Tropospheric NO₂ Measurements Using a Three-wavelength 1 Optical Parametric Oscillator Differential Absorption Lidar 2 Jia Su¹, M. Patrick McCormick^{1,*}, Matthew S. Johnson², John T. Sullivan³, Michael J. 3 Newchurch⁴, Timothy A.Berkoff⁵, Shi Kuang⁴, Guillaume P. Gronoff^{5, 6} 4 ¹Center for Atmospheric Sciences, Department of Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Hampton 5 University, Hampton, Virginia 23668, USA 6 ² Earth Science Division, NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA, USA 7 ³NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Chemistry and Dynamics Laboratory, Greenbelt, MD 8 20771, USA 9 10 ⁴Atmospheric and Earth Science Department, University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, Alabama, USA 11 ⁵NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, 23681, USA 12 ⁶Science Systems and Applications, Inc, VA, 23681, USA 13 *Correspondence to*: M. Patrick McCormick (PAT.MCCORMICK@HAMPTONU.EDU) 14

15

16 Abstract

The conventional two-wavelength Differential Absorption Lidar (DIAL) has measured air 17 pollutants such as nitrogen dioxide (NO₂). However, high concentrations of aerosol within the 18 planetary boundary layer (PBL) can cause significant retrieval errors using only a two-19 wavelength DIAL technique to measure NO₂. We proposed a new technique to obtain more 20 accurate measurements of NO₂ using a three-wavelength DIAL technique based on an Optical 21 Parametric Oscillator (OPO) laser. This study derives the three-wavelength DIAL retrieval 22 equations necessary to retrieve vertical profiles of NO2 in the troposphere. Additionally, two 23 rules to obtain the optimum choice of the three wavelengths applied in the retrieval are designed 24 to help increase the differences of the NO₂ absorption cross sections and reduce aerosol 25 interference. NO₂ retrieval relative uncertainties caused by aerosol extinction, molecular 26

27 extinction, absorption of gases other than the gas of interest and backscattering are calculated using two-wavelength DIAL (438 nm and 439.5 nm) and three-wavelength DIAL (438 nm, 28 439.5 nm and 441 nm) techniques. The retrieval uncertainties of aerosol extinction using the 29 three-wavelength DIAL technique are reduced to less than 2% of using the two-wavelength 30 DIAL technique. Moreover, the retrieval uncertainty analysis indicates that the three-wavelength 31 32 DIAL technique can reduce more fluctuation caused by aerosol backscattering than twowavelength DIAL technique. This study presents NO₂ concentration profiles which were 33 34 obtained using the HU (Hampton University) three-wavelength OPO DIAL. As a first step to 35 assess the accuracy of the HU lidar NO₂ profiles, we compared the NO₂ profiles to simulated data from WRF-Chem model. This comparison suggests that the NO₂ profiles retrieved with the 36 three-wavelength DIAL technique have similar vertical structure, and magnitudes typically 37 within ± 0.1 ppb, of modeled profiles. 38

39 **1. Introduction**

40 Nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) plays a critical role in the tropospheric chemistry and is one of reactive gases collectively referred to as "nitrogen oxides" ($NO_x = nitric$ oxide and nitrogen dioxide (NO41 42 + NO₂)) [U.S. EPA, 2018]. The sources of NO_x emissions include transportation (on-road vehicles, airplanes, trains, ships), wood burning, industrial and chemical processes, activities for 43 44 oil and gas development, soil emissions, lightning and wildfires (see Nitrogen Oxides Emissions 45 indicator) [U.S. EPA, 2018]. Once emitted, NO reacts rapidly in the presence of ozone to form NO₂. In U.S. urban locations, most measured airborne NO₂ comes from the reaction of these two 46 47 precursors, rather than from direct NO₂ emissions [Bertram, et al., 2005; Beirle, et al., 2011]. Scientific evidence indicates that short-term NO₂ exposure, ranging from 30 minutes to 24 hours, 48 can cause the exacerbation of asthma symptoms, in some cases resulting in hospitalization 49

[Berglund, et al., 1993]. Long-term NO_2 exposure is likely to have a causal relationship with 50 respiratory effects, based on evidence for the development of asthma [U.S. EPA, 2016]. And 51 NO₂ will be included in future cycles of the Global Burden of Disease as global exposure 52 estimates and evidence on their role as independent risk factors accumulates [Larkin et al., 2017]. 53 Additionally, atmospheric processing of NO₂ leads to the formation of nitrogen-bearing particles 54 55 that can eventually deposit to the surface, causing acidification, nitrogen enrichment, and other ecological effects [Russell et al., 2012]. Local or global NO₂ monitoring is essential for 56 understanding atmospheric chemistry as well as for human-health and environmental 57 58 management and control.

59 Measurements of the intensity of ultraviolet or visible absorption spectra from the ground or from satellites are commonly used to retrieve the column density of NO₂ [Celarier et al., 2008; 60 Valks et al., 2011; Berg et al., 2012]. Satellite-based instruments such as Ozone Monitoring 61 Instrument (OMI), Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment (GOME and GOME-2) and SCanning 62 Imaging Absorption SpectroMeter for Atmospheric CHartographY (SCIAMACHY) can provide 63 global scale NO₂ column measurements during daytime [Boersma et al., 2008; Bucsela et al., 64 2008]. Moreover, plumes of NO_2 by cities, power plants, and even ships can be tracked using the 65 recent high spatial resolution observations of NO₂ from TROPOMI on Sentinel-5P since 2017 66 [Lorente, et al., 2019; Georgoulias et al., 2020]. However, they are unable to obtain local high 67 temporal resolution NO_2 emissions such as variations in hourly NO_2 concentrations due to their 68 long repeat cycle, since the lifetime of tropospheric NO₂ is only about 6 hour in summer and 18-69 24 hours in winter due to photochemical effect [Beirle, et al., 2003; Cui et al., 2016]. In addition, 70 measurements of tropospheric NO₂ from satellite or aircraft are also influenced and limited by 71 clouds [Bovensmann et al., 1999; Liang et al., 2017]. Ground-based measurements of column 72

NO₂ from instruments such as Pandora using differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS) 73 are often used for the validation of satellite instruments [Herman et al., 2009; Lamsal et al., 2014; 74 75 Kollonige et al., 2018]. In situ measurements of near-surface NO_2 can best monitor local emissions. However, at this point in time, they cannot provide vertically-resolved measurements. 76 Balloon measurements using a NO₂-sonde can produce vertical profiles, but these measurements 77 78 are very limited in time and space, especially in the Southern Hemisphere. The primary source of data on the vertical distribution of NO_2 comes from operational sites around the world. However, 79 their operation can be expensive and labor-intensive. [Scott et al., 1999; Herman et al., 2009; 80 81 Sluis et al., 2010].

82 The DIAL technique offers the potential for autonomous, 24x7 operation, with improved temporal resolution. Absorption of light by molecules is the basis for DIAL and numerous 83 atmospheric constituents absorbing light. Conventional DIAL operates at two absorbing 84 85 wavelengths, one stronger than the other indicated by on (λ_{on}) and off (λ_{off}) wavelength of the gaseous absorption feature of interest. Because of different absorption at λ_{on} and λ_{off} , the 86 difference between the backscattered laser signals at the two wavelengths can be used to derive 87 the number density of the absorption gas. Taking the log-ratio of these returns at closely spaced 88 89 wavelengths removes system parameters and attenuation to and from the target of interest [Rothe 90 et al., 1974; Sullivan et al., 2014]. Thus, this technology provides measurements of the concentration of gas, such as NO₂, O₃, and SO₂ at a particular location and time [Fredriksson et 91 al., 1984; Newchurch et al., 2003; Kuang et al., 2013; Sullivan et al., 2017]. The DIAL technique 92 93 provides the unique capability of remotely monitoring urban/rural area localized NO₂ 94 concentrations/emissions and profiling their tropospheric vertical NO₂ concentration. However, 95 aerosols are abundant within the PBL and can cause significant retrieval errors in a two-

96 wavelength DIAL technique to measure NO_2 . To better understand this aerosol problem and produce a more accurate NO_2 profile measurement, we described a new technique using a three-97 98 wavelength DIAL technique based on the intrinsic capabilities of using a multi-wavelength OPO laser system. HU has incorporated an OPO laser into its lidar system. The OPO laser enables 99 researchers to optimize (tune) wavelength choices for specific measurements [P.Weibring et al., 100 101 2003]. The three-wavelength DIAL retrieval equations are derived in this study. Our optimum choices for the three wavelengths to be used for our NO₂ retrievals are designed to help increase 102 the difference in NO₂ absorption cross section, and reduce aerosol influence. NO₂ retrieval 103 104 relative uncertainties are calculated using the two-wavelength DIAL (438 nm and 439.5 nm) and the three-wavelength DIAL (438 nm, 439.5 nm and 441 nm). Tropospheric NO₂ profiles were 105 obtained by applying the proposed technique to HU OPO DIAL lidar. As a first-order assessment, 106 the HU lidar results were compared with simulated data from the WRF-Chem air quality model. 107

108 **2. Method**

109 To minimize aerosols-interference on the retrievals of NO₂, a three-wavelength DIAL technique 110 was proposed with $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3$. Table 1 shows expressions for the extinction and backscatter of 111 molecules and aerosols for these three wavelengths. In Table 1, β_m and β_a are backscatter from 112 molecules and aerosols for the wavelength of λ_2 ; α_m and α_a are the extinction of molecules and 113 aerosols for the wavelength of λ_2 ; e is the aerosol Ångström exponent and assumed to be equal 114 for the three wavelengths because the three wavelengths are very close.

Table 1. Extinction and backscatter of molecule and aerosol for wavelengths of λ_1 , λ_2 and λ_3 .

wavelength	Molecular backscattering	Aerosol backscattering	Molecular extinction	Aerosol extinction
λ_1	$\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-4} \beta_m$	$\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-e} \beta_a$	$\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-4} \alpha_m$	$\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-e} \alpha_a$
λ_2	β_m	β_a	α_m	α _a

(λ_2) (λ_2) (λ_2) (λ_2)	λ_3	$\left(\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-4}\beta_m$	$\left(\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-e} \beta_a$	$\left(\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-4} \alpha_m$	$\left(\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-e} \alpha_a$
---	-------------	--	---	--	--

The three elastic lidar equations can be expressed as:

$$X(\lambda_{1},Z) = C_{1} \frac{\left[\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)^{-4} \beta_{m}(Z) + \left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{-e} \beta_{a}(Z)\right]}{Z^{2}} \exp\left\{-2 \int_{0}^{Z} \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)^{-4} \alpha_{m}(Z) + \left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{-e} \alpha_{a}(Z) + \sigma_{N}(\lambda_{1},Z)N_{N}(Z) + O_{abs}(\lambda_{1},Z)\right] dZ\right\}$$
(1)

$$X(\lambda_2, Z) = C_2 \frac{[\beta_m(Z) + \beta_a(Z)]}{Z^2} \exp\{-2 \int_0^Z [\alpha_m(Z) + \alpha_a(Z) + \sigma_N(\lambda_2, Z)N_N(Z) + O_{abs}(\lambda_2, Z)]dZ\}$$
(2)

$$X(\lambda_{3},Z) = C_{3} \frac{\left[\left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)^{-4} \beta_{m}(z) + \left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)^{-e} \beta_{a}(z)\right]}{z^{2}} \exp\{-2\int_{0}^{Z} \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)^{-4} \alpha_{m}(z) + \left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)^{-e} \alpha_{a}(z) + \sigma_{N}(\lambda_{3},z)N_{N}(z) + O_{abs}(\lambda_{3},z)dz\}$$
(3)

where X is the lidar signal; C_1 , C_2 and C_3 are lidar constants; the subscripts *a* and *m* represent aerosol, and molecule, respectively; σ_N is the absorption cross section for the gas of interest; N_N is the molecular density of the gas of interest; O_{abs} is absorption of gases other than the gas of interest and *z* is the altitude. The molecular density of the gas of interest can be obtained using Eq. (1), (2) and (3).

120 NO_2 density retrieval equation can be expressed as:

121
$$N_N(Z) = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{d}{dz} \left[ln \frac{X(\lambda_1, Z) X(\lambda_3, Z)}{X(\lambda_2, Z)^2} \right] - AED(z) - MED(z) - OAD(z) - B(z)}{\Delta \sigma_N}$$
(4)

122
$$\Delta \sigma_N = 2\sigma_N(\lambda_2) - \sigma_N(\lambda_1) - \sigma_N(\lambda_3)$$
(5)

123
$$B(z) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dz} \left[ln \frac{\left[\left(\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2} \right)^{-4} \beta_m(Z) + \left(\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2} \right)^{-e} \beta_a(Z) \right] \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \right)^{-e} \beta_m(Z) + \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \right)^{-e} \beta_a(Z) \right]}{[\beta_m(Z) + \beta_a(Z)]^2} \right]$$
(6)

124
$$AED(z) = K\alpha_a(Z)$$
 $K = 2 - \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-e} - \left(\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-e}$ (7)

125
$$MED(z) = \left[2 - \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-4} - \left(\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-4}\right] \alpha_m(Z)$$
 (8)

126
$$OAD(z) = 2O_{abs}(\lambda_2, z) - O_{abs}(\lambda_1, z) - O_{abs}(\lambda_3, z)$$
 (9)

127 where AED, MED, OAD and B are the correction terms of aerosol extinction, molecular 128 extinction, absorption of gases other than the gas of interest and backscattering, respectively. 129 Because the atmospheric molecular density is relatively stable, MED can be corrected using a 130 numerical model or local real-time radiosonde data. OAD can be removed by choosing appropriate wavelengths. However, aerosol is variable especially in PBL. For correction of AED and B, we need accurate aerosol measurements. However, accurate aerosol measurements are not easily to be obtained. From the above NO₂ retrieval relative equation, all of correction terms are related to the three wavelengths, so how to choose the three wavelengths is very critical to reduce correction terms and improve the accuracy of NO₂ retrievals. We designed two rules to obtain the optimum choice for the three wavelengths:

a. The chosen three wavelengths increase differences of the NO₂ absorption cross section ($\Delta \sigma_N$) to improve NO₂ retrieval.

According to Eq. (4), the more $\Delta \sigma_N$ is, the less all of correction terms are. So the chosen three 139 wavelengths should help to increase $\Delta \sigma_N$. Generally, researchers only used an increasing 140 absorption method $(\sigma_N(\lambda_1) < \sigma_N(\lambda_2) < \sigma_N(\lambda_3))$ or a decreasing absorption method $(\sigma_N(\lambda_1) > \sigma_N(\lambda_2) < \sigma_N(\lambda_3))$ 141 $\sigma_N(\lambda_2) > \sigma_N(\lambda_3)$ (illustrated in Fig. 1) to choose the three wavelengths [Wang, et al., 1997; Liu, et 142 al., 2017]. Wang used three wavelengths corresponding to the strong, medium and weak 143 absorption of O₃ to obtain an accurate stratospheric ozone profile in the presence of volcanic 144 aerosols. Liu used three wavelengths of 448.10nm, 447.20nm and 446.60 nm corresponding to 145 the strong, medium and weak absorption of NO_2 to retrieve NO_2 . Equation (10) and (11) are 146 calculated values of $\Delta \sigma_N$ for the increasing absorption method and the decreasing absorption 147 method using Eq. (5). Using the increasing absorption method and the decreasing absorption 148 method to choose the three wavelengths, the values of $\Delta \sigma_N$ are both decreased according to Eq. 149 (10) and (11) compared to the conventional two-wavelength DIAL technique. According to 150 151 characteristics of the NO₂ absorption spectrum showed in Fig. 2, a bumping absorption method $(\sigma_N(\lambda_1) < \sigma_N(\lambda_2) \& \sigma_N(\lambda_3) < \sigma_N(\lambda_2))$ is designed to choose the three wavelengths which can 152 increase value of $\Delta \sigma_N$ compared to the two-wavelength DIAL technique according to Eq. (12). 153

However, for DIAL systems to measure other atmospheric gases like ozone, it is only practical to
use wavelength selection Method B because of the shape of the ozone absorption spectrum
(lacking narrow peaks).



- the value of K to choose the three wavelengths because the size of aerosol in the boundary layer
- is typically large [Schuster, et al., 2006].



176	3. HU three-w	vavelength OPO I	DIAL system
-----	---------------	------------------	-------------

Description				
Pulsewidth (nsec)	3-7			
Pointing Stability (urad)	<±100			
Linewidth (cm ⁻¹)				
Unseeded	3-7			
Doubling/Mixing	<10			
Energy Stability (%,99% of shots)	<±10			
Divergence (mrad,FWHM)	<2 (both axes)			
Beam Diameter (mm, near field)	4-7			
Beam Roundness (%, near field)	>85			
Polarization (%)				
Signal Horizontal	>99			
Idle Horizontal	>99			

178 Fig.3 Continuum Horizon II energy outputs (a) and parameters (b) with PL 8000 pump

The HU lidar is located on the campus of HU (37.02° N, 76.34° W) in Hampton, VA. A 179 Continuum Horizon II tunable OPO laser and a Continuum Powerlite DLS 8000 pump laser have 180 recently been incorporated into HU lidar system. The OPO laser enables researchers to optimize 181 (tune) the wavelength choices and provides more flexibility than fixed-frequency wavelength 182 183 shifters such as Raman cells. The wavelength tuning range of our OPO extends from 192 nm to 2750 nm. This range is fully automated with precision scanning for true hands-free operation. 184 Fig. 3(a) and (b) show the Continuum Horizon II output energy and its parameters. The OPO 185 laser energy outputs between 400 nm and 500 nm which overlap with the NO₂ strong absorption 186 spectral zone in Fig. 2 produce near the maximum possible power in the spectrum. Combining 187 the OPO laser energy outputs, NO₂ absorption spectral and two three-wavelength chosen rules, 188 438 nm, 439.5 nm and 441 nm shown in Fig. 2 result in the wavelengths of HU three-wavelength 189 DIAL system because $\Delta \sigma_N$ of the three-wavelength pair is more than other three-wavelength 190

191 pairs in NO₂ strong absorption spectral zone and the K value of the three-wavelength is 0.000023(close to 0). The HU lidar system currently consists of a Continuum OPO laser system as the 192 light source, a 48-inch non-coaxial Cassegrainian-configured telescope receiver, a light 193 separation system that uses beam splitters and interference filters, a detecting system including 194 photomultiplier tubes (PMT) and avalanche photodiodes (APDs) and a Licel optical transient 195 196 recorder. A schematic of the lidar system is shown in Fig.4. The system can be configured to 197 measure multi-wavelength aerosols and NO_2 density. High-resolution backscatter measurements 198 extend from the boundary layer (1.2 km) to free troposphere. The pump laser operates at three 199 fixed wavelengths (1064, 532, and 354.7 nm). The 354.7-nm laser is mostly reflected into OPO laser to produce three-wavelength (338 nm, 339.5 nm and 441 nm). Steering mirrors whose axes 200 201 are aligned with a receiving telescope axis directs these laser outputs into the atmosphere. The laser backscatter is collected by a 48-inch diameter telescope and split into specific wavelength 202 bands by a beam separation unit, which combines filters and beam-splitters for dispersion of the 203 204 return backscatter to various detection channels. Using filters and beam-splitters makes the beam-splitting system simple, compact, and easy to change or add other spectral channels for 205 other measurements. Currently, wavelengths of 438 nm, 439.5 nm, 441 nm, 354.7 nm, 532 nm 206 207 and 1064 nm are focused to PMTs and APD, and recorded by a Licel data-collecting system for measurements of aerosol, and NO₂. 208

To demonstrate that the HU three-wavelength OPO DIAL system can effectively reduce aerosol influence and accurately retrieve NO₂, retrieval correction terms of AED, MED, OAD and B in Eq. (4) are simulated using two-wavelength DIAL technique (438 nm and 439.5 nm) and the three-wavelength DIAL technique (438 nm, 439.5 nm and 441 nm). Ozone was used for the simulation of OAD because only ozone absorption can produce a little influence on NO₂ retrieval 214 based on HITRAN 1.1.2.0 database. Atmospheric data of aerosol, molecule, O₃ and NO₂ for these simulations are from the HU local lidar aerosol measurements, radiosonde, NASA 215 Tropospheric Ozone Lidar Network (TOLNet) and NASA Deriving Information on Surface 216 Conditions from COlumn and VERtically Resolved Observations Relevant to Air Quality 217 (DISCOVER-AQ) measurements shown in Fig.5. Extinction and backscatter of aerosol at 438 218 219 nm, 439.5 nm and 441 nm can be calculated from aerosol extinction profile at 532 nm in Fig.5 (a) with the setting of lidar ratio=50 and e=1, 2 and 3. Lidar ratio is wavelength dependent and its 220 221 value in the visible band is in general smaller than in the UV band for the same type of aerosols 222 [Kuang et al., 2020; Reid et al., 2017]. Absorption of NO₂ and O₃ at 438 nm, 439.5 nm and 441 nm can be calculated using their mixing ratio profiles in Fig.5 (b) and their absorption cross-223 224 sections from HITRAN 1.1.2.0 database. MED, AED, OAD, B and absorption difference of NO₂ (NAD) are simulated using two-wavelength DIAL technique with different aerosol Ångström 225 exponents (e=1, 2 and 3) shown in Fig. 6 (a), (c) and (e), and the three-wavelength DIAL 226 technique shown in Fig.6 (b), (d) and (f). In Fig. 6, red lines are NAD; black lines are MED; 227 deep blue lines are AED; light blue lines are OAD. In Fig. 6, all OAD is far less than NAD. It is 228 concluded that ozone absorption has negligible influence on the retrieval of NO_2 . In Fig. 6 (a), (c) 229 230 and (d), MED and AED in PBL are both more than NAD using the two-wavelength DIAL technique. Because atmospheric molecules are relatively stable, MED can be corrected using 231 local model or real-time radiosonde data. However, aerosol is variable, so aerosols are a 232 233 significant uncertainty for retrieving NO₂ with the conventional two-wavelength DIAL technique. In Fig. 6 (b), (d) and (f), MED and AED in boundary layer are both much smaller than NAD 234 235 using proposed three-wavelength DIAL technique. It is proven that three-wavelength DIAL 236 technique can effectively decrease retrieval errors caused by aerosol extinction. From Fig.5, we

237 can see AED using three-wavelength DIAL technique can be reduced to less than 2% of AED using two-wavelength DIAL technique at least. Therefore, even if AED is not corrected, NO₂ 238 still can be accurately retrieved. Moreover, simulated B using the two-wavelength DIAL 239 240 technique and the three-wavelength DIAL technique are shown in Fig. 6 with green lines. The sharp change on vertical adjacent aerosol backscatter can cause drastic changes of B term. In Fig. 241 6, the value of B term using three-wavelength DIAL technique is far less than using two-242 wavelength DIAL technique. So the three-wavelength DIAL technique can reduce more 243 fluctuation caused by aerosol backscattering than two-wavelength DIAL technique. 244



- 246 Fig.4 HU lidar system (L-lens, M-mirror, BS-beam-splitter, IF-interference filter, FOV-field of view,
- 247 PMT-Photomultiplier tube, APD-Avalanche Photodetector)



Fig.5 Atmospheric profiles used for modeling NO_2 lidar correction terms. (a) Aerosol extinction profile

(red) at 532 nm measured by the HU lidar and molecular extinction profile (blue) at 532 nm derived from

local radiosonde data; (b) NO₂ (red) and O₃ (blue) mixing ratio profiles from NASA DISCOVER-AQ







Fig.6 Simulated MED (black), NAD (red), OAD (light blue), AED (deep blue) and B (green) using twowavelength DIAL with e=1(a), e=2 (c) and e=3 (e) and three-wavelength DIAL technique with e=1(b), e=2(d) and e=3(f).

255

260 **4. Uncertainty analysis**

According to NO₂ retrieval Eq.4, the NO₂ measurement uncertainty is due to molecule, absorption of gases other than the gas of interest, aerosol and noise of lidar signals. The total relative uncertainty can be expressed as Eq. (13) [Leblanc et al., 2016].

264
$$U_{NO_2}(z) = \sqrt{U_{AED}(z)^2 + U_{MED}(z)^2 + U_{OAD}(z)^2 + U_B(z)^2 + U_S(z)^2}$$
(13)

265
$$U_{MED}(z) = \frac{u[MED(z)]}{N_N(z)\Delta\sigma_N} = \frac{\left[2 - \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^4 - \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_3}\right)^4\right]u[\alpha_m(z)]}{N_N(z)\Delta\sigma_N} = \frac{\left[2 - \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^4 - \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_3}\right)^4\right]\sigma_m u[N_m(z)]}{N_N(z)\Delta\sigma_N}$$
(14)

266

267
$$U_{OAD}(z) = \frac{u[OAD(z)]}{N_N(Z)\Delta\sigma_N} = \frac{u[2O_{abs}(\lambda_2, z) - O_{abs}(\lambda_1, z) - O_{abs}(\lambda_3, z)]}{N_N(Z)\Delta\sigma_N} = \frac{[2\sigma_0(\lambda_2, z) - \sigma_0(\lambda_1, z) - \sigma_0(\lambda_3, z)]u[N_0(z)]}{N_N(Z)\Delta\sigma_N}$$
(15)

269
$$U_{AED}(z) = \frac{u[AED(z)]}{N_{N}(z)\Delta\sigma_{N}} = \frac{\left[2 - \left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{3}}\right)^{e} - \left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{3}}\right)^{e}\right]u[\alpha_{a}(z,s)]}{N_{N}(z)\Delta\sigma_{N}}$$
(16)
270
$$U_{B}(z) = \frac{u\left\{\frac{1}{2dz}\left[ln\frac{\left[\left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{3}}\right)^{4}\beta_{m}(z) + \left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{3}}\right)^{e}\beta_{a}(z,s)\right]\left[\left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{3}}\right)^{4}\beta_{m}(z) + \left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{3}}\right)^{e}\beta_{a}(z,s)\right]\right]\right\}}{N_{N}(z)\Delta\sigma_{N}}$$
(17)



where U_{NO2} is NO₂ total retrieval relative uncertainty using three-wavelength DIAL technique; U_{MED} , U_{OAD} , U_{AED} , U_B and U_s are NO₂ retrieval relative uncertainty caused by molecule, absorption of gases other than the gas of interest, aerosol (extinction and backscattering) and noise of lidar signals expressed as Eq. (14), (15), (16), (17) and (18); *u* is uncertainty function; N_m and N_o are number density (ND) of air and ozone; σ_m is Rayleigh scattering cross section; σ_o is absorption cross section of ozone; *S* is lidar ratio.

From Eq. (14) and (15), U_{MED} and U_{OAD} are determined by $u[N_m(z)]$ and $u[N_o(z)]$ (uncertainties 280 of N_m and N_o). In our measurements, profiles of temperature and pressure from local radiosonde 281 are used to calculate N_m . Usually, one radiosonde is launched for about 8-hour measurement. 282 One profile of air number density from local radiosonde is used to correct 8-hour NO₂ 283 measurements. According to statistics of 8-hour variation of temperature and pressure in local 284 four seasons, the uncertainty of N_m is between 1% and 3%. U_{MED} using two-wavelength DIAL 285 technique and the three-wavelength DIAL technique are calculated according to Eq. (14) with 286 the uncertainty of N_a as 1%, 2% and 3% shown in Fig. 7(a). U_{MED} using three-wavelength DIAL 287 technique is far less than using two-wavelength DIAL technique. N_o is obtained from local 288 289 measurements. Because of very low values of ozone absorption cross section differentials, with the uncertainty of N_o as 50% and 100%, U_{OAD} using two-wavelength DIAL technique and using 290 the three-wavelength DIAL technique are both less 0.5% from Fig.7 (b). Ozone absorption 291 correction is neglect in NO₂ retrieval. From Eq. (16) and (17), U_{AED} and U_B are determined by 292

uncertainties of a_a , β_a and e. For HU lidar system, 532-nm elastic signals are used to calculate a_a 293 294 and β_a with Fernald method to correct NO₂ retrieval [Fernald et al., 1972]. 50 sr is usually chosen 295 as lidar ratio to retrieve a_a and β_a . The lidar ratio is variable, so uncertainties of a_a and β_a are caused by chosen lidar ratio. The range of lidar ratio is about from 30 sr to 70 sr for 532 nm. The 296 297 uncertainty of lidar ratio is 40% for 50 sr. The uncertainties of a_a and β_a are calculated with 298 uncertainty of lidar ratio as 40%. Finally, U_{AED} and U_B using two-wavelength DIAL technique and using the three-wavelength DIAL technique are calculated with the Ångström exponent as 1, 299 2 and 3 shown in Fig. 8, 9 and 10. From these figures, U_{AED} and U_B using three-wavelength 300 301 DIAL technique are both less 4%. However, U_{AED} below 2 km using two-wavelength DIAL technique are more than 90% after correction of aerosol extinction. From Eq. (18), U_s is 302 determined by uncertainties of three-wavelength lidar signals. The uncertainties of lidar signals 303 with average integration time of 1 minute and 2 minutes are derived from Poisson statistics 304 associated with the probability of detection of a repeated random event [Measures, 1984; 305 306 Leblanc et al., 2016]. NO₂ number density relative uncertainty owing to the noise of lidar signals with average integration time of 1 minute and 2 minutes are obtained shown in Fig11. We can 307 see U_s using two-wavelength DIAL technique is smaller than using three-wavelength DIAL 308 309 technique. With increase of average integration time from 1 minute to 2 minutes, U_s can be effectively reduced. At last, U_{NO_2} (the total relative uncertainties of NO₂) with e as 1, 2 and 3 are 310 calculated shown in Fig. 12(a), (b) and (c). 311



Fig.7 NO₂ number density relative uncertainty owing to air number density (a) and ozone number density
(b).



Fig.8 NO₂ number density relative uncertainty owing to aerosol extinction (a) and backscatter (b) with e= 1.



Fig.9 NO₂ number density relative uncertainty owing to aerosol extinction (a) and backscatter (b) with e= 2.



Fig.10 NO₂ number density relative uncertainty owing to aerosol extinction (a) and backscatter (b) with e=3.



322

Fig.11 NO₂ number density relative uncertainty owing to the noise of signals with average of 1 minute and 2 minutes.





331

Fig.12 NO₂ number density total relative uncertainty with e=1 (a), e=2 (b) and e=3 (c).



335 The three-wavelength DIAL technique was implemented by the HU lidar measurements during 336 two cases at night and the resulting vertical profiles are presented in Fig. 13. All NO₂ lidar 337 measurements presented here are obtained at times with less than 10% cloud coverage below 8 338 km. HU lidar 438 nm (blue line), 439.5 nm (red line) and 441 nm (black line) elastic signals 339 measured at 21:00 (local time) on May 13, 2020 and 22:00 (local time) on July 27, 2020 are shown in Fig. 13 (a) and (c), respectively. The average integration time for these signals is 2 340 341 minutes. Determined from the lidar elastic signals in Fig. 13 (a) and (c), there is an existing aerosol layer between 2.2 km and 3.5 km on May 13, while July 27 presented a clean atmosphere. 342 Fig. 13 (b) and (d) show retrieved NO₂ profiles using the three-wavelength DIAL technique (red 343 344 line). The black error bars in Fig.13 (b) and (d) indicate the uncertainty of NO₂ retrieval calculated using Eq. (13). In Fig. 13 (b), the retrieved NO₂ profile between 2.2 km and 3.5 km on 345 May 13 is smooth and not affected by the aerosol layer. The NO₂ profiles (sky-blue line and 346 purple line) were also retrieved using the conventional two-wavelength DIAL technique without 347 and with aerosol correction shown in Fig. 13 (b) resulting in a bump between 2.2 km and 3.5 km 348 in the NO₂ profile retrieved using the two-wavelength DIAL technique. This inconsistency 349

350 suggests that the two-wavelength DIAL technique cannot remove AED of the aerosol layer between 2.2 km and 3.5 km and the retrieved NO₂ profile contains AED interference. Moreover, 351 the NO₂ retrievals below 2 km using two-wavelength DIAL technique shown in Fig. 13 (b) and 352 (d) are more than the three-wavelength DIAL technique suggesting that the AED of boundary 353 aerosol was not correctly removed. Aerosol correction is very important for NO₂ retrieval using 354 355 the conventional two-wavelength DIAL technique [Browell et al., 1985]. These results suggest that the proposed three-wavelength DIAL technique can effectively remove influence of aerosol 356 357 on the retrieval of NO₂. As a first-order assessment of the HU lidar NO₂ profiles, we compare the 358 retrieval results to simulated data from the Weather Research and Forecasting Chemistry (WRF-Chem) model (Grell et al., 2005) at 12 km × 12 km spatial resolution and 200 m vertical 359 resolution. Past studies have demonstrated that WRF-Chem simulated NO₂ results show good 360 agreement between the OMI satellite measurements and aircraft measurements [Amnuaylojaroen 361 et al., 2019; Barten et al., 2020] providing a data source to examine the accuracy of the HU 362 363 retrievals using both two-wavelength DIAL technique and three-wavelength DIAL technique. The HU local NO₂ profiles for these two cases are simulated using WRF-Chem model and 364 shown in Fig. 13 (b) and (d). WRF-Chem simulated NO_2 magnitudes tend to be lower compared 365 366 to HU retrieved NO₂ profiles using three-wavelength DIAL technique (typically within ± 0.1 ppb), except above 3.5 km on May 13, 2020, however, the comparison demonstrates a consistent 367 vertical profile shape between observations and the model simulation. And retrieval results using 368 369 the three-wavelength DIAL technique are much closer to simulated values compared to using the two-wavelength DIAL technique. These figures also demonstrate that the reduced fluctuations 370 371 caused by aerosol backscatter when using the three-wavelength DIAL technique results in 372 vertical profiles of NO₂ which are much more consistent with simulated data when compared to

results of the two-wavelength DIAL retrievals. Both the WRF-Chem simulated profiles and the HU retrievals of NO₂ using three-wavelength DIAL technique are associated with uncertainties which could result in the differences in magnitude; however, given the consistent nature in the vertical profile shapes from both data sources provides confidence that the HU lidar is retrieving NO₂ vertical profiles using three-wavelength DIAL technique in the troposphere.



Fig.13 HU lidar 438 nm, 439.5 nm and 441 nm elastic signals measured at 21:00 (local time) on May 13,
2020 (a) and 22:00 (local time) on July 27, 2020 (c); NO₂ profiles obtained using three-wavelength DIAL technique, two-wavelength DIAL technique and WRF-Chem model at 21:00 on May 13, 2020 (b) and
22:00 on July 27, 2020 (d).

384

385 6. Conclusion

This study describes a lidar retrieval technique using three wavelengths simultaneously emitted from an OPO laser to measure tropospheric NO₂ profiles. The three-wavelength DIAL retrieval

equations describe how the retrievals decrease errors caused by aerosol interference. Aerosol 388 extinction differences using this proposed technique can be decreased to less than 2% of aerosol 389 extinction differences resulting from a conventional two-wavelength DIAL technique. 390 Comparing the HU lidar results to WRF-Chem model output demonstrates that the NO₂ 391 magnitudes and vertical structure are in much better agreement with simulated data when 392 393 applying the three-wavelength DIAL technique compared to using the two-wavelength technique. In the future, we will add new filters to obtain daytime NO₂ measurements. We also plan to 394 purchase NO_2 balloonsondes for acquiring true validation data to evaluate HU lidar NO_2 results. 395

396

397 Acknowledgments

We thank NASA TOLNet and NASA DISCOVER-AQ measurements for our simulation work. 398 This study was supported by the PIRT project funded by US Army Research, Development and 399 400 Engineering Command (AQC) Center (DOD) under HU PIRT Award # 551150-211150) and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration- Cooperative Science Center for Earth 401 System and Sciences and Remote Sensing Technologies (NOAA-CESSRST) under the 402 403 Cooperative Agreement Grant #: NA16SEC4810008. The statements contained within the manuscript/research article are not the opinions of the funding agency or the U.S. government, 404 but reflect the author's opinions. HU lidar data are available at http://cas.hamptonu.edu/data-405 products/. Matthew Johnson's contribution was supported by the NASA's TOLNet Science 406 Team and the Tropospheric Composition Program. We also thank Dr. Gabriele Pfister from the 407 408 Atmospheric Chemistry Observations & Modeling Lab at the National Center for Atmospheric Research for providing the WRF-Chem calculation applied in this study. 409

410 **Supplementary Material**

411 S.1 Two-wavelength DIAL retrieval equation

wavelength	Molecular backscattering	Aerosol backscattering	Molecular extinction	Aerosol extinction
λ ₁	$\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-4} \beta_m$	$\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-e} \beta_a$	$\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-4} \alpha_m$	$\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-e} \alpha_a$
λ ₂	β_m	β _a	α_m	α_a

Table s.1. Extinction and backscatter of molecule and aerosol for wavelengths of λ_1 and λ_2 .

The two elastic lidar equations can be expressed as:

$$X(\lambda_{1}, Z) = C_{1} \frac{\left[\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)^{-4} \beta_{m}(Z) + \left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{-e} \beta_{a}(Z)\right]}{Z^{2}} \exp\left\{-2 \int_{0}^{Z} \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)^{-4} \alpha_{m}(Z) + \left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{-e} \alpha_{a}(Z) + \sigma_{N}(\lambda_{1}, Z)N_{N}(Z) + O_{abs}(\lambda_{1}, Z)\right] dZ\right\}$$
(S.1)
$$X(\lambda_{2}, Z) = C_{2} \frac{\left[\beta_{m}(Z) + \beta_{a}(Z)\right]}{Z^{2}} \exp\{-2 \int_{0}^{Z} \left[\alpha_{m}(Z) + \alpha_{a}(Z) + \sigma_{N}(\lambda_{2}, Z)N_{N}(Z) + O_{abs}(\lambda_{2}, Z)\right] dZ\right\}$$
(S.2)

where *X* is the lidar signal; C_1 and C_2 are lidar constants; the subscripts *a* and *m* represent aerosol, and molecule, respectively; σ_N is the absorption cross section for the gas of interest; N_N is the molecular density of the gas of interest; O_{abs} is absorption of gases other than the gas of interest and *z* is the altitude. The molecular density of the gas of interest can be obtained after taking ratio of Eq. (1) to Eq. (2).

417 NO₂ density retrieval equation can be expressed as Eq. (3):

418
$$N_N(Z) = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{d}{dz} \left[ln \frac{X(\lambda_1, Z)}{X(\lambda_2, Z)} \right] - AED(z) - MED(z) - OAD(z) - B(z)}{\Delta \sigma_N}$$
(s.3)

419
$$\Delta \sigma_N = \sigma_N(\lambda_2) - \sigma_N(\lambda_1)$$
(s.4)

420
$$B(z) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dz} \left[ln \frac{\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-4} \beta_m(Z) + \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-e} \beta_a(Z)}{\beta_m(Z) + \beta_a(Z)} \right]$$
(8.5)

421
$$AED(z) = \left[1 - \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-e}\right] \alpha_a(Z)$$
(s.6)

422
$$MED(z) = \left[1 - \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-4}\right] \alpha_m(Z)$$
(s.7)

423
$$OAD(z) = O_{abs}(\lambda_2, z) - O_{abs}(\lambda_1, z)$$
 (s.8)

424 S.2 Units for all variables

425	Table s.2.	Units for	all	variables

Items	Description	Unit
C1, C2,C3	lidar constant	constant (number)
α	extinction coefficient	km ⁻¹
β	backscattering coefficient	km ⁻¹ sr ⁻¹
λ	wavelength	nm
σ	absorption cross section	cm ² molecule ⁻¹
Z , z	altitude	km
X	lidar range-corrected signal	mv
Ν	number density	molecule/cm ³
S	lidar ratio	sr ⁻¹
U	Relative uncertainty	%

426

427 **Reference:**

428 Amnuaylojaroen T., Macatangay R.C., and Khodmanee S.: Modeling the effect of VOCs from

- biomass burning emissions on ozone pollution in upper Southeast Asia, Heliyon., 5(10), e02661,2019.
- 431 Barten, J. G. M., Ganzeveld, L. N., and Visser, A. J.: Rodrigo Jiménez, and Maarten C.Krol,
- 432 Evaluation of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) sources and sinks and ozone production in Colombia and
- 433 surrounding areas, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 9441–9458, 2020.
- 434 Beirle, S., Boersma, K., Platt, U., Lawrence, M., and Wagner, T.: Megacity Emissions and
- Lifetimes of Nitrogen Oxides Probed from Space, Science., 333, 1737–1739, 2011.
- 436 Beirle, S., Platt, U., Wenig, M., and Wagner, T.: Weekly cycle of NO₂ by GOME measurements:
- 437 a signature of anthropogenic sources, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3(6), 2225–2232,2003.
- Berg, N., Mellqvist, J., Jalkanen, J. P., and Balzani, J.: Ship emissions of SO₂ and NO₂: DOAS
- 439 measurements from airborne platforms, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5(5), 1085–1098, 2012.
- 440 Berglund, M., Boström, C. E., Bylin, G., Ewetz, L., Gustafsson, L., Moldéus, P., Norberg, S.,
- 441 Pershagen, G., and Victorin, K.: Health risk evaluation of nitrogen oxides, Scandinavian journal
- 442 of work: environment and health., 19, 1993.
- Bertram, T. H., Heckel, A., Richter, A., Burrows, J. P., and Cohen, R. C.: Satellite measurements
- 444 of daily variations in soil NO_x emissions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L24812, 2005.

- Boersma, K. F., Jacob, D. J., Eskes, H. J., Pinder, R. W., Wang, J., and Van Der A, R. J.:
- 446 Intercomparison of SCIAMACHY and OMI tropospheric NO₂ columns: Observing the diurnal
- evolution of chemistry and emissions from space, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D16S26, 2008.
- 448 Bovensmann, H., Burrows, J. P., Buchwitz, M., Frerick, J., Noel, S., Rozanov, V. V., Chance, K.
- V., and Goede, A. P. H.: SCIAMACHY: Mission objectives and measurement modes, J. Atmos.
- 450 Sci., 56(2), 127–150, 1999.
- 451 Bucsela, E. J., et al.: Comparison of tropospheric NO₂ in situ aircraft measurements with near-
- 452 real-time and standard product data from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument, J. Geophys. Res.,
- 453 113, D16S31, doi:10.1029/2007JD008838, 2008.
- 454 Celarier, E. A., et al.: Validation of Ozone Monitoring Instrument nitrogen dioxide columns, J.
- 455 Geophys. Res., 113, D15S15, doi:10.1029/2007JD008908, 2008.
- 456 Chen, Y., Jie Wang, Huang, J., and Hu, Shunxing. (2017). Measurement of atmospheric NO₂
- 457 profile using three-wavelength dual-differential absorption lidar, Proc. SPIE., 10605, 106053L,458 2017.
- Cui, Y. Z., J. Lin, T., Song, C. Q., Liu, M. Y., Yan, Y. Y., Xu, Y., and Huang, B.: Rapid growth
 in nitrogen dioxide pollution over Western China 2005-2013, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,16(10), 2016.
- Edward V. B., Syed I., and Scott T. S.: Ultraviolet DIAL measurements of O₃ profiles in regions
 of spatially inhomogeneous aerosols, Appl. Opt., 24, 2827-2836,1985.
- Fernald, F. G., Herman, B. M., and Reagan, J. A.: Determination of aerosol height distributions
 by lidar, Journal of Applied Meteorology., 11(3), 482-489, 1972
- 465 Fredriksson, K. A. and Hertz, H. M.: Evaluation of the DIAL technique for studies on NO₂
- 466 using a mobile lidarsystem, Appl. Opt., 23(9), 1403–1411, 1984.
- 467 Georgoulias, A. K., Boersma, K. F., Vliet, J., Zhang, X., Ronald, A., Zani, P., and Laa, J.:
- 468 Detection of NO2 pollution plumes from individual ships with the TROPOMI/S5P satellite
- 469 sensor, Environ. Res. Lett., 15, 124037, 2020
- 470 Herman, J., Cede, A., Spinei, E., Mount, G., Tzortziou, M., and Abuhassan, N.: NO₂ column
- 471 amounts from ground-based Pandora and DOAS spectrometers using the direct-sun DOAS
- technique: Intercomparisons and application to OMI validation, J. Geophys. Res., 114(D13),
- 473 2009.

- 474 Kollonige, D. E., Thompson, A. M., Josipovic, M., Tzortziou, M., Beukes, J. P., Burger, R.,
- 475 Martins, D. K., van Zyl, P. G., Vakkari, V., and Laakso, L.: OMI satellite and ground based
- 476 Pandora observations and their application to surface NO2 estimations at terrestrial and marine
- 477 sites, Journal of Geophysical Research., 123, 1441–1459, 2018.
- 478 Kuang, S., M. J. Newchurch, J. Burris, and X. Liu (2013), Ground-based lidar for atmospheric
- 479 boundary layer ozone measurements, Appl. Opt., 52, 3557-3566,
- 480 http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/AO.52.003557.
- 481 Kuang, S., Wang, B., Newchurch, M. J., Knupp, K., Tucker, P., Eloranta, E. W., Garcia, J. P.,
- 482 Razenkov, I., Sullivan, J. T., Berkoff, T. A., Gronoff, G., Lei, L., Senff, C. J., Langford, A. O.,
- Leblanc, T., and Natraj, V.: Evaluation of UV aerosol retrievals from an ozone lidar, Atmos.
- 484 Meas. Tech., 13, 5277–5292, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-5277-2020, 2020.
- Lamsal, L. N., et al.: Evaluation of OMI operational standard NO₂ column retrievals using in situ
 and surface based NO₂ observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 11,587 11,609, 2014.
- 487 Larkin, A., Geddes, J. A., Martin, R. V., Xiao, Q., Liu, Y., Marshall, J. D., Brauer, M., and
- 488 Hystad, P.: Global Land Use Regression Model for Nitrogen Dioxide Air Pollution.
- 489 Environmental Science and Technology., 51(12), 6957-6964, 2017.
- 490 Leblanc, T., Sica, R. J., van Gijsel, J. A. E., Godin-Beekmann, S., Haefele, A., Trickl, T., Payen,
- 491 G., and Liberti, G.: Proposed standardized definitions for vertical resolution and uncertainty in
- 492 the NDACC lidar ozone and temperature algorithms Part 2: Ozone DIAL uncertainty budget,
- 493 Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9, 4051–4078, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-4051-2016, 2016.
- 494 Li, Z., Guo, J., Ding, A., Liao, H., Liu, J., Sun Y., Wang, T., Xue, H., Zhang, H., and Zhu, B.:
- Aerosol and boundary-layer interactions and impact on air quality, National Science Review.,
 4(6), 2017.
- Liang, M., Guan, P., and Zheng, K.: Remote sensing of atmospheric NO₂ by employing the
 continuous-wave differential absorption lidar technique, Opt. Express., 25, A953-A962, 2017.
- 499 Liu, Q., Chen, Yafeng, J., Wang, J., Hu, S.: Measurement of atmospheric NO2 profile using
- 500 three-wavelength dual-differential absorption lidar, Proc. SPIE., 10605, LIDAR Imaging
- 501 Detection and Target Recognition, 106053L, 2017 <u>https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2295725</u>
- 502 Lorente, A. B., Boersma K. F., Eskes, H. J., Veefkind, J. P., Geffen, J. H. G. M., Zeeuw, M. B.,
- 503 Denier, H. A. C., Beirle, S., and Krol, M. C.: Quantification of nitrogen oxides emissions from

- build-up of pollution over Paris with TROPOMI, Sci Rep., 9, 20033, 2019,
- 505 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-56428-5</u>,
- 506 Measures, R. M.: Laser remote sensing: fundamentals and applications, Wiley, 510, 1984.
- 507 Newchurch, M. J., Ayoub, M. A., Oltmans, S., Johnson, B., and Schmidlin, F. J.: Vertical
- distribution of ozone at four sites in the United States, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D1), 4031, 2003.
- 509 Reid, J. S., Kuehn, R. E., Holz, R. E., Eloranta, E. W., Kaku, K. C., Kuang, S., Newchurch, M. J.,
- 510 Thompson, A. M., Trepte, C. R., Zhang, J., Atwood, S. A., Hand, J. L., Holben, B. N., Minnis, P.,
- and Posselt, D. J.: Ground based high spectral resolution lidar observation of aerosol vertical
- 512 distribution in the summertime Southeast United States, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 122(2), 2970-
- 513 3004, 2017, doi:<u>10.1002/2016JD025798</u>.
- Rothe, K. W., Brinkmann, U., and Walther, H.: Applications of tunable dye lasers to air pollution
- 515 detection: measurements of atmospheric NO₂ concentrations by differential absorption, Appl.
 516 Phys., 3(2), 1974.
- 517 Russell, A. R., Valin, L. C., and Cohen, R. C.: Trends in OMI NO₂ observations over the United
- 518 States: effects of emission control technology and the economic recession, Atmos. Chem, Phys.,
- 519 12, 12197–12209, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-12197-2012</u>, 2012.
- Schuster, G., Dubovik, O., and Holben, B. N.: Ångström exponent and bimodal aerosol size
 distributions, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D07207, 2006.
- 522 Scott, D. C., Herman, R. L., Webster, C. R., May, R. D., Flesch, G. J., and Moyer, E. J.:
- 523 Airborne Laser Infrared Absorption Spectrometer (ALIAS-II) for in situ atmospheric
- 524 measurements of N₂O, CH₄, CO, HCL, and NO₂ from balloon or remotely piloted aircraft
- 525 platforms, Appl. Opt., 38, 4609-4622, 1999.
- 526 Sluis, W. W., Allaart, M. A. F., Piters, A. J. M., and Gast, L. F. L.: The development of a

527 nitrogen dioxide sonde, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 1753–1762, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-3-1753-

- 528 2010, 2010.
- 529 Sullivan, J., Rabenhorst, S. D., Dreessen, J., McGee, T. J., Delgado, R., Twigg, L., and Sumnicht,
- 530 G.: Lidar observations revealing transport of O_3 in the presence of a nocturnal low-level jet:
- 531 Regional implications for "next-day" pollution, Atmospheric Environment., 158, 160-171, 2017.
- 532 Sullivan, J., McGee, T. J., Sumnicht, G. K., Twigg, L. W., and Hoff, R. M.: A mobile differential
- absorption lidar to measure sub-hourly fluctuation of tropospheric ozone profiles in the
- Baltimore–Washington, D.C. region, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 3529–3548, 2014.

- Sunesson, J. A.: Differential absorption lidar system for routine monitoring of tropospheric
 ozone, Appl. Opt., 33(30), 7045-7058, 1994.
- U.S. EPA 2016. Climate change indicators in the United States, 2016. Fourth edition. EPA 430R-16-004.
- 539 U.S. EPA 2018. Data from the Air Pollutant Emission Trends Data website. Accessed 2018.
- 540 https://www.epa.gov/air-emissions-inventories/air-pollutant-emissions-trends-data.
- 541 Valks, P., Pinardi, G., Richter, A., Lambert, J. C., Hao, N., Loyola, D., Van Roozendael, M., and
- 542 Emmadi, S.: Operational total and tropospheric NO₂ column retrieval for GOME-2, Atmos.
- 543 Meas. Tech., 4(7), 1491–1514, 2011.
- Volten, H., Brinksma, E. J., Berkhout, A. J. C., Hains, J., Bergwerff, J. B., Van der Hoff, G.
- 545 R., Apituley, A., Dirksen, R. J., Calabretta, J. S., and Swart, D. P. J.: NO₂ lidar profile
- 546 measurements for satellite interpretation and validation, Journal of Geophysical Research.,
- 547 114(24), 2009.
- Wang, Z., Nakane, H., Hu, H., and Zhou J.: Three-wavelength dual differential absorption lidar
 method for stratospheric ozone measurements in the presence of volcanic aerosols, Appl. Opt.,
 36, 1245-1252, 1997.
- 551 Weibring, P., Smith, J. N., Edner, H., and Svanberg, S.: Development and testing of a frequency-
- agile optical parametric oscillator system for differential absorption lidar, Review of Scientific
- 553 Instruments., 74, 4478, 2003.