



1 Interferences on Aerosol Acidity Quantification due to Gas-phase Ammonia Uptake onto

2 Acidic Sulfate Filter Samples

³ Benjamin A. Nault^{1,2}, Pedro Campuzano-Jost^{1,2}, Douglas A. Day^{1,2}, Hongyu Guo^{1,2}, Duseong S. ⁴ Jo^{1,2,*}, Anne V. Handschy^{1,2}, Demetrios Pagonis^{1,2}, Jason C. Schroder^{1,2,**}, Melinda K.

John, Anne V. Handschyn, Demetrios Pagonis, Jason C. Schröder M., Melinda K.
 Schueneman^{1,2}, Michael J. Cubison³, Jack E. Dibb⁴, Alma Hodzic⁵, Weiwei Hu⁶, Brett B. Palm⁷

5 Schuenennan⁷, Michael J. Cubison⁷, Jack E. Dibb, Alma Hodzic⁷, weiwei Hu, Bieu

⁶ Jose L. Jimenez^{1,2}

- 7
- 8 1. Department of Chemistry, University of of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA
- 9 2. Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado,10 Boulder, CO, USA
- 11 3. TOFWERK AG, Boulder, CO USA

Earth Systems Research Center, Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans, and Space,
 University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH, USA

14 5. Atmospheric Chemistry Observations and Modeling Laboratory, National Center for 15 Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA

- 16 6. State Key Laboratory at Organic Geochemistry, Guangzhou, Institute of Geochemistry,
- 17 Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, China
- 18 7. Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
- 19 * Now at: Advanced Study Program, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO20 USA
- 21 ** Now at: Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Denver, CO, USA

22

23 Correspondence: Jose L. Jimenez (jose.jimenez@colorado.edu)

24

25





26 Abstract

27 Measurements of the mass concentration and chemical speciation of aerosols are important to investigate their chemical and physical processing from near emission sources to the most 28 remote regions of the atmosphere. A common method to analyze aerosols is to collect them onto 29 filters and to analyze filters off-line; however, biases in some chemical components are possible 30 due to changes in the accumulated particles during the handling of the samples. Any biases 31 would impact the measured chemical composition, which in turn affects our understanding of 32 numerous physico-chemical processes and aerosol radiative properties. We show, using filters 33 collected onboard the NASA DC-8 and NSF C-130 during six different aircraft campaigns, a 34 consistent, substantial difference in ammonium mass concentration and ammonium-to-anion 35 ratios, when comparing the aerosols collected on filters versus the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass 36 Spectrometer (AMS). Another *on-line* measurement is consistent with the AMS in showing that 37 the aerosol has lower ammonium-to-anion ratios than obtained by the filters. Using a gas uptake 38 model with literature values for accommodation coefficients, we show that for ambient ammonia 39 mixing ratios greater than 10 ppby, the time scale for ammonia reacting with acidic aerosol on 40 filter substrates is less than 30 s (typical filter handling time in the aircraft) for typical aerosol 41 volume distributions. Measurements of gas-phase ammonia inside the cabin of the DC-8 show 42 ammonia mixing ratios of 45±20 ppbv, consistent with mixing ratios observed in other indoor 43 environments. This analysis enables guidelines for filter handling to reduce ammonia uptake. 44 Finally, a more meaningful limit-of-detection for filters that either do not have an ammonia 45 46 scrubber and/or are handled in the presence of human emissions is $\sim 0.2 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ ammonium, 47 which is substantially higher than the limit-of-detection of the ion chromatography.





48 Introduction

Particulate matter (PM), or aerosol, impacts human health, ecosystem health, visibility, 49 climate, cloud formation and lifetime, and atmospheric chemistry (Meskhidze et al., 2003; 50 Abbatt et al., 2006; Seinfeld. and Pandis, 2006; Jimenez et al., 2009; Myhre et al., 2013; Cohen 51 et al., 2017; Hodzic and Duvel, 2018; Heald and Kroll, 2020; Pye et al., 2020). Quantitative 52 measurements of the chemical composition and aerosol mass concentration are necessary to 53 understand these impacts and to constrain and improve chemical transport models (CTMs). The 54 inorganic portion of aerosol, which includes both volatile (e.g., nitrate, ammonium) and 55 non-volatile (e.g., calcium, sodium) species, controls many of these impacts through the 56 regulation of charge balance, aerosol pH, and aerosol liquid water concentration (Guo et al., 57 2015, 2018; Hennigan et al., 2015; Nguyen et al., 2016; Pye et al., 2020). Further, the inorganic 58 portion of aerosol is an important fraction of the aerosol budget, both in polluted cities (e.g., 59 Jimenez et al., 2009; Song et al., 2018), and remote regions (e.g., Hodzic et al., 2020), and the 60 chemistry controlling the inorganic portion of the aerosol is still not well known (e.g., Liu et al., 61 2020). 62

There are numerous methods to quantify the inorganic aerosol composition and mass concentration, including by mass spectrometry (DeCarlo et al., 2006; Canagaratna et al., 2007; Pratt and Prather, 2010; Froyd et al., 2019), *on-line* ion chromatography (Talbot et al., 1997; Weber et al., 2001; Nie et al., 2010), and collection onto filters to be extracted and measured off-line by ion chromatography (Malm et al., 1994; Dibb et al., 2002, 2003; Coury and Dillner, 2009; Watson et al., 2009). Each method has different advantages and disadvantages (e.g., time resolution, sample preparation, range of species identified, cost, and personnel needs). These





results, in turn, have been used to inform and improve the results of CTMs, influencing our
understanding in processes such as the direct radiative effect (Wang et al., 2008b), transport of
ammonia in deep convection (Ge et al., 2018), aerosol pH (Pye et al., 2020; Zakoura et al., 2020)
and subsequent chemistry, and precursor emissions (Henze et al., 2009; Heald et al., 2012;
Walker et al., 2012; Mezuman et al., 2016).

75 Filter measurements have been shown to be most prone to artifacts during sample 76 collection, handling, storage of the filter, or extraction of the aerosol from the filter prior to 77 analysis. These artifacts include evaporation of volatile compounds such as organics (Watson et al., 2009; Chow et al., 2010; Cheng and He, 2015) and ammonium nitrate (Hering and Cass, 78 1999; Chow et al., 2005; Nie et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2014, 2015; Heim et al., 2020), as well as 79 chemical reactions of gas-phase species with the accumulated particles (e.g., Schauer et al., 80 2003; Dzepina et al., 2007). Further, early research indicated potential artifacts from gas-phase 81 ammonia uptake onto acidic aerosol collected onto filters, leading to a positive bias for 82 particulate ammonium (Klockow et al., 1979; Hayes et al., 1980; Koutrakis et al., 1988). This led 83 to debates about whether aerosol in the lower stratosphere was sulfuric acid or ammonium 84 sulfate (Hayes et al., 1980); however, after improved filter handling practices and on-line 85 measurements (i.e., mass spectrometry), it has been generally well accepted that the sulfate in the 86 stratosphere is mainly sulfuric acid (Murphy et al., 2014). 87

This artifact may impact aerosol collected in remote locations (e.g., the lower stratosphere, but also the free troposphere over the Pacific Ocean basin). Comparisons for a major cation, ammonium, in a similar location (middle of the Pacific Ocean) have shown very different results (Dibb et al., 2003; Paulot et al., 2015). This, in turn, affects the observed charge





92 balance of cations (sulfate and nitrate) with ammonium, which can indicate different aerosol phase state (Colberg et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2008a) and aerosol pH (Pye et al., 2020), leading 93 to potentially important chemical and physical differences between the real state of the particles 94 95 and that concluded from the measurements. An example of the differences in observed charge balance of ammonium to sulfate for different studies of the same remote Pacific Ocean region is 96 highlighted in Fig. 1. This difference leads to the inorganic portion of the aerosol potentially 97 being solid (filters) and hence good ice-nucleating particles (Abbatt et al., 2006), versus it being 98 liquid (on-line measurements), leading to important differences in the calculated radiative 99 balance. It should be noted that other measurements (both filter and on-line) in a similar location 100 from another study (bar at surface (Paulot et al., 2015)) are more in-line with the on-line 101 observations. A large decrease in the ambient ammonia mixing ratio is required to change from 102 ammonium sulfate-like aerosols to sulfuric acid-like aerosols between the years, contradictory to 103 the increasing trends of ammonia globally (Warner et al., 2016, 2017; Weber et al., 2016; Liu et 104 105 al., 2019; Tao and Murphy, 2019). Further, oceanic emissions of ammonia are not high enough to lead to full charge neutralization of sulfate, since these emissions are approximately an order of 106 magnitude less than those of sulfate precursors (Faloona, 2009; Paulot et al., 2015). A debate 107 about the acidity and potential impact of ammonia-uptake artifacts on acidic filters for remote 108 locations has not occurred as it did for stratospheric observations. 109

Previous laboratory studies have suggested that exposure of acidic aerosol, both suspended in air in a flow tube or on a filter, to gas-phase ammonia will lead to formation of ammonium salts in short time (≤ 10 s) (Klockow et al., 1979; Huntzicker et al., 1980); however, it has not been investigated if this time frame applies for acidic aerosol collected on filters





handled in a typical indoor environment. Though human emissions of ammonia are variable and depend on various factors (e.g., temperature, clothing, etc.) (Li et al., 2020), the emissions of ammonia, specifically from perspiration but also from breath, can lead to high, accumulated mixing ratios of ammonia indoor (e.g., Ampollini et al., 2019; Finewax et al., 2020) and references therein), depending on the ventilation rate. The mixing ratios of ammonia can be factor of 2 to 2000 higher indoor versus outdoor. This higher mixing ratio of ammonia leads to similarly high mixing ratios used in prior studies to lead to partially to fully neutralize sulfuric acid (Klockow et al., 1979; Huntzicker et al., 1980; Daumer et al., 1992; Liggio et al., 2011).

Here, we investigate whether previously observed laboratory observations of ammonium 122 123 uptake to acidic particulate lead to the large differences in ammonium, both in mass concentration and in ammonium-to-sulfate ratios or ammonium-to-anion ratios, between in-situ 124 measurements and off-line filter measurement during five NASA and one NSF airborne 125 campaigns that sampled air over remote continental and oceanic regions. An uptake model for 126 127 gas-phase ammonia interacting with acidic PM on a filter along with constraints from observations of gas-phase ammonia in the cabin of the airplane are used to further probe the 128 reason behind the differences between the *in-situ* and *off-line* measurements of ammonium. The 129 results provide insight into how to interpret prior aircraft measurements and other filter based 130 measurements where the filters were handled in environments (i.e., indoors), where rapid uptake 131 132 of ammonia to acidic PM will occur.

133

134 2. Methods

135 2.1 Aircraft Campaigns





136 Five different NASA aircraft campaigns on-board the DC-8 research aircraft and one NSF aircraft campaign on-board the C-130 research aircraft are used in this study. As described 137 below, though the campaigns were sampling ambient (outside) air in various locations around the 138 139 world, the filters were handled and exposed to both aircraft cabin air and indoor temporary laboratory air, where between 20 and 40 people were operating instruments. The campaigns 140 include the Arctic Research of the Composition of the Troposphere from Aircraft and Satellites 141 (ARCTAS) -A (April 2008) and -B (June – July 2008) campaigns (Jacob et al., 2010), the 142 Studies of Emissions and Atmospheric Composition, Clouds, and Climate Coupling by Regional 143 144 Surveys (SEAC⁴RS, August – September 2013) campaign (Toon et al., 2016), the Wintertime 145 INvestigation of Transport, Emissions, and Reactivity (WINTER, February - March 2015) (Schroder et al., 2018), and the Atmospheric Tomography (ATom) -1 (July – August 2016) and 146 -2 (January - February 2017) campaigns (Hodzic et al., 2020). ARCTAS-A was based in 147 148 Fairbanks, Alaska, Thule, Greenland, and Iqaluit, Nunavut, and sampled the Arctic Ocean and 149 Arctic regions of Alaska, Canada, and Greenland; while, ARCTAS-B was based in Cold Lake, 150 Alberta, Canada, and sampled the boreal Canadian forest, including wildfire smoke. SEAC⁴RS was based in Houston, Texas, and sampled biomass burning from western forest fires and 151 agricultural burns along the Mississippi River and the Southern United States, isoprene 152 chemistry over Southern United States and midwestern deciduous forests, and deep convection 153 154 associated with isolated thunderstorms, the North American Monsoon, and tropical depressions. Finally, ATom-1 and -2 sampled the remote atmosphere over the Arctic, Pacific, Southern, and 155 156 Atlantic Oceans during the Northern (Southern) Hemispheric summer (winter) and winter 157 (summer).





For ARCTAS-A, -B, and SEAC⁴RS, the general sampling scheme was regional, sampling large regions at level flight tracks. ATom-1 and -2, being global in nature, only sampled at level legs for short durations (5 - 15 min) at low (~300 m) and high (10 - 12 km) altitude, and did not measure at level altitudes between the low and high altitude. Due to the sampling time of the filters (see Sect. 2.2.2), the entirety of the ascent and descent time was needed for one filter sample. Therefore, all data during the ascents and descents have not been considered in this study to minimize any issues due to the mixing of aerosols of different compositions and acidities.

166

167 2.2 Aerosol Measurements

168 2.2.1 Aerosol Mass Spectrometer

An Aerodyne High-Resolution Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer, flown by the 169 170 University of Colorado-Boulder (CU for short), was flown during the five campaigns used here. 171 The general features of the AMS have been described in prior studies (DeCarlo et al., 2006; Canagaratna et al., 2007), and the specifics of the CU AMS for each campaign has been 172 described elsewhere (Cubison et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2017; Nault et al., 2018; Schroder et al., 173 2018; Guo et al., 2020; Hodzic et al., 2020). In brief, the AMS measured the mass concentration 174 of non-refractory species in PM₁ (PM with an aerodynamic diameter less than 1 µm, see Guo et 175 176 al. (2020) for details). Ambient air was sampled by drawing air through an NCAR 177 High-Performance Instrumental Platform for Environmental Modular Inlet (HIMIL; Stith et al. 178 (2009)) at a constant standard flow rate of 9 L min⁻¹ (T = 273.15 K and P = 1013 hPa). No active 179 drying of the sampling flow was used to minimize artifacts for semi-volatile species, but the





180 temperature differential between ambient and cabin typically ensured the relative humidity (RH) inside the sampling line less than 40% (e.g., Nault et al., 2018). An exception to this was during 181 ATom-1 and -2, where the cabin temperature, along with the high RH in tropics, led to higher RH 182 183 in the sample lines in a few instances in the boundary layer, which was accounted for in the final mass concentrations (Guo et al., 2020). The air sample was introduced into the AMS via an 184 aerodynamic focusing lens (Zhang et al., 2002, 2004), which was operated at 2.00 hPa (1.50 185 Torr), via a pressure-controlled inlet, which was operated at various pressures (94-325 Torr) 186 (Bahreini et al., 2008), depending on the ceiling of the campaign and lens transmission 187 calibrations (Hu et al., 2017b; Nault et al., 2018). The aerosol, once focused, was introduced into 188 detection chamber after three differential pumping stages. The aerosol impacted on an inverted 189 а cone porous tungsten "standard" vaporizer under high vacuum, which was held at ~600°C. Upon 190 impaction, the non-refractory portion of the aerosol (organic, ammonium, nitrate, sulfate, and 191 chloride) were flash-vaporized, and the vapors were ionized by 70 eV electron ionization. The 192 193 ions were then extracted and analyzed with a H-TOF time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Tofwerk AG). The AMS was operated in the "V-mode" ion path (DeCarlo et al., 2006), with spectral 194 resolution $(m/\Delta m)$ of 2500 at m/z 44 and 2800 at m/z 184. The collection efficiency (CE) for 195 AMS was estimated with the parameterization of Middlebrook et al. (2012), which has been 196 shown to perform well for ambient aerosols (Hu et al., 2017a, 2020). The AMS nominally 197 198 samples aerosol with vacuum aerodynamic diameter between 40 nm and 1400 nm, which was calibrated for in SEAC4RS, ATom-1, and -2 (Liu et al., 2017; Guo et al., 2020). Software 199 packages Squirrel and PIKA under Igor Pro 7 (WaveMetrics, Lake Oswego, OR) (DeCarlo et 200 201 al., 2006; Sueper, 2018) were used to analyze all AMS data.





202 A cryogenic pump, to reduce background of ammonium and organics (Nault et al., 2018; 203 Schroder et al., 2018), was flown on the AMS for SEAC⁴RS, ATom-1, and -2; but not for ARCTAS-A and -B. The cryogenic pump lowers the temperature of a copper cylinder 204 surrounding the vaporizer to ~ 90 K. This freezes out the background gases and ensures low 205 detection limits from the beginning of the flight, which is critical since aircraft instruments can 206 typically not be pumped continuously and hence suffer from high backgrounds at switch-on. The 207 2σ accuracy for the AMS for inorganic aerosol is estimated to be 35% (Bahreini et al., 2009; Guo 208 et al., 2020). 209

210

211 2.2.2 Aerosol Filters

Fast collection of aerosol particles onto filters during airborne sampling, via the 212 213 University of New Hampshire Soluble Acidic Gases and Aerosol (SAGA) technique, has been described elsewhere (Dibb et al., 2002, 2003), and was flown during the five campaigns 214 215 investigated here. Briefly, air is sampled into the airplane via a curved leading edge nozzle (Dibb et al., 2002). The inlet is operated isokinetically during flight, and typically has a 50% collection 216 efficiency for aerosol with an aerodynamic diameter of 4.1 µm (Dibb et al., 2002; McNaughton 217 et al., 2007), with some altitude dependence (Guo et al., 2020). Aerosol was collected onto 218 Millipore Fluoropore Teflon filters (90 mm diameter with 1 µm pore size). Collection time was 219 dependent on altitude and estimated mass concentration, but generally 2 to 3 sm³ (where sm³ is 220 standard m^{-3} at temperature = 273 K and pressure = 1013 hPa) volume of air is collected to 221 ensure detectable masses of species (Dibb et al., 2002). The filters were contained in a Delrin 222 223 holder during collection. After collection, the filters were transferred to a particle free





224 polyethylene "clean room" bag, which was filled with zero air, sealed, and stored over dry ice. 225 The samples from the filters were then extracted during non-flight days with 20 mL ultrapure 226 water and preserved with 100 μ L chloroform. The preserved samples were sent to the University 227 of New Hampshire, to be analyzed by ion chromatography. The estimated limit of detection for 228 both sulfate and ammonium is 0.01 μ g sm⁻³ for all missions evaluated here (Dibb et al., 1999).

230 2.2.3 Other Aerosol Measurements

231 The NOAA Particle Analysis by Laser Mass Spectrometer (herein PALMS) was flown during ATom-1 and -2. Details of the PALMS instrument configured for ATom-1 and -2 are 232 described in Froyd et al. (2019). Briefly, PALMS measures the chemical composition of single 233 aerosol particles via laser-ablation/ionization (Murphy and Thomson, 1995; Thomson et al., 234 2000), where the ions are extracted and detected by a time of flight mass spectrometer. The 235 instrument measures particles between 100 nm and 4.8 µm (geometric diameter) (Froyd et al., 236 2019). The measurement of PALMS used in this study is the "sulfate acidity indicator" (Froyd et 237 al., 2009). These authors reported that in the negative ion mode, there is a prominent peak at m/z238 97, corresponding to HSO₄, and another peak at m/z 195, corresponding to the cluster 239 240 HSO_4 (H₂SO₄). The first peak was independent of acidity; whereas, the second peak was 241 dependent acidity. Froyd et (2009) calibrated on al. the PALMS ratio of 242 $HSO_4^{-}(H_2SO_4)/(HSO_4^{-}+HSO_4^{-}(H_2SO_4))$ to Particle-into-Liquid Sampler (PILS) measurements to 243 achieve an estimate of ammonium balance.





244 Besides the chemical composition, the particle number and volume distributions are used ²⁴⁵ here. For SEAC⁴RS, the measurements have been described elsewhere (e.g., Liu et al., 2016). The laser aerosol spectrometer (from TSI), which measured aerosol from geometric diameter 100 246 nm to 6.3 µm, is used here for volume distribution. For the ATom missions, the measurements 247 have been described elsewhere (Kupc et al., 2018; Williamson et al., 2018; Brock et al., 2019). 248 Briefly, the dry particle size distribution, from geometric diameter of 2.7 nm to 4.8 μ m, were 249 measured by a series of optical particle spectrometers, including the Nucleation Model Aerosol 250 Size Spectrometer (3 nm to 60 nm, custom built (Williamson et al., 2018)), an Ultra-High 251 Sensitivity Aerosol Spectrometer (60 nm to 1 µm) from Droplet Measurement Technologies 252 (Kupc et al., 2018)), and Laser Aerosol Spectrometer (120 nm to 4.8 µm) from TSI). These 253 measurements have been split in nucleation mode (3 to 12 nm), Aitken mode (12 to 60 nm), 254 accumulation mode (60 to 500 nm) and coarse mode (500 nm to 4.8 µm). 255

256

257 2.3 Gas-Phase and Other Measurements

258 2.3.1 Ammonia Measurements

Gas-phase ammonia was measured inside the cabin of the NASA DC-8 during the FIREX-AQ campaign (Warneke et al., 2018), a subsequent DC-8 campaign which shared many instrument installations and a similar level of aircraft personnel with the campaigns analyzed here. The location of the instrument and where it sampled cabin ammonia (in relation to where the SAGA filters are located) is shown in Fig. S1. Ammonia was measured by a Picarro G2103 Gas Concentration Analyzer (von Bobrutzki et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2015; Kamp et al., 2019). The instrument is a continuous, cavity ring-down spectrometer. Cabin air is brought into a cavity





at low pressure (18.7 kPa, 140 Torr), where laser light is pulsed into the cavity. The light is reflected by mirrors in the cavity, providing an effective path length of kilometers. A portion of the light penetrates the mirrors, reaching the detectors, where the intensity of the light is measured to determine the mixing ratio of ammonia from the time decay of the light intensity via Beer-Lambert Law. The instrument measures the absorption of infrared light from 6548.5 to 6549.2 cm⁻¹ (Martin et al., 2016). Absorption of gas-phase water is also measured and corrected for. This water vapor measurement is also used to calculate RH inside the cabin of the DC-8 (Filges et al., 2018). Data was logged at 1 Hz.

274

275 2.3.2 Carbon Dioxide and Temperature Measurements

Carbon dioxide inside the cabin of the NASA DC-8 during FIREX-AQ was measured by 276 a HOBO MX1102 Carbon Dioxide Data Logger (HOBO by Onset). It is a self-calibrating carbon 277 dioxide sensor with a range of 0 to 5,000 ppm carbon dioxide and an accuracy of ± 50 ppm. A 278 non-dispersive infrared sensor is used to measure carbon dioxide. Data was acquired once every 279 10 s to once every 2 min. Besides carbon dioxide, RH and temperature are also recorded by the 280 instrument. Prior to each flight, the instrument was turned on and measured ambient carbon 281 dioxide, outside the cabin of the DC-8, to ensure the accuracy of the instrument compared to 282 ambient carbon dioxide measurements. 283

Ambient carbon dioxide during FIREX-AQ was measured by an updated version of the instrument known as Atmospheric Vertical Observations of CO_2 in the Earth's Troposphere (AVOCET) (Vay et al., 2003, 2011). The updated instrument used a modified LI-COR model 7000 non-dispersive infrared spectrometer and measured carbon dioxide at 5 Hz.





Temperature in the cabin was measured by a thermocouple (SEAC⁴RS) or thermistor (ATom-1 and 2) located in the AMS rack or a Vaisala probe located at the front of the airplane (ARCTAS-A, -B, and SEAC⁴RS).

291

292 2.4 Theoretical Ammonia Flux Model

293 To investigate the possibility that the ammonia mixing ratio in the cabin of the DC-8 is ²⁹⁴ high enough to be taken up by acidic PM on a filter during the short time the filter is exposed to cabin air prior to final storage, a theoretical uptake model was constructed to estimate the time 295 scale for ammonia to interact with all the acidic particles (Seinfeld. and Pandis, 2006). The 296 equations used for the model can be found in the Supplemental Information (Sect. S2). The 297 model was initialized with a range of ammonia mixing ratios (1 to 200 ppb) and a range of PM 298 diameters (10 to 1000 nm). The calculations were conducted at 298 K, which is within ± 10 K of 299 typical temperatures inside the cabin of the NASA DC-8 during the five campaigns (Fig. S2). An 300 301 accommodation coefficient of 1 for ammonia onto acidic PM was assumed (Hanson and Kosciuch, 2003), with a density of 1.8 g cm^{-3} for sulfuric acid (Rumble, 2019). For the mass 302 transfer calculations, the transition regime (between the free molecular and continuum regimes) 303 equations were used, using the Fuchs and Sutugin parameterization (Fuchs and Sutugin, 1971). 304 The model was used to estimate the ammonia molecular flux to acidic PM on the filter (Eq. S3). 305 306 Finally, the molecular flux was used to estimate the time it would take all the particles to be partially neutralized by ammonia in the cabin (Eq. S4), though this may be a lower limit 307 308 (Robbins and Cadle, 1958; Daumer et al., 1992).

309





310 3. Results and Discussion

311 3.1 Comparison of On-Line and Off-Line Ion Balances across the Tropospheric Column

312 SAGA and AMS co-sampled aerosols during multiple aircraft campaigns. Nitrate quickly 313 evaporates from aerosols as the aerosols are transported away from source regions and is typically small in the global troposphere (DeCarlo et al., 2008; Hennigan et al., 2008; Hodzic et 314 315 al., 2020). Thus, in Fig. 2 the mass concentrations for the two most important submicron 316 contributors to ammonium balance, ammonium and sulfate, are compared from the aircraft campaigns. The campaigns generally sampled remote air, either continental or oceanic, except 317 for biomass burning sampled during ARCTAS-B and SEAC⁴RS and downwind of urban areas 318 319 during WINTER. The measurements, for mass concentrations greater than 0.1 μ g sm⁻³, are generally within the combined uncertainties of the two instruments. Sulfate generally remains on 320 the one-to-one line, even at low mass concentrations. However, ammonium shows a large 321 divergence between the two measurements for mass concentrations less than 0.1 µg sm⁻³ during 322 323 all six aircraft campaigns. As shown in Fig. 2, the divergence in ammonium occurs well above the limit-of-detection for both instruments, namely ~ 4 ng sm⁻³ for AMS for a 5-minute average 324 325 (DeCarlo et al., 2006; Guo et al., 2020) and 10 ng sm⁻³ for SAGA (Dibb et al., 1999), for both ammonium and sulfate. 326

This divergence in ammonium mass concentration is thus reflected in the ammonium balance, defined as the ratio of ammonium to sulfate plus nitrate, in moles (Fig. 3). For all campaigns, the two measurements show differences in ammonium balance, especially at higher altitudes, where the aerosols is distant from ammonia emissions (Dentener and Crutzen, 1994; Paulot et al., 2015), but sulfate production can continue due to vertical transport of precursors





such as SO_2 . On average, the SAGA measurements indicate ammonium balance rarely below 0.5 throughout the troposphere; whereas, the AMS measurements indicate that ammonium balance generally drops to below 0.2 for pressures less than 400 hPa. Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 indicate either differences in the ammonium balance due to differences in aerosols population sampled, as SAGA measures larger aerosols diameters than AMS (Guo et al., 2020), or potential artifacts with one of the measurements.

338 Both the AMS and the filters sample most of the submicron aerosols (see Guo et al. (2020) for details), but the filters also sample supermicron particles that the AMS does not. 339 Therefore it is possible in principle that the difference could be due to ammonium present in 340 supermicron particles. As discussed in Guo et al. (2020), nearly 100% of the measured volume 341 occurs for aerosols $< 1 \ \mu m$ above the marine boundary layer, where the largest difference in 342 ammonium balance between the filters and AMS occurs (Fig. 3). Further, ammonium has been 343 observed to be a small fraction of the supermicron mass (Kline et al., 2004; Cozic et al., 2008; 344 345 Pratt and Prather, 2010), except for instances of continental fog (Yao and Zhang, 2012) and Asian dust events (Heim et al., 2020). An upper estimate of supermicron ammonium can be 346 calculated using results from prior studies (Kline et al., 2004; Cozic et al., 2008). In these prior 347 studies, ~90% of the ammonium was submicron. With the average ammonium observed during 348 349 ATom-1 and -2 (\sim 10 to 50 ng sm⁻³) (Hodzic et al., 2020), that would suggest an upper limit of \sim 1 to 5 ng sm⁻³ ammonium in the supermicron aerosols. This upper estimate does not explain the 350 differences between AMS and filters during ATom-1 and -2 (Fig. S3), as the percent difference 351 increases with decreasing estimated supermicron ammonium volume. As the largest differences 352 353 between the AMS and filters occur well above the boundary layer (Fig. 3), away from





continental ammonia sources (Dentener and Crutzen, 1994) and Asian dust events, we conclude
that the sampling of supermicron aerosols by filters is not leading to the observed differences in
ammonium.

Prior studies by PALMS have shown aerosols observed for pressure < 400 hPa to be 357 acidic, depending on potential recent influence of boundary layer air via convection (Froyd et al., 358 2009; Liao et al., 2015), similar to observations by other single particle mass spectrometers (Pratt 359 and Prather, 2010). Though not reaching similarly low $NH_4/(2 \times SO_4)$ values as the AMS, the 360 PALMS acidity marker shows much lower values than were determined by the aerosols collected 361 on the filters (Fig. S4). Different reasons for PALMS not achieving as low values as AMS may 362 include differences in aerosols sizes sampled by PALMS versus AMS (Guo et al., 2020), and the 363 sensitivity of the acidity marker to laser power (Liao et al., 2015). Thus, two different on-line 364 measurements indicate that the ammonium balance is lower than the aerosols collected on filters, 365 suggesting potentially more acidic aerosols. 366

367 Differences in ammonium balance between AMS and SAGA are detectable for sulfate 368 mass concentrations $\leq 1 \ \mu g \ sm^{-3}$ (Fig. 4) for all six aircraft campaigns. As the sulfate mass concentration decreases, the relative differences in ammonium, and thus ammonium balance, 369 increase. The large majority of the troposphere contains sulfate mass concentrations in which the 370 differences in ammonium are observed, highlighting the importance of this problem (Fig. 4a). 371 Thus, except for more polluted conditions (> 1 μ g sm⁻³ sulfate), which mainly occurs in 372 continental (Jimenez et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2015; Malm et al., 2017) and urban regions 373 (Jimenez et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2018; Nault et al., 2018), this bias between 374 375 filters and on-line measurements is critically important, especially since airborne measurements





are often the only meaningful observational constraints for remote regions. Thus, this analysis suggest that for filters handled in indoor environments with large ammonia mixing ratios (see below), a more meaningful ammonium limit-of-detection would be equivalent to 1 μ g sm⁻³ sulfate, which would be ~0.2 μ g sm⁻³ ammonium.

380

381 3.2 Ammonia Levels on the NASA DC-8 Cabins

382 Prior studies have suggested that various sources of ammonia could impact acidic filter measurements (Klockow et al., 1979; Hayes et al., 1980; Koutrakis et al., 1988). Some of these 383 studies found that the materials of the containers where the filters are stored, unless thoroughly 384 cleaned and not stored around humans, are a source of ammonia gas that reacts with the sulfuric 385 acid on the filters to become ammonium, leading to ammonium bisulfate or ammonium sulfate 386 (Haves et al., 1980). Further, handling of acidic filters in rooms with people or acidic aerosol in 387 the presence of human breath can also lead to near to complete neutralization of acidic aerosol 388 389 (Larson et al., 1977; Hayes et al., 1980; Clark et al., 1995). Finally, various studies have suggested that the SAGA filters specifically may be impacted by various ammonia sources prior 390 to sampling with the ion chromatography (Dibb et al., 1999, 2000; Fisher et al., 2011). 391

During SAGA sampling, the filters with collected aerosol are moved from the sample collector to a Teflon bag that is filled with clean air. During this step, the filter is exposed to the cabin air of the DC-8 for ~10 s. As humans are a source of ammonia (Larson et al., 1977; Clark et al., 1995; Sutton et al., 2000; Finewax et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020), this source sustains significant ammonia concentrations in indoor environments, which could potentially bias the filter measurements. *On-line* measurements would not be subject to this effect since the sampled





398 air is not exposed to cabin air before measurement. While inlet lines for *on-line* instruments 399 could in theory lead to some memory effects, there is no evidence of such effects in the data 400 (e.g., the response going from a large, neutralized plume into the acidic FT is nearly 401 instantaneous (Schroder et al., 2018)).

During a recent 2019 NASA DC-8 aircraft campaign, FIREX-AQ, ammonia was 402 measured on-board the DC-8 during several research flights. An example time series of cabin 403 ammonia, temperature, and RH is shown in Fig. 5. Prior to take-off, as scientists were slowly 404 boarding the airplane, the ammonia mixing ratio was low (< 20 ppbv) and similar to ambient 405 levels of ammonia outside the aircraft. As scientists started boarding before take-off, the 406 ammonia mixing ratio increased. Upon doors closing, the mixing ratio leveled off at ~40 ppbv. 407 After take-off, the mixing ratio remained ~40 ppby, though there were changes related to 408 changes in cabin temperature and humidity, which would affect emission rates and also 409 adsorption of ammonia onto cabin surfaces (Sutton et al., 2000; Finewax et al., 2020; Li et al., 410 2020) and movement of scientists throughout the cabin, which would affect emission rates and 411 their location. 412

The average ($\pm 1\sigma$ spread of the observations) and median ammonia in the cabin of the DC-8 during FIREX-AQ was 45.4 \pm 19.9 and 41.9 ppbv (Fig. 6). There was a large positive tail in ammonia mixing ratio, related to high temperatures (Fig. S5), which causes the scientists to perspire more and release more ammonia (Sutton et al., 2000; Finewax et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020). Compared to outdoor ammonia mixing ratios, ranging from urban to remote locations, the ammonia in the cabin of the DC-8 is higher by a factor of 2 to 2000 (Fig. 6). On the other hand,





419 the ammonia measured in the cabin of the DC-8 is similar but towards the lower end of the 420 mixing ratios measured during various indoor studies (Table S1 for compilation of references).

421 The ammonia mixing ratios observed in the cabin were verified by investigating the cabin 422 air exchange rates (see SI Sect. S3). Using carbon dioxide measurements, the exchange rate in the cabin was calculated to be 9.9 hr⁻¹ (Fig. S6), which is similar to literature values for the cabin 423 exchange rate of other passenger airliners (Hunt and Space, 1994; Hocking, 1998; Brundrett, 424 2001; National Research Council, 2002). This value is a factor of 2 to 5 higher than typical 425 exchange rates for commercial buildings (Hunt and Space, 1994; Pagonis et al., 2019), which 426 would suggest lower mixing ratios than observed in other indoor environments. Using this 427 exchange rate, and the literature total ammonia emission rates from humans (1940 $\mu g\ hr^{\text{-1}}$ 428 person⁻¹ (Sutton et al., 2000)) and the average of ambient ammonia mixing ratios as an outdoor 429 background onto which the human emissions in the cabin are added (~4.4 ppbv, Fig. 6), the 430 ammonia mixing ratio in the cabin of the DC-8 was estimated to be 43.4 ppbv, which is within 431 the uncertainty of the average ammonia (45.4 ± 19.9 ppbv) inside the cabin of the DC-8. Thus, the 432 observed ammonia mixing ratios in the cabin of the DC-8 are consistent with the cabin air 433 exchange rates and literature human ammonia emissions. These mixing ratios are approximately 434 factor of nine higher than in a typical laboratory environment (Fig. S7), as there are fewer 435 а people (1 to 4 versus 20 to 40), making the cabin of the DC-8 an extreme laboratory environment 436 437 for handling acidic filters. As shown in Fig. 6, ammonia mixing ratios in indoor environments are high enough to change the thermodynamics of inorganic aerosol, leading to higher 438 439 ammonium balances (Weber et al., 2016). Thus, similar to the conclusions of other studies, the





440 cabin of the DC-8 is an important source of ammonia that could lead to biases with acidic441 aerosols collected on filters.

442

443 **3.3** Can Uptake of Cabin Ammonia Explain the Higher Ammonium Concentrations on 444 Filters?

As shown in Fig. 6, the cabin of the DC-8 is an important source of ammonia from the 445 446 breathing and perspiring of scientists. Prior studies (Klockow et al., 1979; Huntzicker et al., 1980; Daumer et al., 1992; Liggio et al., 2011) have shown in laboratory settings that 10 s is fast 447 enough to partially to fully neutralize sulfuric acid. Thus, here we investigate whether the time of 448 the filter handling of 10 s will lead to partial to full neutralization of sulfuric acid from cabin 449 ammonia, or whether this time is fast enough to limit exposure of the acidic filter to cabin 450 ammonia. Huntzicker et al. (1980) showed that for typical aerosol modal distributions (Fig. 7) 451 and cabin RH (Fig. S9), an initial pure sulfuric acid aerosol, suspended in a flow reactor, reaches 452 453 equal molar amounts of ammonium and sulfate (i.e., ammonium bisulfate) when exposed to 70 ppb ammonia in 10 s. This indicates the plausibility that acidic aerosol filters, which typically 454 455 have lower sulfate mass concentrations than Huntzicker et al. (1980) ($\sim 2 \mu g$ versus $\sim 55 \mu g$ sulfate equivalent on filters), would interact with cabin ammonia to form at least ammonium 456 bisulfate. Further, other studies found that in less than 10 s, sulfuric acid aerosol, suspended in a 457 458 flow reactor, at RH \leq 45%, will completely react with gas-phase ammonia to form ammonium sulfate (Robbins and Cadle, 1958; Daumer et al., 1992). The latter study used ammonia mixing 459 ratios similar to the amount observed in the cabin of the DC-8 (~30 ppbv); whereas, the former 460 461 study used excess ammonia (~9 ppmv).





First, the time of diffusion of ammonia gas from the surface to the interior of the filter 463 was investigated, as there is a potential for the PM to be embedded deep into the filter. Eq. 1 464 (Seinfeld. and Pandis, 2006):

465
$$\tau_{diffusion} = \frac{d_t^2}{8D_g}$$
 Eq. 1

where d_t^2 is the depth of the Teflon (~0.015 cm) and D_g is the diffusion coefficient of ammonia in 467 air (0.228 cm² s⁻¹) (Spiller, 1989). Therefore, the estimated timescale for ammonia to diffuse 468 through the depth of the Teflon filter is ~1×10⁻⁴ s, meaning that the surface of PM will always be 469 in contact with cabin-level mixing ratios of ammonia.

A theoretical uptake model for ammonia to acidic PM on filters was run for a range of 470 ammonia mixing ratios and PM diameters (Fig. 7). As shown in Fig. 7, only at the lowest 471 472 ammonia mixing ratios (< 10 ppbv), the flux of ammonia to acidic PM is slower (> 20 s) than the typical filter handling time (~ 10 s) for typical aerosol diameters in the remote atmosphere. For 473 474 the conditions of the DC-8, similar to other indoor environments (> 20 ppbv ammonia, Fig. 6), and ambient aerosol diameters in the accumulation mode that contains most ambient sulfate (Fig. 475 7), the amount of time needed for cabin ammonia to interact with acidic PM on filters to form 476 ammonium bisulfate is ≤ 10 s, similar to the results of Huntzicker et al. (1980). Also, studies 477 show that the kinetic limitation to form ammonium sulfate $((NH_4)_2SO_4)$ versus ammonium 478 bisulfate (NH_4HSO_4) is relatively low and can occur within the 10 s time frame (Robbins and 479 Cadle, 1958; Daumer et al., 1992). A laboratory setting with ~5 ppbv NH₃ would result in the 480 filters needing to be exposed to laboratory air for at least 40 s to form ammonium bisulfate (Fig. 481 482 S8) versus the 3 to 10 s for conditions in the cabin of the DC-8 (Fig. 7), further exemplifying the 483 challenging conditions of the DC-8 cabin for filter sampling.





484 The prior analysis made the assumption that the PM maintained a spherical shape upon impacting the Teflon filter. More viscous (i.e., solid) PM is more likely to maintain a spherical 485 shape on filters whereas less viscous (i.e., liquid) PM will spread and become more similar to 486 487 cylindrical shape (e.g., Slade et al., 2019). As more acidic aerosol is more likely to be liquid (e.g., Murray and Bertram, 2008), an exploration of cylindrical shape was conducted. Depending 488 on the assumed height of the cylindrical shape, the timescale for a molecule of ammonia to 489 interact with a molecule of sulfuric acid decreases from ~5 s (for maximum ammonia and 490 aerosol volume) to ~ 4 s (assuming height of cylinder equals radius of sphere) to less than 1 s as 491 height decreases from 25 nm or less. The aerosol deforming and spreading upon impacting the 492 filters increases the particle surface area, and decreases the amount of time for cabin ammonium 493 to interact with the acidic PM. Thus, less viscous aerosol has more rapid uptake and interaction 494 with ammonia due to the higher surface area. 495

A potential limitation to the model is the accommodation coefficient of ammonia to 496 497 acidic PM, as there are conflicting reports on its value (Hanson and Kosciuch, 2004; Worsnop et al., 2004). However, as shown in Worsnop et al. (2004), once the sulfuric acid weight percentage 498 is 50% or greater, the different studies converge to an accommodation coefficient of ~ 1 . Various 499 studies indicate that the RH in the cabin of jet airplanes is low due to how air is brought into the 500 airplane, typically < 20% (Hunt and Space, 1994; Brundrett, 2001; National Research Council, 501 502 2002). Even though the ambient RH may be higher than the RH in the cabin of the DC-8, the water equilibration is rapid (< 1 s) for the temperature of the cabin of the DC-8, even for very 503 viscous aerosol (Shiraiwa et al., 2011; Price et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2019), meaning the PM on the 504 505 filter would rapidly reach equilibrium with the cabin RH upon exposure. This would result in a \geq





506 60% sulfuric acid weight percentage (Wilson, 1921) for the typical RH ranges in the cabin of 507 typical airlines. However, various measurements in the DC-8 cabin indicate the RH is $\leq 40\%$ 508 (Fig. S9), leading to sulfuric acid weight percentage of 50% or greater (Wilson, 1921). 509 Therefore, the accommodation coefficient of ~1 is well-constrained by the literature. Thus, the 510 handling of the filters between the sampling inlet to the Teflon bag exposes the acidic PM to 511 enough gas-phase ammonia towards forming ammonium bisulfate or ammonium sulfate, biasing 512 high ammonium from the filters. This explains the differences seen in Fig. 1 – Fig. 4.

513 Another potential limitation is that the PM on the filters could form a layer, as multiple 514 particles pile up on top of each other, slowing the diffusion of ammonia to be taken up by acidic 515 PM. The filters have a one-sided surface area of 6.4×10^{-3} m², while an individual particle at the 516 mode of the volume distribution (Fig. 7) has a projected surface area of $\sim 7.1 \times 10^{-14}$ m². Thus, $\sim 9.0 \times 10^{10}$ particles would need to be collected to form a single layer of PM on the filter. The 517 ⁵¹⁸ number of molecules in a single particle of the mode size is $\sim 1.4 \times 10^8$ molecules (Eq. S2). Therefore, $\sim 1.3 \times 10^{19}$ molecules need to be collected onto the filters in order to form a monolayer 519 of PM, which is equivalent to $\sim 2.2 \times 10^3 \ \mu g$ total aerosol collected or $\sim 700 \ \mu g \ sm^{-3}$ aerosol 520 521 concentration. As the mass concentration in ATom was typically $\sim 1 \ \mu g \ sm^{-3}$ (Hodzic et al., 2020), and total aerosol concentrations that high is rarely seen except for extreme events (such as 522 the thickest fresh wildfire plumes), it is very unlikely that more particle layering would delay the 523 524 diffusion of ammonia to acidic PM.

Various sensitivity analyses of the uptake of ammonia to sulfuric acid were conducted. First, there is minimal impact of cabin temperature on the results. Though there was a 25 K range in cabin temperature (Fig. S2), the impact on the molecular speed of ammonia in the model (Eq.





528 S1) leads to a $\pm 2\%$ change in molecular speed, resulting in small changes in the time. Further, only large changes in the accommodation coefficient with temperature occurs for sulfuric acid 529 weight percentages < 40% (Swartz et al., 1999), which is smaller than the weight percentage 530 expected for the filters in the cabin of the DC-8. For the temperature range of the cabin of the 531 DC-8 (Fig. S2), the coefficient changes by less than 10%, which leads to a total maximum 532 change in Fig. 7 of $\pm 12\%$. The largest impact on the results in Fig. 7 is changing the 533 accommodation coefficient. Reducing the accommodation coefficient by a factor of 10, though 534 not representative of the DC-8 cabin conditions, would mean the acidic PM would need to be 535 exposed to ammonia for ≥ 1 minute (Fig. S10). It is expected that the lower accommodation 536 coefficient will occur for conditions with higher RH (>80%), suggesting typical laboratory 537 conditions (along with the lower ammonia mixing ratios) or ambient conditions may experience 538 lower ammonia uptake to acidic PM. Finally, organic coatings may impact the accommodation 539 coefficient of ammonia to sulfuric acid; however, the amount of reduction on the accommodation 540 coefficient has varied among studies (e.g., Daumer et al., 1992; Liggio et al., 2011). Daumer et 541 al. (1992) showed no impact; whereas, Liggio et al. (2011) found a similar impact to reducing the 542 accommodation coefficient by a factor of 10 (Fig. S10). Thus, the results in Fig. 7 are in line 543 with Daumer et al. (1992) while the results in Fig. S10 are in line with Liggio et al. (2011). 544

545

546 3.4 Impacts of Ammonia Uptake on Acidic Filters

547 As discussed throughout this study, uptake of cabin ammonia during the handling of 548 acidic filters can lead to biases in ammonium mass concentrations. However, other potential 549 sources of biases include the material used for sampling and storing the filter (Hayes et al.,





550 1980), and the preparation of the filter in the field to be sampled by ion chromatography. As the 551 preparation of the filters occurs indoors, as well, the filters will be exposed to similar ammonia 552 mixing ratios to those shown in Fig. 6.

Further, filter collection of aerosols is a widely used technique outside of aircraft 553 campaigns, including for regulatory purposes and long-term monitoring at various locations 554 around the world. For many of these sites, ammonia denuders are used to minimize biases of 555 ammonium on filters (e.g., (Baltensperger et al., 2003)). Data from remote, high altitude locations 556 have indicated that the ammonium balance is less than one (Cozic et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2009; 557 Freney et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2019), similar to the observations from the AMS shown in Fig. 558 3. However, this is dependent on air mass origin and type (Cozic et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2009; 559 Fröhlich et al., 2015). Thus, sampling of remote aerosols with filters does provide evidence of 560 ammonium balances less than one due to a combination of procedures to minimize interaction of 561 gas-phase ammonia with the acidic filters and the lower human presence (and potentially cooler 562 563 temperatures at high, remote, mountaintop locations such as Jungfraujoch).

However, there are some long-term monitoring stations that do not use denuders or other 564 practices to minimize the interaction of ammonia with acidic aerosols. For example, the Clean 565 Air Status and Trends Network (CASTNET), which is located throughout the continental United 566 States, measures ammonium, sulfate, and nitrate (Solomon et al., 2014). The CASTNET system 567 568 uses an open-face system to collect aerosols on Teflon filters for approximately one week for each filter (Lavery et al., 2009). In comparison, the Chemical Speciation Monitoring Network 569 (CSN), which also samples the continental United States and collects the aerosols on Nylon or 570 Teflon filters, a denuder is used to scrub gas-phase ammonia to minimize interaction of ammonia 571





572 with acidic aerosols on filters (Solomon et al., 2000, 2014). The comparison between these two long-term monitoring sites show very different trends of ammonium balance versus total 573 inorganic mass concentration (Fig. S11). For CSN, the ammonium balance decreases with mass 574 575 concentration whereas CASTNET remains nearly constant. This is similar to the comparison between SAGA and AMS in Fig. 4. This difference between the two sampling techniques may 576 be due to the lack of denuder in CASTNET to remove gas-phase ammonia. The use of the 577 denuders has led to CSN and other monitoring networks that use denuders to be more in-line 578 with in-situ observations (Kim et al., 2015; Weber et al., 2016). Further, as shown in Fig. S8, 579 exposure of an unprotected acidic filter for time greater than 1 day will lead to ammonia reacting 580 with the acid to form ammonium bisulfate or ammonium sulfate, even at low ammonia mixing 581 ratios. Thus, without denuders, or handling of filters with more than one person present, will lead 582 to similar differences between in-situ sampling versus filter collection of inorganic aerosols 583 observed during various aircraft campaigns. 584

585 Further, the uptake of ammonia onto acidic aerosols will impact comparisons with chemical transport models (CTMs) and the understanding of various physical processes. For 586 example, various CTMs predict different results for the mass concentration of ammonium in the 587 upper troposphere (Wang et al., 2008a; Fisher et al., 2011; Ge et al., 2018), and selection of one 588 measurement versus the other will lead to different degrees of agreement. For example, for filters 589 590 that collect aerosols similar to those described here (no ammonia scrubber and/or exposed to human emissions of ammonia), values of ammonium $< 0.2 \mu \text{g m}^3$ should not be used and either 591 disregarded or instead use on-line measurements of ammonium. This different agreement 592 593 impacts our understanding of important processes, such as the direct radiative impact of





inorganic aerosol (Wang et al., 2008b) or deposition of inorganic gases and aerosols (Nenes et al., 2020a), as the gas-phase species have a faster deposition rate than the aerosol-phase. Finally, the measurement biases can impact the suggested regulations to improve air quality (Nenes et al., 2020b) and the calculated aerosol pH, as the pH is sensitive to the partitioning of ammonia between the aerosol- and gas-phase (e.g., Hennigan et al., 2015).

599

600 Conclusions

601 Collection of aerosols onto filters to measure aerosol mass concentration and composition is valuable for improving our understanding of the emissions and chemistry of inorganic aerosol, 602 and longstanding, multi-decadal filter-based records of atmospheric composition are invaluable 603 to analyze atmospheric change. However, as had been discussed in earlier studies, acidic aerosols 604 collected on filters are susceptible to uptake of gas-phase ammonia, which interacts with the 605 acidic aerosol to form an ammonium salt (e.g., ammonium bisulfate or ammonium sulfate). This 606 607 artifact in filter measurements can bias our understanding on the chemical composition of the aerosol, which impacts numerous atmospheric processes. 608

We show that across six different aircraft campaigns, the aerosol collected on filters showed a substantially higher ammonium mass concentration and ammonium balance compared 611 to AMS measurements. Further, another *on-line* measurement (PALMS) also shows lower 612 ammonium-to-sulfate ratios than for the filters. These differences are not due to differences in 613 the aerosol size ranges sampled by the PALMS and the filters. Instead, we show that the mixing 614 ratio of gas-phase ammonia in the cabin of the DC-8 is high enough (mean ~45 ppbv), and 615 similar to other indoor environments, to interact with acidic aerosol collected on filters in ≤ 10 s,





to form ammonium salts. These results are consistent with prior studies investigating this interference. Thus, due to the interaction of ammonia in the cabin of research aircraft, we suggest that a more realistic limit-of-detection of ammonium is 200 ng sm⁻³, versus the 10 ng sm⁻³ typically cited based on the ion chromatography measurement. Finally, even though methods to reduce this bias have been implemented in several ground-based long-term filter measurements of inorganic aerosols, there are still some networks that collect inorganic aerosol without denuders to remove gas-phase ammonia, leading to similar discrepancies between ground networks as observed between filters and AMS on the various aircraft campaigns. Careful practice in both the aerosol collection and filtering handling is necessary to better understand the emissions, chemistry, and chemical and physical properties of inorganic aerosol.

626

627 Acknowledgements

628

This study was supported by NASA grants NNX15AH33A, NNX15AJ23G, 80NSSC18K0630, 629 and 80NSSC19K0124. We thank Glenn Diskin and the DACOM team for the use of the CO₂ 630 measurements from FIREX-AQ, Bruce Anderson, Luke Ziemba, and the LARGE team for the 631 632 use of the LAS volume distribution from SEAC⁴RS, Karl Froyd, Greggory Schill, and Daniel 633 Murphy for the use of the PALMS observations from ATom-1 and -2, and Charles Brock, 634 Agnieszka Kupc, and Christina Williamson for the volume distribution measurements during 635 ATom-1 and -2. Also, we thank J. Andrew Neuman for the use of the Picarro G2103 during 636 FIREX-AQ. We thank the crew of the DC-8 and C-130 aircraft for extensive support in the field 637 deployments. We specifically thank Adam Webster and the crew of the NASA DC-8 in their assistance and persistence in allowing us to install the Picarro G2103 during FIREX-AQ in order 638 to measure ammonia in the cabin. 639

640

641 Data Availability

642

ARCTAS-A -B available 643 and measurements are at 644 http://doi.org/10.5067/SUBORBITAL/ARCTAS2008/DATA001, last access 27 April 2020. 645 SEAC⁴RS measurements available are at 646 http://doi.org/10.5067/Aircraft/SEAC4RS/Aerosol-TraceGas-Cloud, last access 27 April 2020. 647 WINTER measurements are available at https://data.eol.ucar.edu/master lists/generated/winter/, April 2020. ATom-1 and -2 measurements are available at 648 last access 27 https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1581, last access 27 April 2020. Ammonia and carbon 649 650 dioxide measurements from the cabin of the DC-8 are available as an attachment . CSN and





651CASTNETmeasurementsareavailableat652http://views.cira.colostate.edu/fed/QueryWizard/Default.aspx, last access 27 April 2020.





653 Figures



654

Figure 1. Vertical profile of sulfate-only ion molar balance (moles(NH_4)/moles(SO_4)) measured difference during PEM-Tropics by collecting the aerosol on filters and analyzing it off-line with ion chromatography (Dibb et al., 2002) and during ATom-1 and -2 by AMS (Hodzic et al., 2020). The ammonium balance profile is for observations collected during ATom-1 and -2 between espective of sufficient to be an analyzing in the Pacific basin, so that the observations were in a similar location as the PEM-Tropics samples. Also shown is the ammonium balance from observations summarized in Paulot et al. (2015), and reference therein, for the area around the same location as PEM-Tropics.

663







Figure 2. Scatter plot of AMS (y-axis) versus SAGA filter (x-axis) ammonium (top) and sulfate (bottom) mass concentration from 6 different aircraft campaigns. AMS data have been averaged over the SAGA filter collection times. Black line is the one-to-one line and the grey dash-dot lines are the estimated detection limits for AMS (DeCarlo et al., 2006; Guo et al., 2020) at the SAGA filter collection interval (~5 minutes) and the estimated detection limits for SAGA (Dibb et al., 1999). Data has been averaged to the sampling time of SAGA and has not been filtered for supermicron particles. For ATom-1 and -2, data during ascent and descent has been removed (only level sampling at low altitude and high altitude).







Figure 3. Vertical profiles of ammonium balance $((NH_{4}/18)/(2 \times SO_{4}/96 + NO_{3}/62))$ for (a) 675 ARCTAS-A, (b) ARCTAS-B, (c) SEAC⁴RS, (d) WINTER, (e) ATom-1, and (f) ATom-2, for AMS 676 and SAGA. The binned data is the mean for each 100 hPa pressure level. The data has been 677 averaged to the sampling time of SAGA filters.





678

679



Figure 4. (a) Predicted normalized probability distribution function (PDF) for tropospheric (pressure > 250 hPa) sulfate from GEOS-Chem for one model year (see SI). (b) Difference between SAGA and AMS ammonium, in mol sm⁻³, divided by AMS sulfate and nitrate, in mol sm⁻³, versus AMS sulfate ($\mu g \ sm^{-3}$), for the six different airborne campaigns. The values shown are binned deciles for the five different airborne campaigns. The fit shown in (b) is for all data from all campaigns.







Figure 5. *Time series of ammonia (left) and relative humidity and temperature (right) measured inside the cabin of the NASA DC-8 during a flight during the FIREX-AQ campaign. Time spent prior to take-off is marked with a grey background.*





690



Figure 6. (a) Ammonia (NH_3) (ppbv) reported for studies. See Table S1 for references. Asterisk after study name indicates NH_3 predicted by thermodynamic model instead of being measured. (b) Normalized probability distribution function (PDF) for NH_3 , measured in the cabin of the NASA DC-8 during FIREX-AQ.







Figure 7. Theoretical calculation for the amount of time it would take for all the sulfuric acid on for the filter to react with one ammonia molecule to become ammonium bisulfate. Volume distribution is the average from SEAC⁴RS and ATom-2 (adapted from Guo et al. (2020)) and the normalized probability distribution function (Norm. PDF) is from Fig. 6. The representative diameter and ammonia mixing ratio are shown as dashed lines in the calculated timescale.





701 References

702 Abbatt, J. P. D., Benz, S., Cziczo, D. J., Kanji, Z., Lohmann, U. and Möhler, O.: Solid 703 ammonium sulfate aerosols as ice nuclei: a pathway for cirrus cloud formation, Science, 704 313(5794), 1770–1773, 2006.

705 Ampollini, L., Katz, E. F., Bourne, S., Tian, Y., Novoselac, A., Goldstein, A. H., Lucic, G., 706 Waring, M. S. and DeCarlo, P. F.: Observations and Contributions of Real-Time Indoor 707 Ammonia Concentrations during HOMEChem, Environ. Sci. Technol., 53(15), 8591–8598, 708 2019.

Bahreini, R., Dunlea, E. J., Matthew, B. M., Simons, C., Docherty, K. S., DeCarlo, P. F., Jimenez,
J. L., Brock, C. A. and Middlebrook, A. M.: Design and Operation of a Pressure-Controlled Inlet
for Airborne Sampling with an Aerodynamic Aerosol Lens, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 42(6),
465–471, 2008.

Bahreini, R., Ervens, B., Middlebrook, A. M., Warneke, C., De Gouw, J. A., DeCarlo, P. F.,
Jimenez, J. L., Brock, C. A., Neuman, J. A., Ryerson, T. B., Stark, H., Atlas, E., Brioude, J.,
Fried, A., Holloway, J. S., Peischl, J., Richter, D., Walega, J., Weibring, P., Wollny, A. G. and
Fehsenfeid, F. C.: Organic aerosol formation in urban and industrial plumes near Houston and
Dallas, Texas, J. Geophys. Res. D: Atmos., 114(16), 1–17, 2009.

Baltensperger, U., Barrie, L., Frohlich, C., Gras, J., Jager, H., Jennings, S. G., Li, S.-M., Ogren,
J., Widensohler, A., Wehrli, C. and Wilson, J.: WMO/GAW Aerosol Measurement Procedures
Guidelines and Recommendations, WMO GAW. [online] Available from:
https://library.wmo.int/doc num.php?explnum id=9244, 2003.

von Bobrutzki, K., Braban, C. F., Famulari, D., Jones, S. K., Blackall, T., Smith, T. E. L., Blom,
M., Coe, H., Gallagher, M., Ghalaieny, M., McGillen, M. R., Percival, C. J., Whitehead, J. D.,
Ellis, R., Murphy, J., Mohacsi, A., Pogany, A., Junninen, H., Rantanen, S., Sutton, M. A. and
Nemitz, E.: Field inter-comparison of eleven atmospheric ammonia measurement techniques,
Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3(1), 91–112, 2010.

Brock, C. A., Williamson, C., Kupc, A., Froyd, K. D., Erdesz, F., Wagner, N., Richardson, M.,
Schwarz, J. P., Gao, R.-S., Katich, J. M., Campuzano-Jost, P., Nault, B. A., Schroder, J. C.,
Jimenez, J. L., Weinzierl, B., Dollner, M., Bui, T. and Murphy, D. M.: Aerosol size distributions
during the Atmospheric Tomography Mission (ATom): methods, uncertainties, and data products,
Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12(6), 3081–3099, 2019.

Brundrett, G.: Comfort and health in commercial aircraft: a literature review, J. R. Soc. Promot.Health, 121(1), 29–37, 2001.

Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Jimenez, J. L., Allan, J. D., Alfarra, M. R., Zhang, Q., Onasch,
T. B., Drewnick, F., Coe, H., Middlebrook, A., Delia, A., Williams, L. R., Trimborn, A. M.,
Northway, M. J., DeCarlo, P. F., Kolb, C. E., Davidovits, P. and Worsnop, D. R.: Chemical and
microphysical characterization of ambient aerosols with the aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer,





- 738 Mass Spectrom. Rev., 26(2), 185–222, 2007.
- 739 Cheng, Y. and He, K.-B.: Measurement of carbonaceous aerosol with different sampling r40 configurations and frequencies, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 8(7), 2639–2648, 2015.
- 741 Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Lowenthal, D. H. and Magliano, K. L.: Loss of PM 25 Nitrate from 742 Filter Samples in Central California, J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc., 55(8), 1158–1168, 2005.
- 743 Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Chen, L.-W. A., Rice, J. and Frank, N. H.: Quantification of PM₂₅
- 744 organic carbon sampling artifacts in US networks, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(12), 5223-5239, 745 2010.
- 746 Clark, K. W., Anderson, K. R., Linn, W. S. and Gong, H., Jr: Influence of breathing-zone 747 ammonia on human exposures to acid aerosol pollution, J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc., 45(11), 748 923–925, 1995.

749 Cohen, A. J., Brauer, M., Burnett, R., Anderson, H. R., Frostad, J., Estep, K., Balakrishnan, K., 750 Brunekreef, B., Dandona, L., Dandona, R., Feigin, V., Freedman, G., Hubbell, B., Jobling, A., 751 Kan, H., Knibbs, L., Liu, Y., Martin, R., Morawska, L., Pope, C. A., Shin, H., Straif, K., 752 Shaddick, G., Thomas, M., van Dingenen, R., van Donkelaar, A., Vos, T., Murray, C. J. L. and 753 Forouzanfar, M. H.: Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of disease attributable to 754 ambient air pollution: an analysis of data from the Global Burden of Diseases Study 2015, 755 Lancet, 389(10082), 1907–1918, 2017.

756 Colberg, C. A., Luo, B. P., Wernli, H., Koop, T. and Peter, T.: A novel model to predict the 757 physical state of atmospheric $H_2SO_4/NH_3/H_2O$ aerosol particles, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3(4), 758 909–924, 2003.

759 Coury, C. and Dillner, A. M.: ATR-FTIR characterization of organic functional groups and ⁷⁶⁰ inorganic ions in ambient aerosols at a rural site, Atmos. Environ., 43(4), 940–948, 2009.

761 Cozic, J., Verheggen, B., Weingartner, E., Crosier, J., Bower, K. N., Flynn, M., Coe, H., 762 Henning, S., Steinbacher, M., Henne, S., Collaud Coen, M., Petzold, A. and Baltensperger, U.: Chemical composition of free tropospheric aerosol for PM_1 and coarse mode at the high alpine 763 764 site Jungfraujoch, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8(2), 407–423, 2008.

765 Cubison, M. J., Ortega, A. M., Hayes, P. L., Farmer, D. K., Day, D. A., Lechner, M. J., Brune, W. 766 H., Apel, E., Diskin, G. S., Fisher, J. A., Fuelberg, H. E., Hecobian, A., Knapp, D. J., Mikoviny, 767 T., Riemer, D., Sachse, G. W., Sessions, W., Weber, R. J., Weinheimer, A. J., Wisthaler, A. and 768 Jimenez, J. L.: Effects of aging on organic aerosol from open biomass burning smoke in aircraft 769 and laboratory studies, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11(23), 12049–12064, 2011.

770 Daumer, B., Niessner, R. and Klockow, D.: Laboratory studies of the influence of thin organic 771 films on the neutralization reaction of H_2SO_4 aerosol with ammonia, J. Aerosol Sci., 23(4), 772 315–325, 1992.

773 DeCarlo, P. F., Kimmel, J. R., Trimborn, A., Northway, M. J., Jayne, J. T., Aiken, A. C., Gonin,





- 774 M., Fuhrer, K., Horvath, T., Docherty, K. S., Worsnop, D. R. and Jimenez, J. L.: 775 Field-deployable, high-resolution, time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer, Anal. Chem., 776 78(24), 8281–8289, 2006.
- DeCarlo, P. F., Dunlea, E. J., Kimmel, J. R., Aiken, A. C., Sueper, D., Crounse, J., Wennberg, P.
 O., Emmons, L., Shinozuka, Y., Clarke, A., Zhou, J., Tomlinson, J., Collins, D. R., Knapp, D.,
 Weinheimer, A. J., Montzka, D. D., Campos, T. and Jimenez, J. L.: Fast airborne aerosol size and
 chemistry measurements above Mexico City and Central Mexico during the MILAGRO
 campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8(14), 4027–4048, 2008.
- 782 Dentener, F. J. and Crutzen, P. J.: A three-dimensional model of the global ammonia cycle, J.
 783 Atmos. Chem., 19(4), 331–369, 1994.
- Dibb, J. E., Talbot, R. W., Scheuer, E. M., Blake, D. R., Blake, N. J., Gregory, G. L., Sachse, G.
 W. and Thornton, D. C.: Aerosol chemical composition and distribution during the Pacific
 Exploratory Mission (PEM) Tropics, J. Geophys. Res., 104(D5), 5785–5800, 1999.

787 Dibb, J. E., Talbot, R. W. and Scheuer, E. M.: Composition and distribution of aerosols over the 788 North Atlantic during the Subsonic Assessment Ozone and Nitrogen Oxide Experiment 789 (SONEX), J. Geophys. Res. D: Atmos., 105(D3), 3709–3717, 2000.

Dibb, J. E., Talbot, R. W., Seid, G., Jordan, C., Scheuer, E., Atlas, E., Blake, N. J. and Blake, D.
R.: Airborne sampling of aerosol particles: Comparison between surface sampling at Christmas
Island and P-3 sampling during PEM-Tropics B, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D2), 11335, 2002.

793 Dibb, J. E., Talbot, R. W., Scheuer, E. M., Seid, G., Avery, M. A. and Singh, H. B.: Aerosol 794 chemical composition in Asian continental outflow during the TRACE-P campaign: Comparison 795 with PEM-West B, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 108(D21), 8815, 2003.

Dzepina, K., Arey, J., Marr, L. C., Worsnop, D. R., Salcedo, D., Zhang, Q., Onasch, T. B.,
Molina, L. T., Molina, M. J. and Jimenez, J. L.: Detection of particle-phase polycyclic aromatic
hydrocarbons in Mexico City using an aerosol mass spectrometer, Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 263(2),
152–170, 2007.

Faloona, I.: Sulfur processing in the marine atmospheric boundary layer: A review and critical
assessment of modeling uncertainties, Atmos. Environ., 43(18), 2841–2854, 2009.

Filges, A., Gerbig, C., Rella, C. W., Hoffnagle, J., Smit, H., Krämer, M., Spelten, N., Rolf, C.,
Bozóki, Z., Buchholz, B. and Ebert, V.: Evaluation of the IAGOS-Core GHG package H₂O
measurements during the DENCHAR airborne inter-comparison campaign in 2011, Atmos.
Meas. Tech., 11(9), 5279–5297, 2018.

Finewax, Z., Pagonis, D., Claflin, M. S., Handschy, A. V., Brown, W. L., Ba, J. O. N., Lerner, B.
M., Jimenez, J. L., Ziemann, P. J. and de Gouw, J. A.: Quantification and source characterization
of volatile organic compounds from exercising and application of chlorine-based cleaning
products in a university athletic center, Indoor Air, Submitted, 2020.





- 810 Fisher, J. A., Jacob, D. J., Wang, Q., Bahreini, R., Carouge, C. C., Cubison, M. J., Dibb, J. E.,
- Diehl, T., Jimenez, J. L., Leibensperger, E. M., Lu, Z., Meinders, M. B. J., Pye, H. O. T., Quinn,
 P. K., Sharma, S., Streets, D. G., van Donkelaar, A. and Yantosca, R. M.: Sources, distribution,
- 813 and acidity of sulfate-ammonium aerosol in the Arctic in winter-spring, Atmos. Environ., 814 45(39), 7301-7318, 2011.
- Freney, E., Sellegri Karine, S. K., Eija, A., Clemence, R., Aurelien, C., Jean-Luc, B., Aurelie, C.,
 Hervo Maxime, H. M., Nadege, M., Laeticia, B. and David, P.: Experimental Evidence of the
 Feeding of the Free Troposphere with Aerosol Particles from the Mixing Layer, Aerosol Air
 Qual. Res., 16(3), 702–716, 2016.
- Fröhlich, R., Cubison, M. J., Slowik, J. G., Bukowiecki, N., Canonaco, F., Croteau, P. L., Gysel,
 M., Henne, S., Herrmann, E., Jayne, J. T., Steinbacher, M., Worsnop, D. R., Baltensperger, U.
 and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Fourteen months of on-line measurements of the non-refractory submicron
 aerosol at the Jungfraujoch (3580 m a.s.l.) chemical composition, origins and organic aerosol
 sources, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(19), 11373–11398, 2015.
- 824 Froyd, K. D., Murphy, D. M., Sanford, T. J., Thomson, D. S., Wilson, J. C., Pfister, L. and Lait, 825 L.: Aerosol composition of the tropical upper troposphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9(13), 826 4363–4385, 2009.
- Froyd, K. D., Murphy, D. M., Brock, C. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Dibb, J. E., Jimenez, J.-L.,
 Kupc, A., Middlebrook, A. M., Schill, G. P., Thornhill, K. L., Williamson, C. J., Wilson, J. C.
 and Ziemba, L. D.: A new method to quantify mineral dust and other aerosol species from
 aircraft platforms using single-particle mass spectrometry, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12(11),
 6209–6239, 2019.
- Fuchs, N. A. and Sutugin, A. G.: High-Dispersed Aerosols, in Topics in Current AerosolResearch, edited by G. M. Hidy and J. R. Brock, Pergamon., 1971.
- Ge, C., Zhu, C., Francisco, J. S., Zeng, X. C. and Wang, J.: A molecular perspective for global
 modeling of upper atmospheric NH3 from freezing clouds, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.,
 115(24), 6147–6152, 2018.
- Guo, H., Xu, L., Bougiatioti, A., Cerully, K. M., Capps, S. L., Hite, J. R., Carlton, A. G., Lee,
 S.-H., Bergin, M. H., Ng, N. L., Nenes, A. and Weber, R. J.: Fine-particle water and pH in the
 southeastern United States, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(9), 5211–5228, 2015.
- Guo, H., Nenes, A. and Weber, R. J.: The underappreciated role of nonvolatile cations in aerosol
 ammonium-sulfate molar ratios, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18(23), 17307–17323, 2018.
- Guo, H., Campuzano-Jost, P., Nault, B. A., Day, D. A., Schroder, J. C., Williamson, C., Kupc,
 A., Brock, C. A., Froyd, K. D., Murphy, D. M., Katich, J. M., Dibb, J. E., Dollner, M., Weinzierl,
 B. and Jimenez, J. L.: The Importance of Size Ranges in Intercomparison of Aerosol Volume
 Concentration Measurements: A Case Study for Aerosol Mass Spectrometer in the ATom
 Mission, Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., Submitted, 2020.





Hanson, D. and Kosciuch, E.: The NH₃ Mass Accommodation Coefficient for Uptake onto
Sulfuric Acid Solutions, J. Phys. Chem. A, 107(13), 2199–2208, 2003.

Hanson, D. R. and Kosciuch, E.: Reply to "Comment on 'The NH₃ Mass Accommodation
Coefficient for Uptake onto Sulfuric Acid Solutions," J. Phys. Chem. A, 108(40), 8549–8551,
2004.

Hayes, D., Snetsinger, K., Ferry, G., Oberbeck, V. and Farlow, N.: Reactivity of stratospheric aerosols to small amounts of ammonia in the laboratory environment, Geophys. Res. Lett., 7(11), 974–976, 1980.

Heald, C. L. and Kroll, J. H.: The fuel of atmospheric chemistry: Toward a complete description of reactive organic carbon, Sci Adv, 6(6), eaay8967, 2020.

Heald, C. L., Collett, J. L., Jr., Lee, T., Benedict, K. B., Schwandner, F. M., Li, Y., Clarisse, L.,
Hurtmans, D. R., Van Damme, M., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P.-F., Philip, S., Martin, R. V. and Pye,
H. O. T.: Atmospheric ammonia and particulate inorganic nitrogen over the United States,
Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12(21), 10295–10312, 2012.

Heim, E. W., Dibb, J., Scheuer, E., Jost, P. C., Nault, B. A., Jimenez, J. L., Peterson, D., Knote,
C., Fenn, M., Hair, J., Beyersdorf, A. J., Corr, C. and Anderson, B. E.: Asian dust observed
during KORUS-AQ facilitates the uptake and incorporation of soluble pollutants during transport
to South Korea, Atmos. Environ., 224, 117305, 2020.

Hennigan, C. J., Sullivan, A. P., Fountoukis, C. I., Nenes, A., Hecobian, A., Vargas, O., Peltier,
R. E., Hanks, A. T. C., Huey, L. G., Lefer, B. L., Russell, A. G. and Weber, R. J.: On the
volatility and production mechanisms of newly formed nitrate and water soluble organic aerosol
in Mexico City, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8(14), 3761–3768, 2008.

Hennigan, C. J., Izumi, J., Sullivan, A. P., Weber, R. J. and Nenes, A.: A critical evaluation of
proxy methods used to estimate the acidity of atmospheric particles, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(5),
2775–2790, 2015.

Henze, D. K., Seinfeld, J. H. and Shindell, D. T.: Inverse modeling and mapping US air quality
influences of inorganic PM2.5 precursor emissions using the adjoint of GEOS-Chem, Atmos.
Chem. Phys., 9(16), 5877–5903, 2009.

875 Hering, S. and Cass, G.: The Magnitude of Bias in the Measurement of PM25 Arising from 876 Volatilization of Particulate Nitrate from Teflon Filters, J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc., 49(6), 877 725–733, 1999.

Hocking, M. B.: Indoor air quality: recommendations relevant to aircraft passenger cabins, Am.
Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J., 59(7), 446–454, 1998.

880 Hodzic, A. and Duvel, J. P.: Impact of Biomass Burning Aerosols on the Diurnal Cycle of 881 Convective Clouds and Precipitation Over a Tropical Island: Fire aerosols effect on deep





882 convection, J. Geophys. Res. D: Atmos., 123(2), 1017–1036, 2018.

Hodzic, A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Bian, H., Chin, M., Colarco, P. R., Day, D. A., Froyd, K. D.,
Heinold, B., Jo, D. S., Katich, J. M., Kodros, J. K., Nault, B. A., Pierce, J. R., Ray, E., Schacht,
J., Schill, G. P., Schroder, J. C., Schwarz, J. P., Sueper, D. T., Tegen, I., Tilmes, S., Tsigaridis, K.,
Yu, P. and Jimenez, J. L.: Characterization of Organic Aerosol across the Global Remote
Troposphere: A comparison of ATom measurements and global chemistry models, Atmos.
Chem. Phys., 20(8), 4607–4635, 2020.

Hunt, E. W. and Space, D. R.: The Airplane Cabin Environment: Issues Pertaining to Flight
Attendant, in Comfort," International in-flight Service Management Organization Conference.
[online] Available from:
http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/similar?doi=10.1.1.304.7321&type=cc (Accessed 25 March
2020), 1994.

Huntzicker, J. J., Cary, R. A. and Ling, C.-S.: Neutralization of sulfuric acid aerosol by ammonia,
Environ. Sci. Technol., 14(7), 819–824, 1980.

Hu, W., Hu, M., Hu, W., Jimenez, J. L., Yuan, B., Chen, W., Wang, M., Wu, Y., Chen, C., Wang,
Z., Peng, J., Zeng, L. and Shao, M.: Chemical composition, sources, and aging process of
submicron aerosols in Beijing: Contrast between summer and winter, J. Geophys. Res. D:
Atmos., 121(4), 1955–1977, 2016.

900 Hu, W., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Croteau, P., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, 901 D. R. and Jimenez, J. L.: Evaluation of the new capture vaporizer for aerosol mass spectrometers

902 (AMS) through field studies of inorganic species, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 51(6), 735–754, 2017a.

903 Hu, W., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Croteau, P., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop,
904 D. R. and Jimenez, J. L.: Evaluation of the new capture vapourizer for aerosol mass
905 spectrometers (AMS) through laboratory studies of inorganic species, Atmospheric Measurement
906 Techniques, 10(6), 2897–2921, 2017b.

907 Hu, W., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Nault, B. A., Park, T., Lee, T., Pajunoja, A., Virtanen,
908 A., Croteau, P., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R. and Jimenez, J. L.: Ambient
909 Quantification and Size Distributions for Organic Aerosol in Aerosol Mass Spectrometers with
910 the New Capture Vaporizer, ACS Earth Space Chem., doi:10.1021/acsearthspacechem.9b00310,
911 2020.

Jacob, D. J., Crawford, J. H., Maring, H., Clarke, A. D., Dibb, J. E., Emmons, L. K., Ferrare, R.
A., Hostetler, C. A., Russell, P. B., Singh, H. B., Thompson, A. M., Shaw, G. E., McCauley, E.,
Pederson, J. R. and Fisher, J. A.: The Arctic Research of the Composition of the Troposphere
from Aircraft and Satellites (ARCTAS) mission: design, execution, and first results, Atmos.
Chem. Phys., 10(11), 5191–5212, 2010.

Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Donahue, N. M., Prevot, A. S. H., Zhang, Q., Kroll, J. H.,DeCarlo, P. F., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ng, N. L., Aiken, A. C., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M.,





Grieshop, A. P., Robinson, A. L., Duplissy, J., Smith, J. D., Wilson, K. R., Lanz, V. A., Hueglin,
C., Sun, Y. L., Tian, J., Laaksonen, A., Raatikainen, T., Rautiainen, J., Vaattovaara, P., Ehn, M.,
Kulmala, M., Tomlinson, J. M., Collins, D. R., Cubison, M. J., Dunlea, E. J., Huffman, J. A.,
Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Williams, P. I., Bower, K., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F.,
Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Salcedo, D., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R., Takami, A.,
Miyoshi, T., Hatakeyama, S., Shimono, A., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., Dzepina, K., Kimmel, J. R.,
Sueper, D., Jayne, J. T., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, A. M., Williams, L. R., Wood, E. C.,
Middlebrook, A. M., Kolb, C. E., Baltensperger, U. and Worsnop, D. R.: Evolution of organic
aerosols in the atmosphere, Science, 326(5959), 1525–1529, 2009.

928 Kamp, J. N., Chowdhury, A., Adamsen, A. P. S. and Feilberg, A.: Negligible influence of 929 livestock contaminants and sampling system on ammonia measurements with cavity ring-down 930 spectroscopy, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12(5), 2837–2850, 2019.

Kim, H., Zhang, Q. and Heo, J.: Influence of intense secondary aerosol formation and long-range
transport on aerosol chemistry and properties in the Seoul Metropolitan Area during spring time:
results from KORUS-AQ, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18(10), 7149–7168, 2018.

Kim, P. S., Jacob, D. J., Fisher, J. A., Travis, K., Yu, K., Zhu, L., Yantosca, R. M., Sulprizio, M.
P., Jimenez, J. L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Froyd, K. D., Liao, J., Hair, J. W., Fenn, M. A., Butler, C.
F., Wagner, N. L., Gordon, T. D., Welti, A., Wennberg, P. O., Crounse, J. D., St Clair, J. M.,
Teng, A. P., Millet, D. B., Schwarz, J. P., Markovic, M. Z. and Perring, A. E.: Sources,
seasonality, and trends of southeast US aerosol: an integrated analysis of surface, aircraft, and
satellite observations with the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15,
10411–10433, 2015.

Kline, J., Huebert, B., Howell, S., Blomquist, B., Zhuang, J., Bertram, T. and Carrillo, J.: Aerosol
composition and size versus altitude measured from the C-130 during ACE-Asia, J. Geophys.
Res., 109(D19), 340, 2004.

844 Klockow, D., Jablonski, B. and Nieβner, R.: Possible artifacts in filter sampling of atmospheric
845 sulphuric acid and acidic sulphates, Atmos. Environ., 13(12), 1665–1676, 1979.

Koutrakis, P., Wolfson, J. M. and Spengler, J. D.: An improved method for measuring aerosol
strong acidity: Results from a nine-month study in St Louis, Missouri and Kingston, Tennessee,
Atmos. Environ., 22(1), 157–162, 1988.

Kupc, A., Williamson, C., Wagner, N. L., Richardson, M. and Brock, C. A.: Modification,
calibration, and performance of the Ultra-High Sensitivity Aerosol Spectrometer for particle size
distribution and volatility measurements during the Atmospheric Tomography Mission (ATom)
airborne campaign, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11(1), 369–383, 2018.

Larson, T. V., Covert, D. S., Frank, R. and Charlson, R. J.: Ammonia in the human airways:
neutralization of inspired acid sulfate aerosols, Science, 197(4299), 161–163, 1977.

955 Lavery, T. F., Rogers, C. M., Baumgardner, R. and Mishoe, K. P.: Intercomparison of Clean Air





956 Status and Trends Network Nitrate and Nitric Acid Measurements with Data from Other 957 Monitoring Programs, J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc., 59(2), 214–226, 2009.

Liao, J., Froyd, K. D., Murphy, D. M., Keutsch, F. N., Yu, G., Wennberg, P. O., St Clair, J. M.,
Crounse, J. D., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Jimenez, J. L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Hu,
W., Ryerson, T. B., Pollack, I. B., Peischl, J., Anderson, B. E., Ziemba, L. D., Blake, D. R.,
Meinardi, S. and Diskin, G.: Airborne measurements of organosulfates over the continental U.S,
J. Geophys. Res. D: Atmos., 120(7), 2990–3005, 2015.

Liggio, J., Li, S.-M., Vlasenko, A., Stroud, C. and Makar, P.: Depression of ammonia uptake to
sulfuric acid aerosols by competing uptake of ambient organic gases, Environ. Sci. Technol.,
45(7), 2790–2796, 2011.

Li, M., Weschler, C. J., Bekö, G., Wargocki, P., Lucic, G. and Williams, J.: Human Ammonia
Emission Rates under Various Indoor Environmental Conditions, Environ. Sci. Technol.,
doi:10.1021/acs.est.0c00094, 2020.

969 Liu, C.-N., Lin, S.-F., Awasthi, A., Tsai, C.-J., Wu, Y.-C. and Chen, C.-F.: Sampling and 970 conditioning artifacts of PM2.5 in filter-based samplers, Atmos. Environ., 85, 48–53, 2014.

971 Liu, C.-N., Lin, S.-F., Tsai, C.-J., Wu, Y.-C. and Chen, C.-F.: Theoretical model for the 972 evaporation loss of PM2.5 during filter sampling, Atmos. Environ., 109, 79–86, 2015.

P73 Liu, M., Huang, X., Song, Y., Tang, J., Cao, J., Zhang, X., Zhang, Q., Wang, S., Xu, T., Kang, L.,
P74 Cai, X., Zhang, H., Yang, F., Wang, H., Yu, J. Z., Lau, A. K. H., He, L., Huang, X., Duan, L.,
P75 Ding, A., Xue, L., Gao, J., Liu, B. and Zhu, T.: Ammonia emission control in China would
P76 mitigate haze pollution and nitrogen deposition, but worsen acid rain, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.
P77 S. A., 116(16), 7760–7765, 2019.

Liu, T., Clegg, S. L. and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Fast oxidation of sulfur dioxide by hydrogen peroxide
in deliquesced aerosol particles, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 117(3), 1354–1359, 2020.

Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Huey, L. G., Yokelson, R. J., Wang, Y., Jimenez, J. L., Campuzano-Jost, P.,
Beyersdorf, A. J., Blake, D. R., Choi, Y., St. Clair, J. M., Crounse, J. D., Day, D. A., Diskin, G.
S., Fried, A., Hall, S. R., Hanisco, T. F., King, L. E., Meinardi, S., Mikoviny, T., Palm, B. B.,
Peischl, J., Perring, A. E., Pollack, I. B., Ryerson, T. B., Sachse, G., Schwarz, J. P., Simpson, I.
J., Tanner, D. J., Thornhill, K. L., Ullmann, K., Weber, R. J., Wennberg, P. O., Wisthaler, A.,
Wolfe, G. M. and Ziemba, L. D.: Agricultural fires in the southeastern U.S. during SEAC ⁴ RS:
Emissions of trace gases and particles and evolution of ozone, reactive nitrogen, and organic
aerosol, J. Geophys. Res. D: Atmos., 121(12), 7383–7414, 2016.

Liu, X., Huey, L. G., Yokelson, R. J., Selimovic, V., Simpson, I. J., Müller, M., Jimenez, J. L.,
Campuzano-Jost, P., Beyersdorf, A. J., Blake, D. R., Butterfield, Z., Choi, Y., Crounse, J. D.,
Day, D. A., Diskin, G. S., Dubey, M. K., Fortner, E., Hanisco, T. F., Hu, W., King, L. E.,
Kleinman, L., Meinardi, S., Mikoviny, T., Onasch, T. B., Palm, B. B., Peischl, J., Pollack, I. B.,
Ryerson, T. B., Sachse, G. W., Sedlacek, A. J., Shilling, J. E., Springston, S., St. Clair, J. M.,





993 Tanner, D. J., Teng, A. P., Wennberg, P. O., Wisthaler, A. and Wolfe, G. M.: Airborne 994 measurements of western U.S. wildfire emissions: Comparison with prescribed burning and air 995 quality implications, J. Geophys. Res. D: Atmos., 122(11), 6108–6129, 2017.

Malm, W. C., Sisler, J. F., Huffman, D., Eldred, R. A. and Cahill, T. A.: Spatial and seasonal
trends in particle concentration and optical extinction in the United States, J. Geophys. Res.,
998 99(D1), 1347, 1994.

999 Malm, W. C., Schichtel, B. A., Hand, J. L. and Collett, J. L., Jr.: Concurrent Temporal and 1000 Spatial Trends in Sulfate and Organic Mass Concentrations Measured in the IMPROVE 1001 Monitoring Program, J. Geophys. Res. D: Atmos., 122(19), 10,462–10,476, 2017.

1002 Martin, N. A., Ferracci, V., Cassidy, N. and Hoffnagle, J. A.: The application of a cavity 1003 ring-down spectrometer to measurements of ambient ammonia using traceable primary standard 1004 gas mixtures, Appl. Phys. B, 122(8), 219, 2016.

1005 Ma, S.-S., Yang, W., Zheng, C.-M., Pang, S.-F. and Zhang, Y.-H.: Subsecond measurements on 1006 aerosols: From hygroscopic growth factors to efflorescence kinetics, Atmos. Environ., 210, 1007 177–185, 2019.

1008 McNaughton, C. S., Clarke, A. D., Howell, S. G., Pinkerton, M., Anderson, B., Thornhill, L., 1009 Hudgins, C., Winstead, E., Dibb, J. E., Scheuer, E. and Maring, H.: Results from the DC-8 Inlet 1010 Characterization Experiment (DICE): Airborne versus surface sampling of mineral dust and sea 1011 salt aerosols, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 41(2), 136–159, 2007.

1012 Meskhidze, N., Chameides, W. L., Nenes, A. and Chen, G.: Iron mobilization in mineral dust: 1013 Can anthropogenic SO_2 emissions affect ocean productivity?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30(21), 2085, 1014 2003.

1015 Mezuman, K., Bauer, S. E. and Tsigaridis, K.: Evaluating secondary inorganic aerosols in three 1016 dimensions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(16), 10651–10669, 2016.

1017 Middlebrook, A. M., Bahreini, R., Jimenez, J. L. and Canagaratna, M. R.: Evaluation of 1018 Composition-Dependent Collection Efficiencies for the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer 1019 using Field Data, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 46(3), 258–271, 2012.

1020 Murphy, D. M. and Thomson, D. S.: Laser Ionization Mass Spectroscopy of Single Aerosol 1021 Particles, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 22(3), 237–249, 1995.

1022 Murphy, D. M., Froyd, K. D., Schwarz, J. P. and Wilson, J. C.: Observations of the chemical 1023 composition of stratospheric aerosol particles: The Composition of Stratospheric Particles, Q.J.R. 1024 Meteorol. Soc., 140(681), 1269–1278, 2014.

1025 Murray, B. J. and Bertram, A. K.: Inhibition of solute crystallisation in aqueous 1026 H+-NH4+-SO42--H2O droplets, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 10(22), 3287, 2008.

1027 Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D.,





1028 Lamarque, J.-F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T. 1029 and Zhang, H.: Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing, in Climate Change 2013: The 1030 Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the 1031 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. 1032 Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, and P. M. Midgley, p. 659, 1033 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA., 2013.

1034 National Research Council: The Airliner Cabin Environment and the Health of Passengers and 1035 Crew, The National Academies Press, Washington, DC., 2002.

1036 Nault, B. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Schroder, J. C., Anderson, B., Beyersdorf, A. J.,
1037 Blake, D. R., Brune, W. H., Choi, Y., Corr, C. A., de Gouw, J. A., Dibb, J., DiGangi, J. P., Diskin,
1038 G. S., Fried, A., Huey, L. G., Kim, M. J., Knote, C. J., Lamb, K. D., Lee, T., Park, T., Pusede, S.
1039 E., Scheuer, E., Thornhill, K. L., Woo, J.-H. and Jimenez, J. L.: Secondary Organic Aerosol
1040 Production from Local Emissions Dominates the Organic Aerosol Budget over Seoul, South
1041 Korea, during KORUS-AQ, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 17769–17800, 2018.

1042 Nenes, A., Pandis, S. N., Kanakidou, M., Russell, A., Song, S., Vasilakos, P. and Weber, R. J.: 1043 Aerosol acidity and liquid water content regulate the dry deposition of inorganic reactive 1044 nitrogen, Atmos. Phys. Chem. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2020-266, 2020a.

1045 Nenes, A., Pandis, S. N., Weber, R. J. and Russell, A.: Aerosol pH and liquid water content 1046 determine when particulate matter is sensitive to ammonia and nitrate availability, Atmos. Chem. 1047 Phys., 20(5), 3249–3258, 2020b.

1048 Nguyen, T. K. V., Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Pike, M. and Carlton, A. G.: Liquid water: 1049 Ubiquitous contributor to aerosol mass, Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett., 3, 257–263, 2016.

1050 Nie, W., Wang, T., Gao, X., Pathak, R. K., Wang, X., Gao, R., Zhang, Q., Yang, L. and Wang, 1051 W.: Comparison among filter-based, impactor-based and continuous techniques for measuring 1052 atmospheric fine sulfate and nitrate, Atmos. Environ., 44(35), 4396–4403, 2010.

1053 Pagonis, D., Price, D. J., Algrim, L. B., Day, D. A., Handschy, A. V., Stark, H., Miller, S. L., de 1054 Gouw, J., Jimenez, J. L. and Ziemann, P. J.: Time-Resolved Measurements of Indoor Chemical 1055 Emissions, Deposition, and Reactions in a University Art Museum, Environ. Sci. Technol., 1056 53(9), 4794–4802, 2019.

1057 Paulot, F., Jacob, D. J., Johnson, M. T., Bell, T. G., Baker, A. R., Keene, W. C., Lima, I. D., 1058 Doney, S. C. and Stock, C. A.: Global oceanic emission of ammonia: Constraints from seawater 1059 and atmospheric observations, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 29(8), 1165–1178, 2015.

1060 Pratt, K. A. and Prather, K. A.: Aircraft measurements of vertical profiles of aerosol mixing 1061 states, J. Geophys. Res., 115(D11), D11305, 2010.

1062 Price, H. C., Mattsson, J., Zhang, Y., Bertram, A. K., Davies, J. F., Grayson, J. W., Martin, S. T., 1063 O'Sullivan, D., Reid, J. P., Rickards, A. M. J. and Murray, B. J.: Water diffusion in 1064 atmospherically relevant α -pinene secondary organic material, Chem. Sci., 6(8), 4876–4883,





1065 2015.

1066 Pye, H. O. T., Nenes, A., Alexander, B., Ault, A. P., Barth, M. C., Clegg, S. L., Collett, J. L., Jr., 1067 Fahey, K. M., Hennigan, C. J., Herrmann, H., Kanakidou, M., Kelly, J. T., Ku, I.-T., McNeill, V. 1068 F., Riemer, N., Schaefer, T., Shi, G., Tilgner, A., Walker, J. T., Wang, T., Weber, R., Xing, J., 1069 Zaveri, R. A. and Zuend, A.: The Acidity of Atmospheric Particles and Clouds, Atmos. Chem. 1070 Phys., 20(8), 4809–4888, 2020.

1071 Robbins, R. C. and Cadle, R. D.: Kinetics of the Reaction between Gaseous Ammonia and 1072 Sulfuric Acid Droplets in an Aerosol, J. Phys. Chem., 62(4), 469–471, 1958.

1073 Rumble, J. R., Ed.: CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 100th Edition, 2019 - 2020, 1074 Taylor & Francis Group., 2019.

1075 Schauer, C., Niessner, R. and Pöschl, U.: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in urban air 1076 particulate matter: decadal and seasonal trends, chemical degradation, and sampling artifacts, 1077 Environ. Sci. Technol., 37(13), 2861–2868, 2003.

1078 Schroder, J. C., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Shah, V., Larson, K., Sommers, J. M., Sullivan,
1079 A. P., Campos, T., Reeves, J. M., Hills, A., Hornbrook, R. S., Blake, N. J., Scheuer, E., Guo, H.,
1080 Fibiger, D. L., McDuffie, E. E., Hayes, P. L., Weber, R. J., Dibb, J. E., Apel, E. C., Jaeglé, L.,
1081 Brown, S. S., Thronton, J. A. and Jimenez, J. L.: Sources and Secondary Production of Organic
1082 Aerosols in the Northeastern US during WINTER, J. Geophys. Res. D: Atmos.,
1083 doi:10.1029/2018JD028475, 2018.

1084 Seinfeld., J. H. and Pandis, S. N.: Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to 1085 Climate Change, Second., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ USA., 2006.

1086 Shiraiwa, M., Ammann, M., Koop, T. and Pöschl, U.: Gas uptake and chemical aging of 1087 semisolid organic aerosol particles, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 108(27), 11003–11008, 2011.

1088 Slade, J. H., Ault, A. P., Bui, A. T., Ditto, J. C., Lei, Z., Bondy, A. L., Olson, N. E., Cook, R. D., 1089 Desrochers, S. J., Harvey, R. M., Erickson, M. H., Wallace, H. W., Alvarez, S. L., Flynn, J. H., 1090 Boor, B. E., Petrucci, G. A., Gentner, D. R., Griffin, R. J. and Shepson, P. B.: Bouncier Particles 1091 at Night: Biogenic Secondary Organic Aerosol Chemistry and Sulfate Drive Diel Variations in 1092 the Aerosol Phase in a Mixed Forest, Environ. Sci. Technol., 53(9), 4977–4987, 2019.

1093 Solomon, P. A., Mitchell, W., Tolocka, M., Norris, G., Gemmill, D., Wiener, R., Vanderpool, R., 1094 Murdoch, R., Natarajan, S. and Hardison, E.: Evaluation of PM2.5 Chemical Speciation 1095 Samplers for Use in the EPA National PM2.5 Chemical Speciation Network, EPA., 2000.

1096 Solomon, P. A., Crumpler, D., Flanagan, J. B., Jayanty, R. K. M., Rickman, E. E. and McDade, 1097 C. E.: U.S. national PM2.5 Chemical Speciation Monitoring Networks-CSN and IMPROVE: 1098 description of networks, J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc., 64(12), 1410–1438, 2014.

1099 Song, S., Gao, M., Xu, W., Shao, J., Shi, G., Wang, S., Wang, Y., Sun, Y. and McElroy, M. B.: 1100 Fine-particle pH for Beijing winter haze as inferred from different thermodynamic equilibrium





1101 models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18(10), 7423-7438, 2018.

1102 Spiller, L. L.: Determination of Ammonia/Air Diffusion Coefficient Using Nafion Lined Tube, 1103 Anal. Lett., 22(11-12), 2561–2573, 1989.

1104 Stith, J. L., Ramanathan, V., Cooper, W. A., Roberts, G. C., DeMott, P. J., Carmichael, G., Hatch, 1105 C. D., Adhikary, B., Twohy, C. H., Rogers, D. C., Baumgardner, D., Prenni, A. J., Campos, T., 1106 Gao, R., Anderson, J. and Feng, Y.: An overview of aircraft observations from the Pacific Dust 1107 Experiment campaign, J. Geophys. Res., 114(D5), 833, 2009.

1108 Sueper, D.: ToF-AMS Data Analysis Software Webpage, [online] Available from: 1109 http://cires1.colorado.edu/jimenez-group/wiki/index.php/ToF-AMS_Analysis_Software, 2018.

1110 Sun, K., Cady-Pereira, K., Miller, D. J., Tao, L., Zondlo, M. A., Nowak, J. B., Neuman, J. A., 1111 Mikoviny, T., Müller, M., Wisthaler, A., Scarino, A. J. and Hostetler, C. A.: Validation of TES 1112 ammonia observations at the single pixel scale in the San Joaquin Valley during 1113 DISCOVER-AQ, J. Geophys. Res. D: Atmos., 120(10), 5140–5154, 2015.

1114 Sun, Y., Zhang, Q., Macdonald, A. M., Hayden, K., Li, S. M., Liggio, J., Liu, P. S. K., Anlauf, K.
1115 G., Leaitch, W. R., Steffen, A., Cubison, M., Worsnop, D. R., van Donkelaar, A. and Martin, R.
1116 V.: Size-resolved aerosol chemistry on Whistler Mountain, Canada with a high-resolution aerosol
1117 mass spectrometer during INTEX-B, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9(9), 3095–3111, 2009.

1118 Sutton, M. A., Dragosits, U., Tang, Y. S. and Fowler, D.: Ammonia emissions from 1119 non-agricultural sources in the UK, Atmos. Environ., 34(6), 855–869, 2000.

1120 Swartz, E., Shi, Q., Davidovits, P., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R. and Kolb, C. E.: Uptake of 1121 Gas-Phase Ammonia. 2. Uptake by Sulfuric Acid Surfaces, J. Phys. Chem. A, 103(44), 1122 8824–8833, 1999.

1123 Talbot, R. W., Dibb, J. E., Lefer, B. L., Scheuer, E. M., Bradshaw, J. D., Sandholm, S. T., Smyth, 1124 S., Blake, D. R., Blake, N. J., Sachse, G. W., Collins, J. E. and Gregory, G. L.: Large-scale 1125 distributions of tropospheric nitric, formic, and acetic acids over the western Pacific basin during 1126 wintertime, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 102(D23), 28303–28313, 1997.

1127 Tao, Y. and Murphy, J. G.: The sensitivity of $PM_{2.5}$ acidity to meteorological parameters and 1128 chemical composition changes: 10-year records from six Canadian monitoring sites, Atmos. 1129 Chem. Phys., 19(14), 9309–9320, 2019.

1130 Thomson, D. S., Schein, M. E. and Murphy, D. M.: Particle Analysis by Laser Mass 1131 Spectrometry WB-57F Instrument Overview, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 33(1-2), 153–169, 2000.

1132 Toon, O. B., Maring, H., Dibb, J., Ferrare, R., Jacob, D. J., Jensen, E. J., Luo, Z. J., Mace, G. G., 1133 Pan, L. L., Pfister, L., Rosenlof, K. H., Redemann, J., Reid, J. S., Singh, H. B., Thompson, A. 1134 M., Yokelson, R., Minnis, P., Chen, G., Jucks, K. W. and Pszenny, A.: Planning, implementation, 1135 and scientific goals of the Studies of Emissions and Atmospheric Composition, Clouds and 1136 Climate Coupling by Regional Surveys (SEAC⁴RS) field mission, J. Geophys. Res. D: Atmos.,





1137 121(9), 4967–5009, 2016.

1138 Vay, S. A., Woo, J.-H., Anderson, B. E., Thornhill, K. L., Blake, D. R., Westberg, D. J., Kiley, C. 1139 M., Avery, M. A., Sachse, G. W., Streets, D. G., Tsutsumi, Y. and Nolf, S. R.: Influence of 1140 regional-scale anthropogenic emissions on CO₂ distributions over the western North Pacific, J. 1141 Geophys. Res., 108(D20), 213, 2003.

1142 Vay, S. A., Choi, Y., Vadrevu, K. P., Blake, D. R., Tyler, S. C., Wisthaler, A., Hecobian, A., 1143 Kondo, Y., Diskin, G. S., Sachse, G. W., Woo, J.-H., Weinheimer, A. J., Burkhart, J. F., Stohl, A. 1144 and Wennberg, P. O.: Patterns of CO_2 and radiocarbon across high northern latitudes during 1145 International Polar Year 2008, J. Geophys. Res., 116(D14), 4039, 2011.

1146 Walker, J. M., Philip, S., Martin, R. V. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Simulation of nitrate, sulfate, and 1147 ammonium aerosols over the United States, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12(22), 11213–11227, 2012.

1148 Wang, J., Hoffmann, A. A., Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J. and Martin, S. T.: Global distribution of solid 1149 and aqueous sulfate aerosols: Effect of the hysteresis of particle phase transitions, J. Geophys. 1150 Res., 113(D11), 1770, 2008a.

1151 Wang, J., Jacob, D. J. and Martin, S. T.: Sensitivity of sulfate direct climate forcing to the 1152 hysteresis of particle phase transitions, J. Geophys. Res., 113(D11), 13791, 2008b.

1153 Warneke, C., Schwarz, J. P., Ryerson, T., Crawford, J., Dibb, J., Lefer, B., Roberts, J., Trainer, 1154 M., Murphy, D., Brown, S., Brewer, A., Gao, R.-S. and Fahey, D.: Fire Influence on Regional to 1155 Global Environments and Air Quality (FIREX-AQ): A NOAA/NASA Interagency Intensive 1156 Study of North American Fires, NOAA/NASA. [online] Available from: 1157 https://esrl.noaa.gov/csd/projects/firex-aq/whitepaper.pdf, 2018.

1158 Warner, J. X., Wei, Z., Larrabee Strow, L., Dickerson, R. R. and Nowak, J. B.: The global 1159 tropospheric ammonia distribution as seen in the 13-year AIRS measurement record, Atmos. 1160 Chem. Phys., 16(8), 5467–5479, 2016.

1161 Warner, J. X., Dickerson, R. R., Wei, Z., Strow, L. L., Wang, Y. and Liang, Q.: Increased 1162 atmospheric ammonia over the world's major agricultural areas detected from space, Geophys. 1163 Res. Lett., 44(6), 2875–2884, 2017.

1164 Watson, J. G., Chow, J. C., Chen, L. W. A. and Frank, N. H.: Methods to assess carbonaceous 1165 aerosol sampling artifacts for IMPROVE and other long-term networks, J. Air Waste Manag. 1166 Assoc., 59(8), 898–911, 2009.

1167 Weber, R. J., Orsini, D., Daun, Y., Lee, Y.-N., Klotz, P. J. and Brechtel, F.: A Particle-into-Liquid 1168 Collector for Rapid Measurement of Aerosol Bulk Chemical Composition, Aerosol Sci. 1169 Technol., 35(3), 718–727, 2001.

1170 Weber, R. J., Guo, H., Russell, A. G. and Nenes, A.: High aerosol acidity despite declining 1171 atmospheric sulfate concentrations over the past 15 years, Nat. Geosci., 9(4), 282–285, 2016.





1172 Williamson, C., Kupc, A., Wilson, J., Gesler, D. W., Reeves, J. M., Erdesz, F., McLaughlin, R. 1173 and Brock, C. A.: Fast time response measurements of particle size distributions in the 3–60 nm 1174 size range with the nucleation mode aerosol size spectrometer, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11(6), 1175 3491–3509, 2018.

1176 Wilson, R. E.: Humidity Control by Means of Sulfuric Acid Solutions, with Critical Compilation 1177 of Vapor Pressure Data, J. Ind. Eng. Chem., 13(4), 326–331, 1921.

1178 Worsnop, D. R., Williams, L. R., Kolb, C. E., Mozurkewich, M., Gershenzon, M. and 1179 Davidovits, P.: Comment on "The NH₃ Mass Accommodation Coefficient for Uptake onto 1180 Sulfuric Acid Solution," J. Phys. Chem. A, 108(40), 8546–8548, 2004.

1181 Yao, X. H. and Zhang, L.: Supermicron modes of ammonium ions related to fog in rural 1182 atmosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12(22), 11165–11178, 2012.

1183 Zakoura, M., Kakavas, S., Nenes, A. and Pandis, S. N.: Size-resolved aerosol pH over Europe 1184 during summer, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2019-1146, 2020.

1185 Zhang, X., Smith, K. A., Worsnop, D. R., Jimenez, J., Jayne, J. T. and Kolb, C. E.: A Numerical
1186 Characterization of Particle Beam Collimation by an Aerodynamic Lens-Nozzle System: Part I.
1187 An Individual Lens or Nozzle, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 36(5), 617–631, 2002.

1188 Zhang, X., Smith, K. A., Worsnop, D. R., Jimenez, J. L., Jayne, J. T., Kolb, C. E., Morris, J. and 1189 Davidovits, P.: Numerical Characterization of Particle Beam Collimation: Part II Integrated 1190 Aerodynamic-Lens–Nozzle System, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 38(6), 619–638, 2004.

1191 Zhou, S., Collier, S., Jaffe, D. A. and Zhang, Q.: Free tropospheric aerosols at the Mt. Bachelor 1192 Observatory: more oxidized and higher sulfate content compared to boundary layer aerosols, 1193 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19(3), 1571–1585, 2019.

1194