



Impact of using a new ultraviolet ozone absorption cross-section dataset on OMI ozone profile retrievals

4 Juseon Bak¹, Xiong Liu¹, Manfred Birk², Georg Wagner², Iouli E. Gordon¹, and Kelly Chance¹

¹Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, MA, USA

²Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. (DLR), Remote Sensing Technology Institute, Oberpfaffenhofen, D 82234 Wessling, Germany

8

3

5

Abstract

9 We evaluate different sets of high-resolution ozone absorption cross-section data for use in atmospheric 10 ozone profile measurements in the Hartley and Huggins bands with a particular focus on Brion-Daumont-11 Malicet et al. (1995) (BDM) currently used in our retrievals, and a new laboratory dataset by Birk and 12 Wagner (BW) (2018). The BDM cross-section data have been recommended to use for retrieval of ozone 13 profiles using spaceborne nadir viewing Backscattered UltraViolet (BUV) measurements since its improved 14 performance was demonstrated against other cross-sections including Bass and Paur (1985) (BP) and those 15 of Serdyuchenko et al (2014) and Gorshelev et al. (2014) (SER) by the "Absorption Cross-Sections of 16 Ozone" (ACSO) activity. The BW laboratory data were recently measured within the framework of the ESA project SEOM-IAS (Scientific Exploitation of Operational Missions - Improved Atmospheric Spectroscopy 17 Databases) to provide an advanced absorption cross-section database. The BW cross-sections are made 18 19 from measurements at more temperatures and in a wider temperature range than BDM, especially for low 20 temperatures. Compared to BW, BDM cross-sections are positively biased from ~2 % at shorter UV to ~5 % 21 at longer UV at warm temperatures. Furthermore, these biases dynamically increase by up to ± 40 % at cold 22 temperatures due to no BDM measurements below 218 K. We evaluate the impact of using different cross-23 sections on ozone profile retrievals from Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) measurements. 24 Correspondingly, this impact leads to significant differences in individual ozone retrievals by up to 50 % in 25 the tropopause where the coldest atmospheric temperature is observed. Bottom atmospheric layers illustrate 26 the significant change of the retrieved ozone values with biases of 20 % in low latitudes, which is not the 27 case in high latitudes because the ozone retrievals are mainly controlled by a priori ozone information in high latitudes due to less photon penetration down to the lower troposphere. Validation with ozonesonde 28 29 observations demonstrates that BW and BDM retrievals show altitude-dependent bias oscillations of similar 30 magnitude relative to ozonesonde measurements, much smaller than those of both BP and SER retrievals.





However, compared to BDM, BW retrievals show significant reduction in standard deviation by up to 15 %, especially at the coldest atmospheric temperature. Such improvement is achieved mainly by th better characterization of the temperature dependence of ozone absorption.

34 1. Introduction

35 Accurate knowledge of the absorption cross-sections of ozone and their temperature dependence is 36 essential for highly accurate measurements of atmospheric ozone (Orphal et al., 2016) as well as other trace gases affected by the strong ozone absorption such as BrO, NO₂, SO₂, and CH₂O (e.g., Seo et al., 2019; 37 38 Theys et al., 2017). In the laboratory, measuring ozone cross-sections which can meet high requirements 39 for accurate ozone profile measurements is still challenging in covering a wide spectral range (at least 270-40 340 nm) at high-resolution (at least 0.01 nm) at a wide range of atmospheric temperatures (180-300 K). The difficulties range from reactivity of ozone to calibration standards. For instance, as discussed in the recent 41 42 review by Hodges et al. (2019) the accepted calibration of ozone cross-sections at mercury line (Hearn 43 1961) was in need of revision. In addition simultaneous measurements of ozone in microwave, infrared and 44 ultraviolet regions are subject to uncertainties in the respective regions (see discussion in Birk et al. (2019) 45 and Tyuterev et al. (2019) for instance). The need to evaluate existing cross-sections used for all atmospheric measurements of ozone and to make its recommendations initiated the "Absorption Cross-46 47 Section of Ozone (ACSO) activity" that was established in 2008 and conducted in two phases (2009-2011, 2013) (Orphal et al., 2016). The ACSO activity shows the need to continue laboratory ozone cross-section 48 49 measurements of highest quality.

Prior to ACSO activities, the available ultraviolet (UV) ozone-cross sections were thoroughly 50 51 reviewed by Orphal (2002, 2003) and as a result three datasets of ozone cross-sections were found to be in 52 agreement of 1-2 % with each other, including BP 1985 (Bass and Paur, 1985), BDM 1995 (Daumont et al. 53 1992; Brion et al., 1993; Malicet et al., 1995), and Global Ozone Monitoring Spectrometer (GOME) flight 54 model (Burrows et al., 1999) (GMFM). The BP dataset is no longer recommended for any atmospheric 55 ozone measurements (Orphal et al., 2016), but still used to keep the long-term consistency of ground-based 56 Dobson/Brewer total ozone records and spaceborne TOMS/OMI total ozone records (McPeters et al. 2015). 57 These cross-sections were also included in the 2004 edition of the HITRAN database (Rothman et al., 2005) and remained unchanged in subsequent editions including HITRAN2016 (Gordon et al., 2017). Using 58 59 GMFM is restricted to GOME measurements because these cross-sections were measured at GOME 60 resolution (~0.2 nm). On the other hand, the high-resolution cross-sections of BDM were first applied by 61 Liu et al. (2005) for GOME ozone profile retrievals in the literature. In Liu et al. (2007), these three datasets were thoroughly assessed to find the most suitable cross-sections for GOME ozone profile retrievals (290-62





63 307 nm and 325-340 nm). As a result, they recommended using the BDM for ozone profile retrievals due 64 to much smaller fitting residuals and better agreement with ozonesonde measurements. Such improvement is likely due to better spectral resolution and wavelength calibration of BDM than BP and GMFM. After 65 66 that, the recommendation of BDM for satellite ozone profile retrievals has been officially made by the ACSO activities during the first phase (2009-2011) and the second phase (2013), respectively. The first 67 activity was focused on the intercomparison between BDM and BP, while the second activity was 68 additionally organized in response to the new publication of a high-resolution laboratory dataset covering 69 70 the temperature range of 193 to 293 K in 10 degree step by Serdyuchenko et al (2014) and Gorshelev et al. 71 (2014) (abbreviated as SER). In the framework of the ACSO activity, Liu et al. (2013) evaluated the impact 72 of changing from BDM to SER on Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) ozone profile retrievals (270-330 73 nm). The recommendation of the BDM was made again for use in ozone profile retrievals. Recently, a new 74 laboratory dataset was measured at the German Aerospace Center (DLR) within the framework of the ESA 75 project SEOM-IAS (Scientific Exploitation of Operational Missions - Improved Atmospheric Spectroscopy 76 Databases) in order to improve the atmospheric BUV retrievals from the TROPOspheric Monitoring 77 Instrument (TROPOMI) on board the Sentinel 5-Precursor satellite (Birk and Wagner, 2018) (abbreviated 78 as BW). A publication with more details on experiment and analysis is in preparation. This motivates us to 79 investigate if the current recommendation could be replaced with the BW dataset. This work will also help 80 making decision on what cross-sections should replace BP measurements in the HITRAN database.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 compares the quadratic coefficients in the parameterization of temperature dependence and evaluates the parameterized cross-sections against interpolated ones. Section 3 analyzes the differences in individual OMI retrievals due to different cross-sections, which are evaluated against ozonesonde observations in Section 4. This paper is finally summarized and discussed in Section 5.

86 2. Comparison of BDM and BW

87 The BW dataset is publicly available at https://zenodo.org/record/1485588 along with some 88 experimental descriptions. A detailed publication is planned to describe the details of the experimental setup 89 and procedure so only a brief overview is given here. These cross-sections are given at six temperatures (193, 203, 233, 253, 273, and 293 K) and at vacuum wavelengths in the spectral range 244 to 346 nm, 90 91 measured by means of Fourier-Transform Spectroscopy (FTS) at DLR at a spectral resolution of 3.3 cm⁻¹ 92 (0.02-0.04 nm). A total of 191 measurements were recorded in two spectral ranges. Absorption cross-93 sections were obtained at each temperature by means of a global least squares fit. Below 285.71 nm, absorption cross-sections were smoothed to 7.7 cm⁻¹ (0.04-0.06 nm) resolution by convolving with a 94





95 Gaussian to reduce the noise. Offset corrections were made for each of the 6 temperatures by fitting to the SER dataset since it was measured at higher ozone column density and thus considered more reliable 96 regarding offset. After offset correction polynomials of 1st order (<270.27 nm) > and 2nd order (>270.27 nm) 97 98 in temperature were fitted for each spectral point to improve the statistical uncertainty. The offset 99 corrections have minor effect on the cross-sections except for wavelengths above ~330 nm. Figure 1.a illustrates BW measurements without polynomial fit in temperatures to be fairly compared with BDM 100 measurements (Fig. 1.b) with respect to the dependence of cross-sections on wavelength and temperature. 101 102 The BDM measurements are given at five temperatures (218, 228, 243, 273, and 295 K) and at air 103 wavelengths over the spectral range 195-519 nm with spectral resolution of 0.01-0.02 nm. Note that the 104 wavelengths of these measurements are converted to vacuum wavelengths in Figure 1.b.. Measured cross-105 sections are typically parameterized quadratically to be applied conveniently at any atmospheric 106 temperatures using the following equation:

$$C = C_0 + C_1(T - 273.15) + C_2(T - 273.15)^2$$
(1)

108 The non-linear least squares fitting used in this paper converges typically within 3 iterations for both 109 BDM and BW. Measurements at 273 K are excluded for the BDM quadratic temperature fitting, according 110 to Liu et al. (2007). In Figure 2, the derived temperature dependent coefficients are illustrated, with their 111 relative differences. C_o values are similar to each other in the Hartley band with relative biases of 2-3%. 112 However, the Huggins band shows large spiky biases of up to 8%. C_1 and C_2 represent linear and quadratic temperature dependences of absorption cross-sections, respectively. The cross-sections in the 113 Hartley band are almost independent of the temperature variation and thereby large differences of these 114 115 coefficients between two datasets are due the large correlation between C_1 and C_2 and are of minor importance to the parameterized cross-sections. However, the Huggins band shows the distinctly different 116 temperature dependence between two cross-section datasets, especially for the quadratic terms. For C_2 , the 117 118 BW data show more monotonic wavelength dependence in the range 290-310 nm. Note that we determined 119 that the parameterization schemes used in this work and Birk and Wagner (2018) are very similar by the fact that no residuals remain when comparing BW cross-sections with these two schemes (not shown here). 120 121 Figure 3 compares the residuals of the fitted cross-sections relative to the original measurements interpolated to many atmospheric temperatures using a spline scheme. The BDM quadratic approximation 122 123 has large positive residuals of up to 15 % for the temperatures ranging from 243 and 295 K due to insufficient sampling to account for the non-linearity of the temperature dependence, especially for the 124 125 longer UV wavelength range. Moreover, approximating the BDM cross-sections at temperatures below 218 126 K results in errors of \pm 5% below 315 nm and up to \pm 40% above. Compared to the BDM dataset, the





127 parameterization of BW cross-sections results into significantly reduced residuals, of 0.25% below 320 nm and typically less than 2% at longer wavelengths if the temperature is within the boundaries of the 128 measurements. Residuals are within 5% even if the temperatures are out of the boundaries. This 129 demonstrates that the temperatures of BW measurements are well selected to characterize the temperature 130 dependence of ozone cross-sections, whereas cross-section errors due to the BDM parameterization exist. 131 Figure 4 shows the direct comparison of parameterized cross-sections between BDM and BW. The 132 difference of cross-sections between BDM and BW are generally consistent with the corresponding 133 134 comparison of C_0 around 270 K. The differences at different temperatures are typically within 2% for wavelengths below 310 nm except for several spikes around 276, 297, and 306 nm that are correlated with 135 136 the differences of C_2 . At wavelengths larger than 315 nm, the biases show large temperature dependence, 137 with the bias range increasing from \sim 5% at 315 nm to \sim 20% at 340 nm.

138

3. Impact of using different cross sections on ozone profile retrievals

139 OMI ozone profiles are retrieved at 24 layers from BUV spectra for 270-309 nm in UV1 and 312-330 nm in UV2 using an optimal estimation technique (Liu et al. 2010). The implemented configurations in this 140 141 work are similar to those in Liu et al. (2013). One orbit of measurements on 1th July 2006 is used to see 142 how our retrievals are changed due to using different cross-sections. Figure 5 shows the response of our 143 retrievals to the parameterization errors shown in Figure 3 as functions of solar zenith angle (SZA). 144 Compared to the BDM, the ozone retrievals are almost independent of the BW parameterization errors, 145 with individual differences of 2-3% below 20 km and ~0% above. The differences of the BDM crosssections with and without the parameterization are -5 to 15% in the lower troposphere at smaller SZAs and 146 up to \pm 20% around 10 km at higher SZAs. The UV photon penetration down to the lower atmosphere 147 148 decreases with SZAs increasing and thereby tropospheric ozone retrievals become insensitive due to cross-149 section errors at high SZAs, while a priori ozone information becomes more important to the retrieval. Figures 6-8 show the retrieval differences when parameterized BW and BDM cross-sections are 150 implemented, respectively. To evaluate the different implementations, both fitting and retrieval accuracies 151 are assessed. However, it is very hard to see large differences in fitting residuals at final iteration compared 152 to differences on the retrieved elements of the state vector because the algorithm iteratively updates the 153 154 state vector toward minimizing the differences in the spectral residuals. The fitting residuals are comparable 155 at final iteration when applying BW and BDM dataset as shown in Figure 6.a except for noticeable smaller 156 residuals in 310-320 nm. However, we can find the distinct change in the mean residuals of measured radiance to simulated radiance at initial iteration, mainly over the wavelength range of 290 to 315 nm, up 157 158 to 5 % as shown in Figure 6.b. On the other hand, Liu et al. (2007, 2013) demonstrated the distinct change





159 of final fitting residuals when changing BDM to BP and GMFM, implying that using BW dataset improves fitting accuracies over using BP and GMFM, but produces similar fitting accuracies to using BDM and 160 SER. Figure 7 shows relative differences of the retrieved ozone profiles with the corresponding temperature 161 162 profiles taken from the National Centers for Environmental Protection (NCEP) final (FNL) operational 163 global analysis data. Large differences of 20-50% commonly exist along the tropopause, where the original BDM measurements could not cover atmospheric temperatures below 218 K. Some larger differences occur 164 throughout the troposphere in the tropics likely due to the relative smaller retrieved partial ozone columns. 165 166 The individual differences of retrieved ozone in the lower troposphere are $\sim 20\%$. Corresponding differences of total column ozone, from integrating retrieved ozone profiles, are also presented by the black 167 line in Fig. 7a. Applying BDM causes an underestimation of total ozone except at the South Pole, despite 168 169 the overestimation being prominent for the individual layer columns in the troposphere. The magnitude of this underestimation/overestimation is ~1 %, which is comparable to the overall accuracy (~1.5%) of the 170 171 OMI operational total ozone product against ground-based measurements (McPeters et al. 2015). The 172 wavelength shifts between ozone cross-sections and radiances are iteratively and simultaneously fitted with ozone for their respective UV1 and UV2 channels. Figure 8 compares how the wavelengths of different 173 174 cross-sections are adjusted in each fitting window at nadir view. According to Schenkeveld et al. (2017), 175 wavelength errors of OMI radiances are expected to be ~ 0.002 nm in UV2 and ~ 0.015 in UV1. The fitted 176 wavelength shifts fall in the ranges of the OMI wavelength accuracy. Compared to the BDM, the BW 177 dataset has the relative shifts of ~ 0.002 nm in the UV2. The mean shifts in the UV1 are comparable, 0.0087 178 nm and 0.0081 nm for BDM and BW, respectively, whereas the variance of the fitted shifts over the latitude 179 is reduced with the use of BW dataset as the shifts are more stable south of 30°S. On the other hand, Liu et al. (2013) shows that the relative shifts between SER and BDM are ~ 0.007 nm in both UV1 and UV2, and 180 181 BP shifts vary largely with latitude by up 0.01 nm. These results indirectly demonstrate the similarity of the 182 wavelength calibration quality between BDM and BW measurements.

183

184 **4. Validation with ozonesonde observations**

Ozonesonde measurements at five stations during the period 2005 to 2008 are used to evaluate the retrieval accuracy of ozone profile retrievals using different cross-sections. In addition to the currently used BDM and the new BW datasets, BP and SER previously assessed in Liu et al. (2013) are included in this evaluation. Typically, high-resolution vertical structures of ozonesonde profiles (~100 m) are degraded to OMI resolution (6-10 km in the stratosphere, 10-15 km in the troposphere) using retrieval averaging kernels to eliminate the effect of OMI smoothing errors (80% of total retrieval errors in the lower stratosphere and troposphere) in comparison with ozonesondes; as a result, the standard deviations of comparisons are





typically reduced by a factor of 2 in the troposphere and lower stratosphere while the comparisons of mean biases are less impacted by using OMI smoothing errors or not. In this paper, the conclusion on which crosssection data should be used stays the same no matter whether ozonesonde profiles are vertically smoothed or not, so we present validation results only using original ozonesonde measurements. In Figure 9, mean biases of the retrieved ozone profiles relative to ozonesondes and the corresponding standard deviations are presented at each station, arranged by latitude from north to south, together with corresponding temperature profiles.

In layers above ~ 20 km, a negligible impact of using different cross-sections is found because the 199 200 measurement information comes mainly from the Hartley ozone absorption band with little dependence on 201 temperature variation. Both BP and SER measurements provide a wider temperature range and more samplings than BDM, but switching from BDM to BP / SER results in large altitude-dependent oscillations 202 203 of mean biases below ~20 km and noticeably fewer successful retrievals, consistent with Liu et al. (2013). 204 These oscillations tend to be wider with the minimum atmospheric temperatures decreasing such that the mean biases increase $\pm 50\%$ at mid/high latitudes (210-215 K) to $\pm 70\%$ at low latitudes (200-205 K), which 205 is partly due to smaller ozone concentration in the tropics and hence the larger relative differences. This 206 207 result implies a defect in accounting for the temperature dependence in both the BP/SER cross-section 208 datasets, especially in the lower temperature range. Using BDM and BW cross-sections generally show 209 much smaller altitude-dependent oscillations of mean biases. The magnitudes of the biases are smaller for 210 BDM for the two middle/high latitude stations, but smaller for BW at the other, lower latitude stations. The BW retrievals typically show negative biases of up to 30% relative to BDM retrievals. The number of 211 successful BW retrievals is slightly smaller than that of BDM retrievals because the negative biases cause 212 213 more occurrences of negative ozone so that the retrieval convergence is more difficult. It is difficult to 214 determine which one is better for ozone profile retrievals from the mean biases as OMI radiances contain systematic radiometric calibration errors (Liu et al., 2010) and ozonesonde observations can also contain 215 systematic measurement errors (Liu et al., 2006). 216

As seen from the comparison of standard deviations in the middle panels, the use of BW consistently gives significantly smaller standard deviations, by 5-20% in the lower stratosphere and upper troposphere except for the high latitude station, Sodankyla. BW, BDM, and SER retrievals show similar standard deviations at this station probably due to relatively warmer temperature, ~210-220 K in this altitude range. In Figure 10, individual differences of layer column ozone between OMI retrievals and ozonesondes using BDM and BW datasets are plotted as a function of temperatures for 8 layers below ~20 km. In this comparison, the noticeable reduction of the scatter between OMI and ozonesonde, by 5-15% at layers from





224 17 to 8.5 km as well as by a few % below or above them, after applying BW cross-sections is further evident. 225 Improvements of the retrieval precision corresponding to standard deviations have been less often achieved than those of the retrieval accuracy corresponding to mean biases; for examples, systematic errors in ozone 226 227 profile retrievals could be reduced by accounting for polar mesospheric clouds (Bak et al. 2016) and slit 228 function errors (Bak et al. 2019) as well as applying empirical calibration (Bak et al. 2017) whereas the reduction of the standard deviations was achieved only in Bak et al. (2013) by better representing 229 dynamically induced ozone variability in the a priori ozone. This significant improvement in standard 230 231 deviations indicates that temperature dependence is better characterized at the lower temperatures near 232 ~200K by the BW dataset.

233

234 5. Summary and discussion

This paper evaluates the recently measured laboratory high-resolution BW (2018) ozone cross-section data 235 236 within the framework of the ESA project SEOM-IAS to see whether or not the current recommendation could be changed for improving ozone profile retrievals from UV measurements. The BDM (1993) dataset 237 238 has been regarded as the standard ozone absorption cross-section in space-based ozone profile retrievals from BUV measurements: thereby we focused on comparing BW and BDM datasets and their impact on 239 240 our ozone profile retrievals from OMI BUV measurements. Compared to BDM given at 5 temperatures 241 ranging from 218 to 295 K, the BW dataset provides improved temperature coverage of 193 to 293 K, every 242 20 K. To conveniently apply the cross-section measurements at any temperature, we quadratically parameterized its temperature dependence using iterative non-linear least squares fitting. The 273 K 243 244 measurements are excluded in the BDM parameterization to improve the fitting residuals at other 245 temperatures. However, the BDM parameterization causes increasing biases in approximate cross-sections at lower temperatures using their 243 and 218 K measurements, especially at longer wavelengths in the 246 247 Huggins band (up to 20%). It reveals serious errors of up to \pm 40% in representing the values at lower 248 temperatures out of the BDM measurements. In comparison, the BW approximation is very closely parameterized to the original data, typically within 2%, while most of the atmospheric temperatures are 249 250 covered by the BW dataset; the biases increase to $\pm 5\%$ at temperatures below 195 K. Correspondingly, 251 individual ozone profile retrievals show less sensitivity due to the BW parameterization errors, with biases 252 of $\sim 2\%$ or less over the altitude range. On the other hand, using the parameterized BDM causes biases of 253 5-10% at bottom layers in the low latitudes and 10-20% at the tropopause. Relative to the BDM dataset, the 254 BW data show systematic biases of 2-3% in C_{o} at shorter wavelengths below 300 nm, but larger spikey





biases of up to 8% at wavelengths longer than 315 nm. The difference in C_1 and C_2 implies distinctly 255 256 different temperature dependence especially in non-linearity in the Huggins bands. We then compared 257 ozone profile retrievals from one orbit of OMI measurements with BW and BDM cross-section datasets. Using different datasets gives comparable results in the wavelength shift of cross-sections relative to OMI 258 radiance wavelengths and fitting residuals at the final iteration, respectively. However, the initial iteration 259 gives ~5% differences in fitting residuals near 290-315 nm, which results in significant differences of the 260 adjusted ozone profiles at the final iteration, \sim 50% at the troppause across most latitudes and \sim 20% at the 261 262 bottom layers in the low-latitudes. To evaluate the quality of ozone retrievals, ozonesonde measurements are compared at five stations. In this validation, we include other cross-section datasets, BP (1985) and SER 263 (2014). Compared to the large vertical oscillation of mean biases for OMI ozone profiles using BP and SER, 264 265 the BW retrievals show mean biases comparable to or sometimes improved over the BDM retrievals. The 266 most important improvement due to switching from BDM to BW is the significant reduction of the standard 267 deviations, by up to 15% in the lower stratosphere and upper troposphere where atmospheric temperatures are lower than ~200K. 268

269 Based on this evaluation, switching our ozone absorption cross-section reference from BDM to BW is very promising for OMI ozone profile retrievals. However, in this evaluation soft calibration is turned off 270 271 and thereby the final decision on our algorithm will be made after further evaluating our retrievals with 272 BW-based soft calibration. In order to make a robust recommendation it might be useful for the ACSO 273 committee to organize another activity to assess the impact of applying this new dataset on other ozone 274 measurements on column ozone or profiles from various platforms. The results of this work in addition to 275 that of Orphal et al. (2016) will help the HITRAN committee to decide which cross-sections should be 276 included in HITRAN2020 edition.

277 Using different ozone cross-sections could also cause an important change in SO₂ retrievals fitted in the 278 Huggins band and therefore it the impact of applying both ozone and SO₂ cross-sections available from the BW datasets (https://zenodo.org/record/1492582) should be evaluated. However, the spectral coverage of 279 280 the BW dataset is insufficient for the spectral fitting of other trace gases such as BrO and HCHO, both of 281 which have significant interference with ozone. Ozone cross-sections in other wavelength ranges, such as 282 the mid-infrared region near 9.6 µm and the Chappuis band (400-650 nm), have not been thoroughly evaluated in the literature. The ozone profile algorithm used in this work will be implemented for the 283 284 Tropospheric Emissions: Monitoring of Pollution (TEMPO) satellite combining the UV and visible 285 measurements to improve the detection of boundary layer ozone. Therefore we should extend this work to 286 find the most suitable ozone cross-sections in the TEMPO visible ozone channel (540-740 nm), focusing



287

288



289	spectral range laboratory cross-section measurements of ozone is still required to advance atmospheric
290	ozone and other trace gases measurements.
291	Author contributions. JB and XL designed the research; MB and GW provided oversight and guidance for analyzing
292	cross-section dataset; XL contributed to analyzing ozone profile retrievals; JB conducted the research and wrote the
293	paper; IG and KC contributed to the analysis and writing.
294	
295	Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.
296	

on SER 2014 covering from 213 to 1100 nm (193-293 K in 10K steps) and that of Brion et al. (1998) which

provides measurements at 218 and 295 K from ~520 nm to ~650 nm. Moreover, the need to improve wide

297 Data availability, The BW cross-section dataset is available at https://zenodo.org/record/1485588. OMI

298 Level1b radiance datasets are available at https://aura.gesdisc.eosdis.nasa.gov/data/Aura_OMI_Level1/

299 (last access: 31 Nov 2019). The ozonesonde data used to validate our ozone profile retrievals were obtained

300 though the WOUDC and SHADOZ. The WOUDC dataset is available at 301 https://woudc.org/data/products/ozonesonde/(last access: 31 Nov 2019) and for the SHADOZ dataset at

- 302 https://tropo.gsfc.nasa.gov/shadoz/Archive.html (last access: 31 Nov 2019).
- 303

Acknowledgement, We acknowledge the OMI science team for providing their satellite data and the
 WOUDC and SHADOZ networks for their ozonesonde datasets. Research at the Smithsonian Astrophysical
 Observatory by Juseon Bak, Xiong Liu, and Kelly Chance was funded by the NASA Aura science team
 program (NNX17AI82G). MB and GW thank the European Space Agency (ESA) for funding of the SEOM IAS project (ESA/AO/1-7566/13/I-BG).

309

Financial support. This research has been supported by NASA Aura science team program (grant no.
 NNX17AI82G). The SEOM-IAS project has been funded by ESA (ESA/AO/1-7566/13/I-BG).

312

313

References

Bak, J., Liu, X., Kim, J. H., Deland, M. T., and Chance, K.: Improvement of OMI ozone profile retrievals
by simultaneously fitting polar mesospheric clouds, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9, 4521–4531, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-4521-2016, 2016.

Bak, J., Liu, X., Kim, J.-H., Haffner, D. P., Chance, K., Yang, K., and Sun, K.: Characterization and
correction of OMPS nadir mapper measurements for ozone profile retrievals, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10,
4373–4388, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-4373-2017, 2017





- Bak, J., Liu, X., Sun, K., Chance, K., and Kim, J.-H.: Linearization of the effect of slit function changes for
 improving Ozone Monitoring Instrument ozone profile retrievals, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 3777–3788,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-3777-2019, 2019.
- Bak, J., Liu, X., Wei, J. C., Pan, L. L., Chance, K., and Kim, J. H.: Improvement of OMI ozone profile
 retrievals in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere by the use of a tropopause-based ozone profile
 climatology, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 2239–2254, doi:10.5194/amt-6-2239-2013, 2013.
- Bass, A. M. and Paur, R. J.: The ultraviolet cross-sections of ozone. I. The measurements, II –
 Results and temperature dependence, in: Atmospheric ozone; Proceedings of the Quadrennial,
 1, 606–616, 1985.
- Birk, M. and Wagner, G.: ESA SEOM-IAS Measurement and ACS database O3 UV region (V ersion I) [Data set]. Zenodo. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1485588, 2018.
- Birk, M., Wagner, G., Gordon, I. E., & Drouin, B. J.: Ozone intensities in the rotational bands. Journal of
 Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer, 226, 60–65.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JQSRT.2019.01.004, 2019
- Brion, J., Chakir, A., Daumont, D., Malicet, J., and Parisse, C.: High-resolution laboratory absorp
 tion cross section of O3. Temperature effect, Chem. Phys. Lett., 213, 610–612, 1993.
- Brion, J, Chakir, A., Charbonnier, J., Daumont, D., Parisse, C., Malicet, J.: Absorption spectra
 measurements for the ozone molecule in the 350–830 nm region, J. Atmos. Chem. 30 (1998) 291–99,
 1998.
- Burrows, J. P., Dehn, A., Deters, B., Himmelmann, S., Richter, A., Voigt, S., and Orphal, J.: Atmospheric
 remote-sensing reference data from GOME: Part 2. Temperature-dependent absorption cross-sections
 of O3 in the 231–794 nm range, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 61(4), 509–517, 1999.
- Daumont, Brion, J., Charbonnier, J., and Malicet, J.: Ozone UV spectroscopy I: Absorption crosssections
 at room temperature, J. Atmos. Chem., 15, 145–155, 1992.
- 344 Gordon, I., Rothman, L., Hill, C., Kochanov, R., Tan, Y., Bernath, P., Birk, M., Boudon, V., Campargue, A., 345 Chance, K., Drouin, B., Flaud, J.-M., Gamache, R., Hodges, J., Jacquemart, D., Perevalov, V., Perrin, A., Shine, K., Smith, M.-A., Tennyson, J., Toon, G., Tran, H., Tyuterev, V., Barbe, A., Császár, A., 346 347 Devi, V., Furtenbacher, T., Harrison, J., Hartmann, J.-M., Jolly, A., Johnson, T., Karman, T., Kleiner, 348 I., Kyuberis, A., Loos, J., Lyulin, O., Massie, S., Mikhailenko, S., Moazzen-Ahmadi, N., Müller, H., 349 Naumenko, O., Nikitin, A., Polyansky, O., Rey, M., Rotger, M., Sharpe, S., Sung, K., Starikova, E., 350 Tashkun, S., Auwera, J. V., Wagner, G., Wilzewski, J., Wcisło, P., Yu, S., and Zak, E.: The HITRAN2016 molecular spectroscopic database, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 203, 3-69, 351 352 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2017.06.038, 2017.
- Hearn, A. G.: The absorption of ozone in the ultra-violet and visible regions of the spectrum. Proceedings
 of the Physical Society, 78(5), 932–940. https://doi.org/10.1088/0370-1328/78/5/340, 1961.
- Hodges, J. T., Viallon, J., Brewer, P. J., Drouin, B. J., Gorshelev, V., Janssen, C., et al.: Recommendation of
 a consensus value of the ozone absorption cross-section at 253.65 nm based on a literature review.





- 357 Metrologia, 56(3), https://doi.org/10.1088/1681-7575/ab0bdd, 2019
- Gorshelev, V., A. Serdyuchenko, M.Weber, W.Chehade, and J.P. Burrows, High spectral resolution ozone
 absorption cross-sections Part 1: Measurements, data analysis and comparison with previous
- 360 measurements around 293 K, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 609–624, doi:10.5194/amt-7-609-2014, 2014.
- McPeters, R. D., Frith, S., and Labow, G. J.: OMI total column ozone: extending the long-term data record,
 Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 4845–4850, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-4845-2015, 2015.
- Liu, C., Liu, X, and Chance, K.: The impact of using different ozone cross sections on ozone profile
 retrievals from OMI UV measurements, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 130, 365–372,
 doi:10.1016/j.jqsrt.2013.06.006, 2013.
- Liu, X., Chance, K., Sioris, C. E., and Kurosu, T. P.: Impact of using different ozone cross sections on
 ozone profile retrievals from Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment (GOME) ultraviolet
 measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 3571–3578, doi:10.5194/acp-7-3571-2007, 2007.
- Liu, X., Chance, K., Sioris, C. E., and Kurosu, T. P, Newchurch, M.J.: Intercomparison of GOME,
 ozonesonde, and SAGE II measurements of ozone: Demonstration of the need to homogenize available
 ozonesonde data sets, J. Geophys. Res. 111 (D14) D14305, doi:10.1029/2005JD006718, 2006.
- Liu, X., Chance, K., Sioris, C. E., Spurr, R. J. D., Kurosu, T. P., Martin, R. V., and Newchurch, M. J.:
 Ozone profile and tropospheric ozone retrievals from Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment:
 algorithm description and validation, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D20307, doi: 10.1029/2005JD006240,
 2005.
- Liu, X., Bhartia, P.K, Chance, K, Spurr, R.J.D., and Kurosu, T.P.: Ozone profile retrievals from the ozone
 monitoring instrument. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 2521–2537, 2010.
- Malicet, Daumont, D., Charbonnier, J., Parisse, C., Chakir, A., and Brion, J.: Ozone UV spectros
 copy. II. Absorption cross-sections and temperature dependence, J. Atmos. Chem, 21, 263–27
 3, 1995.
- Rothman, L. S., Jacquemart, D., Barbe, A., Chris Benner, D., Birk, M., Brown, L. R., et al.: The HITRAN
 2004 molecular spectroscopic database. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transf er*, *96*(2), 139–204. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2004.10.008</u>, 2005.
- Schenkeveld, V. M. E., Jaross, G., Marchenko, S., Haffner, D., Kleipool, Q. L., Rozemeijer, N.
 C., Veefkind, J. P., and Levelt, P. F.: In-flight performance of the Ozone Monitoring Instru
 ment, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10, 1957–1986, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-1957-2017, 2017.
- Seo, S., Richter, A., Blechschmidt, A.-M., Bougoudis, I., and Burrows, J. P.: First high-resolution BrO
 column retrievals from TROPOMI, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 2913–2932, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt12-2913-2019, 2019.
- Serdyuchenko, A., Gorshelev, V., Weber, M., Chehade, W., and Burrows, J. P.: High spectral resolution
 ozone absorption cross-sections Part 2: Temperature dependence, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 625–636,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-625-2014, 2014.
- Orphal, J.: A critical review of the absorption cross-sections of O3 and NO2 in the 240–790 nm region, Part
 1. ozone, in ESA Technical Note MO-TN-ESA-GO-0302, ESA-ESTEC, Noordwijk, The Netherlands,





395 2002.

- Orphal, J.: A critical review of the absorption cross-sections of O3 and NO2 in the 240–790 nm region, J.
 Phtotochem. Photobiol. A., 157, 185–209, 2003.
- Orphal, J., Staehelin, J., Tamminen, J., et al.: Absorption crosssections of ozone in the ultraviolet and visible
 spectral regions: Status report 2015, J. Mol. Spectrosc., 327, 105–121,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jms.2016.07.007, 2016.
- Theys, N., De Smedt, I., Yu, H., Danckaert, T., van Gent, J., Hörmann, C., Wagner, T., Hedelt, P., Bauer, H.,
 Romahn, F., Pedergnana, M., Loyola, D., and Van Roozendael, M.: Sulfur dioxide retrievals from
 TROPOMI onboard Sentinel-5 Precursor: algorithm theoretical basis, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10, 119–
 153, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-119-2017, 2017.
- Tyuterev, V. G., Barbe, A., Jacquemart, D., Janssen, C., Mikhailenko, S. N., & Starikova, E. N.: Ab initio
 predictions and laboratory validation for consistent ozone intensities in the MW, 10 and 5 µm ranges.
 The Journal of Chemical Physics, 150(18), 184303. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5089134, 2019.

408

409









Figure 1. (Left) Measurements of ozone absorption cross-sections at all selected temperatures in the Huggins bands taken from (a) BW (2018) and (b) BDM (1995), respectively. (Right) For BW, the experimental data are plotted without the quadratic parameterization for a fair comparison with BDM. BDM measurements at 273 K are plotted with a dotted line on the left and with open circles on the right, because the data at this temperature are not recommended for use, by Liu et al. (2007).

418

419







Figure 2. Quadratic coefficients (cm²/molecule) to parameterize the temperature dependence of ozone cross-sections for BDM (red) and BW (blue), respectively, with their relative differences (BDM-BW)/BW in black.







Figure 3. Relative differences of ozone cross-sections parameterized and spline interpolated at temperatures between 190 and 300 K, for (a) BDM and (b) BW, respectively. In the legend, the temperatures not covered by each dataset are indicated with gray and black, for values beyond lower and upper boundaries, respectively.



430

Figure 4. Same as Figure 3, but for relative differences (%) of parameterized ozone cross-sections
between BDM and BW.







434

Figure 5. The impact of parameterizing the cross-sections shown in Figure 3 on ozone profile retrievals, for (a) BDM and (b) BW.

437







Figure 6. Comparison of mean fitting residuals at latitudes of 15° S to 15° N at (a) final iteration
and (b) initial iteration, respectively, when using BDM (blue) and BW (red).

442

439







Figure 7. (a) Percent Difference ((BDM-BW)/BW x 100%) of retrieved ozone profiles using BDM and BW datasets at nadir view, and (b) corresponding temperature profiles in the retrievals. In the upper panel, the black line represents the differences of integrated column ozone. The white line in both panels represents the tropopause height.







Figure 8. Comparison of the wavelength shifts (nm) between ozone cross-sections and OMI
 radiances at the nadir view for using BDM (blue) and BW cross-sections, respectively.









Figure 9. (Left column) Mean biases of relative differences between OMI and ozonesonde ozone profiles at five stations arranged with decreasing latitude when four different cross-sections are applied to OMI retrievals, with (Middle column) the corresponding standard deviations and (Right column) mean temperatures (black circle) of individual profiles (gray). The numbers after the four cross-sections in the legends show the number of successful retrievals. Blue and red vertical colors in the right panels represent the temperatures used to derive the quadratic coefficients from BDM and BW measurements, respectively.







461

Figure 10. Scatter plots of individual differences between OMI retrievals using BDM (blue) and BW (red) cross-sections and ozonesonde measurements for each layer from the surface (bottom right) to 19.1 km (top left) as functions of layer temperature. Mean differences and standard deviations for both cross-sections are shown in the legends.