

A Dark Target research aerosol algorithm for MODIS observations over eastern China: Increasing coverage while maintaining accuracy at high aerosol loading

Yingxi R. Shi^{1,4}, Robert C. Levy¹, Leiku Yang³, Lorraine A. Remer⁴, Shana Mattoo^{1,2}, and Oleg Dubovik⁵

¹NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA

²SSAI, Lanham, MD, USA

³ School of Surveying and Land Information Engineering, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo 454003, China

⁴UMBC/JCET, Baltimore, MD, USA

⁵Univeristy of Lille, Lille, France

Correspondence to: Yingxi Shi (yingxi.shi@nasa.gov); Leiku Yang (yanglk@hpu.edu.cn)

Abstract. Satellite aerosol products such as the Dark Target (DT) produced from the MODerate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS), are useful for monitoring the progress of air pollution. Unfortunately, the DT often fails to retrieve during the heaviest aerosol events as well as the more moderate events in winter. Some literatures attribute this lack of retrieval to cloud mask. However, we found this lack of retrieval is mainly traced to thresholds used for masking of inland water and snow. Modifications to these two masks greatly increase the coverage of retrievals overall (50%) and double the retrievals of aerosol optical depth at 0.55 μm (AOD) greater than 1.0. The “extra” high AOD retrievals tend to be biased when compared with ground-based sunphotometer (AERONET). Reducing bias in new retrievals requires two additional steps. One is an update to the assumed aerosol optical properties (aerosol model) – the haze in this region is both less absorbing and lower in altitude than what is assumed in the global algorithm. The second is accounting for the scale height of the aerosol, specifically that the heavy aerosol events in the region are much closer to the surface than what is assumed by the global DT algorithm. The resulting combination of modified masking thresholds, new aerosol model, and lower aerosol layer scale height was applied to three months of MODIS observations (Jan-March 2013) over eastern China. After these two additional steps are implemented, the significant increase in new retrievals introduces no overall bias but does degrade other overall validation statistics. We also find that the research algorithm is able to identify additional pollution events that a triad of AERONET instruments surrounding Beijing could not. Mean AOD retrieved from the research algorithm increases from 0.11 to 0.18 compared to values calculated from the operational DT algorithm during January to March of 2013 over the study area. But near Beijing where the severe pollution occurs, the new algorithm increases AOD by as much as 3.0 for each 0.5° grid box, over the previous operational algorithm values.

Because of rapid economic development and urbanization, eastern China, especially surrounding the Beijing area, has a large aerosol emission and complex aerosol composition. The resulting large aerosol loading creates serious air quality and public health problems (Zhang et al., 2012, Huang et al., 2014). Air quality issues in this region produce significant social economic impact due to the high density and continuous increase of the population and energy consumption. For example, Beijing alone
35 had 12 million residents in 1998, with the number increasing rapidly to 21.51 million by the end of 2014 (Beijing Municipal Statistics Bureau). Studies show that the annual number of “haze days” over the North China Plain, defined as a day with a visibility < 3 km (QX/T 113-2010), increased from 1980 and steeply increased since 2001 (Chen et al., 2015, Ding et al., 2014). In January 2013, eastern China experienced their worst ever severe haze/fog event. This event was marked by extremely high level of PM_{2.5} (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 μm), long duration (70% of the days in
40 January exceeding the Chinese air quality standard of PM_{2.5} = 75 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$), extended spatial coverage (~ 1.3 million km^2), which affected ~ 800 million people (Renhe., 2014, Huang et al., 2014). During this episode, Beijing’s hourly PM_{2.5} exceeded 600 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, which is nearly 60 times higher than the World Health Organization’s (WHO) ‘good’ standard (WHO, 2006).

To try and mitigate this severe pollution, the Chinese government launched an air pollution control program in 1998. More drastic measures were taken during the 2008 Beijing Olympics (Chen et al., 2013) and also after the severe haze events of
45 January 2013. Specifically, after the 2013 event, the Chinese State Council released the 5-year Clean Air Action Plan aiming to reduce annual PM_{2.5} to less than 60 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ by 2017 (Huang et al., 2014). To assess this achievement, the aerosol monitoring network was expanded quickly. While ground-based sites were added, there began a huge effort to utilize satellite aerosol products.

Even though satellite aerosol products represent a total-column optical measurement (aerosol optical depth – AOD) and not
50 the PM_{2.5} measurements required for air quality concerns, the birds-eye vantage of the satellite has been shown to be useful. The Dark Target (DT) aerosol products on the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) sensors onboard both Terra and Aqua satellites has become a popular dataset used in studies of Chinese aerosol (Christopher and Zhang, 2002; Li et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2004; Quaas et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2012; Costantino et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2014; Bellouin et al., 2020;). However, the DT products miss aerosol retrievals over eastern China, especially during wintertime. Many studies have
55 discussed this issue (Yan et al., 2016, Bilal et al., 2014, 2015, Wei et al., 2019, [Li et al., 2012](#), [Zhang et al., 2020](#), [Chen et al., 2017](#)). Some attributed the problem of lack of retrieval to an overly aggressive cloud mask, which is not what we found in this study. Most of these studies tried to solve the missing data problem by using extra ancillary data or by developing a new algorithm (Yan et al., 2016, Li et al., 2012, Wei et al., 2019). Some of these methods are only applicable over a very small region, such as near the Beijing-Tianjing-Hebei area (Bilal et al., 2015). [We mention these studies here, not as an endorsement,](#)
60 [but only to point out that identification of the problem of missing retrievals in China has received wide-spread notice in the published literature.](#) None of these methods can provide real time AOD, consistent with the DT products. Near real time data is critical in terms of air quality forecasting and policy making, and the desire to be consistent with the DT product ties local

characterization to a global standard. Due to the importance of satellite aerosol products for air quality and aerosol forecasting, our goal is to provide a more comprehensive near real time DT AOD map over China.

65 The main problem with the current DT product for China is lack of retrievals. Even when the scene should be acceptable for an aerosol retrieval, the current operational algorithm fails to return a result. We will show in this paper why there are so many missing retrievals, and we will present a regionally specific research algorithm that remedies the problem. **By bringing back these missing retrievals, new bias is introduced. We then fine tune the algorithm to reduce the bias. There are always trade-offs in developing aerosol retrieval algorithms. In our case the priorities will be increased *number of retrievals* at high AOD and *minimal overall bias* against ground truth. However, to achieve these goals, root mean square error (RMSE) will increase. Thus, overall accuracy will be degraded, but product availability will increase, and no long-term biases will be introduced.**

70 The advantage of this regional research algorithm is that it is built within the structure of the DT algorithm so that implementation into the global operational system will be less onerous. However, implementation into the operational system is beyond the scope of this paper. In Section 2, we describe the data that will be used in this study, and in Section 3 we illustrate the problem with the current operational algorithm with two case studies. This is followed by a presentation of a new research algorithm for China that (a) increases retrieval coverage in the region and (b) makes other adjustments so that high accuracy can be maintained as coverage is increased (Section 4). The new research algorithm is validated in Section 5, and in Section 6 we use the new algorithm to characterize an extreme pollution event. Section 7 summarizes and presents the conclusions.

2 Description of Data Products

80 2.1 MODIS Dark Target aerosol products and algorithm

The MODIS Dark Target algorithm, based on a lookup table (LUT) method, uses three wavelengths from 0.47 to 2.1 μ m to retrieve aerosol properties over dark (vegetated and dark-soiled) land surfaces (Levy et al., 2007ab, 2013; Remer et al., 2020). The basics of the over-land algorithm are as follows: 1) consolidate higher spatial resolution (e.g. 500 meter and 1 km) calibrated reflectance and radiance observations (known as Level 1B ‘pixels’) into 10 km retrieval ‘boxes’. 2) apply various filters to remove (‘mask’) clouds, cloud shadows, snow and ice, inland water, and any pixels that represent conditions that are not suitable for retrieval (Remer et al., 2005). 3) assign the aerosol model (optical and physical properties) that are most likely representative of a given season and location, 4) assume reflectance properties of the surface using an empirically derived equation, 5) search the pre-calculated LUTs simulating the observations of different loadings of the assumed aerosol type, 6) report the total aerosol loading (AOD) that combined with the surface reflectance, provides the best match with the observed spectral reflectance, and finally 7) assign quality assurance and other diagnostics to the retrieval product. In a global sense, the generalized aerosol retrieval, along with strict quality assurance (e.g. QA confidence values = 3) has been shown to provide accurate retrievals and reasonable coverage over most conditions. For global retrievals over land, Expected Errors tend to be on the order of $\Delta\tau = \pm(0.05 + 0.15\tau)$.

90

Although generally appropriate on a global scale, the thresholds for filtering/masking in step 2 can be too weak or too strong for particular regions. If too strong, they will falsely mask out legitimate aerosol retrievals. For land surfaces with vegetation, there is a strong absorption of radiation in the shortwave infrared (SWIR) by liquid water, and therefore the land surface parameterization is based on the assumption that liquid water in the leaves of vegetation is correlated with the pigments in those leaves that absorb radiation in the visible (blue and red wavelengths) during photosynthesis. However, liquid water not in vegetative structures (such as inland water) will also absorb SWIR wavelengths, confuse the algorithm and therefore must be masked. While designed to monitor the health of green vegetation (Tucker, 1979), the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) can also be used to detect inland water. As defined as Eq. (1):

$$NDVI = \frac{(\rho_{0.87} - \rho_{0.66})}{(\rho_{0.87} + \rho_{0.66})} \quad (1).$$

where ρ is the reflectance at TOA at 0.87 (NIR) and 0.66 μm (Red) bands.

The aerosol algorithm uses NDVI in an inverse fashion to exclude nonvegetative scenes that might have a thin layer of water on the surface, such as melting snow or swamps. Scenes with near very low values of NDVI (say < 0.1) include inland water, cloud edges, and arid regions such as deserts. Therefore, NDVI is an overall powerful tool that masks out many other conditions that are not optimal for applying the DT algorithm. The problem is that by increasing reflectance more in the red band than in the NIR band, heavy loadings of fine-dominated aerosol types (as are found in eastern China) can also depress the values of NDVI (Yang et al., 2020).

Analogous to the NDVI, we can define a difference index for detecting snow. A Normalized Difference Snow Index (NDSI) is similar to the NDVI used for the inland water mask, but as described in Li et al. (2005) it is based on different wavelengths, given as Eq. (2)

$$NDSI = \frac{(\rho_{0.87} - \rho_{1.24})}{(\rho_{0.87} + \rho_{1.24})} \quad (2).$$

where ρ is the reflectance at TOA at 0.87 (NIR) and 1.24 μm . This NDSI relies on the strong absorption and reflectance features of snow and ice, and in tandem with a brightness temperature threshold (e.g. 11 μm channel), is used to mask out snow/ice. The operational DT algorithm considers pixels with $NDSI > 0.01$ and 11 μm channel brightness temperature less than 285K to be snow, melting snow, or contaminated pixels near snow edges. Note that the temperature threshold is above the freezing level, which is a cautious approach to include regions where snow is in the process of melting and may be above freezing.

Overall, both the inland water (NDVI) and snow/ice (NDSI + temperature) filters are designed to optimize the balance between accuracy and availability of aerosol retrievals, on a global basis, but may not be ideal for a specific region such as China, where light to heavy pollution conditions occur year-round and particularly during the winter season.

The LUT used in the DT retrieval algorithm is calculated from prescribed aerosol models. The prescribed models depend on season and region, and are based on global categorizing of Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) inversion products (Dubovik and King, 2000) as described in Levy et al., (2007). In operation, the aerosol model assumed for most of China and

for most seasons is the ‘moderately absorbing’ model having single scattering albedo, ω_0 , at 0.55 μm , around 0.90. During the summer and fall along China’s coastal belt, as for a small region around Taiwan in all seasons, the ‘weakly-absorbing’ ($\omega_0 \sim 0.95$) model is used instead. These models were assigned to China for the version of the algorithm that went into production in 2005 (Collection 5), and while re-evaluated for Collection 6 that began in 2013, they were not adjusted. However, with the continued development of China’s industry and urbanization as well as their “clean air” movement after 2008, the aerosol composition may have changed significantly enough to warrant an update of aerosol model selection.

In addition to the aerosol models being prescribed, the LUTs are calculated assuming a vertical profile for the aerosol. Except for coarse dust aerosol, all fine-dominated aerosol types (including the moderate and weakly absorbing types used for China), are assumed to have a scale height (H) of 2.0 km. In fact, pollution aerosol in China, especially during the winter months, tends to form under extremely stable conditions. Studies (Tang et al., 2015, Li et al., 2015, Luan et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2015) indicate that scale heights for the haze can be significantly less than 1.0 km, which due to multiply scattering interactions with the molecular atmosphere, lead to errors in the LUTs assumed to simulate satellite observations.

The combination of less than ideal filtering for inland water and snow/ice, of changing aerosol composition in the last two decades, and wrong assumptions of aerosol scale height, lead to systematic errors in both coverage and accuracy over China.

2.2 Other MODIS aerosol products

DT is not the only algorithm that makes use of MODIS observations to derive aerosol properties. There are two additional AOD algorithms, known as “Deep Blue” (DB) and “Multi-Angle Implementation of Atmospheric Correction” (MAIAC). Since each algorithm uses different criteria for filtering and masking, makes different assumptions regarding aerosol optical properties and surface reflectance, and uses different techniques for fitting spectral observations, we can examine them and their products to inform possible solutions to the China retrievals outside of AERONET’s coverage.

The DB algorithm, as its name indicates, uses observations in the “Deep Blue” or near-ultraviolet (NUV) part of the spectrum ($\sim 0.412 \mu\text{m}$) in addition to observations in the visible blue (0.466 μm) and red wavelengths (Hsu et al., 2006). DB bands can capture the aerosol signals due to that carbonaceous aerosol types have strong absorption in shorter wavelengths and desert surfaces have weaker reflectance in these wavelengths. For MODIS, the DB product has the same spatial resolution (10 x 10 km) as the DT product, and has reported uncertainties (for highest quality assurance) defined by Eq. (3):

$$\Delta\tau = \pm([0.086 + 0.56\tau_{DB}]/[1/\mu_0 + 1/\mu]) \quad (3)$$

where μ_0 and μ are the cosine of the solar and view zenith angles, respectively (Sayer et al., 2013). For heavy smoke including pollution if very optically dense, DB developed a smoke detection scheme based on Lambertian equivalent reflectivity (Dave and Mateer, 1967) at 0.412 μm , 0.488 μm and 0.672 μm , as well as brightness temperature at 11 μm . Once the aerosol is classified as smoke, the cloud mask is relaxed to ensure good retrieval spatial coverage and the spatial variability threshold is also relaxed when assigned the data quality (Hsu et al., 2019).

The MAIAC algorithm, uses time series (up to 16 days) analysis to exploit multangular information of atmosphere and land surface in order to derive semi-empirical bidirectional reflectance functions of surface (Lyapustin et al., 2014, 2018). The algorithm utilizes the fact that the surface is more static than the atmosphere components such as clouds or aerosols during a short time span. With a more accurate description of surface characteristics, MAIAC has better ability to retrieve very optically thick aerosol plumes (Mhawish et al., 2019). However, data gaps still exist in cloud free region due to terrain or high surface albedo issues (Bi et al., 2019). MAIAC produces a product with the much finer spatial resolution of 1 km and has a reported bulk uncertainty of 66% of retrievals within $\pm 0.05 \pm 0.1AOD$ (Lyapustin et al., 2018). The MAIAC atmospheric product (MCD19A2) is not stored in a traditional format of MODIS granule (e.g. retrievals along the native swath), but uses the MODIS Sinusoidal grid instead (Stackpole, 1994). All granules are regridded into a 1 km sinusoidal map and the overpass time, based on the granule ID, is stored in the product. Although there are slight differences between the time stamp and the granule ID (personal communication with Dr. Yujie Wang), which becomes apparent when comparing the three MODIS aerosol products in case studies. The differences will not statistically affect the comparison in our region of interest.

2.3 AERONET sun and sky aerosol products

The AErosol RObotic NETwork (AERONET) is a global aerosol-monitoring network that is commonly used as a benchmark for validating satellite-retrieved AOD and to study the aerosol properties globally (Holben et al., 1998; Levy et al., 2013; Remer et al., 2005; Sayer et al., 2013; Zhang and Reid, 2006, Shi et al., 2011, 2013; Giles et al., 2019). AERONET provides two aerosol products. One measures aerosol attenuation through direct sun measurements, which provides spectral aerosol optical depth every 3 or 15 minutes (Holben et al., 1998). The most current version of this product is the version 3 product (Giles et al., 2019), which changes the cloud screening procedures from the older version and includes more AOD observations that are higher than 1.0 (Eck et al., 2018; Eck et al., 2019). This change is critical to evaluate satellite performance over regions with high AOD loading. The new version product also has better cirrus filters and more accurate quality control procedures. The uncertainty in AOD from version 3 remains the same as previous versions, which is ~ 0.01 in the visible and near-infrared and ~ 0.02 at ultraviolet (UV) wavelengths (Eck et al., 1999).

In addition, AERONET instruments measure sky radiance and provide inversion products that contain aerosol microphysical and optical properties, such as particle size distribution, complex refractive index, and phase function (Dubovik and King, 2000; Dubovik et al., 2002; 2006). The new version 3 inversion products contain both traditional almucantar mode sky measurements and the new hybrid mode sky measurements. The almucantar mode is a series of measurements of the sky with changing azimuthal angles from 0° to $\pm 180^\circ$ and a fixed solar elevation angle (Holben et al., 1998). Almucantar mode can only measure aerosol properties when the solar zenith angle (SZA: the complement of the solar elevation angle) is greater than 50° . That is when there is a sufficient range of scattering angles for high retrieval accuracy (Holben et al., 2006). This angle limitation is associated with the aerosol diurnal cycle, which means that there will be no aerosol properties derived during the middle of the day. The hybrid scan, which changes in both azimuthal and zenith angle directions simultaneously, can provide robust retrievals for measurements up to 25° SZA (Sinyuk et al., 2020). Because the hybrid scan is only available with the new

190 CIMEL Model-T, the availability of hybrid measurements is limited, as compared with almucantar measurements. In our study, there are three sites that provide the hybrid scan: Beijing-CAMS, Beijing_PKU, and Yanqihu.

During this process, we used all available version 3 level 2 AOD and inversion products over China following QA procedures and recommendations found in Holben et al., (2006) to acquire a new aerosol model for the Beijing region as well as using
195 AERONET data for validation purposes.

3. Case studies of high and low aerosol loading scenarios over the Beijing area

While the DT algorithm has been a proven success as a global product, there continue to be regions where the algorithm under performs, and one of those regions is China. Many studies find that the algorithm frequently fails to retrieve in situations. But we find there is no physical reason to prevent retrieval. These situations occur both in high and low AOD situations. We present
200 two case studies to illustrate the problem.

3.1 An intense high AOD pollution event on October 9th 2013

Figure 1 illustrates a heavily polluted condition over East Asia, which is common over this region. Figure 1a is the MODIS ‘true-color’ reflectance image from 25° to 45° latitude and 105° to 145° longitude. Significant pollution aerosol plumes, appearing gray, cover Beijing (latitude 39.9°N longitude 116.4° E) and its surrounding regions and extend towards the southwest all the way to the edge of the image. Clear patterns of variation in pollution can be found within the plume. Figure
205 1b is the MODIS DT AOD for all available retrievals, including retrievals meant for only qualitative imagery (QA = 0 to 3). The AOD gradually increases from very low loading outside of the plume to close to 1.0 at the edge of the pollution. However, there is no AOD retrieved at the thickest part of the plume. Two nearby AERONET sites, Xianghe and Beijing reported AOD at 0.5 μm from 2 to 3 and above 3, respectively. Figure 1c shows the MODIS DB AOD for all available DB retrievals (QA =
210 0 to 3). DB has retrieved similar AOD as compared with the DT product but has filled the DT data gap with mostly AOD of 3.0. This is the upper boundary for AOD in the DB retrieval as the AOD within the thickest part of the plume has very limited dynamic range and does not reflect the variation of AOD that is shown in Figure 1a. Figure 1d shows the all available MODIS MAIAC AOD. MAIAC product shows 1km resolution AOD and the majority of the region reports AOD around 1.5 to 2.0, which is lower than what DB reports. Over two small regions, AOD reaches 3.0 and above. We also checked the OMI UV AI,
215 which showed that the pollution plume was not very absorbing in the UV part of the spectrum. Figure 1 demonstrates that all of the MODIS retrieval algorithms have trouble detecting and/or retrieving the heavy pollution. However, they appear to fail for different reasons.

A natural hypothesis is that the aerosol retrieval fails because it confuses a heavy aerosol plume with a cloud like many studies suggested (Mhawish et al., 2019, Bi et al., 2019, Tao et al., 2015, Yan et al., 2016,). The DT algorithm, however, provides
220 both a cloud fraction estimate as well as a quality assurance cascade to help determine the point in which the retrieval fails. Near the center of the plume where the pollution is heaviest (Figure 1d), the cloud fraction in the MODIS DT aerosol product

is almost 0, which indicates that an overly aggressive cloud masking is not the major reason these aerosols are not retrieved. Instead, it is the NDVI map (Figure 1e) which explains why the retrieval fails. Here the NDVI values are less than 0.1 which used for inland water mask, denoted by the dark blue to purple at where the thickest pollution occurs. These values are below the threshold of the inland water mask, which triggers the mask in operational procedures and prohibits retrieval there. This case study illustrates the problem of not being able to retrieve AOD over high optical depth pollution scenarios and its impact.

3.2 A low AOD pollution event on December 13th 2018

In addition to not retrieving high aerosol loading, it is also common for DT to miss moderate to low AOD cases during winter. Figure 2 shows a typical moderate aerosol loading day (e.g $AOD < 0.5$) over East Asia on December 13th 2018. Figure 2a to 2c are similar to those from Figure 1a to 1c, except this time DB only shows the best quality AOD ($QA = 3$). The reason that we show only the best quality DB AOD here is that there are sporadic very high AODs scattered in the lower part of the granule, which is clearly contaminated AOD by clouds or other artifacts. Figure 2d and 2f are NDSI value and the brightness temperature of 11 μm , respectively. Both are used in the DT algorithm for masking out snow. Figure 2a shows a thick cloud deck covering the lower part of the granule, aerosol loading is low to moderate over East Asia, which is sufficiently diffuse to allow characterization of the surface cover. There are a couple tiny spots with visual evidence of snow or frozen ponds in eastern China, marked using arrows (yellow or red depending on the background image colors), as well as at the top of the image. From NASA Worldview (<https://worldview.earthdata.nasa.gov>) we can see that two days before the case study, a snowstorm passed over the North China Plain, leaving snow on the ground that could be seen also on the day before the case study. The sequence of events suggests strongly that the day of the case study would continue to have patches of snow left on the ground, even if the snow patches were not explicitly discernible at MODIS resolution. The ground temperature on this day is above freezing but not too high, thus the snow melting is not very rapid. The nearby AERONET site Xuzhou-CUMT reported AOD at 0.5 μm of 0.3 to 0.6. Figure 2b shows that aerosol loading over this region is around 0.2 to 0.4 over land. Higher AOD, around 0.7, is retrieved over the coastal ocean; however, AOD above the adjacent land is not retrieved. Figure 2c and 2d show that the MODIS DB and MAIAC algorithm retrieved AOD over most of eastern China. However, the two products are not agreeing with each other in data coverage and AOD magnitude over some regions and there is still missing data coverage from both products. Note that the visually identified snow patches are all removed from both products.

We checked cloud and inland water masks, and these filters are not masking the pollution plumes in the DT product in this winter case study. Figure 2e shows the snow mask NDSI, the white colour is when $NDSI > 0.2$, which is mostly snow overland or water surfaces. The algorithm uses $NDSI > 0.01$ (purple colour) as the threshold, combined with temperature, to mask out snow. The snow features show in white at center, but the snow edges are a combination of noisy colour pixels from red to blue. Essentially any non-black colour in Fig. 2e will be masked out, given that the corresponding temperature is sufficiently cold. Note the relatively high values of NDSI where the arrows (red or yellow depends on the background) point to a snow feature, as defined by visual inspection in Figure 2a. The problem arises in the large area identified as snow by the mask (within the yellow circle) that is not confirmed from visual inspection in Figure 2a. This large area of misidentified snow differs from the

255 identified snow features in that the NDSI ranges between 0.01 and 0.10, with no spatial connectivity to very snowy surfaces with $NDSI > 0.10$. Also, the region in the yellow circle is about 4 degrees warmer than the identified snow features that connect to the major snow fields. The yellow circle temperature is about 277 K, while the identified features are closer to 273 K (Figure 2f). Figure 2 illustrates how the snow mask can falsely mask out moderate to low aerosol loading over winter in China. This is a major reason that DT misses large areas of retrievals over this region during this season.

260 4 Research algorithm for eastern China and a new regional aerosol model

4.1 Increasing data coverage by the DT algorithm in China

Based on the case studies, we targeted two major causes of missing aerosol retrievals over winter-time China: inland water mask and snow mask. We then developed a method that can “rescue” the missing retrievals by altering these masks. Previous studies had shown that when NDVI values are between -0.02 to 0.1, the observed scenes can be coastal areas, surface with
 265 standing water, arid/desert surfaces, aerosols near cloud edges, and optically thick aerosol plumes (Shi 2018). While we do not want to lose thick aerosol plumes, some of these situations are undesirable for an aerosol retrieval, and we use the NDVI test to mask those scenes. Thus, simply relaxing the NDVI threshold to below 0.1 will likely cause artifacts in retrieved AOD. We need another means to separate desirable from undesirable surface features other than a conservative threshold of NDVI. Reflectance at 2.13 μm is less affected by aerosol and strongly absorbed by water. According to this character, Yang et al.,
 270 2020 modify the inland water mask method for the haze conditions by simply adding additional filter $\rho_{2.13} < 0.08$ but remaining the NDVI threshold unchanged. The haze aerosol has been successfully retrieved from a MODIS-like sensor MERSI (Medium Resolution Spectral Imager) onboard Chinese Fengyun-3D satellite.

The goal of this paper then is to develop new masking procedures also targeting coastal and semi-arid surfaces, and then relax the NDVI thresholds. We start with reflectance at 2.13 μm and $NDVI_{\text{swir}}$ which use the reflectance at TOA at 1.24 and 2.13
 275 μm as shown in Eq. (4).

$$NDVI_{\text{swir}} = \frac{(\rho_{1.24} - \rho_{2.13})}{(\rho_{1.24} + \rho_{2.13})} \quad (4).$$

A pixel was determined to be inland water when conditions in Eq. (5) are met:

When $NDVI < -0.02$

or

280 when $-0.02 < NDVI < 0.1$:

$$\rho_{2.13} < 0.08 \text{ or } \rho_{2.13} > 0.25 \text{ or } NDVI_{\text{swir}} < 0.1 \quad 5).$$

where ρ is the reflectance at TOA at 1.24 and 2.13 μm . Empirical investigation determined that $NDVI < -0.02$ is absolute water, when NDVI between -0.02 and 0.1, $\rho_{2.13} < 0.08$ identifies undesirable coastal regions (Yang et al., 2020), and $\rho_{2.13} > 0.25$ or $NDVI_{\text{swir}} < 0.1$ identifies semi-arid areas. Pixels within the -0.02 to 0.1 NDVI range, and not caught by these additional
 285 filters, are likely due to heavy aerosol loading and should be retained for retrieval.

Snow mask was modified as well to ensure low to moderate aerosol loading can be retrieved. From the case study in Section 3.2 we know that NDSI cannot be modified due to snow edge scenes; however, we can relax the BT_{11} from 285 K to 278 K to exclude false snow detections when $NDSI > 0.01$. Again, the surprisingly warm temperature threshold was previously chosen to filter out tropical high-altitude snow. To avoid artifacts when temperature is relatively warm and NDSI is very high we
290 exclude pixels with $NDSI > 0.2$ and $BT_{11} < 285$ K. We tested this change based on Li et al., (2005) and over global mid to high latitudes and the results suggest that we can apply this new temperature threshold outside of our study region.

4.2 Maintaining accuracy for high AOD retrievals

4.2.1 Research algorithm regional aerosol model

These modifications of the inland water and snow masks will increase the data coverage of the DT aerosol retrievals in both
295 thick and thin pollution during winter over East China. There is a potential for a large number of new retrievals, especially at the high AOD end. Because poor assumptions in aerosol optical models are amplified in higher aerosol loading situations, **we expect** that adding new high AOD retrievals will damage the overall accuracy of the product, even if no artifacts are introduced by the change in masking. As we mentioned in Section 2.1, over eastern China, the predetermined regional aerosol models are either moderate absorbing or non-absorbing depending on the region and season (Levy et al., 2007a). These analyses were
300 done more than ten years ago. Now that we may be introducing many additional high AOD retrievals, it is important to revisit the aerosol model choice for our study region (Ichoku et al., 2003), especially since the aerosol environment is undergoing rapid change and there are expanded data sets available to inform the analyses. We develop a local aerosol model by using AERONET (version3, level 2) derived size distribution and complex refractive index from 24 sites, grouped into three clusters and then separated into summer and winter season. **See Figure 3. The grouping into geographical clusters fails to identify**
305 **sufficient reason to produce individual subarea aerosol models. Instead, in the end we will group all three clusters into one to construct a “regional” aerosol model, applicable to all of eastern China.**

Figure 4 shows three volume size distributions of 22 particle radii sorted as a function of $AOD_{0.675}$ into bins of 0-0.2, 0.2-0.4, 0.4-0.7, 0.7-1.0, 1.0-1.5, 1.5-2.0, 2.0-3.0, and above 3.0 with the mean of each bin plotted. Figure 4 includes all seasons. Most bins have hundreds to thousands of data points. There is a systematic relationship between particle size distribution and AOD,
310 with fine particle median effective radius (r_v) increasing with increasing $AOD_{0.675}$. This relationship appears in all three clusters when ignoring the last AOD bin, which Figure 4b and c only have 15 and 1 data points within these two last bins. The size distribution from Figure 4a and 4b are very similar, especially over the fine mode aerosol regime. The fine mode size distribution of cluster 3 is slightly larger than the other clusters, probably because cluster 3 is warmer and more humid, which leads to larger particle from swelling effects. **Note that there is only one data points in cluster 3 within the highest AOD bin.**
315 There are more coarse mode particles in cluster 1 than cluster 2, which could be due to more dust particles in springtime, or coagulation of soot particles in winter from public heating over the northern part of China. **None of the differences between clusters is sufficiently robust to require maintaining separate aerosol models for the research algorithm.** To further investigate

differences in the aerosol model between winter and summer, we plotted volume size distributions from April to September (summer) and from October to March (winter) over cluster 1 (Figure 5). Figure 5 shows that there is not much difference between the two time periods. The fine mode size distribution is slightly skewed to the right in Figure 5a than that from the Figure 5b, but this is hardly perceptible. As for coarse mode, Figure 5a has a slightly different shape with a barely noticeable larger amount of coarse mode than Figure 5b, perhaps due to transported dust in spring. Note that although in Fig 5b the size distribution of $\text{AOD} > 3$ (black line) is higher than Fig. 5a over coarse mode region, we refrain from drawing sweeping conclusions about the size distribution of these very heavy aerosol events because the number of data points in this AOD bin are 5 to 10 times smaller than the rest of the AOD bins. Based on the analysis of these plots, we decided to use one averaged size distribution to represent the bimodal pollution aerosol model over northern to eastern China, summer and winter. Note that this fine-dominated pollution model will include the coarse mode, as seen in Figures 4 and 5. During the retrieval, it will be mixed with another bimodal model representing an aerosol dominated by dust (Levy et al. 2007a, b).

Figure 6 shows the spectral dependence of the real and imaginary parts of the refractive index for all inversions and sorted as a function of $\text{AOD}_{0.675}$. Because the AERONET inversion does not report a complex refractive for each inverted size distribution, to increase the sample size in each AOD bin, we only use 3 AOD bins (0.4-1.0, 1.0-2.0, 2.0-4.0). Based on the AERONET teams' recommendation, only AERONET refractive index values, with corresponding $\text{AOD}_{0.44}$ larger than 0.4 are used to generate the model (Holben et al., 2006). Figure 6 shows, there is no systematic relationship between the real part of the refractive index and AOD in this data set. The variability in each AOD bin exceeds the differences between the bins. There is a slight separation between low AOD bin vs. high AOD bin in the imaginary part of the refractive index. Thus, we use a single mean value for the real part of the refractive index and a parametric equation based on AOD for the imaginary part of the refractive index in our regional aerosol model. The real part of refractive index is interpolated to $0.55 \mu\text{m}$ linearly, while the imaginary part of the refractive index is interpolated using logarithms from $0.44 \mu\text{m}$ and $0.675 \mu\text{m}$ (Lee et al., 2017).

Table 1 shows the comparison between the fine modes of the operational models that are used over the China region and the newly generated aerosol model. The coarse modes remain the same as the operational models (Levy et al., 2007b). The calculated natural logarithm of the standard deviation of the radius (σ) and the volume of particles per cross section of the atmospheric column (V_0) don't change much from the operational non-absorbing model. And our sensitivity studies show that changes in these two parameters are not the major factors in changing the output AOD. Thus, these two parameters remain the same. The new modal radius is very similar to what has been used operationally over part of coastal China during Fall and Spring seasons, namely the "non-absorbing model" in Table 1. However, we are extending the same size distribution to a larger area of China over winter. The differences in the imaginary part of the refractive index show that when compared with the non-absorbing model, the new model is slightly more absorbing in the low AOD range but less absorbing when $\text{AOD} > \sim 2$. When compared with the operational moderate absorbing model, the regional model is more absorbing when $\text{AOD} < 0.5$ but are less absorbing when AOD is greater than this value. Overall, the new aerosol model is in between the operational non-absorbing aerosol model and moderate absorbing model when aerosol loading is moderate. Also notice that the moderate absorbing aerosol model shows increased absorption with increasing AOD, which is opposite to the non-absorbing model as

well as to the regional model. This indicates that with increase of aerosol loading, the absorption decreases in this region. These differences, especially due to the differences in absorption can introduce a retrieval bias in AOD on the order of one.

355 **Table 1: Optical properties of the aerosol model used by the operational DT algorithm over China and the regional model generated in this study using AERONET inversion products. Real and imaginary refractive index is a spectrally dependent quantity. Values in this table are for 0.55 μm .**

Model	$r_v, \mu\text{m}$	σ	$V_0, \mu\text{m}^3/\mu\text{m}^2$	Real part of Refractive Index	Imaginary part of Refractive Index
Non-absorbing	$0.043\tau + 0.160$	$0.1529\tau + 0.364$	$0.1718\tau^{0.821}$	1.42	$0.0015\tau - 0.007$
Moderate absorbing	$0.020\tau + 0.145$	$0.1365\tau + 0.374$	$0.1642\tau^{0.775}$	1.43	$-0.002\tau - 0.008$
Regional	$0.046\tau + 0.11$	$0.1529\tau + 0.364$	$0.1718\tau^{0.821}$	1.49	$0.0033\tau - 0.011$

4.2.2 Aerosol layer scale height

360 Other than creating a new model, we also adjusted the aerosol layer height assumption when generating the LUT. In the operational algorithm, the LUT is calculated using an aerosol layer scale height of 2.0 km. Over China, when high loading of pollution accumulates there is usually a high-pressure synoptic system, which suppress the aerosol layer vertical height (Zhao et al., 2013). Many field measurements reported the planetary boundary layer height during pollution episodes near the Beijing area to be only 800-1000 meters (Tang et al., 2015, Li et al., 2015, Luan et al., 2018). A 4-year climatology of CALIOP aerosol
365 layer height over wintertime China is also around 1.0 -1.3 km over northeastern China (Liu et al., 2015). Thus, the scale height of the pollution layer in China is set to be around 0.5 km, which means that 80% of the aerosols are within 1 km of the surface. This new assumed height is much lower than the operational value of 2 km, but we know that heavily polluted conditions increase the atmosphere stability and reduce the boundary layer height (Petäjä et al., 2016, Miao et al., 2017).

The DT algorithm has never changed aerosol scale height in the two decades of its operational history. The value is hard-wired
370 into the LUT calculation, as are other assumptions such as particle size distribution, refractive indices and shape. Unlike aerosol retrieval algorithms that make use of measurements in the UV part of the spectrum (Torres et al., 2012), the DT algorithm relies only on visible and SWIR wavelengths, which are less sensitive to variations in scale height than the UV-dependent algorithms. Besides, aerosol layer height is variable on short temporal and spatial scales, making adjustments to the global constant value difficult to implement operationally. However, differences in aerosol layer height can impact retrieved AOD
375 especially for more absorbing aerosols. Figure 7 illustrates of how much change to expect in AOD if aerosol scale height changes from 2 km to 0.5 km using the moderate absorbing model. The blue and red curves denote the calculated reflectance at TOA for a range of AODs, with blue representing aerosol at 0.5 km scale height and red for 2.0 km scale height. For a measured reflectance of 0.3, the AOD for the 0.5 km scale height would be 2.5, while for the 2.0 km scale height it would be 3.0. For a measured reflectance of 0.27, the AODs would be 1.8 and 2.0, respectively. Figure 7 shows for an aerosol model

380 whose single scattering albedo is 0.92, when AOD is around 2 to 3, the percentage differences in TOA reflectance between the two scale heights is $\sim 3\text{-}5\%$, which leads to AOD changes of $\sim 8\text{-}15\%$. Similarly, for the non-absorbing model ($SSA = 0.95$), the changes in AOD is around $4\text{-}7\%$ when AOD is 2 to 3. Those are significant changes that require consideration and might be addressed for our specific region of interest as we develop a regional aerosol model for this research algorithm. We note that even adjusting the aerosol scale height for our specific region in certain conditions may improve retrievals in those
385 conditions but make things worse at other times, as aerosol layer height varies temporally. We choose to optimize for high AOD conditions, accepting the possibility that biases may be introduced when AOD is low.

Using the regional pollution model with reduced aerosol scale height of 0.5 km and the algorithm with modified masking, we re-produce cases 3.1 and 3.2, as shown in Figure 8. Figure 8 a-c are for case study 3.1 (9 October 2013) where Figure 8a shows the MODIS operational DT AOD with applied quality assurance (QA) equal to the highest value ($=3$), Figure 8b shows the
390 AOD produced by the regional research algorithm with $QA=3$, and Figure 8c gives the differences in AOD (Figure 8b minus 8a). The red-blue color scale is the AOD differences, with the extra data coverage in Figure 8b highlighted in green. Comparing Figure 8b with Figure 8a, AOD are retrieved now at the center of the plume, where the operational DT has failed to retrieve. The AOD values change from 1.0 near the edge of the plume to ~ 5 at the center. The pattern of the plume fits what we see from the RGB image (Figure 1a). The difference plot shows that with the aerosol model and scale height change, the change
395 in AOD is mostly less than 0.1, most of which is increasing AOD. The increase in AOD is mostly due to the aerosol model change while the decreasing AOD is probably due to the aerosol scale height change. Figure 8 d-f are similar to Figure 8 a-c but for case 3.2 (13 December 2018). Figure 8e has much increased data coverage over eastern China with AOD values less than 1.0. Figure 2 shows these areas have no cloud or snow cover, and aerosol loading is generally less than 1.0, which fits what the new algorithm has retrieved. The difference plot mostly shows larger amounts of new pixels which are not retrieved
400 in the operational algorithm. The change in AOD is mostly positive, and less than 0.06. The reason for the large area of no data over the northern part of China is due to the surface being bright in the $2.1\mu\text{m}$ reflectance, which causes the retrieved AOD to be assigned to a lower QA value, and thus was not shown in Figure 8d and 8e where QA is required to be equal to 3.

5 Validation of the research AOD for January to March 2013

The research algorithm is applied to MODIS radiances in a region bounded by 100° E to 130° E and 20° to 42° N , during
405 January to March 2013. The resulting AOD are evaluated against AERONET AODs and inter-compared with AODs from the operational DT product. Spatiotemporal collocations of MODIS retrievals within 0.3° Lat/Lon of the AERONET site location and AERONET observations within 30 minutes of the satellite overpass times are used to collocate the two data sets. Figure 9 shows the scatter plot of MODIS versus AERONET AODs for (1) the operational DT product, (2) AOD retrieved using modified masks with the operational LUT, (3) the research version of the MODIS AOD using the new LUT and the modified
410 masks (referred to as the research algorithm hereafter), and (4) the new points that appear in (3) but were not there in (1), along with the error statistics and error envelopes ($\pm 0.05 \pm 15\% \text{AOD}$) determined from the global operational DT product (Levy et

al., 2013). Figure 9a shows that the MODIS DT product correlates well with AERONET data with 60.5% of collocations falling within the global expected error (EE). However, we can see there is almost no data greater than 2.0 that are reported when collocated with AERONET. Comparing the effect of the new masking (Figure 9b) with the operational data, the biggest change is that the total number of AOD collocations increased 50% and same with the number of AOD > 1, although these increases in high AOD also introduces an overall high bias, increases the root mean square error (RMSE) and reduces the percentage of data within the EE. The high bias drops to less than 0.10 for high AOD and the overall RMSE is reduced in Figure 9c, which uses the new LUT. However, the model change also leads to additional low bias at low AOD when compared with the operational product, which is linked to optimizing the scale height change for heavy aerosol loading. The overall bias is very small for the research algorithm, partially because there are both high biases and low biases within the newly added high AOD, which leads to a mean bias of almost zero, and the RMSE remains higher than the operational DT algorithm even after the new LUT is implemented. The new research algorithm is not more accurate than the operational DT, but it is less biased at high AOD and the data coverage has increased, especially over the high AOD regime. The change in error statistics, especially the increased scattering, is a trade-off to the increase in data coverage, which is the main goal of this study.

Table 2 Statistics of validation between Operational DT AOD, AOD using the operational LUT but with new masks (New Mask), and Research AOD against AERONET during January, February, and March 2013 over China. Numbers in parentheses are the statistics for AERONET AOD > 1.

	% within EE	N	R ²	Mean Bias	RMSE	Slope	Offset
Operational DT	40.91	66(19)	0.754	0.003 (-0.196)	0.286	0.75	0.151
New Mask DT	30.34	88(28)	0.700	0.161 (0.260)	0.517	1.01	0.098
Research DT	33.71	89(30)	0.701	0.076 (0.097)	0.450	0.96	0.081

We analyze the satellite-AERONET bias of the DT and research AOD as a function of AERONET AOD and show the results in Figure 10. In Figure 10, AOD is binned every 47 pixels. When AERONET AOD is less than 1.0, there is small bias between all MODIS products and the AERONET AOD. When AERONET AOD is greater than 1.0, the negative bias in the DT AOD grows to around -0.1 and then to -0.3 when AERONET is around 2.0. The mean negative bias in the C6 AOD at AERONET AOD_{0.55} > 1.0 is partially due to the generic aerosol model used in the operational algorithm that is more absorbing than the heavy pollution generated in wintertime over eastern China. The AOD retrieved with the operational LUT but modified filters include more collocations at high AOD, which leads to larger positive bias. The research product maintains an absolute mean bias against AERONET of 0.01 or less across the entire range of AERONET AODs and shows very good agreement at the very highest AODs (AOD_{0.55} > 2.3). The very small mean bias is partially due to cancellation effects of overestimation and underestimation of research AODs as shown in Figure 9c. The standard deviation of the bias can be large even when the mean

440 bias is low. The regional aerosol model we use represents the fine mode aerosol over the majority of China except the west and center-northern part of China, where other aerosol types can occur.

The new research algorithm increases data coverage temporally and spatially. A daily averaged AOD time series at the Xianghe AERONET site and the MODIS operational and research aerosol product is shown in Figure 11. The Xianghe site is located near Beijing, where many heavy pollution episodes occur. Thus, the AOD time series over this site show the most significant differences in data coverage between the DT AOD and the research AOD. The time series covers from January to March 2013. When AERONET observes $AOD < 0.5$, both the operational DT and research products capture the AOD equally well. However, when AERONET observes $AOD > 1.$, the operational product fails to retrieve the AOD while the research product obtains a lot more AOD values over these days. Part of the discrepancies between the ground based and satellite measurements are due to sampling differences as well as ground conditions such as snow cover or melting snow. For example, AERONET AOD around 2.7 at Julian day of 15 is reduced to around 1.0 if we restrain the AERONET observation time to 30 mins before or after the MODIS passing time. Similarly, the overestimation of research AOD above 3 between Julian day of 75 and 80 is much smaller if we use the collocated data set instead of the daily average.

To further investigate the ability of using the DT research product to identify the pollution events, we calculated the AERONET-identified pollution day using three AERONET sites, Beijing, Beijing-CAMS, and XiangHe. As long as there are two observed AERONET $AOD > 1.0$ within one day, that day is considered a polluted day. The number of polluted days identified at these three sites between January and March 2013 are: 19, 16, and 23, for Beijing, Beijing-CAMS, and XiangHe, respectively. There are also sampling differences between the three AERONET sites even though they are within 1° latitude and longitude of each other. Between Beijing and Beijing_CAM, there are 10 identified days in common. Between Beijing (Beijing_CAM) and XiangHe, there are 14 (12) identified days in common. Among all three sites, there are only 7 days that are commonly considered polluted day. Identified pollution event days are listed in Table 3.

The research product identified a total of 39 polluted days, of which 22 days were also identified as polluted by at least one of the three AERONET sites. There were 17 days when the research product identified a polluted day but AERONET did not, and 7 days when AERONET observed $AOD > 1.0$ but the research algorithm did not capture the event. It is easy to understand when AERONET identified a polluted day, but the research retrieval did not, because the AERONET observation time can be different from MODIS overpass time. The polluted scene can be cloud covered at over pass, but be captured by AERONET before or after, or the scene can significantly change between two observing times. It is more difficult to understand how the research algorithm could identify a pollution event on 17 days when all three AERONET stations do not report $AOD > 1$ at their quality-assured level (level 2). To begin we note that one of the three AERONET stations (Beijing-CAM5) was down for maintenance for more than a month during this time (T. Eck Short Comment in Interactive Discussion). Then, to confirm polluted days that the satellite identified but the operating AERONET stations did not, we visually compared each day using RGB images and MODIS DB and MAIAC AOD retrievals, as well as nearby over ocean AOD retrievals as a reference. Among these 17 days, 12 days have pollution present visually (with retrieval over cloud free/snow free land or ocean). Within these 12 days, analyses show two different scenarios lead to the discrepancies between AERONET and the research AOD. Scenario

1 includes the majority of the 12 days. In these days, AERONET Level 2 (V3) report AOD at $0.55 \mu\text{m} < 1$, (0.50 to 0.90). Possible reasons for the differences can be (1) sampling differences, especially when an obvious gradient of AOD exists or (2) the uncertainty within the research product (see Figure 9). Scenario 2 consists of five days. These are days where there was no Level 2 AERONET AOD with $\text{AOD}_{550} > 1$, however there were L1 data. Eck et al. (2018) found that for the Xianghe site 15% of high AOD days ($\text{AOD}_{500} > 1$) never made it from L1 to L2. The 5 days identified by the satellite as pollution events but could not be confirmed by visual inspection were overcast with clouds (day 36, 41, 45, 49, and 61). In these five cases we expect cloud effects in the MODIS product that do not appear in the AERONET data are causing the AOD to exceed the AOD = 1.0 threshold. We note that none of the five days in question have AOD over visually identified snow patches. Overall, we are happy with the ability of using the DT research product to identify pollution events, which can complement sparse ground observations.

Table 3 AERONET and DT research product identified days with pollution events from January to March 2013 over the Beijing area (37-40 N and 115-118 N).

Months	Beijing	Beijing_CAM	XiangHe	Research
Day of Year	11,14,19,27,28,42,44,47,52,65,66,67,68,70,73,74,75,76,80	11,14,15,19,22,27,28,73,74,75,76,80,84,85,88,89	7,10,15,18,19,21,27,42,44,47,66,67,68,70,73,74,75,76,80,84,85,88,89	7,10,11,12,13,15,19,22,36,40,41,44,45,47,49,51,52,54,55,57,58,61,64,65,66,67,68,70,73,74,75,76,78,80,82,85,86,88,89

6 Characterization of the 2013 winter China pollution situation

With the research algorithm able to make many more additional retrievals and produce a better representation of the aerosol during winter, we examine the aerosol situation over China from January to March 2013 and investigate how the new results differ from the operational in characterizing this situation. Figure 12 shows the AOD distribution change from operational DT AOD to research AOD in log-scale. The red is the research AOD and the blue is the operational AOD. The histogram shows that when AOD is less than 1.0, the number of AOD retrievals increase about 40%. When AOD is greater than 1.0, and especially greater than 2.0, the increase in number of retrievals is much larger. The number between AOD 1.0 to 2.0 increased 130% while after that the number of data points tripled (342%). In the negative AOD bin the number of data points is slightly increased due to that the total number of retrievals increased 56%. Monthly mean domain-averaged AOD statistics are shown in Table 4 for both MODIS aerosol retrievals over land. The operational DT product shows similar averaged AOD values around 0.58 and number of retrieved pixels around 18K in January and February. Both the DT AOD value and number of retrieved pixels increased in March. Compared to the DT AOD, the

research AOD is higher and the difference is largest in January (~0.17 in AOD) and smallest in March (~0.11). The same patterns can be found in the number of pixels, the increment is about 40K in January and 12K in March. This means that many more heavy pollution episodes occur, and possibly were missed by the operational DT algorithm, in January than in the other two months. There is a reduction in research AOD in February, which could be caused by increasing the number of retrieved AOD smaller than 0.5, or by lowering the aerosol scale height without adding new retrievals. February is also associated with a decrease in the number of retrievals from the numbers seen in January, which could be caused by increased snow or cloud cover in February 2013.

Table 4 Domain-averaged (25° to 40° N and 105° to 120° E) monthly mean MODIS-derived AOD with QA=3 at 0.55 μ m over land for the operational (DT) and research (Res) algorithms and the number of valid retrievals in 2013.

Months	DT Land AOD	Res Land AOD	DT Land # pixels	Res Land # pixels
January	0.561	0.732	18541	64070
February	0.584	0.704	18589	36610
March	0.662	0.778	80064	92674

Figure 13 shows the spatial distribution of averaged AOD from the research product and the operational product at 0.5° resolution over the study domain from January to March 2013 with at least 3 data points per month per grid. The upper row is the operational DT AOD and the lower row is the research AOD with three columns representing January to March. The research product shows much more intense aerosol loading over eastern China with more data coverage north of 35° N, than does the operational DT algorithm. The differences between the monthly DT and research AOD distribution are shown in Figure 14 (upper panels) along with the number of pixel differences (lower panels). Grid boxes with AOD differences greater than 1.0 are found closer to Beijing and its surrounding area. Such large differences are found in 10%, 17%, and 5% of the total land grid boxes in January, February, and March correspondingly within the domain. Near the Beijing area (~40° N, ~116.5° E), differences in gridded AOD can be above 3.0 in March. There is a large number of additional retrievals in the area south of Beijing, bounded by 30° to 35° N and 110° to 120° E, where for each grid the research algorithm produces more than 100 new data points in January and around 40 to 60 in February and March. Although not shown in this paper, we also see increments in the number of data points over northern India and the islands of Japan in January and February. So even though the research algorithm was developed and tested only for China, from the magnitude of increased AOD in these places, there is indication that heavily polluted cases also may be missed over these regions. Non-Chinese locations will require separate validation analysis and would likely benefit from an evaluation and adjustment to the aerosol model used in the LUT. For example, the strong decrease in AOD over southern China seen in Fig. 14 has not been validated and may indicate the local nature of the aerosol model or assumption of aerosol scale height in the research algorithm developed for the Beijing area. Another promising development seen in in Figures 13 and 14 is that there is no increase in the number of data points or significantly increased AOD over coastal regions or over arid and semi-arid area in northern and western China (part of these

area is shown here). This indicates that the changes we made to the masking algorithms have not allowed improper surface types to be retrieved.

7 Summary and Conclusions

530 The MODIS DT algorithm misses many retrievals over eastern China during the wintertime when compared with ground-based measurements. Two conditions can lead to missing retrievals, one is during heavy pollution events, and another is in low to moderate aerosol loading when the snow mask is mistakenly invoked. Other than missing retrievals, there is also improvement that can be made to more accurately represent aerosols over this region. Other satellite aerosol products also have trouble representing the scale of the aerosol loading over the study period and domain.

535 To improve the data coverage without damaging the retrieval accuracy, we adjust the pixel selection routines, specifically the inland water mask and the snow mask. Then we use AERONET version 3 inversion products to first evaluate the aerosol models used operationally in China and then develop an aerosol model specifically for this region. The inland water mask is relaxed to allow for very high AOD, but then used in combination with the reflectance at $2.13\ \mu\text{m}$ to eliminate artifacts from coastal and brighter surfaces. The snow mask is also modified to include scenes currently misclassified as snow by lowering
540 the threshold of surface temperature during snow cover and snow melting conditions. These measures increase the number of retrievals in our domain by 50% and double the number of retrievals with AOD greater than 1.0. The higher the AOD, the more sensitive the retrieval will be to the aerosol model. After adding so many new high AOD retrievals, we find that a new aerosol model is needed, which we develop from local AERONET inversion products. The new aerosol model has absorption in between the non-absorbing and moderate absorbing models that were used in the operational model. The assumed aerosol
545 layer height was also lowered in the new LUT to match the aerosol vertical distribution over the study region. The combination of new aerosol model and lowered scale height reduces high bias for retrievals at high AOD but also introduces low bias at low AOD. That low bias may be accentuated as the algorithm is applied beyond the local Beijing area, or when aerosol conditions change temporally in the local Beijing area. This is the first time that aerosol layer scale height has been adjusted in the DT retrieval, since the at-launch algorithm 20 years ago, and suggests there could be sensitivity to aerosol layer scale height in
550 other regions with heavy aerosol loading.

We validated the research product from January to March 2013 using AERONET version 3 level 2 AOD. With the large number of new AOD retrievals, particularly new high AODs, the RMSE increased and the percentage within the expected error (EE) was reduced by 4%; however, the overall bias was reduced to -0.009. **The new research algorithm is not more accurate than the operational DT, but the data coverage has increased without adding significant bias, especially over the high**
555 **AOD regime.** On average, 56% of the collocated research AOD are within the error bounds determined from global analysis of DT retrievals, which is less than optimal. If we relax the error envelopes from $0.05+15\%\text{AOD}$ to $0.08+17\%\text{AOD}$ then 70% of the research AOD fall within these bounds. These relaxed error bounds represent our best estimate of the uncertainty in the research product for this area during winter.

The ability to now retrieve these optically thick pollution events alters our understanding of the aerosol system in this region.

560 Statistical analyses illustrate the increase of the regional aerosol distribution during wintertime over eastern China, including a very large increment in AOD over Beijing. Using the new algorithm, the monthly-regionally averaged over-land AOD_{0.55} over the domain increases by 0.11 to 0.18 over values calculated from the operational DT products during January to March of 2013, with the largest increment happening in January. But near Beijing where the severe pollution occurs, the new algorithm increases AOD_{0.55} by as much as 3.0 for each 0.5° grid box, over the previous operational algorithm values.

565 The large area of missing data and the magnitude of missing AOD will heavily alter our understanding of the severity of these pollution events, influence regional radiative balance, and impact the air quality community. Being able to bring back these missing data especially in a near real time manner can significantly influence the aerosol and air quality modeling and forecasting studies as well as any decision making that rely on instantaneous satellite aerosol data. Being able to include these rare but important heavy aerosol events in the DT products is critical to preparing the DT product to be more suitable for a

570 wider range of applications. There is also potential to apply the research algorithm globally especially over regions that high aerosol loading events (e.g. large-scale wildfires or severe air pollutions) frequently occur, such as western U.S and Indian. The modification of inland water mask and snow mask have been lightly tested globally. Results show that inland water mask change introduced differences in retrieved AOD over coastal and arid/semiarid region and snow mask works well on the selected scenes globally including tropical high mountain regions. However, tests with longer time span are needed before we

575 can commit these changes globally.

8 Data Availability

The MODIS level1B reflectance (DOI: 10.5067/MODIS/MYD021KM.061) () and Dark Target level2 aerosol data (DOI: 10.5067/MODIS/MOD04_L2.061) can be accessed via LAADS DAAC (<https://ladsweb.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/>). The AERONET direct sun measurements data used in this study is available via AERONET website (<https://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/>)

580 (Giles et al., 2019). The DOI of the data is <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-169-2019>.

9 Author Contribution

Yingxi Shi, Robert Levy, and Leiku Yang initiated the research. Yingxi Shi leaded this research and performed the research algorithm development and results analyses. Robert Levy and Lorraine Remer provided guidance throughout this research, especially on algorithm development and aerosol layer height. Leiku Yang created the new inland water mask and helped on

585 case study. Shana Mattoo helped algorithm modification implementation. Oleg Dubovik provided knowledge on creating new aerosol model. All authors revised manuscript.

11 Competing Interests

The author claims there is no competing interests regarding this paper.

12 Acknowledgement

590 We thank Terra/Aqua senior review and MeASURes (NNH17ZDA001N-MEASURES) provided by National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). We also acknowledge NASA Cooperative Agreement 80NSSC20M0209 provided by the PACE Science and Applications Team under the direction of Laura Lorenzoni and Paula Bontempi. The part of Dr. Yang's work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, 41975036). The authors thank the AERONET team for establishing and maintaining the AERONET sites and the data used in this investigation.

595 References

- Bellouin, N., Quaas, J., Gryspeerdt, E., Kinne, S., Stier, P., Watson-Parris, D., Boucher, O., Carslaw, K.S., Christensen, M., Daniau, A.L. and Dufresne, J.L., 2020. Bounding global aerosol radiative forcing of climate change. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 58(1), p.e 2019RG000660.
- Bi, J., Belle, J.H., Wang, Y., Lyapustin, A.I., Wildani, A. and Liu, Y., 2019. Impacts of snow and cloud covers on satellite-derived PM_{2.5} levels. *Remote sensing of environment*, 221, pp.665-674. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.12.002>
- 600 Bilal, M., Nichol, J.E. and Chan, P.W., 2014. Validation and accuracy assessment of a Simplified Aerosol Retrieval Algorithm (SARA) over Beijing under low and high aerosol loadings and dust storms. *Remote sensing of environment*, 153, pp.50-60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.07.015>
- Bilal, M. and Nichol, J.E., 2015. Evaluation of MODIS aerosol retrieval algorithms over the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region during low to very high pollution events. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 120(15), pp.7941-7957. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023082>
- 605 Chen, H. and Wang, H., 2015. Haze days in North China and the associated atmospheric circulations based on daily visibility data from 1960 to 2012. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 120(12), pp.5895-5909. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023225>
- 610 Chen, Y., Jin, G.Z., Kumar, N. and Shi, G., 2013. The promise of Beijing: Evaluating the impact of the 2008 Olympic Games on air quality. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 66(3), pp.424-443. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeem.2013.06.005>
- Chen W, Fan A, Yan L. Performance of MODIS C6 aerosol product during frequent haze-fog events: A case study of Beijing. *Remote Sensing*. 2017 May;9(5):496. DOI: 10.3390/rs9050496
- 615 Christopher, S.A.; Zhang, J. Shortwave aerosol radiative forcing from MODIS and CERES observations over the oceans. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 2002, 29, 6-1-6-4 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL014803>
- Costantino, L. and Bréon, F.M., 2013. Aerosol indirect effect on warm clouds over South-East Atlantic, from co-located MODIS and CALIPSO observations. *Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics*, 13(1) 69-88. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-69-2013>, 2013.


- 620 Dave, J. V., and Mateer, C.L., 1967. A preliminary study on the possibility of estimating total atmospheric ozone from satellite measurements. *J. Atm. Sci.*, 24, 414–427. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(1967\)024<0414:APSOTP>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1967)024<0414:APSOTP>2.0.CO;2)
- Ding, Y., Liu, Y. Analysis of long-term variations of fog and haze in China in recent 50 years and their relations with atmospheric humidity. *Sci. China Earth Sci.* 57, 36–46 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-013-4792-1>
- Dubovik, O. and King, M.D., 2000. A flexible inversion algorithm for retrieval of aerosol optical properties from Sun and sky
625 radiance measurements. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 105(D16), pp.20673-20696. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900282>
- Dubovik, O., Holben, B., Eck, T.F., Smirnov, A., Kaufman, Y.J., King, M.D., Tanré, D. and Slutsker, I., 2002. Variability of absorption and optical properties of key aerosol types observed in worldwide locations. *Journal of the atmospheric sciences*, 59(3), pp.590-608. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(2002\)059<0590:VOAAOP>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2002)059<0590:VOAAOP>2.0.CO;2)
- 630 Dubovik, O., Sinyuk, A., Lapyonok, T., Holben, B.N., Mishchenko, M., Yang, P., Eck, T.F., Volten, H., Munoz, O., Veihelmann, B. and Van der Zande, W.J., 2006. Application of spheroid models to account for aerosol particle nonsphericity in remote sensing of desert dust. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 111(D11). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006619>
- Du, W., Zhang, Y., Chen, Y., Xu, L., Chen, J., Deng, J., Hong, Y. and Xiao, H., 2017. Chemical characterization and source
635 apportionment of PM_{2.5} during spring and winter in the Yangtze River Delta, China. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res*, 17, pp.2165-2180. <https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2017.03.0108>
- Eck, T.F., Holben, B.N., Reid, J.S., Dubovik, O., Smirnov, A., O'Neill, N.T., Slutsker, I. and Kinne, S., 1999. Wavelength dependence of the optical depth of biomass burning, urban, and desert dust aerosols. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 104(D24), pp.31333-31349. DOI: 10.1029/1999JD900923
- 640 Eck, T.F., Holben, B.N., Reid, J.S., Xian, P., Giles, D.M., Sinyuk, A., Smirnov, A., Schafer, J.S., Slutsker, I., Kim, J. and Koo, J.H., 2018. Observations of the interaction and transport of fine mode aerosols with cloud and/or fog in Northeast Asia from Aerosol Robotic Network and satellite remote sensing. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 123(10), pp.5560-5587. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD028313>
- Eck, T.F., Holben, B.N., Giles, D.M., Slutsker, I., Sinyuk, A., Schafer, J.S., Smirnov, A., Sorokin, M., Reid, J.S., Sayer, A.M.
645 and Hsu, N.C., 2019. AERONET remotely sensed measurements and retrievals of biomass burning aerosol optical properties during the 2015 Indonesian burning season. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 124(8), pp.4722-4740. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD030182>
- Giles, D. M., Sinyuk, A., Sorokin, M. G., Schafer, J. S., Smirnov, A., Slutsker, I., Eck, T. F., Holben, B. N., Lewis, J. R., Campbell, J. R., Welton, E. J., Korkin, S. V., and Lyapustin, A. I. (2019): Advancements in the Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) Version 3 database – automated near-real-time quality control algorithm with improved cloud screening for
650 Sun photometer aerosol optical depth (AOD) measurements, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 12, 169-209, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-169-2019>.

- Guo, J., Rahn, K.A. and Zhuang, G., 2004. A mechanism for the increase of pollution elements in dust storms in Beijing. *Atmospheric Environment*, 38(6), pp.855-862. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2003.10.037>
- 655 Holben, B.N., Eck, T.F., Slutsker, I.A., Tanre, D., Buis, J.P., Setzer, A., Vermote, E., Reagan, J.A., Kaufman, Y.J., Nakajima, T. and Lavenu, F., 1998. AERONET—A federated instrument network and data archive for aerosol characterization. *Remote sensing of environment*, 66(1), pp.1-16. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257\(98\)00031-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(98)00031-5)
- Holben, B.N., Eck, T.F., Slutsker, I., Smirnov, A., Sinyuk, A., Schafer, J., Giles, D. and Dubovik, O., 2006, December. AERONET's version 2.0 quality assurance criteria. In *Remote Sensing of the Atmosphere and Clouds* (Vol. 6408, p. 64080Q). International Society for Optics and Photonics. <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.706524>
- 660 Huang, R.J., Zhang, Y., Bozzetti, C., Ho, K.F., Cao, J.J., Han, Y., Daellenbach, K.R., Slowik, J.G., Platt, S.M., Canonaco, F. and Zotter, P., 2014. High secondary aerosol contribution to particulate pollution during haze events in China. *Nature*, 514(7521), pp.218-222. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13774>
- Hsu, N.C., Tsay, S.C., King, M.D. and Herman, J.R., 2006. Deep blue retrievals of Asian aerosol properties during ACE-Asia. *IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing*, 44(11), pp.3180-3195. DOI: 10.1109/TGRS.2006.879540
- 665 Hsu, N.C., Lee, J., Sayer, A.M., Kim, W., Bettenhausen, C. and Tsay, S.C., 2019. VIIRS Deep blue aerosol products over land: Extending the EOS long-term aerosol data records. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 124(7), pp.4026-4053. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029688>
- Ichoku, C., Remer, L.A., Kaufman, Y.J., Levy, R., Chu, D.A., Tanré, D. and Holben, B.N., 2003. MODIS observation of aerosols and estimation of aerosol radiative forcing over southern Africa during SAFARI 2000. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 108(D13). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002366>
- 670 Lee, J., Hsu, N.C., Sayer, A.M., Bettenhausen, C. and Yang, P., 2017. AERONET-Based Nonspherical Dust Optical Models and Effects on the VIIRS Deep Blue/SOAR Over Water Aerosol Product. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 122(19). <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD027258>
- 675 Levy, R., Hsu, C., et al., 2015. MODIS Atmosphere L2 Aerosol Product. NASA MODIS Adaptive Processing System, Goddard Space Flight Center, USA: http://dx.doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MOD04_L2.006
- Levy, R.C., Remer, L.A., Mattoo, S., Vermote, E.F. and Kaufman, Y.J., 2007a. Second-generation operational algorithm: Retrieval of aerosol properties over land from inversion of Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer spectral reflectance. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 112(D13) <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007811>
- 680 Levy, R.C., Remer, L.A. and Dubovik, O., 2007b. Global aerosol optical properties and application to Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer aerosol retrieval over land. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 112(D13). DOI: 10.1029/2006JD007815
- Levy, R.C., Mattoo, S., Munchak, L.A., Remer, L.A., Sayer, A.M., Patadia, F. and Hsu, N.C., 2013. The Collection 6 MODIS aerosol products over land and ocean. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, 6(11), p.2989. [https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-](https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-2989-2013)
- 685 6-2989-2013

- Li, F., Vogelmann, A.M. and Ramanathan, V., 2004. Saharan dust aerosol radiative forcing measured from space. *Journal of Climate*, 17(13), pp.2558-2571. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442\(2004\)017<2558:SDARFM>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2004)017<2558:SDARFM>2.0.CO;2)
- Li, M., Tang, G.Q., Huang, J., Liu, Z.R., An, J.L. and Wang, Y.S., 2015. Characteristics of winter atmospheric mixing layer height in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region and their relationship with the atmospheric pollution. *Huan jing ke xue= Huanjing kexue*, 36(6), pp.1935-1943. DOI: 10.13227/j.hjlx.2015.06.004
- Li, R.R., Remer, L., Kaufman, Y.J., Mattoo, S., Gao, B.C. and Vermote, E., 2005. Snow and ice mask for the MODIS aerosol products. *Ieee Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 2(3), pp.306-310. DOI: 10.1109/LGRS.2005.847755
- Li, S., Chen, L., Xiong, X., Tao, J., Su, L., Han, D. and Liu, Y., 2012. Retrieval of the haze optical thickness in North China Plain using MODIS data. *IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing*, 51(5), pp.2528-2540. DOI: 10.1109/TGRS.2012.2214038
- Liu, J., Huang, J., Chen, B., Zhou, T., Yan, H., Jin, H., Huang, Z. and Zhang, B., 2015. Comparisons of PBL heights derived from CALIPSO and ECMWF reanalysis data over China. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, 153, pp.102-112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2014.10.011>
- Luan, T., Guo, X., Guo, L. and Zhang, T., 2018. Quantifying the relationship between PM 2.5 concentration, visibility and planetary boundary layer height for long-lasting haze and fog–haze mixed events in Beijing. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 18(1), p.203. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-203-2018>, 2018.
- Luo, Y., Zheng, X., Zhao, T. and Chen, J., 2014. A climatology of aerosol optical depth over China from recent 10 years of MODIS remote sensing data. *International Journal of Climatology*, 34(3), pp.863-870. DOI: 10.1002/joc.3728
- Lyapustin, A., Wang, Y., Xiong, X., Meister, G., Platnick, S., Levy, R., Franz, B., Korkin, S., Hilker, T., Tucker, J., Hall, F., Sellers, P., Wu, A., and Angal, A.: Scientific impact of MODIS C5 calibration degradation and C6+ improvements, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 7, 4353–4365, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-4353-2014>, 2014.
- Lyapustin, A., Wang, Y., Korkin, S., and Huang, D.: MODIS Collection 6 MAIAC algorithm, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 11, 5741–5765, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-5741-2018>, 2018.
- Miao, Y., Guo, J., Liu, S., Liu, H., Li, Z., Zhang, W., and Zhai, P.: Classification of summertime synoptic patterns in Beijing and their associations with boundary layer structure affecting aerosol pollution, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 3097–3110, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-3097-2017>, 2017.
- Mhawish, A., Banerjee, T., Sorek-Hamer, M., Lyapustin, A., Broday, D.M. and Chatfield, R., 2019. Comparison and evaluation of MODIS Multi-angle Implementation of Atmospheric Correction (MAIAC) aerosol product over South Asia. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 224, pp.12-28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.01.033>
- Petäjä, T., Järvi, L., Kerminen, V.M., Ding, A.J., Sun, J.N., Nie, W., Kujansuu, J., Virkkula, A., Yang, X., Fu, C.B. and Zilitinkevich, S., 2016. Enhanced air pollution via aerosol-boundary layer feedback in China. *Scientific reports*, 6, p.18998. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep18998>
- Quaas, J., Boucher, O., Bellouin, N. and Kinne, S., 2008. Satellite-based estimate of the direct and indirect aerosol climate forcing. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 113(D5). DOI: 10.1029/2007JD008962

- 720 China Meteorological Administration. 2010. Observation and forecasting levels of haze. The State Standard of the People's Republic of China QX/T 113-2010 (in Chinese). Beijing: China Meteorological Press
- Remer, L.A., Kaufman, Y.J., Tanré, D., Mattoo, S., Chu, D.A., Martins, J.V., Li, R.R., Ichoku, C., Levy, R.C., Kleidman, R.G. and Eck, T.F., 2005. The MODIS aerosol algorithm, products, and validation. *Journal of the atmospheric sciences*, 62(4), pp.947-973. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3385.1>
- 725 Remer, L.A.; Levy, R.C.; Mattoo, S.; Tanré, D.; Gupta, P.; Shi, Y.; Sawyer, V.; Munchak, L.A.; Zhou, Y.; Kim, M.; Ichoku, C.; Patadia, F.; Li, R.-R.; Gassó, S.; Kleidman, R.G.; Holben, B.N. The Dark Target Algorithm for Observing the Global Aerosol System: Past, Present, and Future. *Remote Sens.* 2020, 12, 2900. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12182900>
- Renhe, Z., Li, Q. and Zhang, R., 2014. Meteorological conditions for the persistent severe fog and haze event over eastern China in January 2013. *Science China Earth Sciences*, 57(1), pp.26-35. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-013-4774-3>
- 730 Sayer, A.M., Hsu, N.C., Bettenhausen, C. and Jeong, M.J., 2013. Validation and uncertainty estimates for MODIS Collection 6 “Deep Blue” aerosol data. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 118(14), pp.7864-7872. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50600>
- Shi, Y., Zhang, J., Reid, J. S., Holben, B., Hyer, E. J., and Curtis, C.: An analysis of the collection 5 MODIS over-ocean aerosol optical depth product for its implication in aerosol assimilation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 557–565, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-557-2011>, 2011.
- 735 Shi, Y., Zhang, J., Reid, J. S., Hyer, E. J., and Hsu, N. C.: Critical evaluation of the MODIS Deep Blue aerosol optical depth product for data assimilation over North Africa, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 6, 949–969, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-949-2013>, 2013.
- Stackpole, J.D., 1994. The WMO format for the storage of weather product information and the exchange of weather product messages in gridded binary form. US Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Weather Service, National Meteorological Center.
- 740 Sinyuk, A., Holben, B. N., Eck, T. F., Giles, D. M., Slutsker, I., Korkin, S., Schafer, J. S., Smirnov, A., Sorokin, M., and Lyapustin, A.: The AERONET Version 3 aerosol retrieval algorithm, associated uncertainties and comparisons to Version 2, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 13, 3375–3411, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-3375-2020>, 2020.
- 745 Tao, M., Chen, L., Wang, Z., Tao, J., Che, H., Wang, X. and Wang, Y., 2015. Comparison and evaluation of the MODIS Collection 6 aerosol data in China. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 120(14), pp.6992-7005. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023360>
- Tang, G., Zhu, X., Hu, B., Xin, J., Wang, L., Munkel, C., Mao, G., and Wang, Y.: Impact of emission controls on air quality in Beijing during APEC 2014: lidar ceilometer observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 12667–12680, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-12667-2015>, 2015.
- 750 Torres, O., Jethva, H. and Bhartia, P.K., 2012. Retrieval of aerosol optical depth above clouds from OMI observations: Sensitivity analysis and case studies. *Journal of the atmospheric sciences*, 69(3), pp.1037-1053. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-11-0130.1>

- Tucker, C.J., 1979. Red and Photographic Infrared Linear Combinations for Monitoring Vegetation, *Rem. Sens. Environ.*, 8,127-150. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257\(79\)90013-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(79)90013-0)
- World Health Organization, 2006. Air quality guidelines: global update 2005: particulate matter, ozone, nitrogen dioxide, and sulfur dioxide. World Health Organization. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/69477>
- Wei, J., Li, Z., Peng, Y. and Sun, L., 2019. MODIS Collection 6.1 aerosol optical depth products over land and ocean: validation and comparison. *Atmospheric Environment*, 201, pp.428-440. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.12.004>
- 755 Yan, X., Shi, W., Luo, N. and Zhao, W., 2016. A new method of satellite-based haze aerosol monitoring over the North China Plain and a comparison with MODIS Collection 6 aerosol products. *Atmospheric research*, 171, pp.31-40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2015.12.003>
- 760 Yang, L., Hu X., Wang Ha., He X., Liu P., Xu Na., Yang Z., Zhang P., 2020. Preliminary test of quantitative capability in aerosol retrieval over land from MERSI-II onboard Fengyun-3D. *Journal of Remote Sensing (Chinese)*, Published Online, <http://dx.doi.org/10.11834/jrs.20200286>.
- 765 Yu, H., Dickinson, R.E., Chin, M., Kaufman, Y.J., Zhou, M., Zhou, L., Tian, Y., Dubovik, O. and Holben, B.N., 2004. Direct radiative effect of aerosols as determined from a combination of MODIS retrievals and GOCART simulations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 109(D3). DOI: 10.1029/2003JD003914
- Zhang, K., O'Donnell, D., Kazil, J., Stier, P., Kinne, S., Lohmann, U., Ferrachat, S., Croft, B., Quaas, J., Wan, H. and Rast, S., 770 2012. The global aerosol-climate model ECHAM-HAM, version 2: sensitivity to improvements in process representations. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12, pp.8911-8949. DOI: 10.5194/acp-12-8911-2012
- Zhang, J. and Reid, J.S., 2006. MODIS aerosol product analysis for data assimilation: Assessment of over-ocean level 2 aerosol optical thickness retrievals. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 111(D22). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006898>
- 775 Zhang X, Wang H, Che HZ, Tan SC, Shi GY, Yao XP, Zhao HJ. Improvement of snow/haze confusion data gaps in MODIS Dark Target aerosol retrievals in East China. *Atmospheric Research*. 2020 May 30:105063. DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2020.105063
- Zhao, X. J., Zhao, P. S., Xu, J., Meng, W., Pu, W. W., Dong, F., He, D., and Shi, Q. F.: Analysis of a winter regional haze event and its formation mechanism in the North China Plain, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 5685–5696, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-5685-2013>, 2013.
- 780 Zhang, Q., He, K. & Huo, H. Cleaning China's air. *Nature* 484, 161–162 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1038/484161a>



785 **Figure 1** A case study of a pollution event over eastern China on 9 October, 2013. a) MODIS Aqua RGB image, b) MODIS Dark Target (DT) AOD at $0.55\ \mu\text{m}$ for all available retrievals (QA = 0 to 3), c) MODIS Deep Blue (DB) AOD at $0.55\ \mu\text{m}$, for all available DB retrievals (QA = 0 to 3) d) MODIS MAIAC AOD e) MODIS DT Cloud Fraction , a diagnostic of the MODIS aerosol product, f) Normalized Difference vegetation index, used as the inland water mask by the DT algorithm . The yellow star represents the AERONET site Xianghe.




Figure 2 A case study of a pollution over Eastern China on 13 December 2018. a) RGB image, b) MODIS DT all available AOD (QA = 0 to 3), c) MODIS DB AOD with QA=3, d) MODIS MAIAC all available AOD, e) MODIS DT NDSI used for snow masking, f) 11 μm brightness temperature. The yellow star represents the AERONET site XuZhou-CUMT. Arrows point at the snow patch locations in RGB, NDSI, and BT images, and yellow circle encompasses the problem region.



Figure 3 Clusters of AERONET sites that are used in this study to generate the regional aerosol model. Cluster 1 includes: Beijing, Beijing-CAMS, Beijing-RADI, Beijing_PKU, Lingshan_Mountain, Liangning, PKU_PEK, XiangHe, Xinglong, Yufa_PEK, and Yangqihu. Cluster 2 includes: Hefei, NUIST, Shouxian, Hangzhou-ZFU, Qiandaohu, Hangzhou_City, Taihu. Cluster 3 includes: Hong_Kong_Hok_Tsui, Hong_Kong_PolyU, Hong_Kong_Sheung, Kaiping, Zhongshan, Zhongshan_Univ. The background map is from Google map.




Figure 4: Size distribution as a function of AERONET AOD at $0.675 \mu m$, generated from the AERONET inversion products at three clusters illustrated in Figure 3 using all available data records. Other than the last AOD bin, which is $AOD > 3$, the number of retrievals within each AOD bin are between hundreds to thousands. There are 263, 15, and 1 data points in the highest AOD bin for cluster 1, 2, and 3, respectively. The error bars represent the standard deviation within each size bin




Figure 5: Size distribution as a function of AERONET AOD at 0.675 μm , generated from the AERONET inversion products at cluster 1 illustrated in Figure 3 using all available data records, a) is from April to September, b) is from October to March. The error bars represent the standard deviation within each size bin.




Figure 6 The real (top set of curves and left axis) and imaginary (lower set of curves and right axis) parts of refractive index as a function of AOD at 0.675 μm calculated from the AERONET inversion product at cluster 1. The error bars represent the standard deviation within each wavelength.




Figure 7 Top-of-atmosphere reflectance at 0.47 μm corresponding to AOD at 0.55 μm using the moderate absorbing model for the specific geometry of solar zenith angle = 36°, view zenith angle = 54°, relative azimuth angle = 120° and scattering angle = 135.5°. The red and blue lines are the calculated TOA reflectance at aerosol scale heights of 2.0 and 0.5 km, respectively. The black and purple dashed lines are the differences between the red and blue line and the percentage differences. The light green horizontal line segments indicate TOA reflectance when AOD are 2 and 3 using scale height of 2.0 km. The corresponding dark green vertical lines are corresponding AOD using scale height of 0.5 km.




Figure 8 a) to c) AOD images for the case study over Eastern China on 9 October, 2013: a) operational DT AOD at 0.55 μm with QA = 3, b) Research AOD at 0.55 μm with QA = 3 using altered thresholds on the NDVI test, snow test, and a new regional aerosol model with new aerosol scale height. c) The differences between the research AOD (panel b) and the DT AOD (panel a). d) to f) are for a case study of moderate pollution over Eastern China on 13 December 2018. The increased research AOD data coverage is shown in green.





Figure 9 Comparisons of the MODIS DT AOD at 0.55 μm against collocated AERONET observations during January, February, and March 2013 over China. Three datasets are used operational DT AOD (Operational DT), an intermediate AOD retrieved using

835

the same LUT as the operational DT but with modified masking (New Mask), and AOD retrieved with the full regional research algorithm (Research). a) Operational DT AOD overlay on New Mask AOD, b) Research AOD overlay on New Mask AOD.



840

Figure 10 Bias between MODIS and AERONET over land AOD at 0.55 μm as function of AERONET AOD at 0.55 μm . Black represents the operational DT AOD, blue represents the AOD using the operational LUT but with new masks, and red represents the research AOD. The dots are the mean bias within each AERONET AOD bin, and the bars represent the standard deviation of the bias.






Figure 11 Time series of daily averaged AERONET observations of AOD at 0.55 μm (in grey) as a function of Julian Day in 2013, and the corresponding daily operational MODIS DT (in blue) and research (in red) AOD over the Xianghe AERONET site.



845 **Figure 12** The histogram of MODIS AOD over the study region from January to March 2013 in a logarithmic scale. The red is the research AOD, the blue is the operational AOD.



850 **Figure 13** Spatial distribution of averaged AOD from the operational product (upper row) and the research product (lower row) at 0.5° resolution over the study domain from January to March 2013.




Figure 14 Spatial distribution of AOD differences (upper row) and number of data points differences (lower row) between the operational product and the research product (research minus operational) at 0.5° resolution over the study domain from January to March 2013.