive zonal component corresponds to eastward wind, while the positive meridional component corresponds to northward wind. The zonal wind profiles are in good agreement in the altitude ranges of 3.5 to 23 km. The meridional wind profiles also show good agreement at most altitudes, but the measurements observed by the Wuhan MST radar are weaker around the height of 14 km. The underestimates of meridional winds could be due to the effect of aspect sensitivity (Thomas et al., 1997). The small discrepancies at some heights may be attributed to the variations of atmospheric activities at different temporal and spatial scales, and the different measurement principles and errors in both instruments are also significant reasons (Belu et al., 2010; Hocking et al., 2001).

**Figure 10.** The contour plots of the monthly mean zonal (a) and meridional (c) winds in the troposphere and low stratosphere observed by the Wuhan MST radar during Jan 2016-Dec 2017. ERA-interim model-estimated monthly mean zonal (b) and meridional (d) winds for the Wuhan region during the same period.

Fig. 10 shows the contour plots of the monthly mean zonal and meridional winds in the troposphere and low stratosphere from the Wuhan MST radar and the ERA-interim. The observed mean winds are compared with the ERA-interim. ERA-interim is the latest generation of European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) global atmospheric
reanalysis, which offers a good quality atmospheric wind with a 6 hour temporal resolution and $3^\circ \times 3^\circ$, $0.125^\circ \times 0.125^\circ$ latitude-longitude (Dee et al., 2011; Houchi et al., 2010). The dataset of monthly means of daily means is applied for the present study, which is produced by the average of the four main synoptic monthly means at 00, 06, 12, and 18 UTC (Berrisford et al., 2009).

It can be seen from Fig. 10(a) and Fig. 10(b) that the mean zonal wind observed by the Wuhan MST radar captures the major feature of the ERA-interim, which shows a clear annual oscillation with one westward jet and one eastward jet every year. The eastward jet occurs from September to June below ~20 km, and the westward jet occurs from May to October above ~20 km. The observed zonal winds in the eastward jet are ~10 m/s weaker than the ERA-interim reanalysis. The maximum magnitudes of the westward jet from the observation and the reanalysis are ~14 m/s and ~20 m/s, respectively. As shown in Fig. 10(c) and Fig. 10(d), compared to the zonal winds, the meridional winds show larger discrepancies between the observation and the reanalysis. There are one northward jet and one southward jet exhibited in the observed mean meridional winds every year. The northward jet occurs from November to April below ~18 km, and the southward jet occurs from May to September above ~18 km. In the ERA-interim, the southward jets are extended in the two years. Especially in 2017, the southward jet occurs from April to October at almost the whole height, except in summer below ~12 km, and extends down to the low height in April and May. The discrepancies are mainly due to the differences of the average time periods. The meridional wind changes more over time, so as to show larger discrepancies in the monthly mean meridional winds. In conclusion, the Wuhan MST radar can measure the zonal and meridional winds in the troposphere and low stratosphere effectively.
3.3.4 Mesospheric observation

**Figure 11.** The daily mean zonal (u) and meridional (v) winds at the heights of 76 to 86 km observed by the Wuhan MST radar (red lines) and the Wuhan meteor radar (blue lines) on 3 January 2016 (a, b), 12 January 2016 (c, d) and 13 January 2016 (e, f).

In order to verify the validity of the mesospheric wind measurements, simultaneous observations obtained from the meteor radar at Wuhan were compared with the Wuhan MST radar observations. The Wuhan meteor radar (30.6°N, 114.4°E) is about 120 km away from the Wuhan MST radar, which is an all-sky interferometric broadband radar system with a peak power of 7.5 kW and a frequency of 38.7 MHz (Xiong et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2005). The averaging of daytime (8 LT-16 LT) observations was used as the daily mean wind estimation for the Wuhan MST radar, while the 24 hours average was used for the Wuhan meteor radar. Because of the effect of diurnal variations, the estimated mean winds of the Wuhan MST may be biased less than 5 m/s compared with that of the Wuhan meteor radar below 85-90 km (Nakamura et al., 1996).

Considering the observation height range of the two radars, the comparison range was set at the heights of 76 to 86 km.

Fig. 11 shows the daily mean zonal and meridional winds observed by the Wuhan MST radar and the Wuhan meteor radar on 3 individual days in January 2016. Interestingly, the measurements at height of around 81 km show better agreement than other heights. It is because the measurements of the meteor radar are more reliable above 80 km (Ratnam et al., 2001; Kumar et al., 2008), while the data acquisition rate of the Wuhan MST radar is relatively high around the height of 80 km at heights of 70-85 km in the mesosphere. From the comparison, the zonal and meridional winds are of concordance in the aggregate, whereas there are some discrepancies. Two reasons might be resulting in the discrepancies between the observations of the...
two radars. The first one is the localized gravity waves, tides or planetary waves could make the differences between them (Rao et al., 2014; Ratnam et al., 2001). The second is that the low data acquisition rate of the Wuhan MST radar in the mesosphere could lead to the fluctuations of the daily mean data, which shows the sudden changes of the MST radar measurements at some heights.

Fig. 12 shows contour plots of the monthly mean zonal and meridional winds from the MST radar and HWM-07. The observed mean winds are compared with the Horizontal Wind Model-07 (HWM-07). HWM-07 synthesizes multiple instruments and considers various natural conditions, which can provide the atmosphere neutral winds from the ground to an altitude of 500 km (Drob et al., 2008). HWM-14 is an empirical model describes the atmosphere’s vector wind fields from the surface to the exobase (~450 km) as a function of latitude, longitude, altitude, day of year, and time of day, which is the upgraded version of HWM-07 (Drob et al., 2015).

As shown in left panels of Fig. 12, Wuhan MST radar zonal winds clearly show strong seasonal variations in 2016 and 2017. In general, the trends of the observational and predicted zonal winds match well, especially the reversal from eastward to westward in spring and the reversal from westward to eastward in autumn. However, HWM-07 overestimates the zonal winds largely in winter. The maximum magnitudes of the observational and predicted results are ~65 and ~51 m/s in winter. Especially in Feb the magnitudes of the observational and predicted results have big differs. Many studies indicated that
stronger northward and westward winds are happened after the stratospheric sudden warming (SSW) events (Mbatcha et al., 2010; Chau et al., 2015), and this factor is not considered in the HWM-07. The 2016 Feb SSW is a minor SSW, and the day of peak warming is on Feb 5 (Medvedeva et al., 2017). Two minor warming events happened during the winter of 2017, with two days of peak warming on Feb 2 and 26 (Eswaraiah et al., 2019). The SSW events happened during the observation period may influence the mean winds in the mesosphere. Hence, the stronger westward winds may result in smaller mean zonal winds during winter. Moreover, the differences of the zonal winds in summer are noticed. The first difference is the reversal height in summer, which is a useful index for the mesopause. The wind shear around 78 km is prominent during the summer from the HWM-07. Meanwhile, the reversal height observed by the Wuhan MST radar is about 84-85 km, which is consistent with the result observed by the MU radar at similar altitude (Namboothiri et al., 1999). Further study may be needed to analyze the difference. The second difference is the westward jet (the bluer region) occurred in summer. There are some differences of the westward jet between the observations and predictions in occurrence time and height, which could be due to interannual variability. As seen from right panels of Fig. 12, it appears that the observational meridional winds of 2016 and 2017 have the same trend as the predicted results. They all have one northward jet occurred above ~75 km in the period from August to April, but the observational results are larger than the predicted results in winter because of the stronger northward winds during the SSW events. In general, the Wuhan MST radar wind measurements of the mesosphere are in agreement with the HWM-07 predictions in trend.

4 Conclusion

The technical features of the Wuhan MST radar are described in this manuscript paper. We use the TR modules and digital receiver with smart structure, and reasonable feeding network, to realize the beam steering for three-dimensional wind field measurements. Short-term and long-term wind observations of the Wuhan MST radar are compared with other instruments and related models for validation, and the results are summarized as follows:

1. Compared with the radiosonde (120 km away) and the ERA-interim, the zonal and meridional winds are in good agreement at the heights of 3.5-25 km, and large discrepancies in meridional winds could be due to the temporal and spatial differences.

2. The daily mean zonal and meridional winds are in good agreement at the heights of 76 to 86 km with the Wuhan meteor radar (120 km away), and the measurements at height of around 81 km show better agreement than other heights.

3. The monthly mean zonal and meridional winds are in agreement with the HWM in trend at the heights of 66 to 86 km. The amplitudes of the observational results are different from that of the predicted results in winter, and it could be due to the influence of SSW events.

The comparisons indicate that the Wuhan MST radar is an effective tool to measure the three-dimensional wind fields of the MST region in the short-term and long-term. These results encourage us to do more for the improvements, such as improving the data acquisition of the high mode, and correcting the nominal zenith angle for the aspect sensitivity. In the
future, we will use the Wuhan MST radar to study precipitation, gravity waves, and stratosphere-troposphere exchange processes during typhoon, cold front or other events, as well as the dynamics of the mesosphere.

Data availability. Wuhan MST radar data can be downloaded at http://159.226.22.74/.
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