Supporting Information to "Application of chemical derivatization techniques combined with chemical ionization mass spectrometry to detect Criegee intermediates and peroxy radicals in the gas phase"

Alexander Zaytsev¹, Martin Breitenlechner¹, Anna Novelli², Hendrik Fuchs², Daniel A. Knopf³, Jesse H. Kroll⁴, Frank N. Keutsch^{1,5,6}

¹John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA, ²Institute of Energy and Climate Research – Troposphere (IEK-8), Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, 52428 Jülich, Germany ³School of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA ⁴Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA02139, USA

⁵Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
 ⁶Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

5

Correspondence to: Alexander Zaytsev (zaytsev@g.harvard.edu) and Frank N. Keutsch (keutsch@seas.harvard.edu)

1 Updated Kinetics Data for Acetone Oxide (CH₃)₂COO

Acetone oxide $(CH_3)_2COO$ and acetone are formed via ozonolysis of TME, while only a certain fraction of CIs is thermally stabilized:

$$C_6H_{12} + O_3 \rightarrow \phi(CH_3)_2COO + (1-\phi)(CH_3)_2COO^* + C_3H_6O$$
 (R1)

5 where $\phi = 0.32$ is the yield of SCIs for this reaction (Newland et al., 2015), non-stabilized CI is marked with an asterisk. SCI can in turn decompose to form a peroxy radical and OH:

$$(CH_3)_2COO \xrightarrow{k_2} CH_3C(=O)CH_2OO + OH$$
 (R2)

where $k_2 = 305 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Chhantyal-Pun et al., 2016).

k.

It can also undergo bimolecular reactions with trace gases:

10
$$(CH_3)_2COO + H_2O \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \text{products}$$
 (R3)

 $(CH_3)_2COO + (CH_3)_2COO \xrightarrow{k_4} \text{products}$ (R4)

where $k_3 = 1 \times 10^{-17}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Long et al., 2018), $k_4 = 6 \times 10^{-10}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Chhantyal-Pun et al., 2016).

2 Reactivity of the spin trap DMPO with stabilized Criegee intermediates

- 15 The reactivity of DMPO with SCIs in the gas phase was estimated indirectly. We conducted TME ozonolysis experiments in which we varied the amount of TME and the spin trap DMPO. In each experiment we detected SCI·DMPO adducts and estimated the mixing ratio of these species using the mass spectrometric voltage scanning procedure based on collisioninduced dissociation (Table S1). We calculated amounts of formed SCI·DMPO adducts in these experiments as a function of the reaction rate coefficient $k_{SCI+DMPO}$ using the MCM-based kinetic model with updated data from the literature (solid lines
- 20 on Fig. S1). Our experimental data (circles on Fig. S1) determines the value for this coefficient $k_{SCI+DMPO} = 6 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3$ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, which is a factor of 5 lower than the collisional limit of typical atmospheric reactions. The observed amount of SCI-DMPO is likely underestimated due to wall losses in the experimental setup and inside the CIMS instrument, which gives the lower limit for $k_{CI+DMPO}$. This result underlines high reactivity of non-radical spin traps, such as DMPO, with stabilized Criegee intermediates.



Figure S1: Calculated concentrations of SCI·DMPO adducts in various TME ozonolysis experiments as a function of the reaction rate coefficient $k_{\text{SCI+DMPO}}$ (solid lines) and observed concentrations of SCI·DMPO adducts in each experiment (circles). $k_{\text{SCI+DMPO}} = 6 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3$ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ gives the lower limit for the reaction rate constant (dashed line). Reactant concentrations in different experiments are [TME] = $(1.11 - 8.61) \times 10^{12}$; [O₃] = 5.41×10^{12} ; [DMPO] = $(1.05 - 2.09) \times 10^{13}$ molecule cm⁻³.

3 Additional Table and Figures

5

Table S1: Estimated NH₄⁺ CIMS sensitivity factors for DMPO adducts with CIs and RO₂ species detected in TME and α-pinene ozonolysis experiments. The maximum sensitivity of NH₄⁺ CIMS was experimentally determined in each experiment and depends
 on operational conditions. During spin trapping experiments with DMPO the maximum instrument sensitivity was 12,000 ndcps/ppb.

| Ion formula | <i>m/z</i> . | Estimated sensitivity factor |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| $C_9H_{17}NO_3NH_4{}^+$ | 205.155 | 0.2 |
| $C_9H_{16}NO_4NH_4{}^+$ | 220.142 | 0.17 |
| $C_{16}H_{27}NO_4NH_4{}^+$ | 315.228 | 0.2 |
| $C_{16}H_{26}NO_5NH_4{}^+$ | 330.216 | 0.5 |
| | Ion formula $C_9H_{17}NO_3NH_4^+$ $C_9H_{16}NO_4NH_4^+$ $C_{16}H_{27}NO_4NH_4^+$ $C_{16}H_{26}NO_5NH_4^+$ | Ion formula m/z $C_9H_{17}NO_3NH_4^+$ 205.155 $C_9H_{16}NO_4NH_4^+$ 220.142 $C_{16}H_{27}NO_4NH_4^+$ 315.228 $C_{16}H_{26}NO_5NH_4^+$ 330.216 |



Figure S2: High resolution mass spectral peaks around m/z 241 obtained during the ozonolysis experiments of TME in the presence (a) and absence (b) of HFA. The blue peak ($C_6H_6O_3F_6\cdot H^+$, m/z 241.03) is observed in the presence of HFA. The red line is the individual fitted peak; background is shown in gray.



5

Figure S3: Chemical losses of stabilized Criegee intermediates $(CH_3)_2COO$ calculated assuming different $k_{SCI+HFA}$ reaction rates under experimental conditions. $k_{SCI+HFA} = 3 \times 10^{-11}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ corresponds to the rate constant for CH₂OO + HFA reaction. Even at lower values of the reaction rate the major chemical loss pathway for SCI is the reaction with HFA.



Figure S4: Ion tracers observed in an isoprene ozonolysis experiment as a function of different reactant conditions. Reactant concentrations are [isoprene] = 1.23×10^{13} ; [O₃] = 3.20×10^{14} ; [HFA] = 5.35×10^{15} molecule cm⁻³.



5 Figure S5: Ion tracers observed in a pentene ozonolysis experiment as a function of different reactant conditions. Reactant concentrations are [pentene] = 4.18×10^{13} ; [O₃] = 6.15×10^{13} ; [HFA] = 5.35×10^{15} molecule cm⁻³.



Figure S6: Ion tracers observed in a hexene ozonolysis experiment as a function of different reactant conditions. Reactant concentrations are [hexene] = 2.21×10^{13} ; [O₃] = 2.95×10^{14} ; [HFA] = 5.35×10^{15} molecule cm⁻³.



5 Figure S7: TEMPO · OH adduct signal (C₉H₁₈NO · H⁺, *m/z* 174.149), measured by H₃O⁺ CIMS, as a function of TEMPO concentration.



Figure S8: Ion tracers observed by H_3O^+ CIMS in a TME ozonolysis experiment as a function of different reactant conditions. Reactant concentrations are [TME] = 4.92×10^{11} ; [O₃] = 1.89×10^{13} ; [DMPO] = 1.27×10^{12} molecule cm⁻³.



5 Figure S9: Chemical loss of organic peroxy radicals $CH_3C(=0)CH_2OO$ calculated assuming different k_{RO_2+DMPO} reaction rates under experimental conditions.



Figure S10: Ion tracers observed by H_3O^+ CIMS in an α -pinene ozonolysis experiment as a function of different reactant conditions. Reactant concentrations are $[\alpha$ -pinene] = 4.92 × 10¹¹; $[O_3] = 1.03 × 10^{13}$; $[DMPO] = 1.10 × 10^{13}$ molecule cm⁻³.



5

Figure S11: Ion tracers observed by NH₄⁺ CIMS in an α -pinene ozonolysis experiment as a function of different reactant conditions. Reactant concentrations are [α -pinene] = 4.92 × 10¹¹; [O₃] = 1.03 × 10¹³; [DMPO] = 1.10 × 10¹³ molecule cm⁻³.

References

Chhantyal-Pun, R., Welz, O., Savee, J.D., Eskola, A.J., Lee, E.P.F., Blacker, L., Hill, H.R., Ashcroft, M., Khan, M.A.H., Lloyd-Jones, G.C., Evans, L., Rotavera, B., Huang, H., Osborn, D.L., Mok, D.K.W., Dyke, J.M., Shallcross, D.E., Percival. C.J., Orr-Ewing, A.J., and Taatjes, C.A.: Direct Measurements of Unimolecular and Bimolecular Reaction Kinetics of the

- 5 Criegee Intermediate (CH₃)₂COO, J. Phys. Chem. A, 121, 4–15, DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpca.6b07810, 2016.
 Long, B., Bao, J.L., and Truhlar, D.G. Unimolecular reaction of acetone oxide and its reaction with water in the atmosphere, PNAS, 115, 6135-6140, DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1804453115, 2018.
 Newland, M. J., Rickard, A. R., Sherwen, T., Evans, M. J., Vereecken, L., Muñoz, A., Ródenas, M., and Bloss, W. J.: The atmospheric impacts of monoterpene ozonolysis on global stabilised Criegee intermediate budgets and SO₂ oxidation:
- 10 experiment, theory and modelling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 6095–6120, DOI: 10.5194/acp-18-6095-2018, 2018.