

Interactive comment on “A field intercomparison of three passive air samplers for gaseous mercury in ambient air” by Attilio Naccarato et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 1 February 2021

This paper was well written in general, as there are English first language authors, although there is a small section that maybe was added and not checked by them were the language needs revising.

The use of passive samplers is very important as many places are inaccessible Tekran type instruments. It would be useful for the reader not know wht sort of corrections are required based on temperature, wind speed, and air pressure, so they can evaluate their usefulness for their site. Otherwise a useful comparison of a very necessary low cost low power mercury monitoring system.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., doi:10.5194/amt-2020-455, 2020.