Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2020-274-RC1, 2020 © Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



# **AMTD**

Interactive comment

# Interactive comment on "A new global grid-based weighted mean temperature model considering vertical nonlinear variation" by Peng Sun et al.

# Maohua Ding (Referee)

jiaotongdmh@sina.com

Received and published: 31 October 2020

### General comments

The authors developed a weighted mean temperature (Tm) model called GGNTm. Similar to other recent published Tm models, GGNTm is a blind global Tm model and suitable for sites from the earth's surface to a height of 10 km. After reading this article for several times, my suggestion is that this article can be suitable for a possible publishing in Atmospheric Measurement Techniques (AMT). The following reasons prompted me to make a decision.

(1) A three-order polynomial function to model the vertical variation of weighted mean temperature (Tm) which is more advanced than a linear function. The coefficients in

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



the three-order polynomial function (i.e. a, b, c and d) were provided with global grids with a resolution of  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  and annual and semi-annual variations. This modeling idea is relatively new.

- (2) The state of the art meteorological reanalysis data (ECMWF ERA5 monthly mean reanalysis data) were used as modeling data of GGNTm.
- (3) GGNTm has been compared with state of the art blind Tm models (i.e. GTrop and GWMT\_D) and this new model show some improvements in accuracy.

# Specific comments

Overall, this paper is well prepared. However, the authors should pay attention to the following problems.

- (1)Although many latest studies [2][3][4] used the a linear function to describe the vertical variation of Tm, a nonlinear function has already been used by Yao et al. 2018[1]. Thus, it is not the first attempt using a nonlinear function. Although this reference is included in the reference list, I can not see any further discussions with their study. Their work has a very significant correlation with your study.
- (2)It is good to compare GGNTm with GTrop and GWMT\_D, since GTrop and GWMT\_D stand for the state of the art blind Tm models. However, results of GPT3 are redundant and even meaningless. In fact, GPT3-Tm is GPT2w-Tm and many studies [1][2][3][4] have clearly pointed out the defect of GPT2w-Tm and the accuracy of GPT2w-Tm has been discussed for several times. I think just a few sentences can describe the defect of GPT3-Tm (GPT2w-Tm) and citing results of GPT2w-Tm in other references (e.g. reference [4]) is enough.
- (3) I'm very curious that if the height of the GNSS user site is lower than the height of the grid points, will unpredictable results be produced?

Technical corrections

### **AMTD**

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



- (1)Line 32: 'needing' can be replaced by 'requiring'.
- (2)Line 40: 'a standard empirical model together with some surface meteorological data' can be replaced by 'the Saastamoinen-ZHD model together with measured site meteorological data'
- (3)Lines119-120: The geopotential heights can not be convert directly to the ellipsoidal heights.
- (4)Line 208: The statement 'there may exist systematic differences between the reanalysis data from ECMWF and NCEP' should be supported by some references.
- (5)Line 281: 'surface Tm' can be replaced by 'site Tm'.

### References

- [1]Yao Y, Sun Z, Xu C, Xu X, Kong J (2018) Extending a model for water vapor sounding by ground-based GNSS in the vertical direction. J Atmos Sol Terr Phys 179:358-366
- [2]Li, Q., Yuan, L., Chen, P. and Jiang, Z.: Global grid-based Tm model with vertical adjustment for GNSS precipitable water retrieval, GPS Solut., 24(3), 73.
- [3] Yang F, Guo J, Meng X, Shi J, Zhang D, Zhao Y (2020) An improved weighted mean temperature (Tm) model based on GPT2w with Tm lapse rate. GPS Solut 24:46
- [4]Sun Z, Zhang B, Yao Y. (2019) A Global Model for Estimating Tropospheric Delay and Weighted Mean Temperature Developed with Atmospheric Reanalysis Data from 1979 to 2017. Remote Sens 11(16):1893.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2020-274, 2020.

### **AMTD**

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

