



The Importance of Size Ranges in Aerosol Instrument Intercomparisons: A Case Study for the ATom Mission

Hongyu Guo^{1,2}, Pedro Campuzano-Jost^{1,2}, Benjamin A. Nault^{1,2}, Douglas A. Day^{1,2}, Jason C.
 Schroder^{1,2,*}, Jack E. Dibb³, Maximilian Dollner⁴, Bernadett Weinzierl⁴, and Jose L. Jimenez^{1,2}

⁵ ¹Department of Chemistry, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, 80309, USA

⁶ ²Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, 80309, USA

³Earth Systems Research Center, Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans, and Space, Univ. of New Hampshire, Durham, NH,
 03824, USA

9 ⁴Faculty of Physics, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

¹⁰ *Now at Air Pollution Control Division, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Denver, CO, 80246, USA

11 Correspondence to: Jose L. Jimenez (jose.jimenez@colorado.edu)

Abstract. Aerosol intercomparisons are inherently complex, as they convolve instrument-dependent 12 detection efficiencies vs. size (which often change with pressure, temperature, or humidity) and variations 13 on the sampled aerosol population, in addition to differences in chemical detection principles (e.g., 14 including inorganic-only nitrate vs. inorganic plus organic nitrate for two instruments). The NASA 15 Atmospheric Tomography Mission (ATom) spanned four separate aircraft deployments, which sampled 16 the remote marine troposphere from 86°S to 82°N over different seasons with a wide range of aerosol 17 concentrations and compositions. Aerosols were quantified with a set of carefully characterized and 18 calibrated instruments, some based on particle sizing and some on composition measurements. This study 19 aims to provide a critical evaluation of the size-related factors impacting aerosol intercomparisons, and 20 of aerosol quantification during ATom, with a focus on the Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (AMS). The 21 volume determined from physical sizing instruments is compared in detail with that derived from the 22 chemical measurements of the AMS and the Single Particle Soot Photometer (SP2). Special attention was 23 24 paid to characterize the upper end of the AMS size-dependent transmission with in-field calibrations, which we show to be critical for accurate comparisons across instruments with inevitably different size 25 cuts. Observed differences between campaigns emphasize the importance of characterizing AMS 26 transmission for each instrument and field study for meaningful interpretation of instrument comparisons. 27 Good agreement was found between the composition-based volume (including AMS-quantified sea salt) 28 29 and that derived from the size spectrometers. The very clean conditions during most of ATom resulted in substantial statistical noise (i.e., precision error), which we show to be substantially reduced by averaging 30 at several-minute time intervals. The AMS captured, on average, $95 \pm 15\%$ of the standard PM₁ volume. 31 These results support the absence of significant unknown biases and the appropriateness of the accuracy 32 estimates for AMS total mass/volume for the mostly aged air masses encountered in ATom. The particle 33 size ranges that contribute chemical composition information to the AMS and complementary 34 composition instruments are investigated, to inform their use in future studies. 35





36 **1 Introduction**

Aerosols are ubiquitous in the atmosphere and have a lifetime of about a week, and thus can travel 37 long distances (Tsigaridis et al., 2014), and have important effects on climate forcing, through both direct 38 (Pilinis et al., 1995; Haywood and Boucher, 2000) and indirect effects (Lohmann and Feichter, 2005; 39 IPCC, 2013). Remote regions account for much of the Earth's surface and are infrequently sampled, and 40 thus have especially uncertain aerosol distributions and radiative impacts (IPCC, 2013; Hodzic et al., 41 2020). The NASA Atmospheric Tomography Mission (ATom) sampled the remote marine troposphere 42 from 86°S to 82°N over four different seasons with a comprehensive suite of high-quality and carefully 43 44 calibrated and operated physical and chemical aerosol instruments. It provides a unique dataset to improve our understanding of the remote atmospheric aerosols and thus refine global model predictions. A 45 prerequisite for that purpose is to evaluate the accuracy and consistency of the ATom aerosol instruments. 46

The ATom physical sizing instruments have been recently described and evaluated in Williamson 47 et al. (2018), Kupc et al. (2018), and Brock et al. (2019), while the Particle Analysis by Laser Mass 48 Spectrometer (PALMS) chemical instrument during ATom has been described in Froyd et al. (2019). In 49 50 this paper, we focus on the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (AMS). AMS (Canagaratna et al., 2007) 51 and Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor (ACSM, smaller, lower cost, and simpler to operate versions) 52 (Ng et al., 2011), have been deployed extensively worldwide for ground aerosol monitoring (Jimenez et al., 2009; Crenn et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2015; Kiendler-Scharr et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018; ACTRiS, 53 2019). AMS has been deployed in most advanced atmospheric chemistry aircraft experiments worldwide 54 (Dunlea et al., 2009; Middlebrook et al., 2012; Barth et al., 2015; Schroder et al., 2018; Garofalo et al., 55 2019; Hodzic et al., 2020; Mei et al., 2020; Morgan et al., 2020). The overall AMS concentration 56 uncertainty (2 σ) is normally reported as ±38% for organic aerosol (OA) and ±34% for inorganics, while 57 the precision is typically much better, except at concentrations near the detection limit (Bahreini et al., 58 2009; Jimenez et al., 2016). A detailed evaluation of those uncertainties requires both very careful AMS 59 characterization and calibration, as well as high-quality collocated measurements, as was the case in 60 ATom. 61

This work uses the extensive ATom field dataset for remote aerosols to evaluate (1) the consistency of the different submicron aerosol volume measurements, (2) the quantification ability of the





AMS for remote aerosols, and (3) the size ranges contributing chemical composition information to different instruments for ATom, and their variation with altitude. Volume comparisons probe the ability of the AMS to quantify total mass and predict aerosol density based on fractional composition accurately, and hence is the most germane comparison for total quantification. We examine in detail the accurate quantification and application of the AMS transmission efficiency (E_L) to the particle volume intercomparisons in this study. This study also serves as the basis for a future study on individual chemical species intercomparisons.

71 2 Methods

72 2.1 ATom overview

The Atmospheric Tomography Mission (ATom) consisted of four series of flights onboard the 73 NASA DC-8 over the middle of the Pacific and Atlantic oceans, spanning from 82° N to 86° S latitude, 74 to characterize the composition and chemistry of the global background troposphere. Over two years, the 75 DC-8 aircraft was deployed once a season: July-August 2016 (ATom-1), January-February 2017 (ATom-76 2), September-October 2017 (ATom-3), and April-May 2018 (ATom-4). During these flights, the DC-8 77 repeatedly ascended and descended between ~0.18 and ~13 km altitudes at regular intervals, typically 78 every hour (with a single vertical profile lasting ~ 25 min), leading to executing ~ 140 vertical profiles of 79 80 the troposphere per deployment. The unique spatio-temporal coverage and high-quality measurements of this campaign ensure that its data will be used very widely, such as to evaluate and constrain global 81 82 modeling. Therefore it is of high interest to document the consistency of the multiple aerosol measurements. This analysis is also useful to re-evaluate the quantification uncertainties of the AMS for 83 a wide range of particle concentrations and composition (e.g., Fig. S1 in the supplementary info, SI). Due 84 to the similarities in the geographic coverage of ATom studies, we focus on the intercomparisons for the 85 86 first two ATom campaigns in the following analysis.

87 **2.2 Definitions of particle diameters**

88 Conversions between different particle diameter definitions are required for meaningful 89 instrument comparisons. For example, particle size spectrometers report estimated geometric diameter





 (d_p) , which is derived from multiple condensation particle counters using an inversion method, or from 90 light scattering signals by using an assumed constant refractive index for aerosols. AMS transmission 91 operates in vacuum aerodynamic diameter (d_{va}) since its aerodynamic lens and supersonic expansion 92 93 operate in the free molecular regime (DeCarlo et al., 2004). Impactors (Marple et al., 1991, 2014) and cyclones (typically sourced from URG Corp., Chapel Hill, NC, USA) are often installed upstream of 94 aerosol instruments to preselect desired aerosol ranges for ground or aircraft measurements. The cutoff 95 sizes of both devices follow the transition-regime aerodynamic diameter (d_{ta} ; as the size range of interest 96 to this study is in the transition regime, requiring a "slip correction"). A detailed discussion of particle 97 diameters definitions can be found in DeCarlo et al. (2004). dva is related to the volume-equivalent 98 diameter (d_{ve} , the diameter that would result if the particle was melted to form a sphere of the same density 99 as the particle and without any internal voids) as: 100

$$d_{va} = \frac{\rho_p}{\rho_0} \frac{d_{ve}}{\chi_v} \tag{1}$$

where ρ_p is the particle density, ρ_0 is the standard density (1 g cm⁻³), and χ_v is the vacuum (i.e., freemolecular regime) dynamic shape factor (=1 for spheres and >1 for non-spherical particles). Since the aerosols sampled during ATom were remote and aged, we assume $\chi_v \sim 1$ and $d_{ve} \sim d_p$. The transitionregime aerodynamic diameter can be calculated as:

$$d_{ta} = d_{ve} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\chi_t} \frac{\rho_p}{\rho_0} \frac{C_c(d_{ve})}{C_c(d_{ta})}}$$
(2)

105 where χ_t is the transition-regime dynamic shape factor, and C_c is the Cunningham slip correction factor. 106 In this study, χ_t is assumed as 1 and C_c is calculated based on air pressure. Although a given particle 107 always has the same dry d_p and d_{va} , the dry d_{ta} changes with pressure. To distinguish the d_{ta} calculated at 108 different altitudes, we use $d_{ta,sea}$ to denote that calculated at sea level (P = 1013 mbar) and $d_{ta,air}$ for 109 sampling aloft with an aircraft (or at an elevated ground site). In addition, all diameters change under 100 humid/dry conditions due to water uptake or evaporation (DeCarlo et al., 2004).





111 **2.3 AMS description and quantification**

The highly customized University of Colorado (CU) high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass 112 spectrometer (HR-ToF-AMS, hereafter referred to as AMS; Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, MA) 113 114 (DeCarlo et al., 2006) measured non-refractory, bulk submicron particles composition at 1 Hz resolution. The AMS uses an aerodynamic lens to sample particles into a high vacuum, where they impact and 115 vaporize on a hot porous tungsten vaporizer (600 °C). The evaporated constituents undergo electron 116 117 ionization (EI), with the resulting ions being detected by a mass spectrometer (Jayne et al., 2000; Jimenez et al., 2003; Drewnick et al., 2005; DeCarlo et al., 2006; Canagaratna et al., 2007). The mass concentration 118 119 of a species, s, within a multi-component aerosol particle can be calculated from the measured ion signal with the following equation (Alfarra et al., 2004; Canagaratna et al., 2007; Jimenez et al., 2016): 120

$$C_s = \frac{10^{12}}{CE_s} \frac{MW_{NO3}}{RIE_s IE_{NO3} QN_A} \sum_{all \ i} I_{s,i}$$
(3)

where C_s is the mass concentration of species *s*, MW_{NO3} is the molecular weight of nitrate, CE_s is the collection efficiency of species *s*, RIE_s is the relative ionization efficiency of species *s* (to nitrate), IE_{NO3} is the ionization efficiency of nitrate, *Q* is the volume flow rate into the AMS, N_A is Avogadro's number, $I_{s,i}$ is the ion signal from ion *i* produced from species *s*, and the 10¹² factor accounts for unit conversions.

125 *CE* is typically defined as the efficiency with which particles entering the AMS inlet are detected. 126 It has been formally defined as a product of aerodynamic lens transmission efficiency for spherical 127 particles (E_L), transmission efficiency correction for non-spherical particles (E_s) due to additional particle 128 beam broadening, and detection efficiency at the vaporizer (E_b), which can be reduced due to particle 129 bounce. It is thus expressed as

$$CE = E_L \times E_s \times E_b \tag{4}$$

(Huffman et al., 2005; Canagaratna et al., 2007; Middlebrook et al., 2012). Previous studies have shown that $E_s \sim 1$ for ambient particles (Huffman et al., 2005; Salcedo et al., 2007), and thus *CE* is determined by E_L and E_b . When the mass size distribution being sampled is mostly within the region where $E_L \sim 1$, then $CE \sim E_b$. Most literature papers make that implicit approximation, although it is not clear that the approximation is always justified, since E_L changes in time and between instruments and is infrequently





quantified as it is experimentally challenging to do so. E_b depends on particle viscosity and thus phase 135 (Matthew et al., 2008; Middlebrook et al., 2012; Pajunoja et al., 2016). With the "standard vaporizer" 136 used in this study (Hu et al., 2020), ambient aerosols in continental regions typically have $E_b \sim 0.5$, but a 137 138 range between 0.5 to 1 can be observed (Middlebrook et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2017, 2020). E_b increases for certain compositions that lead to less viscous particles, such as high ammonium nitrate mass fraction 139 or high acidity conditions, which can be estimated with a parameterization based on aerosol composition 140 (Middlebrook et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2017, 2020; Nault et al., 2018). Such parametrizations assume 141 internally mixed aerosols, which is typically the case for submicron ambient aerosol away from sources 142 due to condensation and coagulation (Petters et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2010; Mei et al., 2013). CE is 143 estimated to contribute substantially to the overall uncertainty of AMS concentration measurements 144 (Bahreini et al., 2009). 145

The main submicron inorganic ambient aerosol species are ammonium (NH4), sulfate (SO4), 146 nitrate (pNO₃), and chloride (Chl), and in marine areas, sea salt. The charges are omitted for the AMS-147 measured nominally inorganic species, as the AMS may also detect some SO₄ or NO₃ signals from 148 149 organosulfates or organonitrates (Farmer et al., 2010). To avoid the confusion between the NO₃ radical and particle NO₃, pNO₃ is used to denote total particle NO₃ explicitly (Nault et al., 2018). RIEs for the 150 151 inorganic species can be calibrated regularly (including in the field). However, similar explicit 152 calibrations cannot be readily performed for the thousands of individual organic aerosol (OA) molecules 153 in ambient particles. Thus, laboratory-based calibrations with a limited set of OA species have been used to estimate RIE_{0A} (Slowik et al., 2004; Dzepina et al., 2007; Jimenez et al., 2016; Robinson et al., 2017; 154 Xu et al., 2018), and this approach has been verified using laboratory and field intercomparisons with 155 other instruments (Takegawa et al., 2005; Dzepina et al., 2007; DeCarlo et al., 2008; Bahreini et al., 2009; 156 Dunlea et al., 2009; Timonen et al., 2010; Docherty et al., 2011; Middlebrook et al., 2012; Crenn et al., 157 2015). Bahreini et al. (2009) estimated the uncertainty in *RIE_{NH4}* (which is always calibrated in the field) 158 to be ~10% vs. 15% for the other inorganics (sulfate, chloride; since most AMS users do not perform in-159 field calibrations for those or do so less frequently). Compared to the inorganics, the uncertainty in RIE_{OA} 160 was estimated to be higher at 20%, to account for the diversity of species (Bahreini et al., 2009). An 161 average RIE_{0A}~1.4 was determined from laboratory calibrations. However, there are conflicting reports 162





for RIE_{OA} of chemically-reduced species such as hydrocarbons, with some values around 1.4 and others 163 higher (Slowik et al., 2004; Dzepina et al., 2007; Docherty et al., 2011; Jimenez et al., 2016; Reyes-164 Villegas et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2018). However, such species were insignificant during ATom. For more 165 oxidized species, relevant to most biomass burning OA and secondary organic aerosol (SOA), average 166 laboratory RIE_{0A} overlaps within uncertainties of 1.4 (Jimenez et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2018). Reviews on 167 this topic (Jimenez et al., 2016; Murphy, 2016a, 2016b) have emphasized the need for additional 168 investigation of AMS quantification in the field. For the AMS reported mass concentration, uncertainties 169 (i.e., accuracies) in CE (30%), RIEs (20% for OA, 15% for SO₄/Chl, and 10% for NH₄), and IE_{NO3} (10%) 170 dominate the total reported uncertainties in most situations, although precision (statistical) error becomes 171 important at low concentrations and short averaging times. 172

173 **2.4 AMS operation during ATom**

The aircraft operation of the CU AMS has been discussed previously (DeCarlo et al., 2006, 2008, 2010; Dunlea et al., 2009; Cubison et al., 2011; Kimmel et al., 2011; Schroder et al., 2018). The specific operational procedures used during ATom have been discussed in Nault et al. (2018) and Hodzic et al. (2020). Important operation details of AMS that are relevant to this study are described below. Per aircraft conventions, mass concentrations are reported at $\mu g \text{ sm}^{-3}$ (microgram per cubic meter air volume at standard conditions of T = 273.15 K and P = 1013 mbar, hereafter referred to as STP. Note that many definitions of STP are in use, especially in other fields).

Ambient aerosols were sampled through an NCAR High-Performance Instrumented Airborne 181 Platform for Environmental Research (HIAPER) Modular Inlet (HIMIL) (Stith et al., 2009) mounted on 182 a 4" raised platform on the window plate to ensure that sampling occurred consistently outside the DC-8 183 boundary layer (Vay et al., 2003). Aerosols were introduced at a constant standard flow rate of 9 sL min⁻ 184 ¹ (up to ~9 km, 15 L min⁻¹ above that; "s" refers to standard conditions, and no "s" indicates a volumetric 185 flow at in-situ T and P), with 1 L min⁻¹ being continuously subsampled into a pressure controlled inlet 186 (PCI) operated at 250 mbar (187 Torr) (Bahreini et al., 2008). A fraction of that flow, 94 scm³ min⁻¹, was 187 188 then sampled into the high vacuum region of the mass spectrometer through an aerodynamic focusing lens operated at 2.00 mbar (1.50 Torr). Due to the much lower ambient air pressure at high altitudes, the 189





PCI pressure cannot be maintained at 250 mbar above ~9 km, resulting in a drop in lens pressure (down 190 to 1.00 Torr) and flow (down to 55 scm³ min⁻¹) at the max altitude (12.5 km). Residence times from the 191 tip of the HIMIL to the aerosol vaporizer varied from ~0.4 s in the boundary layer and ~0.9 s at 12 km 192 during ATom (Fig. S2 in SI; note that, a detailed characterization of HIMIL and PCI performance is 193 included in SI as Sect. 4 with Figs. S2-S9). The relative humidity (RH) in the line was not actively 194 195 controlled but was very low, on average 10 ± 21 % in ATom-1 and -2 with a median of 0.4%, due to the thermal gradients between the plane cabin and ambient (T_{rack} - $T_{ambient} = 27 \pm 13$ K) (8% of the data 196 was >40% RH, including 3% >80% RH, which could increase CE). Composition-dependent CE was 197 estimated based on the Middlebrook et al. (2012) parameterization and was on average 0.87 ± 0.15 and 198 0.90 ± 0.13 for ATom-1 and -2, respectively, mainly due to high acidity (Fig. S10). After every research 199 flight, IE_{NO3} was calibrated by atomizing pure NH₄NO₃ solutions and selecting 400 nm (mobility 200 diameter, d_m ; equivalent to $d_{va} = 550$ nm) (DeCarlo et al., 2004) particles with a differential mobility 201 analyzer (DMA, TSI model 3081, St. Paul, MN, USA) into AMS. RIEs for sulfate, ammonium, and 202 chloride were determined by multiple in-field calibrations. 203

204 A summary plot of the in-field calibrations of these parameters is shown as Fig. S11. Assuming a constant instrument response over the course of each deployment, the variability of the calibrations can 205 206 be taken as an estimate of the random component of *RIE* uncertainty. Uncertainties (2σ) for *RIE*_{NH4}, 207 *RIEso4*, and *RIEchl* are hence 4% (6%), 4% (2%), and 5% (8%), respectively for ATom-1 (ATom-2), all 208 smaller than the reported values from Bahreini et al. (2009). The 2σ variability of *IE_{NO3}* (normalized as its ratio to the air beam signal, IE_{NO3}/AB) is 6% for ATom-1 and 15% for ATom-2. The propagated AMS 209 210 uncertainties using these values, 31% for inorganics and 37% for organics, are similar to those from Bahreini et al. (2009), due to the dominant uncertainty contribution from CE (30%). 211

IE_{NO3} calibrations, performed in event trigger mode with 400 nm ammonium nitrate aerosol (Schroder et al., 2018), also provided multiple AMS transmission measurements throughout the campaign, by a direct comparison of the single-particle AMS counts with a Condensation Particle Counter (CPC) (Nault et al., 2018). Besides this single-size (at the edge of the E_L ~1 range) post-flight calibrations, the upper end of the AMS transmission curve was characterized on the aircraft during ATom-2 by measuring multiple sizes of monodisperse ammonium nitrate (d_m range 350-850 nm). The resulting





transmission accounts for all the losses in the PCI and aerodynamic lens. A calculation of the inlet line 218 losses is presented in the SI, and based on these calculations additional losses are very small and can be 219 ignored. These calculations do not include the transmission of the actual HIMIL aircraft inlet (Stith et al., 220 221 2009), nor the secondary diffuser inside the HIMIL. To confirm the aircraft probe related size-dependent losses or enhancements did not impact the overall transmission, the AMS sampled several times at 222 223 different altitudes off the University of Hawaii (UH)/NASA Langley Aerosol Research Group (LARGE) inlet used by the NOAA instruments over the course of the four ATom deployments, which transmits 224 particles to ~3-5 µm d_{ta,air} with 50% passing efficiency (McNaughton et al., 2007; Brock et al., 2019). No 225 difference in volume comparison (discussed in Sect. 3.2) was found under those conditions, nor in 226 previous missions with on average larger accumulation mode peaks (Fig. S4) hence we conclude that this 227 is a valid assumption. 228

Another concern for airborne sampling with an AMS is the misalignment of the aerodynamic lens due to mechanical stress during flight. Such a misalignment will not necessarily be caught by the previously described calibrations, since they do not probe the full surface of the vaporizer, and since lens focusing can have some size-dependence. Hence for ATom 2-4, a particle beam width probe (Huffman et al., 2005) was flown and profiles of both the air and particle signal were taken at most airports during the mission, as shown in Fig. S9, directly confirming the lack of change in lens alignment.

235 During ATom, the AMS was operated in the fast mass spectrum mode (Kimmel et al., 2011), allowing for high-time-resolution measurements at 1 Hz. For every minute, AMS started with fast mass 236 spectrum mode with the particle beam blocked (instrumental background measurement; 6 s) and then 237 with the beam open (background plus ambient air and particles; 46 s) and ended with efficient particle 238 time-of-flight (ePToF) mode (nominally 8 s), which measured speciated size distributions. The 239 interpolated average of two consecutive background signals (beam closed) was subtracted from 1 s 240 ambient signals (beam open). Also, fast blanks (20 s) were scheduled every 18 minutes by directing 241 ambient air through a high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filter, serving to characterize the AMS zero 242 (field background) and as a leak check downstream of the HEPA filter (Nault et al., 2018). It also serves 243 as a frequent confirmation for the real-time continuous detection limits estimated using the method 244 proposed in Drewnick et al. (2009). AMS data were reported at 1 s and 1 min time resolutions. For the 1 245





min product, the raw mass spectra were averaged prior to data reduction and analysis, which reduces 246 nonlinear spectral fitting noise for the least-squares error minimization method. This is observed because 247 a fit to the 1 min average spectrum has less fitting noise than the average of the fits to the 1 s spectra. In 248 249 the following analysis, the 1 min data product is used due to their improved signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Since the aerosol loadings were typically low and changed slowly in the global remote regions, longer 250 averaging times were used for some analyses. Continuous time-dependent detection limits (DLs) were 251 estimated using the method of Drewnick et al. (2009) and corrected by comparison with the periodic filter 252 blanks. The average DLs for the 1 min data were 76, 10, 6, 1, 7, 30 ng sm⁻³ during ATom-1 and 133, 18, 253 9, 2, 10, 40 ng sm⁻³ during ATom-2 for OA, SO₄, pNO₃, NH₄, Chl, and sea salt, respectively. Sea salt is 254 an important submicron aerosol component when sampling the marine boundary layer in ATom. 255 Although sea salt is not a standard AMS data product, in this study, we report AMS sea salt mass 256 concentrations with the method from Ovadnevaite et al., (2012) with a laboratory-calibrated response 257 factor, 9.8×10⁻³, for the AMS sea salt marker Na³⁵Cl. Additional species were reported for ATom, with 258 DLs for MSA (methanesulfonic acid) and ChlO_x (perchlorate) of 2 and 1 ng sm⁻³ during ATom-1, 3 and 259 2 ng sm⁻³ during ATom-2. Iodine and bromine were also quantified with DLs of 0.4 and 1.5 ng sm⁻³ 260 during ATom-1, 0.5 and 2 ng sm⁻³ during ATom-2, as reported by Koenig et al. (2020). The variation in 261 262 AMS detection limits across species is mostly controlled by differences in background signals for 263 different ions. Many of these detection limits are lower than for typical AMS aircraft operation, especially 264 during the first several hours of each flight, due to the use of a cryopump in the CU AMS (Jayne, 2004; Campuzano-Jost, 2012). 265

For the purpose of instrument comparisons, we estimate the aerosol volume based on the chemical instruments (V_{chem}). The contribution of the AMS to V_{chem} is determined from the AMS mass concentrations by assuming volume additivity, with an average particle density estimated as (DeCarlo et al., 2004; Salcedo et al., 2006):

$$\rho_m = \frac{OA + SO_4 + pNO_3 + NH_4 + Chl}{\frac{OA}{\rho_{OA}} + \frac{SO_4 + pNO_3 + NH_4}{1.75} + \frac{Chl}{1.52}}$$
(5)

The OA density (ρ_{OA}) is estimated with the AMS measured O/C and H/C atomic ratios of OA using the parameterization of Kuwata et al. (2012) (when OA is under the detection limit and hence no





elemental ratios can be calculated, we assumed a default ρ_{OA} of 1.7 g cm⁻³ based on typical OA elemental 272 ratios found for concentrations close to the DL). The "improved-ambient" method was used for OA 273 elemental analysis (Canagaratna et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2018). The combined density of SO₄, NH₄, and 274 pNO₃ is assumed as 1.75 g cm⁻³, an approximation from ammonium sulfate, ammonium bisulfate, and 275 ammonium nitrate (Sloane et al., 1991; Stein et al., 1994; Salcedo et al., 2006). The non-refractory 276 chloride density is assumed as 1.52 g cm⁻³ based on ammonium chloride (Salcedo et al., 2006). The 277 frequency distributions of ρ_m and ρ_{OA} are summarized in Fig. S12. The mass-weighted average ρ_m is 278 1.60 ± 0.14 g cm⁻³ and 1.70 ± 0.10 g cm⁻³, and ρ_{OA} (averaged from above the concentrations above OA 279 DL) is 1.51 ± 0.19 g cm⁻³ and 1.59 ± 0.24 g cm⁻³ for ATom-1 and ATom-2, respectively. Negative AMS 280 mass concentrations exist at low concentrations since the AMS uses a difference measurement (signal 281 282 minus background). These negative AMS mass concentrations are kept as they are in deriving V_{chem} , otherwise, a positive statistical bias would be introduced if a zero or a positive value was artificially 283 284 assigned to those data points.

285 **2.5 Other aerosol measurements used in this study**

The following instruments all sampled through the LARGE inlet, except Soluble Acidic Gases and Aerosol (SAGA). The transmission efficiency for this inlet has been characterized as a function of particle size by flying the NASA DC-8 in a previous campaign (McNaughton et al., 2007), demonstrating a unity efficiency up to supermicron size ranges and reaching 50% at $d_{ta,air}$ of ~5 µm at the surface and 3.2 µm at 12 km. Hereafter, we refer to the 50% transmission diameter as d_{50} .

Particle size spectrometers: Dry particle size distributions for d_p from 2.7 nm to 4.8 μ m were 291 reported at 1 Hz using three optical particle spectrometers, including a Nucleation-Mode Aerosol Size 292 Spectrometer (NMASS; custom-built; 0.003-0.06 µm) (Williamson et al., 2018), an Ultra-High 293 Sensitivity Aerosol Spectrometer (UHSAS: Droplet Measurement Technologies, Longmont, CO, USA; 294 0.06-1 µm) (Kupc et al., 2018), and a Laser Aerosol Spectrometer (LAS; LAS 3340, TSI, St. Paul, MN, 295 USA; 0.12-4.8 µm), all operated by NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory (ESRL). Two NMASS, 296 297 two UHSAS (during ATom-2 and -3, a 300 °C thermodenuder was installed upstream of the detector of the second UHSAS to volatilize refractory components), and one LAS comprise the package of Aerosol 298





Microphysical Properties (AMP). Brock et al. (2019) discussed extensively the data inversion method to 299 merge the three non-thermally denuded size distributions into one. Hereafter, we refer to the non-300 thermally denuded integrated volume (2.7 nm-4.8 μ m) as the physical sizing-based volume (V_{phys}). AMP 301 302 performed well during ATom. Most relevant to the AMS size range, the UHSAS reported volume was estimated to have an asymmetric uncertainty of +12.4%/-27.5% due to the differences in refractive index 303 304 (n) between ambient particles and assumed ammonium sulfate particles (n = 1.527, which is similar to the refractive index found for aged ambient OA (Aldhaif et al., 2018)). This uncertainty range is estimated 305 to be between 1σ and 2σ depending on the conditions. Here we assume that it represents 1.5σ when using 306 it for uncertainty analyses. 307

SP2: Refractory Black Carbon (rBC, as defined in Petzold et al. (2013)) mass concentrations in the accumulation mode size range were measured by the NOAA Single Particle Soot Photometer (SP2; Droplet Measurement Technologies, Longmont, CO, USA) (Schwarz et al., 2010b; Katich et al., 2018). The ATom SP2 detection system was operated as in Schwarz et al. (2010a) with a size range for rBC mass of d_{ve} ~90-550 nm (Schwarz et al., 2010b). This size range typically contains ~90% of the total rBC mass in the ambient accumulation mode (Schwarz et al., 2008; Shiraiwa et al., 2008).

314 **PALMS:** The Particle Analysis by Laser Mass Spectrometry (PALMS) is a single-particle laser-315 ablation/ionization mass spectrometer instrument that measures size-resolved ($d_p \sim 0.1-5 \ \mu m$) particle chemical composition with fast response (Thomson et al., 2000; Murphy et al., 2006). Particle mass 316 317 concentrations can be derived as a function of size when mapping the PALMS chemical composition to the size distributions reported from the UHSAS and LAS, which is referred to as the PALMS-AMP 318 products (Froyd et al., 2019). In this study, we focus on the different particle size ranges observed by 319 PALMS and AMS, to illustrate the strengths and applications of the two aerosol composition instruments 320 onboard the DC-8. 321

PALMS is the most complex of the chemical composition instruments used in ATom. It is a singleparticle based instrument with both a very steep detection efficiency vs. particle size in the smaller particle range and the ability to measure much larger particles than the AMS. While the total reported mass (with some density uncertainty) of the PALMS-AMP products will always match the physical volume measurement over the range that PALMS reports (100-5000 nm d_p), the uneven sampling data coverage





of particles across each size bin, as well as the broadness of the bins chosen for PALMS-AMP analysis, can lead to a chemical bias if composition gradients exist within a bin (Fig. S13). Therefore, care must be taken to balance statistical representativeness against the need for unvarying particle composition across the size range over which those statistics are obtained (Froyd et al., 2019).

For intercomparisons we characterize the specific size range over which the PALMS can obtain 331 sufficient chemical information over a given time period under the ATom conditions, which is mainly 332 limited by particle statistics. If zero or a very low number of particles is sampled for a given AMP size 333 bin and time period, there is no real information being captured for characterizing the composition of the 334 particles in that bin. That is true even if the AMP volume in that bin is assigned a composition by 335 extrapolating the composition of larger or smaller particles. Therefore, we derived the PALMS detected 336 particle numbers based on the raw AMP size resolution (20 bins/decade, 34 bins in total above 100 nm d_p 337 for the size range that PALMS-AMP reports) to avoid the assumption of homogeneous chemical 338 composition within four broader bins in Froyd et al. (2019). This provides an alternative illustration of 339 PALMS size coverage and introduces a method that is applicable to other single-particle mass 340 341 spectrometers or other particle-counting based chemical instruments. A sensitivity test was carried out at various size resolutions, shown as Fig. S14, with more detected particles per bin for larger bins, as 342 343 expected. Importantly, the 20 bins/decade size resolution of AMP is preferable as it makes the results 344 directly comparable to the other aerosol instruments. The probability of detecting on average one valid particle per AMP size bin in the PALMS is very low below ~160 nm and above 1000 nm over a typical 345 3 min analysis period (Fig. S14). As altitude increases in the free troposphere, the size distribution often 346 shifts to smaller diameters (Williamson et al., 2019), thus we expand the 1D profile in Fig. S14 to include 347 the altitude dependence (Fig. S15). The results are shown at 3 min, 60 min intervals, as well as campaign-348 wide, since the 3 min timescale is most relevant for high time resolution airborne analyses while the 349 longer ones are relevant to averages by altitude in a latitude band and similar analyses that group data 350 together from different time periods. 351

Based on Froyd et al. (2019), we assume that if PALMS detects N = 1 particle in a given AMP size bin, the composition of the bin is fully characterized. This particle number corresponds to N = 5particles for 4 bins/decade, which is a reasonable number for the particle composition to be reasonably





represented by the particles captured, with a resolution over which composition changes may happen in the real atmosphere (Zhang et al., 2004). For simplicity, we scale the fraction of the particles contributing information content linearly for conditions with N < 1.

358 SAGA: gas-phase HNO₃ plus particulate inorganic nitrate, and sulfate were measured online with the University of New Hampshire (UNH) SAGA mist chamber (MC) ion chromatography (IC) at a time 359 resolution of ~80 s. Water-soluble chemical species were also measured offline by collecting particles 360 with Zefluor filters (9 cm diameter, 1 mm thick, and 1 µm pore size, from MilliporeSigma Corp., 361 Burlington, MA, USA) with subsequent procedures as described by Dibb et al. (1999, 2000) and Heim et 362 al. (2020). In brief, filter samples were collected during level portions of each flight, stored over dry ice, 363 extracted with ultrapure water, and sent back to the lab in UNH for IC analysis to quantify more species 364 than the MC (Dibb, 2019). 365

SAGA filters were sampled from the UNH inlet with an estimated cutoff size of 4.1 μ m ($d_{ta,sea,50}$) 366 at the surface and 2.6 µm (dta,air,50) at 12 km (McNaughton et al., 2007). The SAGA MC sampled from a 367 glass-coated (vapor deposited) manifold (8 cm inner diameter) with high airflow (on the order of 2000 sL 368 m⁻³ at low altitude) (as shown in Fig. S16). The diffuser type configuration at the manifold entrance boosts 369 airflow and the surrounding piece at the pipe tip excludes cloud droplets and giant sea salt particles (Talbot 370 371 et al., 2003). The in-cabin part of the pipe till MC was heated to 50 °C to minimize HNO₃ wall deposition, 372 although sampled air T is assumed to be the same as ambient due to the high airflow and short residence 373 time (~0.2 s). A small glass tube from MC, which is sealed at the bottom and opens a small hole on the downstream side, sticks down into the manifold. This configuration provides a particle cutoff size of 374 $\sim 1 \mu m (d_{ta,sea.50})$ at the surface and lower at higher altitudes (van Donkelaar et al., 2008). 375

To be compared with other ATom aerosol measurements, the pressure-dependent SAGA MC and filter inlet transmissions are calculated based on the ATom conditions and summarized in the SI as Fig. S17 and S18, respectively.

Use of data from other instruments for V_{chem} : V_{phys} includes refractory species, such as rBC, sea salt, and dust, and thus their volumes need to be added to the AMS non-refractory volume before comparison. rBC volume is estimated from SP2 mass measurements (Katich et al., 2018) with a density of 1.77 g cm⁻³ (Park et al., 2004). The sea salt volume is estimated from its AMS mass concentration with





a density of 1.45 g cm⁻³, assuming particles had not fully effloresced prior to detection (Froyd et al., 383 2019). Sea salt is typically externally mixed with sulfate-organic-nitrate particles (Froyd et al., 2019), 384 therefore, it is not routinely considered in the AMS aerosol density estimation (i.e., in Eq. 5). The 385 386 exclusion of dust in the volume closure is reasonable in general based on the results in Sect. 3.2 due to the limited impacts from dust for ATom, on average 1.1 ± 4.3 % (median = 0.0 %) of the AMS observed 387 volume, but it can contribute as high as 95% for occasional short plumes encountered in ATom-2 (Fig. 388 S19) (Froyd et al., 2019). Besides, we exclude the last ATom-1 research flight (a transit flight in the 389 continental U.S. from Minneapolis, MN to Palmdale, CA, different from the remote marine atmosphere 390 of the other ATom flights) and <10 min of sampling impacted by volcanic ash near Hawaii in ATom-2 391 (Research Flight 203, Jan 30, 2017). As discussed above, we use 1-min AMS data for intercomparison, 392 and 1 s V_{phys} is averaged to the same time scale. There may be a minor bias introduced from this approach 393 since AMS periodic blank measurements exclude some 1-sec data points from the AMS but not from 394 V_{phys} (~3% of the total 1-sec V_{phys} points), and similarly, some data are removed from the sizing 395 measurements due to cloud masking but not for the AMS (13%, discussed below in Sect. 3.2). In this 396 study, the particle volume is reported in units of µm³ scm⁻³, where scm⁻³ are cubic centimeters of air under 397 STP. 398

399 **2.6 Summary of the ATom aerosol size distribution and instrument size ranges**

Fig. 1 summarizes the ATom-2 campaign averaged number and volume size distributions from 400 AMP and compares it to the subranges observed from several ATom aerosol instruments, to provide 401 context for this study and future instrument comparisons based on the ATom dataset. The upper cut sizes 402 403 for LAS, SAGA MC, and filter, determined from their inlets, move towards smaller particles at higher altitudes, thus the size ranges plotted in Fig. 1 for these instruments are the best-case scenario (in the 404 planetary boundary layer). In contrast, the AMS transmission stays the same up to ~9 km. Based on Fig. 405 406 1, the AMS size range is more closely comparable to SAGA MC, and comparison to all the other instruments requires considering the different size ranges. Therefore, accurately characterizing AMS 407 408 transmission is a prerequisite for quantitative instrumental intercomparisons. While the focus of this work 409 is on instrument comparisons, we want to emphasize that a properly characterized size cut is also





410 important for model comparisons and that the size bins used in most global models, typically reported as 411 d_p , vary widely (Hodzic et al., 2020).

412 **3 Results and Discussion**

413 3.1 AMS transmission

AMP gives nearly unity detection efficiency of the particles (not lost in the inlet) from ~5 nm to ~4 μ m (d_p) at sea level, and 50% transmission at 2.7 nm and 4.8 μ m (inlet-limited), of which AMS, SAGA MC, PALMS, and SP2 observe a subrange, as shown in Fig. 1 (McNaughton et al., 2007; Brock et al., 2019). Therefore, the volume derived from the AMP size distributions (V_{phys}) can be used as the basis for intercomparisons. Characterizing AMS transmission (E_L) is critical for a meaningful comparison of V_{phys} vs. V_{chem} .

AMS transmission (always specified vs. d_{va}) can be quite variable between instruments, and can 420 also change for a specific AMS in time, so it is critical to characterize the transmission in the field for 421 meaningful instrumental intercomparisons (Liu et al., 2007; Knote et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2017; Nault et 422 al., 2018). During ATom, the large particle region (~500-1200 nm, d_{va}) of the CU AMS transmission was 423 calibrated in the field (Fig. 2), as discussed in Sect. 2.4. A fit to the multi-size field calibrations indicates 424 a 100% transmission at d_{va} of ~483 nm (1 σ range: 445-525 nm) and a 0% transmission at ~1175 nm 425 (1112-1241 nm), with 50% transmission at 754 nm. This transmission was stable throughout the ATom-426 1 and -2 deployments. Other than new particle formation and growth events, the small particle end of the 427 transmission curve is less critical in determining submicron aerosol volume since volume is normally 428 dominated by the accumulation mode (which normally refers to the range 100-1000 nm d_{ta}) (Seinfeld and 429 430 Pandis, 2016) instead of the Aitken mode (10-100 nm d_{ta}). Brock et al. (2019) found the accumulation mode during ATom to be 60-500 nm d_p , equivalent to 93-674 nm in $d_{ta,sea}$, as remote particles were far 431 432 away from sources of precursor gases that could sustain growth to larger sizes. Results from previous measurements (Zhang et al., 2004; Knote et al., 2011) were used to estimate the small particle 433 434 transmission, with 0% at 35 nm and 100% at 100 nm. Sensitivity tests on the small particle transmission 435 points (Sect. 3.3 below) confirm a lack of impact on the volume comparison for ATom conditions. AMS





transmission curves for all ATom campaigns are shown in Fig. 3. Importantly, AMS transmission improved noticeably for ATom-4 compared to the prior ATom legs, possibly due to small changes in the inlet during reassembly. This shows the importance of characterizing E_L for each campaign for quantitative intercomparisons. Similar changes have been observed in the past for other aircraft and ground campaigns.

441 3.2 Comparison of AMS vs. standard PM₁ size cuts

442 AMS is often described as an approximate "PM₁" or "submicron" instrument. Since the standard 443 definition of PM₁ is based on devices that impose an aerodynamic diameter (d_{ta}) cut under ground-level 444 pressure, temperature (e.g., defined at T = 293.15 K and P = 1013 mbar (Marple et al., 1991)), and 445 humidity, the equivalent AMS transmission in d_{ta} depends on particle density and composition, as well 446 as the E_L of the specific AMS for a given study. The careful transmission calibrations and extensive 447 sampling of ATom allow more precise characterization of this cutoff size for the CU aircraft AMS and 448 remote aerosols.

449 For aircraft sampling where a submicron cut is desired (not including the AMS), the single 1 um stage from a micro-orifice uniform deposit impactor (MOUDI) (Marple et al., 1991, 2014) is often used 450 (e.g., (Peltier et al., 2008; Brock et al., 2011; Guo et al., 2016)) to preselect submicron particles 451 (transmission shown in Fig. S20). Here, we choose MOUDI instead of SAGA MC, also known as a 452 453 submicron cut instrument deployed for aircraft studies, due to the lack of a published transmission curve for SAGA MC. Due to the higher temperature in cabin vs. ambient air (Guo et al., 2016), the MOUDI 454 impactor (operating at cabin T and ambient P) is expected to size-select dry particles, similar to the AMS. 455 The impactor provides a nominal PM₁ cut at T = 293.15 K and P = 1013 mbar but the $d_{ta,50}$ for a given 456 particle is pressure- and temperature-dependent, and thus varies with altitude. For instance, at an aerosol 457 density of 1.7 g cm⁻³ (the ATom-2 campaign average), d_{ta,air,50} drops from 1 µm to 912 nm at 6 km, and 458 459 to 686 nm at 12 km height, based on the U.S. standard atmosphere (NOAA, NASA, U. S. Air Force, 460 1976), as shown in Fig. 3. Even lower cut sizes, 752 nm at 6 km and 400 nm at 12 km, are expected if the 461 impactor was operated under ambient T (not typically done, and best avoided for an optimal particle cut; summarized in Table S1). Hence, the deviation from the nominal 1 µm cut size can be very significant at 462





high altitude (although it could in principle be modulated by changing the flow rate vs. altitude). The pressure-dependent diffusion loss of small particles for MOUDI is estimated using the inlet system onboard NCAR/NSF C-130 from Guo et al. (2016), a ~2.5 m tubing with an inner diameter of ~1.1 cm. Given a flow rate of 30 Lm^{-3} , Reynolds number is 3858 at sea level and increases with altitude, indicating a turbulent flow in the inlet.

If we compare the AMS transmission to ground-level based dry d_{ta} (using a dry particle density 468 of 1.7 g cm⁻³ to calculate d_{ta} from d_{va}), the ATom-2 / 3 / 4 $d_{ta,sea,50}$ are 599 nm, 615 nm, and 758 nm, 469 respectively (the $d_{ta,air,50}$ are higher and listed in Table S1; for example, $d_{ta,air,50}$ is 782 nm and 837 nm at 470 6 km and 12km, respectively for ATom-4). Thus the cutoff size of the AMS in ATom is more stringent 471 than a MOUDI nominal PM₁ cut at the surface and 6 km, and less stringent at the higher altitudes in 472 ATom-4. Importantly, the AMS transmission stays constant up to ~ 9 km in altitude for the implemented 473 PCI. No in-field characterization of the AMS transmission at higher altitudes (when inlet pressure slips) 474 was performed, but laboratory calibration shows no change in transmission at 710 nm d_{va} at the max 475 altitude inlet pressure (1.05 Torr). 476

477 For ground studies, URG PM₁ standard cut (model: URG-2000-30EHB) and sharp cut (model: 478 SCC 2.229) cyclones are widely used for non-AMS instruments. The estimated diffusion loss of small particles in the URG cyclones was negligible (e.g., 5% loss at $d_{ta,sea} = 5$ nm and less loss expected at 479 larger sizes), calculated with a nominal flow rate of 16.7 L m⁻³ and assumed cyclone internal dimensions, 480 481 0.50 inch (1.27 cm) in diameter and 50 cm in length (Reynolds number = 2100, indicating a likely turbulent flow). The two cyclones offer cutoff sizes at 1 μ m at T = 293.15 K and P = 1013 mbar (Fig. 482 S20), and smaller cuts when such cyclones are deployed at lower ambient pressure and the nominal 483 volumetric flow, e.g., at a mountain site. 484

One additional complexity arises since the standard PM₁ cut made with URG cyclones are under ambient humidity conditions (i.e., particles are not dried prior to sampling). Thus, the equivalent dry particle cut size is below 1µm at sea level and depends on the amount of liquid water associated with the particles. For the ATom conditions, particle size shrinks on average ~20% (assuming a complete loss of the predicted particle liquid water content from the higher ambient RH, mean/median(\pm SD) = $40/36(\pm 29)\%$ to the lower inlet RH, $10/0.4/(\pm 21)\%$; Fig. S1c-d) and the frequency distribution plots are





shown as Fig. S21 (SD stands for standard deviation). While AMS transmission is characterized with dry particles, a smaller difference between the AMS transmissions and the cyclone transmissions is expected, compared to Fig. 3. Taking the estimated ~20% shrinkage in particle size from drying in the sample line (for the ATom-1 and -2 conditions), the AMS transmission would be equivalent to a standard PM_{0.75} and a PM_{0.95} cut during ATom-2 and -4 respectively in terms of ambient aerosol size.

Since aerosol density affects the conversion between d_{va} and d_{ta} (Eqs. 1-2), a higher AMS $d_{ta,50}$ is expected if sampling aerosols with lower densities than the ATom-2 campaign average of 1.70 g cm⁻³. To illustrate this point further, results based on an assumed 0.9 g cm⁻³ aerosol density, typical of hydrocarbonlike OA from lubricating oil or oleic acid as cooking aerosol surrogate (Kuwata et al., 2012; Herring et al., 2015), are shown in SI as Fig. S22b. In this case, the ATom-2 and ATom-4 AMS $d_{ta,sea,50}$ increase to 789 nm and 1006 nm, respectively, making the ATom-4 AMS a dry PM₁ cut when performing experiments with those aerosols.

It is also useful to compare the sharpness of the different transmission curves. The sharpness of transmission is commonly defined as $(d_{ta,16}/d_{ta,84})^{0.5}$, where $d_{ta,16}$ and $d_{ta,84}$ are particle aerodynamic diameters at 84% and 16% transmissions (Peters et al., 2001). The sharpness of the AMS transmission profiles are similar to that of a URG PM₁ standard cut cyclone; 1.34 in ATom-2 and 1.49 in ATom-4 compared to 1.35 and 1.17 of the URG standard cut and sharp cut cyclones (a lower number indicates a sharper cut). The MOUDI 1µm stage impactor provides the sharpest cut at 1.12 at sea level but the sharpness decreases at higher altitudes, 1.15 at 6 km and 1.22 at 12 km.

Including all effects, the CU aircraft AMS was approximately equivalent to a standard groundlevel PM_{0.75} instrument during ATom-2 and a PM_{0.95} instrument during ATom-4. For laboratory or field experiments with oily particles with an aerosol density of 0.9 g cm⁻³, the same AMS would be a PM_{0.79} or PM_{1.0} instrument in terms of dry aerosol size.

514 **3.3 Volume closure**

AMS observes a fraction of V_{phys} (Figs. 1 & 3). AMS transmission vs. d_p was calculated based on the calibrated transmission vs. d_{va} (Eq. 1) and the AMS estimated time-dependent ρ_m (Eq. 5), and applied to the AMP size distributions used to derive V_{phys} . This volume is referred to as $V_{phys,TC}$ (the AMS-





transmission-corrected V_{phys}). The comparisons between $V_{phys,TC}$ and V_{chem} for ATom-1 and -2 are shown 518 in Fig. 4. Good agreement is observed, with the data points distributed around the 1:1 line over a three 519 order-of-magnitude range of concentrations. For ATom-1 the regression slope is 0.96 and r² is 0.95. The 520 larger volume concentrations were generally detected in the boundary layer. Time averaging reduces 521 random noise (more dominant at smaller volumes), as evidenced when comparing this analysis for 1, 5, 522 and 10 min averages (Fig. S23). The slightly worse fitting slope of 1.09 and r² of 0.93 in ATom-2 may be 523 due to the larger contribution of sea salt in ATom-2 in the boundary layer (Hodzic et al., 2020) and hence 524 the larger uncertainty in applying the AMS size cut. To illustrate the impacts of sea salt, we replotted the 525 comparisons (Fig. 4a-b) colored by sea salt shown as Fig. S24a-b, which suggests that some outliers in 526 ATom-2 are observed at high sea salt concentrations. We also investigate the potential differences in the 527 data products due to the differences in raw data processing criteria for cloud artifacts between AMS and 528 NOAA size spectrometers and find no clear evidence (Fig. S24c-d). Furthermore, we confirm that 529 excluding submicron dust volume is reasonable; only a few outliers have noticeably higher contributions 530 from dust (Fig. S24e-f). 531

532 Species density is used to convert the AMS mass to volume concentrations and thus affects the volume comparison. As discussed above (Fig. S12), ρ_{0A} in this study is estimated with the 533 parameterization method of Kuwata et al. (2012). The ρ_{OA} parameterization method from Kuwata et al., 534 (2012) was validated up to 1.9 g cm⁻³ (i.e., oxalic acid) and the lab generated SOA in that study had up to 535 1.46 g cm⁻³ ρ_{0A} with an O/C of 0.72. The estimated ATom-1 and -2 ρ_{0A} is close to that of succinic acid, 536 1.57 g cm⁻³, that has a similar O/C ratio (ATom-1 and -2 vs. succinic acid: 1.05 ± 0.44 vs. 1.0), and falls 537 into the observed $\rho_{0.4}$ density range, 1.5-1.7 g cm⁻³, for low mass concentrations of SOA (< 3 µg m⁻³, as 538 the most cases in ATom), made from α -pinene and ozone from a chamber study (Shilling et al., 2009). 539 However, ρ_{0A} estimated from PALMS, 1.35-1.45 g cm⁻³ (Froyd et al., 2019), is ~0.2 g cm⁻³ lower than 540 that estimated from AMS, for reasons that are not yet understood. As a sensitivity check, we recalculate 541 V_{chem} by subtracting 0.2 g cm⁻³ from the AMS estimated ρ_{0A} (Fig. S25). Compared to the base cases (Fig. 542 4a-b), the r² values barely change and the slopes increase by 5% or 8% due to the higher estimated OA 543 volume in V_{chem}. Therefore, this uncertainty is below 10% and does not undermine the agreement within 544 the uncertainties between V_{chem} and $V_{phys,TC}$. 545





To illustrate that applying the AMS transmission to V_{phys} is a prerequisite for a meaningful 546 comparison, Fig. 4c illustrates the volume closure for a research flight in ATom-2 (RF208, Feb 15 2017, 547 from Ascension to the Azores), in which the contribution of supermicron particles to total volume is 548 549 significant. Although V_{phys} was, in general, several times larger than V_{chem} when the DC-8 flew at lower altitudes (below ~3 km), $V_{phys,TC}$ agrees very well with V_{chem} , with a regression slope of 1.04 and an r² of 550 0.97. To examine if applying the AMS transmission introduces a systematic bias, Fig. 4a-b was replotted, 551 colored by the removed fraction of V_{phys} , in SI as Fig. S26. The binned data points at 20% intervals show 552 little difference, suggesting that no significant bias is arising for this reason for both ATom-1 and -2. An 553 exception is the 80-100% bin for ATom-2 due to some outliers with high sea salt as shown in Fig. S26b 554 and possibly the increased statistical noise, with only 25% of the data points in this bin compared to 555 ATom-1. 556

Box plots, regressions, and correlations were carried out for the separate datasets in each bin of 557 removed V_{phys}, as shown in Fig. 5a-c. For the combined ATom-1 and -2 data (Fig. 5a), the majority of the 558 volume ratios are distributed around the 1:1 line and within the combined systematic uncertainty range 559 560 (combined 2σ of AMS and UHSAS, the size spectrometer that overlaps most with the AMS, see Fig. 1). If using the UHSAS data product alone and applying the AMS transmission, the resulting volume is on 561 562 average 93 \pm 9 % in ATom-1 and 87 \pm 14 % in ATom-2 compared to V_{phys,TC}. Therefore, the UHSAS uncertainty is representative of that of $V_{phys,TC}$. The V_{phys} uncertainty depends on particle size range or 563 564 mode (see Table 1 in Brock et al., (2019)) and the random uncertainty in V_{phys} is expected to be smoothed out with longer averaging time scales. All five bins show high correlations with r^2 of 0.79-0.96, with a 565 lower correlation at the 80-100% V_{phys} removal bin. The smallest slope of 0.84 is also seen at this bin, 566 where the largest discrepancy is expected due to the combined sharpness of the decreasing AMS 567 transmission for larger particles and the rising tail of coarse mode particles into the submicron size range 568 (e.g., the AMS transmission excludes on average 89% of the total sea salt volume sampled during ATom-569 2). When investigating ATom-1 and ATom-2 independently, ATom-1 averages are slightly below unity 570 but consistent throughout the five bins (Fig. 5b), and ATom-2 shows an increasing bias above 60% V_{phys} 571 572 removal (again likely due to the much higher sea salt fractional contribution for this campaign). Only the 80-100% bin in ATom-2 has substantial data outside the 2σ uncertainty range. Overall, the above results 573





suggest the in-field characterized AMS transmission is robust for the various conditions encountered in
 the ATom-1 and -2 studies.

While binning the data is useful for exploring possible systematic biases, looking at the overall 576 577 deviations of the individual measurements allows us to explore to what extent the reported instrument uncertainties are consistent with the ATom dataset. Fig. 5d-i shows the frequency distributions of the 578 579 volume ratio, $V_{chem} / V_{phys,TC}$, together with the combined 2σ accuracy of AMS and UHSAS. The ATom-2 data distribution is slightly broader than ATom-1 partly owing to the larger precision error (e.g., when 580 mass concentration is within three times of DLs) associated with the lower submicron mass 581 concentrations, 0.38 vs. 0.50 µg m⁻³. Longer averaging time can deemphasize the precision errors, 582 especially for a dataset like ATom with few sharp plumes. Thus we plot the volume ratio at three time 583 scales, 1 min, 5 min, and 10 min. It shows a clear improvement in the spread of the ratio as the averaging 584 time scale increases, with the 10 min data being consistent with the reported accuracies. This supports 585 the good quality and consistency of the ATom aerosol dataset, and it also supports the reported AMS 586 accuracies. 587

588 **3.4 Sensitivity tests to AMS transmission**

589 The above discussion demonstrates the critical role of well-characterized AMS transmission for meaningful volume intercomparison. In this section, we aim to quantify the impact of the AMS 590 transmission on the volume comparison by artificially adjusting the transmission with a series of 591 sensitivity tests. As shown in Fig. 6a, the AMS transmission can be characterized by four "anchoring" 592 particle sizes, representing 0% and 100% transmissions at both ends. During ATom-1 and -2, these 593 anchoring sizes (in dva) were estimated as (i) 35 nm, (ii) 100 nm, (iii) 482 nm, and (iv) 1175 nm, 594 respectively, as discussed above (Fig. 2). Uncertainty ranges are estimated for the latter two sizes from 595 the ATom calibrations and shown in Fig. 6d-e. We alter one anchoring size at a time, recalculate $V_{phys,TC}$, 596 and re-compare to V_{chem} , which is kept unchanged. The resulting slopes and r² are summarized in Fig. 6. 597 598 The adjustments at the two lower anchoring sizes, up to ± 25 nm at 35 nm and ± 50 nm at 100 nm, have 599 a negligible impact on the volume comparison due to the small volume/mass concentrations at these sizes during ATom (e.g., Fig. 3), except for the unrealistic 50 nm decrease at 100 nm (the second anchoring 600





point). In contrast, a dependency of the fitting results on the details of the AMS transmission curve for large particles is observed. For the third anchoring point, corresponding to the largest particles with 100% transmission (Fig. 6d), a smaller d_{va} excludes more V_{phys} and results in a higher slope. For example, at the lower one SD limit d_{va} of 445 nm, the fitting slopes increase from 0.97 to 1.01 for ATom-1 and 1.09 to 1.12 for ATom-2. These small changes in slope are the largest among the four anchoring points, and they are statistically significant because the changes are one magnitude higher than the fitting 1σ uncertainties of the slopes (~0.03 vs. ~0.004). In all the cases investigated, r² barely changes.

It is also of interest to compare the results if we had assumed that AMS literature transmission 608 curves applied to this study. Here we test the commonly used transmission curves of Liu et al., (2007) 609 and Hu et al., (2017). The four anchoring sizes, all in nm, in Liu et al., (2007) (Hu et al., (2017) in 610 parentheses) are (i) 50 (40), (ii) 150 (100), (iii) 300 (500), and (iv) 1400 (1500, estimated by fitting). The 611 regression slopes with the Liu curve (the Hu curve) are 1.18 and 1.23 (0.94 and 0.96) in ATom-1 and -2, 612 respectively, compared to 0.96 and 1.09 derived from applying the ATom-1 and -2 transmission (Fig. 4). 613 In summary, the above results suggest: (1) The volume closure is relatively insensitive to the uncertainties 614 615 of the AMS transmission curve characterized in this study; (2) Use of transmission curves from the literature for uncharacterized instruments can result in substantial deviations (which may then be 616 617 incorrectly attributed to changes in CE or RIE); (3) The large particle region of the AMS transmission 618 curve is more important than the small particle region for ATom-1 and -2; and (4) The point (iii) with 619 100% transmission size for large particles (482 nm in this case) is the most important calibration, due to the dominance of the accumulation mode mass for the submicron size range. 620

3.5 Characterization of the AMS observable particle fraction during ATom vs. the standard ground-based and aircraft-based PM₁ definition

It is of interest to compare the fraction of the volume detected by the AMS for ATom vs. what a standard ground-level PM_1 (the most common definition of "submicron") instrument would detect. In this study, we use the standard cut URG cyclone operating at the surface ambient humidity as the reference, simulating its operation at ground sites at different altitudes (e.g., sea level and mountain sites). As discussed above, both the AMS and the AMP size distributions measure dry particles while the "standard" PM_1 is defined with practical size-selection under ambient humidity. To account for the difference, the





URG transmission is applied to the estimated ambient particle size before losing liquid water content (the 629 effect of water on ρ_p is also considered) (DeCarlo et al., 2004). We assume no size dependence for ρ_p or 630 the volume fraction of liquid water content for the submicron aerosols. Ambient P and T from ATom are 631 632 applied to the URG transmission to account for the shift at non-STP conditions, which is relevant when operating such a cyclone at higher altitudes e.g., a mountain site. The results of applying the AMS and 633 URG PM₁ standard cut cyclone transmissions to V_{phys} are shown in Fig. 7. AMS observed on average 96 634 \pm 16% (median 96%) and 94 \pm 12% (median 94%) of the volumes that would transmit through a ground-635 level URG PM₁ cyclone in ATom-1 and -2, respectively. Although we previously concluded that the 636 AMS was approximately an equivalent ground-level PM0.75 instrument in ATom-1 and -2, the difference 637 in collected volume is only ~5%. This is because the submicron volume size distribution peaked around 638 300 nm (d_{ta} ; see Fig. 3 for example), where AMS transmission is ~100%, and also due to the effect of 639 liquid water on particle size. 640

Next, we compared the submicron volumes observed from the CU AMS and a MOUDI 1 µm 641 stage impactor during aircraft studies, using the ATom conditions (Fig. 7c & 7d). The two inlets size-642 select dry particles due to sample line heating. AMS observed 87% and 83% by means, 90% and 85% by 643 medians, in ATom-1 and -2 of that from an airborne MOUDI impactor, lower than the ratios when 644 comparing to the URG PM1 cyclones for two reasons: the smaller cutoff size of URG vs. MOUDI due to 645 646 particle water and lower operating T for URG (which relates to air viscosity). We also compared the V_{phys,TC} to the (total) V_{phys} (Fig. 7a & 7b). AMS collected 68% by means (the same for ATom-1 and -2, 647 and 78% in ATom-1 and 71% in ATom-2 by medians) of V_{phys}; in other words, 32% of V_{phys} was excluded 648 by applying the AMS transmission. For both ATom-1 and -2, there was considerable variability on the 649 fraction of V_{phys} removed to obtain $V_{phys,TC}$, which spanned the range from 0% to 100% removal, thus 650 providing a good scenario of testing the AMS transmission. Nevertheless, this data shows that on average 651 the AMS captured the submicron range well, as shown in Fig. 4, and that the comparisons presented here 652 are meaningful for a wide range of scenarios. 653





3.6 Characterization of the observable particle populations for different chemical instruments

The different parts of the aerosol population included in different measurements and models make 655 comparisons of aerosol species inherently more complex than for gas-phase species. In this section, we 656 characterize the size ranges that contribute information to each composition measurement. Importantly, 657 only the particle ranges are illustrated, irrespective of the properties of each chemical detector (e.g., 658 species measured, detection limits, etc.). Speciated particle mass concentrations can be derived by 659 660 sampling the bulk aerosol using a size cut. For example, MOUDI 1 µm stage impactor and SAGA MC are suitable for size-selecting submicron range (Fig. S17). With a wider coverage expanding to 661 662 supermicron sizes, SAGA filters measure up to $d_{ta,sea}$ of 4.1 µm and their estimated altitude-dependent transmissions for the ATom conditions are shown in Fig. S18. Speciated mass concentrations can also be 663 664 derived as a function of size by mapping the PALMS single-particle chemical composition onto an independent physical size distribution measurement (in case of ATom the AMP size distribution products 665 described in Brock et al. (2019)) (Froyd et al., 2019), and PALMS-AMP derived sulfate and organic mass 666 concentrations have recently been reported to the NASA ATom archive (Wofsy et al., 2018). 667

668 Fig. 8 summarizes the approximate fractions of the volume and number distributions that each ATom instrument observed for ATom-2 (Fig. S28 shows ATom-1). A MOUDI 1 µm stage impactor is 669 670 also included for comparison. SAGA filters collect nearly the entire total volume. The vertical profiles of volume size distributions collected by AMS and MOUDI are similar and converge at higher altitudes due 671 to the shift in the MOUDI cutoff size. Both AMS and PALMS capture the accumulation mode, which 672 often dominates particle mass, and thus agreement of the reported submicron concentrations should be 673 expected under such conditions. The AMS samples contain chemical information about smaller particles 674 that are typically absent from the PALMS data (Williamson et al., 2019). Conversely, the PALMS 675 samples a significant fraction of the supermicron mode beyond the transmission range of the AMS. The 676 PALMS-AMP at the reported AMP size resolution and 3 min time resolution is shown in Fig. 8 (and Fig 677 S28), and similar plots for other size and time resolutions are shown in Fig. S29 and S30. 3 min 678 679 corresponds to ~36 km horizontal distances and ~1.5 km vertical distances during ATom profiles and thus 680 is a reasonable basis for comparison.





It is also of interest to quantify what fraction of the particle number is represented by each 681 instrument's data. For instance, the composition relevant to calculations of cloud condensation nuclei 682 (CCN) number concentrations would be dominated by small particles. The number fractions have 683 684 somewhat different meanings for the instruments. PALMS, when merged with size distribution measurements, can quantify the number of particles of various types as a function of size. For the other 685 (bulk) instruments, the number fraction merely represents the number of particles in the size range where 686 mass is measured. Unlike the volume case, where the size distribution is dominated by the accumulation 687 and coarse modes, the number size distribution in ATom was dominated by the nucleation and Aitken 688 mode particles. In ATom-1 and -2, the SAGA filters, MOUDI, AMS, and PALMS-AMP (based on AMP 689 size resolution and 3 min time resolution) characterize the chemical composition on average of 96% 690 (median 99.9%), 78% (87%), 68% (74%), and 54% (55%) of V_{phys} (total AMP particle volume), and 98% 691 (99%), 89% (93%), 41% (41%), and 5% (1%) of the total AMP particle number, respectively. The size 692 range above $d_p = 100$ nm, for which PALMS-AMP (Froyd et al., 2019) reports chemical products 693 (partially by extrapolating composition measurements of others sizes, especially at higher time resolutions 694 695 and lower concentrations), covers 76% (83%) and 11% (5%) of the AMP volume and number, 696 respectively.

697 To complete the illustration of the coverage of the previously discussed instruments, the vertical 698 profiles of observed volume fractions, in both the submicron range and the full AMP size range, are 699 summarized in Fig. 9 (and the statistics summarized in Table S2 in SI). For the submicron measurements, AMS is highly comparable to the URG PM1 standard cut cyclone, MOUDI 1 µm stage impactor, and 700 SAGA MC. More particle volume is observed by AMS as altitude increases, due to the relatively constant 701 AMS lens transmission (that always operates in the free molecular regime) and the smaller aerodynamic 702 703 cutoff sizes for the other three inlets (that operate at ambient P). For the AMP size range, similar increasing fractions of V_{phys} as a function of altitude are observed in all the panels, except for PALMS-704 AMP, due to the larger fraction of the aerosol population at smaller diameters aloft than at the surface 705 (Fig. 8) (Williamson et al. 2019). PALMS excels in the lower 2 km of the atmosphere where it 706 707 characterizes most of the volume, while the submicron instruments only capture $\sim 40-50$ %. This clearly shows the heterogeneity and complementarity between PALMS-AMP and the other submicron bulk 708





measurements as a function of altitude. The differences between the 3 min characterization and the
PALMS-AMP products are greatly reduced by averaging to 60 min.

In summary, outside dust or biomass burning plumes, the particle volume sampled by AMS is within $97 \pm 14\%$ compared to SAGA MC, for which the difference disappears for the higher altitude legs, and $85 \pm 10\%$ of an airborne dry PM₁ measurement, a MOUDI impactor often used in aircraft. AMS and PALMS particle compositional data overlap for a large part of the volume distribution in ATom, and they complement each other at the ends of the distribution (the statistics of the overlap are listed in Table S2). Last but not the least, SAGA filters characterize the particle bulk chemical components representative of the combined size range from the NOAA particle spectrometers.

718 4 Conclusion

719 The large range of conditions sampled by the high-quality aerosol instrument payload onboard the NASA DC-8 during the ATom missions provides unique opportunity to quantitatively investigate the 720 comparability of submicron volume (and hence mass quantification) derived from physical sizing vs. bulk 721 722 chemical instruments, as well as to evaluate whether currently reported AMS measurement uncertainties are realistic. Characterizing the upper end of the AMS transmission curve during field deployments is 723 724 critical for meaningful intercomparisons. Calibrating the AMS transmission curve avoids improperly attributing the differences in transmission to errors in CE or RIE if a discrepancy is found. In-field 725 726 calibration of AMS transmission is suggested since lens alignment or possible impacts during transport have been observed to cause a change in transmission. AMS variability in transmission can be significant, 727 e.g., this study vs. Hu et al. (2017) and Liu et al. (2007), leading to differences of up to 25% in transmitted 728 concentrations for ATom conditions, which could be larger in the presence of a larger accumulation mode. 729 After applying the AMS transmission curve to the size spectrometer data, good agreement was found 730 between the physically and chemically derived volumes over three orders-of-magnitude (slope = 0.96 and 731 1.09, $r^2 = 0.95$ and 0.93, for ATom-1 and -2, respectively). Significant deviations would have been 732 observed if some literature transmission curves had been used. No evidence of biases in AMS detection 733 of remote aerosols was found. The combined stated uncertainties are consistent for the overall statistics 734 735 of the instrument comparison for the remote aerosols sampled during ATom.





The CU AMS inlet was equivalent to a $PM_{0.75}$ cyclone operating on ambient particles (i.e., not 736 dried prior to sampling) during ATom-1 to -3 and to a PM_{0.95} cyclone during ATom-4. For an aerosol 737 density of 0.9 g cm⁻³, such as pure hydrocarbon-like OA or cooking aerosol dominated by fatty acids, the 738 same AMS is equivalent to a PM_{0.79} (ATom-1, -2, -3) and PM_{1.0} (ATom-4) cyclone for dry particles. 739 Despite being equivalent to a PM_{0.75} cyclone in ATom-1 and -2, $95 \pm 15\%$ of the theoretically calculated 740 741 URG PM1 cyclone sampled mass/volume was detected by the AMS, as the effect of ambient pressure and humidity on the URG cyclone transmission bridges the gap. Furthermore, the AMS quantified particle 742 mass and properties represent 68% (mean) of the integrated AMP volume and 41% of the integrated AMP 743 number from 2.7 nm to 4.8 μ m geometric diameter (d_p) size range. PALMS-AMP at a 3-min time 744 resolution (or the PALMS-AMP products, which assumes a full coverage of >100 nm d_p AMP) 745 characterizes 54% (76%) of the integrated volume and 5% (11%) of the integrated number, while MOUDI 746 1 µm stage impactor would collect 78% of the volume and 89% of the number. SAGA filters collect 747 nearly all the aerosol, 96% of the volume and 98% of the number. The more pressure-dependent cutoff 748 size of MOUDI or similar inlet that operates at ambient P for airborne sampling may impact comparisons 749 750 with data from other instruments as a function of altitude. That effect could be compensated by lowering 751 the volumetric flow rate vs. altitude to keep the size cut (i.e., d_{50}) the same at the cost of a less sharp 752 transmission. The CU AMS inlet provides a more constant transmission vs. altitude. This work serves as 753 a case study of the importance of size ranges when intercomparing different instruments, and contributes 754 to document the performance of the ATom aerosol payload, confirms the realism of the stated uncertainties, and serves as a framework for a subsequent intercomparison focusing on individual 755 chemical species. 756

757

Acknowledgments. The authors gratefully acknowledge the support by NASA grants NNX15AH33A and 80NSSC19K0124. J.E.D. was supported by NASA grant NNX15AG62A. We thank the ATom leadership team, science team, and the NASA DC-8 crew for their contributions to the success of the ATom mission. We thank the AMS users community for many useful discussions, Bruce Anderson and Luke Ziemba for collecting and sharing the LARGE particle extinction data during SEAC⁴RS, and Xiaoliang Wang and Peter H. McMurry for inlet discussions and calculations. We thank Charles Brock, Christina Williamson,





- and Agnieszka Kupc for the use of the AMP data, Joshua Schwarz and Joseph Katich for use of the SP2
- data, and Karl Froyd and Daniel Murphy for useful discussions about PALMS.
- 766
- 767 *Data availability.* The ATom data is published at https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1581.

768 **References**

- 769ACTRiS:ACTRiSDataCentre,[online]Availablefrom:770https://www.actris.eu/DataServices/Data/DataCentre.aspx (Accessed 15 November 2019), 2019.from:
- Aldhaif, A. M., Stahl, C., Braun, R. A., Moghaddam, M. A., Shingler, T., Crosbie, E., Sawamura, P.,
- Dadashazar, H., Ziemba, L., Jimenez, J. L., Campuzano-Jost, P. and Sorooshian, A.: Characterization of
- the Real Part of Dry Aerosol Refractive Index Over North America From the Surface to 12 km, J.
- 774 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 123(15), 8283–8300, 2018.
- Alfarra, M. R., Coe, H., Allan, J. D., Bower, K. N., Boudries, H., Canagaratna, M. R., Jimenez, J. L.,
 Jayne, J. T., Garforth, A. A., Li, S.-M. and Worsnop, D. R.: Characterization of urban and rural organic
 particulate in the Lower Fraser Valley using two Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometers, Atmos.
 Environ., 38(34), 5745–5758, 2004.
- Bahreini, R., Dunlea, E. J., Matthew, B. M., Simons, C., Docherty, K. S., DeCarlo, P. F., Jimenez, J. L.,
 Brock, C. A. and Middlebrook, A. M.: Design and Operation of a Pressure-Controlled Inlet for Airborne
 Sampling with an Aerodynamic Aerosol Lens, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 42(6), 465–471, 2008.
- Bahreini, R., Ervens, B., Middlebrook, A. M., Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., DeCarlo, P. F., Jimenez, J.
 L., Brock, C. A., Neuman, J. A., Ryerson, T. B., Stark, H., Atlas, E., Brioude, J., Fried, A., Holloway, J.
 S., Peischl, J., Richter, D., Walega, J., Weibring, P., Wollny, A. G. and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: Organic aerosol
 formation in urban and industrial plumes near Houston and Dallas, Texas, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D00F16,
 2009.
- 787 Barth, M. C., Cantrell, C. A., Brune, W. H., Rutledge, S. A., Crawford, J. H., Huntrieser, H., Carey, L. D., MacGorman, D., Weisman, M., Pickering, K. E., Bruning, E., Anderson, B., Apel, E., Biggerstaff, 788 M., Campos, T., Campuzano-Jost, P., Cohen, R., Crounse, J., Day, D. A., Diskin, G., Flocke, F., Fried, 789 790 A., Garland, C., Heikes, B., Honomichl, S., Hornbrook, R., Huey, L. G., Jimenez, J. L., Lang, T., Lichtenstern, M., Mikoviny, T., Nault, B., O'Sullivan, D., Pan, L. L., Peischl, J., Pollack, I., Richter, D., 791 Riemer, D., Ryerson, T., Schlager, H., St. Clair, J., Walega, J., Weibring, P., Weinheimer, A., Wennberg, 792 P., Wisthaler, A., Wooldridge, P. J. and Ziegler, C.: The Deep Convective Clouds and Chemistry (DC3) 793 794 Field Campaign, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 96(8), 1281–1309, 2015.
- Brock, C. A., Cozic, J., Bahreini, R., Froyd, K. D., Middlebrook, A. M., McComiskey, A., Brioude, J.,
 Cooper, O. R., Stohl, A., Aikin, K. C., Gouw, J. A. de, Fahey, D. W., Ferrare, R. A., Gao, R.-S., Gore,





- W., Holloway, J. S., Hübler, G., Jefferson, A., Lack, D. A., Lance, S., Moore, R. H., Murphy, D. M.,
 Nenes, A., Novelli, P. C., Nowak, J. B., Ogren, J. A., Peischl, J., Pierce, R. B., Pilewskie, P., Quinn, P.
 K., Ryerson, T. B., Schmidt, K. S., Schwarz, J. P., Sodemann, H., Spackman, J. R., Stark, H., Thomson,
 D. S., Thornberry, T., Veres, P., Watts, L. A., Warneke, C. and Wollny, A. G.: Characteristics, sources,
 and transport of aerosols measured in spring 2008 during the Aerosol, Radiation, and Cloud Processes
 Affecting Arctic Climate (ARCPAC) Project, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11(6), 2423–2453, 2011.
- Brock, C. A., Williamson, C., Kupc, A., Froyd, K. D., Erdesz, F., Wagner, N., Richardson, M., Schwarz,
 J. P., Gao, R.-S., Katich, J. M., Campuzano-Jost, P., Nault, B. A., Schroder, J. C., Jimenez, J. L.,
 Weinzierl, B., Dollner, M., Bui, T. and Murphy, D. M.: Aerosol size distributions during the Atmospheric
 Tomography Mission (ATom): methods, uncertainties, and data products, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12(6),
 3081–3099, 2019.
- Campuzano-Jost, P.: Some useful customizations of the AMS: Developing an internal calibrant standard,
 integrating a cryopump to the ionization chamber, and a custom vent assembly, [online] Available from:
 http://cires1.colorado.edu/jimenez-group/UsrMtgs/UsersMtg13/2012_TechPres_PCJ.pdf, 2012.
- Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Jimenez, J. L., Allan, J. D., Alfarra, M. R., Zhang, Q., Onasch, T. B.,
 Drewnick, F., Coe, H., Middlebrook, A., Delia, A., Williams, L. R., Trimborn, A. M., Northway, M. J.,
 DeCarlo, P. F., Kolb, C. E., Davidovits, P. and Worsnop, D. R.: Chemical and microphysical
 characterization of ambient aerosols with the aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer, Mass Spectrom. Rev.,
 26(2), 185–222, 2007.
- Canagaratna, M. R., Jimenez, J. L., Kroll, J. H., Chen, Q., Kessler, S. H., Massoli, P., Hildebrandt Ruiz,
 L., Fortner, E., Williams, L. R., Wilson, K. R., Surratt, J. D., Donahue, N. M., Jayne, J. T. and Worsnop,
 D. R.: Elemental ratio measurements of organic compounds using aerosol mass spectrometry:
 characterization, improved calibration, and implications, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(1), 253–272, 2015.
- 820 Crenn, V., Sciare, J., Croteau, P. L., Verlhac, S., Fröhlich, R., Belis, C. A., Aas, W., Äijälä, M., Alastuey, A., Artiñano, B., Baisnée, D., Bonnaire, N., Bressi, M., Canagaratna, M., Canonaco, F., Carbone, C., 821 Cavalli, F., Coz, E., Cubison, M. J., Esser-Gietl, J. K., Green, D. C., Gros, V., Heikkinen, L., Herrmann, 822 823 H., Lunder, C., Minguillón, M. C., Močnik, G., O'Dowd, C. D., Ovadnevaite, J., Petit, J.-E., Petralia, E., Poulain, L., Priestman, M., Riffault, V., Ripoll, A., Sarda-Estève, R., Slowik, J. G., Setyan, A., 824 Wiedensohler, A., Baltensperger, U., Prévôt, A. S. H., Jayne, J. T. and Favez, O.: ACTRIS ACSM 825 826 intercomparison - Part 1: Reproducibility of concentration and fragment results from 13 individual Quadrupole Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitors (Q-ACSM) and consistency with co-located 827 instruments, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8(12), 5063-5087, 2015. 828
- Cubison, M. J., Ortega, A. M., Hayes, P. L., Farmer, D. K., Day, D., Lechner, M. J., Brune, W. H., Apel,
 E., Diskin, G. S., Fisher, J. A., Fuelberg, H. E., Hecobian, A., Knapp, D. J., Mikoviny, T., Riemer, D.,
 Sachse, G. W., Sessions, W., Weber, R. J., Weinheimer, A. J., Wisthaler, A. and Jimenez, J. L.: Effects
 of aging on organic aerosol from open biomass burning smoke in aircraft and laboratory studies, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 11(23), 12049–12064, 2011.





DeCarlo, P. F., Slowik, J. G., Worsnop, D. R., Davidovits, P. and Jimenez, J. L.: Particle Morphology
and Density Characterization by Combined Mobility and Aerodynamic Diameter Measurements. Part 1:
Theory, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 38(12), 1185–1205, 2004.

Barrow DeCarlo, P. F., Kimmel, J. R., Trimborn, A., Northway, M. J., Jayne, J. T., Aiken, A. C., Gonin, M.,
Fuhrer, K., Horvath, T., Docherty, K. S., Worsnop, D. R. and Jimenez, J. L.: Field-deployable, highresolution, time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer, Anal. Chem., 78(24), 8281–8289, 2006.

BeCarlo, P. F., Dunlea, E. J., Kimmel, J. R., Aiken, A. C., Sueper, D., Crounse, J., Wennberg, P. O.,
Emmons, L., Shinozuka, Y., Clarke, A., Zhou, J., Tomlinson, J., Collins, D. R., Knapp, D., Weinheimer,
A. J., Montzka, D. D., Campos, T. and Jimenez, J. L.: Fast airborne aerosol size and chemistry
measurements above Mexico City and Central Mexico during the MILAGRO campaign, Atmos. Chem.
Phys., 8(14), 4027–4048, 2008.

BeCarlo, P. F., Ulbrich, I. M., Crounse, J., de Foy, B., Dunlea, E. J., Aiken, A. C., Knapp, D., Weinheimer,
A. J., Campos, T., Wennberg, P. O. and Jimenez, J. L.: Investigation of the sources and processing of
organic aerosol over the Central Mexican Plateau from aircraft measurements during MILAGRO, Atmos.
Chem. Phys., 10(12), 5257–5280, 2010.

Dibb, J. E.: ATom: Measurements of Soluble Acidic Gases and Aerosols (SAGA), ,
 doi:10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1748, 2019.

Dibb, J. E., Talbot, R. W., Scheuer, E. M., Blake, D. R., Blake, N. J., Gregory, G. L., Sachse, G. W. and
Thornton, D. C.: Aerosol chemical composition and distribution during the Pacific Exploratory Mission
(PEM) Tropics, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 104(D5), 5785–5800, 1999.

Dibb, J. E., Talbot, R. W. and Scheuer, E. M.: Composition and distribution of aerosols over the North
Atlantic during the Subsonic Assessment Ozone and Nitrogen Oxide Experiment (SONEX), J. Geophys.
Res. Atmos., 105(D3), 3709–3717, 2000.

Bocherty, K. S., Aiken, A. C., Huffman, J. A., Ulbrich, I. M., DeCarlo, P. F., Sueper, D., Worsnop, D.
R., Snyder, D. C., Peltier, R. E., Weber, R. J., Grover, B. D., Eatough, D. J., Williams, B. J., Goldstein,
A. H., Ziemann, P. J. and Jimenez, J. L.: The 2005 Study of Organic Aerosols at Riverside (SOAR-1):
instrumental intercomparisons and fine particle composition, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11(23), 12387–12420,
2011.

van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R. V., Leaitch, W. R., Macdonald, A. M., Walker, T. W., Streets, D. G.,
Zhang, Q., Dunlea, E. J., Jimenez, J. L., Dibb, J. E., Huey, L. G., Weber, R. and Andreae, M. O.: Analysis
of aircraft and satellite measurements from the Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment (INTEXB) to quantify long-range transport of East Asian sulfur to Canada, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8(11), 2999–
3014, 2008.

867 Drewnick, F., Hings, S. S., DeCarlo, P., Jayne, J. T., Gonin, M., Fuhrer, K., Weimer, S., Jimenez, J. L.,





- Demerjian, K. L., Borrmann, S. and Worsnop, D. R.: A New Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer
 (TOF-AMS)—Instrument Description and First Field Deployment, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 39(7), 637–
 658, 2005.
- 871 Drewnick, F., Hings, S. S., Alfarra, M. R., Prevot, A. S. H. and Borrmann, S.: Aerosol quantification with
- the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer: detection limits and ionizer background effects, Atmos. Meas.
 Tech., 2(1), 33–46, 2009.
- Dunlea, E. J., DeCarlo, P. F., Aiken, A. C., Kimmel, J. R., Peltier, R. E., Weber, R. J., Tomlinson, J.,
 Collins, D. R., Shinozuka, Y., McNaughton, C. S., Howell, S. G., Clarke, A. D., Emmons, L. K., Apel,
 E. C., Pfister, G. G., van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R. V., Millet, D. B., Heald, C. L. and Jimenez, J. L.:
 Evolution of Asian aerosols during transpacific transport in INTEX-B, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9(19), 7257–
 7287, 2009.
- Dzepina, K., Arey, J., Marr, L. C., Worsnop, D. R., Salcedo, D., Zhang, Q., Onasch, T. B., Molina, L. T.,
 Molina, M. J. and Jimenez, J. L.: Detection of particle-phase polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Mexico
- City using an aerosol mass spectrometer, Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 263(2), 152–170, 2007.
- Farmer, D. K., Matsunaga, A., Docherty, K. S., Surratt, J. D., Seinfeld, J. H., Ziemann, P. J. and Jimenez,
 J. L.: Response of an aerosol mass spectrometer to organonitrates and organosulfates and implications for
 atmospheric chemistry, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 107(15), 6670–6675, 2010.
- Froyd, K. D., Murphy, D. M., Brock, C. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Dibb, J. E., Jimenez, J. L., Kupc, A.,
 Middlebrook, A. M., Schill, G. P., Thornhill, K. L., Williamson, C. J., Wilson, J. C. and Ziemba, L. D.:
 A new method to quantify mineral dust and other aerosol species from aircraft platforms using singleparticle mass spectrometry, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12(11), 6209–6239, 2019.
- Garofalo, L. A., Pothier, M. A., Levin, E. J. T., Campos, T., Kreidenweis, S. M. and Farmer, D. K.:
 Emission and Evolution of Submicron Organic Aerosol in Smoke from Wildfires in the Western United
 States, ACS Earth Space Chem., 3(7), 1237–1247, 2019.
- Guo, H., Sullivan, A. P., Campuzano-Jost, P., Schroder, J. C., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Dibb, J. E., Jimenez,
 J. L., Thornton, J. A., Brown, S. S., Nenes, A. and Weber, R. J.: Fine particle pH and the partitioning of
 nitric acid during winter in the northeastern United States, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 121(17), 10355–
 10376, 2016.
- Haywood, J. and Boucher, O.: Estimates of the direct and indirect radiative forcing due to tropospheric
 aerosols: A review, Rev. Geophys., 38(4), 513–543, 2000.
- Heim, E. W., Dibb, J., Scheuer, E., Campuzano Jost, P., Nault, B. A., Jimenez, J. L., Peterson, D., Knote,
 C., Fenn, M., Hair, J., Beyersdorf, A. J., Corr, C. and Anderson, B. E.: Asian dust observed during
 KORUS-AQ facilitates the uptake and incorporation of soluble pollutants during transport to South
 Korea, Atmos. Environ., 224, 117305, 2020.





Herring, C. L., Faiola, C. L., Massoli, P., Sueper, D., Erickson, M. H., McDonald, J. D., Simpson, C. D.,
Yost, M. G., Jobson, B. T. and VanReken, T. M.: New Methodology for Quantifying Polycyclic Aromatic
Hydrocarbons (PAHs) Using High-Resolution Aerosol Mass Spectrometry, Aerosol Sci. Technol.,
49(11), 1131–1148, 2015.

Hodzic, A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Bian, H., Chin, M., Colarco, P. R., Day, D. A., Froyd, K. D., Heinold,
B., Jo, D. S., Katich, J. M., Kodros, J. K., Nault, B. A., Pierce, J. R., Ray, E., Schacht, J., Schill, G. P.,
Schroder, J. C., Schwarz, J. P., Sueper, D. T., Tegen, I., Tilmes, S., Tsigaridis, K., Yu, P. and Jimenez, J.
L.: Characterization of organic aerosol across the global remote troposphere: a comparison of ATom
measurements and global chemistry models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20(8), 4607–4635, 2020.

Huffman, J. A., Jayne, J. T., Drewnick, F., Aiken, A. C., Onasch, T., Worsnop, D. R. and Jimenez, J. L.:
Design, Modeling, Optimization, and Experimental Tests of a Particle Beam Width Probe for the
Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 39(12), 1143–1163, 2005.

Hu, W., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Croteau, P., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R.
and Jimenez, J. L.: Evaluation of the new capture vaporizer for aerosol mass spectrometers (AMS)
through field studies of inorganic species, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 51(6), 735–754, 2017.

Hu, W., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Nault, B. A., Park, T., Lee, T., Croteau, P., Canagaratna, M. R.,
Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R. and Jimenez, J. L.: Evaluation of the New Capture Vaporizer for Aerosol
Mass Spectrometers (AMS): Elemental Composition and Source Apportionment of Organic Aerosols
(OA), ACS Earth Space Chem., 2(4), 410–421, 2018.

Hu, W., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Nault, B. A., Park, T., Lee, T., Pajunoja, A., Virtanen, A.,
Croteau, P., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R. and Jimenez, J. L.: Ambient Quantification
and Size Distributions for Organic Aerosol in Aerosol Mass Spectrometers with the New Capture
Vaporizer, ACS Earth Space Chem., 4(5), 676–689, 2020.

Hu, W. W., Campuzano-Jost, P., Palm, B. B., Day, D. A., Ortega, A. M., Hayes, P. L., Krechmer, J. E., 925 926 Chen, Q., Kuwata, M., Liu, Y. J., Sá, S. S. de, McKinney, K., Martin, S. T., Hu, M., Budisulistiorini, S. H., Riva, M., Surratt, J. D., Clair, J. M. S., Isaacman-Van Wertz, G., Yee, L. D., Goldstein, A. H., 927 Carbone, S., Brito, J., Artaxo, P., Gouw, J. A. de, Koss, A., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Karl, T., Kaser, 928 929 L., Jud, W., Hansel, A., Docherty, K. S., Alexander, M. L., Robinson, N. H., Coe, H., Allan, J. D., Canagaratna, M. R., Paulot, F. and Jimenez, J. L.: Characterization of a real-time tracer for isoprene 930 931 epoxydiols-derived secondary organic aerosol (IEPOX-SOA) from aerosol mass spectrometer 932 measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(20), 11807-11833, 2015.

933 IPCC: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth

Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K.

- 935 Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)],
- 936 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp., 2013.





- Jayne, J.: Update on (AMS) Hardware since Last Year & Future Plans, [online] Available from:
 http://cires1.colorado.edu/jimenez-group/UsrMtgs/UsersMtg5/Presentations/JayneHardware.pdf, 2004.
- Jayne, J. T., Leard, D. C., Zhang, X., Davidovits, P., Smith, K. A., Kolb, C. E. and Worsnop, D. R.:
- Development of an Aerosol Mass Spectrometer for Size and Composition Analysis of Submicron
 Particles, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 33(1-2), 49–70, 2000.
- Jimenez, J. L., Jayne, J. T., Shi, Q., Kolb, C. E., Worsnop, D. R., Yourshaw, I., Seinfeld, J. H., Flagan,
 R. C., Zhang, X., Smith, K. A., Morris, J. W. and Davidovits, P.: Ambient aerosol sampling using the
 Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D7), 8425, 2003.
- 945 Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Donahue, N. M., Prevot, A. S. H., Zhang, Q., Kroll, J. H., DeCarlo, P. F., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ng, N. L., Aiken, A. C., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M., Grieshop, A. P., 946 Robinson, A. L., Duplissy, J., Smith, J. D., Wilson, K. R., Lanz, V. A., Hueglin, C., Sun, Y. L., Tian, J., 947 948 Laaksonen, A., Raatikainen, T., Rautiainen, J., Vaattovaara, P., Ehn, M., Kulmala, M., Tomlinson, J. M., Collins, D. R., Cubison, M. J., Dunlea, E. J., Huffman, J. A., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Williams, P. 949 I., Bower, K., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Salcedo, 950 D., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R., Takami, A., Miyoshi, T., Hatakeyama, S., Shimono, A., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. 951 M., Dzepina, K., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Jayne, J. T., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, A. M., Williams, L. 952 R., Wood, E. C., Middlebrook, A. M., Kolb, C. E., Baltensperger, U. and Worsnop, D. R.: Evolution of 953 organic aerosols in the atmosphere, Science, 326(5959), 1525–1529, 2009. 954
- Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Drewnick, F., Allan, J. D., Alfarra, M. R., Middlebrook, A. M.,
 Slowik, J. G., Zhang, Q., Coe, H., Jayne, J. T. and Worsnop, D. R.: Comment on "The effects of molecular
 weight and thermal decomposition on the sensitivity of a thermal desorption aerosol mass spectrometer,"
 Aerosol Sci. Technol., 50(9), i–xv, 2016.
- Katich, J. M., Samset, B. H., Bui, T. P., Dollner, M., Froyd, K. D., Campuzano-Jost, P., Nault, B. A.,
 Schroder, J. C., Weinzierl, B. and Schwarz, J. P.: Strong Contrast in Remote Black Carbon Aerosol
 Loadings Between the Atlantic and Pacific Basins, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 123(23), 13386–13395,
 2018.
- Kiendler-Scharr, A., Mensah, A. A., Friese, E., Topping, D., Nemitz, E., Prevot, A. S. H., Äijälä, M.,
 Allan, J., Canonaco, F., Canagaratna, M., Carbone, S., Crippa, M., Dall Osto, M., Day, D. A., De Carlo,
 P., Di Marco, C. F., Elbern, H., Eriksson, A., Freney, E., Hao, L., Herrmann, H., Hildebrandt, L., Hillamo,
 R., Jimenez, J. L., Laaksonen, A., McFiggans, G., Mohr, C., O'Dowd, C., Otjes, R., Ovadnevaite, J.,
 Pandis, S. N., Poulain, L., Schlag, P., Sellegri, K., Swietlicki, E., Tiitta, P., Vermeulen, A., Wahner, A.,
 Worsnop, D. and Wu, H.-C.: Ubiquity of organic nitrates from nighttime chemistry in the European
 submicron aerosol, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43(14), 7735–7744, 2016.
- Kimmel, J. R., Farmer, D. K., Cubison, M. J., Sueper, D., Tanner, C., Nemitz, E., Worsnop, D. R., Gonin,
 M. and Jimenez, J. L.: Real-time aerosol mass spectrometry with millisecond resolution, Int. J. Mass
 Spectrom., 303(1), 15–26, 2011.





- Knote, C., Brunner, D., Vogel, H., Allan, J., Asmi, A., Äijälä, M., Carbone, S., Gon, H. D. van der,
 Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Mohr, C., Poulain, L., Prévôt, A. S. H., Swietlicki, E. and Vogel, B.:
 Towards an online-coupled chemistry-climate model: evaluation of trace gases and aerosols in COSMOART, Geosci. Model Dev., 4(4), 1077–1102, 2011.
- Koenig, T. K., Baidar, S., Campuzano-Jost, P., Cuevas, C. A., Dix, B., Fernandez, R. P., Guo, H., Hall,
 S. R., Kinnison, D., Nault, B. A., Ullmann, K., Jimenez, J. L., Saiz-Lopez, A. and Volkamer, R.:
 Quantitative detection of iodine in the stratosphere, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 117(4), 1860–1866,
 2020.
- Kupc, A., Williamson, C., Wagner, N. L., Richardson, M. and Brock, C. A.: Modification, calibration,
 and performance of the Ultra-High Sensitivity Aerosol Spectrometer for particle size distribution and
 volatility measurements during the Atmospheric Tomography Mission (ATom) airborne campaign,
 Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11(1), 369–383, 2018.
- Kuwata, M., Zorn, S. R. and Martin, S. T.: Using elemental ratios to predict the density of organic material
 composed of carbon, hydrogen, and oxygen, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46(2), 787–794, 2012.
- Liu, P. S. K., Deng, R., Smith, K. A., Williams, L. R., Jayne, J. T., Canagaratna, M. R., Moore, K.,
 Onasch, T. B., Worsnop, D. R. and Deshler, T.: Transmission Efficiency of an Aerodynamic Focusing
 Lens System: Comparison of Model Calculations and Laboratory Measurements for the Aerodyne
 Aerosol Mass Spectrometer, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 41(8), 721–733, 2007.
- Lohmann, U. and Feichter, J.: Global indirect aerosol effects: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5(3), 715–
 733, 2005.
- Marple, V., Olson, B., Romay, F., Hudak, G., Geerts, S. M. and Lundgren, D.: Second Generation Micro Orifice Uniform Deposit Impactor, 120 MOUDI-II: Design, Evaluation, and Application to Long-Term
- Ambient Sampling, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 48(4), 427–433, 2014.
- Marple, V. A., Rubow, K. L. and Behm, S. M.: A Microorifice Uniform Deposit Impactor (MOUDI):
 Description, Calibration, and Use, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 14(4), 434–446, 1991.
- Matthew, B. M., Middlebrook, A. M. and Onasch, T. B.: Collection Efficiencies in an Aerodyne Aerosol
 Mass Spectrometer as a Function of Particle Phase for Laboratory Generated Aerosols, Aerosol Sci.
 Technol., 42(11), 884–898, 2008.
- McNaughton, C. S., Clarke, A. D., Howell, S. G., Pinkerton, M., Anderson, B., Thornhill, L., Hudgins,
 C., Winstead, E., Dibb, J. E., Scheuer, E. and Maring, H.: Results from the DC-8 Inlet Characterization
 Experiment (DICE): Airborne Versus Surface Sampling of Mineral Dust and Sea Salt Aerosols, Aerosol
 Sci. Technol., 41(2), 136–159, 2007.
- 1005 Mei, F., Hayes, P. L., Ortega, A., Taylor, J. W., Allan, J. D., Gilman, J., Kuster, W., de Gouw, J., Jimenez,





J. L. and Wang, J.: Droplet activation properties of organic aerosols observed at an urban site during
 CalNex-LA, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 118(7), 2903–2917, 2013.

Mei, F., Wang, J., Comstock, J. M., Weigel, R., Krämer, M., Mahnke, C., Shilling, J. E., Schneider, J.,
Schulz, C., Long, C. N., Wendisch, M., Machado, L. A. T., Schmid, B., Krisna, T., Pekour, M., Hubbe,
J., Giez, A., Weinzierl, B., Zoeger, M., Pöhlker, M. L., Schlager, H., Cecchini, M. A., Andreae, M. O.,
Martin, S. T., de Sá, S. S., Fan, J., Tomlinson, J., Springston, S., Pöschl, U., Artaxo, P., Pöhlker, C.,
Klimach, T., Minikin, A., Afchine, A. and Borrmann, S.: Comparison of aircraft measurements during
GoAmazon2014/5 and ACRIDICON-CHUVA, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13(2), 661–684, 2020.

Middlebrook, A. M., Bahreini, R., Jimenez, J. L. and Canagaratna, M. R.: Evaluation of CompositionDependent Collection Efficiencies for the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer using Field Data,
Aerosol Sci. Technol., 46(3), 258–271, 2012.

Morgan, W. T., Allan, J. D., Bauguitte, S., Darbyshire, E., Flynn, M. J., Lee, J., Liu, D., Johnson, B.,
Haywood, J., Longo, K. M., Artaxo, P. E. and Coe, H.: Transformation and ageing of biomass burning
carbonaceous aerosol over tropical South America from aircraft in situ measurements during SAMBBA,
Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 5309–5326, 2020.

Murphy, D. M.: Reply to "Comment on the effects of molecular weight and thermal decomposition on
the sensitivity of a thermal desorption aerosol mass spectrometer" by Jimenez et al, Aerosol Sci. Technol.,
50(12), 1277–1283, 2016a.

Murphy, D. M.: The effects of molecular weight and thermal decomposition on the sensitivity of a thermal desorption aerosol mass spectrometer, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 50(2), 118–125, 2016b.

Murphy, D. M., Cziczo, D. J., Froyd, K. D., Hudson, P. K., Matthew, B. M., Middlebrook, A. M., Peltier,
R. E., Sullivan, A., Thomson, D. S. and Weber, R. J.: Single-particle mass spectrometry of tropospheric
aerosol particles, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D23S32, 2006.

Nault, B. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Schroder, J. C., Anderson, B., Beyersdorf, A. J., Blake, D.
R., Brune, W. H., Choi, Y., Corr, C. A., Gouw, J. A. de, Dibb, J., DiGangi, J. P., Diskin, G. S., Fried, A.,
Huey, L. G., Kim, M. J., Knote, C. J., Lamb, K. D., Lee, T., Park, T., Pusede, S. E., Scheuer, E., Thornhill,
K. L., Woo, J.-H. and Jimenez, J. L.: Secondary organic aerosol production from local emissions
dominates the organic aerosol budget over Seoul, South Korea, during KORUS-AQ, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
18(24), 17769–17800, 2018.

Ng, N. L., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, A., Canagaratna, M. R., Croteau, P. L., Onasch, T. B., Sueper, D.,
Worsnop, D. R., Zhang, Q., Sun, Y. L. and Jayne, J. T.: An Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor
(ACSM) for Routine Monitoring of the Composition and Mass Concentrations of Ambient Aerosol,
Aerosol Sci. Technol., 45(7), 780–794, 2011.

1039 NOAA, NASA, U. S. Air Force: U. S. Standard Atmosphere 1976, U. S. Government Printing Office,





- 1040 Washington, DC., 1976.
- Ovadnevaite, J., Ceburnis, D., Canagaratna, M., Berresheim, H., Bialek, J., Martucci, G., Worsnop, D. R.
 and O'Dowd, C.: On the effect of wind speed on submicron sea salt mass concentrations and source
 fluxes, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos, 117, D16201, 2012.
- Pajunoja, A., Hu, W., Leong, Y. J., Taylor, N. F., Miettinen, P., Palm, B. B., Mikkonen, S., Collins, D.
 R., Jimenez, J. L. and Virtanen, A.: Phase state of ambient aerosol linked with water uptake and chemical
 aging in the southeastern US, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(17), 11163–11176, 2016.
- Park, K., Kittelson, D. B., Zachariah, M. R. and McMurry, P. H.: Measurement of Inherent Material
 Density of Nanoparticle Agglomerates, J. Nanopart. Res., 6, 267–272, 2004.
- Peltier, R. E., Hecobian, A. H., Weber, R. J., Stohl, A., E. L. Atlas, Riemer, D. D., Blake, D. R., Apel, E.,
 Campos, T. and Karl, T.: Investigating the sources and atmospheric processing of fine particles from Asia
 and the Northwestern United States measured during INTEX B, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8(6), 1835–1853,
 2008.
- Peters, T. M., Gussman, R. A., Kenny, L. C. and Vanderpool, R. W.: Evaluation of PM_{2.5} Size Selectors
 Used in Speciation Samplers, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 34(5), 422–429, 2001.
- Petters, M. D., Prenni, A. J., Kreidenweis, S. M., DeMott, P. J., Matsunaga, A., Lim, Y. B. and Ziemann,
 P. J.: Chemical aging and the hydrophobic-to-hydrophilic conversion of carbonaceous aerosol, Geophys.
 Res. Lett., 33, L24806, 2006.
- Petzold, A., Ogren, J. A., Fiebig, M., Laj, P., Li, S.-M., Baltensperger, U., Holzer-Popp, T., Kinne, S.,
 Pappalardo, G., Sugimoto, N., Wehrli, C., Wiedensohler, A. and Zhang, X.-Y.: Recommendations for
 reporting "black carbon" measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13(16), 8365–8379, 2013.
- Pilinis, C., Pandis, S. N. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Sensitivity of direct climate forcing by atmospheric aerosols
 to aerosol size and composition, J. Geophys. Res., 100(D9), 18739–18754, 1995.
- Reyes-Villegas, E., Bannan, T., Le Breton, M., Mehra, A., Priestley, M., Percival, C., Coe, H. and Allan,
 J. D.: Online Chemical Characterization of Food-Cooking Organic Aerosols: Implications for Source
 Apportionment, Environ. Sci. Technol., 52(9), 5308–5318, 2018.
- 1066 Robinson, E. S., Onasch, T. B., Worsnop, D. and Donahue, N. M.: Collection efficiency of α -pinene 1067 secondary organic aerosol particles explored via light-scattering single-particle aerosol mass 1068 spectrometry, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10(3), 1139–1154, 2017.
- Salcedo, D., Onasch, T. B., Dzepina, K., Canagaratna, M. R., Zhang, Q., Huffman, J. A., DeCarlo, P. F.,
 Jayne, J. T., Mortimer, P., Worsnop, D. R., Kolb, C. E., Johnson, K. S., Zuberi, B., Marr, L. C., Volkamer,
 R., Molina, L. T., Molina, M. J., Cardenas, B., Bernabé, R. M., Márquez, C., Gaffney, J. S., Marley, N.





A., Laskin, A., Shutthanandan, V., Xie, Y., Brune, W., Lesher, R., Shirley, T. and Jimenez, J. L.:
Characterization of ambient aerosols in Mexico City during the MCMA-2003 campaign with Aerosol
Mass Spectrometry: results from the CENICA Supersite, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6(4), 925–946, 2006.

- Salcedo, D., Onasch, T. B., Canagaratna, M. R., Dzepina, K., Huffman, J. A., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D.
 R., Kolb, C. E., Weimer, S., Drewnick, F., Allan, J. D., Delia, A. E. and Jimenez, J. L.: Technical Note:
 Use of a beam width probe in an Aerosol Mass Spectrometer to monitor particle collection efficiency in
 the field, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7(2), 549–556, 2007.
- Schroder, J. C., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Shah, V., Larson, K., Sommers, J. M., Sullivan, A. P.,
 Campos, T., Reeves, J. M., Hills, A., Hornbrook, R. S., Blake, N. J., Scheuer, E., Guo, H., Fibiger, D. L.,
 McDuffie, E. E., Hayes, P. L., Weber, R. J., Dibb, J. E., Apel, E. C., Jaeglé, L., Brown, S. S., Thornton,
 J. A. and Jimenez, J. L.: Sources and Secondary Production of Organic Aerosols in the Northeastern
 United States during WINTER, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 123, 7771–7796, 2018.
- Schwarz, J. P., Spackman, J. R., Fahey, D. W., Gao, R. S., Lohmann, U., Stier, P., Watts, L. A., Thomson,
 D. S., Lack, D. A., Pfister, L., Mahoney, M. J., Baumgardner, D., Wilson, J. C. and Reeves, J. M.:
 Coatings and their enhancement of black carbon light absorption in the tropical atmosphere, J. Geophys.
 Res., 113(D3), 251, 2008.
- Schwarz, J. P., Spackman, J. R., Gao, R. S., Watts, L. A., Stier, P., Schulz, M., Davis, S. M., Wofsy, S.
 C. and Fahey, D. W.: Global-scale black carbon profiles observed in the remote atmosphere and compared
 to models, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L18812, 2010a.
- Schwarz, J. P., Spackman, J. R., Gao, R. S., Perring, A. E., Cross, E., Onasch, T. B., Ahern, A., Wrobel,
 W., Davidovits, P., Olfert, J., Dubey, M. K., Mazzoleni, C. and Fahey, D. W.: The Detection Efficiency
 of the Single Particle Soot Photometer, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 44(8), 612–628, 2010b.
- Seinfeld, J. H. and Pandis, S. N.: Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to ClimateChange Third Edition, John Wiley & Sons., 2016.
- Shilling, J. E., Chen, Q., King, S. M., Rosenoern, T., Kroll, J. H., Worsnop, D. R., DeCarlo, P. F., Aiken,
 A. C., Sueper, D., Jimenez, J. L. and Martin, S. T.: Loading-dependent elemental composition of α-pinene
 SOA particles, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9(3), 771–782, 2009.
- Shiraiwa, M., Kondo, Y., Moteki, N., Takegawa, N., Sahu, L. K., Takami, A., Hatakeyama, S., Yonemura,
 S. and Blake, D. R.: Radiative impact of mixing state of black carbon aerosol in Asian outflow, J.
 Geophys. Res., 113(D24), 1042, 2008.
- Sloane, C. S., Watson, J., Chow, J., Pritchett, L. and Willard Richards, L.: Size-segregated fine particle
 measurements by chemical species and their impact on visibility impairment in Denver, Atmos. Environ.
 A-Gen., 25(5-6), 1013–1024, 1991.
 - 38





Slowik, J. G., Stainken, K., Davidovits, P., Williams, L. R., Jayne, J. T., Kolb, C. E., Worsnop, D. R.,
Rudich, Y., DeCarlo, P. F. and Jimenez, J. L.: Particle Morphology and Density Characterization by
Combined Mobility and Aerodynamic Diameter Measurements. Part 2: Application to CombustionGenerated Soot Aerosols as a Function of Fuel Equivalence Ratio, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 38(12), 1206–
1222, 2004.

Stein, S. W., Turpin, B. J., Cai, X., Huang, P.-F. and Mcmurry, P. H.: Measurements of relative humiditydependent bounce and density for atmospheric particles using the DMA-impactor technique, Atmos.
Environ., 28(10), 1739–1746, 1994.

Stith, J. L., Ramanathan, V., Cooper, W. A., Roberts, G. C., DeMott, P. J., Carmichael, G., Hatch, C. D.,
Adhikary, B., Twohy, C. H., Rogers, D. C., Baumgardner, D., Prenni, A. J., Campos, T., Gao, R.,
Anderson, J. and Feng, Y.: An overview of aircraft observations from the Pacific Dust Experiment
campaign, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D05207, 2009.

Takegawa, N., Miyazaki, Y., Kondo, Y., Komazaki, Y., Miyakawa, T., Jimenez, J. L., Jayne, J. T.,
Worsnop, D. R., Allan, J. D. and Weber, R. J.: Characterization of an Aerodyne Aerosol Mass
Spectrometer (AMS): Intercomparison with Other Aerosol Instruments, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 39(8),
760–770, 2005.

Talbot, R., Dibb, J., Scheuer, E., Seid, G., Russo, R., Sandholm, S., Tan, D., Singh, H., Blake, D., Blake,
N., Atlas, E., Sachse, G., Jordan, C. and Avery, M.: Reactive nitrogen in Asian continental outflow over
the western Pacific: Results from the NASA Transport and Chemical Evolution over the Pacific (TRACEP) airborne mission, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D20), 2171, 2003.

Thomson, D. S., Schein, M. E. and Murphy, D. M.: Particle Analysis by Laser Mass Spectrometry WB57F Instrument Overview, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 33(1-2), 153–169, 2000.

Timonen, H., Aurela, M., Carbone, S., Saarnio, K., Saarikoski, S., Mäkelä, T., Kulmala, M., Kerminen,
V.-M., Worsnop, D. R. and Hillamo, R.: High time-resolution chemical characterization of the watersoluble fraction of ambient aerosols with PILS-TOC-IC and AMS, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3(4), 1063–1074,
2010.

Tsigaridis, K., Daskalakis, N., Kanakidou, M., Adams, P. J., Artaxo, P., Bahadur, R., Balkanski, Y., 1131 Bauer, S. E., Bellouin, N., Benedetti, A., Bergman, T., Berntsen, T. K., Beukes, J. P., Bian, H., Carslaw, 1132 K. S., Chin, M., Curci, G., Diehl, T., Easter, R. C., Ghan, S. J., Gong, S. L., Hodzic, A., Hoyle, C. R., 1133 Iversen, T., Jathar, S., Jimenez, J. L., Kaiser, J. W., Kirkeväg, A., Koch, D., Kokkola, H., H Lee, Y., Lin, 1134 G., Liu, X., Luo, G., Ma, X., Mann, G. W., Mihalopoulos, N., Morcrette, J.-J., Müller, J.-F., Myhre, G., 1135 Myriokefalitakis, S., Ng, N. L., O'donnell, D., Penner, J. E., Pozzoli, L., Pringle, K. J., Russell, L. M., 1136 Schulz, M., Sciare, J., Seland, Ø., Shindell, D. T., Sillman, S., Skeie, R. B., Spracklen, D., Stavrakou, T., 1137 Steenrod, S. D., Takemura, T., Tiitta, P., Tilmes, S., Tost, H., Van Noije, T., Van Zyl, P. G., Von Salzen, 1138 K., Yu, F., Wang, Z., Zaveri, R. A., Zhang, H., Zhang, K., Zhang, Q. and Zhang, X.: The AeroCom 1139 evaluation and intercomparison of organic aerosol in global models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14(19), 10845-1140





- 1141 10895, 2014.
- Vay, S. A., Anderson, B. E., Thornhill, K. L. and Hudgins, C. H.: An assessment of aircraft-generated
 contamination on in situ trace gas measurements: determinations from empirical data acquired aloft, J.
 Atmos. Ocean. Technol., 20(11), 1478–1487, 2003.
- Wang, J., Cubison, M. J., Aiken, A. C., Jimenez, J. L. and Collins, D. R.: The importance of aerosol
 mixing state and size-resolved composition on CCN concentration and the variation of the importance
 with atmospheric aging of aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(15), 7267–7283, 2010.
- Williamson, C., Kupc, A., Wilson, J., Gesler, D. W., Reeves, J. M., Erdesz, F., McLaughlin, R. and Brock,
 C. A.: Fast time response measurements of particle size distributions in the 3–60 nm size range with the
 nucleation mode aerosol size spectrometer, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11(6), 3491–3509, 2018.
- 1150 Indefeation mode aerosol size spectrometer, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11(0), 5491–5509, 2018.
- Williamson, C. J., Kupc, A., Axisa, D., Bilsback, K. R., Bui, T., Campuzano-Jost, P., Dollner, M., Froyd,
 K. D., Hodshire, A. L., Jimenez, J. L., Kodros, J. K., Luo, G., Murphy, D. M., Nault, B. A., Ray, E. A.,
 Weinzierl, B., Wilson, J. C., Yu, F., Yu, P., Pierce, J. R. and Brock, C. A.: A large source of cloud
 condensation nuclei from new particle formation in the tropics, Nature, 574(7778), 399–403, 2019.
- 1155 Wofsy, S. C., Afshar, S., Allen, H. M., Apel, E., Asher, E. C., Barletta, B., Bent, J., Bian, H., Biggs, B. C., Blake, D. R., Blake, N., Bourgeois, I., Brock, C. A., Brune, W. H., Budney, J. W., Bui, T. P., Butler, 1156 A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Chang, C. S., Chin, M., Commane, R., Correa, G., Crounse, J. D., Cullis, P. D., 1157 1158 Daube, B. C., Day, D. A., Dean-Day, J. M., Dibb, J. E., Digangi, J. P., Diskin, G. S., Dollner, M., Elkins, J. W., Erdesz, F., Fiore, A. M., Flynn, C. M., Froyd, K., Gesler, D. W., Hall, S. R., Hanisco, T. F., Hannun, 1159 R. A., Hills, A. J., Hintsa, E. J., Hoffman, A., Hornbrook, R. S., Huey, L. G., Hughes, S., Jimenez, J. L., 1160 Johnson, B. J., Katich, J. M., Keeling, R., Kim, M. J., Kupc, A., Lait, L. R., Lamarque, J.-F., Liu, J., 1161 1162 Mckain, K., Mclaughlin, R. J., Meinardi, S., Miller, D. O., Montzka, S. A., Moore, F. L., Morgan, E. J., Murphy, D. M., Murray, L. T., Nault, B. A., Neuman, J. A., Newman, P. A., Nicely, J. M., Pan, X., 1163 1164 Paplawsky, W., Peischl, J., Prather, M. J., Price, D. J., Ray, E., Reeves, J. M., Richardson, M., Rollins, A. W., Rosenlof, K. H., Ryerson, T. B., Scheuer, E., Schill, G. P., Schroder, J. C., Schwarz, J. P., St. Clair, 1165 J. M., Steenrod, S. D., Stephens, B. B., Strode, S. A., Sweeney, C., Tanner, D., Teng, A. P., Thames, A. 1166 1167 B., Thompson, C. R., Ullmann, K., Veres, P. R., Vizenor, N., Wagner, N. L., Watt, A., Weber, R., Weinzierl, B., et al.: ATom: Merged Atmospheric Chemistry, Trace Gases, and Aerosols, 1168 doi:10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1581, 2018. 1169
- Xu, W., Lambe, A., Silva, P., Hu, W., Onasch, T., Williams, L., Croteau, P., Zhang, X., Renbaum-Wolff,
 L., Fortner, E., Jimenez, J. L., Jayne, J., Worsnop, D. and Canagaratna, M.: Laboratory evaluation of
 species-dependent relative ionization efficiencies in the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer, Aerosol
 Sci. Technol., 52(6), 626–641, 2018.
- Zhang, Q., Stanier, C. O., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., Pandis, S. N. and Jimenez,
 J. L.: Insights into the chemistry of new particle formation and growth events in Pittsburgh based on
 aerosol mass spectrometry, Environ. Sci. Technol., 38(18), 4797–4809, 2004.





- 1177 Zhang, Q., Parworth, C., Lechner, M. and Jimenez, J. L.: Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (AMS) Global
- 1178 Database, [online] Available from: https://sites.google.com/site/amsglobaldatabase/ (Accessed 15 1179 November 2019), 2018.



1180

Figure 1: Approximate particle size ranges quantified by AMS, SP2, PALMS, SAGA MC, SAGA filters, 1181 and AMP (NMASS, UHSAS, and LAS), plotted with the campaign averaged AMP number and volume 1182 size distributions during ATom-2. For each instrument (except PALMS), the box indicates 100% inlet 1183 transmission and the transition shade on both sides indicates a decrease from 100% to 0%, with 50% 1184 denoted by the vertical dashed line. The PALMS bar represents the approximate size range contributing 1185 chemical information at a 60 min averaging time scale (at AMP size resolution) for composition data only 1186 (see Sect. 2.5). The top horizontal axis shows aerodynamic diameter ($d_{ta,sea}$) and the bottom geometric 1187 diameter (d_p) ; the conversion between the two diameters is based on ATom-2 campaign average aerosol 1188 density of 1.70 g cm⁻³ and sea level *P* of 1013 mbar using Eq. 2. 1189







1190

1191 Figure 2: Results of in-field AMS large particle transmission calibrations vs. vacuum aerodynamic diameter (d_{va}) with NH₄NO₃ particles for ATom-1 and -2. The green markers are multi-size field 1192 calibrations, and the grey cross markers are single-size (at $d_m = 400$ nm, equivalent to 550 nm d_{va}) field 1193 calibrations after every research flight. The insets show the frequency distributions of measured 1194 transmissions (right, top) and observed, normalized size distributions (left, bottom) of these single-size 1195 1196 calibrations. A fit shows 100% transmission at 483 nm (1 σ uncertainty of the fit: 445-525 nm) and 0% transmission at 1175 nm (1 σ : 1112-1241 nm). When forcing 0% transmission at 1175 nm (confirmed by 1197 (NH₄)₂SO₄ calibrations), the fit to all data gives 100% transmission at 482 nm (1₅: 479-485 nm, not 1198 shown), consistent with the 483 nm inferred based only on the ATom-2 multi-size field calibrations. 1199





1200

1201

 (\mathbf{i})



Figure 3: Transmission curves (a) for AMS during ATom-1 (same for ATom-2), -3 and -4 deployments, 1202 and (b) for MOUDI 1 μ m stage impactor operated at sea level, 6 km, and 12 km (at T = 293 K as typical 1203 cabin temperature and P based on the U.S. standard atmosphere) (NOAA, NASA, U.S. Air Force, 1976), 1204 and for URG PM₁ cyclone (sea level). (c) Average NOAA volume distribution (V_{phys}) and the fraction 1205 observed by AMS ($V_{phys,TC}$) for ATom-2. Particle size in geometric diameter (d_p ; reported by NOAA), 1206 vacuum aerodynamic diameter (d_{va} ; AMS), and aerodynamic diameter (d_{ta} ; for the MOUDI impactor and 1207 URG cyclone; note that the MOUDI transmissions at 6 and 12 km are accurate on the d_p and d_{va} axes, but 1208 slightly off on the sea level d_{ta} axis due to the change in slip correction) are shown as the three horizontal 1209

1210 axes, all for dry particles. The 50% large particle cutoff sizes for AMS, MOUDI, and URG are listed in 1211 Table S1 based on d_{ta} , d_{va} , and d_p . Because URG cyclone is normally used to size-select ambient particles

for ground studies, the equivalent dry cut would be smaller than 1 μ m, approximately 0.8 μ m based on the aerosols sampled in this study (Sect. 3.5).







1214

Figure 4: Comparison between V_{chem} and $V_{phys,TC}$ for (a) ATom-1 and (b) ATom-2, data points colored by altitude, and averaged to 5 min resolution. (c) A time series of the above two volumes as well as V_{phys} for a research flight in ATom-2, with an inset showing the scatter plot only for this flight (at 1 min time scale, as well as for the time series). Note that V_{chem} includes the AMS quantified sea salt. Two correlations coefficients (r²) are listed: one at linear scale (commonly used) and the other at logarithmic scale, which emphasizes the scatter at low concentrations.







1221 **Figure 5:** Box plots of $V_{chem}/V_{phys,TC}$, and the linear regression fitting slopes and correlations of the two 1222 volumes for (a) the combined ATom-1 and -2 data sets, (b) ATom-1, (c) ATom-2, binned by removed 1223 V_{phys} fraction when applying AMS transmission (at 20% interval). 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 90th percentiles 1224 are plotted with the box and whiskers. The binned scatter plots can be found in SI as Fig. S20. (d-i) are 1225 the normalized frequency distributions of the volume ratio for ATom-1 and -2, respectively, at three 1226 averaging time scales: (left) 1 min, (middle) 5 min, and (right) 10 min. The green-tinted backgrounds 1227 indicate the combined 2σ accuracy from AMS (38%; 2σ) (Bahreini et al., 2009) and UHSAS (+12.4/-1228 27.5%; treated as 1.5σ in this study) (Kupc et al., 2018). 1229

















Figure 7: (a-b) Frequency distributions of the AMS-transmission-corrected $V_{phys,TC}$) vs. the (total) V_{phys} . (c-d) Same for $V_{phys,TC}$ vs. the dry condition altitude-dependent MOUDI-1µm-stage-impactortransmission-corrected V_{phys} ($V_{phys,MOUDI$). (e-f) Same for $V_{phys,TC}$ vs. the ground level ambient condition URG-PM1-corrected (standard PM1 cut) V_{phys} ($V_{phys,URG}$). ATom-1 is shown on the left and ATom-2 on the right.





1244



Figure 8: Campaign-averaged volume (left) and number (right) size distributions observed by AMP in 1245 ATom-2 (NMASS measured down to 3 nm and here we only show the subrange starting from 8 nm), 1246 together with the approximate particle size ranges contributing chemical composition information 1247 (without consideration of the details of the chemical detection) to the AMS, PALMS, and SAGA filter, 1248 and size-selected by a MOUDI 1 µm stage impactor. The top panel is one dimensional with the campaign 1249 1250 average result of each instrument (the transmissions of MOUDI and SAGA filter are altitude dependent and plotted in Fig. 3 and Fig. S18, respectively; PALMS effective detection range depends on counting 1251 statistics, and the detected particles given a sampling period are discussed in Fig. S14-15). Note that the 1252 top panel shows the fraction of the average, while Fig. 7 shows the average fractions (a summary at Table 1253 S2). The right plots represent the size ranges of the number size distribution contributing chemical 1254 information to each instrument. The following panels show the vertical profiles of the same quantities for 1255 AMP, SAGA filter, MOUDI impactor, AMS, and PALMS-AMP, respectively. The PALMS-AMP 1256 1257 product (Froyd et al., 2019) reports composition above 100 nm, the size range indicated by the dashed square in the bottom panels. The plotted altitude bins are 800 m each. 1258







1259

Figure 9: Comparison of the fraction of the particle volume that is observable (i.e., those contributing chemical composition information, but independent of the properties of the chemical detector) between instruments or inlets as a function of altitude, for the conditions in (top) ATom-1 and (bottom) ATom-2. On the left, the widely used approximate submicron cuts are compared. On the right, the ATom aerosol payload is compared, including a MOUDI 1µm impactor that has been flown in other studies. The color-shaded area indicates the SD of volume ratios.