

Interactive comment on “A field intercomparison of three passive air samplers for gaseous mercury in ambient air” by Attilio Naccarato et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 23 January 2021

I found the study to be an informative one, concerning the comparison of three different passive GEM samplers. The comparison with Tekrans and on two continents was also informative and highlights some of the limitations but also benefits of deploying these samplers. The authors provide some insightful analysis of the discrepancies they observed.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2020-455, 2020.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

