Review of manuscript "Validation of Aeolus winds using radiosonde observations and NWP

model equivalents" by Anne Martin et al

General comments

Authors provided very good update on the manuscript taking into account previous comments and suggestions. The description of the representativeness error is very clear after this update. With exception of two minor technical comments, added below, there is no need for further corrections.

Techical corrections:

Line 274: windmodel → wind model

Comment on response of comment #2.8: Representativeness error in table 2. In Line 281-282 it is reported that the sigma_{r_model} is 0.5 m/s, 0.52 m/s and 0.12 m/s. However in Table 2, these same values appear as sigma_b, which is rather confusing. Are values in table 2 correct? Please ignore the comment if it is correct.