Volcanic SO₂ Effective Layer Height Retrieval for OMI Using a 1 Machine Learning Approach 2

Nikita M. Fedkin¹, Can Li², Nickolay A. Krotkov², Pascal Hedelt³, Diego G. Loyola³, Russell R. 3 4 Dickerson¹, Robert Spurr⁴

5 6 1: Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Science, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

2: NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

7 3: German Aerospace Center (DLR), Remote Sensing Technology Institute (IMF), Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany

8 4: RT Solutions Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA

9

10 Correspondence to: Nikita M. Fedkin (nfedkin@umd.edu)

11

12 Abstract. Information about the height and loading of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) plumes from

13 volcanic eruptions is crucial for aviation safety and for assessing the effect of sulfate aerosols on

14 climate. While SO₂ layer height has been successfully retrieved from backscattered Earthshine

ultraviolet (UV) radiances measured by the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI), previously 15

16 demonstrated techniques are computationally intensive and not suitable for near real-time

17 applications. In this study, we introduce a new OMI algorithm for fast retrievals of effective

18 volcanic SO₂ layer height. We apply the Full Physics Inverse Learning Machine (FP ILM)

19 algorithm to OMI radiances in the spectral range of 310-330 nm. This approach consists of a

20 training phase that utilizes extensive radiative transfer calculations to generate a large dataset of

21 synthetic radiance spectra for geophysical parameters representing the OMI measurement

22 conditions. The principal components of the spectra from this dataset in addition to a few

23 geophysical parameters are used to train a neural network to solve the inverse problem and

24 predict the SO₂ layer height. This is followed by applying the trained inverse model to real OMI

25 measurements to retrieve the effective SO₂ plume heights. The algorithm has been tested on

26 several major eruptions during the OMI data record. The results for the 2008 Kasatochi, 2014

27 Kelud, 2015 Calbuco, and 2019 Raikoke eruption cases are presented here and compared with

28 volcanic plume heights estimated with other satellite sensors. For the most part, OMI-retrieved

29 effective SO₂ heights agree well with the lidar measurements of aerosol layer height from Cloud-

30 Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations (CALIPSO) and thermal infrared

31 retrievals of SO₂ heights from the infrared atmospheric sounding interferometer (IASI). The

32 errors in OMI retrieved SO₂ heights are estimated to be 1-1.5 km for plumes with relatively large

33 SO₂ signals (> 40 DU). The algorithm is very fast and retrieves plume height in less than 10 min

34 for an entire OMI orbit.

35

37 **1** Introduction

38

39 The observation and tracking of emissions from volcanic eruptions are crucial for both air traffic 40 safety and for assessing climate forcing impacts from volcanic sulfate aerosols. In the last 10 41 years, volcanoes have emitted roughly 20-25 million metric tons of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) per year 42 through passive degassing (Carn et al, 2017). Explosive volcanic eruptions, however, can 43 additionally release large SO₂ amounts high into the atmosphere. SO₂ can be converted to sulfate 44 aerosols within 2-3 days in the troposphere (Lee et al., 2011) and within a few weeks in the 45 lower stratosphere (von Glasow et al., 2009, Krotkov et al., 2010). Sulfate aerosols are known to 46 have a cooling effect on climate, especially if an SO₂ plume is injected into the lower 47 stratosphere and remains there for longer periods of time. This is demonstrated by significant 48 eruptions such as Mt. Pinatubo in 1991 that temporarily reduced global temperatures by up to 49 0.5°C (McCormick et al, 1995). Aside from releasing SO₂, volcanoes also emit large amounts of 50 ash into the atmosphere which can have adverse impacts on air travel. Ash from volcanic plumes 51 can often interfere with flight paths, greatly reduce visibility near the ground, and cause damage 52 to the aircraft including engine failure (Carn et al., 2009). In addition, SO₂ causes sulfidation in 53 the engines, an effect that can reduce their lifetimes in the long term. From 1953 to 2009, over 54 120 aviation incidents involving volcanic activity were reported, with roughly 80 of them 55 involving serious damage to the airframe or engine (Guffanti et al., 2010). There is also the 56 possibility of highly concentrated volcanic SO₂ plumes producing acidic aerosols which can 57 cause irritation of the eyes, nose and respiratory airways of occupants inside airplanes (Schmidt 58 et al., 2014). In many cases SO₂ and ash are often collocated, thus making estimates of SO₂ layer 59 height useful for aviation hazard mitigation and volcanic plume forecasting. Lastly, the accurate 60 determination of SO₂ height can ideally aid in producing accurate SO₂ vertical column depth 61 (VCD) estimates given that those retrievals typically use a fixed *a priori* vertical distribution of 62 SO₂ in the absence of additional information on SO₂ height. 63 With remote sensing, these volcanic plumes can be regularly observed from space. In

64 particular, hyperspectral spectrometers such as the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI), 65 GOME-2, OMPS, TROPOMI and others, have provided frequent and increasingly accurate 66 observations of global SO₂ amounts, through retrieval algorithms from backscattered radiance measurements. The OMI instrument, a Dutch-Finish contribution to the NASA Aura satellite, 67

has been operational since 2004. OMI has 60 cross track positions (rows) and has a 13×24 km² 68 69 spatial resolution at the nadir position (Levelt et al., 2006). The instrument uses two UV channels 70 and one visible channel to measure backscattered radiances from the Earth's atmosphere. About 71 half of the OMI rows are affected by the row anomaly which affects the quality of OMI Level 1 72 and Level 2 data. This anomaly affects individual rows and slowly evolves over time. It is 73 thought to occur due to a physical obstruction caused by the loosening of material on the interior 74 of sensor (Torres et al., 2018). In general, SO₂ slant column amounts are retrieved from these 75 measurements through the differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS) technique and 76 then converted to vertical columns using Air Mass Factors (AMFs). The 310.5-340 nm range in 77 OMI's UV2 channel is used in retrieving SO₂, with focus on the 310.8 and 313 nm wavelengths. 78 The band residual algorithm (Krotkov et al., 2006) and the Linear Fit (LF) algorithm (Yang et 79 al., 2007) were first used as the OMI operational algorithms for retrieving planetary boundary 80 layer (PBL) SO₂ and volcanic SO₂ vertical column densities (VCDs) respectively. These were 81 replaced with the principal component analysis (PCA) based algorithm (Li et al., 2013) which 82 retrieves SO₂ amounts directly from spectral radiance measurements. The same technique was 83 also applied to OMI volcanic SO₂ retrievals (Li et al., 2017). This data-driven approach does not 84 rely on extensive radiative transfer modeling and has led to reduced biases and significant 85 improvements (Fioletov et al., 2015). For volcanic retrievals, algorithms still have uncertainties in SO₂ mass in volcanic plumes, especially in the presence of relatively larger errors in the 86 87 assumed *a priori* profiles.

88 In addition to column amounts, backscattered radiances can provide important 89 information about the height of an SO₂ layer. Conceptually, a change in altitude of an SO₂ plume 90 alters the number of backscattered photons going through the layer. If a plume is high in the 91 atmosphere, more photons that are scattered from below the layer pass through the absorbing 92 SO₂ plume. This results in larger SO₂ absorption structures in the measured radiance spectra, 93 especially in the 310-320 nm range where Rayleigh scattering is dominant. Relative to the SO₂ 94 amount, obtaining a fast retrieval of the height of a volcanic plume presents a greater challenge. 95 Until recently, retrieval techniques have involved a direct spectral fitting approach that use 96 backscattered ultraviolet (BUV) measurements in conjunction with extensive forward radiative 97 transfer modeling. For instance, the Iterative Spectral Fitting (ISF) algorithm (Yang et al., 2009) 98 for OMI was utilized to determine the altitude of SO₂ layer by adjusting the height while

99 minimizing the differences between measured radiances and forward RT calculations. Another 100 study has utilized an optimal estimation algorithm along with the VLIDORT radiative transfer 101 (RT) model to retrieve SO₂ density and plume height from the GOME-2 instrument (Nowlan et 102 al., 2011). Sulfur dioxide amounts and plume heights have also been estimated with the infrared 103 atmospheric sounding interferometer (IASI), through brightness temperature changes and 104 relative intensities of absorption lines (Clarisse et al., 2008). For these techniques, extensive 105 radiative transfer modeling is needed, in addition to a variety of assumptions including a 106 reasonable first guess for the plume altitude. Newer schemes were later developed for GOME-2 107 using the SOPHRI algorithm (Rix et al., 2012), a DOAS based technique that included 108 minimizing differences between plume height from simulated spectra and the assumed height 109 from measured spectra. This technique allowed for reasonably fast retrievals that could be used 110 in near real-time, thanks to the use of pre-calculated GOME spectra stored in a look up table classified according to SO₂ column, SO₂ heights and other physical parameters. An updated 111 112 algorithm was also developed for IASI (Clarisse et al., 2014), this time implementing an optimal 113 estimation fit approach with pre-calculated Jacobians. Faster and more efficient methods for 114 GOME-2 (Efremenko et al., 2017) and TROPOMI (Hedelt et al., 2019) have made use of 115 machine learning algorithms, specifically neural networks (NNs), to develop a trained, full-116 physics inverse learning machine (FP ILM) for retrieving SO₂ plume height. This approach has 117 shown good accuracy and speed fast enough for near-real-time operations. The FP ILM has also 118 been used for retrieving ozone profile shapes (Xu et al., 2017) and geometry-dependent 119 Lambertian equivalent reflectivity (Loyola et al., 2020). The primary advantage of this approach 120 is the execution speed. By separating the training phase, which involves large amounts of time 121 consuming radiative transfer computations and machine learning model training, from the 122 application phase, the desired parameter can be retrieved within milliseconds for a single satellite 123 ground pixel using the inverse model. However, similar methods of retrieving SO₂ layer height 124 have not yet been implemented for OMI. Now in this study, the FP ILM has been applied to 125 OMI to estimate SO₂ layer height from backscattered Earthshine radiance measurements. The 126 retrieval was tested on four past volcanic eruption cases and performance was assessed through 127 machine learning metrics, as well as comparisons to other datasets such as those from 128 TROPOMI, IASI and CALIOP lidar instruments.

129

- 130 **2 Methodology:**
- 131

132 The FP_ILM approach consists of two parts, the training phase and the application (or

133 operational) phase. The training phase starts with the generation of a synthetic training dataset of

134 top of the atmosphere (TOA) reflectance spectra from a radiative transfer model. This spectral

135 dataset is then used to train a Multi-Layer Perceptron Regression (MLPR) NN model to predict

136 the SO₂ layer height as an output. In the application phase, the trained inverse model is applied to

real OMI radiance measurements. This inverse model is optimized from the training, and the

138 predictions of SO₂ layer height based on the model are very fast as compared with the time-

139 consuming RT calculations during the training phase. The main steps of the algorithm are shown140 in a flowchart (Figure 1) and discussed in detail in the next sections.

141

143

142 **2.1 Forward Radiative Transfer Model**

144 The first step in the training phase is to build a large data set of synthetic backscattered 145 Earthshine reflectance spectra from forward radiative transfer (RT) calculations. These 146 calculations are performed using the LInearized Discrete Ordinate Radiative Transfer (LIDORT) 147 model with the rotational Raman scattering (RRS) capability (Spurr et al., 2008). This version of 148 the model treats first-order inelastic Raman scattering in addition to all orders of elastic 149 (Rayleigh) scattering processes. Rotational Raman scattering occurs when a photon is scattered 150 at lower or higher energy levels than the incident radiation. RRS cannot be neglected; it is known 151 to be responsible for the Ring effect (Grainger and Ring 1962), a spectral interference signature 152 characterized by the filling-in of Fraunhofer lines and telluric-absorber features. Allowing for 153 RRS in the RT model leads to differences in calculated radiances compared to those made with 154 purely elastic scattering, as characterized by the filling-in factor. This quantity is generally of the 155 order of a few percent, consistent with estimates that 4% of the total scattering in the 156 atmospheric is inelastic (Young, 1981). Fundamentally the SO₂ layer height information can be 157 retrieved by backscattered radiance spectra because the amount of scattering occurring in the 158 overlying atmosphere is determined by the height of the volcanic SO₂ plume. This is 159 demonstrated by comparing two otherwise identical RT calculations with different SO₂ layer 160 heights (Figure 2a). At shorter wavelengths where Rayleigh scattering is stronger, there is less 161 backscattered radiance for the case with higher SO₂ plume height, particularly at shorter 162 wavelengths < 320 nm (Figure 2b). Likewise, the filling-in factor (Figure 2c) shows the

163 importance of including RRS in the RT calculations as in some cases there can be 2-3%164 difference between the Raman and elastic calculations.

165 All LIDORT-RRS calculations in this study were performed for the 310-330 nm spectral 166 range, which captures strong SO_2 and ozone absorption features. The model is supplied with 167 ozone (Daumont et al., 1992) and SO₂ absorption (Bogumil et al., 2003) cross sections, 168 atmospheric profile, ozone profile and a high resolution Fraunhofer solar irradiance spectrum. 169 The atmospheric profile has 48 layers and contains a temperature/pressure/height grid from the 170 standard US atmosphere, with an increased vertical resolution of 0.5 km below 12 km. The 171 ozone profile is determined by the total column amount, latitude zone and month as specified in 172 the TOMS V7 ozone profile climatology (Bhartia, 2002), while the SO₂ profile is assumed to be 173 a Gaussian shape with a full width half maximum (FWHM) of 2.5 km. The solar spectrum is a 174 re-gridded version of the high resolution synthetic solar reference spectrum (Chance and Kurucz, 175 2010), originally with a spectral resolution of 0.01 nm. The re-gridded version has a resolution of 176 0.05 nm, finer than that for OMI (0.16 nm sampling for a FWHM spectral resolution of ~0.5 177 nm). The advantage of using this reference spectrum over the instrument-measured irradiance is 178 that only one set of calculations is needed; they can be applied to multiple instruments and 179 instrument cross track positions without utilizing unique measured solar flux spectra for each 180 situation. Using instrument-measured solar flux data may carry less potential error and be able to 181 better handle issues with instrument degradation. However, the downside is that the inverse 182 model would need to be re-trained whenever a new measured solar flux spectrum is used. Since 183 we expect the retrieval to be primarily sensitive to SO_2 absorption signatures, the radiative 184 transfer calculation was performed for a molecular atmosphere with no aerosol scattering.

In order to obtain a large number of different spectra, eight key physical parameters were varied for the LRRS calculations. These parameters include solar zenith angle (SZA), relative azimuth angle (RAA), viewing zenith angle (VZA), surface albedo, surface pressure, O₃ column amount, SO₂ column amount and SO₂ layer height. The ranges of these parameters are given in Table 1.

190 The number of calculations and the parameter sets for each simulation were determined through

191 a smart sampling technique (Loyola et al. 2016). A selective parameter grid with sets of

192 parameters for each simulation was established through the use of Halton sequences (Halton,

193 1962) in 8 dimensions. The calculations are continued until the moments of the output data,

mean and median converged across all wavelengths. In total around 200,000 calculations were done to achieve sufficiently comprehensive sample size for the variation in the eight parameters across all rows of OMI. This sampling was done in order to ensure that 1) each set of parameters was unique and training data is diverse; and 2) that the sample size of the entire dataset is large

198 199

201

200 2.2 Data pre-processing

enough for the machine learning application.

202 After the RT calculations are completed, the spectra are convolved with OMI instrument slit 203 function. Since each cross-track position of OMI contains a unique slit function, the appropriate 204 function was applied based on the VZA input for that particular calculation. The VZA ranges 205 from 0-70° across all rows in the OMI swath, with the middle (nadir) rows having a VZA of close to 0. For each row, only spectra within $+/-3^{\circ}$ of the actual VZA were convolved with the 206 207 appropriate slit functions. In addition, Gaussian noise with a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of 1000 208 was added to the spectra. While the SNR of OMI tends to be lower (Schenkeveld et al., 2017), 209 adding too much noise can greatly decrease performance of the machine learning (Table 2). The 210 root mean squared error (RMSE) and mean absolute difference (MAE) between the SO₂ height 211 from the RT calculation parameter sets and the height predicted by the neural network were used 212 as metrics (see Section 3). At SNRs of less than 500, the performance starts to increasingly 213 degrade. Between 1000 and 500 SNR, there is an increase of around 0.1 km in RMSE. However, 214 adding some degree of noise is necessary to account for errors in satellite instrument 215 measurements.

216 Next, principal component analysis (PCA) was applied to the spectral dataset for each 217 row, in order to extract the most significant features of the spectra, and to reduce dimensionality. 218 Since each convolved sample consists of 142 wavelength points, the dimensionality of this 219 problem becomes very large. However, PCA transforms each sample to a set of weights based on 220 8 principal components (PCs). These principal components explain 99.998% of the variance in 221 the synthetic dataset (Figure 3). Including additional PCs does not add any significant value to 222 the retrieval and may even lead to overfitting. Prior to starting the machine learning process, the 223 dataset is split into a training subset (90%) and a testing subset (10%). The training subset is used 224 for the neural network learning, while the testing subset only deployed verifying the performance 225 of the network to predict the output.

228

227 **2.3 Machine Learning using a Neural Network**

229 The 8 PCs, and selected parameters including the SZA, RAA, VZA, surface pressure and 230 surface albedo were used as input for training a MLPR, which is sometimes referred to as a deep 231 neural network. The output layer of the NN contains the effective SO₂ layer height. Column 232 amounts of SO_2 and O_3 were not included in the training or in the application stage because of 233 the large dependency of column amounts on SO₂ layer height and due to biases in OMI ozone 234 retrieval in the presence of the enhanced SO₂ plume, respectively. To improve stability, the 235 inputs (PC weights, SZA, VZA, etc.) and output (effective SO₂ height) are scaled between -0.9 236 and 0.9 according to the minimum and maximum of each input variable prior to input into the 237 NN. In a NN, the input and output layers are connected by hidden layers containing neurons 238 (also known as nodes). Each neuron is connected to others by a series of weights, by means of 239 which the input data is passed to the next level as a weighted sum of all inputs. Inside the neural 240 network, the Adam optimizer with a stochastic gradient descent algorithm (Kingman et al., 2014) 241 is used to minimize the loss function, in this case the mean squared error (MSE) between the 242 result of each iteration and the actual SO₂ layer height used to generate the synthetic spectral 243 sample. With each iteration, the partial derivative of the MSE with respect to each node is 244 calculated; this is used to update the weights. The training of a NN progresses by cycling through 245 iterations of the entire training dataset, called epochs, until the training and validation MSE is 246 minimized and there is no improvement to be obtained from further training. Throughout the 247 training, the NN uses 10% of the training subset for validation to assess the performance with 248 each iteration. This validation set is different from the independent test data that was set aside 249 from training. The "tanh" (hyperbolic tangent) activation function is applied at the hidden layers 250 to further increase stability in the NN. Other activation functions (e.g., ReLU and PReLU) were 251 tested, however tanh was found to produce slightly better NN performance. There is also 252 considerable flexibility in the structure of the NN, in particular the number of hidden layers and 253 nodes in each layer. The final configuration of the NN in this study includes 2 hidden layers with 254 20 and 10 nodes in the first and second layer, respectively. This was determined through testing 255 and analyzing the errors of the NN with respect to the synthetic test data set and the quality of 256 the retrieval results after application to satellite measurements. More complex configurations of 257 hidden layers and number of neurons were also tested and found to have worse performance

when using OMI data as input. Hence the relatively simple configuration was chosen as the finalsetup for this study.

260 In neural networks a common problem known as overfitting often occurs when the 261 machine learning model is tuned so closely to the training inputs that it does not perform well on 262 new data. During training this can be diagnosed if the validation error is much higher than the 263 training error. To reduce overfitting, L2 regularization was implemented in the training. The 264 regularization reduces the effect of small and very large weight values by penalizing the MSE 265 loss function. For this study, the training was done separately for each OMI row due to the 266 different VZAs and slit functions between rows; however, the configuration of the NN was kept 267 constant between rows. The only difference in the training is the number of training epochs 268 conducted for each row before the solution becomes optimal for that row. The number of epochs 269 varies slightly but is in the 200-300 range for all rows. The final trained version of the NN, the 270 inverse operator, contains the optimal weights needed to predict the SO₂ layer height from an 271 input of separate test data.

272 An important aspect for neural network performance is the number of training samples. 273 Aside from smart sampling, the appropriate number of samples for training can be determined by 274 comparing errors from training runs where different percentages of training samples were 275 removed (e.g. 10%, 20%, 50%) beforehand. The mean absolute error between height predicted 276 by the NN and the test set height was calculated when using different numbers of input samples. 277 With a 50% reduction in training samples, the absolute error went up by around 0.3 km. In 278 contrast, reducing the training set by 10% had little impact on the error (see Table A1). These 279 results provide confirmation that for this case the training data are adequate, and that there would likely be diminishing returns in NN performance with a larger training dataset. 280

281 **2.4**

2.4 Application to satellite measurements

In the application phase of the retrieval, the inverse operator is applied to OMI radiance spectra, resulting in a predicted SO₂ layer height for each ground pixel in the OMI swath. For this the OMI L1B Geolocated Earthshine radiance dataset is used. Since OMI only provides absolute radiances, these data were normalized with respect to the same solar flux spectrum as used in the generation of the synthetic spectra. In other words, the measured input becomes the fraction of backscattered radiance to the incoming solar irradiance (i.e., reflectance spectrum). Prior to normalizing, the irradiance spectrum was convolved with an OMI slit function for the

289 particular OMI row and orbit. The irradiance spectrum is convolved with the appropriate OMI 290 slit function in order to have consistency in wavelength points between the measured radiances, 291 synthetic radiances and irradiance of each row. To follow the same procedure as was used in the 292 training step, the PCA operator from the training phase is applied to the OMI spectra to perform 293 the dimensionality reduction and obtain a set of PC weights for each sample. The other inputs are 294 VZA, SZA, RAA, albedo and surface pressure parameters from the OMI data files. As in the 295 training phase, all inputs are scaled to the [-0.9, 0.9] range. After SO₂ heights are retrieved 296 separately for each row, one height value is given for each pixel (and spectral sample). The 297 application phase of the retrieval takes only 2-3 seconds for a given row. This short duration 298 includes the application of the training phase PCA operator to OMI measurements, the scaling of 299 inputs and the deployment of the inverse operator. The whole process is repeated for each row in 300 order to get a prediction for an entire OMI swath. For some rows the retrieval is unreliable due to 301 the row anomaly, which negatively affects the quality of the OMI L1B radiance data at all 302 wavelengths and consequently L2 retrievals.

303 304

305

3 Impacts of various parameters on the performance of the trained inverse model

306 From the training phase, it becomes clear that the performance of the algorithm will 307 depend on several factors. As demonstrated in Fig. 3, an important factor is the SO₂ column 308 amount. Overall, the NN makes better predictions for the test data subset for SO_2 amounts > 40 309 DU. Below 40 DU, information content on the layer height to be retrieved becomes increasingly 310 small, as evidenced by large differences between predicted heights and those in the actual test set 311 (Figure 5a). Additionally, larger SO₂ loadings result in greater sensitivity between two heights, 312 as seen by comparisons of SO₂ height Jacobians for multiple amounts (Figure 4). Quantitatively, 313 if samples with SO₂ amounts less than 40 DU are excluded, the RMSE decreases from 1.48 to 314 1.15 km (Table 3). As with other sensitivity analyses, the RMSE and MAE in Table 3 are 315 calculated between the predicted output from NN and the height from the independent test 316 dataset. We can therefore expect the retrieval to produce reasonable results for moderate to large 317 volcanic eruptions. In widely dispersed plumes where the SO₂ VCD is low or for volcanic 318 degassing events, the retrieval would be less accurate . The second major dependency is on SZA. 319 The problem here stems from the occurrence of relatively large errors in RT modeling due to 320 shallow light paths and lower OMI SNR at the higher SZAs. Reasonably accurate results are to

321 be expected only for SZA $< 75^{\circ}$. Figure 2b shows significant differences in predicted and actual 322 heights in spectra associated with large SZAs, after removal of low VCD samples. For the final 323 training approach, it was therefore necessary to exclude spectra with large SZAs. Dependencies 324 on other physical parameters are small when compared with these two issues discussed here, 325 although there is some evidence that high surface albedo also increases error. If we remove 326 spectra with albedo > 0.6 there is a minor improvement in RMSE from 0.93 to \sim 0.89 km. 327 However, even with strong volcanic SO₂ signals, we can realistically expect that on average the 328 absolute error to be at least 1 km, due to inherent simplifications in the neural network retrieval 329 approach. The errors in actual retrievals using OMI data are expected to be larger (see Section 330 4.4).

331

333

4. OMI SO2 Effective Layer Height Results

334 For testing the FP ILM retrieval on OMI data, four volcanic eruption cases with sufficiently 335 strong SO₂ signals were selected (i.e. where peak SO₂ VCDs were greater than 40 DU). Each 336 case is described in detail in the following subsections. For each case, comparisons were made to 337 other satellite-derived datasets where available, for example the CALIOP lidar onboard 338 CALIPSO, the IASI SO₂ layer height retrieval (Clarisse et al., 2014), and the GOME-2 339 (Efremenko et al., 2017) and TROPOMI retrievals (Hedelt et al., 2019). It is important to note 340 that the CALIOP lidar only indicates the height of the ash plume and not the SO₂ height. 341 Although ash and SO₂ plumes are often collocated, this is not always the case, making direct 342 comparisons difficult.

343

344 4.1 Kasatochi (2008)

345 Kasatochi is a volcano located on the Aleutian Islands of Alaska (52.178°N,175.508°W). It 346 underwent a series of eruptions beginning late in the day on August 7th, 2008, which injected 347 great amounts of ash and SO₂ into the stratosphere. Overall the explosion released roughly 2 348 million tons of SO₂, at the time the highest SO₂ loading since the Mt Pinatubo eruption (Yang et 349 al, 2010). SO₂ effective layer heights retrieved using the machine learning model for OMI (orbit 350 21650) on August 10th, 2008, were around 11-12 km with some portions being slightly lower 351 (Figure 6a). This is in reasonable agreement with previous SO₂ height retrievals of 9-11 km 352 which used the ISF algorithm for OMI (Yang et al., 2010), considering that the uncertainty of

353 both retrievals are around 2 km. Likewise, Nowlan et al. (2011) showed that the majority of the 354 plume was around 10 km, and up to 15 km in some parts. There is also agreement with IASI 355 (Figure 6b) and CALIOP data (Figure 6d) which showed plume heights of 10-12 km and 12.5 356 km respectively. It is important to note that the IASI overpass occurred later in the day than those 357 for OMI and CALIPSO. Another verification source we used was the GOME-2 SO₂ layer height 358 retrieval that uses FP ILM (Efremenko et al., 2017). The study found a height of around 10 km 359 and up to 14 km in areas of high SO₂ loading for August 10th (Figure 6c). The GOME-2 overpass 360 occurred 4 hours earlier than OMI. The mean, median, standard deviation and the inner quartile 361 range (IQR) of the three retrievals (Table 4) also show good agreement for this case. Although 362 the OMI results agree well in general with the results of these studies and datasets, the retrieval is 363 less sensitive with respect to detecting variability in the SO₂ layer height within the plume.

364

365 **4.2 Kelud (2014)**

366 Kelud, a stratovolcano located in East Java, Indonesia (7.935°S, 112.315°E), erupted on 367 February 13th, 2014 at 1550 UTC, in the process depositing ash in a 500 km diameter around the 368 volcano and leading to mass evacuations from nearby towns. Even though this case has 369 somewhat lower SO₂ VCDs than those from Raikoke and Kasatochi, the peak SO₂ VCDs of ~60-370 70 DU should still allow for retrievals with reasonable accuracy (see section 2). The OMI 371 retrieval results indicate that the maximum height of the main plume was 18-19 km (Figure 7a), 372 although other studies suggest that several smaller layers of SO₂ and ash were located as high as 373 26 km (Vernier et al., 2016) on the previous day. However, the SO₂ loading at that level was 374 most likely too low for an accurate retrieval using OMI radiances. CALIOP lidar detected ash 375 plumes at around 19.5 km and the IASI retrievals registered the plume at 17.5 km over the same 376 area as that for OMI. The height of the ash plume from this eruption was also estimated using 377 Multifunctional Transport Satellite (MTSAT 2) observations and transport modeling (Kristiansen 378 et al., 2015). That study found an injected height of around 17 km, which is in agreement with 379 the OMI result, especially when considering the most probable heights on the PDF (Figure 8b). 380 We note here that only a small portion of the plume was retrieved with our algorithm, given the 381 relatively low SO₂ VCDs and interference due to the OMI row anomaly. It is promising to note 382 that the OMI retrieval was able to identify heights at the upper end of the height range used in 383 the training phase. On the other hand, while the retrieval can extrapolate to heights above 20 km,

the accuracy would likely degrade due to the lack of training data with heights outside of thislimit.

386

388

4.3 Calbuco (2015)

389 The Calbuco volcano is located in Chile (41.331°S, 72.609°W). The primary eruption 390 had a volcanic explosivity index (VEI) of 4 and occurred on April 22nd with little warning. The 391 primary plume ascended higher than 15 km, while plumes from smaller subsequent eruptions 392 stayed in the troposphere. The volcanic plume spread northeast in the following days, resulting in 393 flight cancellations at Uruguayan and south Brazilian airports. The OMI-retrieved SO₂ effective 394 layer heights in the area of greatest VCD was in the 15-17 km range. In the same region, IASI 395 results (Figure 7c) show similar plume heights, approximately around 15 km, although as with 396 the previous events, the overpass times of the two instruments are different. CALIOP lidar shows 397 the ash plume to at roughly 17 km (Figure 7e). Unfortunately, the overpass of CALIPSO occurs 398 over an area of OMI's swath that is affected by the row anomaly, and this makes a direct 399 comparison unfeasible. Nevertheless, the CALIPSO aerosol layer height is still comparable to 400 OMI-retrieved effective SO₂ layer heights for the portion of the plume further to the west. The 401 retrieval for OMI is consistent with the other instruments for SO₂ plumes, with the exception of 402 that part of the plume with SO₂ below 30-40 DU (see Figure A1), for which results were not 403 plotted in Figure 7a due to lower biases.

404

405 **4.4 Raikoke (2019)**

406 The eruption of the Raikoke stratovolcano (48.2932°N, 153.254°E), located on the Kuril Islands 407 of Russia, occurred on June 21st, 2019 at 1800 UTC. A series of explosions during the eruption 408 sent large amounts of ash and SO2 into the lower stratosphere. Maximal loadings of SO2 409 measured by OMI and other sensors exceeded 500 DU. In the following days the plume 410 underwent dispersion and spread out over the northern Pacific Ocean and later over eastern 411 Russia. Early estimates of plume injection height for the eruption were predominantly in the 10-412 13 km range with potentially larger heights in some areas of the plume. In Figures 9a and 9b, the 413 SO₂ effective layer heights retrieved from OMI data are shown for the Raikoke plume on June 23rd and June 24th respectively. The plume heights for both days are predominantly in the range 414 415 10-12 km, although some areas of the plume had estimated peak heights of 13-14 km. In

comparison, the TROPOMI results show slightly larger heights (13-14 km) for June 24th and 416 417 similar heights to OMI for June 23rd (Figure 9c and d). The IASI SO₂ height product also shows 418 fairly good agreement, with heights mainly at the 10-11 km level (Figure 9e and f). It is also 419 useful to look at a distribution of heights predicted for the domain (Figure 10) in order to get a 420 more quantitative comparison between the datasets. Based on this distribution, there is clearly at 421 least 2 km difference between the most probable heights from OMI and those from TROPOMI 422 for June 24th (Figure 10b and d) and slightly lower heights in the distribution for IASI. This is 423 also displayed in Table 4 which shows a 2-3 km difference in the mean and median of retrieved 424 heights between OMI and TROPOMI. Additionally the IQR and standard deviation provide a 425 quantitative measure of the variation in the distribution of the retrieved heights, which can 426 change from one orbit to another. Note that points with lower than 30 DU are not included in the 427 PDFs for all sensors. The results are also compared with CALIOP lidar onboard CALIPSO, 428 which shows ash plume heights of 12-13 km for both days (Figure 11a and 11b). Although there 429 is overestimation for some OMI pixels, especially for June 24th, the section of the plume with the 430 CALIPSO flyover has similar heights (around 12.5 km) to lidar-determined aerosol layer 431 altitudes. Lastly, we note that a recent study highlighted probabilistic height retrievals using the 432 Crosstrack Infrared Sounder (CrIS) for Raikoke. This study found a median height of 10-12 km 433 across a large part of the plume, however with some areas upwards of 15 km. While there are 434 some notable differences across all of the datasets, the OMI retrieval for this case falls within the 435 general consensus of plume height estimates for this volcanic event.

436

437 **4.5 Discussion of errors**

438 It is clear that predicting SO₂ layer height with FP ILM is an efficient process, but one that is not 439 flawless in terms of accuracy. As comparisons between instruments/retrievals have shown, on 440 average there were 1-2 km differences in heights, especially for the Raikoke event, although we 441 consider this to be good agreement given the estimated MAE and RMSE associated with this 442 retrieval. In this regard, the retrieval is an approximate estimate of the SO₂ plume height rather 443 than a precise determination. Differences in the retrieved heights between different 444 studies/algorithms result from differences in instruments, forward model assumptions and 445 retrieval techniques as well as uncertainties in each retrieval. For instance, IASI is a thermal IR 446 instrument and its retrieval does not use FP ILM. Therefore exact agreement with IASI results is

447 difficult to achieve, especially since the IASI retrieval itself has a stated error range of ± 2 km, 448 although its retrievals serve as a good verification dataset. The stated uncertainty for TROPOMI 449 retrievals (Hedelt et al., 2019) is ~2 km for SO₂ amounts of greater than 20 DU, similar to our 450 estimated uncertainties for OMI. While the general retrieval approach for TROPOMI (Hedelt et 451 al., 2019) is similar to that for OMI in the present study, there are also important instrument 452 differences that can lead to differences in the retrieved heights between the two instruments, such 453 as the pixel size, noise, radiometric accuracy and the level of degradation. TROPOMI has a 454 much finer spatial resolution compared to OMI, with footprints typically 5.5x3.5 km² up to 455 maximum size 7x3.5 km²; TROPOMI also has larger maximal SO₂ signals. Consequently, 456 TROPOMI is better able to resolve localized variations in the height throughout the plume, and 457 is likely to be more accurate overall due to better SNR. However, current TROPOMI L1 data are 458 known to have issues with instrument degradation and radiometric accuracy in the UV spectral 459 range (Ludewig et al., 2020); this could be a potential contributing factor the differences between 460 the two instruments. OMI retrievals show more or less uniform height levels across the entire plume with the peak heights in areas with the best SO₂ signal. Note, CALIOP lidar profiles 461 462 sometimes show disagreements with OMI retrieved heights, because CALIOP only identifies the 463 height of the ash or aerosol plume. It also offers a comparison for only a single cross section of 464 the entire plume per orbit. Despite the uncertainties, the consensus provided by different 465 instrumental datasets can provide a reasonable estimate for the SO₂ layer height, and if done in 466 near real time, can aid in decision making with regards to aviation safety.

467 Another source of error is present in the training phase. One difficulty here is finding the 468 ideal choice of neural network setup. With many parameters to consider, such as the number of 469 input PCs, number of layers, number of nodes, learning rate, regularization, weight initialization, 470 etc., it is very time consuming to optimize the neural network setup. We have found a relatively 471 simply configuration that performed reasonably well with both test data and real OMI 472 measurements for all scenarios and events considered. However, even after optimization of the 473 parameters, random error inherently exists in the neural network. A measure of random error can 474 be obtained by altering the random state of the neural network whilst keeping other parameters 475 constant. For ten trial runs with different random seeds, variations of the MAE error were around 476 0.15-0.2 km (see Table A2). Although the differences in the errors calculated with the synthetic 477 test data are relatively small, larger changes can be expected during the application phase.

478 Indeed, when applying the inverse models to OMI, there is noticeable, up to 1 km variation in the 479 retrieved height for the same pixels. It is thus difficult to improve results further than ~ 1 km 480 absolute error, even in the training phase. In the application phase, some additional error comes 481 from the differences between synthetic spectra and real satellite measurements with noise errors. 482 For example, with an SNR of 500 used in training, which is a typical noise level for OMI, the 483 RMSE of the neural network prediction is around 1.25 km (Table 3). This can be considered the 484 lower limit of retrieval error when the inverse operator is used on OMI measurements. Lastly, 485 some deviations between the measured and synthetic training spectra originate from the RT 486 modeling. The calculations contain several assumptions including the SO₂ plume shape, 487 atmospheric profiles, gas profiles, and a molecular scattering atmosphere. Further testing is 488 required in order to determine if the inclusion of aerosols in RT calculations would improve the 489 algorithm performance.

490

491 **5** Conclusion

492

493 In this study we have introduced a new algorithm for OMI retrievals of the volcanic SO₂ 494 effective layer height from UV earthshine radiances. This algorithm is based on an existing 495 FP ILM method which combines a computationally time-consuming training phase with full 496 radiative transfer model simulations and a machine learning approach to develop a fast inverse 497 model for the extraction of plume height information from radiance spectra. Fast performance 498 means that the algorithm can be considered for operational deployment, given that the retrieval 499 of a SO₂ layer height prediction from the inverse model takes only a matter of milliseconds for a 500 single OMI ground pixel. For the training, a synthetic dataset of earthshine radiance spectra were 501 created with the LIDORT-RRS RT model for a variety of conditions based on choices of 8 502 physical parameters determined with smart sampling techniques. A dimensionality reduction was 503 performed through PCA in order to reduce the complexity of the problem and to separate those 504 features that best capture the great majority of variance of the dataset; 8 principal components 505 were sufficient for this purpose. Dimensionally-reduced data together with the associated 506 parameters were used to train a double hidden-layer neural network to predict SO₂ plume height 507 from any given input data. The PCA from the training phase and the inverse operator resulting 508 from the optimal NN framework were then applied to real satellite radiance spectra and 509 parameters to get retrieved values of SO₂ plume heights for several volcanic eruption events.

510 Through comparisons with CALIPSO lidar overpasses, as well as TROPOMI and IASI 511 retrievals, it was shown that the retrieval for OMI can estimate reasonable SO₂ layer height for 512 all the events considered, with absolute errors in the range of 1-2 km. These results can give an 513 indication of plume heights achieved during medium- to large-scale eruptions, and guide 514 important decisions in aviation hazard mitigation. For all events treated in this study, there was 515 general agreement with CALIOP lidar, although SO₂ could not be retrieved for the locations of 516 the CALIPSO flight path for the Kelud and Calbuco cases due to OMI row anomaly issues.

517 Uncertainties and sources of error in using this approach open up possibilities for future 518 work in improving the accuracy of the retrieval. We assumed that ash and sulfur dioxide plumes 519 are mostly collocated when using CALIPSO as a source to verify the plume height. Although 520 this is often true, dispersion of the plume in the days following the eruption can separate the two 521 components. Therefore, tracking these plumes become challenging when using reflectance 522 spectra alone; further analysis may need to include trajectories or wind data. The model was 523 trained on synthetic spectra calculated for molecular atmosphere conditions in the absence of any 524 aerosol loading. The impact of including aerosols in the simulations is another subject for a 525 follow-up study. We also intend to generate data sets of synthetic spectra by using a vector RRS model to account for polarization. For improving the performance and efficiency of the machine 526 527 learning, the use of neural network ensembles and a further optimized setup of NN structure and 528 parameters will be explored. Other future work will include extending the application of FP ILM 529 to the Suomi-NPP OMPS instrument as well as exploring the ability to predict multiple outputs 530 simultaneously from this approach.



532 Figure 1: The flowchart of the FP ILM methodology for retrieving OMI SO₂ Effective Layer Height.

533 The steps above the dashed line are part of the training phase done prior to incorporation of OMI 534 measurements. The application phase involves deployment of the trained model to the OMI radiance

measurements to obtain estimates of effective volcanic SO_2 layer heights.



537 538 Figure 2: (a) Simulated top of the atmosphere (TOA) Earthshine radiances for two different SO₂ layer 539 heights (10 km and 20 km) from the LIDORT-RRS model. Also shown:(b) the SO₂ height Jacobian 540 (change in radiance per km between the two spectra) along with the absorption cross-sections of SO₂ for 541 reference; (c) the filling-in factor. The filling-in factor is defined as the difference between the total and 542 elastic-only radiance results, divided by the total radiance, expressed as a percentage. An SO₂ column 543 amount of 200 DU was used in the two calculations and all other parameters were kept constant except

544 for the SO₂ layer height.

545





548 Figure 3: Explained variance ratio as a function of the number of principal components of the

- 549 spectral dataset.
- 550



Figure 4: SO₂ Height Jacobians (dI/dz) for 4 different assumed SO₂ column amounts. The
 Jacobians were calculated from the difference between two radiance spectra with 10 km and 20 km SO₂ height. All other physical parameters were identical in the calculation of the spectra.



557 558 Figure 5: Dependence of retrieval errors on (a) SO₂ amount and (b) SZA for cases with SO₂ VCD > 40 559 DU. The error is defined as the difference between the SO₂ layer height predicted by the neural network 560 using inputs from the independent test set, and the actual height from the same samples. The test set comprises 10% of the original spectral dataset withheld from training the neural network. The plots show 561

562 that the retrieval error is mostly within \pm 2.5 km for SZA < 70, but increases significantly for large 563 SZAs.





Figure 6: Comparison between the volcanic plume heights from (a) OMI, (b) IASI, (c) GOME-2 and (d) CALIOP lidar 532-nm attenuated backscatter, for the 2008 Kasatochi eruption. The black dotted line in (a) shows the CALIPSO track. Some rows of OMI in this case were affected by the row anomaly, as seen by the gaps in the plume. The red dots in (d) show the OMI retrieval near the CALIPSO path and the black dashed line denotes the height of the ash plume observed by CALIPSO.







501 OMITIOW





Figure 8: Probability histograms of SO₂ effective layer height retrievals for (a) the Calbuco





587 Figure 9: The SO₂ layer height retrieval for the Raikoke eruption plume on June 23rd, 2019 (left) and June 24th, 2019 (right) for the OMI (a, b), TROPOMI (c, d) and IASI (e, f) instruments. For all 3 sensors, only pixels where $SO_2 VCD > 30 DU$ are shown.





593 TROPOMI on June 23rd, 2019 (left) and June 24th, 2019 (right) and (e,f) IASI. Only pixels with

594 SO₂ column amount greater than 30 DU are included. These plots correspond to the results 595 plotted in Figures 4a-f.



Figure 11: CALIPSO lidar 532-nm attenuated backscatter for the Raikoke eruption on (a) June
23rd and (b) June 24th, 2019. The black dashed line symbolizes the height of ash plume seen by
CALIPSO and red dots show the results from the OMI retrieval along CALIPSO's flight path.
The flyovers occurred shortly after 01:30 and 00:30 UTC on June 23rd and 24th respectively,
around the same time as OMI.

- 611 **Table 1:** Ranges of the eight physical parameters varied in LIDORT-RRS for the synthetic
- 612 spectra calculations.

Parameter	Range
Solar Zenith Angle	0-90°
Viewing Zenith Angle	0-70°
Relative Azimuth Angle	0-180°
Surface albedo	0-1
Surface pressure	250-1013.25 hPa
O ₃ VCD	225-525 DU
SO ₂ VCD	0-1000 DU
SO ₂ Layer Height	2.5-20 km

- 614 **Table 2:** The RMSE and the mean absolute difference (km) of all data points in the independent
- 615 test set after adding noise as indicated by different SNR values. All other parameters and input
- 616 data were kept constant. SZA < 75 degrees and SO2 VCD > 40 DU were excluded from the test
- 617 set for these comparisons.

	No noise	SNR=1000	750	500	200	100
Mean Absolute Difference (y_known - y_pred) (km)	0.894	0.904	0.939	0.996	1.114	1.362
RMSE (km)	1.454	1.498	1.521	1.632	1.807	2.143
R-coefficient	0.988	0.985	0.983	0.980	0.972	0.955

- 618
- 619

620

- 621 **Table 3:** The RMSE and the mean absolute difference of all data points in the test set under
- 622 different conditions. For each condition, the appropriate points were removed and excluded in
- 623 error calculations. All cases in this table used synthetic training spectra with added SNR 1000.

	All cases	$\begin{array}{l} SO_2 > 20 \\ DU \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} SO_2 > 40 \\ DU \end{array}$	SO2 > 60 DU	SZA < 75°	$SO_2 > 40 \ DU$ and $SZA < 75^{\circ}$	Albedo < 0.6	$SO_2 > 40 DU$, SZA < 75°, Albedo < 0.6
RMSE	1.487	1.216	1.150	1.109	1.281	0.931	1.524	0.895
Absolute Mean Difference (km) (Predicted – Actual)	0.910	0.834	0.803	0.782	0.795	0.697	0.895	0.667

Table 4: Statistical comparisons of the SO₂ height retrievals for two days of the Raikoke eruption and the Kasatochi eruption cases.

	Raikoke (June 23 rd , 2019)			Rail	koke (June	24 th , 2019)	Kasatochi			
Metric (km)	OMI	IASI	TROPOMI	OMI	IASI	TROPOMI	OMI	IASI	GOME-	
Std. Deviation	1.67	0.85	1.96	2.38	0.65	1.04	1.39	0.72	1.29	
Median	10.60	9.00	12.10	10.30	10.00	13.24	9.70	10.00	10.21	
Mean	10.20	9.63	12.15	10.00	9.83	13.30	9.84	10.40	10.02	
IQR	1.79	1.00	2.71	2.68 1.00		1.20	1.36	1.00	1.67	
Appendix A										
Fable A1: Mean	n absolute	differen	ce and RMSI	E for diff	erent rec	luctions of the	origina	l training	,	
Fable A1: Mear lataset. The test weraged.	n absolute was perfe	e differen ormed on	ce and RMSI training sets	E for diff for five	erent rec different	luctions of the t OMI rows ar	e origina nd the er	l training rors were	2	
Fable A1: Mean lataset. The test weraged. % of samples w	absolute was perfe	e different formed on	ce and RMSI training sets	E for diff for five	Perent reconstruction of the second s	luctions of the t OMI rows ar 30	e origina nd the er 4	l training rors were 0	50	
Fable A1: Mean dataset. The test averaged. % of samples w Mean Abs Diff	absolute was perfe	e different ormed on 0 0.95	ce and RMSI a training sets 10 0.98	E for diff for five	erent rec different 20 1.02	luctions of the t OMI rows at <u>30</u> 1.08	e origina nd the er 4 1.1	1 training rors were 0 12	<u>50</u> 1.24	
Fable A1: Mean dataset. The test averaged. % of samples w Mean Abs Diff RMSE	absolute was perfo vithheld ference	0 0.95 1.46	ce and RMSI training sets 10 0.98 1.45	E for diff for five	20 1.02 1.62	luctions of the t OMI rows ar <u>30</u> 1.08 1.69	e origina nd the er 4 1.	1 training rors were 0 12 79	50 1.24 2.00	
Fable A1: Mean dataset. The test averaged. % of samples w Mean Abs Diff RMSE	absolute was perfe vithheld ference	e differen ormed on 0 0.95 1.46	ce and RMSI a training sets 10 0.98 1.45	E for diff s for five	erent rec different 20 1.02 1.62	luctions of the t OMI rows ar <u>30</u> 1.08 1.69	e origina nd the er 4 1. 1. 1.	1 training rors were 0 12 79	50 1.24 2.00	
Fable A1: Mean dataset. The test averaged. % of samples w Mean Abs Diff RMSE Fable A2: Effect he SO ₂ height reference	absolute was performed withheld ference et of alter etrieval re	ormed on 0 0.95 1.46 ing rando	ce and RMSI training sets 10 0.98 1.45 om seed numb application	E for diff for five	erent rec different 20 1.02 1.62 ror obtai For the r	luctions of the t OMI rows ar <u>30</u> 1.08 1.69 ned using the results, heights	e origina nd the er 4 1.1 1.2 test data s for two	1 training rors were 0 12 79 aset, and o differen	50 1.24 2.00 t	
Fable A1: Mean dataset. The test averaged. % of samples w Mean Abs Diff RMSE Fable A2 : Effect the SO2 height reported within the	absolute was performed withheld ference ct of alter etrieval re- ct orbit fro	e differen ormed on 0 0.95 1.46 ing rando esult after m the Ra	ce and RMSI training sets 10 0.98 1.45 om seed numbra application ikoke event (E for diff s for five ber on er to OMI. June 24 ^t	The formation is the formation in the formation is the f	luctions of the t OMI rows ar <u>30</u> 1.08 1.69 ned using the results, heights are shown. He	e origina nd the er 4 1. 1. 1. test data s for two eights wo	1 training rors were 0 12 79 aset, and o differen ere	50 1.24 2.00 t	
Fable A1: Mean dataset. The test averaged. % of samples w Mean Abs Diff RMSE Fable A2 : Effect the SO ₂ height reprised within the prixels within the retrieved using s	absolute was performed withheld ference et of alter etrieval re- erbit fro eparate in	e differen ormed on 0 0.95 1.46 ing rando esult after m the Ra	ce and RMSI a training sets 10 0.98 1.45 om seed number application ikoke event (odels trained	E for diff s for five ber on er to OMI. June 24 ^t using 10	20 1.02 1.62 ror obtai For the r ^h , 2019)	luctions of the t OMI rows ar <u>30</u> 1.08 1.69 ned using the results, heights are shown. He states.	e origina nd the er 4 1.7 1.7 test data s for two eights wo	l training rors were 0 12 79 aset, and o differen ere	50 1.24 2.00 t	

		Number	1	2	5	-	5	0	1	0)	10
	NN Training error	Abs. Mean Error RMSE	0.98 1.69	1.14 1.85	1.03 1.71	1.16 1.78	1.08 1.79	1.18 1.92	1.05 1.71	1.01 1.67	1.12 1.73	0.98 1.70
	Application (Raikoke - OMI	Sample pixel 1	10.52	10.69	10.49	9.72	9.98	10.23	10.53	10.19	10.07	10.48
h	Orbit 79463)	Sample pixel 2	12.42	13.15	12.08	11.70	11.88	12.01	12.38	11.22	11.94	12.16



644

Figure A1: OMI SO₂ VCD for the four volcanic cases: (a) Kasatochi on August 10th, 2008, (b) 645 Kelud on February 14th, 2014, (c) Calbuco on April 24th, 2015 and (d) Raikoke on June 24th, 646 2019. In these maps, only pixels with $SO_2 > 10$ DU are shown. 647 648

649 Data availability. OMI SO2 L1 and L2 data can be accessed via the Goddard Earth Sciences Data and 650 651 Information Services Center (GES DISC) at https://earthdata.nasa.gov/eosdis/daacs/gesdisc. IASI SO2 652 LH data is available via the IASI AERIS portal https://iasi.aeris-data.fr/. NASA CALIPSO data can be

653 downloaded from https://www-calipso.larc.nasa.gov/ and images can be found at https://www-

654 calipso.larc.nasa.gov/products/lidar/browse images/production. TROPOMI L2 SO2 data can be obtained

655 at https://s5phub.copernicus.eu/dhus/#/home while the LH is experimental and is not yet publicly

656 available online. The results of OMI SO2 layer height retrieval presented in this study can be obtained

657 from the author by request.

658

659 Author contributions. NF wrote the manuscript and performed most computational and model work in this 660 study. The project was conceived and overseen by CL and NK. DL and PH provided the TROPOMI SO2 LH 661 retrieval data and input on the comparisons in the paper. PH also offered support relating to the machine

662 learning aspect of the study. RS is the original developer of the LIDORT-RRS code and provided related

663 support, as well as input to the relevant sections of the manuscript. RD is an advisor of NF and provided

- 664 additional input to the paper and was involved in project planning.
- 665

- 666 **Competing interests**. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 667
- 668 Acknowledgements. We would like to acknowledge the NASA Earth Science Division (ESD) Aura Science
- Team program for funding of the OMI SO₂ product development and analysis (Grant # 80NSSC17K0240).
- 670 OMI is a Dutch/Finish contribution to the NASA Aura mission. The OMI project is managed by the Royal
- 671 Meteorological Institute of the Netherlands (KNMI) and the Netherlands Space Agency (NSO).
- 672
- 673

674 **References.**

675

Bogumil, K., Orphal, J., Homann, T., Voigt, S., Spietz, P., Fleischmann, O. C., Vogel, A., Hartmann, M.,
Bovensmann, H., Frerick, J., and Burrows, J. P.: Measurements of molecular absorption spectra with the
SCIAMACHY pre-flight model: Instrument characterization and reference data for atmospheric remote
sensing in the 230-2380 nm region, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem. 157, 167-184,

- 680 doi: 10.1016/S1010-6030(03)00062-5, 2003.
- 681
- Carn, S. A., A. J. Krueger, N. A. Krotkov, K. Yang, and K. Evans. "Tracking Volcanic Sulfur Dioxide
 Clouds for Aviation Hazard Mitigation, Natural Hazards 51 (2): 325–343, doi:10.1007/s11069-008-92284, 2009.
- 685
- 686 Carn, S. A., Fioletov, V. E., McLinden, C. A., Li, C., Krotkov, N. A.:, Scientific Reports, 7, 44095,
 687 doi: 10.1038/srep44095, 2017.
- 689 Chance, K., and R. L. Kurucz. An improved high-resolution solar reference spectrum for Earth's
 690 atmosphere measurements in the ultraviolet, visible, and near infrared, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat.*
- 691 Transfer, 111, 1289–1295, doi:10.1016/j.jqsrt.2010.01.036, 2010.
- 692

- 693 Clarisse, L., P. F. Coheur, A. J. Prata, D. Hurtmans, A. Razavi, T. Phulpin, J. Hadji-Lazaro, and C.
- 694 Clerbaux. "Tracking and Quantifying Volcanic SO2 with IASI, the September 2007
- 695 Eruption at Jebel at Tair." Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics 8: 7723–7734. doi:10.5194/acp-8-
- 696 7723-2008, 2008.
- 698 Clarisse, L., Coheur, P. F., Theys, N., Hurtmans, D., and Clerbaux, C.: The 2011 Nabro eruption, a SO₂
- plume height analysis using IASI measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 3095–3111,
- 700 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-3095-2014, 2014. 701
- Daumont, D., Brion, J., Charbonnier, J., and Malicet, J.: Ozone UV spectroscopy. I: Absorption cross sections at room temperature, J. Atmos. Chem., 15, 145 155, doi:10.1007/BF00053756, 1992.
- 704
- Efremenko, D. S., Loyola R., D. G., Hedelt, P., and Spurr, R. J. D.: Volcanic SO2 plume height retrieval
- 706 from UV sensors using a full-physics inverse learning machine algorithm,
- 707 International Journal of Remote Sensing, 38, 1–27, https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2017.1348644,
- 708 2017.
- 709

- 710 Fioletov, V. E., McLinden, C. A., Krotkov, N., and Li, C.: Lifetimes and emissions of SO₂ from point
- 711 sources estimated from OMI, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 1969-
- 712 1976, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL063148, 2015.
- 713714 Guffanti, M., T. J. Casadevall, and K. Budding. Encounters of aircraft with volcanic ash clouds: A
- compilation of known incidents, 1953-2009, Tech. rep., U. S. Geological Survey, Data Series 545, ver.
 1.0. [Available at <u>http://pubs.usgs.gov/ds/545/</u>, 2010.
- 717
- Halton, J. H.: On the Efficiency of Certain Quasi-Random Sequences of Points in Evaluating MultiDimensional Integrals. Numerical Mathematical 2 (1), 84–90, doi:10.1007/BF01386213, 1960.
- Hedelt, P., Efremenko, D. S., Loyola, D. G., Spurr, R., and Clarisse, L.: SO₂ Layer Height retrieval from
 Sentinel-5 Precursor/TROPOMI using FP_ILM, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 5503–5517, 2019
 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-5503-2019, 2019
- 724
- Lee, C., R. V. Martin, A. Van Donkelaar, R. R. Hanlim Lee, J. C. H. Dickerson, N. Krotkov, A. Richter,
- K. Vinnikov, and J. J. Schwab.: SO2 Emissions and Lifetimes: Estimates from Inverse Modeling Using in
 Situ and Global, Space-Based (SCIAMACHY and OMI) Observations, Journal of Geophysical Research:
- Atmospheres 116: (D6): n/a–n/a. D06304. doi:10.1029/2010JD014758, 2011.
 729
- 730 Levelt, P. F., Van Den Oord, G. H. J., Dobber, M. R., Mälkki, A., Visser, H., De Vries, J., Stammes, P.,
- Lundell, J. O. V., and Saari, H.: The Ozone Monitoring Instrument, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.,
 44, 1093–1101, 2006b.
- 733
- Li, C., Joiner, J., Krotkov, N. A., and Bhartia, P. K.: A fast and sensitive new satellite SO2 retrieval
- algorithm based on principal component analysis: Application to the ozone monitoring instrument,
 Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 6314–6318, doi:10.1002/2013GL058134, 2013.
- 737

Li, C., Krotkov, N. A., Carn, S., Zhang, Y., Spurr, R. J. D., and Joiner, J.: New-generation NASA Aura
Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) volcanic SO2 dataset: algorithm description, initial results, and
continuation with the Suomi-NPP Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS), Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10,

- 741 742
- Kristiansen, N. I., Prata, A. J., Stohl, A., and Carn, S. A.: Stratospheric volcanic ash emissions from the
 13 February 2014 Kelut eruption, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 588–596, doi:10.1002/2014GL062307, 2015.
- Lee, C., R. V. Martin, A. Van Donkelaar, R. R. Hanlim Lee, J. C. H. Dickerson, N. Krotkov, A. Richter,
- 747 K. Vinnikov, and J. J. Schwab.: SO2 Emissions and Lifetimes: Estimates from Inverse Modeling Using in
- 748 Situ and Global, Space-Based (SCIAMACHY and OMI) Observations, Journal of Geophysical Research:
- 749 Atmospheres 116: (D6): n/a–n/a. D06304. doi:10.1029/2010JD014758, 2011.

445-458, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-445-2017, 2017.

- 750
- 751 Loyola, D. G., M. Pedergnana, and S. Gimeno Garcia.: Smart Sampling and Incremental
- Function Learning for Very Large High Dimensional Data. Neural Networks 78: 75–87.
- 753 doi:10.1016/j.neunet.2015.09.001, 2016.
- 754
- 755 Loyola, D. G., Xu, J., Heue, K.-P., and Zimmer, W.: Applying FP_ILM to the retrieval of geometry-
- dependent effective Lambertian equivalent reflectivity (GE_LER) daily maps from UVN satellite
- 757 measurements, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13, 985–999, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-985-2020, 2020.

760

761 board theSentinel-5 Precursor satellite, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13, 3561-3580, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-762 13-3561-2020, 2020. 763 764 Kristiansen, N. I., Prata, A. J., Stohl, A., and Carn, S. A.: Stratospheric volcanic ash emissions from the 13 765 February 2014 Kelut eruption, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 588–596, doi:10.1002/2014GL062307, 2015. 766 767 McCormick, M. P., L. W. Thomason, and C. R. Trepte.: Atmospheric Effects of the Mt Pinatubo 768 Eruption, Nature 373: 399-404. doi:10.1038/373399a0., 2015. 769 770 Nowlan, C. R., X. Liu, K. Chance, Z. Cai, T. P. Kurosu, C. Lee, and R. V. Martin.: Retrievals of Sulfur 771 Dioxide from the Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment 2 (GOME-2) Using an Optimal Estimation 772 Approach: Algorithm and Initial Validation, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 116 (D18): 773 n/a-n/a. D18301. doi:10.1029/2011JD015808, 2011. 774 775 Rix, M., P. Valks, N. Hao, D. Lovola, H. Schlager, H. Huntrieser, A. Flemming, U. Koehler, U. 776 Schumann, and A. Inness.: Volcanic SO2, BrO and Plume Height Estimations Using GOME-2 Satellite 777 Measurements during the Eruption of Eyjafjallajökull in May 2010, Journal of Geophysical Research 778 (Atmospheres) 117: D00U19. doi:10.1029/2011JD016718, 2012. 779 780 Schenkeveld, V. M. E., Jaross, G., Marchenko, S., Haffner, D., Kleipool, Q. L., Rozemeijer, N. C., 781 Veefkind, J. P., and Levelt, P. F.: In-flight performance of the Ozone Monitoring Instrument, Atmos. 782 Meas. Tech., 10, 1957–1986, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-1957-2017, 2017. 783 784 Schmidt, A.; Witham, C.S.; Theys, N.; Richards, N.A.D.; Thordarson, T.; Szpek, K.; Feng, W.; Hort, 785 M.C.; Woolley, A.M.; Jones, A.R.; Redington, A.L.; Johnson, B.T.; Hayward, C.L.; Carslaw, K.S.: 786 Assessing hazards to aviation from sulfur dioxide emitted by explosive Icelandic eruptions., Journal of 787 Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres, Vol. 119, Issue 24, 14180-14196, doi: 10.1002/2014JD022070,

Ludewig, A., Kleipool, Q., Bartstra, R., Landzaat, R., Leloux, J., Loots, E., Meijering, P., van der Plas, E.,

Rozemeijer, N., Vonk, F., and Veefkind, P.: In-flight calibration results of the TROPOMI payload on-

788 789

2014.

Spurr, R., de Haan, J., van Oss, R., and Vasilkov, A.: Discreteordinate radiative transfer in a stratified
medium with first-order rotational Raman scattering, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 109, 404–425,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2007.08.011, 2008.

793

Torres, O., Bhartia, P. K., Jethva, H., and Ahn, C.: Impact of the ozone monitoring instrument row
anomaly on the long-term record of aerosol products, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 2701–2715,
https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-2701-2018, 2018.

Vernier, J.-P., Fairlie, T. D., Deshler, T., Natarajan, M., Knepp, T., Foster, K., Wienhold, F. G., Bedka, K.
M., Thomason, L., and Trepte, C.: In situ and space-based observations of the Kelud volcanic plume: The
persistence of ash in the lower stratosphere, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121, 11104–11118,
https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025344, 2016.

802

803 von Glasow, R., Bobrowski, N., and Kern, C.: The effects of volcanic eruptions on atmospheric

- 804 chemistry, Chem. Geol., 263, 131–142, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2008.08.020, 2009.
- 805

- 806 Xu, J., Schüssler, O., Loyola R., D., Romahn, F., and Doicu, A.: A novel ozone profile shape retrieval
- 807 using Full-Physics Inverse Learning Machine (FP_ILM)., IEEE J. Sel. Topics Appl. Earth Observ.
- 808 Remote Sens., 10, 5442–5457, https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2017.2740168, 2017.
- 809
- 810 Yang, K., N. A. Krotkov, A. J. Krueger, S. A. Carn, P. K. Bhartia, and P. F. Levelt.: Retrieval of large
- 811 volcanic SO₂ columns from the Aura Ozone Monitoring Instrument: Comparison and limitations, J.
- 812 Geophys. Res., 112, D24S43, doi:10.1029/2007JD008825, 2007.
- 813
- 814 Yang, K., X. Liu, N. A. Krotkov, A. J. Krueger, and S. A. Carn,: Estimating the altitude of volcanic sulfur
- dioxide plumes from space borne hyper-spectral UV measurements, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L10803,
- 816 doi:10.1029/2009GL038025, 2009.
- 817
- 818 Yang, K., P. K. Xiong Liu, N. A. Bhartia, S. A. Krotkov, E. J. Carn, A. J. Hughes, R. J. Krueger, D.
- 819 Spurr, and S. G. Trahan.: Direct Retrieval of Sulfur Dioxide Amount and Altitude from
- 820 Spaceborne Hyperspectral UV Measurements: Theory and Application, Journal of Geophysical
- 821 Research: Atmospheres 115: D2. doi:10.1029/2010JD013982, 2010.
- 822
- 823 Young, A. T.: Rayleigh Scattering. Applications Optical 20 (4): 533–535. doi:10.1364/
- AO.20.000533, 1981.
- 825
- 826