Application of the ECT9 protocol for radiocarbon-based source apportionment of carbonaceous aerosols

Lin Huang^{1*}, Wendy Zhang¹, Guaciara M. Santos², Blanca T. Rodríguez², Sandra R. Holden², Vincent Vetro¹, Claudia I. Czimczik^{2*}

¹ 5 Climate Research Division, Atmospheric Science & Technology Directorate, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Toronto, ON M3H 5T4, Canada ²Department of Earth System Science, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-3100, USA

*Correspondence to: Lin Huang (lin.huang@canada.ca); Claudia I. Czimczik (czimczik@uci.edu)

Key words: Radiocarbon, organic carbon, elemental carbon, black carbon, Arctic, EnCan-total-900, SRM1649a

10 Abstract: Carbonaceous aerosol is mainly composed of organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC). Both OC and EC originate from a variety of emission sources. Radiocarbon (^{14}C) analysis can be used to apportion bulk aerosol, OC, and EC into their sources. However, such analyses require the physical separation of OC and EC.

Here, we apply of ECT9 protocol to physically isolate OC and EC for ¹⁴C analysis and evaluate its effectiveness. Several reference materials are selected, including: two pure OC (fossil "adipic acid", contemporary "sucrose"), two pure EC (fossil

- 15 "regal black" and "C1150"), and three complex materials containing contemporary and/or fossil OC and EC ("rice char" and NIST urban dust standards "SRM1649a", i.e., bulk dust and "SRM8785", i.e., fine fraction of re-suspended SRM1649a on filter). The pure materials were measured for their OC, EC and total carbon (TC) mass fractions and corresponding carbon isotopes to evaluate the uncertainty of the procedure. The average accuracy of TC mass, determined via volumetric injection of a sucrose solution, was approximately 5%. Ratios of EC/TC and OC/TC were highly reproducible, with analytical
- 20 precisions better than 2% for all reference materials, ranging in size from 20 to 100 µg C. Consensus values were reached for all pure reference materials for both $\delta^{13}C$ and fraction modern (F¹⁴C) with an uncertainty of <0.3‰ and approximately 5%, respectively. The procedure introduced 1.3 ± 0.6 µg of extraneous carbon, an amount compatible to that of the Swiss 4S protocol.

In addition, OC and EC were isolated from mixtures of pure contemporary OC (sucrose) with pure fossil EC (regal

- 25 black) and fossil OC (adipic acid) with contemporary EC (rice char EC) to evaluate the effectiveness of OC and EC separation. Consensus $F^{14}C$ values were reached for all OC (\sim 5-30 µg) and EC (\sim 10-60 µg) fractions with an uncertainty of \sim 5% in average. We found that the ECT9 protocol efficiently isolates OC or EC from complex mixtures. Based on $\delta^{13}C$ measurements, the average contribution of charred OC to EC is likely less than 3% when the OC loading amount is less than 30 μ g C.
- 30 Charring was further assessed by evaluating thermograms of various materials, including aerosol samples collected in the Arctic and from tailpipes of gasoline or diesel engines. These data demonstrate that the ECT9 method effectively removes pyrolyzed OC. Thus, the ECT9 protocol, initially developed for concentration and stable isotope measurements of OC and

EC, is suitable for ¹⁴C-based apportionment studies for environment samples, including µg C-sized samples from Arctic environments.

35 1 Introduction

Carbonaceous aerosol is a major component (15-90%) of airborne particulate matter (PM) (Jimenez et al., 2009; Putaud et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2011; Hand et al., 2013; Ridley et al., 2017), and a complex mixture composed of mainly light-scattering organic carbon (OC) and highly-refractory, light-absorbing elemental carbon (EC, also referred to as black carbon) (Pöschl, 2005). The OC and EC fractions play important and often distinct roles in climate (Bond et al., 2013; Hallquist et al., 2009;

- 40 Kanakidou et al., 2005; Laskin et al., 2015), air pollution and human health (Cohen et al., 2017; Grahame et al., 2014; Janssen et al., 2012). Moreover, both OC and EC were identified as short lived climate forcers (SLCFs) by the IPCC expert meeting (https://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/mtdocs/1805 Geneva.html) in 2018. To develop and monitor the efficiency of mitigation strategies for both climate change and air pollution, it is required to have a better understanding of the temporal and spatial dynamics of OC and EC emission sources.
- 45 The majority (>50%) of carbonaceous aerosol is OC, which has a wide size range. Coarse OC (in PM_{10}) consists of plant debris, microorganisms, fungal spores, and pollen. Fine OC (in $PM_{2,5}$) is formed predominantly via the oxidation or nucleation/coagulation of volatile organic compounds, such as mono- and sesquiterpenes, from both biogenic and anthropogenic sources (Shrivastava et al., 2017), but can also be directly emitted from combustion sources (Hallquist et al., 2009; Fuzzi et al., 2015; Liggio et al., 2016). In contrast, EC is found primarily in fine particles, e.g., $PM_{1,0}$ or smaller (Chan
- 50 et al., 2013; Bond et al., 2013). It is emitted through incomplete combustion of fossil fuels and biomass/biofuels (Bond et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2010; Evangeliou et al., 2016; Winnie et al., 2016; 2017; 2019).

Measuring the isotopic signature and composition, i.e. radiocarbon (^{14}C) content and stable isotope ratio $(^{13}C/^{12}C)$ of aerosol, offers a powerful tool for quantifying the sources of bulk aerosol and its OC and EC fractions. Aerosol ¹⁴C content can be used to quantify the relative contributions from contemporary biomass and fossil sources (Heal, 2014). ¹⁴C is a naturally

- 55 occurring radioisotope (5,730-year half-life) produced in the atmosphere. After its oxidation to carbon dioxide ($^{14}CO₂$), ^{14}C enters the food chain through photosynthesis so that all living organisms are labeled with a characteristic ${}^{14}C/{}^{12}C$ ratio and described as "modern" carbon. Materials containing carbon older than about 50,000 years (¹⁴C <<¹²C) are described as "fossil" carbon. Over the past centuries, the ^{14}C content of the atmosphere has undergone distinct changes (Graven, 2015; 2020; Levin et al., 2010): Anthropogenic combustion of fossil fuels emit ¹⁴C-depleted carbon into the atmosphere (i.e. dilute
- 60 the proportion of ¹⁴C relative to ¹²C). In contrast, nuclear weapons testing doubled the ¹⁴C content of CO₂ in the Northern Hemisphere in the mid-20th century, followed by mixing of this bomb-derived ¹⁴C-enriched carbon into the ocean and biosphere. Similarly, aerosol stable isotope ratios provide insight to different types of anthropogenic sources (e.g. combustion of solid and liquid vs. gaseous fossil fuels). However, ¹³C data cannot distinguish emissions from mixed fossil fuel combustion and live C3 plant biomass (Huang et al., 2006; Winiger et al., 2016). Thus, isotope-based source apportionment
- 65 studies become particularly insightful when both ^{14}C and stable carbon isotopes are considered (Andersson et al., 2015; Winiger et al., 2016, 2017) or when combined with analyses of specific source tracers, such as levoglucosan or potassium for

wood burning emissions (Szidat et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2008) and/or remote sensing data and modeling analysis (Barrett et al., 2015; Mouteva et al., 2015b; Wiggins et al., 2018).

The objective of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness of separating OC and EC via the ECT9 (EnCan-Total-900)

- 70 protocol (Huang et al., 2006; Chan et al. 2010; Chan et al., 2019) for 14 C-based source apportionment studies of carbonaceous aerosols. The ECT9 technique was originally developed to physically separate OC and EC mass fractions for concentration quantification and stable carbon isotope analysis. This protocol has been used since 2006 to monitor carbonaceous aerosol mass concentrations and stable isotope composition over Canada, including in the Arctic at Alert, as part of the Canadian Aerosol Baseline Measurements (CABM) Network by Environment & Climate Change Canada (Chan et al., 2010; 2019;
- 75 Eckhardt et al., 2015; Sharma et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2017; Leaitch et al., 2017; 2018; Huang, 2018). It has also been used to monitor carbonaceous aerosol over China (Yang et al., 2011). Furthermore, EC concentration measurements made with the ECT9 protocol correlate well with those derived from light absorption by an aethalometer as well as refractory black carbon (rBC) using a Single Particle Soot Photometer (SP2) (Sharma et al., 2017; Chan et al., 2019). It was demonstrated that the ECT9 protocol can be used to quantify OC/EC concentrations and provide source information at the same time.
- 80 The ECT9 protocol is a thermal evolution analysis (TEA) protocol which is different from commonly used thermal optical analysis (TOA) methods for monitoring air quality, such as the Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) protocol (Chow et al., 2001; Watson et al., 2007), the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health protocol (NIOSH method 5040, Birch, 2002), as well as the European Supersites for Atmospheric Aerosol Research (EUSAAR) protocol (Cavalli et al., 2010). In those protocols, the OC fraction is thermally desorbed from filter samples in an
- 85 inert helium (He) atmosphere at relatively lower temperatures and the EC fraction is combusted at higher temperatures by introducing oxygen (O_2) in He stream while the filter reflectance or transmittance for a laser signal is continuously monitored. During the analysis, a fraction of the OC may char (forming pyrolyzed OC or PyOC), causing the transmittance or reflectance to decrease. While TOA methods use the changes in laser signal to mathematically correct for PyOC within the measured EC fraction, the ECT9 protocol aims to minimize or remove PyOC, together with carbonate carbon (CC), during an
- 90 intermediate temperature step of 870°C in pure He via high temperature evaporation (Chan et al., 2019). With much longer retention times at each temperature step (see Methods) and without either reflectance or transmittance used, the ECT9 protocol effectively isolates OC, PyOC+CC, and EC.

It should be noted that other methods have been also developed mainly for ¹⁴C analysis of OC and EC, such as the CTO-375 (Zencak et al., 2007), the Swiss_4S protocol (Mouteva et al., 2015a; Zhang et al., 2012), or hydropyrolysis (Meredith et al.,

95 2012; Zhang et al., 2019), which use distinct temperature protocols, gas mixture and/or remove water-soluble OC or inorganic carbon prior to EC analysis. In contrast to the ECT9 protocol, however, these approaches differ substantially from the protocols that are widely used for monitoring OC/EC mass concentrations in the field, which limits the relevance of this data for improving the representation of carbonaceous aerosols in chemical transport models.

Here we analyzed the ^{14}C content of OC and EC fractions (<100 μ g C) isolated with the ECT9 protocol from four pure fossil 100 and contemporary reference materials. These materials were analyzed on their own to quantify the amount and source (modern or fossil) of extraneous carbon introduced by the procedure as well as its reproducibility. Mixtures of two reference materials were measured to elucidate how efficiently the ECT9 protocol isolates OC from EC. In addition, we investigated

the laser signals of three reference materials and three aerosol samples (tailpipe emissions, ambient aerosol from Alert, and SRM8785) to assess how efficiently the ECT9 protocol removes PyOC. Our evaluation of the ECT9 protocol on its ability to

105 physically separate OC from EC for $14C$ -based source apportionment studies significantly expands the existing opportunities for characterizing and monitoring sources of carbonaceous aerosol at regional or global scales at the same time providing solid base for EC and OC concentration measurements.

2 Methods

2.1 The ECT9 protocol for the physical separation of OC and EC

- 110 The ECT9 protocol was developed at the carbonaceous aerosol & isotope research (CAIR) lab of Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) to quantify the amount of OC and EC in carbonaceous aerosol and their δ^{13} C values (Huang et al., 2006; Chan et al., 2010; 2019). Carbon fractions are isolated with an OC/EC analyzer (Sunset Laboratory Inc.) coupled to a custom-made gas handling and cryogenic trapping system for $CO₂$ collection from OC and EC fractions (Fig. 1a). The fractions are separated from each other, according to their degree of refractory. Specifically, carbon fractions are released by
- 115 the ECT9 protocol in three steps (Fig. 1b): (1) OC at 550°C for 600 seconds in pure He (99.9999% purity); (2) PyOC and CC at 870°C for 600 seconds in pure He; and (3) EC at 900°C for 420 seconds in a mixture of 2% O₂ with 98% He. All fractions are fully oxidized to CO_2 by passing through a furnace containing MnO₂ maintained at 870°C. For concentration determination, the CO₂ passes through a methanator at 500° C, is converted to CH₄, and quantified with a flame ionization detector. For isotope analysis, the CO₂ is cryo-trapped with liquid N₂ (-196^oC) in a U shaped glass trap, purified on a vacuum
- 120 system (to remove He), sealed into a Pyrex ampoule, and analyzed for its δ^{13} C ratio with an Isotopic Ratio Mass Spectrometer (IRMS), i.e., MAT253 or $F^{14}C$ with an Accelerated Mass Spectrometer (AMS).

2.2 Reference materials and their composition

To evaluate the ECT9 method for separating OC and EC for 14 C analysis, we isolated and measured the 13 C and 14 C content of the OC or EC fraction or TC from 5-6 modern or fossil reference materials (Table 1), including two pure OC (adipic acid, 125 sucrose), two EC (C1150, regal black), and two natural OC/EC-mixtures (rice char and urban dust SRM1649a).

Some of the reference materials have previously been utilized to compare different protocols that quantify OC/EC fractions (Hammes et al., 2007; Willis et al., 2016) as well as determine the mass of extraneous carbon introduced during OC/EC isolation from carbonaceous aerosol (Mouteva et al., 2015a). Table 1 provides an overview of their chemical compositions, i.e., total carbon contents and relative fraction of OC and EC, respectively (for individual measurements see Table S1).

130 Primary methods (i.e., gravimetric or volumetric) are used for mass loading of the materials, whereas the mass of TC, OC, and EC are quantified via the ECT9 thermal protocol. Based on repeat injections of sucrose results (20-80 µg sucrose, n =117), the accuracy of the TC mass is about 5%. The reproducibilities of both OC/TC and EC/TC percentages are 2% or better. Although uncertainties of weighing pure EC mass (i.e., Regal black and C1150) via microbalances are relatively large (due to static electricity and variable relative humidity), the EC/TC and OC/TC ratios for all reference materials are highly

135 reproducible (one s.d. <2%). The results show that the two EC materials (i.e., regal black and C1150) contain 97% and 98% EC, with only 3% and 2% OC, respectively. The two OC materials (i.e., sucrose and adipic acid) are 99% and 100% OC, and less than 1% EC (likely due to charred OC contribution), respectively. Thus, the materials are suitable for the purpose of this study.

We also analyzed the ¹³C and ¹⁴C isotopic composition of each reference material, using off-line combustions and ECT9

140 coupled with cryo-purification to convert them into CO2. The results are summarized in Table 2 (for individual results see Tables S2 & S3). The ¹⁴C analysis of μ g C-sized carbonaceous aerosol samples requires the assessment of extraneous carbon (Santos et al., 2010). This is achieved by measuring multiple smaller-sized materials with known ¹⁴C content. Consequently, the results in Table 2 are critical, as those ^{14}C values provide the reference for quantifying the extraneous carbon introduced during the isotope analysis procedures.

145 2.3 Isolation of OC, EC or TC with the ECT9 protocol and purification of $CO₂$

The isotopic analysis of carbonaceous aerosol via the ECT9 system involves three steps (Fig. 1a): 1) OC and EC isolation/CO₂ collection and 2) CO₂ purification, followed by 3) isotope analysis for either ¹³C/¹²C by IRMS or ¹⁴C by AMS (i.e., coupled measurements of ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ and ${}^{14}C/{}^{12}C$ of μ g C- sized graphite targets), as desired.

The initial masses of the pure reference materials ranged from 5 to 47 μ g C (n=3-13; Table S6), whereas those for the mixed

- 150 materials ranged from 5-30 μ g C for OC and 5-60 μ g C for EC (n=5-6; Table S7). The loaded mass of each material was determined via a microbalance (MX5, Mettler Toledo or CCE6, Sartorius) with the lowest reading to $1 \mu g C$ or 0.1 $\mu g C$, respectively. Filters before mass loading were pre-combusted at 900°C in a muffle furnace overnight and wrapped into prefired aluminum foil before cooling below 200°C. Usually, OC materials were first dissolved in MQ-water with known volume to obtain its concentration, and then a known amount $(5-10 \mu l)$ of OC solution was very carefully applied onto a pre-
- 155 cleaned quartz filter surface $(1.5 \text{ cm}^2, \text{ Pall Canada Limited})$ via a syringe injection. After the injection, the quartz boat holding the punch is pushed to the right position inside of the analyzer. The volume of OC solution used does not saturate the filter, but merely moistens the surface. After purging the filter for about 20 minutes ensuring the water is gone, the filter is ready for analysis. EC (i.e., Regal black and C1150) and mixed materials (rice char or SRM 1649a), which cannot be completely dissolved in water, were directly weighed onto pre-cleaned quartz filter punches in form of solids (powders).
- 160 Adipic acid were also loaded as powder. The final power mass was determined by the difference weighted before and after analysis. A filter punch with the loaded mass was carefully carried to the Sunset analyzer by a Pyrex glass Petri dish with cover for analysis with the ECT9 protocol.

OC and EC were separated and the combusted OC or EC fractions as $CO₂$ were cryo-collected in a U-shaped flask submerged in liquid N_2 (Fig. 1a, step 1). Then, this flask containing CO_2 and He was connected to a vacuum line with 4 cryo-

165 traps and several open ports (Fig. 1a, step 2), where the $CO₂$ is purified by sequential distillation when passing cryo-traps 1 through 3. Finally the pure CO_2 is transferred and sealed into a 6 mm glass ampoule for ¹³C or ¹⁴C analysis. Pressure is read by a Pirani gauge before sealing the ampoule for an estimation of the amount of gas, and consequently, sample size could be determined as μ g C.

2.4 ¹⁴C measurements

- 170 At the KCCAMS facility, the OC and EC fractions or TC (in form of CO₂) were reduced to graphite on iron powder via hydrogen (H₂) reduction using equipment and protocols specifically developed for smaller-sized (\leq 15 µg C) samples (Santos et al., 2007b; 2007a). Briefly, sample- $CO₂$ was introduced into a vacuum line, cryogenically isolated from any water vapor, monometrically quantified, and then transferred to a heated reaction chamber, where it was mixed with H_2 and reduced to filamentous graphite. To characterize the graphitization, handling and AMS analysis, two relevant standards (Oxalic Acid II
- 175 as modern carbon and Adipic acid as fossil carbon), with similar size ranges of the samples prepared via ECT9, were also processed into graphite. The graphite was then pressed into aluminum holders and loaded into the AMS unit alongside measurement standards (Table S6) and blanks for 14 C measurement (Beverly et al., 2010). The data are reported in fraction modern carbon $(F^{14}C)$, following the conventions established by Stuiver and Polach (1977) and also described elsewhere (Reimer et al., 2004; Trumbore et al., 2016).
- 180 To establish consensus values (Table 2), we also analyzed the $14C$ content of the bulk reference materials ranging in size from 0.06 to 1 mg C, using our standard combustion and graphitization methods. Larger aliquots of material were weighed into pre-combusted quartz tube with 80 mg CuO, evacuated, and combusted at 900°C for 3 hours. The resulting CO2 was cryogenically purified on a vacuum line, reduced to graphite using a closed-tube zinc-reduction method (Xu et al., 2007), and analyzed as described above.

185 2.5 Quantification of extraneous carbon

Any type of sample processing and analysis introduces extraneous carbon (C_{ex}) . Therefore, the measured mass of any sample will include the mass of this sample and of any C_{ex} incorporated throughout the analysis [Eq. 1]:

$$
m_{spl_meas} = m_{spl} + m_{ex} \tag{Eq. 1}
$$

where $m_{spl \text{ meas}}, m_{spl}$, and m_{ex} are the measured and theoretical mass of the sample and of C_{ex}, respectively. For small

190 samples (with a mass of a few μ g C), the mass of C_{ex} can compete with or overwhelm the sample mass and cause the measured $F^{14}C$ value of a sample to deviate from its consensus value.

Here, we estimated the mass of C_{ex} introduced during the ECT9 protocol and the ¹⁴C analysis following Santos et al. (2010), where C_{ex} is understood to consist of a modern and of fossil component [Eq. 2]:

$$
m_{ex} = m_{max} + m_{fex} \tag{Eq. 2},
$$

195 where m_{max} and m_{fex} is the mass of the modern and fossil C_{ex}, respectively.

Following an isotope mass balance approach, the measured isotopic ratio (${}^{14}C/{}^{12}C$) of a sample ($R_{spl \text{ meas}}$) can be expressed as [Eq. 3].

$$
R_{spl_meas} = \frac{m_{spl}R_{spl} + m_{nex}R_m + m_{fex}R_f}{m_{spl_meas}} \tag{Eq. 3}
$$

where R_{spl} is the theoretical isotopic ratio of the sample, and R_m and R_f are the consensus isotopic ratios of a

200 modern and fossil standard, respectively. This equation can be further simplified because R_f is 0. R_m is determined by measuring regular-sized aliquots of this reference material. In addition, all ¹⁴C/¹²C ratios are corrected for isotope fractionation using their δ^{13} C measured alongside ¹⁴C on the AMS (Beverly et al., 2010).

The mass of modern $C_{\rm ex}$ can be quantified by analyzing fossil reference materials, which are highly sensitive to modern and insensitive to fossil pollutants. Based on [Eq. 3] the measured isotopic ratio of the fossil reference 205 (R_{f_meas}) can be expressed as [Eq. 4]:

$$
R_{f_meas} = \frac{m_{mex}R_m}{m_{spl_meas}} \tag{Eq. 4}
$$

The smaller the mass of the fossil reference material, the greater the effect of the constant mass of modern C_{ex} on the isotope ratio of the fossil reference material, i.e. R_{f_meas} deviates toward R_m .

Similarly, the mass of fossil C_{ex} can be quantified by analyzing modern reference materials. With decreasing mass, 210 the measured isotopic ratio of the modern reference (R_{m_meas}) will deviate more strongly from R_m (toward R_f). Based on [Eq. 1-3] and assuming $m_{spl} \gg m_{mex}$, the R_{m_meas} can be expressed as [Eq. 5]:

$$
R_{m_meas} = \frac{m_{spl}R_m + m_{mex}R_m}{m_{spl_meas}} \approx \frac{(m_{spl_meas} - m_{fex})R_m}{m_{spl_meas}} \tag{Eq. 5}
$$

Finally, we can calculate the C_{ex} -corrected isotope ratio of an unknown sample (F_{spl_cor}). This value reported as the ratio between the theoretical isotopic ratio of this sample and the accepted value of a modern standard $\binom{R}{R_m}$ also

215 known as Fraction Modern (F; with all R corrected for stable isotope fractionation). This data is reported as [Eq. 6]:

$$
F_{spl_cor} = \frac{R_{spl}}{R_m} \approx \frac{R_{spl_meas} - R_{f_meas}}{R_{m_meas} - R_{f_meas}} \approx F_{m*} \times \frac{\left[\frac{R_{spl_meas}}{R_m} - \frac{m_{meX}}{m_{spl_meas}}\right]}{\left[1 - \frac{m_{meX}}{m_{spl_meas}} - \frac{m_{fex}}{m_{spl_meas}}\right]}
$$
 [Eq. 6],

where F_{m*} is determined from the direct measurement of the modern primary reference material (OX1) used to produce six time-bracketed graphite targets measured in a single batch, after isotopic fractionation correction and normalization (Santos et al., 2007a,b). The individual uncertainty of FM_{spl_cor} is determined from counting statitics

220 and by propagating the quantified blanks using a mass balance approach. Long-term and continuous measurements of various types of blanks indicate that the mass of C_{ex} within one analytical method or system can vary as much as 50% (see Santos et al., 2010; Fig. 1). Therefore, we applied a 50% error in m_{fex} and m_{mex} from long-term measurements of variance in m_{ex} of small samples (Santos et al., 2007a).

In this study, we used a multi-step approach to quantify m_{ex} introduced by the ECT9 protocol and ¹⁴C analysis (i.e.,

225 graphite target prepariton for CO₂ sample plus AMS analysis). First, we quantified m_{ex} introduced during ¹⁴C sample preparation and analysis by analyzing different masses of our bulk reference materials without involving ECT9 protocol. Extraneous carbon is introduced during sealed tube combustion and graphitization followed by graphite target handling and AMS measurement at the KCCAMS facility. Typically, ¹⁴C sample preparation and AMS measurement contributes a small portion to m_{ex} (Mouteva et al., 2015a; Santos et al., 2010). Second, we

- 230 quantified the portion of m_{ex} added during the isolation of OC and EC with the ECT9 protocol. This portion of m_{ex} allows us to determine the practical minimum sample size limit for the entire method, including m_{ex} contributions from filter handling before OC/EC analysis, instrument separation, and transfer to cryo-collection system and Pyrex ampoules. To isolate this portion, we quantified m_{ex} of the entire procedure (ECT9 protocol plus ¹⁴C analysis) by analysing the ¹⁴C signature of OC and EC from different masses of a large set of reference materials, and then
- 235 subtracted the portion of m_{ex} introduced during ¹⁴C analysis.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Recovery estimation

The reference materials used in this study, including the modern and fossil endmembers (i.e., the major carbon sources) found in carbonaceous aerosol, and their TC, OC, and EC concentrations are shown in Table 1. Reference

240 materials were separated into OC, EC, or TC using the ECT9 method at ECCC's CAIR lab (Fig. 1) and analyzed for their ¹⁴C content at UC Irvine's KCCAMS facility, including graphitization and AMS analysis.

Fig. 2 shows the cross-validation of carbon-mass between the mass determined at ECCC's CAIR lab and the mass quantified at UC Irvine's KCCAMS lab indicating a very good positive correlation ($R^2 = 0.93$ for pure materials and $R² = 0.95$ for two-material-mixtures in Fig. 2a and 2b, respectively). Reassessment of sample masses by manometric

245 measurements at UCI show good agreement with initial mass loaded at ECCC's CAIR lab via gravimetric or volumetric methods (Fig. 2a,2b and Table 6S and 7S). It is suggested that no major losses or gains of carbon occurred during the entire analytical process and the overall recovery was close to 100%, with a 5% uncertainty for samples ranging in size from about 5 to 60 µg C.

3.2 Quantification of extraneous carbon and its sources

- 250 All types of samples, regardless of size, show deviations in their measured $F^{14}C$ value from their consensus values to certain degree due to C_{ex} introduced during sample analysis. In μ g C-sized samples (mass <15 μ g C), significant bias from any C_{ex} can be observed, because $C_{\rm ex}$ constitutes a large fraction of the total sample. Previous work (using solvent-free analytical protocols) has shown that modern C_{ex} is introduced mostly through instrumentation and sample handling techniques, while fossil C_{ex} originates from iron oxide used as a catalyst for the reduction of $CO₂$ to graphite prior to AMS analysis (Santos et
- 255 al., 2007a; 2007b).

The $F^{14}C$ values of the pure modern or fossil reference materials generally agreed with their accepted $F^{14}C$ values for both OC and EC fractions (within approximately 5% uncertainty on average, Fig. 3 and Table 2, Tables S6 & S7) after applying a constant amount C_{ex} correction in $F^{14}C$ determination. Specifically, the overall agreements for all individual pure (Table S6) and mixed reference materials (Table S7, excluding the OC data from adipic acid + bulk rice char) are within $2\pm3\%$ of their

260 corresponding values (Table 2). On average, for samples containing $>10\mu$ g C the agreements are within 1 \pm 1%, whereas samples containing between > 5 μ g C and < 10 μ g C they are around 7±5%, respectively. This constant C_{ex} is a critical

prerequisite for accurately correcting the F¹⁴C value of unknown samples. Hence, our data demonstrated that the ECT9 method (and subsequent ${}^{14}C$ analysis) introduces a small, reproducible amount of C_{ex} .

According to equations [4]-[5] in section 2.5, C_{ex} can be quantified by measuring $F^{14}C$ of pure modern or fossil materials with 265 different sizes. Fig. 3 demonstrates that regardless what ${}^{14}C$ content are in carbon fractions isolated from the reference materials and what sizes they are, the corrected F¹⁴C values match with consensus value within propagated uncertainty.

To evaluate the suitability of ECT9 for ¹⁴C analysis of aerosol samples, a comparison is made between the results of a published method (i.e., Swiss 4S) and those of ECT9. The two protocols are listed in Table 3 and their C_{ex} distribution is shown in Table 4. The total amount of C_{ex} introduced by the complete procedure through ECT9, and determined based on all

- 270 reference materials, was 1.3 ± 0.6 µg C, with 70% originating from contamination with modern carbon (Table 4). The isolation of OC and EC with the ECT9 protocol introduced 65% of total C_{ex} (0.85 out of 1.35 µg C), with 65% derived from modern carbon. Overall, the total amount of $C_{\rm ex}$ introduced during OC/EC isolation with the ECT9 protocol is comparable to that for the Swiss 4S protocol established at UC Irvine within uncertainties (Table 3, Mouteva et al. (2015a)). Thus, it is demonstrated that the ECT9 protocol serves as a suitable alternative for the 14 C analysis of aerosol samples with masses >5
- 275 μ g C.

3.3 Effectiveness of OC/EC separation

To investigate the effectiveness of the ECT9 to separate OC from EC in more complex mixtures with minimizing OC into the EC fraction via pyrolysis, mixtures of the modern and fossil reference materials (Table 2) were used for measuring $\delta^{13}C$ (Table S4 - S5) and $F^{14}C$ (Table S7).

- 280 First, it was found that the $F^{14}C$ values of OC and EC fractions isolated from mixtures of pure sucrose (modern OC) and pure regal black (fossil EC) were within the measurement uncertainty of their accepted $F¹⁴C$ values, after correction for a constant amount of C_{ex} (Fig. 4) for samples with $5 - 34 \mu$ g OC carbon and $10 - 60 \mu$ g EC carbon, showing a good separation of OC from EC. This amount of C_{ex} was identical to that applied to the pure reference materials above, further corroborating the constant background introduced by the ECT9 protocol and ¹⁴C analysis.
- 285 Next, the mixtures of fossil adipic acid (pure OC) and modern rice char (mixture of OC and EC) were isolated and analyzed. It was found that after correction for C_{ex} , the $F^{14}C$ values of the OC (from the mixture) were systematically greater than the consensus value of the pure adipic acid, i.e., a F¹⁴C of zero (Fig. 5a), indicating that there was certain level of modern fraction contributed to the measured OC from the modern rice char. Based on an elevated mean value of 0.1081±0.0259 $(n=6)$ after blank corrections, a mass balance calculation indicates that $10± 3%$ of OC-Rice char is present. The high end of
- 290 this estimation is close to \sim 14% within a validity range to what one would expect.

To confirm that ECT9 could remove OC contained in rice char, an additional step was taken before mixing modern rice char's EC with the fossil OC (adipic acid). Specifically, we stripped the OC fraction of rice char by running rice char (on a filter) through the ECT9 protocol. Adipic acid (fossil OC) was then injected onto the filter with the remaining rice char-EC. The results show that the $F^{14}C$ of OC values of this mixture lie well within the expected range of the consensus value (Fig.

295 5b) after a C_{ex} correction as described above, demonstrating an excellent remove of rice char OC.

In both mixtures (fossil adipic acid with modern bulk rice char or rice char-EC), the corrected $F^{14}C$ values of the isolated EC fractions were within the expected range for the rice char reference material (Fig. 5c, d). This provides further evidence that the ECT9 protocol isolates modern EC from fossil OC with no obvious evidence of transferring fossil OC into the EC fraction. Together, the three sets of mixing experiments (Figs. 4 & 5) provide strong evidence for the effectiveness of

300 separating OC from EC via ECT9 protocol.

In addition to $F^{14}C$ measurements, $\delta^{13}C$ measurements in mixtures of OC and EC can also provide quantitative information on the effectiveness of OC and EC separation via ECT9. Various amounts of sucrose (pure OC, $10 - 30 \mu g$ C) were first mixed with varying amounts of Regal black (pure EC, $20 - 66 \mu$ g C). The mixtures were then physically separated into OC and EC fractions by ECT9 for $\delta^{13}C$ measurements. The measured $\delta^{13}C$ values of OC and EC from these mixing experiments

305 are listed in Table S4. Based on the $\delta^{13}C$ values of individual pure reference materials (Table S3) and a two-end-member mixing mass balance, it is estimated that the average fraction contributed into each other in the mixtures (i.e., sucrose fraction into Regal black or vice versa) was likely less than 3% (Table S5).

3.4 Charring evaluation & PyOC removal using the ECT9 protocol

It is known that some of OC (e.g., oxygenated OC or water soluble OC) would char to form pyrolyzed organic

- 310 carbon (PyOC) when heated in an inert He atmosphere, darkening the filter (Chow et al., 2004; Watson et al. 2005) and causing decreased laser signals due to light-absorption of charred OC. In most TOA protocols, this PyOC would combust and contribute to EC when O_2 is added. However, PyOC can be also be gasified and released as CO at high temperatures (>700°C) with limited O_2 supply, e.g., oxygenated OC at 870°C (Huang et al., 2006; Chan et al., 2010; 2019). Most TOA protocols estimate PyOC by quantifying the mass associated with reflectance/transmittance
- 315 changes, i.e., the mass released between the time when O_2 is introduced and the OC/EC split point (where the reflectance/transmittance returns to the initial value). In contrast to other TOA protocols, ECT9 defines PyOC as the mass released at the temperature step of 870°C (during a period of 600 seconds). This includes charred OC, calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) that decomposes at 830°C, and any refractory OC not thermally released at 550°C (Huang et al., 2006; Chan et al., 2010; 2019).
- 320 Although ECT9 do not use laser signals to quantify PyOC, it is expected that the changes of laser signals during the stage of 870°C would provide useful information about PyOC. Thus, four sets of samples were selected, including those of pure reference materials and ambient aerosol samples from different sources with heavy or light mass loading (e.g., those Arctic sample filters from different seasons) to evaluate the possible charring via ECT9. Their thermograms are shown in Figures 6 to 9.
- 325 Figure 6 shows thermograms of pure or bulk references for Regal black, sucrose, and rice char, respectively. It is observed in all three that the laser transmittance signals first decrease and then increases again during the 870° C step, and that they return to their initial values just before EC is released at the next step of 900°C. This demonstrates that the ECT9 method minimizes PyOC-contributions to the EC fraction.

The thermograms of aerosol (on filters) collected directly from tailpipe exhaust of a diesel engine vehicle and a

- 330 gasoline engine passage car, respectively are shown in Figure 7. These data suggest that the amount of PyOC generated during analysis are sample/matrix dependent. Specifically, the mass fraction during the 870° C temperature is larger for the gasoline than the diesel engine. This finding supports previous work showing that PyOC is proportional to the amount of oxygenated OC (Chan et al., 2010). It is noticed that the laser signal reaches the initial value before the EC step, further demonstrating that the charring contribution to EC is minimized.
- 335 Another set of thermograms of two total suspended particle filter samples collected during the summer (August) and winter (December) of 2015 at an Arctic site (i.e., Alert) are shown in Figure 8. More details about these samples can be found in Wex et al. (2019). The laser signal patterns are similar to those shown in Figures 6 & 7, yet more pronounced. During the 550°C step, the laser signals decrease. During the 870°C step, the signals further decrease, then increase, and finally increase to their initial point before EC is released at 900°C. These thermograms further 340 demonstrate ECT9 is able to minimize PyOC by gasification.

Finally, the thermographs of NIST urban dust reference material SRM 8785 (the re-suspended SRM 1649a urban dust with a fine fraction <2.5 µm collected on quartz filter) analyzed with ECT9 and Swiss_4S are shown in Figure 9. Both thermograms obtained with the ECT9 method (Fig. 9 a&b) show the similar patterns as those in Figs. 6-8, i.e., the laser signals reaching the initial value just before the EC release at 900° , suggesting that the charring

In the thermogram obtained with the Swiss-4S protocol (Fig. 9c), the laser signal increases from the beginning of the run while the first two stages (375°C and 475°C) are under the conditions of pure O_2 stream, inferring that light absorbing carbon is released during the first two OC stages. The laser signal continues to increase while the temperature increases up to 650C (the third stage) under the pure He gas stream, indicating that no charred OC is

345 contribution to EC is minimized during the stage of 870° C even though some PyOC might remain.

- 350 formed. However, when the temperature starts decreasing from 650° C, the laser signal decreases, indicating PyOC formation below that temperature. This signal decrease continues until the beginning of the next pure O_2 stage. It is important to note that to obtain EC fraction, the Swiss-4 (Table 3) method calls for filter sample pre-treatment, i.e., extraction with water before the thermal separation of OC/EC to minimize the contribution of charred OC from the 3^{rd} stage to EC at the 4th stage (Zhang et al., 2012). However, for a method comparison, the thermogram shown in
- 355 Fig. 9c was from a filter without pre-treatment.While it is difficult to make direct comparisons between OC and EC from b) and c) in Figure 9, the laser profiles from those thermograms in Fig. a) and b) indicate that in both cases charred OC is negligible or minimum via ECT9.

Together, the thermograms (Figs. 6-9) elucidate that the ECT9 protocol can effectively remove or minimize charred OC (PyOC) to achieve good physical separation of OC and EC. Another great advantage of using ECT9 to separate

360 OC from EC for isotope analysis (both ¹³C & ¹⁴C) is its consistency with the protocol used for OC and EC concentration measurements. Moreover, the ECT9 method does not require filter samples to be pre-extracted with water before EC analysis (to reduce PyOC).

4. Conclusions

We demonstrate the effectiveness of the ECT9 protocol to physically isolate OC and EC from aerosol samples for

- $14C$ and ¹³C analysis by using OC and EC reference materials on their own and as mixtures. It was found that the ECT9 protocol successfully separates OC and EC fractions with a low (but largely modern) total carbon blank of 1.3±0.6 μg C. The majority (65%) of this extraneous carbon originates from the isolation with the ECT9 protocol, with 35% contributed from graphitization and ¹⁴C measurement of the samples at the KCCAMS facility. After mass balance background corrections, the $F^{14}C$ results from both bulk pure materials and mixtures (with sample size as
- 370 small as 5 μ gC) can reach the consensus values (Table 2) with an average uncertainty of about 5%.

In addition, we evaluated potential PyOC formation during ECT9 by investigating thermograms of a variety of reference materials and ambient filter samples. It is demonstrated that ECT9 provides a good alternative for carbonaceous aerosol source apportionment studies, including ultra small sized $(5-15 \mu g C)$ samples obtained from Arctic regions. To increase the application of isotope data $(^{14}C$ or $^{13}C)$ in atmospheric research, future efforts should

375 be focused on the comparison on OC/EC separation via different methods/protocols using the same sets of reference materials. At the same time, the isolation results should be also compared among those methods/protocols widely used in long-term national monitoring network for OC/EC contents, ensuring a consistency in measurements between OC/EC concentrations and their corresponding isotopic compositions.

Nomenclature

Data availability

All data presented in this article are included in the supplement.

Supplement

The supplement related to this article is available online at: https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2020-201-supplement. (to be 415 finalized)

Author contributions

Conceptualizing and designing the study: LH, CIC, and GMS

Developing analytical methods and ensuring data quality: LH, GMS, WZ, CIC, BTR

Performing the experiments and data acquisition: WZ, GMS, SRH, VV, BTR

420 Data organizing /analysis and interpretation: LH, CIC, BTR, GMS, WZ

Writing the paper, including editing and preparing figures and tables: LH, CIC, BTR, GMS, WZ

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

425 This research was supported by A-base funding from Environment and Climate Change Canada and the KCCAMS Facility at the University of California, Irvine through G.M.S. We thank D. Enrst (ECCC) and J. Southon (KCCAMS) for supporting 13C- IRMS and 14C-AMS analyses, respectively.

Tables

Table 1. Overview of the bulk reference materials analyzed with the ETC9 method for their total carbon (TC), organic carbon (OC), and elemental carbon (EC) contents.

^a101% is obtained from the ratio of TC measured to TC calculated from the injected solution of sucrose; ^b49% of TC to bulk material in adipic acid based on its molecular mass; '58.6% of TC to bulk material in Rice char obtained from Hammes et al. (2006); ^d17% of TC to bulk material in SRM 1649a obtained from a critical evaluation of inter-laboratory data by Currie et al. (2002)

Table 2. Overview of the isotopic composition of the reference materials used in this study. Radiocarbon (¹⁴C/¹²C, reported as fraction modern (FM¹⁴C)) was measured at the KCCAMS facility and δ^{13} C at the CAIR lab.

*Sucrose was loaded as a solution (µg C), Regal Black, C1150, Adipic acid, Rice char, and SRM-1649a as a fine powder (µg dry mass); n/a = not applicable

Table 3. Comparison of the OC and EC ECT9 and Swiss-4S isolation protocols.

^aPyOC + CC = pyrolysis OC + carbonate carbon; ^bThe flow of 10% O₂ + 90% He mixing with the flow of 100% He resulting in 2% O₂ + 98%He. in ^cThe EC punch is flushed with Milli-Q water prior the analysis to remove the water-soluble OC and minimize charring (Zhang et al., 2012; Mouteva et al., 2015a).

460

465

470

Table 4. Comparison of the procedural contamination with extraneous carbon for aerosol reference materials partitioned into organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC) with the ECT9 or Swiss_4S protocols based on their ¹⁴C contents. We assume a measurement uncertainty of 50% (see Methods).

495 "From Mouteva et al. (2015a), ^bCarbon introduced during sample combustion, CO₂ purification and graphitization, and measurement with 14C-AMS.

Figure captions

Figure 1: Overview of the carbonaceous aerosol analysis system at Environment and Climate Change Canada. (a) Schematic flow chart for ¹³C & ¹⁴C measurements of OC/EC via ECT9, including 1) OC/EC isolation/CO₂ collection via cryo-trapping, 2) CO_2 purification, and 3) isotope analysis with IRMS (¹³C/¹²C of CO₂) or AMS 500 $(^{13}C/^{12}C$ and $^{14}C/^{12}C$ of graphite targets).

(b) Thermogram of the ECT9 protocol on a Sunset OC/EC Analyzer. First, organic carbon (OC) is thermally desorbed at 550ºC for 600 seconds in 100% He, then any pyrolyzed OC (PyOC), refractory OC, and carbonate carbon (CC) is released at 870ºC in 100% He for 600 seconds. Finally, elemental carbon (EC) is combusted at 900°C for 420 seconds by introducing 2% O₂ in He. All carbon fractions are oxidized to CO₂ followed by reduction

505 to CH4 and quantification via flame ionization detection (FID) for carbon content or purified and cryo-trapped in Pyrex ampoules for isotope analysis. Example FID signals are shown for a pure OC reference material (sucrose) mixed with a pure EC material (regal black) along with the internal standard (CH4).

Figure 2: Cross-validation of carbon-mass prepared, isolated by the ECT9 protocol and collected via cryo-trapping at ECCC and then, retrieved during the purification and graphitization on a KCCAMS vacuum line. Carbon fractions (organic carbon

510 (OC), elemental carbon (EC), or total carbon (TC)) were isolated from two reference materials for OC (sucrose, adipic acid), EC (regal black, C1150), and one OC & EC mixture (rice char). Most of the points deviating from the 1:1 line are carbonrich reference materials, e.g., Regal black and C1150 (>90% TC), which usually there are greater uncertainties in initial mass determination via weighing using microbalance, because their sample sizes aimed were very small.

Figure 3: Radiocarbon (¹⁴C) compositions, expressed as Fraction Modern Carbon, of total carbon (TC, circles),

- 515 organic carbon (OC, triangles) and elemental carbon (EC, squares) fractions isolated with the ECT9 protocol from modern or fossil individual reference materials. a) Sucrose and b) adipic acid are modern and fossil OC, respectively, c) regal black and d) C1150 are fossil EC, and e) rice char is a mixture of modern OC and EC. Open and solid symbols represent ¹⁴C data before and after correction for extraneous carbon introduced during OC/EC isolation and subsequent ¹⁴C analysis, respectively. The dashed line indicates the consensus value determined from
- 520 regular-sized bulk samples of these materials undergoing off-line combustions (see Table 2).

Figure 4: Radiocarbon (¹⁴C) composition, expressed as Fraction Modern Carbon, of a) organic (OC, triangles) or b) elemental (EC, squares) carbon fractions isolated with the ECT9 protocol from mixtures of pure modern OC (sucrose) with fossil EC (regal black). Open and solid symbols represent ¹⁴C data before and after correction for extraneous carbon introduced during OC/EC isolation via ECT9 and subsequent ¹⁴C analysis via AMS, respectively

525 (see Table S7). The dashed line indicates the consensus value (see Table 2).

Figure 5: Radiocarbon (¹⁴C) compositions, expressed in fraction modern carbon, of organic (OC, triangles) and elemental (EC, squares) carbon fractions isolated with the ECT9 protocol from the mixtures of reference materials. Fraction of modern carbon a) OC and c) EC isolated from mixtures of pure fossil OC (adipic acid) with modern bulk rice char (made of 14% OC and 86 % EC), and of b) OC and d) EC isolated from mixtures of pure fossil OC

530 (adipic acid) with modern EC from rice char EC (rice char OC has been removed before mixing). Open and solid symbols represent data before and after correction for extraneous carbon introduced during OC/EC isolation via ECT9 and subsequent ¹⁴C analysis via AMS respectively (Table S7). The dashed line indicates the consensus value (see Table 2).

Figure 6: Thermograms of pure or bulk references. a) Regal black and b) Sucrose and c) Rice char. Temperature 535 (blue solid line) and FID signals (integrated yellow area with green line) on the left axes and laser (red solid line) on the right axis. It is observed that on the three thermograms during the temperature stage of 870° C, the laser transmittance signals decrease first and increases again before the next temperatures stage, minimizing PyOC fraction, i.e., possible charred OC contribution to EC.

Figure 7: Thermograms of the filters directly collected from tailpipe exhaust of a diesel engine vehicle in a) and a

- 540 gasoline engine passage car in b). The legends are the same as Fig 6. It is noticed that the mass fraction from the temperature stage of 870°C in b) is obvious larger than that in a). The latter is negligible indicating that the amount of PyOC fraction is sample-matrix dependent. The amount of PyOC from gasoline vehicle emissions is likely larger than that from diesel vehicle emissions. It was noticed that the laser signal reaches the initial value before the 900° C stage for EC releasing, demonstrating that the charring contribution to EC is minimized.
- 545 Figure 8: Thermograms of fine particles (PM1.0 μ m) from the filter samples collected at an Arctic site, i.e., Alert, NU, Canada in summer a) and in winter b) of 2015. The legends are the same as Fig 6. It is clearly shown on both thermograms that during 550°C stage, the laser signal starts decreasing (implying charred OC formation) and begins increasing during 870°C and reaches the initial value before the EC stage (indicating the contribution to EC by charred OC is minimized or removed).
- 550 Figure 9: Thermograms of the SRM 8785 filters (the fine fraction $(PM_{2,5})$ of re-suspended urban dust particles from SRM 1649a and collected on quartz filters) with various amount of materials ranging from 614 mg to1723 mg via two different thermal protocols. a) and b) were obtained by ECT9. The legends are the same as Fig 6. Both thermograms in a) and b) show the similar patterns as in Fig. 6, 7, 8. that the laser signals reaching the initial value are just before the temperature stage of EC, suggesting that the charred OC contribution to EC is minimized. The
- 555 thermogram in c) is obtained from the same filter in b) but by Swiss-4 protocol for comparison. The legends are similar except for the integrated area with green line, which stands for $CO₂$ in ppm (by NDIR) instead of FID signals.

Figures

560 Figure 1a . Schematic procedures for ¹³C & ¹⁴C measurements of OC/EC via ECT9

Figure 1b

Figure 2

Mass processed via ECT9 (μ g C)

b)

Mass processed via ECT9 (μ g C)

Figure 4

Figure 7

675

Figure 9

References

- Andersson, A., Deng, J., Du, K., Zheng, M., Yan, C., Sköld, M. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Regionally-Varying Combustion Sources of the January 2013 Severe Haze Events over Eastern China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49(4), 2038–2043, doi:10.1021/es503855e, 2015.
- 685 Barrett, T. E., Robinson, E. M., Usenko, S. and Sheesley, R. J.: Source Contributions to Wintertime Elemental and Organic Carbon in the Western Arctic Based on Radiocarbon and Tracer Apportionment, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49(19), 11631–11639, doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b03081, 2015.
- Beverly, R. K., Beaumont, W., Tauz, D., Ormsby, K. M., Reden, K. F. Von, Santos, G. M. and Southon, J. R.: The Keck Carbon Cycle AMS laboraoty, University of California, Irvine: Status report, Radiocarbon, 52(2), 301–309, 690 2010.
	- Birch, M. E.: Applied Occupational and Environmental Hygiene Occupational Monitoring of Particulate Diesel Exhaust by NIOSH Method 5040, Appl. Occup. Environ. Hyg., 17(6), 400–405, doi:10.1080/10473220290035390 To, 2002.
- Bond, T. C., Doherty, S. J., Fahey, D. W., Forster, P. M., Berntsen, T., DeAngelo, B. J., Flanner, M. G., Ghan, S., 695 Kärcher, B., Koch, D., Kinne, S., Kondo, Y., Quinn, P. K., Sarofim, M. C., Schultz, M. G., Schulz, M., Venkataraman, C., Zhang, H., Zhang, S., Bellouin, N., Guttikunda, S. K., Hopke, P. K., Jacobson, M. Z., Kaiser, J. W., Klimont, Z., Lohmann, U., Schwarz, J. P., Shindell, D., Storelvmo, T., Warren, S. G. and Zender, C. S.: Bounding the role of black carbon in the climate system: A scientific assessment, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118(11), 5380–5552, 2013.
- 700 Cavalli, F., Viana, M., Yttri, K. E., Genberg, J. and Putaud, J.: Toward a standardised thermal-optical protocol for measuring atmospheric organic and elemental carbon : the EUSAAR protocol, , 79–89, 2010.
- Chan, T. W., Huang, L., Leaitch, W. R., Sharma, S., Brook, J. R., Slowik, J. G., Abbatt, J. P. D., Brickell, P. C., Liggio, J., Li, S. M. and Moosmüller, H.: Observations of OM/OC and specific attenuation coefficients (SAC) in ambient fine PM at a rural site in central Ontario, Canada, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(5), 2393–2411, 705 doi:10.5194/acp-10-2393-2010, 2010.
	- Chan, T., Meloche, E., Kubsh, J., Brezny, R. et al.: Impact of Ambient Temperature on Gaseous and Particle Emissions from a Direct Injection Gasoline Vehicle and its Implications on Particle Filtration, SAE Int. J. Fuels Lubr. 6(2):350-371, doi.org/10.4271/2013-01-0527, 2013.
	- Chan, T. W., Huang, L., Banwait, K., Zhang, W., Ernst, D., Wang, X., John, G., Chow, J. C., Green, M., Czimczik,
- 710 C. I., Santos, G. M. and Sharma, S.: Inter-comparison of the Elemental and Organic Carbon Mass Measurements from Three North American National Long-term Monitoring Networks, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 4543–4560, 2019 , https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-4543-2019.
	- Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Crow, D., Lowenthal, D. H. and Merrifield, T.: Comparison of IMPROVE and NIOSH Carbon Measurements, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 34(1), 23–34, 2001.
- 715 Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Chen, L. W. A., Arnott, W. P., Moosmüller, H. and Fung, K.: Equivalence of elemental carbon by thermal/optical reflectance and transmittance with different temperature protocols, Environ. Sci. Technol., 38(16), 4414–4422, doi:10.1021/es034936u, 2004.
	- Cohen, A. J., Brauer, M., Burnett, R., Anderson, H. R., Frostad, J., Estep, K., Balakrishnan, K., Brunekreef, B., Dandona, L., Dandona, R., Feigin, V., Freedman, G., Hubbell, B., Jobling, A., Kan, H., Knibbs, L., Liu, Y.,
- 720 Martin, R., Morawska, L., Pope, C. A., Shin, H., Straif, K., Shaddick, G., Thomas, M., van Dingenen, R., van Donkelaar, A., Vos, T., Murray, C. J. L. and Forouzanfar, M. H.: Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of disease attributable to ambient air pollution: an analysis of data from the Global Burden of Diseases Study 2015, Lancet, 389(10082), 1907–1918, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30505-6, 2017.
	- Currie, L. A., Benner, B. A. J., Kessler, J. D., Klinedinst, D. B., Klouda, G. A., Marolf, J. V., Slater, J. F., Wise, S.
- 725 A., Cachier, H., Cary, R., Chow, J. C., Watson, J., Druffel, E. R. M., Masiello, C. A., Eglinton, T. I., Pearson, A., Reddy, C. M., Gustafsson, Ö., Quinn, J. G., Hartmann, P. C., Hedges, J. I., Prentice, K. M., Kirchstetter, T. W., Novakow, T., Puxbaum, H. and Schmid, H.: A Critical Evaluation of Interlaboratory Data on Total, Elemental, and Isotopic Carbon in the Carbonaceous Particle Reference Material, NIST SRM 1649a, J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol., 107(3), 279–298, 2002.
- 730 Després, V. R., Alex Huffman, J., Burrows, S. M., Hoose, C., Safatov, A. S., Buryak, G., Fröhlich-Nowoisky, J., Elbert, W., Andreae, M. O., Pöschl, U. and Jaenicke, R.: Primary biological aerosol particles in the atmosphere: A review, Tellus, Ser. B Chem. Phys. Meteorol., 64(1), 15598, doi:10.3402/tellusb.v64i0.15598, 2012.
	- Eckhardt, S., B. Quennehen,a, D. J. L. Olivié, T. K. Berntsen, R. Cherian, J. H. Christensen, W. Collins, S. Crepinsek, N. Daskalakis, M. Flanner, A. Herber, C. Heyes, Ø. Hodnebrog, L. Huang, M. Kanakidou, Z. Klimont,
- 735 J. Langner, K. S. Law, M. T. Lund, R. Mahmood, A. Massling, S. Myriokefalitakis, I. E. Nielsen, J. K. Nøjgaard, J. Quaas, P. K. Quinn, J.-C. Raut, S. T. Rumbold, M. Schulz, S. Sharma, R. B. Skeie, H. Skov, T. Uttal, K. von Salzen, and A. Stohl. Current model capabilities for simulating black carbon and sulfate concentrations in the Arctic atmosphere: a multi-model evaluation using a comprehensive measurement data set, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 9413–9433, 2015 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/15/9413/2015/doi:10.5194/acp-15-9413-2015
- 740 Fuzzi, S., Baltensperger, U., Carslaw, K., Decesari, S., Denier Van Der Gon, H., Facchini, M. C., Fowler, D., Koren, I., Langford, B., Lohmann, U., Nemitz, E., Pandis, S., Riipinen, I., Rudich, Y., Schaap, M., Slowik, J. G., Spracklen, D. V., Vignati, E., Wild, M., Williams, M. and Gilardoni, S.: Particulate matter, air quality and climate: Lessons learned and future needs, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(14), 8217–8299, doi:10.5194/acp-15-8217- 2015, 2015.
- 745 Grahame, T. J., Klemm, R., Schlesinger, R. B., Gwen Eklund, A., Chow, J. C., Greenbaum, D. S., Hidy, G. M., Kleinman, M. T., Watson, J. G., Wyzga, R. E., Grahame, T. J., Klemm, R. and Schlesinger, R. B.: Public health and components of particulate matter: The changing assessment of black carbon, J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc., 64(11), 1221–1231, doi:10.1080/10962247.2014.960218, 2014.

Graven, H. D.: Impact of fossil fuel emissions on atmospheric radiocarbon and various applications of radiocarbon

750 over this century, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 112(31), 9542–9545, doi:10.1073/pnas.1504467112, 2015. Graven, H., Keeling, R. F., & Rogelj, J. (2020). Changes to Carbon Isotopes in Atmospheric CO_2 over the Industrial Era and into the Future. Global biogeochemical cycles, 34(11), e2019GB006170. Hallquist, M., Wenger, J. C., Baltensperger, U., Rudich, Y., Simpson, D., Claeys, M., Dommen, J., Donahue, N. M.,

George, C., Goldstein, A. H., Hamilton, J. F., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T., Iinuma, Y., Jang, M., Jenkin, M. E.,

755 Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Maenhaut, W., McFiggans, G., Mentel, T. F., Monod, A., Prévôt, A. S. H., Seinfeld, J. H., Surratt, J. D., Szmigielski, R. and Wildt, J.: The formation, properties and impact of secondary organic aerosol: current and emerging issues, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5155–5236, 2009.

Hand, J. L., B. A. Schichtel, W. C. Malm, and N. H. Frank, Spatial and Temporal Trends in PM2.5 Organic and Elemental Carbon across the United States, Advances in Meteorology

- 760 Volume 2013, Article ID 367674, 13 pages http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/367674.
	- Hammes, K., Smernik, R. J., Skjemstad, J. O., Herzog, A., Vogt, U. F. and Schmidt, M. W. I.: Synthesis and characterisation of laboratory-charred grass straw (Oryza sativa) and chestnut wood (Castanea sativa) as reference materials for black carbon quantification, Org. Geochem., 37(11), 1629–1633, doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2006.07.003, 2006.
- 765 Hammes, K., Schmidt, M. W. I., Smernik, R. J., Currie, L. A., Ball, W. P., Nguyen, T. H., Louchouarn, P., Houel, S.Elmquist, M., Cornelissen, G., Skjemstad, J. O., Dunn, J. C., Hatcher, P. G., Hockaday, W. C., Smith, D. M., Hartkopf-fro, C., Bo, A., Gschwend, P. M., Flores-cervantes, D. X., Largeau, C., Rumpel, C., Guggenberger, G., Kaiser, K., Rosa, M. De, Manning, D. A. C. and Lo, E.: Comparison of quantification methods to measure firederived (black / elemental) carbon in soils and sediments using reference materials from soil , water , sediment
- 770 and the atmosphere, 21, doi:10.1029/2006GB002914, 2007.

Hammes, K., Smernik, R. J., Skjemstad, J. O. and Schmidt, M. W. I.: Characterisation and evaluation of reference materials for black carbon analysis using elemental composition , colour , BET surface area and C NMR spectroscopy, Appl. Geochemistry, 23, 2113–2122, doi:10.1016/j.apgeochem.2008.04.023, 2008.

Heal, M. R.: The application of carbon-14 analyses to the source apportionment of atmospheric carbonaceous 775 particulate matter: A review, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 406(1), 81–98, doi:10.1007/s00216-013-7404-1, 2014.

- Huang, L., The issue of harmonizing the methodologies for emission inventories of GHGs with those of SLCFs, presentation at the IPCC Expert Meeting on SLCFs, Geneva, May, 2018 (https://www.ipccnggip.iges.or.jp/public/mtdocs/1805_Geneva.html)
- Huang, L., Brook, J. R., Zhang, W., Li, S. M., Graham, L., Ernst, D., Chivulescu, A. and Lu, G.: Stable isotope 780 measurements of carbon fractions (OC/EC) in airborne particulate: A new dimension for source characterization and apportionment, Atmos. Environ., 40(15), 2690–2705, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.11.062, 2006.
	- Huang, L., Gong, S. L., Sharma, S., Lavoué, D. and Jia, C. Q.: A trajectory analysis of atmospheric transport of black carbon aerosols to Canadian high Arctic in winter and spring (1990–2005), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(11), 5065–5073, doi:10.5194/acp-10-5065-2010, 2010.
- 785 Irei, S., Laboratory study of stable carbon isotope ratio of secondary particulate organic matter in the gas-phase, PhD thesis, York University, Sept. 2008.
	- Janssen, N. A., Gerlofs-Nijland, M. E., Lanki, T., Salonen, R. O., Cassee, F., Hoek, G., Fischer, P., Brunekreef, B. and Krzyzanowski, M.: Health effects of black carbon, WHO Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen, Denmark., 2012.
- 790 Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Donahue, N. M., Prevot, a. S. H., Zhang, Q., Kroll, J. H., DeCarlo, P. F., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ng, N. L., Aiken, a. C., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M., Grieshop, A. P., Robinson, a. L., Duplissy, J., Smith, J. D., Wilson, K. R., Lanz, V. a., Hueglin, C., Sun, Y. L., Tian, J., Laaksonen, A., Raatikainen, T., Rautiainen, J., Vaattovaara, P., Ehn, M., Kulmala, M., Tomlinson, J. M., Collins, D. R., Cubison, M. J., Dunlea, E. J., Huffman, J. A., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Williams, P. I., Bower, K., Kondo, Y.,
- 795 Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Salcedo, D., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R., Takami, A., Miyoshi, T., Hatakeyama, S., Shimono, A., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., Dzepina, K., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Jayne, J. T., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, a. M., Williams, L. R., Wood, E. C., Middlebrook, A. M., Kolb, C. E., Baltensperger, U., Worsnop, D. R., Dunlea, J., Huffman, J. A., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Williams, P. I., Bower, K., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K.,
- 800 Salcedo, D., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R., Takami, A., Miyoshi, T., Hatakeyama, S., Shimono, A., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., Dzepina, K., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Jayne, J. T., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, a. M., Williams, L. R., Wood, E. C., Middlebrook, A. M., Kolb, C. E., Baltensperger, U., Worsnop, D. R., Dunlea, E. J., Huffman, J. A., et al.: Evolution of organic aerosols in the atmosphere, Science (80-.)., 326(5959), 1525–1529, doi:10.1126/science.1180353, 2009.
- 805 Kanakidou, M., Seinfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N., Barnes, I., Dentener, F. J., Facchini, M. C., Van Dingenen, R., Ervens, B., Nenes, A., Nielsen, C. J., Swietlicki, E., Putaud, J. P., Balkanski, Y., Fuzzi, S., Horth, J., Moortgat, G. K., Winterhalter, R., Myhre, C. E. L., Tsigaridis, K., Vignati, E., Stephanou, E. G. and Wilson, J.: Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5(4), 1053–1123, doi:10.5194/acp-5-1053-2005, 2005.
- 810 Klouda, G., Filliben, J., Parish, H., Chow, J., Watson, J. and Cary, R.: Reference Material 8785: air particulate matter on filter media, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 39(2), 173–183, 2005.
	- Laskin, A., Laskin, J. and Nizkorodov, S. A.: Chemistry of Atmospheric Brown Carbon, Chem. Rev., 115(10), 4335–4382, doi:10.1021/cr5006167, 2015.
	- Levin, I., Naegler, T., Kromer, B., Diehl, M., Francey, R. J., Gomez-Pelaez, A. J., Steele, L. P., Wagenbach, D.,
- 815 Weller, R., Worthy, D. E. and Deihl, M.: Observations and modelling of the global distribution and long-term trend of atmospheric 14CO2, Tellus B, 62, 26–46, 2010.
	- Meredith, W., P. L. Ascough, M. I. Bird, D. J. Large, C.E. Snape, Y. Sun, E. L. Tilston, Assessment of hydrprolysis as a method for the qualification of black carbon using standard reference materials, Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta 97, 131-147, 2012.
- 820 Mouteva, G. O., Fahrni, S. M., Santos, G. M., Randerson, J. T., Zhang, Y.-L., Szidat, S. and Czimczik, C. I.: Accuracy and precision of ¹⁴C-based source apportionment of organic and elemental carbon in aerosols using the Swiss_4S protocol, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8(9), 3729–3743, doi:10.5194/amt-8-3729-2015, 2015a.
	- Mouteva, G. O., Czimczik, C. I., Fahrni, S. M., Wiggins, E. B., Rogers, B. M., Veraverbeke, S., Xu, X., Santos, G. M., Henderson, J., Miller, C. E. and Randerson, J. T.: Black carbon aerosol dynamics and isotopic composition in
- 825 Alaska linked with boreal fire emissions and depth of burn in organic soils, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 29(11), 1977–2000, doi:10.1002/2015GB005247, 2015b.
	- Pöschl, U.: Atmospheric aerosols: Composition, transformation, climate and health effects, Angew. Chemie Int. Ed., 44(46), 7520–7540, doi:10.1002/anie.200501122, 2005.
	- Putaud, J. P., Van Dingenen, R., Alastuey, A., Bauer, H., Birmili, W., Cyrys, J., Flentje, H., Fuzzi, S., Gehrig, R.,
- 830 Hansson, H. C., Harrison, R. M., Herrmann, H., Hitzenberger, R., Hüglin, C., Jones, A. M., Kasper-Giebl, A., Kiss, G., Kousa, A., Kuhlbusch, T. A. J., Löschau, G., Maenhaut, W., Molnar, A., Moreno, T., Pekkanen, J., Perrino, C., Pitz, M., Puxbaum, H., Querol, X., Rodriguez, S., Salma, I., Schwarz, J., Smolik, J., Schneider, J., Spindler, G., ten Brink, H., Tursic, J., Viana, M., Wiedensohler, A. and Raes, F.: A European aerosol phenomenology - 3: Physical and chemical characteristics of particulate matter from 60 rural, urban, and kerbside 835 sites across Europe, Atmos. Environ., 44(10), 1308–1320, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.12.011, 2010.
	- Ridley, D.A., C.L. Heald, K.J. Ridley and J. H. Kroll, Cause and consequences of decreasing atmeopheric organic aerosol in the United States, Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, January 9, 2018 115 (2) 290-295, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1700387115
	- Leaitch,W.R., L. M. Russell, J. Liu, F. Kolonjari, D. Toom, L. Huang, S. Sharma, . Chivulescu, D. Veber, W.
- 840 Zhang, Organic Functional Groups in the Submicron Aerosol at 82.5°N from 2012 to 2014, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 3269–3287, 2018
	- Leaitch,W.R., S. Sharma, L. Huang, D. Toom-Sauntry, A. Chivulescu, A. Marie Macdonald, K. von Salzen, J. R. Pierce, A. K. Bertram, J. C. Schroder, N. C. Shantz, R. Y.-W. Chang, A.-L. Norman, 2013. Dimethyl sulfide control of the clean summertime Arctic aerosol and cloud, Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene • 1: 000017 •
- 845 doi: 10.12952/journal.elementa.000017.
	- Reimer, P. J., Brown, T. A., & Reimer, R. W., 2004, Discussion: Reporting and calibration of post bomb ¹⁴C data, RadioCarbon, V 46, Nr 3, 2004, 1299–1304.
	- Sharma, S., W. R. Leaitch, L. Huang, D. Veber, F. Kolonjari, W. Zhang, S. J. Hanna, A. K. Bertram, and J. A. Ogren, 2017. An evaluation of three methods for measuring black carbon in Alert, Canada, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 850 17, 15225–15243, 2017 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-15225-2017.
	- Santos, G. M., Moore, R. B., Southon, J. R., Griffin, S., Hinger, E. and Zhang, D.: AMS 14C Sample Preparation at the KCCAMS/UCI Facility: Status Report and Performance of Small Samples, Radiocarbon, 49(2), 255–269, doi:10.2458/azu_js_rc.49.2925, 2007a.

Santos, G. M., Southon, J. R., Griffin, S., Beaupre, S. R. and Druffel, E. R. M.: Ultra small-mass AMS 14C sample

- 855 preparation and analyses at KCCAMS/UCI Facility, Nucl. Instruments Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B Beam Interact. with Mater. Atoms, 259, 293–302, 2007b.
	- Santos, G. M., Southon, J. R., Drenzek, N. J., Ziolkowski, L. A., Druffel, E. R. M., Xu, X., Zhang, D., Trumbore, S. E., Eglinton, T. I. and Hughen, K. A.: Blank assessment for ultra-small radiocarbon samples, Radiocarbon, 52, 1322–1335, 2010.
- 860 Sharma, S., Richard Leaitch, W., Huang, L., Veber, D., Kolonjari, F., Zhang, W., Hanna, S. J., Bertram, A. K. and Ogren, J. A.: An evaluation of three methods for measuring black carbon in Alert, Canada, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(24), 15225–15243, doi:10.5194/acp-17-15225-2017, 2017.
	- Shrivastava, M., Cappa, C.D., Fan, J., Goldstein, A.H., Guenther, A.B., Jimenez, J.L., Kuang, C., Laskin, A., Martin, S.T., Ng, N.L. and Petaja, T.: Recent advances in understanding secondary organic aerosol: Implications
- 865 for global climate forcing. Reviews of Geophysics, 55(2), 509-559, 2017.
	- Stuiver, M. and Polach, H. A.: Discussion Reporting of 14C Data, Radiocarbon, 19(03), 355–363, doi:10.1017/S0033822200003672, 1977.
	- Szidat, S., Jenk, T. M., G¨aggeler, H. W., Synal, H.-A., Hajdas, I., Bonani, G., and Saurer, M.: THEODORE, a twostep heating system for the EC/OC determination of radiocarbon (^{14}C) in the environment, Nucl. Instrum.
- 870 Methods B, 223–224, 829–836, 2004
	- Szidat, S., Jenk, T. M., Synal, H.-A., Kalberer, M., Wacker, L., Hajdas, I., Kasper-Giebl, A. and Baltensperger, U.: Contributions of fossil fuel, biomass-burning, and biogenic emissions to carbonaceous aerosols in Zurich as traced by 14C, J. Geophys. Res., 111(D7), D07206, 2006.
	- Trumbore, S. E., Sierra, C. A. and Hicks Pries, C. E.: Radiocarbon Nomenclature, Theory, Models, and
- 875 Interpretation: Measuring Age, Determining Cycling Rates, and Tracing Source Pools, in Radiocarbon and Climate Change: Mechanisms, Applications and Laboratory Techniques, edited by E. A. G. Schuur, E. Druffel, and S. E. Trumbore, pp. 45–82, Springer International Publishing, Cham., 2016.
	- Watson, J. G., Chen, L. A., Chang, O., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Chen, L. A., Chang, M. C. O., Robinson, N. F., Trimble, D. and Kohl, S.: The IMPROVE _ A Temperature Protocol for Thermal / Optical Carbon Analysis :
- 880 Maintaining Consistency with a Long-Term Database, Air Waste Manag., 57, 1014–1023, doi:10.3155/1047- 3289.57.9.1014, 2007.
	- Wex, H., Huang, L., Zhang, W., Huang, H., Traversi, R., Becagli, S., Sheesley, R.J., Moffett, C. E., Barrett, T.E., Bossi, R., Skov, H., Hunerbern, A., Lubitz, J., Loffler, M., Linke, O., Hartmann, M., Herenz, P., and Stratmann, F.: Annual variability of ice nucleating particle concentrations at different Arctic locations , Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 885 19, 5293-5311, 2019.
	- Wiggins, E. B., Czimczik, C. I., Santos, G. M., Chen, Y., Xu, X., Holden, S. R., Randerson, J. T., Harvey, C. F., Kai, F. M. and Yu, L. E.: Smoke radiocarbon measurements from Indonesian fires provide evidence for burning

of millennia-aged peat, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 115(49), 12419–12424, doi:10.1073/pnas.1806003115, 2018.

- Willis, M. D., Healy, R. M., Riemer, N., West, M., Wang, J. M., Jeong, C., Wenger, J. C., Evans, G. J., Abbatt, J. P.
- 890 D. and Lee, A. K. Y.: Quantification of black carbon mixing state from traffic : implications for aerosol optical properties, , 4693–4706, doi:10.5194/acp-16-4693-2016, 2016.
	- Winiger, P., Andersson, A., Eckhardt, S., Stohl, A. and Gustafsson, O.: The sources of atmospheric black carbon at a European gateway to the Arctic, Nat. Commun., 7, doi:10.1038/ncomms12776, 2016.
	- Winiger, P., Andersson, A., Eckhardt, S., Stohl, A., Semiletov, I. P., Dudarev, O. V., Charkin, A., Shakhova, N.,
- 895 Klimont, Z., Heyes, C. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Siberian Arctic black carbon sources constrained by model and observation, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 114(7), E1054–E1061, doi:10.1073/pnas.1613401114, 2017.
	- Winiger, P., T. E. Barrett, R. J. Sheesley, L. Huang, S. Sharma, L. A. Barrie, K. E. Yttri, N. Evangeliou, S. Eckhardt, A. Stohl, Z. Klimont, C. Heyes, I. P. Semiletov, O. V. Dudarev, A. Charkin, N. Shakhova, H. Holmstrand, A. Andersson, Ö. Gustafsson, Source apportionment of circum-Arctic atmospheric black carbon
- 900 from isotopes and modeling. Sci. Adv. 2019;5: eaau8052
	- Xu, J., Martin, R. V, Morrow, A., Sharma, S., Huang, L., Leaitch, W. R., Burkart, J., Schulz, H., Zanatta, M., Willis, M. D., Henze, D. K., Lee, C. J., Herber, A. B. and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Source attribution of Arctic black carbon constrained by aircraft and surface measurements, , 11971–11989, 2017.
	- Xu, X., Trumbore, S. E., Zheng, S., Southon, J. R., McDuffee, K. E., Luttgen, M. and Liu, J. C.: Modifying a sealed
- 905 tube zinc reduction method for preparation of AMS graphite targets: Reducing background and attaining high precision, Nucl. Instruments Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B Beam Interact. with Mater. Atoms, 259(1), 320–329, doi:10.1016/j.nimb.2007.01.175, 2007.
	- Yang, F., Huang, L., Duan, F., Zhang, W., He, K., Ma, Y., Brook, J. R., Tan, J., Zhao, Q. and Cheng, Y.: Carbonaceous species in PM2.5 at a pair of rural/urban sites in Beijing, 2005-2008, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11(15),
- 910 7893–7903, doi:10.5194/acp-11-7893-2011, 2011.
	- Yang,F., J. Tan, Q. Zhao, Z. Du, K. He, Y. Ma, F. Duan, G. Chen, and Q. Zhao, Characteristics of PM2.5 speciation in representative megacities and across China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 5207–5219, 2011 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/11/5207/2011/ doi:10.5194/acp-11-5207-2011.

Zencak, Z., Elmquist, M. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Quantification and radiocarbon source apportionment of black carbon 915 in atmospheric aerosols using the CTO-375 method, Atmos. Environ., 41, 7895–7906, 2007.

- Zhang, X., Li, J., Mo, Y., Shen, C., Ding, P., Wang, N., Zhu, S., Cheng, Z., He, J., Tian, Y., Gao, S., Zhou, Q., Tian, C., Chen, Y. and Zhang, G.: Isolation and radiocarbon analysis of elemental carbon in atmospheric aerosols using hydropyrolysis, Atmos. Environ., 198(August 2018), 381–386, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.11.005, 2019.
- Zhang, X. Y., Wang, Y. Q., Zhang, X. C., Guo, W. and Gong, S. L.: Carbonaceous aerosol composition over various 920 regions of China during 2006, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D14111, 2008.

Zhang, Y. L., Perron, N., Ciobanu, V. G., Zotter, P., Minguillón, M. C., Wacker, L., Prévôt, A. S. H., Baltensperger, U. and Szidat, S.: On the isolation of OC and EC and the optimal strategy of radiocarbon-based source apportionment of carbonaceous aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 10841–10856, 2012.

925 Supplementary Information

Lab ID	Date	^a Loaded mass	Carbon fraction				OCtotal/TC	EC/TC	TC/loaded mass
		on filter	ОC	PyOC+CC	EC	TC			
		μg		μ g/cm ²				$\%$	
Regal Black	$(n = 41)$								
16-084-04	24-Mar-16	24	0.02	0.69	28.34	29.05	$\overline{2}$	98	121
16-098-03	7-Apr-16	22	-0.05	0.48	18.63	19.06	$\overline{2}$	98	87
16-098-04	7-Apr-16	23	0.43	0.91	23.89	25.23	5	95	110
16-097-04	6-Apr-16	19	0.44	0.48	20.02	20.94	4	96	110
16-098-06	7-Apr-16	18	0.15	0.49	19.05	19.69	3	97	109
17-052-07	21-Feb-17	21	0.17	0.50	18.42	19.09	4	96	91
17-053-03	22-Feb-17	16	0.14	0.76	13.24	14.14	6	94	88
17-240-06	28-Aug-17	18	0.27	0.59	15.12	15.98	5	95	90
17-243-03	31-Aug-17	20	0.00	0.42	20.22	20.64	\overline{c}	98	104
17-243-04	31-Aug-17	24	0.14	0.20	18.79	19.13	$\overline{2}$	98	79
15-117-07	27-Apr-15	30	0.22	0.95	27.46	28.63	4	96	95
16-094-06	$3-Apr-16$	32	0.80	0.76	38.23	39.79	4	96	124
16-095-04	$4-Apr-16$	27	0.39	0.57	26.11	27.07	4	96	100
16-099-06	8-Apr-16	27	0.03	0.87	24.68	25.58	4	96	95
16-099-07	8-Apr-16	26	0.14	0.95	25.37	26.46	4	96	102
17-052-07	21-Feb-17	25	0.12	0.92	23.47	24.51	4	96	98
15-104-08	14-Apr-15	52	0.00	0.85	47.21	48.06	$\overline{2}$	98	92
16-095-07	$4-Apr-16$	47	0.30	1.18	48.19	49.67	3	97	106
16-097-05	$6 - Apr - 16$	43	0.32	1.03	39.78	41.13	3	97	96
16-098-08	$7-Apr-16$	50	0.12	0.67	47.38	48.17	$\overline{2}$	98	96
17-052-05	21-Feb-17	53	0.90	1.74	44.31	46.95	6	94	89
17-052-06	21-Feb-17	42	0.22	1.37	35.51	37.10	4	96	88
17-241-07	29-Aug-17	44	0.52	1.51	38.78	40.81	5	95	93
17-241-08	29-Aug-17	49	0.80	0.89	40.80	42.49	4	96	87
17-243-06	31-Aug-17	43	0.00	0.53	38.07	38.60	$\mathbf 1$	99	91
15-117-10	27-Apr-15	71	0.50	1.59	65.55	67.64	3	97	95

Table S1. Individual measurements of OC and EC via ECT9 at ECCC for the references listed in Table 1.

^aLoaded mass are the weighed mass (for Regal black, C1150, Adipic acid, Rice char and SRM-1649a) or injected mass (sucrose) on the filter.

Table S2. Radiocarbon content of bulk reference materials, expressed as fraction modern carbon (FM) with and without background correction. CO₂ 930 isolation and ¹⁴C/¹²C analysis were carried out at KCCAMS, UCI (the method is described in Table 2).

Reference m Lab ID		Date	Fraction	Loaded mass on filter	$\delta^{13}C_{\text{VPDB}}$
				μ g or μ g C ^a	$\%$
Regal Black	16-036-04	5-Feb-16	EC	16	-27.67
$(n = 5)$	16-036-05	5-Feb-16	EC	27	-27.49
	16-036-06	5-Feb-16	EC	22	-27.67
	16-036-08	5-Feb-16	EC	59	-27.62
	16-036-09	5-Feb-16	EC	68	-27.57
				mean	-27.61
				s.d.	0.08
C1150	13-013-05	13-Jan-13	EC	50	-23.01
$(n = 5)$	13-013-07	13-Jan-13	EC	22	-23.16
	13-013-08	13-Jan-13	EC	48	-22.96
	16-036-06	5-Feb-16	EC	30	-23.14
	16-036-07	5-Feb-16	EC	46	-23.05
				mean	-23.06
				s.d.	0.08
Sucrose ^b	15-146-07	26-May-15	OC	20	-12.08
$(n = 9)$	15-148-03	27-May-15	OC	20	-12.40
	15-148-04	27-May-15	OC	20	-12.31
		5-Oct-17	OC	20	-12.44
		18-Apr-18	OC	20	-12.04
		18-Apr-18	OC	20	-12.30
		26-Feb-19	OC	20	-12.21
		26-Feb-19	OC	20	-12.16
		26-Feb-19	OC	20	-12.04
				mean	-12.22
				s.d.	0.15
Rice Char	04-328-06	23-Nov-04	OC	n/m	-24.42
$(n = 1)$	04-328-07	23-Nov-04	PyOC	n/m	-26.67
	04-328-05	23-Nov-04	EC	n/m	-26.94

Table S3. Stable isotopic composition ($^{13}C^{12}C$) of OC and EC fractions or bulk materials. CO₂ isolation and $^{13}C^{12}C$ analysis were carried out at the CAIR lab, CRD, ASTD/ECCC (the method is described in Table 2).

^aSucrose was loaded as a solution (µg C), Regal Black, C1150, Rice char, and SRM-1649a as a powder (µg dry mass); ^b $\delta^{13}C_{VPDB}$ of bulk material (sucrose) via off-line method: -12.0 ± 0.2‰ (Satoshi, 2008); ^cMean fraction (of two measurements) weighted isotopic composition of TC; n/m = not measured.

Reference mate Lab ID		ate	Initial mass		Measured	$\delta^{13}C_{\text{VPDB}}$	
			Sucrose	Regal Black	fraction		
			µg C	μg		$(\%circ)$	
Regal Black	15-148-08	28-May-15	10	22	EC	-27.49	
$n = 9$	15-148-05	28-May-15	15	26	EC	-27.73	
	15-149-07	29-May-15	20	50.4	EC	-27.34	
	15-148-09	28-May-15	30	66	EC	-27.32	
	16-224-04	11-Aug-16	20	57	EC	-27.31	
	16-224-07	11-Aug-16	20	53	EC	-27.27	
	16-224-08	11-Aug-16	20	58	EC	-27.37	
	16-225-07	12-Aug-16	10	20	EC	-27.57	
	17-248-08	30-Aug-17	20	53	EC	-27.47	
					mean	-27.43	
					s.d.	0.15	
Sucrose	15-149-04	29-May-15	10	22	OC	-12.82	
$n = 9$	15-148-06	28-May-15	15	26	OC	-12.54	
	15-149-05	29-May-15	20	50.4	OC	-12.54	
	15-149-06	29-May-15	30	66	ОC	-12.29	
	16-224-05	11-Aug-16	20	57	ОC	-13.04	
	16-224-06	11-Aug-16	20	53	ОC	-12.36	
	16-225-03	12-Aug-16	20	58	OC	-12.72	
	16-225-04	12-Aug-16	10	20	OC	-12.86	
	17-242-06	30-Aug-17	20	53	OC	-12.34	
					mean	-12.61	
					s.d.	0.26	

960 Table S4. Stable isotopic compositions of ¹³C/¹²C in OC and EC fractions from mixtures of reference materials. OC and EC fractions were isolated with the ECT9 protocol (Huang et al., 2006), purified in a vacuum system and analyzed on a MAT253 (Huang et al., 2013) at the CAIR lab, CRD, ASTD/ECCC.

$\delta^{13}C_{VPDB}$ of pure endmember		fraction of sucrose in mixture	δ^{13} C _{VPDB} of the		
		(Sucrose + Regal black)	mixture calculated		
Sucrose	Regal black				
$\%$ o		$\%$	%		
		$\boldsymbol{0}$	-27.610		
		$\mathbf 1$	-27.456		
		$\overline{2}$	-27.302		
		3	-27.148		
		$\overline{4}$	-26.994		
		5	-26.841		
		10	-26.071		
		20	-24.532		
		30	-22.993		
		40	-21.454		
		50	-19.915		
		60	-18.376		
-12.22	-27.61	70	-16.837		
		80	-15.298		
		90	-13.759		
		91	-13.605		
		92	-13.451		
		93	-13.297		
		94	-13.143		
		95	-12.990		
		96	-12.836		
		97	-12.682		
		98	-12.528		
		99	-12.374		
		100	-12.220		

Table S5. Calculated stable isotopic composition (¹³C/¹²C) in a two-end-member-mixing system with endmember #1 being Sucrose (δ^{13} CV_{PDB} =-12.22‰) and end member #2 being Regal black (δ^{13} CV_{PDB}=-27.61‰) and where endmember #1 is mixed into endmember#2.

965 Table S6. Radiocarbon content, expressed as fraction modern carbon (FM), of total (TC), organic (OC), and elemental (EC) carbon fractions with and without background correction following Santos et al. (2010). OC and EC fractions were isolated with the ECT9 protocol (Huang et al., 2006) from pure reference materials (into the form of $CO₂$), then purified cryogenically and sealed in ampoules at the CAIR lab, ECCC. $CO₂$ is reduced to graphite (Santos et al., 2007b, 2007a) and analyzed at the KCCAMS facility.

^aReference standards that underwent combustion and graphitization process only for blank determination at KCCAMS (without ECT9); n/a. = not applicable

UCI AMS#	Fraction	$20070, 20070$ and analyzed at IVCC/Into Refine μ . Initial loaded		Mass after	Mass at	Corrected FM		Uncorrected FM	
	measured	mass			KCCAMS				
		µg C	μ g	µg C			Ŧ		\pm
Sucrose + Regal black		Sucrose	Regal black						
159800	OC	5	10	5	6	1.0568	0.0648	0.9738	0.0107
159802	OC	10	21	11	10	1.0542	0.0337	1.0057	0.0049
159804	OC	15	29	16	15	1.0629	0.0216	1.0298	0.0037
159806	OC	20	39	21	20	1.0436	0.0156	1.0201	0.0034
159808	OC	30	63	32	29	1.0563	0.0107	1.0395	0.0025
mean						1.0548			
s.d.						0.0070			
159801	EC	5	10	10	11	-0.0361	-0.0502	0.0535	0.0014
159803	EC	10	21	20	19	-0.0189	-0.0270	0.0317	0.0007
159805	EC	15	29	28	36	-0.0091	-0.0136	0.0172	0.0005
159807	EC	20	39	38	44	0.0014	0.0110	0.0226	0.0004
159809	EC	30	63	61	56	0.0019	0.0085	0.0186	0.0003
mean						-0.0122			
s.d.						0.0159			
Adipic acid + Bulk rice char		Adipic acid	Bulk rice char ^a						
159822	OC	5	11	6	6	0.1009	0.0856	0.2279	0.0027
159824	OC	10	22	12	11	0.0759	0.0450	0.1516	0.0021
159826	OC	15	35	18	17	0.1078	0.0278	0.1558	0.0013
159828	OC	20	44	23	22	0.1072	0.0204	0.1432	0.0014
159830	OC	25	51	29	23	0.1552	0.0185	0.1868	0.0011
159832	OC	30	60	34	32	0.1013	0.0138	0.1263	0.0009
mean						0.1081			
s.d.						0.0250			
159823	EC	5	11	5	5	1.1063	0.0887	0.9903	0.0063
159825	EC	10	22	10	8	1.0981	0.0486	1.0263	0.0052
159827	EC	15	35	16	14	1.0559	0.0231	1.0211	0.0034

Table S7. Radiocarbon content, expressed as fraction modern carbon (FM), of total (TC), organic (OC), and elemental (EC) carbon fractions with and without background correction following Santos et al. (2010). OC and EC fractions were isolated with the ECT9 protocol (Huang et al., 2006) from mixtures of reference materials (into the form of $CO₂$), then purified cryogenically and sealed in ampoules at ECCC. $CO₂$ is reduced to graphite (Santos et al., 2007b, 2007a) and analyzed at KCCAMS facility.

980 ^aThe bulk rice char contains 52% of TC, on which 14% is OC and 86% EC, respectively; ^bAdipic acid was injected after the OC of rice char is removed through combustion at 870°C via ECT9. Thus, adipic acid was mixed only with rice char-EC, and the OC of the mixture is only from Adipic acid and EC of the mixture is only from Rice char.